



HAL
open science

Epidemiology of aplasia cutis congenita: A population-based study in Europe

Alessio Coi, Ingeborg Barisic, Ester Garne, Anna Pierini, Marie-claude Addor, Amaia Aizpurua Atxega, Elisa Ballardini, Paula Braz, Jennifer M Broughan, Clara Caverro-carbonell, et al.

► To cite this version:

Alessio Coi, Ingeborg Barisic, Ester Garne, Anna Pierini, Marie-claude Addor, et al.. Epidemiology of aplasia cutis congenita: A population-based study in Europe. *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*, 2023, 37 (3), pp.581-589. 10.1111/jdv.18690 . hal-03955554

HAL Id: hal-03955554

<https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-03955554>

Submitted on 25 Jan 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Epidemiology of aplasia cutis congenita: a population-based study in Europe

Keywords: aplasia cutis congenita, congenital anomaly, EUROCAT, population-based study

Manuscript words: 2819

Tables: 5

Figures: 0

Alessio Coi¹, Ingeborg Barisic², Ester Garne³, Anna Pierini^{1,4}, Marie-Claude Addor⁵, Amaia Aizpurua Atxega⁶, Elisa Ballardini⁷, Paula Braz⁸, Jennifer M. Broughan⁹, Clara Caverro-Carbonell¹⁰, Hermien E. K. de Walle¹¹, Elizabeth S. Draper¹², Miriam Gatt¹³, Martin Häusler¹⁴, Agnieszka Kinsner-Ovaskainen¹⁵, Jennifer J. Kurinczuk¹⁶, Nathalie Lelong¹⁷, Karen Luyt¹⁸, Lorena Mezzasalma¹, Carmel Mullaney¹⁹, Vera Nelen²⁰, Ljubica Odak², Mary T. O'Mahony²¹, Isabelle Perthus²², Hanitra Randrianaivo²³, Judith Rankin^{9,24}, Anke Rissmann²⁵, Florence Rouget²⁶, Bruno Schaub²⁷, David Tucker²⁸, Diana Wellesley²⁹, Katarzyna Wiśniewska³⁰, Lyubov Yevtushok³¹, Michele Santoro¹

¹Unit of Epidemiology of Rare Diseases and Congenital Anomalies, Institute of Clinical Physiology, National Research Council, Pisa, Italy.

²Children's Hospital Zagreb, Centre of Excellence for Reproductive and Regenerative Medicine, Medical School University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

³Department of Paediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Lillebaelt Hospital, University Hospital of Southern Denmark, Kolding, Denmark

⁴Fondazione Toscana Gabriele Monasterio, Pisa, Italy

⁵Department of Woman-Mother-Child, University Medical Center CHUV Lausanne, Switzerland

⁶Public Health Division of Gipuzkoa, Biodonostia Research Institute, Donostia-San Sebastian, Spain

⁷Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, Paediatric Section, IMER Registry (Emilia Romagna Registry of Birth Defects), Dep. of Medical Sciences, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

⁸Epidemiology Department, National Institute of Health Doutor Ricardo Jorge, Lisbon, Portugal

⁹National Congenital Anomaly and Rare Disease Registration Service, National Disease Registration Service, NHS Digital, Leeds, UK

¹⁰Rare Diseases Research Unit, Foundation for the Promotion of Health and Biomedical Research in the Valencian Region, Valencia, Spain

¹¹University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Genetics, Groningen, the Netherlands

¹²Department Health Sciences, College of Life Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

¹³Malta Congenital Anomalies Registry, Directorate for Health Information and Research, G'Mangia, Malta

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the [Version of Record](#). Please cite this article as doi: [10.1111/jdv.18690](https://doi.org/10.1111/jdv.18690)

¹⁴Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria

¹⁵European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Ispra, Italy

¹⁶National Perinatal Epidemiology Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

¹⁷Université Paris Cité, Inserm, INRAE, Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Statistics (CRESS), Obstetrical Perinatal and Pediatric Epidemiology Research Team, EPOPé, F-75004 Paris, France.

¹⁸South West Congenital Anomaly Register, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

¹⁹Department of Public Health, HSE South East, Lacken, Kilkenny, Ireland

²⁰Provincial Institute of Hygiene, Antwerp, Belgium

²¹Department of Public Health, HSE South (Cork & Kerry), Ireland

²²Auvergne registry of congenital anomalies (CEMC-Auvergne), Department of clinical genetics, Centre de Référence des Maladies Rares, University Hospital of Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France

²³Register of Congenital Malformations Isle of Reunion Island, CHU St Pierre, la Reunion, France

²⁴Population Health Sciences Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

²⁵Malformation Monitoring Centre Saxony-Anhalt, Medical Faculty Otto-von-Guericke University, Magdeburg, Germany

²⁶Brittany Registry of congenital anomalies, CHU Rennes, Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, IRSET (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) - UMR_S 1085, Rennes, France

²⁷French West Indies Registry, Registre des Malformations des Antilles (REMALAN), Maison de la Femme de la Mère et de l'Enfant, University Hospital of Martinique, Fort-de-France, France

²⁸Congenital Anomaly Register & Information Service for Wales (CARIS), Public Health Wales, Swansea, UK

²⁹University Hospital Southampton, Faculty of Medicine and Wessex Clinical Genetics Service, Princess Anne Hospital, Southampton SO16 5YA, UK

³⁰Department of Preventive Medicine, Epidemiology Unit, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

³¹OMNI-Net Ukraine Birth Defects Program and Rivne Regional Medical Diagnostic Center, Rivne, Ukraine

Corresponding Author:

Alessio Coi

Institute of Clinical Physiology, National Research Council, Via Moruzzi 1, 56124, Pisa, Italy.

alessio.coi@ifc.cnr.it

Funding: There was no specific funding for this study.

Conflict of Interest: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability: Restrictions apply to the availability of the data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors for scientifically valid requests and with permission of the participating registries of congenital anomalies.

Abstract

Background. Aplasia cutis congenita (ACC) is a rare congenital anomaly characterized by localized or widespread absence of skin at birth, mainly affecting the scalp. Most information about ACC exists as individual case reports and medium-sized studies.

Objectives. This study aimed to investigate the epidemiology of ACC, using data from a large European network of population-based registries for congenital anomalies (EUROCAT).

Methods. Twenty-eight EUROCAT population-based registries in 16 European countries were involved. Poisson regression models were exploited to estimate the overall and live birth prevalence, to test time trends in prevalence between four 5-year periods and to evaluate the impact of the change of coding for ACC from the unspecific ICD9-BPA code to the specific ICD10 code. Proportions of ACC cases associated with other anomalies were reported.

Results. Five hundred cases were identified in the period 1998-2017 (prevalence: 5.10 per 100,000 births). Prevalence across 5-year periods did not differ significantly and no significant differences were evident due to the change from ICD9 to ICD10 in ACC coding. Heterogeneity in prevalence was observed across registries. The scalp was the most common site for ACC (96.4%) and associated congenital anomalies were present in 33.8% of cases. Patau and Adams-Oliver syndromes were the most frequent among the associated chromosomal anomalies (88.3%) and the associated genetic syndromes (57.7%), respectively. 16% of cases were associated with limb anomalies and 15.4% with congenital heart defects. A family history of ACC was found in 2% of cases.

Conclusion. To our knowledge, this is the only population-based study on ACC. The EUROCAT methodologies provide reliable prevalence estimates and proportions of associated anomalies.

Introduction

Aplasia cutis congenita (ACC, non-syndromic (or isolated) ORPHA: 1114, OMIM: 107600) is a rare anomaly characterized by a localized or widespread absence of skin at birth. ACC mainly affects the scalp involving the midline over the skull vertex, less commonly, the underlying periosteum and bone¹. It usually presents as a solitary lesion, but multiple lesions can occur on the scalp or elsewhere. The individual lesion can vary from a superficial, circular or oval, well-demarcated defect or atrophic scar with alopecia to a weeping or granulating ulcer extending to the bone (non-membranous ACC). The lesions can range from a few millimetres to more than 10 cm in diameter. Some defects can have a membranous covering that can be filled with fluid, giving it a bullous appearance (membranous ACC)². Although most frequently seen on the scalp, ACC can affect any part of the body, including the trunk and limbs³⁻⁵. ACC can be isolated or part of a heterogeneous group of chromosomal or monogenic syndromes. Diagnosis is usually based on the findings of the clinical examination at birth. Prenatal diagnosis is limited to ACC types of genetic origin.

The aetiology of ACC remains unclear and heterogeneous. Due to the great variability of ACC expression and the associated congenital anomalies, it can be assumed that ACC results from different pathophysiological mechanisms. Numerous factors have been considered as possible causes of ACC. They include genetic factors (e.g. pathogenic variants in the *BMS1* gene associated with non-syndromic ACC, in *DLL4* gene associated with Adams Oliver syndrome type 6, and in genes causing ectodermal dysplasia)⁶⁻⁹, teratogenic agents, intrauterine infections and trauma, vascular anomalies, adhesions of the amniotic membrane to the fetal skin, amniotic rupture sequence, imperfect neural tube closure¹⁰. Moreover, maternal cigarette smoking has been found to be a risk factor for ACC¹¹. The association between exposure to methimazole, diclofenac sodium, benzodiazepines, heparin, and valproic acid during pregnancy and ACC has also been reported¹²⁻¹⁷. Given the rarity of the condition, most information about ACC exists as individual case reports and in some medium-sized studies^{3-5,18-25}.

This study aimed to investigate the epidemiology of ACC, including the prevalence of the associated anomalies, based on a large European network of population-based registries for congenital anomalies (EUROCAT).

Methods

The EUROCAT population-based congenital anomaly registries report cases diagnosed mostly up to 1 year of age with major structural congenital anomalies, chromosomal abnormalities and genetic syndromes. Live births (LB), fetal deaths (FD) with gestational age ≥ 20 weeks and terminations of pregnancy for fetal anomalies (TOPFA) following prenatal diagnosis at any gestation are registered using standardized definitions and coding²⁶. Major congenital anomalies are defined in EUROCAT as structural changes that have significant medical, surgical, social or cosmetic consequences for the affected individual and typically require medical intervention.

The defined populations, the methods of case ascertainment, and the definitions and coding instructions of EUROCAT have been described in previous publications and on the EUROCAT website²⁶⁻³⁰.

Twenty-eight EUROCAT full member registries in 16 European countries participated in the study. All cases of ACC born between January 1, 1998 and December 31, 2017, coded with the International Classification of Diseases, ninth (ICD-9) or tenth revision (ICD-10) with British Paediatric Association (BPA) one-digit extensions for ACC (ICD9-BPA, 75739; ICD10-BPA, Q8480) were extracted from the EUROCAT Central Database which is operated by the JRC-EUROCAT Central Registry, European Commission Joint Research Centre in Ispra (Italy). Registries submit individual anonymized records of cases of congenital anomalies; thus, no ethical approval for the study was required.

Text descriptions reported in the database were evaluated to ensure that all the relevant clinical information was included in the study. Local registries were contacted for any additional information required. A medical

geneticist (IB) and a paediatrician (EG) reviewed all anomaly codes and written text descriptions of the anomalies in this study.

The overall and live birth prevalence were estimated using Poisson regression with random effects models allowing the prevalence in different registries to vary. 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs), based on the Poisson distribution, were calculated for prevalence estimates. The χ^2 test for homogeneity was performed to assess whether differences in prevalence estimates across registries reflected actual differences or were due to random fluctuation.

A Poisson regression model was also used to test time trends in prevalence between the four 5-year periods 1998-2002, 2003-2007, 2008-2012, and 2013-2017 (reference baseline period: 1998-2002). An analogous model was used to evaluate the impact of the change of coding for ACC from the unspecific ICD9-BPA code 75739 (Other specified anomalies of skin) and the specific ICD10 code Q8480.

Survival up to 1 week of age was estimated only for registries with a percentage of unknown/missing information less than 10%.

Proportions of ACC cases associated with EUROCAT congenital anomaly subgroups were reported. Following the EUROCAT Multiple Congenital Anomaly Algorithm, non-isolated ACC cases were further classified into: i) ACC cases associated with non-genetic and non-chromosomal multiple congenital anomalies (i.e. cases with two or more major congenital anomalies in different organ systems, where the pattern of anomalies has not been recognized as part of a genetic (single-gene) or chromosomal syndrome or sequence); ii) ACC cases associated with chromosomal anomalies; iii) ACC cases associated with genetic syndromes.

The Student's t-test was used to determine eventual significant differences in birth weight, gestational age and maternal age between isolated and non-isolated live birth cases of ACC.

A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant when performing the statistical analyses.

Statistical analysis was conducted using Stata version 16³¹.

Results

The total number of births covered by the 28 EUROCAT registries over the 20-year study period, 1998-2017, was 10,984,537. Five hundred cases with ACC were identified during the same period, giving an overall prevalence of 5.10 per 100,000 births (95%CI: 3.83-6.80) and a live birth prevalence of 4.81 per 100,000 births (95%CI: 3.58-6.47). Out of the 500 cases collected, there were 473 live births (LB) (94.6%), nine fetal deaths (FD) (1.8%) and 18 pregnancies resulted in a termination of pregnancy for fetal anomalies (TOPFA) (3.6%).

The male to female ratio was 1.27:1.

The prevalence rates for four 5-year periods are reported in Table 1. Prevalence across the 5-year periods did not differ significantly ($p=0.798$).

[TABLE 1]

Data on the number of cases and prevalence by registry are presented in Table 2. Prevalence between registries differed significantly ($p < 0.001$), with the highest prevalence estimates of ACC observed for Vaud (Switzerland) and Malta registries (17.36 and 11.95 per 100,000, respectively).

[TABLE 2]

The impact of the change of ACC coding from ICD9-BPA to ICD10-BPA on prevalence estimates has been investigated including only registries ($n=12$) where a switch between the two systems has occurred. The Poisson regression model did not identify evidence of significant differences ($p=0.169$) between the prevalence estimate based on cases coded with ICD9 (4.47 per 100,000 births) and the prevalence calculated on cases coded with ICD10 (5.89 per 100,000 births).

The scalp was the most common site for ACC in our sample, affecting 96.4% (482/500) of cases. Among the 18 cases of ACC with no involvement of the scalp, extremities were the most involved (10 cases), followed by trunk (3 cases) and face and neck (2 cases). For three cases, ACC in multiple unspecified areas was reported.

We observed 331 (66.2%) isolated cases of ACC (all live births); major associated congenital anomalies were present in 169 cases (33.8% of total), 60 of which (12.0%) were ACC cases associated with chromosomal anomalies and 26 (5.2%) associated with genetic syndromes and monogenic disorders.

The proportions of ACC associated with major congenital anomalies in other organ systems are listed in Table 3. According to the EUROCAT Multiple Congenital Anomaly Algorithm²⁶, associated anomalies with ACC were also categorized as multiple anomalies, genetic syndromes and chromosomal anomalies, as defined in the Methods.

[TABLE 3]

Among the associated chromosomal anomalies, Patau syndrome (trisomy 13) was the most frequent (53/60 cases, 88.3%; corresponding to 10.6% of total ACC cases) (Table 4). Four partial trisomies/monosomies of the autosomes refer to two 4q31 interstitial microdeletions, a duplication in 20q13.33 region and a 1q21.1 microdeletion syndrome.

[TABLE 4]

Among the associated genetic syndromes, Adams-Oliver was the most frequent (15/26 cases, 57.7%; corresponding to 3% of total ACC cases), followed by Johanson-Blizzard syndrome. Goltz-Gorlin syndrome, epidermolysis bullosa, Opitz G syndrome, nevus sebaceous syndrome, and Pena-Shokeir syndrome were also observed (Table 4).

Limb anomalies were the most frequent structural anomaly associated with ACC and occurred in 80 out of 500 cases of ACC (16.0%). A similar proportion was observed for ACC associated with CHD (77 out of 500 ACC cases, 15.4%). Among the 77 cases of ACC associated with CHD, 25 were severe CHD as defined in EUROCAT²⁶. The atrio-ventricular septal defects, tetralogy of Fallot, common arterial truncus, and hypoplastic left heart were the most frequent (Table 4). In one case, ACC was associated with three severe CHD (double outlet right ventricle, hypoplastic left heart and coarctation of aorta).

Among the 36 cases of ACC associated with anomalies of the nervous system, 10 (27.8%; corresponding to 2% of total ACC cases) were associated with arhinencephaly/holoprosencephaly, followed by 7 cases each of neural tube defects, agenesis of corpus callosum, and severe microcephaly;

ACC associated with other congenital anomalies were often part of a chromosomal syndrome. This was the case for 88.5% (23/26) of the congenital anomalies of the eye, 66.7% (10/15) of the ear, face and neck, 61.1% (22/36) of the nervous system, 63.6% (7/11) of the oro-facial clefts and 50.6% (39/77) of CHDs.

Concerning the association with possible known teratogens, five mothers took benzodiazepines in the first trimester of pregnancy (from the 1st day of the last menstrual period to the 12th week of gestation), two mothers took methimazole or heparin. None of the mothers took diclofenac or valproic acid. Among live births, differences in birth weight, gestational age, and maternal age between isolated ACC and associated with major congenital anomalies ACC are reported in Table 5.

[TABLE 5]

Statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) were observed between isolated and non-isolated ACC in birth weight for term-born infants (gestational age ≥ 37 weeks), especially for ACC associated with genetic syndromes (2831 grams vs 3697 grams). On the contrary, no differences in gestational age and maternal age were found between isolated and non-isolated ACC.

Among the 452 cases (out of 500) with available information about survival beyond one week of age, 18 (4.0%) died within the first week. As expected, most deaths were observed for ACC associated with chromosomal anomalies (15/18, 83.3%). No deaths before one week of age were reported for liveborn infants with isolated ACC.

A family history of ACC was found in 10 patients (10/500, 2.0%): five with ACC from the father's family, four from the mother's family (one maternal relative with Adams-Oliver syndrome) and one from both mother's and father's families with ACC.

Discussion

This study, using data from EUROCAT, a large European network of population-based registries for surveillance of congenital anomalies, represents the most extensive series of cases with ACC in Europe ever published.

To our knowledge, this is the first population-based study on ACC. Most of the studies on ACC are case reports and single hospital-based series mainly reporting clinical features. Whereas, our study is not based on disease-specific registries; instead, it is based on European population-based registries that register a wide range of congenital anomalies. For this reason, the different design of our study must be considered when comparing our results to case series and hospital series.

In this population-based study, the prevalence of ACC from 1998 to 2017 was 5.10 per 100,000 births, that is in line with the Orphanet estimate³². The prevalence estimate remained stable across the four 5-year periods, but with significant heterogeneity across the European registries. Differences in case ascertainment methods, especially for mild forms of ACC, may contribute to the observed geographical differences, but true regional differences cannot be excluded. To limit the effect of such high variability, we used a meta-analytical approach to produce an overall prevalence estimate of ACC.

The change from the unspecific ICD9-BPA code to the specific ICD10-BPA code did not significantly impact the prevalence (4.47 vs 5.89 per 100,000, respectively). This suggests that both the EUROCAT method of registration of cases (using ICD9-BPA in combination with a written text description) and the standardized inclusion/exclusion criteria were well established even in the early years and before the introduction of a specific ICD10-BPA code for ACC.

The male-to-female ratio was greater than 1 in our study, in line with what is commonly reported in the literature^{5,25}. We found that the scalp was the most common site for ACC and more frequent (96.4%) than reported by Frieden (86.0%)³, Sathishkumar et al.⁵ (82.1%), and Mesrati et al.⁴ (72.7%).

We observed that 66% of cases presented isolated ACC, which can be treated differently depending on the defect's extent. Using conservative wound care, healing by secondary intention is preferred when possible³³. Larger lesions (3-4 cm) may require bone or skin grafts. After healing, scar revision can be considered. However, from a clinical point of view, it is essential after a diagnosis of ACC to assess the eventual presence of other and more severe associated anomalies that may affect the prognosis. In this case, additional treatments may be required.

Concerning the associated anomalies observed in our cohort, a comparison could be made with the paper of Schierz et al.²⁴, who conducted a retrospective hospital-based study on 37 newborns, comparing isolated and non-isolated forms of ACC. The authors declared 49% of the isolated form of ACC. We found a higher proportion of isolated ACC than Schierz et al.²⁴ (66.2%), which might depend on the difference in the sample size and study design (population-based vs hospital-based). In fact, a hospital-based study probably includes

more complex cases with associated congenital anomalies referred for treatment at a specialised centre, whereas in our population-based study more isolated milder cases are identified, representing the reality of a wider range of the true pathophysiology.

We observed 16% of cases associated with limb anomalies (Type 2 ACC, according to Frieden's classification³), that is almost in line with what was found by Sathishkumar et al.⁵ (12.9%) but higher than in Yang et al.²⁵ and Mesrati et al.⁴ (5.1% and 9.1%, respectively).

ACC is often included as part of the Adams–Oliver syndrome, a rare disorder characterized by the combination of congenital limb anomalies and scalp defects, often accompanied by skull ossification defects. We found that 3.2% of our ACC cases had Adams-Oliver syndrome, a lower proportion than Mesrati et al.⁴, reporting 9% of Adams-Oliver syndrome cases in their series of 22 cases of ACC.

We observed three cases (0.6%) associated with epidermolysis bullosa, corresponding to Frieden's Type 6. This association was also observed by Yang et al.²⁵ (1 out of 59, 1.7%) and Schierz et al.²⁴ (3 out of 37, 8.1%). Comparing isolated and non-isolated ACC among live birth cases, we found a significantly lower birth weight in term-born infants with ACC associated with genetic syndromes than in term-born infants with isolated ACC. This is also well known for other anomalies and similar differences in birth weight were also observed by Schierz et al.²⁴.

This study has some limitations; in particular, potential variation due to coding practices, completeness of data sources, and case description accuracy must be considered when combining epidemiological data from many registries. Moreover, clinical data might not be as detailed as in a case report or in hospital-based case series; for example, no information was collected in EUROCAT regarding associated fetus papyraceus or placental infarct, thus Frieden's Type 5 ACC cases cannot be identified.

Conclusion

Epidemiology studies on rare congenital anomalies are usually difficult because sufficiently large standardized cohorts are difficult to obtain. In this study we presented the first epidemiological population-based study on ACC, since most of the published studies are case reports or medium-sized hospital-based retrospective studies. Our study is based on a large European population and a standardized data collection, this providing great statistical power to the epidemiological study on such a rare congenital anomaly compared to hospital-based case series. In particular, the methodology of data collection exploited within the EUROCAT guarantee the production of reliable estimates both in terms of prevalence for all birth outcomes and proportion of associated anomalies.

Acknowledgements

We thank JRC-EUROCAT Central Registry, European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Ispra, Italy, for the data management and selection of cases included in the study. We also thank many people throughout Europe involved in providing and processing information, including affected families, clinicians, health professionals, medical record clerks, and registry staff.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: AC, MS; Data Curation: AC, LM; Formal Analysis: AC; Investigation: AC, LM; Methodology: AC, MS, LM; Resources: EG, M-CA, AAA, EB, LB, PB, JB, CC-C, HEKdeW, ESD, MG, MH, AK-O, AM-K, JJK, NL, KL, LM, CM, VN, MTO, IP, HR, JR, AR, FR, BS, DT, DW, LY; Supervision: MS, IB, EG; Writing - Original Draft: AC; Writing - Review and Editing: AC, IB, EG, AP, M-CA, AAA, EB, LB, PB, JB, CC-C, HEKdeW, ESD, MG, MH, AK-O, AM-K, JJK, NL, KL, LM, CM, VN, MTO, IP, HR, JR, AR, FR, BS, DT, DW, LY, MS.

References

- 1) Bajpai M and Pal K. Aplasia Cutis Cerebri With Partial Acrania—Total Reconstruction in a Severe Case and Review of the Literature. *J Pediatr Surg* 2003;**38**:E4.
- 2) Orphanet. Aplasia cutis congenita. [https://www.orpha.net/consor/cgi-bin/Disease_Search.php?lng=EN&data_id=3198&Disease_Disease_Search_diseaseGroup=1114&Disease_Disease_Search_diseaseType=ORPHA&Disease\(s\)/group%20of%20diseases=Aplasia-cutis-congenita&title=Aplasia%20cutis%20congenita&search=Disease_Search_Simple](https://www.orpha.net/consor/cgi-bin/Disease_Search.php?lng=EN&data_id=3198&Disease_Disease_Search_diseaseGroup=1114&Disease_Disease_Search_diseaseType=ORPHA&Disease(s)/group%20of%20diseases=Aplasia-cutis-congenita&title=Aplasia%20cutis%20congenita&search=Disease_Search_Simple); 2019 (accessed 14 March 2022).
- 3) Frieden IJ. Aplasia cutis congenita: a clinical review and proposal for classification. *Acad Dermatol* 1986;**14**:646-660.
- 4) Mesrati H, Amouri M, Chaaben H, Masmoudi A, Boudaya S, Turki H. Aplasia cutis congenita: report of 22 cases. *Int J Dermatol* 2015;**54**:1370–1375.
- 5) Sathishkumar D, Ogboli M, Moss C. Classification of aplasia cutis congenita: a 25-year review of cases presenting to a tertiary paediatric dermatology department. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2020;**45**:994–1002.
- 6) Brackenrich J, Brown A. Aplasia Cutis Congenita. 2021 Aug 9. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022. PMID: 30571024.
- 7) Chiaverini C, Charlesworth A, Fernandez A, et al. Aplasia cutis congenita with dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa: clinical and mutational study. *Br J Dermatol* 2014;**170**(4):901-6.
- 8) Hsu CH, Tu WT, Chen PC, Yu-Yun Lee J, Hsu CK, Chiu TM. Novel compound heterozygous ITGB4 mutations underlie lethal junctional epidermolysis bullosa with pyloric atresia and aplasia cutis congenita. *J Dermatol* 2022;**49**(5):e154-e156
- 9) Marneros AG. BMS1 Is Mutated in Aplasia Cutis Congenita. *PLoS Genet* 2013;**9**(6):e1003573.

- 10) Drolet B, Prendiville J, Golden J, Enjolras O, Esterly NB. 'Membranous Aplasia Cutis' With Hair Collars: Congenital Absence of Skin or Neuroectodermal Defect? *Arch Dermatol*. 1995;**131**:1427–1431.
- 11) Üstüner P, Dilek N, Saral Y, Üstüner I. Coexistence of aplasia cutis congenita, faun tail nevus and fetus papyraceus. *J Dermatol Case Rep* 2013;**7**(3):93-96.
- 12) Li H, Zheng J, Luo J, et al. Congenital anomalies in children exposed to antithyroid drugs in-utero: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *PLoS One*. 2015;**10**(5):e0126610.
- 13) Romeo AN, Običan SG. Teratogen update: Antithyroid medications. *Birth Defects Res* 2020, **112**:1150–1160.
- 14) Martínez-Lage JF, Almagro MJ, López Hernández F, Poza M. Aplasia cutis congenita of the scalp. *Childs Nerv Syst* 2002;**18**(11):634-7.
- 15) Sharif S, Hay CR, Clayton-Smith J. Aplasia cutis congenita and low molecular weight heparin. *BJOG* 2005;**112**(2):256-8.
- 16) Mihçi E, Erişir S, Taçoy S, Lüleci G, Alpsoy E, Oygür N. Aplasia cutis congenita: three cases with three different underlying etiologies. *Turk J Pediatr* 2009;**51**(5):510-4.
- 17) Pajaziti L, Rexhepi S, Shatri-Muçça Y, Ferizi M. The role of diclofenac on inducing of aplasia cutis congenita: a case report. *Cases J* 2009;**2**:150.
- 18) Zhou J, Zheng L, Tao W. Systemic aplasia cutis congenita: A case report and review of the literature. *Pathol Res Pract* 2010;**206**:504–507.
- 19) Duan X, Yang G, Yu D, Yu C, Wang B, Guo Y. Aplasia cutis congenita: A case report and literature review. *Exp Ther Med* 2015;**10**:1893-1895.
- 20) Perry BM, Maughan CB, Crosby MS, Hadenfeld SD. Aplasia cutis congenita type V: a case report and review of the literature. *Int J Dermatol* 2017;**56**:e118–e121.
- 21) Humphrey SR, Hu X, Adamson K, Schaus A, Jensen JN, Drolet B. A practical approach to the evaluation and treatment of an infant with aplasia cutis congenita. *J Perinatol* 2018;**38**:110–117.
- 22) Chessa MA, Filippi F, Patrizi A, et al. Aplasia cutis: clinical, dermoscopic findings and management in 45 children. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2020;**34**:e724-e726.
- 23) Kuemmet TJ, Miller JJ, Michalik D, Lew SM, Maheshwari M, Humphrey SR. Low risk of clinically important central nervous system dysraphism in a cohort study of 69 patients with isolated aplasia cutis congenita of the head. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2020;**37**:455–460.
- 24) Schierz IAM, Giuffrè M, Del Vecchio A, Antona V, Corsello G, Piro, E. Recognizable neonatal clinical features of aplasia cutis congenita. *Ital J Pediatr* 2020;**46**:25.
- 25) Yang M-Y, Ha D-L, Kim H-S, Ko H-C, Kim B-S, Kim M-B. Aplasia cutis congenita in Korea: single center experience and literature review. *Pediatr Int* 2020;**62**:804–809.

- 26) EUROCAT Guide 1.4: Instruction for the registration of congenital anomalies. EUROCAT Central Registry, University of Ulster; 2021. https://eu-rd-platform.jrc.ec.europa.eu/eurocat/data-collection/guidelines-for-data-registration_en (accessed 14th March 2022).
- 27) Boyd PA, Haeusler M, Barisic I, Loane M, Garne E, Dolk H. Paper 1: The EUROCAT network-organization and processes. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol* 2011;**91**(Suppl 1):S2-15.
- 28) EUROCAT Members Registries: https://eu-rd-platform.jrc.ec.europa.eu/eurocat/eurocat-members/registries_en (accessed 14th March 2022).
- 29) Kinsner-Ovaskainen A, Lanzoni M, Garne E, et al. A sustainable solution for the activities of the European network for surveillance of congenital anomalies: EUROCAT as part of the EU Platform on Rare Diseases Registration. *Eur J Med Genet* 2018;**61**(9):513-517.
- 30) Tucker FD, Morris JK, Management Committee JRC, et al. EUROCAT: an update on its functions and activities. *J Community Genet*. 2018;**9**(4):407-410.
- 31) StataCorp. 2019. Stata Statistical Software: Release 16. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.
- 32) Orphanet Report Series. Prevalence, incidence or reported number of published cases listed in alphabetical order of disease January 2022 (https://www.orpha.net/orphacom/cahiers/docs/GB/Prevalence_of_rare_diseases_by_alphabetical_list.pdf).
- 33) Browning JC. Aplasia cutis congenita: approach to evaluation and management. *Dermatol Ther* 2013;**26**:439-444.

Table 1. 5-year prevalence (per 100,000 births) of aplasia cutis congenita in 28 EUROCAT registries.

Surveillance period	Total births	Total no. of cases	Prevalence (per 100,000)	95%CI
1998-2002	2,004,919	102	5.09	4.15-6.18
2003-2007	2,662,190	102	3.83	3.12-4.65
2008-2012	3,403,372	155	4.55	3.87-5.33
2013-2017	2,914,056	141	4.84	4.07-5.71
1998-2017	10,984,537	500	5.10*	3.83-6.80

* Prevalence does not correspond to the simple ratio between cases and births, as it is estimated using Poisson regression with random effects models to adjust for Registry (see Methods).

Table 2. Number of cases and prevalence (per 100,000 births) of aplasia cutis congenita in 28 EUROCAT registries.

Registry	Years included	Total births	Number of cases	Prevalence (95%CI)
Vaud (Switzerland)	1998-2017	155,522	27	17.36 (11.44-25.26)
Malta	1998-2017	83,668	10	11.95 (5.73-21.98)
Tuscany (Italy)	1998-2017	566,324	56	9.89 (7.47-12.84)
Odense (Denmark)	2000-2015	81,392	8	9.83 (4.24-19.37)
Brittany (France)	2011-2017	244,690	24	9.81 (6.28-14.59)
Basque Country (Spain)	1998-2016	367,440	32	8.71 (5.96-12.29)
Auvergne (France)	1998-2017	268,338	23	8.57 (5.43-12.86)
Wielkopolska (Poland)	1998-2017	741,725	55	7.42 (5.59-9.65)
Saxony Anhalt (Germany)	1998-2017	336,187	23	6.84 (4.34-10.27)
Cork & Kerry (Ireland)	1998-2017	181,756	11	6.05 (3.02-10.83)
Isle de Reunion (France)	2002-2017	232,043	13	5.60 (2.98-9.58)
Wales (UK)	1998-2017	668,205	37	5.54 (3.90-7.63)
Antwerp (Belgium)	1998-2016	370,959	20	5.39 (3.29-8.33)
Emilia Romagna (Italy)	1998-2017	690,381	35	5.07 (3.53-7.05)
Styria (Austria)	1998-2016	199,998	7	3.50 (1.41-7.21)
Paris (France)	1998-2017	561,416	18	3.21 (1.90-5.07)
North Netherland	1998-2017	360,762	10	2.77 (1.33-5.10)
OMNI-Net (Ukraine)	2005-2016	360,948	10	2.77 (1.33-5.10)
East Midlands & South Yorkshire (UK)	1998-2012 & 2016-2017	1,143,462	30	2.62 (1.77-3.75)
South East Ireland	1998-2017	137,175	3	2.19 (0.45-6.39)
South Portugal	1998-2017	366,939	8	2.18 (0.94-4.30)
Northern England (UK)	2000-2017	575,155	12	2.09 (1.08-3.64)
South West England (UK)	2005-2017	641,971	12	1.87 (0.97-3.27)
Valencian Region (Spain)	2007-2016	489,361	8	1.63 (0.70-3.22)
French West Indies (France)	2009-2017	85,250	1	1.17 (0.03-6.54)
Thames Valley (UK)	2005-2017	387,555	4	1.03 (0.28-2.64)
Wessex (UK)	1998-2017	570,130	3	0.53 (0.11-1.54)
Zagreb (Croatia)	1998-2015	115,785	0	0.00
TOTAL		10,984,537	500	5.10 (3.83-6.80)

Table 3. Proportions of aplasia cutis congenita associated with EUROCAT congenital anomaly subgroups. Associated cases are also reported according to the EUROCAT Multiple Congenital Anomaly Algorithm (multiple anomalies, genetic syndromes, and chromosomal anomalies).

Associated anomaly subgroups	Total* n (%)	Multiple anomalies [§] n (%)	Genetic syndromes n (%)	Chromosomal anomalies n (%)
Limb	80 (16.0)	29 (36.2)	14 (17.5)	37 (46.3)
CHD	77 (15.4)	29 (37.7)	9 (11.7)	39 (50.6)
Nervous system	36 (7.2)	10 (27.8)	4 (11.1)	22 (61.1)
Eye	26 (5.2)	3 (11.5)	0 (0.0)	23 (88.5)
Genital system	26 (5.2)	12 (46.2)	3 (11.5)	11 (42.3)
Urinary system	25 (5.0)	11 (44.0)	4 (16.0)	10 (40.0)
Digestive system	23 (4.6)	9 (39.1)	6 (26.1)	8 (34.8)
Oro-facial cleft	11 (2.2)	2 (18.2)	2 (18.2)	7 (63.6)
Ear, face and neck	15 (3.0)	3 (20.0)	2 (13.3)	10 (66.7)
Respiratory system	6 (1.2)	2 (33.3)	3 (50.0)	1 (16.7)

* The sum of the percentages does not equal to 100% because each aplasia cutis congenita case can be associated with more than one anomaly across different subgroups. Proportions are calculated on 500 total aplasia cutis congenita cases.

§ Cases with two or more major congenital anomalies in different organ systems, where the pattern of anomalies has not been recognized as part of a monogenic or chromosomal syndrome or sequence.

CHD = Congenital heart defects.

Table 4. Distribution of associated congenital anomalies among the groups of chromosomal anomalies, genetic syndromes, congenital heart defects (CHD) and anomalies of the nervous system.

Group	n	%	% on total ACC cases (n=500)
Chromosomal anomalies (n=60)			
Patau syndrome (Trisomy 13)	53	88.3	10.6
Partial trisomies/monosomies of the autosomes	4	6.7	0.8
Klinefelter syndrome	1	1.7	0.2
Deletion of X chromosome	1	1.7	0.2
Karyotype 47, XYY	1	1.7	0.2
Genetic syndromes (n=26)			
Adams-Oliver syndrome	15	57.7	3.0
Johanson-Blizzard syndrome	4	15.4	0.8
Goltz-Gorlin syndrome	2	7.7	0.4
Epidermolysis bullosa	2	7.7	0.4
Opitz G syndrome	1	3.8	0.2
Nevus sebaceous syndrome	1	3.8	0.2
Pena-Shokeir syndrome	1	3.8	0.2
Severe CHD (n=25)			
Atrio-ventricular septal defects	5	6.5	1.0
Hypoplastic left heart	5	6.5	1.0
Tetralogy of Fallot	4	5.2	0.8
Common arterial truncus	4	5.2	0.8
Transposition of great arteries	2	2.6	0.4
Pulmonary valve atresia	2	2.6	0.4
Coarctation of the aorta	2	2.6	0.4
Hypoplastic right heart	1	1.3	0.2
Aortic valve atresia/stenosis	1	1.3	0.2
Double outlet right ventricle	1	1.3	0.2
Anomalies of the nervous system (n=36)			
Arhinencephaly/holoprosencephaly	10	27.8	2.0
Neural tube defects	7	19.4	1.4
Agenesis of corpus callosum	7	19.4	1.4
Severe microcephaly	7	19.4	1.4
Hydrocephalus	2	5.6	0.4
Cerebral cysts	2	5.6	0.4
Malformation of brain	2	5.6	0.4
Arnold-Chiari syndrome	1	2.8	0.2

The sum of the percentages of each group does not equal to 100% because some aplasia cutis congenita cases can be associated with more than one anomaly.

Table 5. Differences in birth weight, gestational age and maternal age between isolated and non-isolated live birth cases of aplasia cutis congenita.

Maternal and fetal characteristics	Isolated	Non-isolated			
	mean (95%CI)	Total mean (95%CI)	Multiple anomalies mean (95%CI)	Genetic syndromes mean (95%CI)	Chromosomal syndromes mean (95%CI)
Birth weight [§] (<i>grams</i>)	3697 (3504-3890)	3207(2973-3441)*	3320 (3600-3636)	2831 (2564-3098)*	3175 (2600-3750)
Gestational age (<i>weeks</i>)	40.6 (39.4-41.7)	39.1 (37.5-40.6)	39.1 (37.4-40.7)	38.2 (37.1-39.2)	39.5 (35.4-43.7)
Maternal age (<i>years</i>)	30.3 (29.4-31.2)	30.0 (29.0-31.1)	29.5 (28.3-30.8)	28.9 (26.3-31.5)	31.5 (29.2-33.8)

* statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$) with respect to the reference (isolated ACC)

[§] for term-born infants (gestational age ≥ 37 weeks)

Abbreviation: 95%CI, Confidence Interval 95%.