## **ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENT INFORMATION**

## Insights into the performance and mechanism of Cr(VI) and U(VI) removal in water using innovative cross-linked chitosan adsorbent

Peng Cheng,<sup>abc</sup> Zhiyang Wei,<sup>d</sup> Yara Arbid,<sup>e</sup> Tengfei Fu,<sup>f</sup> Xiaowei Liu,<sup>a</sup>\* and Olivier Monfort<sup>g</sup>\*

a. School of Biology Food and Environment, Hefei University, 230601 Hefei, China.

b. Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, Clermont Auvergne INP, Institut de Chimie de Clermont Ferrand,F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France.

c. Hubei Key Lab of Biomass Resource Chemistry and Environmental Biotechnology, School of Resources and Environmental Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430079, China.

d. School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, 230009 Hefei, China.

e. Univ. Rennes, École Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, CNRS, ISCR-UMR 6226, F-35000 Rennes, France.

f. Key Laboratory of Coastal Science and Integrated Management, First Institute of Oceanography, Ministry of Natural Resources of the People's Republic of China, Qingdao 266061, China.

g. Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University Bratislava, Ilkovicova 6, Mlynska Dolina, 84215 Bratislava, Slovakia.

\*Corresponding authors: <u>liuxw@hfut.edu.cn;</u> <u>monfort1@uniba.sk</u>



Figure S1. Scheme of the TFT cross-linked chitosan preparation.



Figure S2. TG/DTG curves of CS-TFT and CS. The inset shows the peaks of DTG curves of CS-TFT and CS at the second mass loss.

Figure S3. The cross-linking reaction of CS with TFT.



Figure S4. Adsorption kinetics of Cr(VI) U(VI) on CS-TFT. ((a) [Cr(VI)] = 100 mg L-1, [CS-TFT] = 0.2 g L-1,Ionic strength = 0.1 M, pH = 3.0, Temp = 308K); (b) [U(VI)] = 20 mg L-1, CS-TFT] = 0.2 g L-1, Ionic strength = 1 M, pH = 5.0, Temp = 308K).



Figure S5. SEM-EDS images of adsorption of Cr(VI) and U(VI) on CS-TFT.

	CS		CS-T	FT			
Peak	BE (eV)	AC (%)	BE (eV)	AC (%)	Assignment	Ref.	
C1s	284.6	16.9	284.5	13.9	<b>C</b> –(C, H)	[1–3]	
	286.0	33.2	286.2	29.6	<b>C</b> –(O, N)	[1,2]	
	287.6	9.1	287.8	7.0	O-C-O/O=C-N	[1,2]	
	n.d.	n.d.	292.6	3.9	Covalent C-F	[4,5]	
	n.d.	n.d.	295.6	1.6	Semi-ionic C–F	[4,5]	
01a	n.d.	n.d.	531.1	3.9	$\mathbf{O}=\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{NH}_2$	[1,2,6]	
OIS	532.5	30.5	532.6	27.0	C–( <b>O</b> , <b>O</b> H)	[1,2,6]	
N1s	399.0	8.4	399.1	8.8	$C-NH_2$	[1,6]	
<b>E1</b> .	n.d.	n.d.	687.2	0.7	Semi-ionic C– <b>F</b>	[4,5]	
F1S	n.d.	n.d.	689.1	3.6	Covalent C-F	[4,5]	

**Table S1.** The assignments of identified peaks from high-resolution XPS spectra of CS and CS-TFT. BE: binding energy; AC: atomic concentration; *n.d.*: not detected.

Table S2. The results of BET analysis for CS and CS-TFT

Samples	CS	CS-TFT
Surface area $(m^2 mg^{-1})$	2.61	2.93
Pore volume ( $cm^3 g^{-1}$ )	0.004	0.032
Average pore diameter (nm)	13.15	4.96

Table S3. Kinetic parameter for adsorption of Cr (VI) and U(VI) on CS-TFT

	pseu	do-first-ord	er	pseudo-second-order			
Elements	Q <sub>e</sub> (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	K <sub>1</sub> (h <sup>-1</sup> )	$\mathbf{R}^2$	Q <sub>e</sub> (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	$\mathbf{K}_2 \\ (\mathbf{g} \ (\mathbf{mg} \cdot \mathbf{min})^{\cdot 1}$	$\mathbf{R}^2$	
Cr(VI)	17.05	0.040	0.76	152.21	8.83×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.99	
U(VI)	51.91	0.775	0.35	60.10	4.50×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.99	
		Elovich		Inti	ra-particle diffusior	ı	
Elements	α	Elovich ß	R <sup>2</sup>	<b>Intr</b> C (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{\text{K}_{\text{int}}}{(\text{mg g}^{-1} \min^{-0.5})}$	n R <sup>2</sup>	
Elements Cr(VI)	α 0.145	<b>Elovich</b> β 2.98×10 <sup>8</sup>	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.79	Intr           C           (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )           126.85	$\frac{\text{ra-particle diffusior}}{(\text{mg g}^{-1} \text{min}^{-0.5})}$ 4.11	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.97	

		Lan	ıgmuir mode	1	Freu	Freundlich model		
Elements	T(K)	<i>Q<sub>max</sub></i> (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{K_L}{(\text{L mg}^{-1})}$	$R^2$	$\frac{K_F}{(mg^{1-n} L^n g^{-1})}$	1/n	$R^2$	
Cr(VI)	298K	265.96	0.372	0.93	129.65	0.207	0.94	
	308K	250.00	0.401	0.95	110.22	0.233	0.97	
	318K	233.64	0.425	0.99	97.19	0.230	0.88	
	298K	346.02	0.045	0.94	26.90	0.538	0.97	
U(VI)	308K	170.94	0.055	0.94	24.35	0.432	0.89	
	318K	127.55	0.067	0.94	20.06	0.409	0.85	
		Temkin model						
		Те	mkin model		Ľ	-R model		
Elements	T(K)	$\frac{\text{Te}}{\begin{array}{c} A_{\text{T}} \\ (\text{L mg}^{-1}) \end{array}}$	$\frac{\mathbf{mkin model}}{B_1}$ $(mg g^{-1})$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	$\frac{D}{Q_{s}}$ (mol <sup>2</sup> kJ <sup>-2</sup> )	B (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	$R^2$	
Elements	<b>T(K)</b> 298K	AT           (L mg <sup>-1</sup> )           39.55	B1           (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )           40.53	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.98	Q <sub>s</sub> (mol <sup>2</sup> kJ <sup>-2</sup> ) 237.07	D-R model B (mg g <sup>-1</sup> ) 9.85×10 <sup>-8</sup>	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.85	
Elements Cr(VI)	<b>T(K)</b> 298K 308K	AT           (L mg <sup>-1</sup> )           39.55           17.80	B1           (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )           40.53           38.21	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.98 0.99	Q <sub>s</sub> (mol <sup>2</sup> kJ <sup>-2</sup> ) 237.07 218.88	B           (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )           9.85×10 <sup>-8</sup> 1.67×10 <sup>-7</sup>	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.85 0.74	
Elements Cr(VI)	<b>T(K)</b> 298K 308K 318K	AT           (L mg <sup>-1</sup> )           39.55           17.80           8.42	B1           (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )           40.53           38.21           34.95	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.98 0.99 0.95	Q <sub>s</sub> (mol <sup>2</sup> kJ <sup>-2</sup> ) 237.07 218.88 209.33	B           (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )           9.85×10 <sup>-8</sup> 1.67×10 <sup>-7</sup> 5.05×10 <sup>-7</sup>	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.85 0.74 0.94	
Elements Cr(VI)	T(K) 298K 308K 318K 298K	AT           (L mg <sup>-1</sup> )           39.55           17.80           8.42           0.61	B1           (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )           40.53           38.21           34.95           59.12	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.98 0.99 0.95 0.92	Q <sub>s</sub> (mol <sup>2</sup> kJ <sup>-2</sup> ) 237.07 218.88 209.33 154.99	B           (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )           9.85×10 <sup>-8</sup> 1.67×10 <sup>-7</sup> 5.05×10 <sup>-7</sup> 2.36×10 <sup>-6</sup>	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.85 0.74 0.94 0.84	
Elements Cr(VI) U(VI)	T(K) 298K 308K 318K 298K 308K	$\begin{array}{c} {\color{red} {\bf Te}} \\ \hline {A_{\rm T}} \\ ({\rm L~mg^{-1}}) \\ \hline 39.55 \\ 17.80 \\ \hline 8.42 \\ \hline 0.61 \\ 0.54 \end{array}$	B1 (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )           40.53           38.21           34.95           59.12           39.87	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.98 0.99 0.95 0.92 0.94	Q <sub>s</sub> (mol <sup>2</sup> kJ <sup>-2</sup> ) 237.07 218.88 209.33 154.99 110.34	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{D-R model} \\ \hline \textbf{B} \\ (mg g^{-1}) \\ \hline \textbf{9.85} \times 10^{-8} \\ 1.67 \times 10^{-7} \\ \hline \textbf{5.05} \times 10^{-7} \\ \hline \textbf{2.36} \times 10^{-6} \\ \hline \textbf{3.36} \times 10^{-6} \end{array}$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.85 0.74 0.94 0.84 0.83	

Table S4. Parameters for the Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin, D-R models

			Cr(VI	)	U(VI)			
Effect Factor		C <sub>e</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Qe (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Q <sub>e</sub> /C <sub>e</sub> (mg g <sup>-1</sup> mM <sup>-1</sup> )	C <sub>e</sub> (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Qe (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Q <sub>e</sub> /C <sub>e</sub> (mg g <sup>-1</sup> mM <sup>-1</sup> )	
	2	78.96	105.22	69.29	17.27	13.64	187.98	
	3	60.08	199.59	172.75	16.43	17.84	258.44	
	4	88.12	59.42	35.07	6.17	69.14	2666.37	
	5	92.31	38.45	21.66	3.23	83.85	6181.00	
pН	6	94.27	28.66	15.81	3.57	82.17	5485.72	
	7	97.90	10.49	5.57	4.41	77.97	4211.95	
	8	97.97	10.14	5.38	13.07	34.66	631.34	
	9	98.39	8.04	4.25	13.57	32.14	563.68	
	10	98.67	6.64	3.50	18.79	6.07	76.91	
	1	79.66	101.72	66.40	13.25	33.74	605.95	
	10	62.04	189.81	159.09	11.57	42.15	867.01	
Adsorption	20	56.24	218.82	202.34	10.23	48.87	1137.69	
time	30	55.12	224.41	211.72	9.55	52.24	1301.62	
(min)	60	50.43	247.83	255.53	8.80	56.02	1516.02	
	120	49.31	253.43	267.22	8.63	56.86	1568.77	
	180	48.62	256.92	274.81	8.38	58.12	1651.87	
	20	0.41	97.96	12454.67	4.41	75.47	4076.81	
	40	3.12	184.41	3075.46	16.09	119.53	1767.69	
[Cr or U]	60	12.64	236.78	973.85	29.13	154.36	1261.34	
$(\mathbf{mg} \mathbf{L})$	80	29.33	253.36	449.23	40.90	195.49	1137.69	
	100	43.09	284.54	343.35	53.51	232.43	1033.81	
	298	0.41	97.96	12297.37	4.41	75.47	4072.98	
Temperature (K)	308	0.69	96.56	7276.99	7.94	60.31	1807.78	
( <b>K</b> )	318	1.56	92.19	3073.00	9.45	52.74	1328.27	

**Table S5.** Effect of pH, adsorption time, concentration of pollutants and temperature on the adsorption performance of CS-TFT.

**pH:**  $[Cr(VI)] = 100 \text{ mg } L^{-1} \text{ or } [U(VI)] = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; \text{ Adsorption time = 4 h; Temperature = 308 K;} [NaCl] = 0.01 \text{ M}. \text{ Adsorption time: } [Cr(VI)] = 100 \text{ mg } L^{-1} \text{ or } [U(VI)] = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; \text{ Temperature = 308 K;} [NaCl] = 0 \text{ M} \text{ and } 1 \text{ M} \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI), \text{ respectively; } pH = 3.0 \text{ and } 5.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI), \text{ respectively.} \text{ Concentration of } Cr(VI) \text{ or } U(VI): [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; \text{ Adsorption time = 6 h; Temperature = 298 K; [NaCl] = 0 \text{ M; } pH = 3.0 \text{ and } 5.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI), \text{ respectively.} \text{ Temperature: } [Cr(VI)] = [U(VI)] = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; pH = 3.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI), \text{ respectively.} \text{ Temperature: } [Cr(VI)] = [U(VI)] = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; pH = 3.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI), \text{ respectively.} \text{ Temperature: } [Cr(VI)] = [U(VI)] = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; pH = 3.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI), \text{ respectively.} \text{ Temperature: } [Cr(VI)] = [U(VI)] = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; pH = 3.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI), \text{ respectively.} \text{ Temperature: } [Cr(VI)] = [U(VI)] = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; pH = 3.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI), \text{ respectively.} \text{ Temperature: } [Cr(VI)] = [U(VI)] = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; pH = 3.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI), \text{ respectively.} \text{ Temperature: } [Cr(VI)] = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; pH = 3.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI), \text{ respectively.} \text{ for } Cr(VI) = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; pH = 3.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI) \text{ respectively.} \text{ for } Cr(VI) = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; [CS-TFT] = 0.2 \text{ g } L^{-1}; pH = 3.0 \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI) \text{ respectively.} \text{ for } Cr(VI) = 20 \text{ mg } L^{-1}; \text{ for } Cr(VI) \text{ and } U(VI) \text{ respectively.} \text{ f$ 

Elements	Material	Optimal pH	Adsorption Equilibrium Time (h)	Best Fitted Isotherm Model	$PC (mg g^{-1} mM^{-1})$	Ref
	CS-TFT	3.0	1.0	Langmuir	12.45×10 <sup>3</sup>	This study
Cr(VI)	SDS-chitosan	4.0-5.0	96	Langmuir	9.5	[7]
	Mesopore-rich badam-shell biochar	1.0	5.0	Langmuir	18.82	[8]
	Cr(VI)-NIPs (non-imprinted polymers)	2.0	0.5	Freundlich	591	[9]
	Nitrogen-doped porous carbon material (PCM-N)	2.0	10.0	Freundlich	$2.90 \times 10^{3}$	[10]
	Tin metal-organic framework [Sn <sup>(II)</sup> -BDC MOF]	4.0	2.5	Langmuir	48.75×10 <sup>3</sup>	[11]
U(VI)	CS-TFT	6.0	1.0	Langmuir	4.08×10 <sup>3</sup>	This study
	EDTA-LDH	3.0	2.0	Freundlich	834	[12]
	Functionalized Maghemite (AMNP)	5.5	2.0	Langmuir	7585	[13]
	Graphene oxide– polyethyleneimine (GO-PEI)	5.3	-	-	$531.40 \times 10^3$	[14]

Table S6. Literature review of Cr(VI) and U(VI) adsorption using different adsorbents.

## References

- I.F. Amaral, P.L. Granja, M.A. Barbosa, Chemical modification of chitosan by phosphorylation: an XPS, FT-IR and SEM study, Journal of Biomaterials Science, Polymer Edition. 16 (2005) 1575–1593.
- [2] H. Maachou, M.J. Genet, D. Aliouche, C.C. Dupont-Gillain, P.G. Rouxhet, XPS analysis of chitosanhydroxyapatite biomaterials: From elements to compounds, Surface and Interface Analysis. 45 (2013) 1088– 1097.
- [3] J. Tong, X. Han, S. Wang, X. Jiang, Evaluation of structural characteristics of Huadian oil shale kerogen using direct techniques (solid-state 13C NMR, XPS, FT-IR, and XRD), Energy & Fuels. 25 (2011) 4006– 4013.
- [4] Y. Wang, J. Chen, G. Wang, Y. Li, Z. Wen, Perfluorinated covalent triazine framework derived hybrids for the highly selective electroconversion of carbon dioxide into methane, Angewandte Chemie International Edition. 57 (2018) 13120–13124.
- [5] Y. Zhao, K.X. Yao, B. Teng, T. Zhang, Y. Han, A perfluorinated covalent triazine-based framework for highly selective and water-tolerant CO2 capture, Energy Environ. Sci. 6 (2013) 3684–3692. https://doi.org/10.1039/C3EE42548G.
- [6] A. Skwarczynska, M. Kaminska, P. Owczarz, N. Bartoszek, B. Walkowiak, Z. Modrzejewska, The structural (FTIR, XRD, and XPS) and biological studies of thermosensitive chitosan chloride gels with βglycerophosphate disodium, Journal of Applied Polymer Science. 135 (2018) 46459.
- [7] X. Du, C. Kishima, H. Zhang, N. Miyamoto, N. Kano, Removal of chromium (VI) by chitosan beads modified with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), Applied Sciences. 10 (2020) 4745.
- [8] X. Jia, Y. Zhang, Z. He, F. Chang, H. Zhang, T. Wågberg, G. Hu, Mesopore-rich badam-shell biochar for efficient adsorption of Cr (VI) from aqueous solution, Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. 9 (2021) 105634.
- [9] Z. Kong, Y. Du, J. Wei, H. Zhang, L. Fan, Synthesis of a new ion-imprinted polymer for selective Cr (VI) adsorption from aqueous solutions effectively and rapidly, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 588 (2021) 749–760.

- [10] H. Liang, R. Sun, B. Song, Q. Sun, P. Peng, D. She, Preparation of nitrogen-doped porous carbon material by a hydrothermal-activation two-step method and its high-efficiency adsorption of Cr (VI), Journal of Hazardous Materials. 387 (2020) 121987.
- [11] A. Ghosh, G. Das, Green synthesis of Sn (II)-BDC MOF: Preferential and efficient adsorption of anionic dyes, Microporous and Mesoporous Materials. 297 (2020) 110039.
- [12] S. Zhang, N. Kano, K. Mishima, H. Okawa, Adsorption and desorption mechanisms of rare earth elements (REEs) by layered double hydroxide (LDH) modified with chelating agents, Applied Sciences. 9 (2019)
   4805.
- [13] Y. Xiao, A.S. Helal, E. Mazario, A. Mayoral, A. Chevillot-Biraud, P. Decorse, R. Losno, F. Maurel, S. Ammar, J.S. Lomas, Functionalized maghemite nanoparticles for enhanced adsorption of uranium from simulated wastewater and magnetic harvesting, Environmental Research. (2022) 114569.
- [14] S. Verma, K.-H. Kim, Graphene-based materials for the adsorptive removal of uranium in aqueous solutions, Environment International. 158 (2022) 106944.