

Haemodynamic evaluation: a key tool for heart failure management

Erwan Donal, Elena Galli, Elise Paven, Leyla Elif Sade

▶ To cite this version:

Erwan Donal, Elena Galli, Elise Paven, Leyla Elif Sade. Haemodynamic evaluation: a key tool for heart failure management. European Journal of Heart Failure, 2021, 23 (5), pp.713-715. 10.1002/ejhf.2055. hal-03037415

HAL Id: hal-03037415

https://hal.science/hal-03037415

Submitted on 15 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Haemodynamic evaluation: a key tool for heart failure management.

Ultrasounds forever!

Erwan DONAL¹, Elena GALLI¹, Elise PAVEN¹, Leyla Elif SADE²

1. University of Rennes, CHU Rennes, Inserm, LTSI – UMR 1099, F-35000 Rennes,

France

2. University of Baskent, Department of Cardiology, Ankara, Turkey

Conflict of interest:

none

There are no commercial products involved in this study.

Correspondance

Erwan Donal, MD, PhD,

Service de Cardiologie - Hôpital Pontchaillou - CHU Rennes-

F-35033 RENNES

erwan.donal@chu-rennes.fr

Fax: +33299282510

The recommendations for the echocardiographic assessment of diastolic function and/or filling pressure have been largely investigated, criticized, and more recently tested against the invasive measurements(1-4). It is possible to get a correct estimation of left ventricular (LV) end-diastolic pressure but unresolved issues remain. Interestingly, the invasive gold standard is not consistent across the studies and could be questioned(5-7).

It remains unclear what is the main clinical objective of all these studies about diastolic function and filling pressure that are always treated all together (misleading objective probably)(7). Trying to predict left ventricular end-diastolic or the pulmonary capillary wedge pressure or central venous pressure does not lead to the same clinical objective(8-10). Probably the ultrasound techniques should be integrated into the clinical evaluation as an extension of it and not be used independently. Can part of the deception that some have with echocardiography for the evaluation of filling pressure come from incompletely defined objectives?

Back to the clinical ground, the point is not to test ultrasound against the invasive assessment of diastolic function, but to test the efficacy of ultrasounds against either clinical evaluation or biomarkers in the management of patients with heart failure.. If we believe that cardiac imagers have a key role in the state-of-the-art patient management, it is certainly not because images are pretty but because images are the key to solve clinical challenges. Still, there is an unquestionable lack of evidence for promoting the use of ultrasound for best managing heart failure patients. There are randomized studies but not enough consistent evidence with a definite impact on the guidelines(11-13).

Echocardiography has been demonstrated useful in many studies in the field of cardiology but even more in intensive care or emergency grounds. Perhaps non cardiologists have admitted the value of ultrasound more than cardiologists despite the background we have as cardiologists and despite the tricky haemodynamic situation we are frequently facing(14-16). In the present manuscript, Pellicori et al provide a highly comprehensive use of the echocardiography for guiding the treatment of our heart failure patients(17). It is a clear and impressive step forward through a global haemodynamic assessment of our complex

patients. Charge for us to implement these non-invasive tools in our daily practice and charge for us to demonstrate the key role of ultrasound use for providing to our patients a safer introduction of the new treatments to best treat them. The cardiorenal syndrome, the excessive use of diuretics could, probably, be prevented better if we accept to switch our stethoscope to hand-held-ultrasound-device (HHUD)(18, 19).

The approach proposed by Pellicori et al is simple and rapid(17). As already suggested earlier, the flowchart should be convincingly used in randomized studies. For now, we can just be enthusiast and eager to get more results. The manuscript is very attractive and should push forward the heart failure specialists to use more the ultrasounds for solving their issues about the management of heart failure patients. This manuscript should be provided to all the fellows and senior-doctors who are managing patients in our heart failure units!

Cardiologists, heart failure specialists are not familiar enough with the great value of echocardiography for optimizing, daily, the treatment of heart failure patients.

Ultrasound imaging of congestion is readily feasible in an HF-unit, intensive care unit and emergency department as an extension to physical examination for diagnosis, rapid fluid management and for defining the need for invasive central venous pressure monitoring. In that regard, congestion imaging likely fits in the point of care and FoCUS (Focused Cardiac Ultrasound) approaches(18, 19). Relatively easy to learn, this approach is also attractive and beneficial for non-cardiologists such as intensivists, anesthesiologists, emergency department physicians and even heart failure nurses. Congestion imaging could be even more expanded with the advancement of HHUD(18, 19). The degree of conclusiveness of HUS for congestion imaging compared to standard echocardiography needs to be explored

before entering in the FOCUS practice. Obviously, this would be a great opportunity to reduce the time for treatment delivery (figure).

Another question that comes out of the reading of Pellicori et al manuscript is the following(17): should we teach also general practitioners and nurse practitioners? They could up titrate the medical treatments after hospitalization to prevent recurrent decompensations potentially better if they could get this non-invasive assessment of the haemodynamic condition of each patient. That seems appealing. A next step could aim to implement this approach outside the hospital. In that perspective, feasibility, robustness and repeatability will have to be confirmed by non-experts. Also, akin to telemedicine, the diagnostic value has to be associated with a therapeutic impact. Accordingly, the authors should explain how they manage abnormal venous renal pressure and if it does impact the prognosis. They should explain what they do when superior vena cava is enlarged and what is the prognostic value of that. Should one consider sacubitril-valsartan instead of ACE-inhibitors based on the hemodynamic findings of the ultrasound study? Could we phenotype better our patients with this approach? And again, if we could do so, would that change the prognosis? (figure)

To summarize, this review gives important clues to expand the use of point of care ultrasound and to potentially optimize rapidly the diagnosis and treatment of heart failure.

References

- 1. Nagueh SF, Abraham TP, Aurigemma GP, Bax JJ, Beladan C, Browning A, et al. Interobserver Variability in Applying American Society of Echocardiography/European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging 2016 Guidelines for Estimation of Left Ventricular Filling Pressure. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2019;12(1):e008122.
- 2. Andersen OS, Smiseth OA, Dokainish H, Abudiab MM, Schutt RC, Kumar A, et al. Estimating Left Ventricular Filling Pressure by Echocardiography. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69(15):1937-48.
- 3. Nagueh SF, Smiseth OA, Appleton CP, Byrd BF, 3rd, Dokainish H, Edvardsen T, et al. Recommendations for the Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function by Echocardiography: An Update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2016;17(12):1321-60.
- 4. Lancellotti P, Galderisi M, Edvardsen T, Donal E, Goliasch G, Cardim N, et al. Echo-Doppler estimation of left ventricular filling pressure: results of the multicentre EACVI Euro-Filling study. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2017;18(9):961-8.
- 5. Huttin O, Fraser AG, Coiro S, Bozec E, Selton-Suty C, Lamiral Z, et al. Impact of Changes in Consensus Diagnostic Recommendations on the Echocardiographic Prevalence of Diastolic Dysfunction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;69(25):3119-21.
- 6. Thomas L, Marwick TH, Popescu BA, Donal E, Badano LP. Left Atrial Structure and Function, and Left Ventricular Diastolic Dysfunction: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73(15):1961-77.
- 7. Donal E, Galli E, Fraser AG. Non-invasive estimation of left heart filling pressures: another nail in the coffin for E/e'? Eur J Heart Fail. 2017;19(12):1661-3.
- 8. Hubert A, Girerd N, Le Breton H, Galli E, Latar I, Fournet M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of lung ultrasound for identification of elevated left ventricular filling pressure. Int J Cardiol. 2019;281:62-8.
- 9. Platz E, Jhund PS, Girerd N, Pivetta E, McMurray JJV, Peacock WF, et al. Expert consensus document: Reporting checklist for quantification of pulmonary congestion by lung ultrasound in heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. 2019;21(7):844-51.
- 10. Coiro S, Chouihed T, Girerd N. Lung ultrasound--the extension of clinical examination in patients with acute heart failure: Reply. Eur J Heart Fail. 2016;18(2):215.
- 11. Rivas-Lasarte M, Maestro A, Fernandez-Martinez J, Lopez-Lopez L, Sole-Gonzalez E, Vives-Borras M, et al. Prevalence and prognostic impact of subclinical pulmonary congestion at discharge in patients with acute heart failure. ESC Heart Fail. 2020;7(5):2621-8.
- 12. Conangla L, Domingo M, LupOn J, Wilke A, Junc AG, Tejedor X, et al. Lung Ultrasound for Heart Failure Diagnosis in Primary Care. J Card Fail. 2020;26(10):824-31.
- 13. Rivas-Lasarte M, Alvarez-Garcia J, Fernandez-Martinez J, Maestro A, Lopez-Lopez L, Sole-Gonzalez E, et al. Lung ultrasound-guided treatment in ambulatory patients with heart failure: a randomized controlled clinical trial (LUS-HF study). Eur J Heart Fail. 2019;21(12):1605-13.
- 14. Mayr U, Lukas M, Habenicht L, Wiessner J, Heilmaier M, Ulrich J, et al. B-Lines Scores Derived From Lung Ultrasound Provide Accurate Prediction of Extravascular Lung Water Index: An Observational Study in Critically III Patients. J Intensive Care Med. 2020:885066620967655.
- 15. Enghard P, Rademacher S, Nee J, Hasper D, Engert U, Jorres A, et al. Simplified lung ultrasound protocol shows excellent prediction of extravascular lung water in ventilated intensive care patients. Crit Care. 2015;19:36.
- 16. Lichtenstein D, van Hooland S, Elbers P, Malbrain ML. Ten good reasons to practice ultrasound in critical care. Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther. 2014;46(5):323-35.
- 17. Pellicori P, Platz E, Dauw J, Ter Maaten JM, Martens P, Pivetta E, et al. Ultrasound imaging of congestion in heart failure Examinations beyond the heart. Eur J Heart Fail. 2020.

- 18. Neskovic AN, Skinner H, Price S, Via G, De Hert S, Stankovic I, et al. Focus cardiac ultrasound core curriculum and core syllabus of the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2018;19(5):475-81.
- 19. Cardim N, Dalen H, Voigt JU, Ionescu A, Price S, Neskovic AN, et al. The use of handheld ultrasound devices: a position statement of the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (2018 update). Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2019;20(3):245-52.

Figure: ultrasound for individualized and optimized treatment of heart failure?

Management of a heart failure patient

①Up-titration of treatment based on clinical exam, expert believes and expertise and guidelines



Risk of mis-interpretation of signs and symptoms that could be overcome by ultrasounds?

2 Up-titration of treatment based on clinical exam, biomarkers and guidelines



Risk related to factors including comorbidities that could be overcome by ultrasounds?

③Up-titration of treatment based on clinical exam, **ultrasound** haemodynamic assessment and guidelines for a more individualized and precise optimisation of every single patient ???

