

Apico-aortic conduit: a readily available technical modification

Pauline Wantiez, Erwan Flecher, Jean-François Heautot, Geraldine Allain

► To cite this version:

Pauline Wantiez, Erwan Flecher, Jean-François Heautot, Geraldine Allain. Apico-aortic conduit: a readily available technical modification. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, 2024, 65 (5), pp.ezae160. 10.1093/ejcts/ezae160. hal-04644500

HAL Id: hal-04644500 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-04644500v1

Submitted on 11 Jul2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	Apico-aortic conduit: a readily available technical modification.
2	
3	Authors:
4	Pauline Wantiez ¹ , Erwan Flecher ¹ , Jean-François Heautot ² , Geraldine Allain ¹
5	
6	Institutions:
7	¹ Department of Thoracic, Cardiac and Vascular Surgery, University Hospital Pontchaillou, Rennes,
8	France
9	² Department of Radiology, University Hospital Pontchaillou, Rennes, France
10	
11	Corresponding author
12	Geraldine Allain
13	Department of Thoracic, Cardiac and Vascular Surgery, University Hospital of Rennes
14	Hospital Pontchaillou, 2 rue Henri Le Guilloux, 35000 Rennes, France.
15	Telephone number: +33 2 99 28 24 97
16	E-mail address: geraldineallain@yahoo.fr
17	

18 Word count: 982

19 Abstract

20 When neither surgical valve replacement nor transcatheter aortic valve implantation is possible, 21 performing an apico-aortic conduit remains a therapeutic option. This procedure has become rare and 22 the rigid angled apical connectors usually used to facilitate ventricular anastomosis are no longer 23 commercially available. We described the technique that we performed on a 60-year-old patient with 24 readily available material.

25 Introduction

In cases of calcific aortic stenosis, apico-aortic conduit (AAC), otherwise called aortic valve bypass, may be indicated when no other option is possible. As this surgery has become infrequent, the specific apical connectors formerly used are no longer available. Herein we describe an adaptation of the technique that can be performed with readily available materials.

30 Clinical case and surgical technique

We report the case of a 60-year-old patient who presented with hemorrhagic shock complicated by an acute coronary syndrome following right upper lobectomy surgery for pulmonary adenocarcinoma. Cardiac examination then revealed an ischemic and valvular cardiac disease with significant stenosis of the left anterior descending artery (LAD), chronic occlusion of the right coronary, and calcific aortic stenosis with an average gradient of 53mmHg. The left ventricle was hypertrophied with an ejection fraction of 60%. A surgical treatment with aortic valve replacement and coronary artery bypass was then decided.

During the surgery, significant aortic root calcifications were found and contraindicated the aortotomy and valvular replacement. Only coronary bypass grafting on LAD artery could be performed and a transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) was considered in a second step. Unfortunately, cardiac CT scan performed before the procedure did not allow TAVI due to major and protruding aortic root calcifications and a too narrow left ventricular outflow tract.

Transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) at 6 months found significantly increased stenosis in the average gradient of 116mmHg, a valvular surface of 0.54cm² and a maximal velocity of 6.6m/s. Left ventricular ejection fraction was still preserved at 55%. At the same time, a primary hyperparathyroidism related to an adenoma was diagnosed, but due to the aortic stenosis, surgical excision under general anesthesia could not be performed. Moreover, the patient has become highly symptomatic and heart transplantation was not considered possible taking account the recent lung neoplasia.

49 AAC was then decided.

50 A large left thoracotomy in the sixth intercostal space was carried out, the pericardium was opened 51 and the left ventricle apex was exposed. Cardiopulmonary bypass was established through left femoral 52 vessels. Normothermia was maintained. In Trendelenburg position with beating heart, a circular 2centimeter ventriculotomy was performed with a sharp knife. A series of U-shaped points of 2-0 53 54 polypropylene with large Teflon pledgets was placed through the full thickness of the surrounding 55 myocardium and secured to the biological valved tube as follows (Figure 1): 2 Mosaïc 23mm 56 bioprostheses (Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) were sewn to each other in an inverted position 57 using a 4-0 Prolene running suture. The first prosthesis was used as a rigid stent through the apical 58 ventriculotomy and the cups were removed. The second prosthesis was inserted into a 28mm Dacron 59 tube with a Prolene 4-0 running suture. A 20mm Dacron tube was anastomosed laterally on the 60 descending thoracic aorta using a 4-0 Prolene running suture. Finally, the 28mm tube was 61 anastomosed to the 20mm beveled tube with a Prolene 4-0 running suture. After carefully de-airing, 62 cardiopulmonary bypass was weaned without any difficulty.

A redo thoracotomy was necessary on post-operative day (POD) 9 for hemothorax without active bleeding. No coronary events were observed postoperatively. The patient was discharged for a rehabilitation center on POD 28. CT scan performed before leaving the hospital found an adequate positioning and a permeability of the AAC (Figure 2). TTE found no valvular insufficiency, an average gradient of 12mmHg and good biventricular function.

68 At 6 months, clinical improvement was obvious with an asymptomatic and active patient.

69 Comment

Initially developed in the late 1950s, the aortic bypass technique was supplanted in the 1960s by conventional surgical valve replacement for aortic stenosis and in the 1970s by the development of congenital surgical techniques for complex obstructions of the left ventricular outflow tract (1). This

technique was used again in the early 2000s, for the management of aortic stenosis in older patients
with more comorbidities and in cases of complex sternal re-entry in particular (2).

In the late 2000s, TAVI gradually supplanted this technique and the rigid angled apical connectors usually used for this surgery are no longer commercially available. This device is described as one of the three essential components of the AAC (3), as it reduces the risks of left ventricular false aneurysm and extrinsic compression of the tube by ventricular wall (4).

Direct insertions of the conduit into the left ventricle, with reinforcement the apical vascular anastomosis by using inner and outer Teflon felt strips or sewing cuff have already been described by teams from China and Japan, where apical connectors are likewise unavailable. While their results seem satisfactory, only a few isolated cases have been reported (5-8).

The technique we describe is simple and reproducible. It does not require specific equipment. The use of a rigid device seems to us to be more effective to avoid conduit ventricular obstruction than simple Teflon reinforcements. Nevertheless, the need to use of a second bioprosthesis as a stent through the ventricular wall remains debatable.

87 Conclusion

In the rare cases where neither surgical valvular replacement nor TAVI is possible, and although the
specific apical connectors are no longer commercially available, AAC remains a possible and feasible

- 90 therapeutic option with readily available material.
- 91

92 Data Availability Statement

93 The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

94 **Competing interest**

95 The authors have declared that non competing interests exist.

96 Figure legends

- 97 Figure 1: Intra-operative views of the biological valved tube (A and B) and its insertion at the ventricular
- 98 apex (C). Note the use of a reverse bioprosthesis without leaflets as apical connector.
- 99 Figure 2: Post-operative CT scan showing proximal (A) and distal (B) anastomoses of apico-aortic
- 100 conduit (white arrow) and 3-dimensional reconstruction (C). Note the valvular stent through the
- 101 ventricular wall (A) and the major calcifications of the aortic root (B).

102 References

Gammie JS, Krowsoski LS, Brown JM, Odonkor PN, Young CA, Santos MJ, et al. Aortic valve bypass
 surgery: midterm clinical outcomes in a high-risk aortic stenosis population. Circulation.
 2008;118:1460-6.

- 106 2. Vliek CJ, Balaras E, Li S, Lin JY, Young CA, DeFilippi CR, et al. Early and midterm hemodynamics after
- 107 aortic valve bypass (apicoaortic conduit) surgery. Ann Thorac Surg. 2010;90:136-43.
- 108 3. Filsoufi F, Reddy RC. Apicoaortic conduit. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2012;24:202-5.
- 4. Brown JW, Girod DA, Hurwitz RA, Caldwell RL, Rocchini AP, Behrendt DM, et al. Apicoaortic valved
- 110 conduits for complex left ventricular outflow obstruction: technical considerations and current status.
- 111 Ann Thorac Surg. 1984;38:162-8.
- 112 5. Fujii Y, Kanki K, Mitsui H, Ishino K, Izumoto H, Sano S. Apicoaortic bypass using a vascular graft with
- a bioprosthetic valve. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2007;55:240-2.
- 6. Hirota M, Oi M, Omoto T, Tedoriya T. Apico-aortic conduit for aortic stenosis with a porcelain aorta;
- technical modification for apical outflow. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2009;9:703-5.
- 116 7. Nakamura Y, Tagusari O, Seike Y, Domoto S. Inverted graft insertion technique for apicoaortic
- 117 bypass. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2010;38:795-7.
- 118 8. Ye Y, Yu C, Qian X. Aortic valve bypass for high-risk patients with symptomatic aortic stenosis: A case
- 119 report. J Card Surg. 2021;36:2153-2155.



