



“Mat-O-Covid”: a SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Job Exposure Matrix

Alexis Descatha, Marc Fadel, Sabrina Pitet, Catherine Verdun-Esquer, Yolande Esquirol, Clement Legeay, Aurelien Dinh, Brigitte Clodore, Pascal Duprat, Sandrine Cartégnie, et al.

► To cite this version:

Alexis Descatha, Marc Fadel, Sabrina Pitet, Catherine Verdun-Esquer, Yolande Esquirol, et al.. “Mat-O-Covid”: a SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Job Exposure Matrix. 33rd International Congress on Occupational Health 2022 (ICOH 2022), Feb 2022, Melbourne, Australia. 13 Supplement, pp.S169, 2022, Safety and Health at Work. 10.1016/j.shaw.2021.12.1279 . hal-03563876

HAL Id: hal-03563876

<https://univ-angers.hal.science/hal-03563876>

Submitted on 10 Feb 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

“Mat-O-Covid”: a SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Job Exposure Matrix

Alexis Descatha 1,2*, Marc Fadel 1,3, Sabrina Pitet 2, Fabien Gilbert 1, Marie Badreau 1, Catherine Verdun-Esquer 4, Yolande Esquirol 5,6, Clément Legeay 7, Aurelien Dinh 8, Brigitte Clodoré 9, Pascal Duprat 10, Sandrine Cartégnie 11, Céline Dagrenat 12, Pascal Andujar 13, Jean-Pierre Leclerc 14, Corinne Letheux, 15, **+Investigateurs de Mat-O-Covid**

1. Univ Angers, CHU Angers, Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) - UMR_S 1085, Angers, France
2. CHU Angers, Centre Antipoison-Centre de Données Cliniques, Angers, France
3. Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), Paris, France
4. CHU Bordeaux, Service de santé au travail, Bordeaux, France
5. CHU Toulouse, Service de santé au travail, Toulouse, France
6. CERPOP, UMR1295, unité mixte INSERM - Université Toulouse III Paul Sabatier, Toulouse France.
7. CHU Angers, Unité de prévention et de lutte des infections nosocomiales, Angers, France
8. Unité des maladies infectieuses, Hôpital universitaire Raymond Poincaré, AP-HP Université Paris Saclay, Garches, France.
9. Ville de Paris, Service Médical, Paris, France
10. DIRECCT Ile-de-France, Paris, France
11. SISTBI, La Réunion, La Réunion, France
12. CMIE, Paris, France
13. Université Paris-Est Créteil, Equipe GEIC20, INSERM U955, Créteil, France ; Service de Pathologies Professionnelles et de l'Environnement, Centre Hospitalier Intercommunal Créteil, Institut Santé-Travail Paris-Est, Créteil, France.
14. INRS, Département Ingénierie des procédés, Nancy Vandoeuvre, France
15. Presanse, Paris, France.



STATEMENT SLIDE

I disclose the following conflicts of interest:

- Editor-in-Chief of the Archives of Occupational and Environmental Diseases (Elsevier)
- Associate Editor of Archives of Environmental and Occupational Health (Taylor and Francis)
- **This study is supported by Pays de la Loire region, Angers Loire Metropole, Univ Angers, CHU Angers and Inserm REACTing/ ANRS Maladies Emergentes**

Aim and Method

Aim

- Develop and validate a job-exposure matrix (JEM) for SARS-CoV-2 exposure called “Mat-O-Covid” project (“COVID-Mate” in French).

Method

- JEM was developed by a group of experts for all workers, and a focus on the health and care sector.
- The average of the experts' coding was used as estimates for both estimates, exposure "subjects" (colleagues and/or public) and "patients" for the focus on the health and care sector,
- The probability of prevention for each was also assessed

Prevention categories considered and examples.

Prevention category	Type of prevention	Example of lack of preventive measures (0%)	Examples of optimal prevention (100%)	Intermediates to consider (between 0 and 100%)
Distancing	<i>Distancing at work</i>	Working with others in small spaces, open spaces and non-compliance including for breaks/meals	Total containment/teleworking	Density per office (including office alone)/store/space/staff training/plexiglass/activity (as it may require unconscious closeness)/job stress (may cause loss of attention to distancing compliance)
	<i>Distancing in "peri-work" mode</i>	Lack of space during social times (breaks/meals), travel in-between	Isolation (conviviality/car alone)	Staff training, staggered meal times, travel time and compliance with isolation/carpooling
Ventilation	<i>Ventilation/outdoor</i>	No ventilation or airing	Outdoor work	Ventilation characteristics (separate source and general ventilation (renewal rate, etc.)), maintenance of ventilation (central and air treatment), natural ventilation (opening of windows, other), scrubbers with or without specific filtration (other efficiency)
Hygiene	<i>Hand washing</i>	None	Very regular and with every potential contact	Availability of products, type of surface, simple washing/disinfection/surgical procedure
	<i>Washing of work surfaces</i>	None	Very regular and with every potential contact	Availability of products, type of surface, maintenance procedure
Protection	<i>Respiratory protection/mask</i>	No protection	Regular adapted wear	Type (surgical mask/FFP2/KN95/consumer mask), change, fit, fitting and change procedure, activity type (heat and stress tolerance)
	<i>Hand protection (gloves) and contact protection</i>	No protection	Regular adapted wear	Glove/apron and gown, change/proper wear/procedure.
	<i>Eye protection</i>	No protection	Regular suitable wear	Goggles, face protection, change/correct wearing/procedure.
Specific vaccination	<i>Specific vaccination</i>	None	All personnel with an effective vaccine	Incomplete vaccination

Results

- Intraclass correlations were considered **good to excellent**, ranging from 0.70 [0.3-0.82] and 0.95 [0.94-0.96]; this was also true for the care and health JEM (0.74 [0.66-0.81]); *however, they were poor for prevention in health, P4 (ICC 0.24 [0.02-0.42]).*
- Compared to the United States O*Net JEM, the evaluation was considered as fair: with “subjects” (“To what extent does this job require the worker to perform job tasks in close physical proximity to other people?”) a fair correlation (Spearman Rho 0.40, $p < 0.0001$), as well as one estimation for the exposure assessment of contact with patients (“How often does this job require exposure to disease/infections?”) with a good correlation (Spearman Rho 0.63, $p < 0.0001$

Conclusion

The "Mat-O-Covid" JEM providing a probability of occupational exposure to SARS-CoV-2 will have implications for research and public health, taking into account that its limitations are known, and its validation is still in progress



Archives des Maladies Professionnelles et de
l'Environnement

Volume 82, Issue 5, October 2021, Pages 487-493



Go to Archives des Maladies Professionnelles et de l'Environnement

Éditorial

Matrice emplois-exposition pour le SARS-CoV-2
(COVID-19) : création de « Mat-O-Covid », validité
et perspectives

SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Job Exposure Matrix:
“Mat-O-Covid” Creation (*COVID-Mate* in French),
accuracy study, and perspectives

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8321772/>



DONALD AND BARBARA
ZUCKER SCHOOL of MEDICINE
AT HOFSTRA/NORTHWELL

TEC -TOP

Mat-O-Covid



Melbourne – Rome Global Digital Congress

www.icoh2022.net