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Abstract—Modulated metasurface (MTS) antennas can provide a broadside pencil beam at the frequency where the cylindrical surface wave (SW) wavelength matches the period of the impedance modulation. For modulations with constant period, the mismatch between the SW wavelength and the period imposes a limitation on the gain-bandwidth product. However, this limitation can be overcome by shaping the local period as a function of the radial distance. Doing so, we generate an annular active region on the antenna aperture, where the SW-to-impedance interaction mainly occurs. Such active region moves from the antenna center to the circular rim as the frequency decreases. This paper shows that one can optimize the profile of the local periodicity function to obtain broadband pencil beams over large bandwidths, while preserving the flatness of the gain versus frequency response and a good stability of the phase center. The antenna performances so obtained are really unique for flat antennas based on printed technology. Finally, we present a simple formula for the product between average gain and bandwidth, which gradually blends into the already known expression for modulations with constant period. This formula establishes an absolute limit of the gain-bandwidth product, which only depends on the wavelength-normalized antenna radius at the central frequency.

Index Terms—Broadband antennas, circular polarization, impedance boundary conditions, leaky waves, metasurface antennas, surface waves.

I. INTRODUCTION

An increasing interest in modulated metasurfaces (MTSs) [1]-[4] has recently drawn considerable attention. Among their advantages, stand out a low weight and low profile, a relatively easy and cheap fabrication process, and a simple feeding scheme. The radiation effect in this class of antennas arises from the interaction between a surface wave (SW) and the spatially modulated tensor reactance, which transforms the bounded SW into a leaky wave (LW). By properly choosing the spatial modulation of the reactance tensor entries, one can control the leakage rate, the direction and polarization of the radiation and even the shape of the pattern. This ability to accurately control the aperture fields has led to circular apertures that satisfy a predetermined pattern mask [5]-[7], that maximize the aperture efficiency [5],[6],[8], or that provide either dual-polarization [9],[10], multiple beams [11],[12] or dual frequency operation [13],[14].

Despite the flexibility shown by modulated MTS antennas, they have typically suffered from a relatively narrow bandwidth of gain. According to the results presented in [15], the main reason for the bandwidth limitation in modulated MTS antennas with a broadside beam is the mismatch between the periodicity $d$ of the modulation and the dispersive variation with frequency of the SW wavelength. This variation is mostly due to the dispersion in the substrate and provokes a gradual reduction of the antenna gain at broadside.

Let us consider the case of a circular MTS antenna with radius $a$ and with free-space wavelength $\lambda_0$ at its central frequency $f_0$. Assuming a constant period $d$ of the impedance modulation along the radius and an optimally chosen amplitude modulation index $m$ (see for instance [16]), the circular aperture will provide a fractional bandwidth $\Delta f_{\text{ap}} = 1.2(a/\lambda_0)(v_g/c)$, where $c$ is the speed of light in free space, and $v_g$ is the group velocity of the SW at $f_0$ when it propagates on the MTS uniform average reactance. In addition, the aperture gain in absence of losses (directivity) is $G=(2\pi a^2)/(al_0)/(al_0+2)$ [15]. In the case of electrically large antennas, the latter expressions provide a fractional gain-bandwidth product approximately equal to $47(a/\lambda_0)(v_g/c)$. Typical values of $\Delta f_{\text{ap}}$, where $\Delta f$ is the total bandwidth, go from 9% to 3% when the gain increases from 28.5 to 40 dBi (see Fig. 5 in [15]).

Fig. 1. Reference coordinate system and transparent reactance pattern for the broadband MTS aperture. Right inset: canonical problem of a flat SW wavefront that locally matches the modulation period. Left inset: printed elliptical elements used to implement the homogenized reactance.
The bandwidth performance can be significantly improved by adopting a period $d$ that changes as a function of the aperture radius $[17,18]$. The period $d$ of the modulation in $[18]$ increases exponentially along the radial distance to match the SW wavelength in different annular regions (Fig. 1). Therefore, the designed aperture behaves as an active region antenna, where each annular region radiates the desired broadside beam at a given frequency. Increasing or decreasing the frequency implies shifting the active region towards the center or the rim of the structure, respectively. Fig. 2 illustrates the above described mechanism. It is important to note that the area outside the active region at a certain frequency barely interacts with the SW and it radiates very weakly.

Fig. 2 Active regions at three different frequencies and example of radiation patterns ($f_1 < f_2 < f_3$).

Nonetheless, this exponential evolution of the period leads to a gain versus frequency response with ripples of approximately ±2 dB around its average value. Here, we show that it is possible to optimize the period function to get rid of these oscillations. Furthermore, it is seen for the first time that the phase center of these antennas is quite stable within the bandwidth. In order to reach this performance, we extend here the procedure in $[18]$ to arbitrary period functions, optimized to have a flat gain response over a large bandwidth. To this end, we build on the technique described in $[18]$ by introducing an accurate description of the transparent reactance, which is directly retrieved from the full-wave analysis of a local periodic canonical problem.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the formulation of the problem for exponential variation of the period. Section III illustrates the design process. Section IV presents full-wave results for two different flat gain broadband apertures. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section V.

II. EXPONENTIAL VARIATION OF THE RADIAL PERIOD

We model the MTS as a sheet transition tensor impedance boundary condition (IBC) $[19]$. The tensor $X$ relates the tangential electric field $E_t$ averaged at $z=0$ with the discontinuity of tangential magnetic fields at the interface as

$$E_t = jX \cdot \hat{z} \times (H_{x,0} - H_{x,0})$$

where $\hat{z}$ is the normal to the MTS plane.

The analyzed apertures (Fig. 1) consist of circular regions with radius $a$. Hence, we will use a polar coordinate system centered in the aperture at the texture layer section, with radial distance $\rho$, azimuth angle $\phi$, and unit vectors ($\hat{\rho}, \hat{\phi}$). A generic position in the aperture is given by $\rho \cos \phi \hat{x} + \rho \sin \phi \hat{y}$ and $X$ assumes the form $[16],[18]$

$$X = \bar{X}_\rho + \int \left[ \cos[\Phi(\rho)+\varphi](m_\rho(\rho)\hat{\rho} - m_\phi(\rho)\hat{\phi}) - m_\phi(\rho)\sin[\Phi(\rho)+\varphi](\hat{\rho} + \hat{\phi}) \right] d\rho$$

where $\bar{X}_\rho$ is the average reactance, $m_\rho, m_\phi$ are the radially-dependent modulation indexes, and $\Phi(\rho)$ is the phase of the modulation given by

$$\Phi(\rho) = \int_0^\rho \frac{2\pi}{d(\rho')d'} d' + \Phi(0)$$

where $d(\rho)$ represents the local period of the modulation in $\rho$. We point out that, by adopting a non-uniform periodicity function $d(\rho)$ along the radial distance, the reactance $X$ in (2) takes the shape of a spiral that unwinds outward with a variable expansion rate that is dictated by (3).

A. Average Gain and Bandwidth

The authors treated in $[18]$ the case $m_\rho = m_\phi$ with an exponential variation of the radial period of the kind

$$\rho = \left( \frac{d_1e^\delta - d_2}{e^\delta - 1} \right) + \left( \frac{d_2 - d_1}{e^\delta - 1} \right) e^{\delta \rho/a}$$

where $\delta$ is a non-dimensional constant that defines the speed of the exponential growth, while $d_1$ and $d_2$ are the values that the local period of the modulation assumes in $\rho=0$ and $\rho=a$; i.e., $d_1 = d(0)$, $d_2 = d(a)$. The rate of growth of the exponential function depends on $\delta$, which optimal value was derived in the Appendix of $[18]$ as $\delta \approx 3.5 \approx \ln(d_2/d_1) = 4.13$. It was shown that this choice leads to the average antenna gain $[18]$, eq. (28)

$$G_{\text{ave}} \approx \frac{a(4\pi)^2}{d_1^2 \left( 1 - \frac{2d_2}{d_1} \right)} \frac{35\sigma_{\text{min}}^2}{\delta_{\text{opt}}}$$

where $\sigma_{\text{min}}$ is the ratio between the SW wavelength and the free-space wavelength at the minimum frequency of operation (occurring approximately for $\lambda_{\text{sw,min}} = \gamma_1 d_1$). The antenna bandwidth is comprised between the two frequencies for which the SW wavelength goes from the minimum value $\lambda_{\text{sw,min}} = \gamma_1 d_1$ to the maximum value $\lambda_{\text{sw,max}} = \gamma_2 d_2$. The factors $\gamma_{1,2}$ are larger than one and depend on the acceptable gain (with respect to the average gain) used to define the bandwidth. For instance, these factors approach unity for a -6 dB gain bandwidth.
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Nonetheless, the choice of an exponential tapering is affected by an impairment: the gain oscillates around the average value with a ripple that increases for larger aperture radius. This undesired oscillation is related to the poor control of the aperture fields’ amplitude tapering, which is caused by the dispersivity of the surface. Fig. 3 shows two examples of broadband antenna, in both cases the substrate thickness is $h=0.635\text{mm}$ and the relative permittivity is $\varepsilon_r=6.15$. A full-wave Method of Moments (MoM) solver for homogenized IBCs [19] has been used to obtain the simulation results for these antennas with radius 11.1 cm (Fig. 3(a)) and 16.6 cm (Fig. 3(b)). Both antennas have the same $d_1$ and $d_2$ (see the caption in Fig. 3), and therefore the same bandwidth (22.2-29.1 GHz). Using (5), one can predict an average gain $G_{\text{ave}}=29\text{ dBi}$ for $a=11.1\text{ cm}$ with $\pm 1\text{dB}$ in-band oscillation and $G_{\text{ave}}=30\text{ dBi}$ for $a=16.66\text{ cm}$ with $\pm 2\text{dB}$ in-band oscillation. One can easily notice that the obtained gain is higher for the larger aperture, at the expense of larger oscillations with respect to the frequency. As expected, the side lobes exhibit higher levels at frequencies where the gain response resents a minimum of its oscillation.

Fig. 3. Gain versus frequency responses for two different MTS antennas with $\delta=\delta_{\text{opt}}$ and: (a) $a=11.1\text{ cm}$, $d_1=7\text{mm}$ and $d_2=13.7\text{mm}$, (b) $a=16.66\text{ cm}$, $d_1=7\text{mm}$ and $d_2=13.7\text{mm}$. The peak gains and the radiation patterns in the insets have been obtained by a full wave MoM solution for the homogenized impedance [19]. The average gain is $G_{\text{ave}}=29\text{ dBi}$ for $a=11.1\text{ cm}$ with $\pm 1\text{dB}$ in-band oscillation and $G_{\text{ave}}=30\text{ dBi}$ for $a=16.66\text{ cm}$ with $\pm 2\text{dB}$ in-band oscillation.

Fig. 4. (a) Fabricated prototype with an inset showing the elliptical patches used to synthesize the impedance tensor. (b) Local periodicity as a function of the radial distance. (c) Directivity versus frequency response: measured (blue line), computed with the IBC-MoM in [19] (red line), computed by CST (green line). (d) Coordinates of the phase center versus frequency calculated from measurements using the method in [20]. (e) Comparison between the $z$ components of the phase centers calculated using the measured patterns, CST analysis and IBC-MoM results.
B. Phase Center

In some applications, the frequency stability of the phase center is an important property. The phase center (PHC) of these antennas is quite stable with frequency, since they are approximately symmetric and fed by a single monopole at the center. To illustrate this property, we have calculated the PHC for the antenna fabricated and measured in [18]. Fig. 4(a) shows the prototype and a zoom into the elliptical patches used to synthesize the IBC with the local periodicity function represented in Fig. 4(b). The PHC calculation follows the method described in [20], which is summarized here for convenience. First, the phase is obtained in the angular range of the main beam (at -3dB level). Next, the angular direction of the null of phase gradient is determined numerically. Finally, the PHC is found as the radius of the osculating spheres that match the phase gradient up to the second order derivatives around the direction of the null. It is worth noting that this procedure is different from those typically implemented in commercial softwares. In the latter, there is no search of the phase gradient null, and the osculating circle approximation is done directly on data of the main beam in a range symmetrically displaced with respect to the maximum amplitude value. This process has been found to provide significant errors in the phase center estimate even for small deviations between the maximum of the beam and the null of the phase gradient.

The variation of the PHC position with frequency is reported in Fig. 4(d), and it is obtained from measurements; Fig. 4(e) shows the z-deviation of the phase center calculated using the measured patterns, the patterns obtained from a full wave analysis in CST and those computed with the IBC-MoM in [19]. The small PHC discontinuity at 27 GHz obtained from measurements is due to a change of standard gain horn in the measurement set up. One can also observe that the phase center fluctuation is around 10 mm over the 6 GHz bandwidth.

III. ANTENNA SYNTHESIS FOR NON-EXponential RADIAL PERIOD

One can deduct from (5) that, after setting the bandwidth, the average gain can be increased almost linearly with the radius. However, as shown in Fig. 3, when one increases the aperture size to get higher gains, the oscillation of the in-band gain becomes more pronounced. This effect is due to the insufficient control on the amplitude distribution of the “-1” indexed Floquet mode that one can get with the one-parameter (δ) exponential periodicity function. To obtain a flat gain in frequency, we should replace the exponential period function $d(\rho)$ in (4) by a more general function that results from an optimization scheme.

Fig. 5 shows the flow diagram for the entire optimization process. The different steps of this process are represented by blocks in Fig. 5, these blocks are commented in the caption of Fig. 5 and described in detail in Sections III-A to III-E.

A. Optimization Scheme

As a first step, it is necessary to choose an appropriate substrate for the MTS design. We note that such choice affects the selection of the printed elements composing the MTS texture, i.e., the elliptical patches shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 4(a). This selection allows one to fix the average transparent reactance $\bar{X}_\rho$ in (2) at $\omega_0$, which is defined as the in-band center frequency and is maintained invariant for all the iterations in the optimization process. Then, the dispersion of the wavenumber $\beta_\omega$ is characterized for a SW propagating on the average transparent reactance $\bar{X}_\rho$ in the band of interest.

To that end, one may adopt a constant quasi-static capacitance $C_0$ and a linear variation of the MTS admittance $-\rho \approx -1/(C_\omega \omega)$ [21]. This approximation can be used for the initial choice of substrate and elements. Here, however, we use a more refined evaluation of $\beta_\omega$ based on a full-wave periodic analysis [22].

The optimization process starts with the definition of the objective bandwidth comprised between the angular frequencies $\omega_{min}$ and $\omega_{max}$, the desired average gain $G_{ave}$ and the acceptable gain oscillation within the antenna bandwidth. Here, we will assume a ±1 dB of oscillation. The objective bandwidth imposes the maximum period $d_2$ (at the periphery
of the aperture) and a minimum period \( d_1 \) (in the feed region). The values \( d_2 \) and \( d_1 \) are defined to match the maximum and minimum SW wavelengths at the minimum and maximum frequencies \( \omega_{\text{min}} \) and \( \omega_{\text{max}} \) respectively. As for the selection of \( G_{\text{ave}} \) we note that such value can be approximated using the following formula

\[
G_{\text{ave}} \frac{\Delta f}{f_0} \approx 28.7 \frac{v_{\text{ave}}}{c} \frac{a}{\lambda_{\theta}} < 28.7 \frac{a}{\lambda_{\theta}} \tag{6}
\]

where \( f_0 \) is the antenna central frequency, \( \lambda_{\theta} \) is the corresponding free space wavelength, and \( v_{\text{ave}} \) is the integral average of the group velocity associated with \( \bar{X}_\rho(\omega) \) over the bandwidth. This relation, although not relying on a rigorous basis, gradually blends into the formula given in [15] for modulated MTS antennas with a constant period and optimized to provide a maximum gain.

Next, one selects a set of \( N \) equally spaced frequencies \( f_n \) (or angular frequencies \( \omega_n \)) within the bandwidth, and associates to each frequency the SW wavelengths values \( \lambda_{\text{sw},n}=2\pi/f_{\text{sw},n} \) as predicted from the SW dispersion curve. We will refer to \( \omega_n \) as phase control frequencies. Each \( \lambda_{\text{sw},n} \) is assigned to a given value of the radial coordinate \( \rho_n \) so to construct the periodicity function \( d(\rho) \). Later on, we describe the procedure followed to determine the positions \( \rho_n \) in the optimization algorithm. It is important to bear in mind that just adapting the shape of the function \( d(\rho) \) will not be sufficient to get a flat frequency response. This is due to the difficulty of controlling the amplitude of the radiating “-1” indexed Floquet mode over large bandwidths. Therefore, in conjunction with the set of \( \rho_n \), it is important to choose an amplitude control frequency \( \omega_p \). A constant amplitude power distribution is imposed at \( \omega_p \), which can span over a predefined frequency range (typically the entire design bandwidth). Such frequency \( \omega_p \) can be changed at each iteration within the same optimization process until an optimal value is found. The initial value of \( \omega_p \) is normally selected as the in-band center frequency \( \omega_h \). Further details of this process are provided in Section III-C.

At each iteration of the optimization procedure the cost function is defined as the average distance between the calculated gain \( G(\omega) \) (where \( \omega \) are in-band equispaced frequency samples at which the antenna gain is calculated) and the objective constant average gain \( G_{\text{ave}} \), namely

\[
C = \sum \frac{|G(\omega) - G_{\text{ave}}|}{G_{\text{ave}}} \tag{7}
\]

We emphasize that the gain \( G(\omega) \) depends on the control frequency \( \omega_p \), at which the profile of the aperture amplitude distribution is set up as uniform (see also section III-C).

In the optimization process we assume that each frequency \( f_n \) is associated with the center of the \( n \)-th active region, which is located at the radial control point \( \rho_n \). In this \( n \)-th active region, \( \lambda_{\text{sw},n} \) will match the value of the periodicity function \( d(\rho_n) \). Thus, the periodicity function \( d(\rho_n) \) is determined by imposing \( d(\rho_n) = \lambda_{\text{sw},n} \). As initial guess of the optimization procedure, we assume that the control points \( \rho_n \) are equispaced along the radius. At each iteration of the optimization algorithm, both the position of the control points \( \rho_n \) and the amplitude control frequency \( \omega_p \) are adaptively modified along the radius and on the relevant frequency range, respectively. A swarm optimization search is used to maximize the flatness of the frequency response according to (7). We also point out that, at any iteration, the control points positions \( \rho_n \) do not change their increasing order with \( n \) to ensure that \( d(\rho) \) possesses a monotonically increasing functional dependence with \( \rho \).

In the following, the subscript \( n \) is understood and suppressed when describing the set of phase control frequencies \( \omega_n \), whereas we will maintain the subscript \( p \) for the amplitude control frequency \( \omega_p \).

B. Calculation of Gain

To calculate the cost function according to (7), it is necessary to compute the gain as a function of the frequency at each step of the optimization process. To this end, we use the flat-optics based formula for the gain [8]

\[
G(\omega) = \frac{8\pi^2}{\lambda^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \sqrt{S(\rho,\omega)} e^{i\Phi(\rho,\omega)} \rho d\rho
\]

where \( S(\rho,\omega) \) in (8) is the power density function per unit surface and

\[
\Psi(\rho,\omega) = \Phi(\rho) - \beta_{\text{sw}} \rho - \int_0^{\rho} \Delta \beta_{\text{sw}}(\rho') d\rho' \tag{9}
\]

is the phase of the “-1” indexed modal field component of the adiabatic Floquet wave expansion [16]; \( \Phi(\rho) \) in (9) represents the reactance phase provided by (3), and \( \Delta \beta_{\text{sw}} \) accounts for an incremental deviation of the SW wavenumber \( \beta_{\text{sw}} \) due to the reactance modulation around its average value \( \bar{X}_\rho \). The latter is determined as in [23] by solving the periodic canonical problem that locally matches the local period determined as explained in Section III-A (see the right inset of Fig. 1).

C. Estimate of the MTS Impedance at the Amplitude Control Frequency

The gain presents a frequency dependency due to both the aperture field power density \( S(\rho,\omega) \) and the phase \( \Psi(\rho,\omega) \) in (9). This dependency originates from the dispersive nature of the metasurface.

The in-band amplitude of the aperture fields is controlled by adaptively changing the frequency \( \omega_p \). At this frequency, the power density distribution of the “-1” indexed mode is imposed as uniform on the aperture. In particular, we enforce that \( S(\rho,\omega_p) \) is a uniform function with a rapid zero drop-off at the edge and close to the source. The analytical expression of \( S(\rho,\omega_p) \) is given in [18], eq. (31). From \( S(\rho,\omega_p) \), one can directly retrieve the local attenuation constant \( \alpha(\rho,\omega_p) \) of the
“1” indexed Floquet mode as

\[ \alpha(\rho, \omega_p) = \frac{\rho S(\rho, \omega_p)}{2\pi P_{sw}} - \int_0^\rho S(\rho', \omega_p) \rho' d\rho' \] (10)

where \( P_{sw} \) is the power associated to the SW. Such information on \( a(\rho, \omega_p) \), see Fig. 5(b) in [18], combined with the solution of a local canonical problem [23] allows one to determine the relevant modulation indexes \( m \) that best match the \( a(\rho, \omega_p) \) profile obtained by (10). The association between \( a(\rho, \omega_p) \) and \( m(\rho) \) and \( d(\rho) \) is visualized in Fig. 6.

The successive step consists in finding \( a(\rho, \omega) \) and \( \Delta \beta_m(\rho, \omega) \) as discussed in the section III-D. Finally, by using \( a(\rho, \omega) \) we can compute \( S(\rho, \omega) \) as a function of the frequency \( \omega \) from the inversion formula of (10)

\[ (\rho, \omega) = 2\alpha(\rho, \omega_p) \frac{P_{sw}}{\rho^2} \exp \left( -2 \int_0^\rho \alpha(\rho', \omega) d\rho' \right). \] (11)

We note that by changing the control frequency \( \omega_p \) at which \( S(\rho, \omega_p) \) is designed, also changes \( S(\rho, \omega) \) for any other in-band frequency.

D. Estimate of the MTS Impedance at Any Frequency

In order to evaluate the functions \( a(\rho, \omega) \) and \( \Delta \beta_m(\rho, \omega) \) for any \( \omega \) (see the next section III-E), it is first necessary to characterize the reactance tensor \( \mathbf{X} \) at any frequency \( \omega \) from the knowledge of \( \mathbf{X}(\rho, \omega_p) \) at \( \omega_p \). To this end, the surface is synthesized by locally periodic metallic patch elements through a database.

This element synthesis process relies on a least-square error (LSE) minimization at the amplitude control frequency \( \omega_p \). We note that to reduce the time required to synthesize the reactance tensor at each iteration, we exploit the azimuthal dependence of \( \mathbf{X} \) in (2), which is simply linear. Therefore, the elements are designed only along the \( \phi = 0 \) direction, instead of on the entire aperture. The reactance tensor for other values of \( \phi \) can then be easily recovered by straightforward algebraic relations.

After obtaining the geometry of the patches at \( \omega_p \), we use the in-band reactance database of the synthesized metal elements at \( \omega \) to determine \( \mathbf{X}(\rho, \omega) \). This is performed by adopting a periodic MoM solver [22] that uses Rao-Wilton-Glisson (RWG) basis functions to discretize the MTS constitutive elements. The database is built up by assuming that each patch is immersed into a periodic environment constituted of identical elements arranged according to the periodic lattice. The analysis of this homogeneous structure, which is extremely fast, is repeated once for every \( \omega \) to construct a database that associates the entries of the anisotropic reactance tensor \( \mathbf{X}(\rho, \omega_p) \) to different angular frequencies \( \omega \) and unit-cell geometries along \( \rho \). The extraction of the \( \omega \)-dependent transparent reactance tensor relevant to each element is performed using the MoM matrix with the method described in [22]. It is interesting to note that, for the particular case of the elliptical patches, this operation is carried out using the quasi-analytical formulation in [24]. To illustrate the output of this process, Fig. 7 shows the evolution of the \( \mathbf{X}_{pp} \) component of the reactance tensor \( \mathbf{X} \) at some frequency points within the design bandwidth.

Afterwards, by using the curves in Fig. 7 it is straightforward to reconstruct for each element (see the inset on the left of Fig. 1) the actual variation with frequency of the synthesized modulation indexes and of the average reactance \( \bar{X}_\rho(\omega) \). It is worth noting that even if the modulation indexes \( m_\rho \) and \( m_\phi \) are designed to be equal at the amplitude control frequency \( \omega_p \) (see section III-C), these two synthesized functions \( m_\rho(\rho, \omega) \) and \( m_\phi(\rho, \omega) \) may slightly differ at other frequencies \( \omega \).

E. In-band Relationship between Modulation Indexes and Leakage/Propagation Parameters

To complete a full iteration of the optimization loop, one should also find for every frequency \( \omega \) the relation between the synthesized modulation indexes \( m_\rho(\rho, \omega), m_\phi(\rho, \omega) \), the
leakage parameter $a(p,\omega)$ and $\Delta\beta_{m}(p,\omega)$. The parameters $a(p,\omega)$ and $\Delta\beta_{m}(p,\omega)$ represent the complex propagation constant deviation of the “0” indexed mode with respect to the wavenumber supported by the constant (non-modulated) average transparent reactance $X_{r}(\omega)$.

For a given point on the aperture $(\rho_{0},\phi_{0})$, such relation is obtained by using the modulation indexes $m_{p}(p,\omega)$, $m_{s}(p,\omega)$ and the transparent reactance $X_{r}(\omega)$ calculated in Sec. III-D (see Fig. 7). Using these inputs, one can solve the periodic canonical problem that locally matches a modulation with: average reactance $X_{r}(\omega)$, modulation indexes $m_{p}(p,\omega)$, $m_{s}(p,\omega)$ and period $d(p)$ [23].

The explicit formulation of this canonical problem is provided in Sec. III of [23], and constitutes a generalization for tensorial impedance of the solution found by Oliner for Leontovitch modulated scalar boundary conditions. The latter formulation is given in [25]. In the scheme of Fig. 5, the database which represents the solution of the periodic local problem is formally represented through the functional dependence

$$\left(a,\Delta\beta_{m}\right) = F_{s,d,m}(m_{p},m_{s}). \quad (12)$$

More specifically (12) represents a database of $a(p,\omega)$ and $\Delta\beta_{m}(p,\omega)$ for every possible impedance modulation parameter (namely, $X_{r},d,m_{p},m_{s}$) at every in-band frequency $\omega$.

After fixing the unit-cell size and the bandwidth of the antenna, both databases (the one for the printed elements in the band of interest and the mappings expressed by (12)) are calculated before starting the optimization process once and for all. Such information can be simply reused at any iteration of the optimization procedure at the relevant steps represented by the different blocks in Fig. 5. This renders the entire loop runtime quite fast (about 1 minute to evaluate 25 frequency samples at Ka-band for a 11.11cm radius aperture, on a 3.60 GHz I7-Intel core machine).

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The optimization process described in Section III has been applied to design two broadband MTS antennas with $a=11.11\text{cm}$, and characterized by the following target bandwidth/average gains

- Case 1: Bandwidth 23.5-27.5 GHz, average gain 29.3 dBi
- Case 2: Bandwidth 20.5-29.5 GHz, average gain 25.4 dBi.

Fig. 8(a) presents the obtained gains as a function of frequency: the blue and red lines represent case 1 and case 2, respectively. On the other hand, Fig. 8(b) shows the optimized non-uniform period of the impedance modulation, with the same correspondence of colors. The solid lines in Fig. 8(a) have been obtained by using the MoM analysis in [19] to simulate the ideal IBC obtained with the optimized variables at the end of the iterative process represented in Fig. 5. This process has required about one thousand iterations in both cases for converging to the optimal solutions represented in Fig. 8(a). The dashed lines correspond to a fast multipole method (FMM) analysis [6] of the structure synthesized by elliptical patches printed on a Rogers RO3006 substrate, with relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r=6.15$ and thickness $h=0.635\text{mm}$. In both configurations, the basic square unit cell has a 1.6 mm side length. The FMM solution uses the analytical basis functions introduced in [24]. We observe that the dashed and solid lines present a good agreement and that the global response is much less oscillating than for the curves shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 for an exponential variation of the radial period. The oscillation of the gain is approximately $\pm1\text{dB}$ around an average gain of 29.3 dBi and 25.4 dBi for case 1 and case 2, respectively. Fig. 8(a) also shows a comparison between the performance of a broadband antenna designed with the proposed optimization method and a broadband antenna with an exponential variation of the periodicity function. The grey dot-dashed line in Fig. 8(a) represents the gain versus frequency response for the latter case; it has been obtained using the periodicity function in (4) with the same radius and values of $d_{r}$, $d_{y}$ (given in Fig. 8(b)) that characterize case 2 introduced above. The amplitude control frequency is $f_{p}=27\text{GHz}$, as for the example in Fig. 3(a). From Fig. 8(a) it is clear that the gain response for case 2 (red line), obtained with the optimization algorithm in Fig. 5, is considerably less oscillating around its average gain $G_{ave}$. The gain response of case 2 also features a larger bandwidth with respect to the design based on the exponential shape of the periodicity function. Besides, the peak aperture efficiency in case 1 (29% at 26 GHz, with peak directivity of 30dB) is slightly higher than in the corresponding 11.11 cm design described in [18].

Fig. 9 presents the directivity patterns computed at various frequencies in one of the principal planes for case 1. All the sub-figures show a comparison between the co-polar components obtained with the MoM tool in [19] (solid blue lines) and with the FMM solver in [6] (dashed black lines). As for the cross-polar components, the solid green lines have been computed with the MoM analysis in [19] and the dashed red lines with the FMM solver [6]. The latter are obtained by implementing the optimized boundary conditions by printed elliptical patches.
Fig. 8. (a) Gain versus frequency responses for the two optimized antennas with 11.11 cm radius: case 1 (blue lines) and case 2 (red lines). The solid lines stand for full-wave analysis of the homogenized impedance with the MoM tool in [19], while the dashed lines correspond to the FMM simulation [6] of the apertures synthesized by elliptical patches. The dot-dashed grey line represents the gain versus frequency response obtained for a 11.11 cm radius antenna designed by the exponential periodicity function in (4) and the same values of $d_1$ and $d_2$ used in case 2, and an amplitude control frequency $f_p=27$GHz, as in Fig. 3(a).

The agreement is excellent for both components, and shows the broadside radiation over a broad bandwidth. It is interesting to note that by tailoring the profile of $d(\rho)$ one can also obtain dual-frequency or multi-frequency responses, topic that will be separately treated in a future work. Finally, Fig. 10 presents equivalent comparisons relevant to the case 2.

We also note that, for some frequencies, the patterns shown in Fig. 9(a) and Fig. 10(c) present side-lobe levels higher than -10dB (around -8dB). This effect is mainly due to two factors. The first one arises from the annular shape of the active regions, in particular those near the rim of the aperture (that radiate at lower frequencies within the band of interest). Indeed, the Hankel transform of an annular region with uniform amplitude presents higher side-lobe levels than a circular region with equivalent area. The second factor emerges from the radiative effect, even if weak, of the annular regions adjacent to the active one, which radiate minor beams off-broadside.

In this regard, we point out that the cost function defined in (7) for the proposed optimization algorithm includes no specific constraints on the side-lobe level. As a future research line, one may consider the control of the side-lobe level in this class of antenna. To that end, one can include specific constraints on the cost function or use different power density distribution profiles to mitigate the weak radiation coming from non-active rings.
V. CONCLUSIONS

A design method has been presented for modulated metasurface antennas able to provide a nearly flat gain response over broad bandwidths. In one of our previous work, we adopted an exponential shape for the radial period $d(\rho)$ of the modulated reactance, which led to a quite high oscillation of the in-band gain. In this work, we introduce an accurate formula for the gain-bandwidth product, and overcome the gain oscillations and the bandwidth limitation by optimizing the shape of the function $d(\rho)$ and by introducing an amplitude control frequency.

On the one hand, we rely on an accurate description of the SW dispersion to optimize the periodicity function $d(\rho)$. This function is defined by assigning to a set of radial positions periodicity values comprised between the maximum and minimum SW wavelengths at the minimum and maximum frequencies. These radial positions change at each iteration and so does the shape of $d(\rho)$. On the other hand, we also control the amplitude of the “-1” indexed mode at each iteration. To that end, the optimization algorithm detects the optimal amplitude control frequency $f_{\alpha}$ where the “-1” indexed power density profile should be quasi-uniform. By including a variable amplitude control frequency, one obtains a more efficient illumination of the aperture rim. Therefore, it is possible to further extend the bandwidth to lower frequencies of operation. We have used the proposed technique to design two different antennas. Both designs demonstrate the possibility for trading-off bandwidth for gain without sacrificing the flatness of the frequency response. Future work will include reducing the relatively high sidelobe levels in this class of antennas.
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