



Rare germline heterozygous missense variants in BRCA1-associated protein 1, BAP1, cause a syndromic neurodevelopmental disorder

Sébastien Küry, Frédéric Ebstein, Alice Mollé, Thomas Besnard, Ming-Kang Lee, Virginie Vignard, Tiphaine Hery, Mathilde Nizon, Grazia M.S. Mancini, Jacques Giltay, et al.

► To cite this version:

Sébastien Küry, Frédéric Ebstein, Alice Mollé, Thomas Besnard, Ming-Kang Lee, et al.. Rare germline heterozygous missense variants in BRCA1-associated protein 1, BAP1, cause a syndromic neurodevelopmental disorder. American Journal of Human Genetics, 2022, 109 (2), pp.361-372. 10.1016/j.ajhg.2021.12.011 . hal-03661178

HAL Id: hal-03661178

<https://hal.science/hal-03661178>

Submitted on 19 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Rare germline heterozygous missense variants of the BRCA1-Associated Protein 1 gene, *BAP1*, cause a syndromic neurodevelopmental disorder

Sébastien Küry,^{1,2,*} Frédéric Ebstein,^{3,*} Alice Mollé,^{4,*} Thomas Besnard,^{1,2} Ming-Kang Lee,^{5,6} Virginie Vignard,^{1,2} Tiphaine Hery,^{5,6} Mathilde Nizon,^{1,2} Grazia M.S. Mancini,⁷ Jacques C. Giltay,⁸ Benjamin Cogné,^{1,2} Kirsty McWalter,⁹ Wallid Deb,^{1,2} Hagar Mor-Shaked,¹⁰ Hong Li,¹¹ Rhonda E. Schnur,⁹ Ingrid M. Wentzensen,⁹ Anne-Sophie Denommé-Pichon,^{12,13} Cynthia Fourgeux,⁴ Frans W. Verheijen,⁷ Eva Faurie,¹ Rachel Schot,⁷ Cathy A. Stevens,¹⁴ Daphne J. Smits,⁷ Eileen Barr,¹¹ Ruth Sheffer,¹⁰ Jonathan A. Bernstein,¹⁵ Chandler L. Stimach,¹¹ Eliana Kovitch,¹⁶ Vandana Shashi,¹⁷ Kelly Schoch,¹⁷ Whitney Smith,¹⁶ Richard H. van Jaarsveld,⁸ Anna C.E. Hurst,¹⁸ Kirstin Smith,¹⁸ Evan H. Baugh,¹⁹ Suzanne G. Bohm,⁸ Emílie Vyhnálková,²⁰ Lukas Ryba,²⁰ Capucine Delnatte,¹ Juanita Neira,²¹ Chandler Lee Stimach,²¹ Dominique Bonneau,^{12,13} Annick Toutain,^{22,23} Jill A. Rosenfeld,^{24,25} Undiagnosed Diseases Network, Séverine Audebert-Bellanger,²⁶ Brigitte Gilbert-Dussardier,^{27,28} Sylvie Odent,^{29,30} Frédéric Laumonnier,^{22,23} Seth I. Berger,³¹ Ann C.M. Smith,³² Franck Bourdeaut,³³ Marc-Henri Stern,³⁴ Richard Redon,² Elke Krüger,³ Raphaël Margueron,^{5,6} Stéphane Béziau,^{1,2} Jeremie Poschmann,^{4,#} Bertrand Isidor^{1,2,#}

¹ Service de Génétique Médicale, CHU Nantes, 44093 Nantes, France;

² Université de Nantes, CHU Nantes, CNRS, INSERM, l'institut du thorax, 44007 Nantes, France;

³ Institut für Medizinische Biochemie und Molekularbiologie, Universitätsmedizin Greifswald, 17475 Greifswald, Germany;

⁴ Université de Nantes, CHU Nantes, Inserm, Centre de Recherche en Transplantation et Immunologie, UMR 1064, ITUN, 44000 Nantes, France;

⁵ Institut Curie, Paris Sciences et Lettres Research University, 75248 Paris, France;

⁶ INSERM U934/CNRS UMR3215, 75248 Paris, France;

⁷ Department of Clinical Genetics, Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam, 3015 GD Rotterdam, The Netherlands;

⁸ Division Laboratories, Pharmacy and Biomedical Genetics, University Medical Center Utrecht, P.O. Box 85090, 3508 AB Utrecht, the Netherlands;

⁹ GeneDx, 207 Perry Parkway, Gaithersburg, MD 20877, USA;

¹⁰ Department of Genetics, Hadassah-Hebrew University Medical Center, Jerusalem 9112001, Israel;

¹¹ Department of Human Genetics and Pediatrics, School of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA;

¹² CHU Angers, Département de Biochimie et Génétique, 49933 Angers Cedex 9, France;

¹³ UMR CNRS 6214-INSERM 1083, Université d'Angers, 49933 Angers Cedex 9, France;

¹⁴ Department of Pediatrics, University of Tennessee College of Medicine, Chattanooga, Tennessee, TN 37403, USA;

¹⁵ Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, 94304, USA;

¹⁶ PANDA, 5887 Glenridge Drive, Suite 140, Atlanta, Georgia 30328, USA;

¹⁷ Department of Pediatrics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27705, USA;

- ¹⁸ Department of Genetics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL 35233, USA;
- ¹⁹ Genomic Medicine, Columbia University, New York, New York 10032, USA;
- ²⁰ Department of Biology and Medical Genetics, 2nd School of Medicine, Charles University in Prague and Faculty Hospital Motol, V Úvalu 84, 150 06 Prague 5, Czech Republic;
- ²¹ Department of Human Genetics, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA;
- ²² Service de Génétique, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire, 37044 Tours, France;
- ²³ UMR 1253, iBrain, Université de Tours, Inserm, 37032 Tours, France;
- ²⁴ Department of Molecular & Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, USA;
- ²⁵ Baylor Genetics Laboratory, Houston, TX 77021, USA;
- ²⁶ CHRU Brest, Génétique médicale, 29609 Brest, France;
- ²⁷ CHU Poitiers, Service de Génétique, BP577, 86021 Poitiers, France;
- ²⁸ EA 3808, Université Poitiers, 86034, France;
- ²⁹ Service de Génétique Clinique, Centre Référence “Déficiences Intellectuelles de causes rares” (CRDI), Centre de référence anomalies du développement CLAD-Ouest, ERN ITHACA, CHU Rennes, 35203 Rennes, France;
- ³⁰ CNRS UMR 6290 IGDR “Institut de Génétique et développement de Rennes”, Université de Rennes, 2 Avenue du Professeur Léon Bernard, 35043 Rennes, France;
- ³¹ Center for Genetic Medicine Research/Rare Disease Institute, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, DC 20010, USA;

³² Office of the Clinical Director, National Human Genome Research Institute, National Institutes of Health, 10 Center Drive, 10/10C103, MSC 1851, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA;

³³ Institut Curie, SIREDO (Care, Innovation, Research in Pediatric, Adolescent and Young Adults Oncology), 75005 Paris, France

³⁴ Institut Curie, PSL Research University, INSERM U830, DNA Repair and Uveal Melanoma (D.R.U.M.), Equipe Labellisée Par la Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer, 75248 Paris, France.

*These authors equally contributed to this work.

#These authors equally contributed to this work.

Corresponding authors: Dr. Sébastien Küry, Service de Génétique Médicale, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes, 9 quai Moncousu, 44093 NANTES Cedex 01, France. Tel: +33 2 44 76 82 85. E-mail: sebastien.kury@chu-nantes.fr; Dr. Bertrand Isidor, Service de Génétique Médicale, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nantes 1, place Alexis Ricordeau, 44093 NANTES Cedex 01, France. Tel: +33 1 40 08 35 41. Fax: +33 2 40 08 76 47. E-mail: bertrand.isidor@chu-nantes.fr

Abstract

Nuclear deubiquitinase BAP1 (BRCA1-Associated Protein 1) is a core component of multiprotein complexes that promote transcription by reversing the ubiquitination of histone 2A (H2A). *BAP1* is a tumor suppressor gene whose germline loss-of-function variants predispose to cancer. To our knowledge, there are very rare examples of different germline variants in the same gene causing either a NDD or a tumor predisposition syndrome. Here, we report a series of 11 *de novo* germline heterozygous missense *BAP1* variants associated with a rare syndromic neurodevelopmental disorder (NDD). Functional analysis showed that most of the variants cannot rescue the consequences of BAP1 inactivation, suggesting a loss-of-function mechanism. In T cells isolated from two affected children, H2A deubiquitination was impaired. In matching peripheral blood mononuclear cells, histone H3 K27 acetylation ChIP-seq indicated that these *BAP1* variants induced genome-wide chromatin state alterations, with enrichment for regulatory regions surrounding genes of the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS). Altogether, these results define a clinical syndrome caused by rare germline missense *BAP1* variants that alter chromatin remodeling through abnormal histone ubiquitination and lead to transcriptional dysregulation of developmental genes.

Key Words: *BAP1*, *BRCA1*, intellectual disability, ubiquitin, deubiquitination, ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS), histone 2A, chromatin remodeling, neurodevelopment, cancer, tumor

Protein degradation by the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) is essential for the maintenance of proteostasis in eukaryotic cells¹. It prevents the accumulation of potentially cytotoxic misfolded or short-lived proteins whose functional conformation can no longer be restored by chaperones^{2; 3}. Before being transported to the proteasome for hydrolysis, proteins destined to be degraded are specifically tagged by the addition of ubiquitin molecules through a cascade of reactions involving activating-, conjugating- and ligating-enzymes^{4; 5}. However, the ubiquitination process can be reversed by deubiquitinases (DUBs), which are able to cleave and disassemble the polyubiquitin chains of tagged substrates, thus avoiding their degradation by the proteasome⁶. This action of DUBs is important for recycling the ubiquitin, avoiding proteasome overload and regulating protein turnover. Approximately a hundred DUBs are divided into four main families, including the ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolases (UCH) to which BRCA1-Associated Protein 1 (BAP1) belongs^{7; 8}.

BAP1 is a nuclear DUB recognized for its tumor suppressor properties, which was proposed to depend on its ability to bind to the RING finger domain of BRCA1⁹. Nonetheless, later studies have shown that *BAP1* acts as a tumor suppressor gene independently of *BRCA1* (MIM: 113705). *BAP1* (MIM: 603089) is frequently inactivated in tumors by somatic loss-of-function (LoF) variants and its germline variants predispose to a tumor syndrome (BAP1-TPDS [MIM: 614327]) that encompasses various cancers, notably uveal melanoma, malignant pleural mesothelioma and cutaneous melanoma^{10; 11}. In the nucleus, BAP1 acts as a chromatin-associated protein exerting its deubiquitinating function through the multiprotein complexes formed with transcription factors and co-factors. A prominent role of BAP1 is the modulation of chromatin through the complexes formed with the additional sex comb-like proteins ASXL1, ASXL2 and ASXL3 (ASXL1/2/3)¹². BAP1 complexes remove mono-ubiquitin from lysine 119 of histone H2A (Ub-H2A) previously added by Polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1), thus antagonizing gene silencing mediated by PRC1 and activating expression of genes that

contribute in particular to embryonic development or differentiation^{10; 13; 14}. Besides, still in association with ASLX proteins which stabilize it¹³, BAP1 has been shown to regulate a wide range of other cellular processes via its interactions with partner proteins involved in DNA damage response (BRCA1, BARD1), cell cycle control and proliferation (HCF1, YY1, FoxK1/K2), ferroptosis (SLC7A11), apoptosis (IP3R3) or even the immune response^{10; 15-18}.

We show herein that the consequences of *BAP1* germline variants are not limited to cancer predisposition but also extend to developmental disabilities. In the frame of an international collaborative effort initiated by the Western France consortium HUGODIMS, we compiled the clinical findings for a series of 11 unrelated individuals exhibiting a syndromic form of intellectual disability (ID) and/or developmental delay (DD) due to *de novo* heterozygous missense single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) in *BAP1*. The identification of the cases was partly facilitated by the web-based tool GeneMatcher¹⁹. The variants were identified by subject-parents trio-based exome or genome sequencing (ES/GS) in diagnostic or research settings. In this study, which was approved by the local ethics committee of the University Hospital Center (CHU) of Nantes (number CCTIRS: 14.556), all participants were clinically assessed by at least one expert clinical geneticist from each of the centers involved. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents or legal guardians of all study participants and written authorization for the publication of photographs (Figure 1B) was obtained for individuals 1, 4, 5, 6, 8 9 and 10.

All affected individuals harboring a *de novo* *BAP1* variant had DD or ID (11/11) characterized notably by speech (11/11) and motor delay (6/11) (**Table 1; Figure 1A**). Most of them had hypotonia (7/11), seizures (6/11) and abnormal behavior (8/10), including autism spectrum disorder, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and hypersensitivity. Almost all individuals showed dysmorphic facial features (10/11), and more than half (6/11) had skeletal malformations (involving the hands (4/11), feet (3/11) or spine (2/11)). Most of the individuals

had growth failure (9/11), including four individuals with a very short stature (ranging from -3.18 to -6 SD). Organ abnormalities were inconsistent and heterogeneous and involved the eye (5/10), heart (3/10) and kidney or urogenital system (2/10). Other findings included sleep disorders, reported in 3/5 individuals, frequent episodes of otitis media in 4/11 (followed by hearing loss in two individuals), hypertrichosis in 3/11 and alopecia in 1/11, and feeding difficulties in 4/8. It is noteworthy that we could clinically distinguish two subgroups of affected individuals: one is represented by individuals 5 to 9 with a very syndromic phenotype who exhibit the most severe symptoms (severe ID, very short stature, facial dysmorphism and congenital malformations), whereas the second subgroup with the six remaining individuals has a less syndromic phenotype with generally milder symptoms. Of note, the initial diagnostic impression was Cornelia de Lange syndrome 1 (MIM: 122470) for individuals 5 and 6, and Smith-Magenis syndrome (MIM: 182290) for individual 3²⁰.

In total, we found 11 missense SNVs, two of them recurrent (c.271T>C p.(Cys91Arg) and c.506A>G p.(His169Arg)), and eight restricted to three codons, 12 (2/11), 91 (4/11) and 169 (2/11) (**Table 1; Figure 1H**). Almost all variants were absent in public variant databases, and bioinformatics predictions were in favor of their pathogenicity; only c.2153G>A p.(Arg718Gln) was present in one heterozygote in gnomAD. According to gnomAD²¹, *BAP1* is intolerant of loss-of-function (LoF) variants (pLI = 0.99; observed/expected variants (o/e) = 0.12 [0.06 - 0.28]) and moderately intolerant to missense variants across the entire gene (Z score = 2.64; o/e = 0.64 [0.58 - 0.71]). Yet, the analysis by Missense Tolerant Ratio (MTR) Gene Viewer²² shows that the region encoding the UCH domain, where 10/11 variants in the study reside (Figure 1H), is much less tolerant to missense variants than the rest of the protein (**Figure S1**). All amino acid residues affected by the variants are very conserved across the species (until *Caenorhabditis elegans* for the variants harbored by individuals 1-10, and until *Drosophila melanogaster* for c.2153G>A p.(Arg718Gln)). Most variants are located to a small

region of the protein within the catalytic domain. Several of them even occur at critically important functional residues, like Cys91 which is the critical active site residue of the enzyme and whose substitutions disrupt BAP1 activity, and His169 which is also part of the enzyme active site and interacts directly with Cys91²³ (Supplemental Material). The only variant not located in the catalytic domain, NM_004656.3:c.2153G>A p.(Arg718Gln), affects an amino acid from the nuclear localization signal (NLS) domain. However, no clear genotype-phenotype correlation could be established that supports the observation of two distinct phenotypes made during clinical assessment. Secondary molecular findings were made in exome data for some of the affected individuals (**Table S1**), but without any evidence of their pathogenicity.

In order to evaluate directly whether the mutations described above could affect the activity of BAP1, we used a previously characterized model cell line (HAP1) in which we knocked out *BAP1* by genome editing and then rescued its expression through a retrovirus carrying *BAP1* cDNA¹³. We generated rescued cell lines for six variants and first checked by western blot that the mutant proteins are expressed and stable (**Figure 2A**). H2AK119ub is a major substrate for BAP1 enzymatic activity¹⁴, we therefore used its quantification as a proxy for BAP1 activity. As expected, H2AK119ub level increases by about two-fold in the *BAP1* knock out cells and reaches the original level in the *BAP1*-WT rescue line (**Figure 2B**). In contrast, four out of the six variants, namely p.(Pro12Thr), p.(Cys91Arg), p.(Cys91Ser) and pp.(His169Arg) are unable to rescue H2AK119ub levels. The level of H2AK119ub with the variant Pro12Ala rescue is close to the wild type, suggesting that this variant is either partially or fully enzymatically active, at least on this substrate. The Arg718Gln mutant displays a normal deubiquitinase activity toward H2AK119ub. We also analysed the subcellular localization of BAP1 in the cell lines rescued with the six different variants. They all showed a prominent nuclear staining (**Figure 2C**), even the variant in the NLS domain, p.(Arg718Gln).

This suggests that this domain is not strictly required for the nuclear localization and rules out the possibility that p.(Arg718Gln) could compromise the function of BAP1 by causing its cytosolic accumulation⁷. Finally, we investigated whether the regulation of H2AK119ub correlates with BAP1-mediated transcriptional regulation. We had shown that *TMSB4X* (MIM: 300159) and *SI00A11* (MIM: 603114) genes expressions are regulated by *BAP1* in the HAP1¹³. Indeed, RT-qPCR experiments confirmed that their expression decrease in the absence of BAP1 and is restored in the WT rescue line. However, 5 out of 6 variants did not rescue the express analysed the subcellular localization of BAP1 ion of those genes, including the p.(Pro12Ala) variant although it is enzymatically active on H2AK119ub (**Figure 2D**). Only the p.(Arg718Gln) variant behaves similarly to the WT, suggesting that this variant may not be a loss of function; further investigation will be necessary to determine whether it is pathogenic. In the meantime, we consider it as a variant of unknown significance (VUS).

We assessed T cells isolated from affected children 1 and 5 -carrying respectively *BAP1* variants c.34C>A p.(Pro12Thr) and c.272G>C p.(Cys91Ser)- for their contents of ubiquitinated H2A (Ub-H2A) by western-blotting. As shown in **Figure 3A**, although the amounts of H2A were similar between control and affected individuals, the steady-state expression level of Ub-H2A was substantially increased in cells of the affected children, when compared to those of their respective related controls (i.e. father and/or mother of the probands). Specifically, densitometry analysis of the band intensities revealed that Ub-H2A was 1.5-fold and 2.0-fold enriched in the subjects bearing the p.(Cys91Ser) and p.(Pro12Thr) *BAP1* variants, respectively (**Figure 3A, lower panel**). These data are fully in line with our previous observation that both of these variants were unable to rescue H2A deubiquitination in *BAP1*-knockout cells (**Figure 2**) and further confirm that the missense variants p.(Pro12Thr) and p.(Cys91Ser) cause loss-of-function.

Because several recent studies have suggested the role of deubiquitination in the regulation of the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS)^{24, 25}, we next sought to determine whether these *BAP1* variants were associated with impaired proteasome expression and/or function. To this end, T cells from affected children carrying the p.(Pro12Thr) and p.(Cys91Ser)*BAP1* variants were subjected to a non-denaturing cell lysis prior to analysis of their proteasome complexes by native-PAGE and western blotting. As illustrated in **Figure 3B**, the chymotrypsin-like activity and amounts of the 20S and 26S proteasomes did not substantially vary between control and affected children's cells, as determined by in-gel overlay assay and α 6 staining. To further ascertain the impact of *BAP1* variants on proteasomes, we next compared the proteasome subunit composition between control and affected children's T cells by SDS-PAGE and western blotting. Our data show that the proteasome expression profile in T cells of affected individuals was quite similar to that detected in control cells with no major changes in the expression of the AAA+-ATPase subunits and most of the catalytic β -subunits (**Figure 3C**). Strikingly, the β 5 subunit precursor, however, consistently consistently accumulated in probands' T cells, as determined by western-blotting (**Figure 3C**). Importantly, it is highly unlikely that such pro- β 5 upregulation reflects greater amounts of proteasomes in affected individuals' T cells, as our analysis of the 20S/26S native complexes failed to show any quantitative differences between control and affected children (**Figure 3B**). The enrichment of the β 5 precursor protein (pro- β 5), which was confirmed by densitometry analysis in both *BAP1* subjects (**Figure 3C, lower panel**), strongly suggests that *BAP1* loss-of-function is associated with β 5 processing defect. Altogether, the elevated levels of ubiquitinated H2A and pro- β 5 proteasome subunit demonstrate that the identified substitutions p.(Pro12Thr) and p.(Cys91Ser) behave as loss-of-function variants in affected individuals' T cells impairing H2A deubiquitination and altering some features of the UPS.

To gain additional insights into the molecular consequences of *BAP1* variants, we profiled the histone acetylation (Histone H3 K27 acetylation) chromatin state in the same two families of affected individuals 1 and 5. Histone acetylation marks active enhancers and promoters throughout the genome and is thus a good proxy for identifying alterations in gene regulatory mechanisms. This approach is highly sensitive, as one can quantify chromatin alterations caused by single nucleotide variations²⁶ and detect epigenetic mechanisms associated with complex diseases^{27; 28}. We have previously used this approach in affected individuals harboring gene deletions of the chromatin factors *SIN3B* (MIM: 607777) and *SIN3A* (MIM: 607776) and showed that they lead to chromatin state alterations²⁹. Because *BAP1* alters H2A-Ub in the affected individuals (**Figure 3A**), we decided to use this epigenomics approach to identify putative gene regulatory alterations by comparing the parents to affected individuals (**Figure 4A**).

Using peripheral blood mononuclear cells from affected individuals, we performed H3K27ac ChIP-seq in technical replicates and sequenced the ChIP libraries to a median of 45M reads. After quality controls and peak calling, we defined a common peak set in all individuals and quantified peak heights (as a function of normalized read counts in peaks) for each individual sample separately (**Supplemental Material and Methods**). Principal component analysis of histone acetylation peak heights in the two families reveals that variance associated to principal component (PC)1 separates the two families (39% variance explained; **Figure 4B**). Interestingly, PC2 separated the affected individuals from their parents (26% variance explained), suggesting that they may bear distinct histone acetylation states. To investigate this further, we performed a differential peak height analysis and found 1492 downregulated and 1190 upregulated peaks when comparing the affected individuals 1 and 5 to their parents (**Figure 4C**).

We also evaluated whether these differential acetylated peaks (DAPs) were shared between the two affected individuals. For this, we compared the heights of up and downregulated peaks in the individuals and their parents (**Figure 4D**). Peaks were similarly up and down regulated in the replicates of the individuals, indicating that the chromatin state alterations are shared between the individuals carrying BAP1 variants p.(Pro12Thr) and p.(Cys91Ser).

We next investigated whether these *BAP1* variants affect the gene regulatory mechanisms of the ubiquitin proteasome pathway by testing if DAPs are enriched for ubiquitin/proteasome genes. For this we first assigned enhancers to nearest genes using the GREAT analysis tool³⁰ and then employed a literature curated list of ubiquitin-related and deubiquitinase genes covering 665 genes within our dataset³¹. Interestingly, we found a four-fold enrichment of ubiquitin-related genes (Fisher's exact test P -value $1.5e^{-25}$, OR = 4.16) in DAP among all genes (**Table S2**). The DAPs associated to the ubiquitin-related genes are shown in **Figure 5A**. We found a specific enrichment for DUBs as well as ubiquitin E3 genes and adapters (**Figure 5B**). Nevertheless, the changes are consistent between the affected individuals, suggesting that *BAP1* variants might induce compensatory gene regulatory alterations of the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, as revealed here by changes in chromatin state of enhancers. To gain additional insights on the gene regulatory alterations we performed gene ontology enrichment analysis by comparing DAP to all acetylation peaks using GREAT (**Figure 5C**). Interestingly, the enriched GO terms matched with the observed phenotypes of affected individuals (malformations, developmental and behavioral anomalies, and short stature) (**Figure 5C**). However, we remain very cautious about such inferences as they are merely hypotheses derived from associations of epigenetic traits in blood cells of affected individuals, their gene ontology and the derived postulations on their association with clinical traits in these individuals.

In this work, we provide evidence that the p.(Pro12Thr) and p.(Cys91Ser) variants are LoF variants which prevent BAP1 from removing ubiquitin from H2A (**Figure 3A**). The failure to deubiquitinate H2A was accompanied by a rise of the pro- $\beta 5$ proteasome catalytic subunit in affected individuals' T cells, as determined by western blotting (**Figure 3C**). This observation is intriguing considering the fact that the expression level of the other catalytic β -subunits (i.e. $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, $\beta 5i$) remains unchanged between affected individuals' and controls' T cells (**Figure 3C**) and suggests the existence of a specific link between BAP1 and $\beta 5$. A similar relationship has been recently reported in a study showing that BAP1 depletion in tumor cells leads to decreased sensitivity to bortezomib³², a proteasome inhibitor that specifically binds to the $\beta 5$ and $\beta 5i$ subunits³³. Here, the upregulation of pro- $\beta 5$ detected in subjects with *BAP1* loss-of-function variants was not associated with a modulation of the $\beta 5$ -mediated chymotrypsin-like activity (**Figure 3B**). This apparent discrepancy could be explained by T cells' expression of large amounts of immunoproteasomes containing $\beta 5i$ (**Figure 3C**), which are likely to mask the contribution of standard proteasomes carrying $\beta 5$ to the chymotrypsin-like activity measured in these cells. Together with OTUD6B and OTUD7A^{24; 25}, BAP1 is one of the very few ubiquitin hydrolases able to regulate proteasome function. The cellular mechanisms by which BAP1 influences proteasome subunit expression however remain unclear and warrant further investigations.

The impairment of BAP1 catalytic properties by LoF variants primarily found in the catalytic domain is likely to negatively impact the function of all BAP1 complexes. The increased levels of Ub-H2A in T cells of affected individuals particularly reflect the dysfunction of PR-DUB complexes in which BAP1 is bound to ASXL proteins. Interestingly, pathogenic variants in all three *ASXL* genes result in syndromic ID disorders: Bohring-Opitz (MIM: 605039), Shashi-Pena (MIM: 617190) and Bainbridge-Ropers (MIM: 615485) syndromes are caused by *ASXL1* (MIM: 612990), *ASXL2* (MIM: 612991) and *ASXL3* (MIM:

615115) variants, respectively³⁴. Incidentally, it was the elevated Ub-H2A levels observed in fibroblasts from individuals harbouring *ASXL3* variants that allowed the identification of the BAP1/ASXL3 PR-DUB complex¹². Further investigations would be required to determine how germline variants of the same gene can cause either a neurodevelopmental or tumor syndrome. Although all of the variants have a LoF effect, we noted that, in this short series, all variants are missense, whereas the anomalies that predispose to the tumor syndrome are splice, truncating variants or gene deletions. It is worth noting that several of these missense variants occur at known active site residues, suggesting that these loss-of-function missense variants may disrupt or eliminate deubiquitinase activity, while maintaining protein-protein interactions with and binding to complexes (e.g., interactions with BARD1 or BRCA1), resulting in different cellular effects compared to nonsense and other severely disruptive variants. Despite the absence of tumors in our series, we cannot exclude the possibility that individuals with NDD are also predisposed to cancer, given that the older subject is 16 years old.

Many genes are known to be involved in cancer through recurrent somatic mutations and developmental disorders through germline variants³⁵. Fewer cases are known, where different germline variants of the same gene can cause either a neurodevelopmental disorder or a tumor predisposition syndrome. The best examples certainly come from the SWI/SNF-related matrix-associated actin-dependent regulator of chromatin (SMARC) family, whose members *SMARCA4* (MIM: 603254) and *SMARCB1* (MIM: 601607) are involved in Coffin-Siris syndromes (MIMs:614609 and 614608, respectively) and rhabdoid tumor predisposition syndromes (MIMs:613325 and 609322, respectively), while *SMARCE1* (MIM: 603111) can cause either susceptibility to familial meningioma or neurodevelopmental delay (MIMs: 616938 and 607174). Interestingly, these three genes contribute to chromatin remodeling, like *BAP1*, and their protein products can bind BRCA1. Moreover, it was shown that nonsense *SMARCA4* variants might induce concomitantly developmental and cancerous symptoms³⁶.

In conclusion, we describe a neurodevelopmental disorder caused by rare *de novo* germline heterozygous missense variants of *BAP1*, most located in the region encoding the catalytic UCH domain. These variants affect the deubiquitinase activity of the BAP1 complexes and disrupt chromatin remodeling by inducing abnormally high levels of ubiquitinated H2A. They are also associated with perturbations of proteasome assembly by increasing the production of the pro- $\beta 5$ proteasome catalytic subunit. To our knowledge, *BAP1* is one of the rare genes in which different germline variants cause either a neurodevelopmental disorder or a tumor predisposition syndrome.

Accession numbers

The sequence variants in *BAP1* (NM_004656.3) reported in the paper have been deposited in ClinVar database. Their respective accession numbers are reported in Table 1.

Supplemental data: supporting methods and notes, three tables and one figure.

Data and code availability

ChIP-seq raw data are deposited and available at GEO (Accession GSE190394). Most exome sequencing data were generated in a diagnostic setting and can therefore not be shared for privacy. Only exome raw data related to individual 1 were obtained in a research setting and are available upon request.

Consortia

Undiagnosed Diseases Network (**Table S3**): Mercedes E. Alejandro, Mahshid S. Azamian, Carlos A. Bacino, Ashok Balasubramanyam, Lindsay C. Burrage, Hsiao-Tuan Chao, Gary D. Clark, William J. Craigen, Hongzheng Dai, Shweta U. Dhar, Lisa T. Emrick, Alica M. Goldman, Neil A. Hanchard, Fariha Jamal, Lefkothea Karaviti, Seema R. Lalani, Brendan H. Lee, Richard A. Lewis, Ronit Marom, Paolo M. Moretti, David R. Murdock, Sarah K. Nicholas, James P. Orengo, Jennifer E. Posey, Lorraine Potocki, Jill A. Rosenfeld, Susan L. Samson, Daryl A. Scott, Alyssa A. Tran, Tiphany P. Vogel, Michael F. Wangler, Shinya Yamamoto, Hugo J. Bellen, Christine M. Eng, Pengfei Liu, Patricia A. Ward, Edward Behrens, Matthew Deardorff, Marni Falk, Kelly Hassey, Kathleen Sullivan, Adeline Vanderver, David B. Goldstein, Heidi Cope, Allyn McConkie-Rosell, Kelly Schoch, Vandana Shashi, Edward C. Smith, Rebecca C. Spillmann, Jennifer A. Sullivan, Queenie K.-G. Tan, Nicole M. Walley,

Pankaj B. Agrawal, Alan H. Beggs, Gerard T. Berry, Lauren C. Briere, Laurel A. Cobban, Matthew Coggins, Cynthia M. Cooper, Elizabeth L. Fieg, Frances High, Ingrid A. Holm, Susan Korrick, Joel B. Krier, Sharyn A. Lincoln, Joseph Loscalzo, Richard L. Maas, Calum A. MacRae, J. Carl Pallais, Deepak A. Rao, Lance H. Rodan, Edwin K. Silverman, Joan M. Stoler, David A. Sweetser, Melissa Walker, Chris A. Walsh, Cecilia Esteves, Isaac S. Kohane, Athena Hantzaridis, Kimberly LeBlanc, Alexa T. McCray, Anna Nagy, Shilpa N. Kobren, Amelia L. M. Tan, Surendra Dasari, Brendan C. Lanpher, Ian R. Lanza, Eva Morava, Devin Oglesbee, Guney Bademci, Deborah Barbouth, Stephanie Bivona, Olveen Carrasquillo, Ta Chen Peter Chang, Irman Forghani, Alana Grajewski, Rosario Isasi, Byron Lam, Roy Levitt, Xue Zhong Liu, Jacob McCauley, Ralph Sacco, Mario Saporta, Judy Schaechter, Mustafa Tekin, Fred Telischi, Willa Thorson, Stephan Zuchner, Heather A. Colley, Jyoti G. Dayal, David J. Eckstein, Laurie C. Findley, Donna M. Krasnewich, Laura A. Mamounas, Teri A. Manolio, John J. Mulvihill, Grace L. LaMoure, Madison P. Goldrich, Tiina K. Urv, Argenia L. Doss, Maria T. Acosta, Carsten Bonnenmann, Precilla D'Souza, David D. Draper, Carlos Ferreira, Rena A. Godfrey, Catherine A. Groden, Ellen F. Macnamara, Valerie V. Maduro, Thomas C. Markello, Avi Nath, Donna Novacic, Barbara N. Pusey, Camilo Toro, Colleen E. Wahl, Eva Baker, Elizabeth A. Burke, David R. Adams, William A. Gahl, May Christine V. Malicdan, Cynthia J. Tifft, Lynne A. Wolfe, John Yang, Bradley Power, Bernadette Gochuico, Laryssa Huryn, Lea Latham, Joie Davis, Deborah Mosbrook-Davis, Francis Rossignol, Ben Solomon, John MacDowall, Audrey Thurm, Wadih Zein, Muhammad Yousef, Margaret Adam, Laura Amendola, Michael Bamshad, Anita Beck, Jimmy Bennett, Beverly Berg-Rood, Elizabeth Blue, Brenna Boyd, Peter Byers, Sirisak Chanprasert, Michael Cunningham, Katrina Dipple, Daniel Doherty, Dawn Earl, Ian Glass, Katie Golden-Grant, Sihoun Hahn, Anne Hing, Fuki M. Hisama, Martha Horike-Pyne, Gail P. Jarvik, Jeffrey Jarvik, Suman Jayadev, Christina Lam, Kenneth Maravilla, Heather Mefford, J. Lawrence Merritt, Ghayda Mirzaa, Deborah

Nickerson, Wendy Raskind, Natalie Rosenwasser, C. Ron Scott, Angela Sun, Virginia Sybert, Stephanie Wallace, Mark Wener, Tara Wenger, Euan A. Ashley, Gill Bejerano, Jonathan A. Bernstein, Devon Bonner, Terra R. Coakley, Liliana Fernandez, Paul G. Fisher, Jason Hom, Yong Huang, Jennefer N. Kohler, Elijah Kravets, Beth A. Martin, Shruti Marwaha, Archana N. Raja, Chloe M. Reuter, Maura Ruzhnikov, Jacinda B. Sampson, Kevin S. Smith, Shirley Sutton, Holly K. Tabor, Brianna M Tucker, Matthew T. Wheeler, Diane B. Zastrow, Chunli Zhao, William E. Byrd, Andrew B. Crouse, Matthew Might, Mariko Nakano-Okuno, Jordan Whitlock, Gabrielle Brown, Manish J. Butte, Esteban C. Dell'Angelica, Naghmeh Dorrani, Emilie D. Douine, Brent L. Fogel, Irma Gutierrez, Alden Huang, Deborah Krakow, Hane Lee, Sandra K. Loo, Bryan C. Mak, Martin G. Martin, Julian A. Martínez-Agosto, Elisabeth McGee, Stanley F. Nelson, Shirley Nieves-Rodriguez, Christina G.S. Palmer, Jeanette C. Papp, Neil H. Parker, Genecee Renteria, Rebecca H. Signer, Janet S. Sinsheimer, Jijun Wan, Lee-kai Wang, Katherine Wesseling Perry, Jeremy D. Woods, Justin Alvey, Ashley Andrews, Jim Bale, John Bohnsack, Lorenzo Botto, John Carey, Laura Pace, Nicola Longo, Gabor Marth, Paolo Moretti, Aaron Quinlan, Matt Velinder , Dave Viskochil, Pinar Bayrak-Toydemir, Rong Mao, Monte Westerfield, Anna Bican, Elly Brokamp, Laura Duncan, Rizwan Hamid, Jennifer Kennedy, Mary Kozuira, John H. Newman, John A. Phillips III, Lynette Rives, Amy K. Robertson, Emily Solem, Joy D. Cogan, F. Sessions Cole, Nichole Hayes, Dana Kiley, Kathy Sisco, Jennifer Wambach, Daniel Wegner, Dustin Baldrige, Stephen Pak, Timothy Schedl, Jimann Shin, Lilianna Solnica-Krezel

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the families for participating in this study.

We acknowledge HUGODIMS (Western France exome-based trio approach project to identify genes involved in intellectual disability); funding for HUGODIMS (Individual 5) is supported

by a grant from the French Ministry of Health and from the Health Regional Agency from Poitou-Charentes (HUGODIMS, 2013, RC14_0107). We thank Dr. Frédérique Allaire from the Health Regional Agency of Poitou-Charentes for supporting this project. We thank Léa Ferrand, Emilie Le Blanc for grant and data management. We are most grateful to the GenoBiRD core facility in Nantes (Biogenouest Genomics) core facility for its technical support. Research reported in this manuscript was supported by the NIH Common Fund, through the Office of Strategic Coordination/Office of the NIH Director under Award Number(s) U01HG007672 to V.S. Further support was obtained by funding from the German Research Foundation (SFBTR 167 A4, GRK2719 B4) to EK. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Conflict of interest

The Department of Molecular and Human Genetics at Baylor College of Medicine receives revenue from clinical genetic testing completed at Baylor Genetics Laboratory. KMc, RES and IMW are employees of GeneDx, Inc.

Web Resources

dbSNP, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/>

GeneMatcher, <https://genematcher.org/>

gnomAD, <https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/>

MobiDetails, <https://mobidetails.iurc.montp.inserm.fr/MD/>

Missense Tolerance Ratio (MTR) Gene Viewer, <http://mtr-viewer.mdhs.unimelb.edu.au/>

OMIM, <https://www.omim.org/>

Table 1. Phenotype of affected individuals with *BAP1* de novo variants (1/2)

Individual (Family)	1 (F1)	2 (F2)	3 (F3)	4 (F4)	5 (F5)	6 (F6)
Variant nomenclature Chr3:(GRCh37) *	g.52443861G>T	g.52443861G>C	g.52443601C>T	g.52442599A>G	g.52442077C>G	g.52442078A>C
	c.34C>A	c.34C>G	c.91G>A	c.146T>C	c.272G>C	c.271T>G
	p.(Pro12Thr)	p.(Pro12Ala)	p.(Glu31Lys)	p.(Leu49Pro)	p.(Cys91Ser)	p.(Cys91Gly)
Variant reported previously	no	no	yes #	no	no	no
Accession number in ClinVar	SCV001572228	SCV001738368	SCV001738369	SCV001738370	SCV001738372	SCV001738373
Variant annotation						
MobiDetails **	22880	22881	22882	22883	22865	22884
CADD (GRCh37-v1.6)	26.5	25.7	29.4	29.7	26.1	28.5
gnomAD v2.1.1	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
Metadome (tolerance score)	HI (0.13)	HI (0.13)	I (0.26)	HI (0.11)	I (0.35)	I (0.35)
Method of variant detection	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES
Sex	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Female
Age at last investigation	10 y	3 y	14 y	6 y	11 y 5 m	15 y
Growth failure	-	-	+	+	+	+
Weight at age last investigation (kg/SD)	36.2/+1.5	12.3/-1.5	48.6/+0.5	20/-0.99	26.5/-2	30/-4.35
Height at age last investigation (cm/SD)	128.5/-1.5	88.9/-1.5	149.4/-2.5	109.7/-2.44	116/-4.5	125.7/-6
Head circumference at age last investigation (cm/SD)	51/-1	47.9/-2	56/+1	54.7/+1.67	52/-1	50/-2.86
Developmental delay or ID	+	+	+, mild	+	+, severe	+, severe
Age of walking	18 m	19 m	18 m	2 y	not walking	not achieved
Speech delay	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hypotonia	-	+	+	+	+	+
Seizures	+	-	-	-	+	-
Behavioural anomalies	+, ADHD	+, ASD	+, ADHD	+, ASD	N/A	+, ASD
Cardiac anomalies	-	+	+	-	-	-
Eye anomalies	-	+	+	-	-	+
Urogenital/kidney anomalies	-	-	-	-	+	-
Hands	-	+	-	+	-	+
Feet	-	-	-	-	+	+
Feeding difficulties	-	-	+	-	N/A	+
Facial dysmorphism	+	+	+	+	+	+
Other recurrent signs	-	hearing loss	frequent otitis	hypertrichosis	hypertrichosis	hypertrichosis

* Nomenclature HGVS V2.0 according to mRNA reference sequence NM_004656.3. Nucleotide numbering uses +1 as the A of the ATG translation initiation codon in the reference sequence, with the initiation codon as codon 1.

** The access to detailed predictions for variant 228XX using Mobidetails³⁷ is as follows: <https://mobidetails.iurc.montp.inserm.fr/MD/variant/228XX>

HI: highly intolerant; I: intolerant; SI: slightly tolerant; y: year; m: month; ES: exome sequencing; GS: genome sequencing; N/A: not analyzed; VSD: ventricular septal defect; ASD: autism spectrum disorder; ADHD: attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; VUR: Vesicoureteral reflux.

Individual 3 was first reported by Berger et al. 2017²⁰ in a Smith-Magenis Syndrome (SMS)-like cohort.

Table 1. Phenotype of affected individuals with *BAP1* de novo variants (2/2)

Individual (Family)	7 (F7)	8 (F8)	9 (F9)	10 (F10)	11 (F11)	Total
Variant nomenclature Chr3:(GRCh37) *	g.52442078A>G	g.52442078A>G	g.52441264T>C	g.52441264T>C	g.52436341C>T	11 variants de novo
	c.271T>C	c.271T>C	c.506A>G	c.506A>G	c.2153G>A	
	p.(Cys91Arg)	p.(Cys91Arg)	p.(His169Arg)	p.His169Arg	p.(Arg718Gln)	
Variant reported previously	no	no	no	no	no	
Accession number in ClinVar	SCV001738374	SCV001738374	SCV001738375	SCV001738375	SCV001738376	
Variant annotation						
MobiDetails **	22885	22885	22886	22886	22888	
CADD (GRCh37-v1.6)	29.1	29.1	25.7	25.7	24.4	
gnomAD v2.1.1	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Present (x1):4.7E-6	
Metadome (tolerance score)	I (0.35)	I (0.35)	I (0.34)	I (0.34)	SI (0.94)	
Method of variant detection	ES	ES	ES	ES	ES and GS	
Gender	Male	Female	Male	Female	Female	7 F/4 M
Age at last investigation	1 y 11 m	4 y	16 y	8 y 4 m	12 y 1 m	1 y 11 m to 15 y
Growth failure	+	+	+	+	+	9/11
Weight at age last investigation (kg/SD)	12.17/+0.03	13.7/-1.28	50/-1.17	30/+1	26/-2.5	-4.35 to +1
Height at age last investigation (cm/SD)	78/-3.18	90/-2.71	142/-3.69	117.4/-2	135.3/-2	-6 to -1.5
Head circumference at age last investigation (cm/SD)	49/+0.56	50/-0.01	53/-1.41	48/+0 at 27 m	54.5/+1	-2,86 to +1.67
Developmental delay or ID	+	+	+	+	+	11/11
Age of walking	17 m	2.5 y	2 y	23 m	15 m	
Speech delay	+	+	+	+	+	11/11
Hypotonia	-	+	-	+	-	7/11
Seizures	-	+	+	+	+	6/11
Behavioural anomalies	-	+	+	+	+, ADHD	9/10
Cardiac anomalies	N/A	-	-	+, VSD	-	3/10
Eye anomalies	N/A	+	-	+	-	5/10
Urogenital/kidney anomalies	N/A	-	-	+	-	2/10
Hands	-	-	+	-	-	4/11
Feet	-	-	+	-	-	3/11
Feeding difficulties	N/A	+	-	+	N/A	4/8
Facial dysmorphism	+	+	+	+	-	10/11
Other recurrent signs	recurrent otitis	-	hearing loss	-	-	

References

1. Chiti, F., and Dobson, C.M. (2017). Protein Misfolding, Amyloid Formation, and Human Disease: A Summary of Progress Over the Last Decade. *Annu Rev Biochem* 86, 27-68.
2. Franic, D., Zubcic, K., and Boban, M. (2021). Nuclear Ubiquitin-Proteasome Pathways in Proteostasis Maintenance. *Biomolecules* 11.
3. Samant, R.S., Livingston, C.M., Sontag, E.M., and Frydman, J. (2018). Distinct proteostasis circuits cooperate in nuclear and cytoplasmic protein quality control. *Nature* 563, 407-411.
4. Pickart, C.M. (2001). Mechanisms underlying ubiquitination. *Annu Rev Biochem* 70, 503-533.
5. Pickart, C.M., and Eddins, M.J. (2004). Ubiquitin: structures, functions, mechanisms. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1695, 55-72.
6. Meyer-Schwesinger, C. (2019). The ubiquitin–proteasome system in kidney physiology and disease. *Nature Reviews Nephrology* 15, 393-411.
7. Szczepanski, A.P., and Wang, L. (2021). Emerging multifaceted roles of BAP1 complexes in biological processes. *Cell Death Discov* 7, 20.
8. Masclef, L., Ahmed, O., Estavoyer, B., Larrivee, B., Labrecque, N., Nijnik, A., and Affar, E.B. (2021). Roles and mechanisms of BAP1 deubiquitinase in tumor suppression. *Cell Death Differ* 28, 606-625.
9. Jensen, D.E., and Rauscher, F.J., 3rd. (1999). Defining biochemical functions for the BRCA1 tumor suppressor protein: analysis of the BRCA1 binding protein BAP1. *Cancer Lett* 143 Suppl 1, S13-17.
10. Louie, B.H., and Kurzrock, R. (2020). BAP1: Not just a BRCA1-associated protein. *Cancer Treat Rev* 90, 102091.

11. Popova, T., Hebert, L., Jacquemin, V., Gad, S., Caux-Moncoutier, V., Dubois-d'Enghien, C., Richaudeau, B., Renaudin, X., Sellers, J., Nicolas, A., et al. (2013). Germline BAP1 mutations predispose to renal cell carcinomas. *American journal of human genetics* 92, 974-980.
12. Srivastava, A., Ritesh, K.C., Tsan, Y.C., Liao, R., Su, F., Cao, X., Hannibal, M.C., Keegan, C.E., Chinnaiyan, A.M., Martin, D.M., et al. (2016). De novo dominant ASXL3 mutations alter H2A deubiquitination and transcription in Bainbridge-Ropers syndrome. *Hum Mol Genet* 25, 597-608.
13. Campagne, A., Lee, M.K., Zielinski, D., Michaud, A., Le Corre, S., Dingli, F., Chen, H., Shahidian, L.Z., Vassilev, I., Servant, N., et al. (2019). BAP1 complex promotes transcription by opposing PRC1-mediated H2A ubiquitylation. *Nat Commun* 10, 348.
14. Scheuermann, J.C., de Ayala Alonso, A.G., Oktaba, K., Ly-Hartig, N., McGinty, R.K., Fraterman, S., Wilm, M., Muir, T.W., and Muller, J. (2010). Histone H2A deubiquitinase activity of the Polycomb repressive complex PR-DUB. *Nature* 465, 243-247.
15. Bononi, A., Giorgi, C., Patergnani, S., Larson, D., Verbruggen, K., Tanji, M., Pellegrini, L., Signorato, V., Olivetto, F., Pastorino, S., et al. (2017). BAP1 regulates IP3R3-mediated Ca(2+) flux to mitochondria suppressing cell transformation. *Nature* 546, 549-553.
16. Zhao, W., Steinfeld, J.B., Liang, F., Chen, X., Maranon, D.G., Jian Ma, C., Kwon, Y., Rao, T., Wang, W., Sheng, C., et al. (2017). BRCA1-BARD1 promotes RAD51-mediated homologous DNA pairing. *Nature* 550, 360-365.
17. Ladanyi, M., Zauderer, M.G., Krug, L.M., Ito, T., McMillan, R., Bott, M., and Giancotti, F. (2012). New strategies in pleural mesothelioma: BAP1 and NF2 as novel targets for therapeutic development and risk assessment. *Clin Cancer Res* 18, 4485-4490.

18. Liao, L., Testa, J.R., and Yang, H. (2015). The roles of chromatin-remodelers and epigenetic modifiers in kidney cancer. *Cancer Genet* 208, 206-214.
19. Sobreira, N., Schiettecatte, F., Valle, D., and Hamosh, A. (2015). GeneMatcher: a matching tool for connecting investigators with an interest in the same gene. *Hum Mutat* 36, 928-930.
20. Berger, S.I., Ciccone, C., Simon, K.L., Malicdan, M.C., Vilboux, T., Billington, C., Fischer, R., Introne, W.J., Gropman, A., Blancato, J.K., et al. (2017). Exome analysis of Smith-Magenis-like syndrome cohort identifies de novo likely pathogenic variants. *Hum Genet* 136, 409-420.
21. Karczewski, K.J., Francioli, L.C., Tiao, G., Cummings, B.B., Alföldi, J., Wang, Q., Collins, R.L., Laricchia, K.M., Ganna, A., Birnbaum, D.P., et al. (2020). The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. *Nature* 581, 434-443.
22. Traynelis, J., Silk, M., Wang, Q., Berkovic, S.F., Liu, L., Ascher, D.B., Balding, D.J., and Petrovski, S. (2017). Optimizing genomic medicine in epilepsy through a gene-customized approach to missense variant interpretation. *Genome Res* 27, 1715-1729.
23. Jensen, D.E., Proctor, M., Marquis, S.T., Gardner, H.P., Ha, S.I., Chodosh, L.A., Ishov, A.M., Tommerup, N., Vissing, H., Sekido, Y., et al. (1998). BAP1: a novel ubiquitin hydrolase which binds to the BRCA1 RING finger and enhances BRCA1-mediated cell growth suppression. *Oncogene* 16, 1097-1112.
24. Santiago-Sim, T., Burrage, L.C., Ebstein, F., Tokita, M.J., Miller, M., Bi, W., Braxton, A.A., Rosenfeld, J.A., Shahrour, M., Lehmann, A., et al. (2017). Biallelic Variants in OTUD6B Cause an Intellectual Disability Syndrome Associated with Seizures and Dysmorphic Features. *American journal of human genetics* 100, 676-688 PMID: 28343629.

25. Garret, P., Ebstein, F., Delplancq, G., Dozieres-Puyravel, B., Boughalem, A., Auvin, S., Duffourd, Y., Klafack, S., Zieba, B.A., Mahmoudi, S., et al. (2020). Report of the first patient with a homozygous OTUD7A variant responsible for epileptic encephalopathy and related proteasome dysfunction. *Clinical genetics*, PMID: 31997314.
26. del Rosario, R.C., Poschmann, J., Rouam, S.L., Png, E., Khor, C.C., Hibberd, M.L., and Prabhakar, S. (2015). Sensitive detection of chromatin-altering polymorphisms reveals autoimmune disease mechanisms. *Nat Methods* 12, 458-464.
27. Sun, W., Poschmann, J., Cruz-Herrera Del Rosario, R., Parikshak, N.N., Hajan, H.S., Kumar, V., Ramasamy, R., Belgard, T.G., Elanggovan, B., Wong, C.C.Y., et al. (2016). Histone Acetylome-wide Association Study of Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Cell* 167, 1385-1397 e1311.
28. Marzi, S.J., Leung, S.K., Ribarska, T., Hannon, E., Smith, A.R., Pishva, E., Poschmann, J., Moore, K., Troakes, C., Al-Sarraj, S., et al. (2018). A histone acetylome-wide association study of Alzheimer's disease identifies disease-associated H3K27ac differences in the entorhinal cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 21, 1618-1627.
29. Latypova, X., Vincent, M., Molle, A., Adebambo, O.A., Fourgeux, C., Khan, T.N., Caro, A., Rosello, M., Orellana, C., Niyazov, D., et al. (2021). Haploinsufficiency of the Sin3/HDAC corepressor complex member SIN3B causes a syndromic intellectual disability/autism spectrum disorder. *American journal of human genetics* 108, 929-941.
30. McLean, C.Y., Bristor, D., Hiller, M., Clarke, S.L., Schaar, B.T., Lowe, C.B., Wenger, A.M., and Bejerano, G. (2010). GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory regions. *Nat Biotechnol* 28, 495-501.
31. Ge, Z., Leighton, J.S., Wang, Y., Peng, X., Chen, Z., Chen, H., Sun, Y., Yao, F., Li, J., Zhang, H., et al. (2018). Integrated Genomic Analysis of the Ubiquitin Pathway across Cancer Types. *Cell Rep* 23, 213-226 e213.

32. Hirosawa, T., Ishida, M., Ishii, K., Kanehara, K., Kudo, K., Ohnuma, S., Kamei, T., Motoi, F., Naitoh, T., Selaru, F.M., et al. (2018). Loss of BAP1 expression is associated with genetic mutation and can predict outcomes in gallbladder cancer. *PLoS One* 13, e0206643.
33. Skrott, Z., and Cvek, B. (2014). Linking the activity of bortezomib in multiple myeloma and autoimmune diseases. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 92, 61-70.
34. Shashi, V., Pena, L.D.M., Kim, K., Burton, B., Hempel, M., Schoch, K., Walkiewicz, M., McLaughlin, H.M., Cho, M., Stong, N., et al. (2016). De Novo Truncating Variants in ASXL2 Are Associated with a Unique and Recognizable Clinical Phenotype. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 99, 991-999.
35. Qi, H., Dong, C., Chung, W.K., Wang, K., and Shen, Y. (2016). Deep Genetic Connection Between Cancer and Developmental Disorders. *Hum Mutat* 37, 1042-1050.
36. Errichiello, E., Mustafa, N., Vetro, A., Notarangelo, L.D., de Jonge, H., Rinaldi, B., Vergani, D., Giglio, S.R., Morbini, P., and Zuffardi, O. (2017). SMARCA4 inactivating mutations cause concomitant Coffin-Siris syndrome, microphthalmia and small-cell carcinoma of the ovary hypercalcaemic type. *J Pathol* 243, 9-15.
37. Baux, D., Van Goethem, C., Ardouin, O., Guignard, T., Bergougnoux, A., Koenig, M., and Roux, A.F. (2021). MobiDetails: online DNA variants interpretation. *Eur J Hum Genet* 29, 356-360.

FIGURES

Figure 1. *BAP1* variants: associated facial features and localization in the protein structure. A-F. Facial photographs showing dysmorphic features in individuals 1 (A), 4 (B), 5 (C), 6 (D), 8 (E), 9 (F), and 10 (G). H. The majority of variants (10/11) affect only five different codons located in the UCH domain. By contrast, variant p.(Arg718Gln) is located in the nuclear-localization signal (NLS). Protein structure refers to the one previously described elsewhere¹⁰. UCH: ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase (UCH) domain; ULD: UCH37-like domain; BARD1, HCF1, BRCA1, and YY1: domains of interaction with BARD1 (BRCA1 associated RING domain 1), HCF1, BRCA1 and YY1; NLS: bipartite nuclear localization signal.

Figure 2. Assessment of *BAP1* variants effect in model cell lines. A. Nuclear extract of HAP1 cells WT, *BAP1* knockout or *BAP1*-knockout-rescued with the different variants indicated on top were probed by western blot with anti-BAP1 antibody (upper panel) or anti-HDAC1 (lower panel, loading control). Representative result. B. Same as in A. but this time probed with anti-H2AK119ub antibody (upper panel) or anti-Histone H3 (lower panel, loading control). Western blots were quantified, the ratio between H2AK119ub and H3 is indicated below after normalisation to 1 for HAP1 WT cells. Representative result. C. Detection of BAP1 by immunofluorescence microscopy (top), nuclear staining with DAPI (bottom), representative experiment. D. Gene expression was analysed by RT-qPCR in the different cell lines described in A. *TMSB4X* (MIM: 300159) and *SI00A11* (MIM: 603114) levels normalized to *TBP* (MIM: 600075) expression are shown (n=3, biological replicates).

Figure 3. Subjects with *BAP1* variants exhibit increased amounts of Ub-H2A and pro- $\beta 5$ proteasome subunit. A. Upper panel: whole-cell lysates from T cells of affected individuals carrying the p.(Pro12Thr) or p.(Cys91Ser) *BAP1* variants (labelled probands 1 and 5) and

control T cells (subject's father and/or mother) were subjected to protein extraction and SDS-PAGE/western blotting using antibodies directed against Ub-H2A, H2A as well as α -tubulin and GAPDH (loading control), as indicated. Lower panel: densitometry analysis of the shown western-blots (upper panel) depicting the Ub-H2A contents detected in control (black) and *BAP1* subjects (red). The y-axis represents the fold changes of normalised Ub-H2A (Ub-H2A/ α -tubulin) in densitometry measurements, which were set as 1 for subjects' father or mother, as indicated. **B.** Native-PAGE analysis from control and affected individuals' T cells with proteasome bands being visualized by exposing the gel to 0.1 mM of the LLVY fluorescent peptide (left) and staining the gels with a monoclonal antibody specific for the $\alpha 6$ subunit (right), as indicated. **C.** Upper panel: whole-cell lysates from control and affected individuals' T cells were separated by SDS-PAGE and analysed by western blotting using antibodies directed against, Rpt1, Rpt2, Rpt3, Rpt4, Rpt5, $\beta 1$, $\beta 2$, $\beta 5$ and $\beta 5i$, as indicated. Equal loading was ensured by probing the membranes with an anti-GAPDH antibody, as indicated. Lower panel: densitometry analysis of the shown western-blots (upper panel) depicting the pro- $\beta 5$ contents detected in control (black) and *BAP1* subjects (red). The y-axis represents the fold changes of normalised pro- $\beta 5$ (pro- $\beta 5$ /GAPDH) in densitometry measurements, which were set as 1 for subjects' father or mother, as indicated.


Figure 4. ChIP-Seq analyses in individuals with *BAP1* variants c.34C>A p.(Pro12Thr) and c.272G>C p.(Cys91Ser). **A.** Diagram of the epigenetic analysis performed, ChIP-seq H3K27ac in technical replicates on peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) of affected individuals compared to healthy members of their family. **B.** PCA (principal component analysis) graph of the 500 acetylated peaks most variable between all control individuals compared to affected individuals. Peak heights were estimated and transformed using regularized log transform (rlog) of the normalized counts. **C.** Volcano plot of differential peaks


between affected individuals and their family. Significantly differentially acetylated peaks (FDR<0.1) are shown in red. **D.** Boxplots of hyperacetylated and hypoacetylated peaks in normalized counts of affected individuals (red) and their family members (green).


Figure 5. Gene ontology enrichment analysis of CHiP-Seq data related to variants c.34C>A p.(Pro12Thr) and c.272G>C p.(Cys91Ser). **A.** Heatmap of a selected set of peaks related to the ubiquitin-proteasome system using rlog transformed counts. **B.** Enrichment analysis of each subgroup performed with Fisher's exact test (see also **Table S2**). **C.** Gene ontologies (GO) of down and upregulated peaks in affected individuals performed with the GREAT tool. In red, relevant GO which are conceivably associated to the clinical traits observed in affected individuals.

Figure 1

[Click here to access/download;Figure;renamed_575ea.tif](#)










Figure 4. ChIP-seq analyses in individuals with *BAP1* variants c.34C>A (p.Pro12Thr) and c.272G>C (p.Cys91Ser)

(A) Diagram of the epigenetic analysis performed, ChIP-seq H3K27ac in technical replicates on peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of affected individuals compared to healthy members of their family.

(B) PCA (principal-component analysis) graph of the 500 acetylated peaks most variable between all control individuals compared to affected individuals. Peak heights were estimated and transformed with regularized log transform (rlog) of the normalized counts.

(C) Volcano plot of differential peaks between affected individuals and their family. Significantly differentially acetylated peaks (FDR < 0.1) are shown in red.

(D) Boxplots of hyperacetylated and hypoacetylated peaks in normalized counts of affected individuals (red) and their family members (green).




Figure 5. Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of ChIP-seq data related to variants c.34C>A (p.Pro12Thr) and c.272G>C (p.Cys91Ser)
 (A) Heatmap of a selected set of peaks related to the ubiquitin-proteasome system with rlog transformed counts.
 (B) Enrichment analysis of each subgroup performed with Fisher's exact test (see also Table S2).
 (C) Gene Ontologies (GOs) of down- and upregulated peaks in affected individuals performed with the GREAT tool. Relevant GOs that are conceivably associated to the clinical traits observed in affected individuals are shown in red.