

Bone mineral density and trabecular bone score assessment in systemic sclerosis: A cross-sectional study

Alain Lescoat, Marie Leroy, Guillaume Coiffier, Claire Cazalets, Nicolas Belhomme, Alice Ballerie, Patrick Jégo, François Robin, Pascal Guggenbuhl

▶ To cite this version:

Alain Lescoat, Marie Leroy, Guillaume Coiffier, Claire Cazalets, Nicolas Belhomme, et al.. Bone mineral density and trabecular bone score assessment in systemic sclerosis: A cross-sectional study. Joint Bone Spine, 2021, 88 (5), pp.105214. 10.1016/j.jbspin.2021.105214. hal-03268644

HAL Id: hal-03268644

https://hal.science/hal-03268644

Submitted on 1 Jul 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

JOINT BONE SPINE

Bone mineral density and trabecular bone score assessment in systemic sclerosis: a cross-sectional study.

Alain Lescoat MD, PhD^{1,2*}, Marie Leroy MD^{3*}, Guillaume Coiffier MD^{3,4}, Claire Cazalets MD², Nicolas Belhomme MD², Alice Ballerie MD^{1,2},

Patrick Jégo MD PhD^{1,2}, François Robin^{3,4}MD, Pascal Guggenbuhl MD PhD^{3,5}

1-CHU Rennes, INSERM, U1085, Research Institute in Health, Environment and Occupation/Institut de Recherche en Santé, Environnement et Travail (IRSET), University of Rennes 1, Rennes, France.

2-CHU Rennes, Department of Internal Medicine & Clinical Immunology

3-CHU Rennes, Departement of Rheumatology

4-CHU Rennes, INSERM 1241, University of Rennes 1, Rennes, France.

5-INSERM, Univ Rennes, INRA, CHU Rennes, Institut NUMECAN (Nutrition Metabolisms and Cancer), F-35033 Rennes, France.

*Equal contribution

Corresponding author: Alain Lescoat

Department of Internal Medicine & Clinical Immunology, CHU Rennes, South Hospital, 16 bvd de Bulgarie - 35203 RENNES Cedex 2 - BP 90347

E-Mail: alain.lescoat@chu-rennes.fr

Phone: +33 2 99 26 71 28 fax: +33 2 99 26 71 98

A higher risk of fracture has been documented in patients with Systemic sclerosis highlighting that bone assessment is important part of SSc management [1,2]. Vertebral fractures of the thoracic and lumbar spine can be diagnosed by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) with vertebral fracture (VF) assessment (VFA) [3]. The prevalence of VF using this technic in SSc is still unknown. Trabecular bone score (TBS) can assess bone microarchitecture through the analysis of grey levels from lumbar spine images of DXA. Therefore, TBS provides information on bone architecture that Bone Mineral Density (BMD) does not and the two methods may be complementary [4,5]. There are few studies evaluating the relevance of TBS in SSc.

The study proposed in this letter aimed to conduct a complete and non-invasive global bone evaluation (including simultaneous assessment of BMD, TBS, VFA and FRAX/TBS-FRAX) in a large population of SSc patients fulfilling the 2013 ACR/EULAR classification criteria [6,7]. FRAX® and FRAX-TBS scores were determined on a dedicated website and therapeutic thresholds were based on French recommendations [8]. This cross-sectional study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethics committee (approval number 16.132).

116 SSc patients were included and their clinical characteristics are presented in [Appendix A, Table S1; See the supplementary material associated with this article online]. The mean age was 61.9 years old with 78.4% of women. Key bone-associated parameters are presented in **Table 1**. 28.4% of the patients had osteoporosis defined by a T-score ≤ 2.5 and/or the history of osteoporotic fracture (**Table 2**) [9]. The prevalence of severe osteoporotic fractures was 8.6%. Their distribution is presented in **Appendix A**, **table S2**, 75.6% of osteoporotic fractures were VF. VFA allowed an unknown diagnosis of 5 of the 22 VF (22.7%). Patients with at least one VF had femoral neck and total hip BMD significantly lower (femoral neck BMD: 0.56±0.12g/cm² vs 0.70±0.11g/cm², p<0.01 and total hip BMD: 0.63±0.13g/cm² vs 0.84±0.13g/cm², p<0.001). Femoral neck, lumbar spine, and total hip BMD were correlated with TBS (r=0.38, p<0.001; r=0.59, p<0.0001; r=0.43, p<0.0001 respectively). Patients with longer disease duration (>5 years) or VF had lower TBS values (p<0.05 both). FRAX and FRAX-TBS scores in our population did not result in any new indication for starting anti-osteoporotic drugs considering the risk of severe fractures.

Based on the literature, BMD values in our SSc population were lower as compared to the general population whereas TBS values were similar. Mean TBS (1.296± 0.097) in our work was

similar to TBS in the OFELY and JPOS cohorts of post-menopausal women (1.276 and 1.310 respectively) [5,10]. The systematic coupling of VFA with BMD allowed the diagnosis of 5 previously unknown asymptomatic vertebral fractures. Considering that such fractures lead to an active anti-osteoporotic treatment regardless of BMD values, this result supports the use of VFA in daily practice in SSc. FRAX-TBS and FRAX scores had no impact on therapeutic considerations in our study suggesting that combining BMD evaluation [7], VFA and fracture history may be sufficient to select SSc patients that could benefit the most from anti-osteoporotic drugs.

DECLARATIONS:

Funding statement. This study did not receive any financial support

Conflict of interest: A Lescoat, G Coiffier, C Cazalets, N Belhomme, A Ballerie, F Robin, M Leroy, P Jego and P Guggenbuhl report no conflicts of interest (both personal and institutional) regarding specific financial interests that are relevant to the work conducted or reported in this manuscript.

Ethics approval: This study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethics committee (approval number 16.132).

Consent to participate: All patients gave their informed written consent to participate.

Consent for publication: All patients gave their informed written consent for the publication of the anonymized results, and all authors approve the publication of the results in Osteoporosis international.

Availability of data and material: all anonymized data are available on request to the corresponding author.

Authors' contributions: M Leroy and A Lescoat wrote the first draft of the manuscript, A Lescoat performed the statistical analyses, C Cazalets, A Ballerie, F Robin, N Belhomme, G Coiffier, P Jégo, A Lescoat and P Guggenbuhl designed the study, corrected and added substantial modifications to the manuscript

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank all patients involved in this study and the medical and paramedical staff of the internal medicine and rheumatology departments of Rennes university hospital.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data (Table S1-S2) associated with this article can be found in the online version

at ...

REFERENCES

- [1] Avouac J, Koumakis E, Toth E, Meunier M, Maury E, Kahan A, et al. Increased risk of osteoporosis and fracture in women with systemic sclerosis: a comparative study with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2012;64:1871–8.
- [2] Lai C-C, Wang S-H, Chen W-S, Liu C-J, Chen T-J, Lee P-C, et al. Increased risk of osteoporotic fractures in patients with systemic sclerosis: a nationwide population-based study. Ann Rheum Dis 2015;74:1347–52.
- [3] Ginther JP, Ginther AW, Brodersen LD. Adding VFA to DXA identifies fracture risk in a way not duplicated by other measures. Endocr Pract 2017;23:1375–8.
- [4] Hans D, Barthe N, Boutroy S, Pothuaud L, Winzenrieth R, Krieg M-A. Correlations between trabecular bone score, measured using anteroposterior dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry acquisition, and 3-dimensional parameters of bone microarchitecture: an experimental study on human cadaver vertebrae. J Clin Densitom 2011;14:302–12.
- [5] Boutroy S, Hans D, Sornay-Rendu E, Vilayphiou N, Winzenrieth R, Chapurlat R. Trabecular bone score improves fracture risk prediction in non-osteoporotic women: the OFELY study. Osteoporos Int 2013;24:77–85.
- [6] van den Hoogen F, Khanna D, Fransen J, Johnson SR, Baron M, Tyndall A, et al. 2013 Classification Criteria for Systemic Sclerosis: An American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism Collaborative Initiative: ACR/EULAR Classification Criteria for SSc. Arthritis & Rheumatism 2013;65:2737–47.
- [7] Kanis JA, Harvey NC, Johansson H, Odén A, Leslie WD, McCloskey EV. FRAX Update. J Clin Densitom 2017;20:360–7.
- [8] Briot K, Roux C, Thomas T, Blain H, Buchon D, Chapurlat R, et al. 2018 update of French recommendations on the management of postmenopausal osteoporosis. Joint Bone Spine 2018;85:519–30.
- [9] Assessment of fracture risk and its application to screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis. Report of a WHO Study Group. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 1994;843:1–129.
- [10] Briot K, Paternotte S, Kolta S, Eastell R, Reid DM, Felsenberg D, et al. Added value of trabecular bone score to bone mineral density for prediction of osteoporotic fractures in postmenopausal women: the OPUS study. Bone 2013;57:232–6.

Table 1: Bone associated-parameters in 116 SSc patients

Table 1: Done associated-parameters in 110 SSC patients					
Characteristics	N (%) or Mean (SD)				
Risk factors of osteoporosis					
Dairy product consumption (n/day)	2.2 (1.3)				
Alcohol abuse (>3 units per day)	3 (2.6)				
Familial history of femoral neck fracture an a first-degree relative (n=69)	3 (2.6)				
Early menopause (n=87/91 women)	5 (5.7)				
Smoking (previous or current smokers)	30 (25.9)				
Treatments					
Steroids consumption (previous or current, >7.5mg daily for more than 3 months)	30 (15.9)				
Oral Vitamin D supplementation	38 (32.8)				
Calcium supplementation	20 (17.2)				
Hormonal supplementation (n=99)	14 (12.1)				
Anti-osteoporotic drugs (current or past), including Bisphosphonate	20 (17.2)				
Biological characteristics					
Serum calcium (mmol/L)	2.4 (0.1)				
Serum phosphate (mmol/L)	1.0 (0.2)				
Creatinine levels (µmol/L) (n=114)	73.3 (28.3)				
25-OH-D-vitamin (ng/mL) (n=89)	26.3 (12.68)				
PTH (pg/mL)	22.9 (1.4)				
BMD parameters and DXA results					
BMD (femoral neck) (g/cm ²) (n=115)	0.68 (0.12)				
T-score (femoral neck)	-1.6 (0.99)				
BMD (hip) (g/cm^2) (n=106)	0.82 (0.14)				
T-score (hip)	-1.1 (1.05)				
BMD (lumbar spine) (g/cm²) (n=104)	0.92 (0.16)				
T-score (lumbar spine)	-1.1 (1.45)				
Osteopenia (T-score >-2.5 and \leq -1)	65 (56.0)				
Osteoporosis according to BMD value only (T-score <-2.5)	28 (24.1)				
Osteoporosis according to BMD value (T-score ≤-2.5) and fractures	33 (28.4)				
TBS value (n=86)	1.29 (0.09)				

SSc=Systemic sclerosis, PTH=parathyroid Hormon, BMD=Bone Mineral Density, TBS=trabecular Bone Score

Table 2 : Clinical, biological and bone characteristics associated with Osteoporosis as defined by BMD values and fracture status.

Characteristics	With Osteoporosis (n=33)	Without Osteoporosis (n=83)	OR	95%CI
Demographic				
Age (above 62 year-old)***	27	36	5.87	2.19-15.7
Male*	3	22	0.28	0.77-0.99
BMI ($<25 \text{ kg/m}^2$)	21	47	1.30	0.56-3.00
Dairy product consumption $\geq 3/\text{day}$	13	22	2.14	0.85-5.36
Early menopause	3	2	3.98	0.63-25.0
Smoking (previous or current smokers)	6	24	0.55	0.20-1.49
Treatments	, and the second		*****	VV (,
Steroids consumption (previous or current)	11	19	1.68	0.69-4.09
Oral Vitamin D supplementation**	17	21	3.14	1.35-7.29
Calcic supplementation**	11	9	4.11	1.51-11.19
Hormonal supplementation	7	7	3.25	1.00-10.3
Anti-osteoporotic drugs ***	14	6	9.46	3.21-27.8
Disease characteristics				
Early SSc (< 5 year-old)	5	27	0.40	0.13-1.15
Diffuse cutaneous SSc	6	19	0.75	0.26-2.08
Presence of skin telangiectasia	27	55	2.29	0.85-6.2
DU history/active DU	9	26	0.82	0.34-2.01
ILD on CT scan	11	28	0.98	0.41-2.30
PAH on RHc	1	0	NA	
Calcinosis on hand X-Rays	12	22	1.70	0.69-4.20
Acro-osteolysis on hand X-Rays	6	17	0.88	0.31-2.53
Biological characteristics				
Clearance MDRD < 59	4	8	1.26	0.35-4.50
25-OH-D-vitamine < 30 ng/mL	16	34	1.06	0.43-2.61
ACA	15	46	0.67	0.29-1.51
ATA	7	14	1.33	0.48-3.65
RNA pol III	0	6	NA	
Bone Characteristics and fractures				
TBS value_ <q1=1.232***< td=""><td>12</td><td>8</td><td>6.11</td><td>2.08-18.0</td></q1=1.232***<>	12	8	6.11	2.08-18.0

SSc=Systemic sclerosis, BMI=Body Mass index, RP=Raynaud's phenomenon, DU=digital ulcers, ILD=interstitial lung disease, PAH=pulmonary arterial hypertension, ACA=anti-centromere antibodies, ATA=Anti-topoIsomerase II antibodies, RNA pol III= anti-RNA polymerase III antibodies. TBS: trabecular bone score *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001 between patients with and without osteoporosis