

Persistent organic pollutant exposure and thyroid function among 12-year-old children

Hélène Tillaut, Christine Monfort, Frank Giton, Charline Warembourg, Florence Rouget, Sylvaine Cordier, Fabrice Lainé, Éric Gaudreau, Ronan Garlantezec, Dave Saint-Amour, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Hélène Tillaut, Christine Monfort, Frank Giton, Charline Warembourg, Florence Rouget, et al.. Persistent organic pollutant exposure and thyroid function among 12-year-old children. Neuroendocrinology, 2023, 113 (12), pp.1232-1247. 10.1159/000528631 . hal-03922726

HAL Id: hal-03922726 https://hal.science/hal-03922726

Submitted on 2 Jun 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Neuroendocrinology

Research Article

Neuroendocrinology DOI: 10.1159/000528631 Received: May 16, 2022 Accepted: November 17, 2022 Published online: December 9, 2022

Persistent Organic Pollutant Exposure and Thyroid Function among 12-Year-Old Children

Hélène Tillaut^a Christine Monfort^a Frank Giton^{b, c} Charline Warembourg^a Florence Rouget^d Sylvaine Cordier^a Fabrice Lainé^e Eric Gaudreau^f Ronan Garlantézec^c Dave Saint-Amour^{g, h} Cécile Chevrier^a

^aUniv Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) - UMR_S 1085, Rennes, France; ^bAP-HP, Pôle Biologie-Pathologie Henri Mondor, Créteil, France; ^cInserm IMRB, Faculté de Santé, Créteil, France; ^dUniv Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) - UMR_S 1085, Rennes, France; ^eCHU Rennes, Inserm CIC1414, Rennes, France; ^fCentre de Toxicologie du Québec (CTQ), Institut national de santé publique du Québec (INSPQ), Quebec, QC, Canada; ^gDépartement de Psychologie, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada; ^hCentre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sainte-Justine, Montréal, QC, Canada

Keywords

Persistent organic pollutant · Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances · Thyroid function · Adolescence

Abstract

Introduction: Polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs), organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), and per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) are persistent organic pollutants (POPs) having numerous toxicological properties, including thyroid endocrine disruption. Our aim was to assess the impact of POPs on thyroid hormones among 12-year-old children, while taking puberty into consideration. **Methods:** Exposure to 7 PCBs, 4 OCPs, and 6 PFASs (in µg/L), and free tri-iodothyronine (fT3, pg/mL), free thyroxine (fT4, ng/dL), and thyroid-stimulating hormones (TSH, mIU/L) were assessed through blood-serum measurements at age 12 years in 249 boys and 227 girls of the PELAGIE mother-child cohort (France). Pubertal status was clinically rated using the Tanner stages. For each POP, associations were estimated using linear regression, adjusted for

Karger@karger.com www.karger.com/nen

Karger

OPEN ACCESS

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY) (http://www.karger.com/Services/ OpenAccessLicense). Usage, derivative works and distribution are permitted provided that proper credit is given to the author and the original publisher. potential confounders. Results: Among boys, hexachlorobenzene and perfluorodecanoic acid were associated with decreased fT3 (log-scale; β [95% confidence interval] = -0.07 [-0.12, -0.02] and $\beta = -0.03$ [-0.06, -0.00], respectively). Intermediate levels of perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS) and PCB180 were associated, respectively, with increased and decreased fT4. After stratification on pubertal status, PCBs and OCPs were associated with decreased TSH only in the more advanced Tanner stages (3–5) and with decreased fT3 among early Tanner stages (1–2). Among girls, PFHxS was associated with decreased TSH (log-scale; $\beta = -0.15$ [-0.29,-0.00]), and perfluorooctanoic acid was associated with decreased fT3 $(\beta_{2nd tercile} = -0.06 [-0.10, -0.03] \text{ and } \beta_{3rd tercile} = -0.04 [-0.08, -0.04]$ 0.00], versus. 1st tercile). Discussion: This cross-sectional study highlights associations between some POPs and thyroid function disruption, which appears consistent with the literature. Considering that the associations were sex-specific and moderated by pubertal status in boys, complex endocrine interactions are likely involved. © 2022 The Author(s).

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

Correspondence to: Hélène Tillaut, helene.tillaut@univ-rennes1.fr

Introduction

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) are persistent organic pollutants (POPs) that are widespread in the environment. Because of their lipophilic properties, these chemicals bioaccumulate in both organisms (including humans) and food chains. Exposure occurs mainly via the consumption of contaminated food [1] but also via dust ingestion, absorption from dermal contact, and inhalation. The use of legacy POPs (PCBs and some OCPs) has been restricted by the UN Stockholm Convention since 2004, and other OCPs have been added to the list since then. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) are a group of synthetic chemicals having hydrophobic and lipophobic properties. They enter the composition of everyday consumer products, including food packaging, nonstick cookware, firefighting foam, and clothing [1]. Two of these, namely perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS), were added to the Stockholm Convention POP list. As a result of environmental contamination, the general population worldwide is widely exposed to POPs, which are a ubiquitous presence in human biological samples [2, 3].

Experimental in vivo and in vitro studies have shown that exposure to POPs leads to disruption of thyroid hormone (TH) homeostasis [4]. THs, tri-iodothyronine (T3) and thyroxine (T4), and thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) all play a major role in numerous human physiological processes - such as metabolism, cardiovascular functions, and growth and development in children. Small intrapersonal changes in thyroid function, within the normal reference range, have been linked to adverse health outcomes [5]. Furthermore, TH dysregulation or disease might also influence cognitive functions [6] and mental health, including dysregulated mood [7], depression [8, 9], behavior [10], and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children [11, 12]. The most relevant mechanisms of thyroid dysfunction due to endocrinedisrupting chemicals are: disturbance of overall thyroid gland activity via interference with the TH or TSH receptors through stimulation, inhibition or interference with other receptors on the thyrocyte; stimulation or inhibition of the enzyme functions that mediate the thyroid gland iodine uptake in the synthesis of T3 and T4; and competitive displacement of THs on their binding protein [4].

Several epidemiological studies have highlighted associations between exposure to POPs and TH disruption in adults as well as in children and adolescents. Overall, though decreased TSH and increased THs were generally observed, results varied depending on the hormones and pollutants studied. Studies among children and young adults have reported either a drop in TSH serum level in relation to increasing serum PFOA in both sexes [13], or in girls [14], or a rise in TSH level with increasing hexachlorobenzene (HCB) [15], PCBs [16], PFOS, and perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA) in boys [14]. An increase in fT4 with increased PCBs, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (p,p'-DDE), HCB [15], and PFOA [13], and with PFNA with sex-specific effects was also reported [13, 17, 18].

Pubertal development is a time windows that brings major hormonal modifications, including rises in sex hormones as well as changes to TH level [19–21], with overall decreases in TSH, free T3 (fT3), and free T4 (fT4) as well as transient peaks that can be specific to sex or pubertal stage [21]. In general, puberty involves complex neuroendocrine mechanisms that are influenced by several factors, including POPs. Several studies have investigated POP exposure in relation to pubertal timing, at either onset or late milestone points, such as age at menarche [22–26], but their results vary and remain inconclusive.

In the present study, we aimed to assess the impact of several POPs on THs (TSH, fT3, and fT4) levels measured in blood serum among a sample of French boys and girls aged 12 years. A secondary objective was to explore the associations between POP exposure and THs across pubertal status, as observed among the 12-year-old children.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

The PELAGIE mother-child cohort included 3,421 pregnant women from Brittany, France, between 2002 and 2006. Women were recruited before the 19th week of gestation by their gynecologist, obstetrician, or ultrasonographer at their first prenatal visit [27]. A total of 2,620 participants, not-lost-of-follow-up, were invited to the follow-up of the PELAGIE cohort organized when children reached 12 years of age. Of these, 1191 were eligible for the clinical examination (45%), which was restricted to those families for which a cord blood sample was collected at birth; 933 participants were contacted by phone and 559 agreed to their child's participation in a clinical examination conducted in a hospital setting. During this examination, puberty status was assessed by trained professionals, using Tanner's 5 stages [28], while age at menarche was self-reported. Children who were included in the clinical exam were drawn a 21 mL blood sample. The children's mothers completed a self-administered questionnaire on their family, social and demographic characteristics, diet, lifestyle, and the child's health. Adult participants provided written informed consent, and children provided a written assent.

Thyroid Hormone Analyses

fT3, fT4, and TSH were measured in blood serum by immunoenzymatic colorimetric assay (DKO013 TSH ELISA Kit, DKO037 fT3 ELISA Kit, DKO038 fT4 ELISA Kit, DiaMetra). Analyses were performed at Henri Mondor Hospital in Paris. The results were expressed as concentrations in mIU/L (international unit) for TSH, pg/mL for fT3, and ng/dL for fT4. The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were ≤4.6% and ${\leq}10.8\%$ for TSH, ${\leq}4.94\%$ and ${\leq}13.19\%$ for fT3, and ${\leq}10.98\%$ and ≤10.81% for fT4, respectively. The lowest detectable concentrations that can be distinguished from the calibrator 0 with a confidence limit of 95% were 0.01 mIU/L for TSH, 0.05 pg/mL for fT3, and 0.05 ng/dL for fT4. Serum concentrations of free T3, free T4, and TSH were used as main outcomes and we also explored the ratio of fT4/fT3 (using molar weights) as a possible marker of peripheral thyroid metabolism by deiodinase enzymes [29].

Assessment of POP Exposure

Fourteen POPs were selected according to their potential of exposure of the general population in the Brittany coastal region (past usages, environmental contamination data, and human biomonitoring data) [30, 31]. For PFAS, selection was made on the basis of the national French studies on food contamination and biomonitoring [32]. Exposure to POPs was assessed by measuring biomarkers in blood serum samples (same sample as for TSH, fT3, and fT4 analysis): 7 PCBs (PCBs 118, 138, 153, 170, 180, 187, and 194), 4 OCPs (beta-hexachlorocyclohexane [beta-HCH], HCB, dieldrin, and p,p'-DDE), and 6 PFASs (PFOA, PFNA, perfluorodecanoic acid [PFDA], perfluoroundecanoic acid [PFUdA], perfluorohexane sulfonate [PFHxS], and PFOS) were determined by the Centre de toxicologie du Québec (CTQ) at the Institut national de santé publique du Québec (INSPQ).

Two milliliters of serum (or cord serum) samples were enriched, using internal labeled standards, and proteins were denaturized using reagent alcohol. The POP compounds (PCBs and OCPs) were extracted with hexane from the aqueous matrix using a liquid-liquid extraction in the presence of a saturated ammonium sulfate solution. These extracts were cleaned up on deactivated 0.5% florisil columns. Elution was broken down into 2 steps: the first fraction was eluted with a mixture of dichloromethane: hexane (25:75; 9 mL) and contains all compounds except heptachlor epoxide, endrin, dieldrin, endosulfan I, and endosulfan II, which were then eluted in the second fraction with a mixture of acetone (dichloromethane, 2:98, 4 mL). The solvent of the first fraction was evaporated, taken up in 125 µL of hexane concentrated to 20 µL, and analyzed for PCBs and OCPs on an Agilent 6890 Network or 7890A gas chromatograph equipped with an Agilent 7683 or 7693 series automatic injector and an Agilent 5973 Network or 5975C mass spectrometer (MS) (Agilent Technologies Inc.; Mississauga, ON, Canada). The GC was fitted with an Agilent 60 m DB-XLB column (0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness) to the MS and an Agilent Ultra-1 50 m (0.20 mm i.d., 0.33 µm film thickness) to the ECD. The carrier gas was helium, and the injections were 3 µL in the splitless mode. Fraction 2 was also evaporated, taken up in 20 µL of acetonitrile, and analyzed on a GC-MS described above. The injection was 2 μ L in the splitless mode. All the MSs were operated in selected ion monitoring, using negative ion chemical ionization, with methane (99.97%) as

the reagent gas. Total cholesterol (TC), free cholesterol (FC), triglycerides, and phospholipids levels were also measured in these samples by enzymatic methods (in g/L) and allowed to calculate the total lipid level as $1.677*(\text{total cholesterol} - FC) + FC + \text{tri$ $glycerides} + phospholipids [33].$

The PFAS analysis was split into 2 sets. For the first set (boy samples), serum samples (100 µL) were enriched with labeled internal standards (PFBA-13C4, PFHxA-13C6, PFOA-13C4, PFNA-¹³C₉, PFDA-¹³C₉, PFUdA-¹³C₇, PFHxS-¹³C₃, and PFOS-¹³C₄) and acidified with a 50% formic acid solution. Thereafter, the samples were extracted using a solid-phase extraction with a Strata-X AW 96-well plate 30 mg (33 µm) (Phenomenex; Torrance, CA, USA). After conditioning the 96-well plate with methanol and water and processing the samples, the resin was washed with a 2% formic acid solution, and methanol and analytes were eluted by the solution of 5% NH₄OH in methanol. The extracts were evaporated to dryness and dissolved in 900 µL of 5 mM ammonium acetate in 40% methanol. The samples were analyzed using Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography (UPLC Waters Acquity) with a tandem mass spectrometer (MS/MS Waters Xevo TQ-S) (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) in the MRM mode, with an electrospray ion source in the negative mode. The column used was an ACE EX-CEL C18-PFP 50 mm \times 2.1 mm, 2.0 μ m (ACE; Aberdeen, Scotland). The mobile phase was consisted of a gradient of (30:70) methanol: H₂O with 5 mM ammonium acetate to 100% methanol with 5 mM ammonium acetate in 14.6 min with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min.

To improve the sensitivity and the precision of the method, the second set of PFAS analysis (girl samples) was done with essentially the same method than previous but with the following differences: the samples were extracted using a solid-phase extraction with SiliaPrep X WAX cartridges 100 mg/3 mL (SiliCycle; Québec, Canada). The extracts were reconstituted in 1 mL of 5 mM ammonium acetate in 20% acetonitrile. The column used for the analysis by UPLC-MS/MS was the same but longer: ACE EXCEL C18-PFP 100 mm × 2.1 mm, 2.0 µm. The mobile phase was consisted of a gradient of (10:90) acetonitrile: H₂O with 5 mM ammonium acetate to 100% acetonitrile with 5 mM ammonium acetate in 7.0 min with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. Based on the Bland-Altman plots, the mean percentage differences between the two PFAS methods were lower than 3.2% for the analytes measured and calculated on the analysis of 66-150 samples depending on the level of detection of the analyte. The two methods were then considered equivalent. Blood samples of 476 of the 559 children who attended the clinical examination at the age of 12 years were analyzed for POP measurements. Concentrations were reported as wet weight (μ g/L).

Statistical Analyses

Following visual verification for normality distribution, TSH, fT3, and fT4 hormones were log-transformed. Pearson correlation coefficients between log-transformed concentrations were calculated. POP compounds detected in fewer than 70% of the samples were not included in the analyses. Distributions of selected POPs were graphically checked and concentrations were log-transformed. Values below the LOD were randomly imputed from a log-normal probability distribution, the parameters of which were estimated by a maximum-likelihood method [34]. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated between imputed log-transformed POP concentrations.

Characteristics	Boys		Girls	
	N	%	N	%
Age of child, years				
mean (SD)	12.81 (0.14)		12.81 (0.13)	
NA	0		0	
Marital status				
couple	231	92.8	208	92.4
separated	18	7.2	17	7.6
NA	0		2	
BMI				
mean (SD) kg/m ²	17.86 (2.20)		18.47 (2.72)	
NA	0		0	
Socioeconomic status				
Mother's education				
<12 years	21	8.5	18	8.1
12 years	32	13.0	43	19.4
≥12 years	194	78.5	161	72.5
NA	2		5	
Father's education				
<12 years	44	18.1	47	21.7
12 years	47	19.3	39	18.0
≥12 years	152	62.6	131	60.4
NA	6		10	
Passive tobacco smoking				
Non exposed	182	73.4	151	68.6
Exposed	66	26.6	69	31.4
NA	1	0.4	7	3.1
Thyroid hormonal disorders am	ong parents			
Mother				
No	211	85.8	191	87.2
Yes	35	14.2	28	12.8
NA	3		8	
Father				
No	199	98.0	197	99.5
Yes	4	2.0	1	0.5
NA	46		29	
Child breastfed				
No	64	29.8	54	28.0
≤3 months	60	27.9	53	27.5
>3 months	91	42.3	86	44.6
NA	34		34	
Puberty				
	Voice changed (boys)	Menarche (girl	s)
No	202	82.1	133	58.6
Yes	44	17.9	94	41.4
NA	3		0	
Tanner stages	Male external ge		Female breast	development
Stage 1	20	9.0	8	3.5
Stage 2	54	24.2	46	20.3
Stage 3	87	39.0	122	53.7
Stage 4	50	22.4	42	18.5
Stage 5	12	5.4	9	4.0
NA	26		0	

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population for boys (n = 249) and girls (n = 227)

Table 1	(continued)
---------	-------------

Characteristics	Boys		Girls	
	N	%	N	%
Timing of blood draw				
Season				
Spring	72	28.9	61	26.9
Summer	66	26.5	61	26.9
Autumn	55	22.1	66	29.1
Winter	56	22.5	39	17.2
NA	0		0	
Day time				
Mean (SD)	13h 32 (2h 14)		13h 42 (2h 13)	
Morning	92	36.9	80	35.2
Afternoon	157	63.1	147	64.8
NA	0		0	

Female breast development scale: Stage 1: No glandular breast tissue palpable. Stage 2: Breast bud palpable under the areola (first pubertal sign in females). Stage 3: Breast tissue palpable outside areola; no areolar development. Stage 4: Areola elevated above the contour of the breast, forming a "double scoop" appearance. Stage 5: Areolar mound recedes into single breast contour with areolar hyperpigmentation, papillae development, and nipple protrusion. Male external genitalia scale: Stage 1: Testicular volume <4 mL or long axis <2.5 cm. Stage 2: 4–8 mL (or 2.5 to 3.3 cm long), 1st pubertal sign in males. Stage 3: 9–12 mL (or 3.4 to 4.0 cm long). Stage 4: 15–20 mL (or 4.1 to 4.5 cm long). Stage 5: >20 mL (or >4.5 cm long).

Covariate Adjustment Strategy

Potential covariates were obtained from questionnaires completed at inclusion (first trimester of pregnancy), at birth or at the age of 2, 6, or 12 years. They were selected a priori on the basis of the existing literature on factors influencing THs. A minimal set of confounders were included, namely parental (maternal or paternal) history of thyroid hormonal disorders (yes/no), season, and time of day for the blood draw. For lipophilic POPs (PCBs and OCPs), total lipids were also included in the models. For additional potential confounders selection, passive tobacco smoke exposure (yes/no), number of years in full-time education for mother and father (in 3 groups: <12 years, 12 years, >12 years), and whether the child was breastfed (not at all, ≤ 3 months, >3 months) were also considered. For each hormone and each POP family (PCBs, OCPs and PFASs), we retained the covariates associated with the hormone and at least one POP using minimally adjusted models with p < 0.2 (except for PFOA that differed from other PFASs). Other than for breastfeeding history, selected covariates had very few missing data (<3.5%) and were then simply imputed with the mode. For breastfeeding (missing data n = 68, 16%), simple imputation was made with chained equations, using a proportional odds model for ordered variables [35] and 10 potential predictive variables, collected at inclusion and at birth. Among the predicted values, the proportions of children not breastfed and breastfed for less than 3 months or longer than 3 months were no different from those among observed values ($p\chi^2 = 0.7$).

Principal Analyses

We used separate linear regression models to estimate associations between each POP and each of the 3 hormones' serum concentrations (both log-transformed) and the fT4/fT3 ratio adjusted

Children Exposure to Persistent Organic Pollutants and Thyroid Function for the covariates selected in the minimal adjustment set. All these models were further adjusted for potential confounders (identified as listed above). Beta coefficients with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were computed.

Linearity was investigated using models including restricted cubic splines adjusted for the minimal set of covariates. Models with exposure included as either a continuous variable or a restricted cubic spline were compared; linearity was rejected when the *p* value of the log-likelihood ratio test was <0.1 [36]. POP concentrations were then categorized into terciles in the regression models. All models were stratified on child sex.

Sensitivity Analyses

THs are involved in mechanisms that can affect BMI [37], but this relationship can also be reversed [38]. To avoid over adjustment in the main analysis, the potential influence of BMI was examined only in a sensitivity analysis by including child BMI as a continuous covariate in the fully adjusted models.

Considering that prenatal exposure to POPs can affect both maternal TH homeostasis and that of the child [39–41], the potential influence of POP concentrations in cord-serum samples was examined. At least one POP analysis in cord-serum sample was available for every child included in the main analysis (n = 476). The same analysis strategy (log-transformation, imputation, and linearity testing) as for POPs analyzed at the age of 12 years was used. Spearman rank correlations between prenatal and 12-year POP concentrations were less than 0.3. We then included in each model the corresponding cord-serum log-transformed POP concentration (as well as total lipid concentration in cord serum for PCBs and OCPs) to check whether the results were affected. For this sensitivity analysis, both the main models and those models

further adjusted for cord-serum POP concentrations were run on the samples of children having data both for cord serum and 12-year-olds' serum for each POP.

Secondary Analysis

Pubertal status was categorized into 3 groups for boys and girls: (1) Tanner stages 1 and 2, representing a somewhat delayed puberty; (2) Tanner stage 3, expected at this age; and (3) Tanner stages 4 and 5, advanced puberty. Linear regression models were fitted, first including an interaction term between exposure and pubertal status, and then stratifying on these 3 groups for boys and girls separately. The minimal set of covariates identified in the principal analysis was used for adjustment.

All analyses were performed using R software [42]. A p value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant, and the heterogeneity effect was reported when the *p* value for interaction was < 0.2.

Results

Measurements for THs, and at least one POP were available for 476 children (249 boys and 227 girls). The characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1. The mean age at clinical examination was 12.8 years (SD = 0.1). More than 90% of children came from families with adults living in couples, and more than 70% of mothers and 60% of fathers were highly educated (more than 12 years of studies). Around 30% of children were exposed to passive tobacco smoking (i.e., at least one adult smoking at home). Thirteen percent of mothers and 1% of fathers reported having been diagnosed with thyroid disorders. A little less than half of girls (41.4%) were postmenarche, and 8% of boys were considered prepubertal (Tanner stage 1).

TH distributions are summarized in Table 2. Median TSH was 0.87 mIU/L for boys and girls (range: 0.23-5.76 and 0.21-3.65, respectively). Median fT3 was 3.32 pg/mL (range: 2.49-4.40) for boys and 3.25 pg/mL (range: 2.42-6.41) for girls. Median fT4 was 0.98 ng/dL (range: 0.55–1.48) for boys and 0.95 ng/dL (range: 0.51-1.34) for girls. Correlation coefficients reached 0.25 between log-transformed fT3 and TSH, and 0.31 between fT3 and fT4 for girls (p < 0.001) and 0.17 between log-transformed fT3 and fT4 (p < 0.01) for boys.

Concentrations of TSH did not differ according to pubertal status among boys or girls. fT3 concentrations increased in boys across puberty stages 1-2, 3, and 4-5, from 3.21 pg/mL to 3.42 pg/mL (geometric mean) and decreased in girls from 3.32 pg/mL to 3.14 pg/mL. fT4 concentrations decreased among boys from 1.03 ng/dL

Percentiles	TSH		fT3				fT4			
	Boys (<i>n</i> = 249)	Girls ($n = 227$)	Boys $(n = 249)$	49)	Girls ($n = 227$)	(27)	Boys $(n = 249)$	249)	Girls ($n = 227$)	227)
	mIU/L	mIU/L	pg/mL	pmol/L ^a	pg/mL	pmol/L ^a	ng/dL	pmol/L ^b	ng/dL	pmol/L ^b
2.5	0.38	0.35	2.67	4.11	2.61	4.01	0.78	10.04	0.78	10.04
10	0.51	0.49	2.90	4.45	2.80	4.30	0.87	11.20	0.86	11.07
25	0.66	0.65	3.09	4.75	2.99	4.59	0.92	11.84	0.91	11.71
50	0.87	0.87	3.32	5.10	3.25	4.99	0.98	12.61	0.95	12.23
75	1.27	1.23	3.56	5.47	3.48	5.34	1.04	13.39	1.03	13.19
06	1.65	1.59	3.76	5.78	3.71	5.70	1.12	14.42	1.09	14.08
97.5	2.14	2.28	4.01	6.17	4.06	6.23	1.25	16.09	1.20	15.45
Geometric mean (SD)	0.90 (1.61)	0.88 (1.63)	3.31 (1.10)		3.24 (1.13)		0.98 (1.13)		0.96 (1.12)	

Table 2. Distribution of TSH, fT3, and fT4 hormones among boys and girls aged 12 years

Tillaut et al.

Table 3. TSH, fT3, and fT4 levels according to pubertal status, among boys and girls aged 12 years

Geometric mean (SD)	Ν	TSH (mIU/L)	fT3 (pg/mL)	fT4 (ng/dL)
Boys	223			
Tanner stages 1–2 (genitalia)	74	0.90 (1.64)	3.21 (1.13)	1.03 (1.13)
Tanner stage 3 (genitalia)	87	0.91 (1.58)	3.36 (1.10)	0.97 (1.11)
Tanner stages 4–5 (genitalia)	62	0.89 (1.71)	3.42 (1.09)	0.94 (1.15)
p (ANOVA)		0.9	0.0009	0.0004
Girls	227			
Tanner stages 1–2 (breast)	54	0.86 (1.70)	3.32 (1.12)	0.96 (1.15)
Tanner stage 3 (breast)	122	0.86 (1.66)	3.25 (1.13)	0.96 (1.11)
Tanner stages 4–5 (breast)	51	0.97 (1.48)	3.14 (1.14)	0.96 (1.11)
p (ANOVA)		0.3	0.05	0.9

TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone; fT3, free tri-iodothyronine; fT4, free thyroxine; SD, standard deviation.

Table 4. POP serum concentrations, among boys and girls aged 12 years

POP	Sex	LOD (µg/L)	Ν	% ND	Q10 (µg/L)	Q25 (µg/L)	Q50 (µg/L)	Q75 (µg/L)	Q90 (µg/L)
PCB118	boys	0.01	249	23.69	<0.01	0.010	0.014	0.020	0.029
	girls	0.01	227	27.75	<0.01	<0.01	0.013	0.020	0.031
PCB138	boys	0.01	249	0.40	0.017	0.022	0.034	0.048	0.066
	girls	0.01	227	3.52	0.013	0.019	0.026	0.041	0.072
PCB153	boys	0.01	249	0.00	0.030	0.042	0.065	0.100	0.132
	girls	0.01	227	0.00	0.023	0.033	0.052	0.084	0.144
PCB180	boys	0.01	249	1.61	0.014	0.020	0.037	0.063	0.100
	girls	0.01	227	9.69	0.010	0.016	0.028	0.055	0.092
HCB	boys	0.02	249	0.00	0.037	0.043	0.054	0.065	0.075
	girls	0.02	227	0.44	0.032	0.037	0.045	0.055	0.068
p,p'-DDE	boys	0.02	249	0.00	0.060	0.070	0.092	0.140	0.192
	girls	0.02	227	0.00	0.050	0.060	0.087	0.130	0.190
Beta-HCH	boys	0.01	248	8.87	0.010	0.010	0.020	0.023	0.033
	girls	0.01	227	20.26	<0.01	0.010	0.010	0.020	0.031
PFOA	boys	0.07	249	0.00	0.898	1.100	1.400	1.600	1.920
	girls	0.02	227	0.00	0.816	0.970	1.200	1.400	1.700
PFNA	boys	0.09	231	0.00	0.300	0.400	0.480	0.610	0.740
	girls	0.01	227	0.00	0.300	0.360	0.440	0.560	0.838
PFUdA	boys	0.05	231	11.26	<0.05	0.070	0.100	0.100	0.200
	girls	0.01	227	0.00	0.064	0.080	0.100	0.140	0.180
PFDA	boys	0.06	234	0.00	0.100	0.200	0.200	0.260	0.327
	girls	0.01	227	0.00	0.120	0.150	0.180	0.220	0.280
PFHxS	boys	0.06	249	0.00	0.438	0.550	0.690	0.890	1.200
	girls	0.03	227	0.00	0.396	0.480	0.580	0.780	1.100
PFOS	boys	0.43	249	0.00	1.700	2.100	2.800	3.800	5.200
	girls	0.07	227	0.00	1.700	2.000	2.500	3.100	4.500

LOD, limit of detection; ND, not detected; HCB, hexachlorobenzene; p,p'-DDE, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene; beta-HCH, betahexachlorocyclohexane; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFUdA, perfluoroundecanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorohexane sulfonate; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonate.

(Tanner stages 1–2) to 0.94 ng/dL (Tanner stages 4–5) and did not differ according to the pubertal status among girls (Table 3).

Table 4 presents the distribution of POP serum concentrations for boys and girls. PCB 138, 153, 180, HCB, p,p'-DDE, PFOA, PFNA, PFDA, PFUAA, PFHxS, and

Children Exposure to Persistent Organic Pollutants and Thyroid Function

	TSH (mlU	log-transformed)	fT3 (pg/	mL log-transformed)	fT4 (ng/	dL log-transformed)
Exposure (µg/L log-transformed)	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI
PCB118	-0.068	(-0.192, 0.057) ^a			-0.015	(-0.048, 0.019) ^a
PCB118 (T2 vs. T1)			-0.029	(-0.062, 0.004) ^{b, #}		
PCB118 (T3 vs. T1)			-0.028	(-0.059, 0.004) ^{b, #}		
PCB138	-0.072	(-0.188, 0.045) ^a	-0.015	(–0.041, 0.011) ^b	-0.008	(-0.039, 0.023) ^a
PCB153	-0.047	(-0.149, 0.055) ^a			-0.004	(-0.031, 0.023) ^a
PCB153 (T2 vs. T1)			-0.025	(–0.057, 0.007) ^b		
PCB153 (T3 vs. T1)			-0.013	(–0.046, 0.019) ^b		
PCB180	-0.022	(-0.103, 0.059) ^a				
PCB180 (T2 vs. T1)			-0.028	(-0.060, 0.004) ^{b, #}	-0.041	(-0.080, -0.002) ^{a, *}
PCB180 (T3 vs. T1)			-0.025	(–0.059, 0.008) ^b	-0.004	(-0.044, 0.036)
HCB	-0.149	(-0.378, 0.080) ^a	-0.072	(-0.122, -0.022) ^{c, **}	-0.031	(-0.092, 0.030)
p,p'-DDE					0.003	(-0.026, 0.033)
p,p'-DDE (T2 vs. T1)	-0.015	(-0.159, 0.130) ^a	0.008	(-0.023, 0.040) ^c		
p,p'-DDE (T3 vs. T1)	-0.068	(-0.225, 0.090) ^a	-0.015	(-0.050, 0.019) ^c		
Beta-HCH	-0.087	(-0.189, 0.016) ^{a, #}	-0.009	(-0.032, 0.013) ^c		
Beta-HCH (T2 vs. T1)					-0.007	(-0.044, 0.031)
Beta-HCH (T3 vs. T1)					0.023	(-0.018, 0.064) ^a
PFOA	-0.002	(-0.178, 0.174)	-0.017	(–0.056, 0.021) ^d		
PFOA (T2 vs. T1)					0.012	(-0.026, 0.050)
PFOA (T3 vs. T1)					0.021	(-0.019, 0.060)
PFNA	0.072	(-0.091, 0.234)	-0.009	(-0.046, 0.028) ^d	0.022	(-0.024, 0.068)
PFUdA	-0.041	(-0.153, 0.072)			0.000	(-0.029, 0.030)
PFUdA (T2 vs. T1)			0.005	(–0.026, 0.037) ^d		
PFUdA (T3 vs. T1)			-0.010	(-0.049, 0.030) ^d		
PFDA	-0.108	(-0.242, 0.027)	-0.035	(-0.064, -0.005) ^{d, *}	0.012	(-0.025, 0.048)
PFHxS	0.056	(-0.087, 0.198)	-0.013	(-0.044, 0.019) ^d		. ,
PFHxS (T2 vs. T1)		. , ,		. , ,	0.039	(0.000, 0.078)*
PFHxS (T3 vs. T1)					0.022	(-0.017, 0.061)
PFOS	-0.047	(-0.167, 0.072)	-0.016	(-0.043, 0.010) ^d	0.002	(-0.030, 0.033)

Table 5. Associations between POP serum concentrations and TSH, fT3, and fT4 serum concentrations at age 12 years among boys
(<i>n</i> = 249)

All models adjusted for parental history of thyroid disease, season, and hour of blood drawing. HCB, hexachlorbenzene; p,p'-DDE, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene; beta-HCH, beta-hexachlorocyclohexane; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFUdA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFUdA, perfluoroundecanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorohexane sulfonate; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonate. Models further adjusted for footnotes a–d. ^aTotal lipids (g/L). ^bTotal lipids (g/L), father's education level (<12 years, 12 years, >12 years) and passive tobacco smoking (yes/no). ^cTotal lipids (g/L), father's education level (<12 years, >12 years). ^dFor father's education level (<12 years, 12 years). ^dFor father's education level (<12 years).

PFOS were detected in more than 90% of samples, followed by beta-HCH detected in 91% of samples among boys and 80% among girls, and PCB 118 detected in 75% of samples for both sexes.

Overall, median concentrations were higher for boys than for girls. The POP Pearson correlation coefficients are shown in the supplemental material (online suppl. Fig. S1; for all online suppl. material, see www. karger.com/doi/10.1159/000528631). For both sexes, the strongest correlations were between PCB 138, 153, and 180 ($r \ge 0.9$). Of the OCPs, correlation coefficients reached 0.5 for girls and 0.4 for boys. For the PFAS family, the highest coefficients were observed between PFDA and PFUdA (r = 0.8) and PFOS (r = 0.7) for girls, and, between PFDA and PFUdA and PFOA (r = 0.6) and PFNA (r = 0.5) for boys. Between families, higher correlation coefficients were observed between p,p'-DDE and beta-HCH and PCB 138, 153, and 180 (r values ≥ 0.7 for girls and boys).

Associations between POP Serum Concentrations and Thyroid Hormones and TSH Serum Concentrations Boys

Overall, POP exposure was associated with decreased TSH, fT3, and fT4, with the exception of PFAS exposure,

	TSH (mIU	log-transformed)	fT3 (pg/n	nL log-transformed)	fT4 (ng/d	L log-transformed)
Exposure (µg/L log-transformed)	β	95% Cl	β	95% Cl	β	95% CI
PCB118	-0.006	(-0.115, 0.103) ^a	-0.017	(-0.046, 0.011) ^c	0.000	(-0.026, 0.027) ^c
PCB138	-0.026	(-0.128, 0.075) ^a	-0.026	(-0.055, 0.003) ^{c, #}	0.006	(-0.021, 0.033) ^c
PCB153	-0.013	(-0.106, 0.080) ^a	-0.021	(-0.048, 0.007) ^c	0.003	(-0.023, 0.029) ^c
PCB180	-0.003	(-0.078, 0.072) ^a	-0.019	(-0.042, 0.004) ^c	-0.001	(-0.022, 0.021) ^c
НСВ	-0.149	(-0.359, 0.062) ^a	-0.054	(–0.111, 0.003) ^{c, #}	0.033	(-0.020, 0.086) ^c
p,p'-DDE			-0.026	(-0.057, 0.004) ^{c, #}	0.014	(-0.014, 0.042) ^c
p,p'-DDE (T2 vs. T1)	-0.047	(-0.201, 0.106) ^a				
p,p'-DDE (T3 vs. T1)	-0.086	(-0.238, 0.066) ^a				
Beta-HCH	0.085	(-0.022, 0.193) ^a	-0.012	(-0.042, 0.018) ^c		
Beta-HCH (T2 vs. T1)					-0.012	(-0.049, 0.025) ^c
Beta-HCH (T3 vs. T1)					0.015	(-0.025, 0.056) ^c
PFOA	-0.098	(–0.310, 0.115) ^b			0.009	(-0.042, 0.060) ^c
PFOA (T2 vs. T1)			-0.063	(-0.100, -0.026) ^{d, **}		
PFOA (T3 vs. T1)			-0.042	(-0.082, -0.002) ^{d, *}		
PFNA	-0.055	(-0.203, 0.093)	-0.012	(–0.050, 0.025) ^d	0.006	(–0.029, 0.040) ^d
PFUdA	0.001	(-0.139, 0.141)	0.005	(-0.031, 0.040) ^d	0.006	(–0.029, 0.038) ^d
PFDA	-0.026	(-0.205, 0.153)	-0.024	(-0.068, 0.021) ^d	0.007	(-0.034, 0.048) ^d
PFHxS	-0.149	(-0.294, -0.004)*	-0.019	(–0.056, 0.018) ^d	0.020	(-0.014, 0.054) ^d
PFOS	-0.130	(-0.285, 0.026)	0.000	(–0.040, 0.039) ^d		
PFOS (T2 vs. T1)					-0.003	(–0.038, 0.032) ^d
PFOS (T3 vs. T1)					0.008	(–0.029, 0.045) ^d

Table 6. Associations between POP serum concentrations and TSH, fT3, and fT4 serum concentrations at age 12 years, among girls (n = 227)

All models adjusted for parental history of thyroid disease, season and hour of blood drawing. HCB, hexachlorbenzene; p,p'-DDE, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene; beta-HCH, beta hexachlorocyclohexane; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFUA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFUA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFUA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFUA, perfluorosectane sulfonate; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonate. Models further adjusted for a–d. ^a total lipids (g/L), father's education level (<12 years, 12 years, >12 years) and passive tobacco smoking (yes/no). ^b Forfather's education level (<12 years, >12 years) and passive tobacco smoking (yes/no). ^c Total lipids (g/L), breastfeeding (none, ≤ 3 months). ^d Breastfeeding (none, ≤ 3 months). [#] p < 0.1; * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01.

showing null association with fT4. In particular, HCB and PFDA serum concentrations were associated with decreasing fT3 serum concentration ($\beta = -0.07$ 95% CI = [-0.12, -0.02] and $\beta = -0.03$ 95% CI = [-0.06, -0.00], respectively). PCB 180 was associated with decreased fT4 in a nonlinear way, with a higher beta coefficient for the 2nd tercile than for the 3rd tercile ($\beta_{2nd vs 1st tercile} = -0.04$ 95% CI = [-0.08, -0.00] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = 0.00 95\%$ CI = [-0.04, 0.04]) and PFHxS was associated with increased fT4, with higher beta coefficients for the 2nd tercile than for the 3rd tercile ($\beta_{2ndvs.\ 1st tercile} = 0.0495\%$ CI = [0.00,0.08] and $\beta_{3rd vs. 1st tercile} = 0.02 95\%$ CI = [-0.02,0.06], Table 5). Similar results were obtained when we adjusted models for the minimal set of confounders (see online suppl. Table S1, shown in the supplemental material). Results for the fT4/fT3 ratio were mainly driven by fT3 results and showed similar conclusions, except that association with PFNA was stronger, and statistically significant (see online suppl. Table S2 in supplemental material). The shape of the statistically significant associations, using restricted cubic splines, is shown in online supplementary Figure S2 in supplemental material.

Girls

For girls, POP exposure, overall, was associated with decreased TSH and fT3 (Table 6). In particular, PFHxS serum concentration was associated with decreased TSH concentrations ($\beta = -0.15 \ 95\% \ CI = [-0.29, -0.00]$) and PFOA was associated with decreased fT3 ($\beta_{2nd vs \ 1st \ tercile} = -0.06 \ 95\% \ CI = [-0.08, -0.00]$). Similar results were obtained when adjusting for the minimal set of confounders, though the association between HCB and increased fT4 was significant (see online suppl. Table S1 in supplemental material). Results for the fT4/fT3 ratio showed similar conclusions than those for fT3 (see online suppl. Table S2 in supplemental material).

Table 7. Associations between POP serum concentrations and TSH, serum concentrations at age 12 years according to pubertal status among boys (*n* = 223)

	TSH (mll	J log-transformed)					
	Tanner 1 (<i>n</i> = 74)	–2 for genitalia	Tanner 3 (<i>n</i> = 87)	for genitalia	Tanner 4 (<i>n</i> = 62)	–5 for genitalia	
Exposure (µg/L log-transformed)	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	β	95% CI	<i>p</i> int
PCB118	0.130	(-0.120, 0.381)	-0.142	(-0.367, 0.082)	-0.268	(-0.552, 0.017)#	##
PCB138	0.065	(-0.157, 0.286)	-0.205	(-0.423, 0.013)#	-0.214	(-0.469, 0.040)#	#
PCB153	0.045	(-0.138, 0.229)	-0.178	(-0.373, 0.017)#	-0.114	(-0.344, 0.116)	##
PCB180	0.050	(-0.098, 0.197)	-0.125	(-0.277, 0.027)	-0.041	(-0.218, 0.136)	##
НСВ	0.054	(-0.381, 0.489)	-0.018	(-0.451, 0.415)	-0.560	(-1.101, -0.018)*	<
p,p'-DDE							
p,p'-DDE (T2 vs. T1)	0.100	(-0.178, 0.378)	-0.197	(-0.432, 0.038)#	-0.012	(-0.382, 0.358)	
p,p'-DDE (T3 vs. T1)	-0.025	(-0.349, 0.298)	-0.095	(-0.349, 0.159)	-0.086	(-0.476, 0.304)	
Beta-HCH	0.037	(-0.147, 0.221)	-0.174	(-0.375, 0.027)#	-0.171	(-0.391, 0.050)	
PFOA	0.193	(-0.163, 0.550)	-0.092	(-0.374, 0.190)	-0.158	(-0.562, 0.246)	
PFNA	-0.010	(-0.399, 0.378)	-0.083	(-0.393, 0.227)	0.062	(-0.208, 0.333)	
PFUdA	-0.048	(-0.254, 0.157)	0.031	(-0.167, 0.230)	-0.127	(-0.376, 0.122)	
PFDA	-0.208	(-0.528, 0.113)	-0.025	(-0.249, 0.200)	-0.146	(-0.393, 0.101)	
PFHxS	0.233	(-0.051, 0.517)	0.037	(-0.197, 0.271)	-0.090	(-0.403, 0.223)	
PFOS	0.152	(-0.074, 0.378)	0.021	(-0.194, 0.236)	-0.249	(-0.482, -0.016)*	* *

All models adjusted for parental history of thyroid disease, season and hour of blood drawing; and further adjusted for total lipids for PCBs, HCB, p,p'-DDE, and beta-HCH. *p* int: *p* value for interaction term between exposure and Tanner stage. HCB, hexachlorbenzene; p,p'-DDE, dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene; beta-HCH, beta-hexachlorocyclohexane; PFOA, perfluorooctanoic acid; PFNA, perfluorononanoic acid; PFDA, perfluorodecanoic acid; PFUA, perfluoroundecanoic acid; PFHxS, perfluorohexane sulfonate; PFOS, perfluorooctane sulfonate. ## p < 0.2; #p < 0.1; *p < 0.05.

Sensitivity Analysis Boys

When considering further adjustment for cord-serum POP concentrations (see online suppl. Table S3 in supplemental materials for the cord-serum POP distributions), the negative associations between beta-HCH and TSH were strengthened. The other associations were not modified (see online suppl. Table S4). When further adjusted on BMI, results remained globally unchanged, except for the association between HCB and decreased fT3 that was no longer statistically significant (see online suppl Table S6).

Girls

When considering further adjustment for cord-serum POP concentrations, relationships remained globally unchanged. When further adjusted for BMI, the associations between PCB exposure and TSH appeared reversed though without statistical significance, and the association between beta-HCH and increased TSH became statistically significant. Other results remained globally unchanged (see supplemental material for the results of models further adjusted for cord-serum POP concentrations and BMI, online suppl. Tables S5, S6).

Secondary Analysis – Associations between POP Serum Concentrations and Thyroid Hormones and TSH Serum Concentrations Stratified on Pubertal Status Boys

Suggestive evidence for a heterogeneous effect across pubertal status was observed for the associations between exposure to PCB and TSH and between exposure to PCB (118 and 138) and p,p'-DDE and fT3 (*p* value for interaction <0.2, Tables 7, 8). After stratification on pubertal status, PCB and OCP exposures were associated on the whole with decreased TSH among boys having reached Tanner stages 3 and 4–5 (Table 7). The association between HCB and decreased TSH was statistically significant for Tanner stages 4–5 (β = –0.56 95% CI = [–1.10,–0.02]). Statistical significance was almost reached for Tanner stages 3 and 4–5 for PCB 138, and for Tanner stage 3 for PCB 153, p,p'-DDE and beta-HCH. For PFAS, no clear pattern was observed, other than for PFOS which was associated with

					201+	t14 (na/d) og-transformed		
	fT3 (pg/n Tanner 1- (<i>n</i> = 74)	fT3 (pg/mL log-transformed) Tanner 1–2 for genitalia (n = 74)	Tanner 3 for genitalia $(n = 87)$	Tanner 4–5 for genitalia $(n = 62)$	T14 (ng/ Tanner (<i>n</i> = 74)	Tanner 1–2 for genitalia $(n = 74)$	u Tanner 3 for genitalia (n = 87)	Tanner 4–5 for genitalia $(n = 62)$
Exposure (µg/L log-transformed)	Я	95% CI	β 95% CI	β 95% Cl <i>p</i> int	ଅ	95% CI	β 95% Cl	β 95% Cl <i>p</i> int
PCB118					-0.026	-0.026 (-0.091, 0.040)	0.018 (-0.037, 0.072)	0.013 (-0.065, 0.092)
PCB118 (T2 vs. T1)	-0.010	(-0.082, 0.061)	-0.009 (0.057, 0.039)	-0.052 (-0.109, 0.005) [#] ##				
PCB118 (T3 vs. T1)	-0.071	(-0.142, -0.001)*	-0.023 (-0.073, 0.027)	0.017 (-0.037, 0.071)				
PCB138	-0.047	(-0.102, 0.008)#	0.001 (-0.044, 0.047)	-0.020 (-0.061, 0.021) ##	-0.021	(-0.079, 0.036)	0.003 (-0.051, 0.057)	0.025 (-0.044, 0.095)
PCB153					-0.011	-0.011 (-0.059, 0.037)	0.005 (-0.043, 0.053)	0.017 (-0.045, 0.078)
PCB153 (T2 vs. T1)	-0.027	(-0.110, 0.055)	-0.023 (-0.080, 0.034)	-0.024 (-0.079, 0.032)				
PCB153 (T3 vs. T1)	-0.037	(-0.110, 0.035)	-0.023 (-0.075, 0.029)	-0.021 (-0.073, 0.031)				
PCB180								
PCB180 (T2 vs. T1)	-0.056	(-0.134, 0.023)	-0.029 (-0.085, 0.027)	-0.013 (-0.068, 0.042)	-0.074	-0.074 (-0.153, 0.006)#	-0.065 (-0.129, 0.000)#	0.042 (-0.052, 0.137)
PCB180 (T3 vs. T1)	-0.044	(-0.116, 0.028)	-0.032 (-0.086, 0.023)	-0.022 (-0.077, 0.033)	-0.008	-0.008 (-0.081, 0.064)	-0.018 (-0.082, 0.045)	-0.003 (-0.098, 0.092)
HCB	-0.099	(-0.207, 0.009)#	-0.084 (-0.170, 0.003)#	-0.020 (-0.108, 0.069)	-0.012	(-0.125, 0.101)	-0.018 (-0.123, 0.086)	0.058 (-0.092, 0.209)
p,p'-DDE					-0.008	-0.008 (-0.063, 0.047)	-0.013 (-0.065, 0.040)	0.062 (-0.002, 0.127) #
p,p'-DDE (T2 vs. T1)	-0.005	(-0.072, 0.063)	-0.001 (-0.050, 0.047)	-0.028 (-0.085, 0.028) #				
p,p'-DDE (T3 vs. T1)	-0.090	(-0.169, -0.011)*	-0.026 (-0.078, 0.026)	-0.001 (-0.061, 0.058)				
Beta-HCH	-0.023	(-0.069, 0.022)	-0.030 (-0.071, 0.012)	0.004 (-0.031, 0.040)				
Beta-HCH (T2 vs. T1)					-0.021	(-0.095, 0.053)	0.000 (-0.058, 0.057)	0.013 (-0.075, 0.101)
Beta-HCH (T3 vs. T1)					0.000	(-0.079, 0.078)	0.026 (-0.038, 0.089)	0.029 (-0.068, 0.126)
PFOA	0.089	(0.001, 0.177)*	-0.009 (-0.067, 0.049)	-0.035 (-0.099, 0.029) #				
PFOA (T2 vs. T1)					-0.019	(-0.100, 0.063)	0.009 (-0.049, 0.066)	0.012 (-0.088, 0.113)
PFOA (T3 vs. T1)					0.034	(-0.040, 0.108)	-0.011 (-0.074, 0.051)	-0.032 (-0.140, 0.075)
PFNA	0.042	(-0.058, 0.142)	-0.005 (-0.069, 0.060)	-0.006 (-0.053, 0.040)	0.058	(-0.050, 0.165)	0.026 (-0.053, 0.105)	-0.021 (-0.106, 0.065)
PFUdA					0.026	(-0.025, 0.077)	-0.019 (-0.067, 0.030)	-0.019 (-0.089, 0.051)
PFUdA (T2 vs. T1)	0.000	(-0.071, 0.071)	-0.010 (-0.059, 0.040)	0.029 (-0.024, 0.082)				
PFUdA (T3 vs. T1)	0.012	(-0.078, 0.101)	0.008 (-0.055, 0.071)	-0.020 (-0.086, 0.046)				
PFDA	0.029	(-0.055, 0.113)	-0.040 (-0.083, 0.003)#	-0.014 (-0.053, 0.026)	0.000	(-0.089, 0.088)	0.023 (-0.033, 0.080)	-0.023 (-0.093, 0.048)
PFHxS	0.021	(-0.051, 0.094)	-0.004 (-0.052, 0.044)	0.004 (-0.046, 0.053)				
PFHxS (T2 vs. T1)					0.048	(-0.038, 0.135)	0.005 (-0.057, 0.068)	0.019 (-0.086, 0.123)
PFHxS (T3 vs. T1)					0.043	(-0.040, 0.126)	-0.026 (-0.086, 0.034)	0.003 (-0.096, 0.102)
PFOS	0.009	(-0.049, 0.066)	-0.031 (-0.074, 0.013)	-0.018 (-0.056, 0.020)	-0.018	(-0.077, 0.041)	0.001 (-0.051, 0.054)	-0.017 (-0.086, 0.051)

Table 8. Associations between POP serum concentrations and fT3 and fT4 serum concentrations at age 12 years according to pubertal status among boys (*n* = 223)

Children Exposure to Persistent Organic Pollutants and Thyroid Function

Neuroendocrinology DOI: 10.1159/000528631 decreased TSH (Tanner stages 4–5: $\beta = -0.25$ 95% CI = [-0.48,-0.02]). For fT3 (Table 8), the association between PCB and OCP exposure and decreased fT3 were stronger among early pubertal boys (Tanner stages 1–2): in particular, PCB 118 ($\beta_{2nd vs 1st tercile} = -0.01$ 95% CI = [-0.08,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.07$ 95% CI = [-0.14,-0.00]), p,p'-DDE ($\beta_{2nd vs 1st tercile} = -0.00$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [-0.07,0.06] and $\beta_{3rd vs 1st tercile} = -0.09$ 95% CI = [0.00,0.18]). No clear heterogeneous pattern was observed regarding the associations between POP exposure and fT4 among boys, across pubertal status (Table 8).

Girls

No clear evidence of effect heterogeneity was observed among girls (see online suppl. Tables S7.1, S7.2 in supplemental material), although the association between p,p'-DDE and decreased TSH became statistically significant for the 3rd versus the 1st tercile of exposure ($\beta_{2nd vs 1st tercile}$ = -0.10 95% CI = [-0.31,0.12] and $\beta_{3rd vs. 1st tercile}$ = -0.25 95% CI = [-0.47,-0.03]) among girls in Tanner stage 3.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to assess the impact of POP exposure on thyroid function among adolescents at the age of 12 years by examining TSH, fT3, and fT4. Overall, we observed a decrease of TSH, fT3, and fT4 with the exposure levels of several PCBs and OCPs, generally more accentuated among boys. In our secondary analysis, we observed that associations between POPs and THs differ according to the pubertal status among boys. Particularly, we found more pronounced associations between PCBs and OCPs and decreased TSH in boys having reached Tanner stage 3 and above. We also found that associations between PCBs and OCPs and OCPs and decreased fT3 were higher among boys in early puberty (Tanner stages 1–2).

Human studies have shown PCBs to be associated with decreased levels of THs (fT3 and fT4) levels or increased TSH levels [43]. As expected, we did indeed find overall negative associations between PCBs and fT3 and fT4 among both boys and girls, though few of these reached statistical significance. Overall, we found fairly negative or null associations between PCB exposure and TSH levels among both boys and girls; however, these associations were stronger among those boys who were advanced in terms of puberty (Tanner stages 3 and above). The link between PCBs and THs has been highlighted in the literature:

Osius et al. [16] identified an association between PCB 118 and increased TSH among children aged 7–10 years as well as an association between PCBs 138, 153, 180, 183, and 187 and decreased fT3, while Croes et al. [15] reported positive correlations between PCB 138, 153, and 180 and fT4 in Belgian adolescents.

For OCPs, as reviewed by Langer [44] and Leemans et al. [45], the evidence of TH-disrupting effects of OCPs (such as p,p'-DDE, beta-HCH, and HCB) is weaker than for PCBs, at least insofar as adults are concerned. A small number of human studies on pesticide exposure have found HCB to be associated with decreased TH levels [44, 45]. Numerous animal studies have shown exposure to pesticides to be associated with decreased TH levels [43]. Among children, Freire et al. [46] identified a significant and rising linear trend between HCB, beta-HCH, and p,p'-DDE and fT3 in Brazilian children aged 6 years. Croes et al. [15] identified positive correlations between p,p'-DDE and HCB and fT4 levels in Belgian adolescents; they also found increased TSH to be associated with HCB. Conversely, in our study, we observed overall associations between OCPs and decreased TSH and fT3 among boys and girls, with the exception of beta-HCH and TSH among girls. The associations between OCPs and TSH were stronger among boys having reached Tanner stage 3 and above, while the association between p,p'-DDE and fT3 was stronger among early pubertal boys. Leemans et al. [45] concluded, based on epidemiologic, in vivo and in vitro studies, that the underlying mechanisms are multiple and complex, including mimicking TH properties, binding to thyroid receptors or transport proteins, fastening TH clearance, increased hepatic metabolism, and indirect effects (such as on growth factor, which can in turn impact thyroid function).

Concerning the PFAS family, a review by Lee et Choi [47] concluded, in view of experimental and (to a lesser extent) human studies, that PFASs were associated with a decrease in THs (T3 and T4) and an increase in TSH. In adults, a recent review by Coperchini et al. [48] reported that PFAS associations with THs were sex-specific, with positive and negative associations depending on PFAS types and hormones. In our study in early adolescents, the associations between PFASs and THs were also sex-specific (PFHxS and fT4 and PFDA and fT3 among boys vs. PFHxS and TSH and PFOA and fT3 among girls), although they seemed less prone to effect modifications of pubertal status. Lewis et al. [14] identified that during adolescence (American adolescents aged 12-20 years), both PFOS and PFNA were associated with increased TSH in males, whereas PFOA was inversely related to TSH in females. Caron-Beaudoin et al. [17] found PFNA (median concentration 1.18 μ g/L, which is higher than the median in the present study) to be associated with increased T4 in Canadian boys and girls. Finally, Lin et al. [18] also found PFNA (median concentration 1.01 ng/mL) to be associated with increased fT4, especially among men aged 20– 30 years. As reported by several in vitro studies [48], the action mechanisms of PFAS endocrine disruption may involve cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, interferences with TH synthesis, TPO function, and iodine uptake.

The blood samples collected in our study when children were 12 years old reflect chronic exposure to POPs. Gallo et al. [49] estimated that the half-lives of PCB 118, 138, and 153 range between 3 and 22 years, p,p'-DDE between 7 and 35 years, and HCB between 9 and 70 years. Median concentrations of PCBs were lower in our study than those described by Osius et al. in 1999 [16] and Schell et al. in 2008 [50]; yet were similar to those reported by Bandow et al. [51] in Germany for children aged 3-17 years between 2014 and 2017 (PCB 138: 0.02 µg/L, PCB 153: 0.07 µg/L; and PCB 180: 0.03 µg/L). The PFASs analyzed in our study were long-chain PFASs with half-lives in humans ranging from 3½, 5 years for PFOA to 10 years for PFHxS [47]. Median concentrations in our study were similar to those obtained from children aged 6-17 years in France [2]: PFOA: 1.54 µg/L serum, PFNA: 0.57 µg/L, PFDA: 0.24 µg/L, PFHxS: 0.73 µg/L, and PFOS: 2.00 µg/L. In our study, boys had higher POP serum concentrations than girls. Among children aged 3–17 years, Bandow et al. also showed higher concentrations of PCB 138, 153, 180 and HCB in boys' serum; this difference could be due to differences in the proportion of adipose tissue between the sexes [51], which was higher among girls in our study. Due to the POPs' long half-lives, the period when modification in thyroid function might have occurred through POPs endocrine disruption effect is, therefore, unidentified and might be long before the study took place.

A complex relationship occurs between circulating TSH and T4 levels: minor changes in T4 can lead to major variations in TSH levels. Thyroid function varies across ages and TSH, fT3, and fT4 levels present a wider range in children than in adults. Furthermore, puberty may have some impact on the pituitary-thyroid axis function. Surup et al. [21] have shown that a decrease in TSH occurs during puberty, alongside a decrease in fT3 with a temporary peak in males, and a temporary nadir of fT4 in Tanner stage 3 for both sexes. Marwaha et al. [52] have shown that fT3 levels increase, while children of both sexes enter puberty, then either decrease or remain stable at adolescence. The authors also showed a decrease in fT4 levels and TSH levels, the latter decreasing in boys only. In Australian

Children Exposure to Persistent Organic Pollutants and Thyroid Function children, Campbell et al. [19] highlighted an increase in fT4 among girls only and more of an increase in fT3 in boys than girls, as well as no change in TSH between the ages of 12 and 14 years. These changes may reflect complex relationships between the growth hormone, hypothalamopituitary-gonadal, and hypothalamo-pituitary-thyroid axis [19, 52].

Exposure to POPs during pregnancy has been shown to alter thyroid function in pregnant women [39, 53–55], and to a lesser extent or with inconclusive results in newborns and infants [39, 41, 54, 56]. These alterations may impair the child's future health [4, 43], including their neuropsychological development [57]. The association of prenatal exposure to POPs and thyroid function among adolescents was investigated by Schell et al. [50] by using breastfeeding as a proxy. They found that persistent PCB serum concentration measured at adolescence (mean age 13.3 + - 1.9 years) was positively associated with TSH levels in individuals who had not been breastfed - despite the fact that breastfed adolescents showed higher PCB levels. The authors interpreted this finding as a predominant prenatal origin of exposure in nonbreastfed children, which is thought to be more harmful than postnatal exposure. In the present study, the associations were not modified when adjusted on prenatal exposure, though they were slightly reinforced for TSH.

We found that the relationships between POP exposure and THs might be modified according to pubertal stage, among boys in particular. This is in line with Fudvoye et al., [58], pointing out that estimation of POP endocrine disruption effects on puberty is complex due to its effects on endocrine control of maturation of hypothalamic-pituitary development, including thyroid function. POP exposure has also been shown to influence sex hormones in adolescents [59, 60], suggesting that their impact on thyroid function might be direct, and indirect through gonadal hormone influences. The interactions between gonadal and THs are well established in experimental studies. For instance, estrogen has been shown to have various effects on thyroid function, such as increasing the thyroxine-binding globulin, which is the main transport protein for THs in the circulation [61]. The onset of puberty in males begins between 9 and 14 years old, but in females, puberty generally starts earlier, between 8 and 13 years old [28]. Thus, the mean age of participants in our study (12.8 years old) was perhaps not optimal (i.e., too late) to study the influence of puberty among girls. Because of our limited sample size, we were unable to study the associations between POP exposure and each Tanner stage – and it might have led to a lack of statistical

Neuroendocrinology DOI: 10.1159/000528631

power. We chose to categorize our sample by grouping Tanner stages 1 and 2 together to represent somewhat delayed puberty. The Tanner stage 3 group can be considered the expected puberty status for this age group, and Tanner stages 4 and 5 were also grouped together to represent adolescents with advanced puberty for their age group. Due to the putative effects of POP exposure on puberty onset [22–26], we cannot rule out the fact that our study participants might have been misclassified regarding their pubertal status. We also adjusted for potential covariates, yet the fact that the associations we observed could be due to residual confounding cannot be ignored.

Lastly, while the present study suggests that among boys, sensitivity to POP exposure effects on thyroid function might differ according to their pubertal development, these results must be confirmed by further studies. New generation compounds of PFAS (such as GenX, perfluorobutane sulfonic acid, and C604) must also be investigated as they are now spreading worldwide in replacement of PFOA and PFOS, which have been added to the Stockholm Convention list of banned or restricted POPs.

Consistent with the literature, our study has highlighted associations between several POPs and thyroid function within the pubertal development during which complex hormonal changes occur. This is important as thyroid function disruption in early adolescence can have adverse effects at physiological, cognitive, and mental health levels. These results invite further investigation of the question in other studies featuring similar background-level POP exposure, as endocrine disruption may still be a matter of concern.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the physicians and nurses and all the families who participated and continue to participate in the study. We specially thank the UIC health personnel, Stéphanie Métayé and Cécile Réminiac for their rigorous work in examining the children, and Nathalie Costet, Véronique Villalon, and Isabelle Coiffec who contributed to the PELAGIE cohort in general. We particularly thank Jane Roffe for the English editing.

Statement of Ethics

The adults participating in this study provided their written informed consent and the children provided a written assent. Written informed consent was obtained from parents/legal guardians for all participants aged under 18 years. The Advisory Committee on Information Processing in Health Research (CCTIRS; 2015; no. 15.326bis), the Committee for the Protection of Persons (CPP; 2015; no. 15/23-985), and the French National Commission for Information Technology and Civil Liberties (CNIL; 2002, 2015; no. 915420/2015-456) approved this study.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding Sources

The PELAGIE cohort has been funded by Inserm (since the beginning), the French Ministries of Health (2003–2004), Labor (2002–2003), and Research (ATC 2003–2004), the French National Institute for Public Health Surveillance (InVS, 2002–2006), the National Agency for Research (ANR, 2005–2008, 2010–2012, 2015– 2019), the French Agency for Environmental Health Safety (Afsset/ ANSES, 2007–2009, 2009–2012), the French Agency for Drug Safety (2013–2017), the Fondation de France (2014–2017, 2015–2018, 2017–2021), the French Ministry of Ecology (PNRPE 2014–2016), the Research Institute of Public Health (IResP 2011–2014), and the following European programs: Hi-WATE 2007–2009, ENRIECO 2008–2010, and OBERON 2019–2023. This research is part of a PhD project funded by the French network of doctoral programs, coordinated by EHESP French School of Public Health.

Author Contributions

Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work (Hélène Tillaut, Dave Saint-Amour, Cécile Chevrier, Sylvaine Cordier, Ronan Garlantézec, Florence Rouget); or the acquisition (Eric Gaudreau, Franck Giton, Christine Montfort, Florence Rouget, Fabrice Lainé), analysis (Hélène Tillaut, Charline Warembourg, Dave Saint-Amour, Cécile Chevrier), or interpretation of data for the work (Hélène Tillaut, Dave Saint-Amour, Cécile Chevrier, Charline Warembourg, Florence Rouget); drafting the work (Hélène Tillaut, Dave Saint-Amour, Cécile Chevrier) or revising it critically for important intellectual content (all); final approval of the version to be published (all); and agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved (all).

Data Availability Statement

The PELAGIE cohort data comply with the European regulation on the protection of personal data (May 25, 2018). This regulation is based on a logic of compliance and increased responsibility of the actors who access to the data. In addition, the cohort study complies with the French "informatique et liberté" law (law $n^{\circ}78-17$, January 1978, 2018). Access to data is thus possible after the agreement of the cohort principal investigators (Cécile Chevrier, Charline Warembourg) and if the actors demonstrate respect for these European and French principles of personal data protection to strengthen the rights of individuals. Further enquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

References

- Guo W, Pan B, Sakkiah S, Yavas G, Ge W, Zou W, et al. Persistent organic pollutants in food: contamination sources, health effects and detection methods. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Jan;16(22):4361.
- 2 Fillol C, Oleko A, Saoudi A, Zeghnoun A, Balicco A, Gane J, et al. Exposure of the French population to bisphenols, phthalates, parabens, glycol ethers, brominated flame retardants, and perfluorinated compounds in 2014–2016: results from the Esteban study. Environ Int. 2021 Feb;147:106340.
- 3 Quinete N, Schettgen T, Bertram J, Kraus T. Occurrence and distribution of PCB metabolites in blood and their potential health effects in humans: a review. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2014 Oct;21(20):11951–72.
- 4 Gutleb AC, Cambier S, Serchi T. Impact of endocrine disruptors on the thyroid hormone system. Horm Res Paediatr. 2016;86(4):271– 8.
- 5 Taylor PN, Razvi S, Pearce SH, Dayan CM. Clinical review: a review of the clinical consequences of variation in thyroid function within the reference range. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013 Sep;98(9):3562–71.
- 6 Wu T, Flowers JW, Tudiver F, Wilson JL, Punyasavatsut N. Subclinical thyroid disorders and cognitive performance among adolescents in the United States. BMC Pediatr. 2006 Dec;6(1):12.
- 7 Giannocco G, Kizys MML, Maciel RM, de Souza JS. Thyroid hormone, gene expression, and Central Nervous System: where we are. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2021;114:47–56.
- 8 Tang R, Wang J, Yang L, Ding X, Zhong Y, Pan J, et al. Subclinical hypothyroidism and depression: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Front Endocrinol. 2019 Jun 4;10. [cited 2021 Jan 14]
- 9 Saidi S, Iliani Jaafar SN, Daud A, Musa R, Nik Ahmad NNF. Relationship between levels of thyroid stimulating hormone, age, and gender, with symptoms of depression among patients with thyroid disorders as measured by the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale 21 (DASS-21). Enfermería Clínica. 2018 Feb;28: 180–3.
- 10 Khan KM, Parvez F, Zoeller RT, Hocevar BA, Kamendulis LM, Rohlman D, et al. Thyroid hormones and neurobehavioral functions among adolescents chronically exposed to groundwater with geogenic arsenic in Bangladesh. Sci Total Environ. 2019 Aug;678:278– 87.
- 11 Albrecht D, Ittermann T, Thamm M, Grabe HJ, Bahls M, Völzke H. The association between thyroid function biomarkers and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Sci Rep. 2020 Dec;10(1):18285.
- 12 Päkkilä F, Männistö T, Hartikainen AL, Ruokonen A, Surcel HM, Bloigu A, et al. Maternal and child's thyroid function and child's intellect and scholastic performance. Thyroid. 2015 Dec;25(12):1363–74.

- 13 Lopez-Espinosa MJ, Mondal D, Armstrong B, Bloom MS, Fletcher T. Thyroid function and perfluoroalkyl acids in children living near a chemical plant. Environ Health Perspect. 2012 Jul;120(7):1036–41.
- 14 Lewis RC, Johns LE, Meeker JD. Serum biomarkers of exposure to perfluoroalkyl substances in relation to serum testosterone and measures of thyroid function among adults and adolescents from NHANES 2011–2012. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2015 Jun; 12(6):6098–114.
- 15 Croes K, Den Hond E, Bruckers L, Loots I, Morrens B, Nelen V, et al. Monitoring chlorinated persistent organic pollutants in adolescents in Flanders (Belgium): concentrations, trends and dose–effect relationships (FLEHS II). Environ Int. 2014 Oct;71:20–8.
- 16 Osius N, Karmaus W, Kruse H, Witten J. Exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls and levels of thyroid hormones in children. Environ Health Perspect. 1999 Oct;107(10): 843–9.
- 17 Caron-Beaudoin É, Ayotte P, Laouan Sidi EA; Community of Lac Simon, Community of Winneway – Long Point First Nation, CSSS Tshukuminu Kanani of Nutashkuan. Exposure to perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) and associations with thyroid parameters in First Nation children and youth from Quebec. Environ Int. 2019 Jul;128:13–23.
- 18 Lin CY, Wen LL, Lin LY, Wen TW, Lien GW, Hsu SHJ, et al. The associations between serum perfluorinated chemicals and thyroid function in adolescents and young adults. J Hazard Mater. 2013 Jan 15;244– 245:637–44.
- 19 Campbell PJ, Lim EM, Kendrew P, Lewer M, Wilson SG, Walsh JP. Response to letter to the editor: "changes in thyroid function across adolescence: a longitudinal study". J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2020 Apr 1;105(8): e3042.
- 20 Taylor PN, Sayers A, Okosieme O, Das G, Draman MS, Tabasum A, et al. Maturation in serum thyroid function parameters over childhood and puberty: results of a longitudinal study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017 May 1;102(7):2508–15.
- 21 Surup H, Vogel M, Koerner A, Hiemisch A, Oelkers L, Willenberg A, et al. Pediatric reference intervals for thyrotropin, free triiodothyronine, and free thyroxine and the relevance of body mass index and puberty in measurement interpretation. Thyroid. 2021 Aug; 31(8):1192–202.
- 22 Greenspan LC, Lee MM. Endocrine disrupters and pubertal timing. Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes. 2018 Feb;25(1): 49-54.
- 23 Seltenrich N. POPs and pubertal timing: evidence of delayed development. Environ Health Perspect. 2015 Oct;123(10):A266.
- 24 Carwile JL, Seshasayee SM, Aris IM, Rifas-Shiman SL, Claus Henn B, Calafat AM, et al.

Prospective associations of mid-childhood plasma per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances and pubertal timing. Environ Int. 2021 Nov; 156:106729.

- 25 Zawatski W, Lee MM. Male pubertal development: are endocrine-disrupting compounds shifting the norms? J Endocrinol. 2013 Aug 1; 218(2):R1–12.
- 26 Attfield KR, Pinney SM, Sjödin A, Voss RW, Greenspan LC, Biro FM, et al. Longitudinal study of age of menarche in association with childhood concentrations of persistent organic pollutants. Environ Res. 2019 Sep;176: 108551.
- 27 Garlantezec R, Monfort C, Rouget F, Cordier S. Maternal occupational exposure to solvents and congenital malformations: a prospective study in the general population. Occup Environ Med. 2009 Jul 1;66(7):456–63.
- 28 Emmanuel M, Bokor BR. Tanner stages. In: StatPearls [internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022. [cited 2022 Mar 9].
- 29 Derakhshan A, Shu H, Broeren MAC, Lindh CH, Peeters RP, Kortenkamp A, et al. Association of phthalate exposure with thyroid function during pregnancy. Environ Int. 2021 Dec 1;157:106795.
- 30 Guldner L, Monfort C, Rouget F, Garlantezec R, Cordier S. Maternal fish and shellfish intake and pregnancy outcomes: a prospective cohort study in Brittany, France. Environ Health. 2007 Dec;6(1):33.
- 31 Chevrier C, Warembourg C, Gaudreau E, Monfort C, Le Blanc A, Guldner L, et al. Organochlorine pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, seafood consumption, and time-topregnancy. Epidemiology. 2013 Mar;24(2): 251–60.
- 32 Anses. Étude de l'alimentation totale française 2 (EAT 2) - tome 1: contaminants inorganiques, minéraux, polluants organiques persistants, mycotoxines, phyto-estrogènes. Avis de l'Anses - Rapport d'expertise; 2011.
- 33 Akins JR, Waldrep K, Bernert JT. The estimation of total serum lipids by a completely enzymatic "summation" method. Clin Chim Acta. 1989 Oct;184(3):219–26.
- 34 Jin Y, Hein MJ, Deddens JA, Hines CJ. Analysis of lognormally distributed exposure data with repeated measures and values below the limit of detection using SAS. Ann Occup Hyg. 2011 Jan;55(1):97–112.
- 35 Van Buuren S. Flexible Imputation of Missing Data, Second Edition [Internet]. Chapman & Hall/CRC. [cited 2022 Mar 24].
- 36 Witte JS, Greenland S. A nested approach to evaluating dose-response and trend. Ann Epidemiol. 1997 Apr 1;7(3):188–93.
- 37 Knudsen N, Laurberg P, Rasmussen LB, Bülow I, Perrild H, Ovesen L, et al. Small differences in thyroid function may Be important for body mass index and the occurrence of obesity in the population. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005 Jul;90(7):4019–24.

Children Exposure to Persistent Organic Pollutants and Thyroid Function

- 38 Walczak K, Sieminska L. Obesity and thyroid Axis. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Sep 7;18(18):9434.
- 39 Ballesteros V, Costa O, Iñiguez C, Fletcher T, Ballester F, Lopez-Espinosa MJ. Exposure to perfluoroalkyl substances and thyroid function in pregnant women and children: a systematic review of epidemiologic studies. Environ Int. 2017 Feb;99:15–28.
- 40 de Cock M, de Boer MR, Govarts E, Iszatt N, Palkovicova L, Lamoree MH, et al. Thyroidstimulating hormone levels in newborns and early life exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals: analysis of three European mother-child cohorts. Pediatr Res. 2017 Sep;82(3): 429–37.
- 41 El Majidi N, Bouchard M, Carrier G. Systematic analysis of the relationship between standardized biological levels of polychlorinated biphenyls and thyroid function in pregnant women and newborns. Chemosphere. 2014 Mar;98:1–17.
- 42 R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing [internet]. Vienna, Austria; 2019.
- 43 Boas M, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Main KM. Thyroid effects of endocrine disrupting chemicals. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2012 May;355(2): 240–8.
- 44 Langer P. The impacts of organochlorines and other persistent pollutants on thyroid and metabolic health. Front Neuroendocrinol. 2010 Oct;31(4):497–518.
- 45 Leemans M, Couderq S, Demeneix B, Fini JB. Pesticides with potential thyroid hormonedisrupting effects: a review of recent data. Front Endocrinol. 2019;10:743.
- 46 Freire C, Koifman RJ, Sarcinelli P, Rosa AC, Clapauch R, Koifman S. Long term exposure

to organochlorine pesticides and thyroid function in children from Cidade dos Meninos, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Environ Res. 2012 Aug;117:68–74.

- 47 Lee JE, Choi K. Perfluoroalkyl substances exposure and thyroid hormones in humans: epidemiological observations and implications. Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2017 Mar; 22(1):6–14.
- 48 Coperchini F, Croce L, Ricci G, Magri F, Rotondi M, Imbriani M, et al. Thyroid disrupting effects of old and new generation PFAS. Front Endocrinol. 2020;11:612320.
- 49 Gallo MV, Deane GD, DeCaprio AP, Schell LM; Akwesasne Task Force on the Environment. Changes in persistent organic pollutant levels from adolescence to young adulthood. Environ Res. 2015 Jul;140:214–24.
- 50 Schell LM, Gallo MV, Denham M, Ravenscroft J, DeCaprio AP, Carpenter DO. Relationship of thyroid hormone levels to levels of polychlorinated biphenyls, lead, *p*, *p* ' - DDE, and other toxicants in akwesasne mohawk youth. Environ Health Perspect. 2008 Jun; 116(6):806–13.
- 51 Bandow N, Conrad A, Kolossa-Gehring M, Murawski A, Sawal G. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) and organochlorine pesticides (OCP) in blood plasma: results of the German environmental survey for children and adolescents 2014–2017 (GerES V). Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2020 Mar;224:113426.
- 52 Marwaha RK, Tandon N, Desai AK, Kanwar R, Sastry A, Narang A, et al. The evolution of thyroid function with puberty. Clin Endocrinol. 2012;76(6):899–904.
- 53 Boesen SAH, Long M, Wielsøe M, Mustieles V, Fernandez MF, Bonefeld-Jørgensen EC. Exposure to Perflouroalkyl acids and foetal

and maternal thyroid status: a review. Environ Health. 2020 Oct 13;19(1):107.

- 54 Berg V, Nøst TH, Pettersen RD, Hansen S, Veyhe AS, Jorde R, et al. Persistent organic pollutants and the association with maternal and infant thyroid homeostasis: a multipollutant assessment. Environ Health Perspect. 2017 Jan;125(1):127–33.
- 55 Calsolaro V, Pasqualetti G, Niccolai F, Caraccio N, Monzani F. Thyroid disrupting chemicals. IJMS. 2017 Dec 1;18(12):2583.
- 56 Sun M, Cao X, Wu Y, Shen L, Wei G. Prenatal exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals and thyroid function in neonates: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2022 Feb;231:113215.
- 57 Salazar P, Villaseca P, Cisternas P, Inestrosa NC. Neurodevelopmental impact of the offspring by thyroid hormone system-disrupting environmental chemicals during pregnancy. Environ Res. 2021 Sep;200:111345.
- 58 Fudvoye J, Bourguignon JP, Parent AS. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals and human growth and maturation. Vitamins Horm [Internet] Elsevier; 2014. p. 1–25. [cited 2020 Dec 16].
- 59 Tsai MS, Lin CY, Lin CC, Chen MH, Hsu SHJ, Chien KL, et al. Association between perfluoroalkyl substances and reproductive hormones in adolescents and young adults. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2015 Jul;218(5):437–43.
- 60 Eskenazi B, Rauch SA, Tenerelli R, Huen K, Holland NT, Lustig RH, et al. In utero and childhood DDT, DDE, PBDE and PCBs exposure and sex hormones in adolescent boys: the CHAMACOS study. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2017 Apr;220(2 Pt B):364–72.
- 61 Santin AP, Furlanetto TW. Role of estrogen in thyroid function and growth regulation. J Thyroid Res. 2011;2011:875125-7.

Tillaut et al.