

# **Upscaled model for the diffusion/heterogeneous reaction in porous media: Boundary layer problem**

Tien Dung Le, Christian Moyne, Mohamed Khaled Bourbatache, Olivier

Millet

## **To cite this version:**

Tien Dung Le, Christian Moyne, Mohamed Khaled Bourbatache, Olivier Millet. Upscaled model for the diffusion/heterogeneous reaction in porous media: Boundary layer problem. Advances in Water Resources, 2023, 179, pp.104500. 10.1016/j.advwatres.2023.104500. hal-04244298

# **HAL Id: hal-04244298 <https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-04244298v1>**

Submitted on 30 Nov 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

### Upscaled model for the diffusion/heterogeneous reaction in porous media: boundary layer problem

Tien Dung Le<sup>a,∗</sup>, Christian Moyne<sup>a</sup>, Mohamed Khaled Bourbatache<sup>b</sup>, Olivier Millet<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of Lorraine, CNRS, LEMTA, F-54000 Nancy, France <sup>b</sup>Institut National des Sciences Appliquées, Laboratoire de Génie Civil et de Génie Mécanique, F-35000 Rennes, France

<sup>c</sup>Université de La Rochelle, CNRS, Laboratoire des Sciences de l'Ingénieur pour l'Environnement, F-17000 La Rochelle, France

#### Abstract

Upscribed model for the diffusion (heterogeneous reaction in porous model is studied in the main reaction of the main results. The main results of the studies of Multi-species diffusion/heterogeneous reaction coupled problem in porous media involving a boundary layer problem is studied. The spectral approach developed in our previous work allows to derive a macroscopic model in the high Damköhler number regime combining homogenization technique and spectral approach. Such a homogenized model cannot describe the perturbation at the external boundary where the chemical equilibrium is not necessarily satisfied. We construct herein a modied model involving additional variables, which are rapidly-decaying functions, to capture the complex physics in the boundary layer. Numerical simulations underline the accuracy of the proposed correction in both steady and transient states.

Keywords: Homogenization, Diffusion/reaction problem, Spectral approach, Boundary layer problem

#### 1. Introduction

<sup>2</sup> Reactive transport of multi-species in porous media, which is of major interest for many appli-<sup>3</sup> cations in numerous areas such as electrochemical systems, agronomy, geology, etc., has received considerable attention from the modeling point of view. Macroscopic models involving effective coefficients can be rigorously derived from upscaling approaches  $[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]$ . A challenging <sup>6</sup> case refers to the predominant reaction situation when the medium exhibits a complex behavior

Preprint submitted to Advances in Water Resources July 3, 2023

<sup>∗</sup>Corresponding author

Email addresses: tien-dung.le@univ-lorraine.fr (Tien Dung Le), christian.moyne@univ-lorraine.fr (Christian Moyne), mohamed-khaled.bourbatache@insa-rennes.fr (Mohamed Khaled Bourbatache), olivier.millet@univ-lr.fr (Olivier Millet )

 $\tau$  and the effective coefficients in the macroscopic equations depend on reaction rates [1, 3, 10]. More sophisticated models are required to accurately describe the non-equilibrium state at very short times that are of the order of the characteristic reaction time  $[11, 12]$ . In spite of the difficulty, <sup>10</sup> macroscopic models were derived by using the periodic homogenization technique and a spectral <sup>11</sup> approach in our previous work [13, 14, 15]. However, the homogenization procedure imposes a spatial periodicity condition at the boundary of the unit cell. In this framework, the physics inside <sup>13</sup> the porous domain can be accurately captured by the macroscopic models while at the outer surface <sup>14</sup> of the domain, periodicity conditions cannot be respected. This leads to a boundary layer problem depending on the boundary condition type.

 The boundary layer problems have been widely studied for elastic periodic composites [16, 17], for elastic beams [18], for elastic shells [19] or in a more general way in [20, 21]. Matine et al. [22, 23] proposed to extend this approach for thermal problem in periodic structures, to take into account the edge eects. However, to the best of our knowledge there is practically no work in the literature addressing the boundary layer problem for the coupling of predominating reaction and 21 diffusion of multi-species in porous media.

it be effective coefficients in the materocopic equations depend on exection ances [1, 3–10]. Maximizated modes are required to accurately derivative the one-equilibrium state at exytypic solutions are of the effect of th 22 In a sequence of papers, the coupling of diffusion/reaction of two species with different molecular <sub>23</sub> diffusion coefficients has been studied combining periodic homogenization technique with a spectral <sup>24</sup> approach [13, 14, 15, 24]. Concentrations of the initial pore-scale problem are expanded into a series <sup>25</sup> development related to a spectral problem dened in a unit cell, yielding a new local problem to <sup>26</sup> be homogenized. This approach is able to capture the complex coupling at short times when the <sup>27</sup> chemical equilibrium is not reached. However, such a macroscopic model cannot provide information <sup>28</sup> about the boundary layer that develops in the vicinity of the outer boundary due to the boundary <sup>29</sup> conditions. In this work, corrections are added to the macroscopic model to take into account 30 the boundary conditions at the outer boundary of the domain. In steady state, terms of the first <sup>31</sup> order need to be corrected by introducing new variables whereas in transient regime, corrections at lower order are added to capture the perturbation in the boundary layer at short times. Such a 33 modification implies new closure variables that must be solved over several unit cells from the edge <sup>34</sup> of the domain. For larger distances, these decreasing functions vanish.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the main result of the upscaling procedure based <sup>36</sup> on the spectral approach and homogenization technique for high Damköhler number is recalled. Section 3 is devoted to the development of the modified model in order to address the boundary layer

- <sup>38</sup> problem in both steady and transient regimes. Numerical simulations are performed in Section 4 to
- validate the proposed model and to underline the importance of the correction terms. Conclusions
- <sup>40</sup> are drawn in Section 5.

#### <sup>41</sup> 2. Macroscopic model for high Damköhler number: a recall

In this section, the main steps and results of the homogenization procedure to derive the macroscopic equations for the diffusion-reaction problem, developed in a previous work [14] are recalled. To simplify the notation, the superscript ∗ <sup>44</sup> indicating dimensional quantities in the preceding reference is omitted.

blem in both steady and transient regimes. Numerical simulations are performed in Section 4<br>date the proposed model and to underline the importance of the correction terms. Concludes<br>denote its proposed model and to under A porous medium occupying a macroscopic domain  $\Omega$  with a characteristic length L, is composed 47 of an immobile fluid phase  $\Omega_f$  and of a rigid solid phase  $\Omega_s$  with a solid-fluid interface  $\Gamma_{fs}$ . The medium is constituted of the repetition of a periodic elementary cell  $Y = Y_f \cup Y_s$  of characteristic length l, composed of the fluid phase  $Y_f$  and the solid phase  $Y_s$  together with the solid-fluid interface 50 ∂ $Y_{fs}$ . The boundary  $\partial Y = \partial Y_{fs} \cup \partial Y_e$  is constituted of the fluid-solid interface  $\partial Y_{fs}$  assumed to be impervious and of the external interface  $\partial Y_e = \partial Y_{se} \cup \partial Y_{fe}$  separating two juxtaposed elementary <sup>52</sup> cells. The macroscopic and microscopic spatial coordinates are  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ 53 respectively. The scale separation  $(l \ll L)$  allows the introduction of the small parameter  $\varepsilon = l/L$ .  $\mathbf{L}$  Let  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  be the concentrations of species A and B respectively. The transport is ruled by a 55 Fickian process. On the fluid-solid interface  $\Gamma_{fs}$ , linear chemical reactions exchange species A and B. The microscopic diffusion/reaction equations at the pore-scale are written as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{D}_1 \nabla c_1) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_f \\
\frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (\mathcal{D}_2 \nabla c_2) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_f \\
-\mathcal{D}_1 \nabla c_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = k_1 c_1 - k_2 c_2 & \text{at } \Gamma_{fs} \\
- \mathcal{D}_2 \nabla c_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = k_2 c_2 - k_1 c_1 & \text{at } \Gamma_{fs}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

57 where  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  denote the diffusion coefficients of A and B respectively,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  the reaction 58 rates. These coefficients have constant values during the process.  $n_{fs}$  is the normal unit vector at <sup>59</sup> the solid/uid interface pointing out of the uid phase. The microscopic problem is completed by the initial conditions for given values of  $c_1(t = 0)$  and  $c_2(t = 0)$  and the boundary conditions at <sup>61</sup> the outer edges of the domain. In this work, we only consider the Dirichlet conditions in which the concentrations are given at the edges.

<sup>63</sup> The initial model is transformed into a new problem associated with the following periodic  $\bullet$  spectral problem defined on the periodic unit cell Y

The initial model is transformed into a new problem associated with the following periodic  
ctrl problem defined on the periodic unit cell Y  

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n-\nabla \cdot (D_1 \nabla \psi_{1,n}) & = \lambda_n \psi_{1,n} & \text{in } Y_f \\
-\nabla \cdot (D_2 \nabla \psi_{2,n}) & = \lambda_n \psi_{2,n} & \text{in } Y_f \\
-D_1 \nabla \psi_{1,n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f s & = k_1 \psi_{1,n} - k_2 \psi_{2,n} & \text{at } \partial Y_f_s \\
-D_2 \nabla \psi_{2,n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f s & = k_2 \psi_{2,n} - k_1 \psi_{1,n} & \text{at } \partial Y_f_s \\
-D_2 \nabla \psi_{2,n} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f s & = k_2 \psi_{2,n} - k_1 \psi_{1,n} & \text{at } \partial Y_f_s \\
\text{are } \psi_{1,n}(\mathbf{y}) \text{ and } \psi_{2,n}(\mathbf{y}) \text{ represent the successive eigenfunctions sharing the same positive eigenvalue.} \\
\text{Re} \lambda_n \text{ ordered in ascending order with } n \in \mathbb{N}. \text{ The eigenfunctions } \psi_{1,n}(\mathbf{y}) \text{ and } \psi_{2,n}(\mathbf{y}) \text{ are defined in a same multiplicative constant, which can be determined according to\n\n
$$
k_1 (\psi_{1,n}^2)^f + k_2 (\psi_{2,n}^2)^f = k_1 + k_2
$$
\n(3)
$$

- 65 where  $\psi_{1,n}(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\psi_{2,n}(\mathbf{y})$  represent the succesive eigenfunctions sharing the same positive eigen-
- 66 value  $\lambda_n$  ordered in ascending order with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The eigenfunctions  $\psi_{1,n}(\mathbf{y})$  and  $\psi_{2,n}(\mathbf{y})$  are defined
- <sup>67</sup> within a same multiplicative constant, which can be determined according to

$$
k_1 \left\langle \psi_{1,n}^2 \right\rangle^f + k_2 \left\langle \psi_{2,n}^2 \right\rangle^f = k_1 + k_2 \tag{3}
$$

 $\bullet\bullet\quad$  where  $\langle \ \ \rangle^f$  denotes the volume average over the fluid phase.

For 
$$
n = 0
$$
,  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and the two eigenfunctions are constant satisfying the condition  $k_1\psi_{1,0}$ 

 $k_2\psi_{2,0}=0$ . They are given by

$$
\psi_{1,0} = \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{k_1}}, \qquad \psi_{2,0} = \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_2}} \tag{4}
$$

71 Finally note that for  $n \geq 1$ 

$$
\left\langle \psi_{1,n} \right\rangle^f + \left\langle \psi_{2,n} \right\rangle^f = 0 \tag{5}
$$

- <sup>72</sup> resulting from the compatibility condition of Eqs. (2).
- $c_i$  ( $i \in \{1,2\}$ ) are then sought in an expansion related to the spectral problem (2) as follows

$$
c_i(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{i,n}(\mathbf{y}) \exp(-\lambda_n t) \ v_{i,n}(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})
$$
 (6)

- $\tau$ <sup>4</sup> with new variables  $v_{i,n}$  depending on time and position.
- Let define the macroscopic Damköhler number  $Da<sub>L</sub>$  as the ratio of the macroscopic diffusion
- <sup>76</sup> time to the reaction one

$$
Da_L = \frac{k_r L}{\mathcal{D}_r} \tag{7}
$$

- 77 where  $k_r$  and  $\mathcal{D}_r$  denote reference reaction rate and diffusion coefficient. In [14], the Damköhler
- <sup>78</sup> number appears naturally through the dimensional analysis which has been skipped in this summary

- <sup>79</sup> of the results. The reference time is chosen as the macroscopic diffusion time  $t_r = L^2/\mathcal{D}_r$ . The reader can refer to  $[14]$  for more details on the procedure.
- be rends. The reference time is chosen as the macroscopic diffusion time  $t_r = D^2/D_r$ . The can relate to [14] for more details on the procedure.<br>
It has been shown in [14] that for small Damishler number of order  $O(x)$ , a c 81 It has been shown in [14] that for small Damköhler number of order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ , a classical homog-<sup>82</sup> enization procedure can correctly predict the macroscopic laws. However, for higher Damköhler **83** number of order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$ , special development is needed to precisely predict the behavior of diffusion/reaction mechanism for short time [14]. It should be noted that a slight difference **s** between the two cases  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$  comes from the closure problem in solid/fluid interface <sup>86</sup> condition. In this work, we deal with the most interesting case of high Damköhler number with  $\mathsf{a}_{L} = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$ . By inserting (6) in the initial problem (1) and making use of the definition (7), **88** performing a dimensional analysis, the  $\varepsilon$ -microscopic model for  $v_{i,n}$  in dimensional space reads as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\psi_{1,n}^{2} \frac{\partial v_{1,n}^{(\varepsilon)}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,n} \nabla v_{1,n}^{(\varepsilon)}) \quad \text{in } Y_{f} \\
\psi_{2,n}^{2} \frac{\partial v_{2,n}^{(\varepsilon)}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2,n} \nabla v_{2,n}^{(\varepsilon)}) \\
-\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,n} \nabla v_{1,n}^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = \varepsilon^{-1} k_{2} \psi_{1,n} \psi_{2,n} (v_{1,n}^{(\varepsilon)} - v_{2,n}^{(\varepsilon)}) \quad \text{at } \partial Y_{fs} \\
-\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2,n} \nabla v_{2,n}^{(\varepsilon)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = \varepsilon^{-1} k_{1} \psi_{1,n} \psi_{2,n} (v_{2,n}^{(\varepsilon)} - v_{1,n}^{(\varepsilon)})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(8)

- **s** with  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,n} = \mathcal{D}_1 \psi_{1,n}^2$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2,n} = \mathcal{D}_2 \psi_{2,n}^2$ . By collecting the terms in the different powers of  $\varepsilon$ , one leads to the following results.
- Slow variables: At the leading order, the solution of v is  $v_{1,n}^{(0)}(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = v_{2,n}^{(0)}(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) =$ 92  $v_n^{(0)}(t, {\bf x}).$
- Fluctuation: the solution for  $v_{1,n}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,n}^{(1)}$  are sought in the following form, to within one additive constant  $\widehat{v}_n^{(1)}$  depending on t and  $\mathbf{x}^1$ 94

$$
v_{1,n}^{(1)} = \chi_{1,n}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla_x v_n^{(0)}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \hat{v}_n^{(1)}(t, \mathbf{x}) \n v_{2,n}^{(1)} = \chi_{2,n}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla_x v_n^{(0)}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \hat{v}_n^{(1)}(t, \mathbf{x})
$$
\n(9)

<sup>1</sup>This necessary condition comes from the right hand side of the associated boundary conditions in Eqs. (8)

where the vectors  $\chi_{1,n}$  and  $\chi_{2,n}$  satisfy the closure problem<sup>2</sup> 95

ere the vectors 
$$
\chi_{1,n}
$$
 and  $\chi_{2,n}$  satisfy the closure problem<sup>2</sup>  
\n
$$
0 = \nabla_y \cdot \left[ \tilde{D}_{1,n} (I + \nabla_y \chi_{1,n}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
0 = \nabla_y \cdot \left[ \tilde{D}_{2,n} (I + \nabla_y \chi_{1,n}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
0 = \nabla_y \cdot \left[ \tilde{D}_{2,n} (I + \nabla_y \chi_{2,n}) \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \tilde{D}_{1,n} n_{fs} \cdot (I + \nabla_y \chi_{1,n}) = k_2 \psi_{1,n} \psi_{2,n} (\chi_{1,n} - \chi_{2,n})
$$
\n
$$
- \tilde{D}_{2,n} n_{js} \cdot (I + \nabla_y \chi_{2,n}) = k_1 \psi_{1,n} \psi_{2,n} (\chi_{2,n} - \chi_{1,n})
$$
\nThe particular case  $n = 0$  corresponds to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and to the eigenfunctions (4),  
\nthis case  $\chi_{1,0} = \chi_{2,0} = \chi$  and the local problem (10) reduces to the standard closure problem\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\nabla_{yy}^2 \chi = 0 & \text{in } Y_f \\
(I + \nabla_y \chi) \cdot n_{fs} = 0 & \text{at } \partial Y_{fs}\n\end{cases}
$$
\nbe a  
\nbe a  
\n
$$
v_{1,0}^{(1)} = v_{2,0}^{(1)} = v_0^{(1)} = \chi(y) \cdot \nabla_x v_0^{(0)}(t, \kappa) + \hat{v}_0^{(1)}(t, \kappa)
$$
\n
$$
v_{1,0}^{(1)} = v_{2,0}^{(1)} = \nabla_x \cdot (\mathbf{D}_{y,n} \cdot \nabla_x v_n^{(0)})
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{D}_{v,n} = \frac{k_1}{k_1 + k_2} \left\langle \tilde{D}_{1,n} (I + (\nabla_y \chi_{1,n})^T) \right\rangle^f + \frac{k_2}{k_1 + k_2} \left\langle \tilde{D}_{2,n} (I + (\nabla_y \chi_{2,n})^T) \right\rangle^f
$$
\n
$$
n = 0, \text{ the effective tensor is given by}
$$

96

97 The particular case  $n = 0$  corresponds to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and to the eigenfunctions (4).

98 In this case  $\chi_{1,0} \equiv \chi_{2,0} \equiv \chi$  and the local problem (10) reduces to the standard closure problem

$$
\begin{cases}\n\nabla_{yy}^2 \chi = 0 \text{ in } Y_f \\
(I + \nabla_y \chi) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = 0 \text{ at } \partial Y_{fs}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(11)

<sup>99</sup> This leads to

$$
v_{1,0}^{(1)} = v_{2,0}^{(1)} = v_0^{(1)} = \chi(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \nabla_x v_0^{(0)}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \hat{v}_0^{(1)}(t, \mathbf{x})
$$
\n(12)

**• Macroscopic equation:** the macroscopic problem for  $v_n^{(0)}$  is derived as

$$
\frac{\partial v_n^{(0)}}{\partial t} = \nabla_x \cdot \left( \mathbf{D}_{v,n} \cdot \nabla_x v_n^{(0)} \right) \tag{13}
$$

101 where the effective diffusion tensor  $\mathbf{D}_{v,n}$  is defined by

$$
\mathbf{D}_{v,n} = \frac{k_1}{k_1 + k_2} \left\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,n} \left( \boldsymbol{I} + \left( \boldsymbol{\nabla}_y \boldsymbol{\chi}_{1,n} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \right\rangle^f + \frac{k_2}{k_1 + k_2} \left\langle \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2,n} \left( \boldsymbol{I} + \left( \boldsymbol{\nabla}_y \boldsymbol{\chi}_{2,n} \right)^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \right\rangle^f \tag{14}
$$

102 For  $n = 0$ , the effective tensor is given by

$$
\mathbf{D}_{v,0} = \frac{k_1 \mathcal{D}_2 + k_2 \mathcal{D}_1}{k_1 + k_2} \langle \mathbf{I} + (\nabla_y \chi)^T \rangle^f \tag{15}
$$

 $103$  • Macroscopic equations for the concentrations: As discussed in [14], only the two first 104 eigenvalues  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and  $\lambda_1$  with their corresponding eigenfunctions are considered. In the asymptotic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Here, rather than using the tensorial definition in [14] in which a transpose operator is needed, the index notation for the gradient of a vector dened in [25] is adopted.

<sup>105</sup> development (6), the higher order terms can be ignored due to the exponential decay in time. The <sup>106</sup> averaged concentrations at the leading order are given by

$$
\langle c_1^{(0)} \rangle^f = \psi_{1,0} \ v_0^{(0)} + \langle \psi_{1,1} \rangle^f \exp(-\lambda_1 t) \ v_1^{(0)}
$$
  

$$
\langle c_2^{(0)} \rangle^f = \psi_{2,0} \ v_0^{(0)} + \langle \psi_{2,1} \rangle^f \exp(-\lambda_1 t) \ v_1^{(0)}
$$
(16)

107 Omitting for the sake of simplicity the subscript (0) related to the scale order, using (13) for  $n = 0$ 108 and  $n = 1$ , and considering the time derivative of (16) and the compatibility condition (5) for  $n = 1$ 109 result in the following mass conservation equations at the leading order<sup>3</sup>:

elopment (6), the higher order terms can be ignored due to the exponential decay in time. The  
raged concentrations at the leading order are given by  

$$
\langle c_1^{(0)} \rangle^f = \psi_{1,0} v_0^{(0)} + \langle \psi_{1,1} \rangle^f \exp(-\lambda_1 t) v_1^{(0)}
$$

$$
\langle c_2^{(0)} \rangle^f = \psi_{1,0} v_0^{(0)} + \langle \psi_{1,1} \rangle^f \exp(-\lambda_1 t) v_1^{(0)}
$$

$$
\langle c_2^{(0)} \rangle^f = \psi_{2,0} v_0^{(0)} + \langle \psi_{2,1} \rangle^f \exp(-\lambda_1 t) v_1^{(0)}
$$

$$
\langle c_2^{(0)} \rangle^f = \psi_{2,0} v_0^{(0)} + \langle \psi_{2,1} \rangle^f \exp(-\lambda_1 t) v_1^{(0)}
$$

$$
= 1
$$
at the following mass conservation equations at the leading order<sup>3</sup>:  
at in the following mass conservation equations at the leading order<sup>3</sup>:  

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^f - \nabla_x \cdot \left( \frac{k_1 \mathbf{D}_{v,1} + k_2 \mathbf{D}_{v,0}}{k_1 + k_2}, \nabla_x(c_1) \right)^f - \nabla_x \left( \frac{k_2 \mathbf{D}_{v,0} - \mathbf{D}_{v,1}}{k_1 + k_2}, \nabla_x(c_2) \right)^f \right) + \lambda_1 \frac{k_1 \langle c_1 \rangle^f - k_2 \langle c_2 \rangle^f}{k_1 + k_2} = 0\n\end{cases}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial t} \right)^f - \nabla_x \cdot \left( \frac{k_1 \mathbf{D}_{v,0} - \mathbf{D}_{v,1}}{k_1 + k_2}, \nabla_x(c_2) \right)^f - \lambda_1 \frac{k_1 \langle c_1 \rangle^f - k_2 \langle c_2 \rangle^f}{k_1 + k_2} = 0\n\end{cases}
$$
and the effective coefficient tensors  $\mathbf{D}_{v,0}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{v,11}$ , and the initial and boundary conditions, the  
pled diffusion-reaction Eqs. (17) can be solved to compute the concentration profiles.  

110 Given the effective coefficient tensors  $\mathbf{D}_{v,0}$  and  $\mathbf{D}_{v,1}$ , and the initial and boundary conditions, the  $111$  coupled diffusion-reaction Eqs. (17) can be solved to compute the concentration profiles.

#### <sup>112</sup> 3. Boundary layer problem

 The macroscopic model based on the homogenization technique relies on the periodic condition hypothesis. As a consequence, it cannot capture the boundary layer problem developed in the vicinity of the edge of the domain when an outer boundary condition of Dirichlet type is imposed. In this section, corrections are made to precisely take into account this boundary layer in the upscaled problem.

<sup>118</sup> Let consider a parallelepiped rectangular porous medium with macro and microscopic coordinates  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  and  $\{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$  respectively<sup>4</sup>. At the inlet boundary  $x_1 = 0$  (and  $y_1 = 0$ ),

<sup>3</sup>Eqs. (17) are dimensional equations corresponding to Eqs. (49) of [14], where the stars have been omitted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>It should be noted that the semi-infinite domain  $x_1 \in [0,\infty]$ ,  $x_2, x_3 \in [-\infty,\infty]$  can be reduced to a parallelepiped when the periodic conditions in  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  directions are applied and a finite region in the vicinity of the boundary is considered for the boundary layer problem

sinkt conditions for the concentrations,  $c_1 = c_1 y$  and  $c_2 = c_2 y$  are improved. The most integral grows in the victorial pre-proposition is a more equilibrium situation. This loads<br>in boundary have for the concentration 120 Dirichlet conditions for the concentrations,  $c_1 = c_{1D}$  and  $c_2 = c_{2D}$  are imposed. The most inter-121 esting case is when  $k_1c_{1D} \neq k_2c_{2D}$  corresponding to a non-equilibrium situation. This leads to 122 a thin boundary layer for the concentration profiles in the vicinity of the interface  $x_1 = 0$ . Our 123 main objective is to incorporate this layer in the upscaled model. To accomplish this task, we first 124 consider the development (6) with the two first orders in  $\varepsilon$  and the two first eigenvalues together <sup>125</sup> with the corresponding eigenfunctions:

$$
c_1 = \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{k_1}} \left( v_0^{(0)} + v_0^{(1)} \right) + \psi_{1,1}(\mathbf{y}) \exp(-\lambda_1 t) \left( v_1^{(0)} + v_{1,1}^{(1)} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
c_2 = \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_2}} \left( v_0^{(0)} + v_0^{(1)} \right) + \psi_{2,1}(\mathbf{y}) \exp(-\lambda_1 t) \left( v_1^{(0)} + v_{2,1}^{(1)} \right)
$$
\n(18)

126 It should be noted that the formal parameter  $\varepsilon$  can be omitted for the calculation of the concentra-<sup>127</sup> tions. This is a quasi-exact solution in the domain, except for the boundary layer in the vicinity of  $_{128}$  the interface where boundary conditions should be imposed for the variable  $v_0^{(0)}$  in order to solve <sup>129</sup> the problem (13). Two distinct problems related to the permanent and transient regimes need to <sup>130</sup> be considered.

#### <sup>131</sup> 3.1. Steady state

132 In the steady state when  $t \to \infty$ , only the first order variable  $v_0^{(0)}$  and second order variable 133  $v_0^{(1)}$ , both related to the zero eigenvalue, play a role in the boundary layer. To take into account <sup>134</sup> the boundary layer, the expansion of the concentrations needs to be improved in the sense of 135 [20]. Considering Dirichlet conditions, since two boundary values  $c_{1D}$  and  $c_{2D}$  are given for the 136 concentrations and only one variable  $v_0^{(0)}$  is involved at the interface, it is necessary to introduce 137 new boundary variables  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$  at order  $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^0\right)$  and  $v_{0,BL}^{(1)}$  at order  $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^1\right)$  as

$$
c_1 = \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{k_1}} \left( v_0^{(0)} + v_0^{(1)} + v_{0,BL}^{(1)} \right) + u_{1BL}^{(0)}
$$
  
\n
$$
c_2 = \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_2}} \left( v_0^{(0)} + v_0^{(1)} + v_{0,BL}^{(1)} \right) + u_{2BL}^{(0)}
$$
\n(19)

<sup>138</sup> It should be underlined that the boundary layer problem needs to be solved in a reduced uid  $_4$ 139  $\,$  domain  $Y_f^\intercal$  (with a corresponding solid/fluid interface  $\partial Y_{fs}^\intercal)$  composed of the infinite repetition of 140 the unit cell Y in the direction  $Oy_1$  perpendicular to the edge.

141 3.1.1. Order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ 

Inserting (19) in the initial problem (1) in steady state, the problem for  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  in the 143 boundary layer at order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})$  in volume and  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$  at the interface reads as

$$
\begin{cases}\n0 = \nabla_y \cdot \left( \mathcal{D}_1 \nabla_y u_{1BL}^{(0)} \right) \text{ in } Y_f^{\dagger} \\
0 = \nabla_y \cdot \left( \mathcal{D}_2 \nabla_y u_{2BL}^{(0)} \right) \\
-\mathcal{D}_1 \nabla_y u_{1BL}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = k_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)} - k_2 u_{2BL}^{(0)} \text{ on } \partial Y_f^{\dagger} \\
-\mathcal{D}_2 \nabla_y u_{2BL}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = k_2 u_{2BL}^{(0)} - k_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(20)

 $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  are periodic in  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  and satisfy the following boundary conditions

$$
y_1 = 0 \t c_{1D} = \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{k_1}} v_{0D}^{(0)} + u_{1BL}^{(0)} (y_1 = 0)
$$
  

$$
c_{2D} = \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_2}} v_{0D}^{(0)} + u_{2BL}^{(0)} (y_1 = 0)
$$
  

$$
y_1 \to \infty \t u_{1BL}^{(0)} (y_1) \to 0
$$
  

$$
u_{2BL}^{(0)} (y_1) \to 0
$$
 (21)

<sup>145</sup> where  $v_{0D}^{(0)}$  is the unknown Dirichlet condition value of  $v_0^{(0)}$  at  $y_1 = 0$ . The problem (20) can be <sup>146</sup> transformed by introducing the auxiliary variables

$$
s_{BL}^{(0)} = \mathcal{D}_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)} + \mathcal{D}_2 u_{2BL}^{(0)}
$$
  
\n
$$
d_{BL}^{(0)} = k_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)} - k_2 u_{2BL}^{(0)}
$$
\n(22)

147 Inserting the above definitions in (20), the problems for  $s_{BL}^{(0)}$  and  $d_{BL}^{(0)}$  are separate and given by

$$
\begin{cases}\n0 = \Delta_{yy} s_{BL}^{(0)} & \text{in } Y_f^{\dagger} \\
0 = \Delta_{yy} d_{BL}^{(0)} & \text{on } \partial Y_{fs}^{\dagger} \\
-\nabla_y s_{BL}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = 0 & \text{on } \partial Y_{fs}^{\dagger} \\
-\nabla_y d_{BL}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = \left(\frac{k_1}{\mathcal{D}_1} + \frac{k_2}{\mathcal{D}_2}\right) d_{BL}^{(0)}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(23)

<sup>148</sup>  $s_{BL}^{(0)}$  and  $d_{BL}^{(0)}$  are also periodic in  $y_2$  and  $y_3$ . In addition, by using the definitions (22) of  $s_{BL}^{(0)}$  and  $d_{BL}^{(0)}$  in (21), one obtains the following boundary conditions

1. Order 
$$
\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)
$$
  
\nInserting (19) in the initial problem (1) in steady state, the problem for  $u_{0BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  in the  
\nmday layer at order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-2})$  in volume and  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$  at the interface reads as  
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n0 = \nabla_y \cdot (\mathcal{D}_1 \nabla_y u_{1BL}^{(0)}) \text{ in } Y_f^{\dagger} \\
0 = \nabla_y \cdot (\mathcal{D}_2 \nabla_y u_{2BL}^{(0)}) \text{ on } \partial Y_f^{\dagger}, \\
-\mathcal{D}_1 \nabla_y u_{1BL}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f = k_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)} - k_2 u_{2BL}^{(0)} \text{ on } \partial Y_f^{\dagger}, \\
-\mathcal{D}_2 \nabla_y u_{2BL}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f = k_2 u_{2BL}^{(0)} - k_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)} \text{ on } \partial Y_f^{\dagger}, \\
u_L
$$
 and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  are periodic in  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  and satisfy the following boundary conditions  
\n
$$
y_1 = 0 \qquad c_{1D} = \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{k_1} v_{0D}^{(0)} + u_{1BL}^{(0)} (y_1 = 0)}
$$
\n
$$
v_{2D} = \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{k_2} v_{0D}^{(0)} + u_{1BL}^{(0)} (y_1 = 0)}
$$
\n
$$
v_{1L} = 0
$$
\n
$$
v_{2L} = \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_2} v_{0D}^{(0)}} + u_{2BL}^{(0)} (y_1 = 0)
$$
\n
$$
u_{2BL}^{(0)} (y_1 \rightarrow 0)
$$
\n
$$
u_{2BL}^{(0)} (y_1 \rightarrow 0)
$$
\n
$$
u_{2BL}^{(0)} (y_1 \rightarrow 0)
$$
\n
$$
u_{2BL}^{(0)} = \mathcal{D}_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)} \text{ on } \partial Y_f^{\dagger},
$$
\n
$$
s_{BL}^{(0)} = \mathcal{D}_1 u_{1BL}^{
$$

150 In steady state, the solution of the problem (23) for  $s_{BL}^{(0)}$  is a constant equal to 0 due to the boundary 151 condition (24) at  $y_1 \to \infty$ . From (24), the Dirichlet condition for  $v_0^{(0)}$  at  $y_1 = 0$  reads as

$$
v_{0D}^{(0)} = \sqrt{k_1 k_2} \frac{\mathcal{D}_1 c_{1D} + \mathcal{D}_2 c_{2D}}{k_1 \mathcal{D}_2 + k_2 \mathcal{D}_1}
$$
\n(25)

152 so that at the leading order, the boundary values of the concentrations imposed at  $x_1 = 0$  to <sup>153</sup> calculate the solution of the inner problem (17) are given by

$$
\begin{cases}\nc_{1D}^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{k_1}}v_{0D}^{(0)} = k_2 \frac{\mathcal{D}_1 c_{1D} + \mathcal{D}_2 c_{2D}}{k_1 \mathcal{D}_2 + k_2 \mathcal{D}_1} \\
c_{2D}^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{k_2}}v_{0D}^{(0)} = k_1 \frac{\mathcal{D}_1 c_{1D} + \mathcal{D}_2 c_{2D}}{k_1 \mathcal{D}_2 + k_2 \mathcal{D}_1}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(26)

154 Inserting (25) into (21) gives the boundary values for  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$ 

$$
\begin{cases}\nu_{1BL}^{(0)}(y_1=0) & = \mathcal{D}_2 \frac{k_1 c_{1D} - k_2 c_{2D}}{k_1 \mathcal{D}_2 + k_2 \mathcal{D}_1} \\
u_{2BL}^{(0)}(y_1=0) & = \mathcal{D}_1 \frac{k_2 c_{2D} - k_1 c_{1D}}{k_1 \mathcal{D}_2 + k_2 \mathcal{D}_1}\n\end{cases} (27)
$$

155 It should be noted that the problem for  $d_{BL}^{(0)}$  in (23) and (24) is an exponential decay problem-type 156 involving a thin boundary layer affected by this correction.

scale state, the solution of the problem (23) for  $s_{21}^{(0)}$  for  $s_{21}^{(0)}$  is constant equal to dotter or<br>photon (24) at  $y_1 \to \infty$ . From (24), the Dirichlet condition for<br> $s_{10}^{(0)} = \sqrt{h_1 v_2} \frac{D_{11D} + D_{22D}}{k_1 D_2 + k$ 157 To summarize, in steady state, at the order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ , to capture the boundary layer problem when <sup>158</sup> the Dirichlet conditions for the concentrations do not verify the equilibrium condition, the problems 159 for  $v_0^{(0)}$  (Eq. (13) for  $n=0$ ) and for  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  (Eq. (20)) must be solved with the boundary 160 values for  $v_0^{(0)}$ ,  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  given by (25) and (27) respectively. The concentrations  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ 161 must be adjusted by a thin boundary layer involving  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$ .

162 3.1.2. Order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^1)$ 

163 This correction comes from the fact that in the concentrations given by (18), the term  $v_0^{(1)}$  is not 164 uniform in space at the boundary  $y_1 = 0$ . Similarly to the expression (12) for  $v_0^{(1)}$ , the boundary 165 correction  $v_{0,\text{BL}}^{(1)}$  is sought in the form

$$
v_{0,\text{BL}}^{(1)} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}_x v_0^{(0)} \tag{28}
$$

166 The vector  $\omega$  periodic in  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  must satisfy the closure

$$
\nabla_{yy}^2 \omega = 0 \text{ in } Y_f^{\dagger} \n-\nabla_y \omega \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial Y_{fs}^{\dagger}
$$
\n(29)

10

167 At the boundary  $y_1 = 0$  in order to satisfy the boundary condition  $v_0^{(1)} + v_{0,BL}^{(1)} = 0$ , we impose

$$
\omega + \chi = 0 \tag{30}
$$

168 where  $\chi$  is the solution of the closure problem (11). In the direction  $y_1$  of unit vector  $e_1$ , for 169  $y_1 \rightarrow \infty$ , a homogeneous Neuman condition is imposed

$$
\nabla_y \omega \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 = 0 \tag{31}
$$

170 The vector  $\omega$  will reach a constant value at large value of  $y_1$  resulting from the averaging of the 171  $\omega = -\chi$  values at the interface  $y_1 = 0$ . As this constant value must be 0, the indetermination of 172 the  $\chi$  problem (11) is exploited subtracting this value from  $\omega^{5}$ .

the boundary  $y_1 = 0$  in order to solidy the boundary result<br>time  $d_0^{(1)} + d_0^{(1)} d_1 = 0$  we impose  $\omega + \chi = 0$ <br>  $\Rightarrow \chi = \chi$  is the solution of the desire problem (11). In the direction  $y_1$  of solid vector  $c_1$ , if<br>  $\gamma \in$ <sup>173</sup> In the particular case of a symmetrical unit cell, in the frame of the symmetry axes centered on 174 the cell, imposing a null volume average ensures the unicity of  $\chi$  where the components  $\chi_i$  are odd 175 relative to  $y_i$  (and even relative to the other coordinates  $y_{i\neq i}$ ). Therefore, if the external surface 176 of the medium coincides with the surface of the unit cell Y of normal  $e_1$ ,  $\chi_1$  is null due to the combination of oddness and periodicity. Consequently,  $\omega_1 = 0$  on the surface  $y_1 = 0$  of  $Y^{\dagger}$ . Hence 178  $\omega_1$  is identically null in  $Y_f^{\dagger}$ . In conclusion, if the gradient  $\nabla_x v_0^{(0)}$  is parallel to  ${\bf e}_1$ , the correction 179  $v_{0,\mathrm{BL}}^{(1)}$  is null over  $Y_f^{\dagger}$ .

#### <sup>180</sup> 3.2. Transient state

181 In the transient regime, the terms at order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$  in (18) must be corrected to better describe the 182 complex unsteady non-equilibrium effect in the boundary layer. It is important to note that due 183 to the large Damköhler number, the physical coupling is affected by the boundary condition only 184 for short times. Beyond this time, the steady state is established. The  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ -corrective terms for 185 the two concentrations  $u_{1,BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2,BL}^{(0)}$  are now transient. We also introduce the  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ -corrective 186 terms  $v_{1,\text{1BL}}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,\text{1BL}}^{(1)}$  into the expression of the concentrations (18) as

$$
c_{1} = \sqrt{\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}} \left( v_{0}^{(0)} + v_{0}^{(1)} + v_{0,\text{BL}}^{(1)} \right) + u_{1BL}^{(0)} + \psi_{1,1}(\mathbf{y}) \exp(-\lambda_{1}t) \left( v_{1}^{(0)} + v_{1,1}^{(1)} + v_{1,\text{IBL}}^{(1)} \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
c_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}} \left( v_{0}^{(0)} + v_{0}^{(1)} + v_{0,\text{BL}}^{(1)} \right) + u_{2BL}^{(0)} + \psi_{2,1}(\mathbf{y}) \exp(-\lambda_{1}t) \left( v_{1}^{(0)} + v_{2,1}^{(1)} + v_{2,\text{IBL}}^{(1)} \right)
$$
\n(32)

 $5$ We recall that the boundary conditions are imposed for  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  at the main order, that implies according to (19) that  $v_0^{(1)} + v_{0,BL}^{(1)} = 0$  at order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ .

187 3.2.1. Order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ 

188 Let define the characteristic short time  $\tau$  related to the macroscopic time t by  $\tau = \varepsilon^{-2} t$ . The 189 transient problem for  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  depending on  ${\bf y}$  then reads as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial u_{1BL}^{(0)}}{\partial \tau} = \nabla_y \cdot \left( \mathcal{D}_1 \nabla_y u_{1BL}^{(0)} \right) \text{ in } Y_f^{\dagger} \\
\frac{\partial u_{2BL}^{(0)}}{\partial \tau} = \nabla_y \cdot \left( \mathcal{D}_2 \nabla_y u_{2BL}^{(0)} \right) \\
-\mathcal{D}_1 \nabla_y u_{1BL}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = k_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)} - k_2 u_{2BL}^{(0)} \text{ on } \partial Y_f^{\dagger} \\
-\mathcal{D}_2 \nabla_y u_{2BL}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = k_2 u_{2BL}^{(0)} - k_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(33)

190 where  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  are periodic in  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  and satisfy the boundary conditions

1. Order 
$$
O(\varepsilon^0)
$$
  
\nLet define the characteristic short time  $\tau$  related to the macroscopic time  $t$  by  $\tau = \varepsilon^{-2}t$ , The  
\nisient problem for  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  depending on **y** then reads as  
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial u_{0BL}^{(0)}}{\partial t} = \nabla_y \cdot (D_t \nabla_y u_{1BL}^{(0)}) \text{ in } Y_1^{\dagger} \\
\frac{\partial u_{2BL}^{(0)}}{\partial x} = \nabla_y \cdot (D_2 \nabla_y u_{2BL}^{(0)}) \text{ on } \partial Y_1^{\dagger}, \\
-D_2 \nabla_y u_{2BL}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f = k_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)} - k_2 u_{2BL}^{(0)} \text{ on } \partial Y_1^{\dagger}, \\
-D_2 \nabla_y u_{2BL}^{(0)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_f = k_2 u_{2BL}^{(0)} - k_1 u_{1BL}^{(0)} \text{ to } \partial Y_1^{\dagger}, \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \nabla_y u_{2BL}^{(0)} + u_{1BL}^{(0)}(y_1 = 0) + \psi_{1,1_{(y_1=0)}} \exp(-\lambda_1 t) v_1^{(0)}(t, \mathbf{x})_{(y_1=0)}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
y_1 = 0 \qquad c_{1D} = \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{k_1} v_{0D}^{(0)}} + u_{1BL}^{(0)}(y_1 = 0) + \psi_{2,1_{(y_1=0)}} \exp(-\lambda_1 t) v_1^{(0)}(t, \mathbf{x})_{(y_1=0)}\n\tag{34}
$$
\n
$$
y_1 \rightarrow \infty \quad u_{1BL}^{(0)}(y_1) \rightarrow 0 \qquad \omega_{2BL}^{(0)}(y_1) \rightarrow 0 \qquad \omega_{2BL}^{(0)} \text{ and } \omega_{2BL}^{(0)} \text{ and }
$$

<sup>191</sup> To solve the boundary problem and the upscaled model, the initial and boundary conditions 192 for  $v_0^{(0)}$ ,  $v_1^{(0)}$ ,  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  must be specified. The boundary values of  $v_0^{(0)}$ ,  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$ is should verify the steady state being given by (25) and (27). The boundary condition for  $v_1^{(0)}$  can 194 be legitimately adopted as  $v_1^{(0)}(y_1 = 0) = 0$ .

195 For the initial condition, owing to the compatibility condition (5) for  $n = 1$ , from (16) we obtain

$$
v_0^{(0)}(t=0, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sqrt{k_1 k_2}}{k_1 + k_2} \left( \langle c_1^{(0)} \rangle^f(t=0, \mathbf{x}) + \langle c_2^{(0)} \rangle^f(t=0, \mathbf{x}) \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
v_1^{(0)}(t=0, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{k_1 \langle c_1^{(0)} \rangle^f(t=0, \mathbf{x}) - k_2 \langle c_2^{(0)} \rangle^f(t=0, \mathbf{x})}{\langle \psi_{1,1} \rangle^f(k_1 + k_2)} \tag{35}
$$

196 It is legitimate to adopt that for  $\tau = 0$ , no correction is needed leading to  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}(\tau = 0, \mathbf{y}) =$ 197  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}(\tau=0, \mathbf{y}) = 0.$ 

198 3.2.2. Order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^1)$ 

199 We now construct the closure problem for the  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^1)$ -corrective terms. First note that the steady  $_{\rm 200}$  state problem for  $v_{\rm 0,BL}^{(1)}$  remains unchanged. The problem for  $v_{\rm 1,1BL}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{\rm 2,1BL}^{(1)}$  to correct the terms 201  $v_{1,1}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,1}^{(1)}$  corresponding to the first non zero eigenvalue must be a transient problem. At short

$$
^{12}
$$

zoz times, inserting (32) in the initial problem (1) for  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , the transient problem of  $v_{1,\text{1BL}}^{(1)}$  and 203  $v_{2,\text{1BL}}^{(1)}$  has the same form as the problem of  $v_{1,1}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,1}^{(1)}$  given by Eq. (8) written in y coordinates  $as^6$ 204

es, inserting (32) in the initial problem (1) for 
$$
c_1
$$
 and  $c_2$ , the transient problem of  $v_{1,1}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,1}^{(2)}$  given by Eq. (8) written in **y** coordinates  
\nBL has the same form as the problem of  $v_{1,1}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,1}^{(1)}$  given by Eq. (8) written in **y** coordinates  
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n\psi_{1,1}^{2} \frac{\partial v_{1,1}^{(1)}}{\partial t} = \nabla_y \cdot (\tilde{D}_{1,1} \nabla_y v_{1,1BL}^{(1)}) & \text{in } Y_1^{\dagger} \\
-\tilde{D}_{1,1} \nabla_y v_{1,1BL}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = k_2 \psi_{1,1} \psi_{2,1} (v_{1,1BL}^{(1)} - v_{2,1BL}^{(1)}) & \text{on } \partial Y_1^{\dagger}, \\
-\tilde{D}_{2,1} \nabla_y v_{2,1BL}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = k_1 \psi_{1,1} \psi_{2,1} (v_{1,1BL}^{(1)} - v_{2,1BL}^{(1)}) & \text{on } \partial Y_1^{\dagger}, \\
-\tilde{D}_{2,1} \nabla_y v_{2,1BL}^{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{fs} = k_1 \psi_{1,1} \psi_{2,1} (v_{1,1BL}^{(1)} - v_{1,1BL}^{(1)})\n\end{cases}
$$
\nSimilarly,  $v_{1,1}^{(1)} = C_1(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \cdot \nabla_x v_1^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$   
\n $v_{1,1BL}^{(1)} = C_1(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \cdot \nabla_x v_1^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$   
\n $v_{1,1BL}^{(1)} = C_2(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \cdot \nabla_x v_1^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$   
\n $v_{2,1B}^{(1)} = \nabla_y \cdot (\tilde{D}_{1,1} \nabla_y \zeta_1) \qquad \text{in } Y_1^{\dagger}$   
\n $\psi_{2,1}^{2} \frac{\partial \zeta_2}{\partial z} = \nabla_y \cdot (\tilde{D}_{1$ 

205 Similar to the solution (9) for  $v_{1,1}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,1}^{(1)}$ , the solution of  $v_{1,1BL}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,1BL}^{(1)}$  is sought in the <sup>206</sup> form

$$
v_{1, \text{BL}}^{(1)} = \zeta_1(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \cdot \nabla_x v_1^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)
$$
  
\n
$$
v_{2, \text{1BL}}^{(1)} = \zeta_2(\mathbf{y}, \tau) \cdot \nabla_x v_1^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, t)
$$
\n(37)

207 Inserting (37) into (36), noting that the slow variable  $v_1^{(0)}(\mathbf{x},t)$  is independent on the short characteristic time  $\tau$ , gives rise to the local unsteady problem of the vectors  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$ 208

$$
\begin{cases}\n\psi_{1,1}^{2} \frac{\partial \zeta_{1}}{\partial \tau} = \nabla_{y} \cdot (\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,1} \nabla_{y} \zeta_{1}) & \text{in } Y_{f} \\
\psi_{2,1}^{2} \frac{\partial \zeta_{2}}{\partial \tau} = \nabla_{y} \cdot (\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2,1} \nabla_{y} \zeta_{2}) \\
-\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,1} \mathbf{n}_{fs} \cdot \nabla_{y} \zeta_{1} = k_{2} \psi_{1,1} \psi_{2,1}(\zeta_{1} - \zeta_{2}) & \text{on } \partial Y_{fs}^{\dagger} \\
-\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{2,1} \mathbf{n}_{fs} \cdot \nabla_{y} \zeta_{2} = k_{1} \psi_{1,1} \psi_{2,1}(\zeta_{2} - \zeta_{1})\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(38)

209 complemented by the boundary condition at  $y_1 = 0$ 

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\chi_{1,1} + \zeta_1 &= 0\\ \n\chi_{2,1} + \zeta_2 &= 0\n\end{aligned} \n\tag{39}
$$

where  $\chi_{1,1}$  and  $\chi_{2,1}$  are solution of (10) for  $n = 1$ . This condition ensures that at the boundary, 211 the terms at order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$  vanish so that only the terms at  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$  are considered for the boundary <sup>212</sup> values.

213 Given the solutions of the first order variables  $v_0^{(0)}$  and  $v_1^{(0)}$  and by solving the closure problems 214 (29) and (38), the  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^1)$ -correction terms  $v_{0,\text{BL}}^{(1)}$ ,  $v_{1,\text{1BL}}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,\text{1BL}}^{(1)}$  can be computed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Starting again from Eq. (8) with the scaling  $\tau = \varepsilon^{-2}t$  on time, we would obtain (36) at order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ .

#### <sup>215</sup> 4. Numerical simulations

 This section is devoted to the numerical validation of the proposed corrections to the upscaled model by considering the boundary layer either in steady state or in transient regime. For this purpose, a comparison between the numerical simulation of the corrected upscaled model and a direct numerical simulation of the Pore Scale Model (PSM) will be performed.

220 A porous medium of size  $L \times l$  is constituted of N elementary cells of size l, composed of a  $_{221}$  solid circular inclusion of radius R located at the center of each unit cell. The radius is constrained 222 by the porosity  $\varphi$ . The corresponding effective medium for the simulations of the macroscopic equations is of the same size (see Fig. 1). At the inlet  $x_1 = 0$ , Dirichlet conditions are applied



Figure 1: Porous medium and homogenized medium used in the numerical simulation.

223

224 for the concentrations  $c_1 = c_{1D}$  and  $c_2 = c_{2D}$ . A non-equilibrium condition at the boundary 225  $d_D = k_1c_{1D} - k_2c_{2D} \neq 0$  is studied. At the outlet  $x_1 = L$ , a zero value is imposed for the <sup>226</sup> concentrations. In addition, a periodicity condition is considered for the top and bottom boundaries. <sup>227</sup> The values of the physical parameters used are shown in Table 1. Note that the microscopic 228 Damköhler numbers that constrain the values of the reaction rates  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are now defined as

$$
Da_1 = \frac{k_1 l}{\mathcal{D}_1} \quad \text{and} \quad Da_2 = \frac{k_2 l}{\mathcal{D}_2} = \frac{Da_1 \alpha}{\beta} \tag{40}
$$

229 with the ratios  $\alpha = k_2/k_1$  and  $\beta = \mathcal{D}_2/\mathcal{D}_1$ .

<sup>230</sup> The numerical study is carried out using COMSOL Multiphysics software based on the nite <sup>231</sup> element method.

#### <sup>232</sup> 4.1. Numerical results in steady state

233 In steady state as  $t \to \infty$ , the corrections for the concentrations  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are given by (19) 234 with additional variables  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$  (recall that as mentioned in §3.1.2 for the symmetric cell 235 considered here the corrective term  $v_{0,BL}^{(1)}$  is identically zero). First, the macroscopic variable  $v_0^{(0)}$ ,

												$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}$	

Table 1: Parameters used in simulations.

236 solution of the homogenized diffusion problem (13) in steady state for  $n = 0$  with the homogenized  $237$  diffusion tensor given by (15), is computed in the effective medium. A Dirichlet boundary condition 238 (25) is applied at the inlet whereas  $v_0^{(0)} = 0$  is imposed at the outlet. If the concentrations values imposed at the interface are  $c_{1D}=c_{2D}=1$ , the imposed value for  $v_0^{(0)}$  corresponds to to  $c_1^{(0)}(x_1=$ 240 0) = 1.5 and  $c_2^{(0)}(x_1=0) = 0.75$ . Secondly, given the field of  $v_0^{(0)}$ , the variable  $v_0^{(1)}$  is determined 241 from the solution (9) for  $n = 0$ 

$$
v_0^{(1)} = \chi \cdot \nabla_x v_0^{(0)} \tag{41}
$$

242 where  $\chi$  is solution of the closure problem (11) solved numerically on the unit cell.

<sup>243</sup> Finally, the correction variables  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$ , which are solutions of the local problem (20) <sup>244</sup> with the boundary conditions at the inlet given by  $(27)$  and a zero-value condition far from the inlet, are solved in the microscopic pore-geometry for at least several unit cells from the inlet due <sup>246</sup> to the exponential-decay behavior of these functions. This point will be proved in the numerical <sup>247</sup> results in the sequel.

248 Let define the  $y_2$ -average operator of a function  $f$  as

$$
\langle f \rangle_{y_2} = \frac{1}{l} \int_0^l f dy_2 \tag{42}
$$

 $\begin{tabular}{|c||c|} \hline $t$ & $N$ & $\varphi$ & $\mathcal{D}_1$ & $\mathcal{D}_2$ & $\mathcal{D}_3$ & $\mathcal{D}_3$ & $k_1$ & $\alpha$ & $k_2$ & $\mathcal{D}_4$ \\ \hline \hline $0.001$ & $20$ & $0.8$ & $1$ & $\beta\mathcal{D}_1$ & $2$ & $100$ & $7n_1\mathcal{D}_1/t$ & $2$ & $\alpha$ & $\mathcal{D}_0\alpha_2$ & $\mathcal{D}_0\alpha_3$ \\ \hline $1001$ & $10.8$ & $1$ & $\beta\mathcal{D}_1$ &$ 249 Figure 2(a) displays the variation of the  $y_2$ -averaged variables  $\left< u_{1BL}^0 \right>_{y_2}$  and  $\left< u_{2BL}^0 \right>_{y_2}$  with respect 250 to the position  $x_1/L$ . We can observe that the correction  $u_{1BL}^0$  (respectively  $u_{2BL}^0$ ) decreases <sup>251</sup> (respectively increases) rapidly and decays towards zero after several unit cells in the vicinity of the 252 inlet. Thus, this correction only affects a thin layer (boundary layer) from the edge whose thickness  $_2$ ss  $\,$  depends on the Damköhler numbers. The variation of the difference  $\left< d_{BL}^0\right>_{y_2}$  with respect to  $x_1/L$ 254 is plotted in Figure 2(b). It is clearly observed that a non-equilibrium state where  $\left< d_{BL}^0 \right>_{y_2} \neq 0$  is <sup>255</sup> established in a thin boundary layer.

256 Given the solutions for  $v_0^{(0)}$ ,  $v_0^{(1)}$ ,  $u_{1BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2BL}^{(0)}$ , knowing that  $v_{0,BL}^{(1)} \equiv 0$ , the y<sub>2</sub>-averaged  $_{\rm 257}$  concentrations  $\left\langle c_{i}\right\rangle _{y_{2}}$  of the corrected model can be computed from (19). In order to compare <sup>258</sup> these results with the solution of the original model, the non-corrected homogenized model (HM)



Figure 2: Variation of (a):  $\left\langle u_{1BL}^{0}\right\rangle _{y_{2}}$  and  $\left\langle u_{2BL}^{0}\right\rangle _{y_{2}}$  and (b):  $\left\langle d_{BL}^{0}\right\rangle _{y_{2}}$  versus  $x_{1}/L$  for Da<sub>1</sub> = 100.

 $259$  given by the system  $(17)$  is solved in the effective porous media. To do that, we first compute the 260 eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  and the eigenfunctions  $\psi_{1,1}$  and  $\psi_{2,1}$  from the spectral problem (2) for  $n = 1$ . Given  $_{261}$  the eigenfunctions, the closure problem (10) for  $\chi_{1,1}$  and  $\chi_{2,1}$  is solved in the unit cell to compute 262 the effective coefficient  $\mathbf{D}_{v,1}$  from (14) for  $n=1$ . Moreover, the closure problem for  $\chi$  in (11) is 263 purely geometric and is solved in the unit cell to give the effective tensor  $\mathbf{D}_{v,0}$  from (15).

<sup>264</sup> To validate the proposed corrections in steady state, a direct numerical simulation of the pore-<sup>265</sup> scale model (PSM) given in Eq. (1) is performed with the pore-scale geometry of Figure 1 to obtain 266 the concentration fields which are averaged over the  $y_2$  direction. Figure 3 displays the  $y_2$ -averaged  $_{\rm 267}$  concentration profiles of  $\langle c_1 \rangle_{y_2}$  and  $\langle c_2 \rangle_{y_2}$  obtained from the corrected model (continuous line), the <sup>268</sup> original HM without correction (dotted line) and the PSM (line-marker). An excellent agreement <sup>269</sup> between the corrected model and the PSM is observed, which satisfactorily validates the proposed <sup>270</sup> model. The original HM solution fails to capture the complex physics in the boundary layer and <sub>271</sub> leads to an inaccurate prediction of the concentration fields in the entire domain. We can also 272 observe that  $\braket{c_1}_{y_2}$  (respectively  $\braket{c_2}_{y_2}$ ) increases (respectively decreases) quickly in the boundary <sup>273</sup> layer to attain a transition point delimiting the boundary zone.



Figure 3:  $y_2$ -averaged concentrations versus  $x_1/L$  for  $Da_1 = 100$  obtained by the corrected model (line), the original HM (dotted line) and the PSM (line-marker).

#### <sup>274</sup> 4.2. Numerical results in transient regime

275 The corrected solution for the concentrations involving additional variables at order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^1)$  in  $276$  transient regime is given by Eq. (32). To compute the concentrations, first we solve the transient 277 problems for the first-order variables  $v_0^{(0)}$  and  $v_1^{(0)}$  given by (13) with the initial conditions (35). In 278 addition, the Dirichlet boundary condition for  $v_0^{(0)}$  (25) is imposed at the inlet and  $v_0^{(0)} = 0$  at the 279 outlet whereas for  $v_1^{(0)}$ , the zero value is imposed on both boundaries. Given the fields of  $v_0^{(0)}$  and 280  $v_1^{(0)}$ , the  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^1)$ -variables  $v_0^{(1)}$ ,  $v_{1,1}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,1}^{(1)}$  can be computed from the solution (9).

281 The first-order corrections  $u_{1,BL}^{(0)}$  and  $u_{2,BL}^{(0)}$  for the unsteady state in (33) can be solved on <sup>282</sup> several unit cells adjacent to the boundary with a boundary condition similar to that given in the steady state and with a zero initial value. To compute the  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^1)$ -correction variables, as  $v_{0,\text{BL}}^{(1)} \equiv 0$ <sup>284</sup> accounting for the symmetry of the elementary cell considered (see section 3.1.2), for determining  $v_{1,\rm{1BL}}^{(1)}$  and  $v_{2,\rm{1BL}}^{(1)}$  given by (37), one has to solve the unsteady closure problem (38) for  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$ 285 <sup>286</sup> with the corresponding boundary condition (39).

 In order to validate the proposed corrected model, a direct numerical simulation of the PSM (Eq. 1) is numerically solved in the pore-scale geometry in transient regime. Initial values of the 289 concentrations are imposed as  $c_1(t=0) = c_2(t=0) = 0.1$ , which corresponds to a non-equilibrium initial state. Parameters used in the simulation are given in Table 1. Moreover, macroscopic equations without correction (17) are also numerically solved in the same geometry.

In order to validate the proposed corrected model, a direct numerical simulation of the PS<br>
J. I) is numerically solved in the pore-reale geometry in transient regime. Initial values of the corrections are imposed as  $c_1$ 292 Figure 4 shows the variation of the y<sub>2</sub>-averaged concentrations  $\langle c_1 \rangle_{y_2}$  and  $\langle c_2 \rangle_{y_2}$  with time at 293 different positions  $x_1 = [l/4, 2l, 3l]$ , obtained from the corrected model, the original homogenized <sup>294</sup> model (HM) and the direct numerical simulation of the pore-scale model (PSM). Excellent agree-<sup>295</sup> ment is obtained between the PSM and the corrected model for the three observation points located 296 at different  $x_1$  positions, which satisfactorily validates the proposed transient model. At very short <sup>297</sup> times when the local chemical reaction dominates the transport mechanism and the boundary layer <sup>298</sup> is located very close to the surface, all three models predict the same results at the observation <sup>299</sup> points. However, for longer times, when the boundary layer propagates through the domain, a <sub>300</sub> difference between the original HM and the PSM appears and this is all the earlier as the position <sup>301</sup> of the point is close to the surface at the left boundary.



Figure 4: Concentration variation of (a)  $\langle c_1 \rangle_{y_2}$  and (b)  $\langle c_2 \rangle_{y_2}$  with time for different positions  $x_1 = [l/4, 2l, 3l]$ , obtained from the corrected model (dashed line-marker), PSM (line) and the original HM (dotted line-marker).

302 Figures 5 and 6 display the y<sub>2</sub>-averaged concentration profiles of  $\langle c_1 \rangle_{y_2}$  and  $\langle c_2 \rangle_{y_2}$  with respect to  $x_1/L$  obtained from the three models at different times t. Small fluctuations in the concentrations obtained by the corrected model are observed at the beginning of the process due to the periodic variation of the eigenfunctions, which vanish with time. Again, the corrected model is in very good agreement with the PSM while the original HM fails to reproduce the boundary layer behavior correctly. As a result, this error propagates with time away from the boundary, leading to inaccurate 308 prediction of concentration profiles.



Figure 5: Concentration  $\langle c_1 \rangle_{y_2}$  versus  $x_1/L$  for different times.



Figure 6: Concentration  $\langle c_2 \rangle_{y_2}$  versus  $x_1/L$  for different times.

309 Finally to quantify the role of each term in the solution (32), Figure 7a shows the profiles of the 310 terms corresponding to  $n=0$  at very short times. The correction  $u_{1,BL}^{(0)}$  tends towards zero rapidly 311 from the boundary as shown previously and dominates the correction  $v_{0,BL}^{(1)}$ , which is of order  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ <sup>312</sup> in the general case and vanishes for symmetric elementary cells, which is the case here. Far from 313 the boundary, only the first-order variable  $v_0^{(0)}$  differs significantly from zero. In Figure 7b, the 314 terms corresponding to  $n = 1$  are plotted. The fluctuations are due to the periodic solution of the 315 eigenfunction  $\psi_{1,1}$ . Due to exponential decay, the terms disappear over time. We observe that the <sup>316</sup> time-dependent correction terms at this order are very small and can be neglected.



Figure 7: Corrective term profiles for different times.

#### 5. Conclusion

Conclusion<br>
The boundary layer problem for the coupled diffusion/reaction process for sight Damkon<br>
about in potents and<br>the last pre-problem to state of the moreoveryic mass concervation has derived from<br>the homogenizati 318 The boundary layer problem for the coupled diffusion/reaction process for high Damköhler number in porous media has been studied. The macroscopic mass conservation law derived from the homogenization technique and based on a spectral approach represents a quasi-exact solution within the domain but fails to describe the physics in a thin layer near the surface when a non- equilibrium Dirichlet boundary condition is imposed. In this context, corrective terms have to be added to the concentrations for both steady state and transient regime.

<sub>324</sub> In steady state, two corrective functions are introduced to adjust the first order variable corre- sponding to the null eigenvalue to capture the non-equilibrium state in the boundary layer. These terms decay exponentially from the boundary and need to be solved only on a few unit cells adjacent to the boundary. Numerical simulations prove that this correction is in excellent agreement with the direct numerical simulation of the pore-scale model.

329 In transient regime, the  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ -variables need to be corrected with additional terms whose solu-tions involve new closure problems. Numerical results show a small contribution of these terms.

#### References

- [1] G. Allaire, R. Brizzi, A. Mikelic, A. Piatnitski, Two-scale expansion with drift approach to the Taylor dispersion for reactive transport through porous media, Chemical Engineering Science 65 (7) (2010) 2292-2300.
- 335 [2] J.-F. Bloch, J.-L. Auriault, Upscaling of diffusion-reaction phenomena by homogenisation tech- nique: Possible appearance of morphogenesis, Transport in Porous Media 127 (1) (2019) 191 209.
- [3] I. Battiato, D. M. Tartakovsky, Applicability regimes for macroscopic models of reactive trans- port in porous media, Journal of Contaminant Hydrology 120-121 (Supplement C) (2011)  $18-26$ .
- [4] F. Boso, I. Battiato, Homogenizability conditions for multicomponent reactive transport, Ad- $\frac{342}{2}$  vances in Water Resources 62 (Part B) (2013) 254-265.

- <sup>343</sup> [5] H. D. Lugo-Méndez, F. J. Valdés-Parada, M. L. Porter, B. D. Wood, J. A. Ochoa-Tapia, Upscal-<sup>344</sup> ing diusion and nonlinear reactive mass transport in homogeneous porous media, Transport  $\frac{345}{100}$  in Porous Media 107 (3) (2015) 683-716.
- <sup>346</sup> [6] A. Tartakovsky, D. Tartakovsky, T. Scheibe, P. Meakin, Hybrid simulations of reaction-<sup>347</sup> diffusion systems in porous media, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 30 (6) (2008) 2799-<sup>348</sup> 2816.
- <sup>349</sup> [7] T. Qiu, Q. Wang, C. Yang, Upscaling multicomponent transport in porous media with a linear 350 reversible heterogeneous reaction, Chemical Engineering Science 171 (2017) 100-116.
- 351 [8] F. J. Valdés-Parada, C. G. Aguilar-Madera, J. Alvarez-Ramirez, On diffusion, dispersion and <sup>352</sup> reaction in porous media, Chemical Engineering Science 66 (10) (2011) 21772190.
- 353 [9] F. J. Valdés-Parada, D. Lasseux, S. Whitaker, Diffusion and heterogeneous reaction in porous <sup>354</sup> media: The macroscale model revisited, International Journal of Chemical Reactor Engineering  $355$  15 (6) (2017) 1-24.
- 356 [10] G. Allaire, A.-L. Raphael, Homogenization of a convection-diffusion model with reaction in a 357 porous medium, Comptes Rendus Mathematique 344 (8) (2007) 523-528.
- 358 [11] M. K. Bourbatache, O. Millet, C. Moyne, Upscaling diffusion-reaction in porous media, Acta <sup>359</sup> Mechanica 231, 20112031 (2020) 20112031. doi:10.1007/s00707-020-02631-9.
- <sup>360</sup> [12] R. Mauri, Dispersion, convection, and reaction in porous media, Physics of Fluids A: Fluid 361 Dynamics 3 (5) (1991) 743-756.
- 362 [13] M. K. Bourbatache, O. Millet, C. Moyne, Upscaling coupled heterogeneous diffusion reaction <sup>363</sup> equations in porous media., Accepted in Acta Mechanica (2023).
- <sup>364</sup> [14] T. D. Le, C. Moyne, M. K. Bourbatache, O. Millet, A spectral approach for homogenization <sup>365</sup> of diffusion and heterogeneous reaction in porous media, Applied Mathematical Modelling 104
- $366$   $(2022) 666 681.$
- H. D. Luga-Missicz, F. J. Valdée-Parada, M. L. Porter, B. D. Wood, J. A. Ochos-Tapia, Upera ing diffusion and nonlinear reactive mass transport in homogeneous porosa media. Eraspice in Prevous Media 107 (3) (2013) 883–716 367 [15] M. K. Bourbatache, O. Millet, T. D. Le, C. Moyne, Homogenized model for diffusion and het-<sup>368</sup> erogeneous reaction in porous media: numerical study and validation, Applied Mathematical 369 Modelling 111 (2022) 486-500.
	- 24

- <sup>370</sup> [16] H. Dumontet, Study of a boundary layer problem in elastic composite materials, Mathematical 371 Modelling and Numerical Analysis 20 (1986) 265-286.
- 372 [17] S. Koley, C. Upadhyay, P. Mohite, Study of boundary layer effects at ply interfaces of laminated
- $\frac{373}{12}$  composites using homogenization theory, Composite Structures 286 (2022) 1–21.
- 374 [18] N. Buannic, P. Cartraud, Higher-order effective modelling of periodic heterogeneous beams. II. <sup>375</sup> Derivation of the proper boundary conditions for the interior asymptotic solution, International
- $376$  Journal of Solids and Structures 38 (2001) 7163-7180.
- <sup>377</sup> [19] P. Destuynder, Sur une justication des modèles de plaques et de coques par les méthodes <sup>378</sup> asymptotiques, Thèse d'état, Paris (1979).
- <sup>379</sup> [20] G. Allaire, M. Amar, Boundary layer tails in periodic homogenization, ESAIM: Control, Op-380 timisation and Calculus of Variations 4 (1999) 209-243.
- <sup>381</sup> [21] M. Amar, M. Tarallo, S. Terracini, On the exponential decay for boundary layer, C. R. Acad. 382 Sci, Paris, Série I 328 (1999) 1139-1144.
- H. Dumontet, Storly of a boundary layer problem in elastic composite materials, Makhemade Modelling and Numerical Amispis 20 (1986) 265–266.<br>
S. Koley, C. Upsilhay, P. Mahite Storly 62 boundary have effects at ply interfa <sup>383</sup> [22] A. Matine, N. Boyard, P. Cartraud, G. Legrain, Y. Jarny, Modeling of thermophysical proper-<sup>384</sup> ties in heterogeneous periodic media according to a multi-scale approach: Effective conductivity 385 tensor and edge effects, International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer 62 (2013) 586–603.
- <sup>386</sup> [23] A. Matine, N. Boyard, G. Legrain, Y. Jarny, P. Cartraud, Transient heat conduction within <sup>387</sup> periodic heterogeneous media: A space-time homogenization approach, International Journal  $388$  of Thermal Sciences 92 (2015) 217-229.
- <sup>389</sup> [24] K. Bourbatache, T. D. Le, O. Millet, C. Moyne, Limits of classical homogenization procedure <sup>390</sup> for coupled diffusion-heterogeneous reaction processes in porous media, Transport in Porous 391 Media 140 (2021) 437-457.
- <sup>392</sup> [25] R. B. Bird, W. E. Stewart, E. N. Lightfoot, Transport Phenomena, John Wiley & Sons (2007).

- Boundary layer problem of diffusion/reaction process in porous media is studied
- Macro-model derived from homogenization technique and spectral approach is recalled
- Corrective terms are introduced to modify the concentration's expansion
- These terms decay rapidly from the boundary and are solved on several unit cells
- Numerical simulations highlight the role of the corrective terms in boundary layer

Corrective terms are introduced to modify the concentration's expansion.<br>These terms decay rapidly from the boundary and are solved on several unit cells<br>towmerical simulations highlight the role of the corrective terms in

& cating, Olivier Millet: Conceptualization; Michodology; Roles/Writing<br>- review & editing<br>Department of the conceptualization; Mechodology; Roles/Writing<br>- Conceptualization; Mechodology; Roles/Writing<br>- Conceptualization **Tien Dung Le**: Conceptualization; Methodology; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing, **Christian Moyne**: Conceptualization; Methodology; Roles/Writing - original draft, **Khaled Bourbatache**: Conceptualization; Methodology; Software; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing review & editing, **Olivier Millet**: Conceptualization; Methodology; Roles/Writing - original draft; Writing - review & editing

#### **Declaration of interests**

 $\boxtimes$  The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

☐ The authors declare the following fnancial interests/personal relatonships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

tion of interests<br>withos declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relations<br>who we appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.<br>which are appeared to influence free work reported