

# Evaluation of length of stay after transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve implantation with SAPIEN 3 prosthesis A French multicentre prospective observational trial

Éric Durand, Hervé Le Breton, Thierry Lefevre, Martine Gilard, Dominique Himbert, Marina Urena Alcazar, Nicolas Bettinger, Vincent Auffret, Romain Didier, Bernard Chevalier, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Éric Durand, Hervé Le Breton, Thierry Lefevre, Martine Gilard, Dominique Himbert, et al.. Evaluation of length of stay after transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve implantation with SAPIEN 3 prosthesis A French multicentre prospective observational trial. Archives of cardiovascular diseases, 2020, 113 (6-7), pp.391-400. 10.1016/j.acvd.2019.11.010 . hal-02635139

# HAL Id: hal-02635139 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-02635139

Submitted on 22 Jun 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Evaluation of Length of Stay after Transfemoral Transcatheter Aortic Valve
2	Implantation with Sapien 3 prosthesis:
3	A French Multicenter Prospective Observational Trial
4	Eric Durand MD, PhD <sup>1</sup> ; Hervé Le Breton MD, PhD <sup>2</sup> ; Thierry Lefevre MD <sup>3</sup> ; Martine Gilard
5	MD, PhD <sup>4</sup> ; Dominique Himbert MD <sup>5</sup> ; Marina Urena Alcazar MD, PhD <sup>5</sup> ; Nicolas Bettinger
6	MD <sup>1</sup> ; Vincent Auffret MD <sup>2</sup> ; Romain Didier MD, PhD <sup>4</sup> ; Bernard Chevalier, MD <sup>3</sup> ;
7	Delphine M. Béziau, PhD <sup>1</sup> ; Bernard Iung MD <sup>5</sup> ; Hélène Eltchaninoff, MD <sup>1</sup>
8	Normandie Univ, UNIROUEN, INSERM U1096, Rouen University Hospital, Department of Cardiology, FHU
9	REMOD-VHF, F76000, Rouen, France <sup>1</sup> .
10	Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, INSERM LTSI U1099, F 35000 Rennes, France <sup>2</sup>
11	Institut Hospitalier Jacques Cartier, Ramsay Générale de Santé, Department of Cardiology, Massy, France <sup>3</sup>
12	Brest University Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Brest, France <sup>4</sup>
13	Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Bichat Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Faculté de Médecine Paris-
14	Diderot, Paris, France <sup>5</sup>
15	Total word count: 4854
16	Short title: Length of stay after TAVI
17	Address for correspondence:
18	Eric Durand, MD, PhD
19	Department of Cardiology, Charles Nicolle Hospital
20	1 rue de Germont, 76031 Rouen Cedex, France.
21	Fax number: +33(0)232 880 421,
22	Phone number: +33(0)232 888 232,
23	E-mail: eric.durand@chu-rouen.fr
24	

1 ABSTRACT 2 3 Background: It was previously shown that complications decrease after transfemoral (TF) 4 transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) and that early discharge is feasible and safe in 5 selected populations. Aims: To evaluate length of stay (LOS) and reasons of prolonged hospitalization after TF 6 7 TAVI in unselected patients. 8 Methods: Patients with severe aortic stenosis who had TF-TAVI with SAPIEN-3 prosthesis 9 using exclusively local anesthesia were prospectively and consecutively included in 5 French 10 high volume centers. LOS was calculated from TAVI procedure to discharge. Reasons of 11 prolonged hospitalization (i.e., > 3 days) were evaluated. 12 Results: Between 2017 and 2018, 293 patients were included with a mean age of 82.4±6.5 years and a mean logistic EuroSCORE of 13.7±9.0 %. In-hospital mortality was 1.4%. The 13 14 median LOS was 5 (3-7) days and was extremely variable among centers (from 2 to 7 days). 15 Sixty-four (21.8%) patients were discharged within 3 days after TF TAVI. Reported reasons 16 for prolonged hospitalization were complications in 62.2%, loss of autonomy in 3.1%, refusal 17 of discharge in 2.2%, and logistic reasons in 0.9%. In 31.6% of cases, the investigators 18 reported no apparent reasons. 19 Conclusions: The results of our study suggest that LOS after TF TAVI, using SAPIEN-3 prosthesis and a minimalist approach, remains extremely variable among centers. In almost a 20 21 third of cases, hospitalization was prolonged without any apparent reason. Efforts may be 22 made to educate centers to reduce LOS. 23 24 Key words: Aortic stenosis, TAVI, SAPIEN-3, Length of stay 25

#### **INTRODUCTION**

2

Transcatheter aortic valve implantation (TAVI) is playing a growing role in the management of aortic stenosis (AS). Initially limited to inoperable and high-risk patients, indications for TAVI have extended to intermediate-risk patients, especially when a femoral approach is feasible (1, 2).

After the procedure, patients remain hospitalized to check for complications before being discharged. Currently, there are no recommendations regarding the minimum duration of in-hospital monitoring (3) and practices are extremely heterogeneous. We and others have shown that complications decrease and that early discharge home (i.e., within 3 days after the procedure) is feasible and safe (4-11) after transfemoral (TF) TAVI. Prolonged unjustified hospitalization can expose patients to potential iatrogenic complications and increased healthcare costs.

In France, a slight decrease in median length of stay (LOS) was observed between FRANCE 2 (2010-2011) and FRANCE TAVI (2013-2015) registries [9 (7-13) vs. 8 (6-11) days, p<0.001] (12, 13). Our objective was to evaluate prospectively LOS and reasons for late discharge after elective TF TAVI in a contemporary setting using exclusively the latest generation of balloon-expandable prosthesis implanted using local anesthesia in 5 French high-volume centers in consecutive patients eligible for discharge home.

1	METHODS
2	
3	Study design
4	Between May 2017 and January 2018, the FAST-TAVI non-randomized, prospective,
5	study enrolled 293 consecutive patients from five French high-volume centers (Rouen
6	University Hospital-Charles Nicolle, Paris University Hospitals-Bichat Claude Bernard,
7	Jacques Cartier private hospital, Brest University Hospital, and Rennes University Hospital).
8	The study was approved by the CPP Ile de France V and was registered on clinicaltrials.gov
9	(NCT02956915).
10	All patients provided written informed consent before the procedure.
11	Inclusion criteria consisted of stable patients with severe symptomatic AS who had
12	scheduled TF-TAVI with the SAPIEN-3 prosthesis (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA),
13	using exclusively local anesthesia.
14	Exclusion criteria were the use of other than Edwards SAPIEN-3 transcatheter aortic
15	valve devices, TF-TAVI requiring general anesthesia or surgical cut-down, TF-TAVI
16	performed in unstable patients or on an urgent/emergent basis, non-TF routes of valve
17	delivery (eg. transapical, transaortic, transcarotid), and patients for whom re-adaptation post-
18	TAVI was not anticipated.
19	Patients were treated with Edwards SAPIEN 3 prosthesis via a femoral approach using
20	local anesthesia and sedation on demand, as previously described (14). In-hospital and follow-
21	up data were entered in a dedicated database.
22	EQ-5D 3 level (EQ-5D-3L) and EQ visual analogue scale (EQ VAS) were used to
23	evaluate patients' health status before and one month after TAVI. The EQ-5D-3L descriptive
24	system comprises the following five dimensions: mobility, self-care, usual activities,
25	pain/discomfort and anxiety/depression. Each dimension has three levels: no problems, some
	4

problems, extreme problems. Patients were asked to indicate their health status by ticking (or placing a cross) in the box next to the most appropriate statement in each of the five dimensions. The EQ VAS records the respondent's self-rated health on a vertical, visual analogue scale where the endpoints are labelled 'best imaginable health status' and 'worst imaginable health status'. The EQ-5D-3L index values were calculated using Crosswalk index calculator.

When LOS was longer than 3 days, investigators reported the main reason of prolonged hospitalization as follow: occurrence and type of complications, loss of autonomy during hospitalization, refusal of discharge by the patient or his/her family, logistic reason (delay to obtain echocardiography before discharge or an ambulance), and no apparent reason. Clinical follow-up was carried out during pre-scheduled outpatient clinic visits or by telephone contact at 1-month post-TAVI. Clinical outcomes were defined according to Valve Academic Research Consortium-2 criteria (3).

14

#### 15 End-points

16 LOS and reasons for prolonged hospitalization beyond 3 days were the primary end-17 end-points included: incidence points. Secondary of early discharge/prolonged hospitalization, safety of early discharge by the 30-day combined endpoint of mortality or 18 19 rehospitalization at 30-days after TF-TAVI procedure, and predictors of prolonged 20 hospitalization.

21

#### 22 Statistical analysis

LOS was calculated from TAVI procedure (day 0) to discharge. Qualitative variables were expressed as percentage, and quantitative variables as mean  $\pm$  SD or median (25<sup>th</sup> to 75<sup>th</sup> interquartile range). Distribution of quantitative variables was evaluated using a Shapiro test.

1 Comparison of numerical variables was performed with the Student t test or Wilcoxon rank-2 sum test, depending on variable distribution. The chi-square test or Fisher's exact test was 3 used to compare qualitative variables. Patients were analyzed in 2 groups for comparison 4 purposes: those discharged within 3 days (early discharge group) versus those discharged > 35 days after the procedure (late discharge group). A logistic regression multivariable analysis 6 was used to assess independent correlates of late discharge. The model was built on the basis 7 of the univariate association between the variable and late discharge with a p<0.10. All 8 statistical tests were 2 sided. Differences were considered statistically significant at a p value 9 <0.05. All data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 23.0; IBM, Armonk, New York).

10

2

#### RESULTS

### **3 Baseline and procedural characteristics**

4 Baseline and procedural characteristics of the studied population are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Briefly, the mean age of the studied population was  $82.4 \pm 6.5$ 5 6 years and the mean logistic EuroSCORE was  $13.7 \pm 9.0$  %. All the patients had severe AS 7 and TAVI indication was decided by the local heart team. Patients included were contra-8 indicated or at increased risk for surgery. EQ-5D-3L before TAVI is shown in Table 3. EQ-9 5D-3L index and EQ VAS values were  $0.76 \pm 0.20$  and  $60.4 \pm 17.8$ , respectively. One patient 10 had structural valve deterioration of bioprosthetic surgical valve treated by valve-in-valve. All 11 the patients were implanted with Edwards SAPIEN-3 prosthesis using local anesthesia, 12 mostly without pre-dilatation (60.8%). Post-dilatation was required in 17 (5.8%) patients and a second valve was used in 1 (0.3%) patient. Device success was obtained in 261 (89.1%) 13

14

15

#### 16 In-hospital outcomes

patients.

17 In-hospital complications and echocardiographic data after TAVI are summarized in 18 Tables 4 and 5, respectively. As shown in Table 4, the occurrence of a major complication 19 was rare. The three most frequent complications were conduction disturbances (30.0%), 20 vascular (mostly minor, 29.5%), and infectious complications (5.1%). In-hospital mortality 21 was low (1.4%). Four patients died during hospitalization with one case of tamponade related 22 to annulus rupture, one case of coronary occlusion, one case of asystole and one case of 23 refractory heart failure. The mean and median LOS were 6.1  $\pm$  5.1 days and 5 (3-7) days, 24 respectively. Sixty-four (21.8%) patients were discharged early (within 3 days after TAVI). 25 The median LOS and the proportion of patients early discharged were extremely variable

1 among centers (Table 6). The majority of patients were discharged home, 85.3% (n=250). 2 Others were discharged to a rehabilitation center (7.8%, n=23) or another institution (5.4%, n=23)3 n=16). The proportion of patients discharged home was higher in the early versus the late 4 discharge group (92.2% vs. 84.9%), although the difference was not significant (p=0.10). The 5 proportion of patients discharged home was significantly higher in those without any 6 complications (92.4% vs. 80.6%, p=0.04). Two hundred and twenty-five (76.8%) patients had 7 prolonged hospitalization (> 3 days). Interestingly, EQ-5D-3L index (0.75  $\pm$  0.20 vs. 0.76  $\pm$ 8 0.20, p=0.74) and EQ VAS values (58.6  $\pm$  18.9 vs. 61.1  $\pm$  17.4, p=0.33) before TAVI were 9 not significantly different in patients discharged early or late (Table 7). The most frequently 10 reported reasons for the prolongation of hospitalization are reported in Table 8 and were the 11 occurrence of complications in 62.2%. The most common complication was any conduction 12 disturbance in 32% followed by vascular (23.6%), bleeding (6.7%), arrhythmia (4.4%), and 13 infectious (3.6%) complications. Other reported reasons included loss of autonomy during 14 hospitalization in 3.1%, patient or family refusal in 2.2%, and logistic reasons in 0.9%. 15 Interestingly, no apparent reason was reported in 31.6% of cases.

16 Univariate and multivariable analysis of predictors of prolonged hospitalization are 17 reported in Table 9. Low transaortic gradient, high logistic EuroSCORE, conductive 18 disturbances (LBBB or 3<sup>rd</sup> degree AV block), and any vascular complications were 19 independently associated with prolonged hospitalization whereas a pacemaker before TAVI 20 was a protective factor.

21

#### 22 **30-day outcomes**

None of the patients were lost to follow-up. One patient in the late discharge group
died after a hip fracture and 19 patients were re-admitted between discharge and 30-day
follow-up. The proportion of patients re-admitted was similar between early and late

1	discharge groups (6.2% vs. 6.7%, p=1). The 30-day occurrence of death or re-admission was
2	not significantly different in patients discharged early or late (6.8% vs. 6.7%, p=1). EQ-5D-
3	3L analysis after TAVI is shown in additional Table 4. EQ-5D-3L index ( $0.76 \pm 0.20$ vs. 0.81
4	$\pm$ 0.20, p<0.0001) and EQ VAS values (60.6 $\pm$ 17.0 vs. 67.1 $\pm$ 16.3, p<0.0001) were
5	significantly improved at 30-day follow-up as compared to baseline in the overall population.
6	Improvement of ED-5Q-3L and EQ VAS values was similar in patients discharged early or
7	late (p=0.98 and 0.30, respectively).
8	
9	
	9

	3	l
1	_	

DISCUSSION

1

2

3 This prospective multicenter French FAST-TAVI study aimed to evaluate LOS and 4 reasons/predictors of prolonged hospitalization after TF TAVI using exclusively the SAPIEN-5 3 prosthesis and a minimalist approach in unselected patients eligible for discharge home. The 6 main results of our study may be summarized as follows: 1) LOS remains extremely variable 7 among centers; 2) Predictive factors of late discharge were lower trans aortic gradient, higher 8 logistic EuroSCORE, and complications (vascular and particularly conductive disturbances) 9 whereas pacemaker before TAVI was a protective factor; 3) In almost a third of cases, hospitalization was prolonged without any apparent reason.; 4) When possible, early 10 11 discharge is safe without alteration of health status.

12

13 Currently, LOS after TAVI remains high and extremely variable in France as 14 compared to those reported in other countries. In contrast, complications decrease and it has 15 been shown that early discharge home (i.e., within 3 days after the procedure) is feasible and 16 safe (4-11) after transfemoral (TF) TAVI. In our study, all the procedures were performed in 17 high-volume centers with more than 10 years of TAVI experience. All the patients were 18 consecutive, stable and eligible for discharge directly home. TAVI was performed via a 19 femoral approach using exclusively the latest generation of balloon-expandable prosthesis and 20 local anesthesia. Compared to previous registries published in France, median LOS decreased 21 to 5 days and 21.8% of patients were discharged early (within 3 days) after TAVI (12, 13). 22 Moreover, LOS was extremely variable among the five centers since the lowest median LOS 23 was 2 days and the highest was 7 days. Furthermore, the proportion of patients discharged 24 early was also extremely variable among centers from 3.7% to 73.8%. It should therefore be 25 possible to further reduce LOS since the results of some centers in France, Italy and North America support the feasibility of programs favoring early discharge (within 2 or 3 days) after
 TAVI without safety concerns (5-11).

3

4 Reasons and predictive factors of prolonged hospitalization were closely similar. As expected, complications (in particular any vascular complications and high degree conductive 5 6 disturbances) after TAVI were the most frequent reasons and the most powerful predictive 7 factors of prolonged hospitalization. Very rarely is the prolongation of hospitalization related 8 to a loss of autonomy or a wish of the patient or family. On the other hand, no apparent reason 9 was reported to justify prolonged hospitalization in almost one third of cases in our study. 10 This suggests that some centers have no established strategy for reducing LOS after TAVI 11 and/or have other than medical considerations to decide when patients should be discharged. 12 There are many potential explanations for prolonged hospitalization (see below) but there are 13 probably also economic reasons since the reimbursement rate in France for TAVI procedures 14 was lower when LOS is less than 4 days during the study. On the other hand, very few pre-15 operative variables were predictive of prolonged hospitalization and self-reported health 16 status was similar in patients discharged early or late. This suggests that co-morbidities and 17 patient status have a low impact on LOS in elective TF TAVI procedures. Taking into account 18 all these considerations, it appears that early discharge is often feasible in TF TAVI elective 19 procedures using a minimalist approach and a balloon-expandable prosthesis in the absence of 20 complications in patients judged eligible for discharge home. Moreover, it was previously 21 demonstrated that early discharge is safe and does not increase the risk of death or 22 readmission at 30 days and does not alter health status (5-11).

23

We believe that further efforts should therefore be made to reduce unnecessary and potentially deleterious prolonged hospitalization after TF TAVI. Measures allowing a

reduction of LOS include, on the one hand, logistical measures (forecast of the potential date of discharge in the absence of per procedural complications, programming before the procedure echocardiography within 24 hours after the procedure, synergy between the different medical and paramedical professionals to reduce LOS, etc.) and on the other hand, standardized procedures for the prevention, monitoring, and treatment of complications.

6

### 7 Limitations

8 The results of our study were obtained in TF procedures using SAPIEN-3 prosthesis 9 and local anesthesia and should not be extrapolated to procedures using non-femoral 10 approach, other transcatheter heart valve devices, general anesthesia, or performed in unstable 11 patients. Furthermore, although the FAST TAVI registry was observational, it is possible that 12 LOS was influenced by a surveillance bias (Hawthorne effect).

13

### 14 Conclusions

The results of our study suggest that LOS after TF TAVI, using SAPIEN-3 prosthesis and a minimalist approach, remains extremely variable among centers. In almost a third of cases, hospitalization is prolonged without any apparent reason. Efforts are therefore probably necessary to educate centers to further reduce LOS after TF TAVI.

19

#### 20 Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Nikki Sabourin-Gibbs, Rouen University Hospital, for her help in editing the manuscript. We would like to specially thank our Clinical Research Associates Armelle Guidotti, Claire Vézier, Reza Farnoud, Françoise Martin, Laurence Le Bouquin, Emmanuelle Babin-Lerede, Séverine Roger and Noélie Martin-Culet for their contribution to this study.

### 2 Funding

The FAST TAVI study was funded by Edwards Lifesciences. This work was partially supported by the French Government, managed by the National Research Agency (ANR) under the program Investissements d'Avenir, with the reference ANR-16-RHUS-0003\_STOP-AS.

## 8 Conflict of interest

9 Eric Durand and Bernard Iung are consultants for Edwards Lifesciences, Thierry
10 Lefevre is proctor for Edwards Lifesciences, Dominique Himbert is proctor for Edwards
11 Lifesciences and Medtronic, and Bernard Chevalier is proctor for Medtronic.

1	REFERENCES
2	
3	1. Baumgartner H, Falk V, Bax JJ, et al; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2017
4	ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. Eur Heart J
5	2017;38:2739-91.
6	2. Nishimura RA, Otto CM, Bonow RO, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC Focused Update of the
7	2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease: A
8	Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on
9	Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2017;135:e1159-95.
10	3. Kappetein AP, Head SJ, Généreux P, et al. Updated standardized endpoint definitions
11	for transcatheter aortic valve implantation: the Valve Academic Research Consortium-2
12	consensus document. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60:1438-54.
13	4. Durand E, Borz B, Godin M, et al. Transfemoral aortic valve replacement with the
14	Edwards SAPIEN and Edwards SAPIEN XT prosthesis using exclusively local anesthesia and
15	fluoroscopic guidance: feasibility and thirty-day outcomes. JACC Cardiovasc Interv
16	2012;5:461-7.
17	5. Durand E, Eltchaninoff H, Canville A, et al. Feasibility and safety of early discharge
18	after transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve implantation with the Edwards SAPIEN-XT
19	prosthesis. Am J Cardiol 2015;115:1116-22.
20	6. Serletis-Bizios A, Durand E, Cellier G, et al. A Prospective Analysis of Early
21	Discharge After Transfemoral Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation. Am J Cardiol
22	2016;118:866-72.
23	7. Barbanti M, Capranzano P, Ohno Y, et al. Early discharge after transfemoral
24	transcatheter aortic valve implantation. Heart 2015;101:1485-90.

Kamioka N, Wells J, Keegan P, et al. Predictors and clinical outcomes of next-day
 discharge after minimalist transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve replacement. JACC
 Cardiovasc Interv 2018;11:107-15.

4 9. Kotronias RA, Teitelbaum M, Webb JG, et al. Early versus standard discharge after
5 transcatheter aortic valve replacement: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JACC
6 Cardiovasc Interv 2018;11:1759-71.

10. Barbanti M, van Mourik MS, Spence MS, et al. Optimizing Patient Discharge
Management after Transfemoral Transcatheter Aortic Valve Implantation: The Multicentre
European FAST-TAVI Trial. EuroIntervention. 2019. pii: EIJ-D-18-01197. doi: 10.4244/EIJD-18-01197.

11 11. Wood DA, Lauck SB, Cairns JA, et al. The Vancouver 3M (Multidisciplinary,
12 Multimodality, But Minimalist) Clinical Pathway Facilitates Safe Next-Day Discharge Home
13 at Low-, Medium-, and High-Volume Transfemoral Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement
14 Centers: The 3M TAVR Study. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2019;12:459-69.

15 12. Auffret V, Lefevre T, Van Belle E, et al; FRANCE TAVI Investigators. Temporal
16 trends in transcatheter aortic valve replacement in France: FRANCE 2 to FRANCE TAVI. J
17 Am Coll Cardiol 2017;70:42-55.

18 13. Gilard M, Eltchaninoff H, Iung B, et al; FRANCE 2 Investigators. Registry of
19 transcatheter aortic-valve implantation in high-risk patients. N Engl J Med 2012;366:1705-15.
14. Durand E, Borz B, Godin M, et al. Transfemoral aortic valve replacement with the
Edwards SAPIEN and Edwards SAPIEN XT prosthesis using exclusively local anesthesia and
fluoroscopic guidance: feasibility and 30-day outcomes. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2012;5:46123 7.

### **Table 1.** Baseline characteristics

Variables	Overall population (N=293)	Early discharge (N=64)	Late discharge (N=225)	Р
Age, years	$82.4 \pm 6.5$	$82.5 \pm 5.7$	$82.3 \pm 6.8$	0.86
Male, n (%)	173 (59)	40 (62.5)	132 (58.7)	0.61
Hypertension	203 (69.3)	46 (71.9)	155 (68.9)	0.81
Diabetes	78 (26.6)	14 (21.9)	62 (27.6)	0.21
Dyslipidemia	153 (52.2)	33 (51.6)	118 (52.4)	0.85
BMI, Kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$27.3\pm4.9$	$26.7 \pm 4.0$	$27.5 \pm 5.1$	0.29
Myocardial infarction, n (%)	26 (8.9)	5 (7.8)	20 (8.9)	1
PCI, n (%)	59 (20.1)	16 (25)	41(18.2)	0.11
CABG, n (%)	18 (6.1)	2 (3.1)	16 (7.1)	0.37
History of SAVR, n (%)	1 (0.3)	1 (1.6)	0 (0)	0.38
History of SMVR, n (%)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)	2 (0.9)	1
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	75 (25.6)	20 (31.2)	80 (35.6)	0.80
Prior pacemaker, n (%)	34 (11.6)	12 (18.8)	22 (9.8)	0.02
NYHA, n (%)			, í	0.56
·I	5 (1.7)	2 (3.1)	3 (1.3)	
· II	141 (48.1)	27 (42.2)	112 (49.8)	
· III	120 (41.0)	28 (43.7)	91 (40,4)	
· IV	8 (2.7)	1 (1.6)	7 (3.1)	
unknown	19 (6.5)	6 (9.4)	12 (5.3)	
EQ-5D-3L	$60.4 \pm 17.7$	$58.2 \pm 18.9$	$61.1 \pm 17.4$	0.27
CHF before TAVI, n %)	20 (6.8)	5 (7.8)	15 (6.7)	0.78
Logistic EuroSCORE, %	$13.7 \pm 9.0$	$10.2\pm4.6$	$14.8\pm9.7$	< 0.0001
PAD, n (%)	14 (4.8)	2 (3.1)	11 (4.9)	0.74
Stroke, n (%)	18 (6.1)	2 (3.1)	15 (6.7)	0.38
COPD, n (%)	32 (10.9)	3 (4.7)	29 (12.9)	0.07
Creatinine clearance	57.1 ± 23.3	$53.6\pm26.0$	$58.1\pm22.5$	0.18
Liver cirrhosis, n (%)	9 (3.1)	1 (1.6)	8 (3.6)	0.69
History of cancer, n %)	49 (16.7)	11 (17.2)	38 (16.9)	0.97
Chest irradiation, n (%)	7 (2.4)	2 (3.1)	5 (2.2)	0.65
Mean aortic gradient, mmHg	$50.4 \pm 16.0$	44.2 ± 12.2	51.8 ± 15.8	<0.0001
Aortic valve area, cm <sup>2</sup>	$0.73\pm0.23$	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	0.005
PASP, mmHg	$43.1\pm13.9$	$36.6 \pm 11.5$	$44.8 \pm 14.0$	< 0.0001
LVEF, %	$56.9 \pm 12.2$	$59.0 \pm 11.7$	$56.1 \pm 12.4$	0.11

Abbreviations. BMI: body mass index, PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention, CABG: coronary
artery bypass surgery, SAVR: surgical aortic valve replacement, SMVR: surgical mitral valve
replacement, CHF: congestive heart failure, PAD: peripheral arterial disease, COPD: chronic
obstructive pulmonary disease, PASP: Pulmonary arterial systolic pressure LVEF: left ventricular
ejection fraction.

## **Table 2**. Procedural characteristics

Variables	Overall	Early	Late	Р
	population	Discharge	Discharge	
	(N=293)	(N=64)	(N=225)	
Sapien 3 diameter,				0.23
n (%)				
- 20-mm	1 (0.3)	1 (1.6)	0 (0)	
- 23-mm	82 (28.0)	18 (28.1)	62 (27.6)	
- 26-mm	126 (43.0)	30 (46.9)	97 (43.1)	
- 29-mm	81 (27.6)	15 (23.4)	66 (29.3)	
Valve-in-valve	1 (0.3)	1 (1.6)	0 (0)	0.22
Without pre-	178 (60.8)	37 (57.8)	139 (61.8)	0.54
dilatation				
Post dilatation	17 (5.8)	5 (7.8)	12 (5.3)	0.55
Second valve	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Device success, n	261 (89.1)	57 (89.1)	204 (90.7)	0.94
(%)				
X ray duration, min	$14.8\pm6.7$	$13.5 \pm 6.5$	15.1 ± 6.6	0.13
Volume of iodine	97.7 ± 40.4	122.5 ± 33.9	90.8 ± 39.4	< 0.0001
contrast, ml				

EQ-5D Dimension	Overall	Early	Late	Р
- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	population	discharge	discharge	_
	(N=293)	(N=64)	(N=225)	
Mobility, %				0.29
- Level 1	45.5	51.5	43.8	
- Level 2	46.9	43.8	47.8	
- Level 3	2.8	4.7	2.2	
- Unknown	4.9	0	6.3	
Self-care, %				0.18
- Level 1	79.5	81.3	79.0	
- Level 2	12.2	15.6	11.2	
- Level 3	3.5	3.1	3.6	
- Unknown	4.9	0	6.3	
Usual activities, %				0.005
- Level 1	52.1	57.8	50.4	
- Level 2	33.7	23.4	36.6	
- Level 3	9.4	18.8	6.7	
- Unknown	4.9	0	6.3	
Pain/discomfort, %				0.06
- Level 1	47.6	40.6	49.6	
- Level 2	38.5	48.4	35.7	
- Level 3	9.0	10.9	8.5	
- Unknown	4.9	0	6.3	
Anxiety/depression, %				0.21
- Level 1	48.8	51.6	48.7	
- Level 2	36.2	40.6	35.7	
- Level 3	9.6	7.8	9.4	
- Unknown	5.5	0	6.3	
EQ-5D-3L index	$0.76\pm0.20$	$0.75\pm0.20$	$0.76\pm0.20$	0.74
EQ VAS values	$60.4 \pm 17.8$	$58.6 \pm 18.9$	$61.1 \pm 17.4$	0.33

# **Table 3.** EQ-5D-3L analysis before TAVI

# **Table 4.** In-hospital complications after TAVI

Variables	Overall population (N=293)	Early discharge (N=64)	Late discharge (N=225)	Р
Death, n (%)	4 (1.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Tamponade, n (%)	3 (1.0)	0 (0)	2 (0.9)	1
Valve migration, n (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Cardiac surgery, n (%)	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
<b>Conduction disturbances</b> , n	- (0.0)			
(%)				
- Any	88 (30.0)	6 (9.4)	81 (36)	< 0.0001
- De novo persistent LBBB	48 (16.4)	2 (3.1)	46 (20.4)	< 0.0001
1 <sup>st</sup> degree AV block	17 (5.8)	2(3.1)	15 (6.7)	0.38
2 <sup>nd</sup> degree AV block	6 (2.0)	0 (0)	6 (2.7)	0.34
3 <sup>rd</sup> degree AV block	34 (11.6)	2 (3.1)	31 (13.8)	0.01
PPI	43 (14.7)	1 (1.6)	41 (18.2°	< 0.0001
Rhythm disorder, n (%)	,	- ()		
Supraventricular	7 (2.4)	0 (0)	7 (3.1)	0.35
Ventricular	3 (1.0)	0 (0)	3 (1.3)	0.53
				0.00
Ayocardial infarction, n (%)	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
V <b>ascular complications</b> , n				
%)				
Any	76 (25.9)	2 (3.1)	72 (32)	< 0.0001
Minor	72 (24.6)	2 (3.1)	69 (30.7)	
Major	4 (1.4)	0 (0)	3 (1.3)	
Needing stent graft	10 (3.4)	1 (1.6)	9 (4)	0.47
Needing vascular surgery	12 (4.1)	0 (0)	11 (4.9)	0.13
stroke, n (%)				
Any	10 (3.3)	0 (0)	10 (4.4)	0.12
Minor	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	1 (0.4)	
- Major	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	1 (0.4)	
TIĂ	8 (2.7)	0 (0)	8 (3.6)	0.21
Iemorrhagic complications,				
. (%)				
- Any	19 (6.5)	1 (1.6)	17 (7.6)	0.14
- Life-threatening/disabling	3 (1.0)	0 (0)	2 (0.9)	
- Major	4 (1.4)	0 (0)	4 (1.8)	
Minor	12 (4.1)	1 (1.6)	11 (4.9)	
Needing transfusions	7 (2.4)	0 (0)	7 (3.1)	0.35
Acute kidney injury, n (%)				
- None	282 (96.2)	63 (98.4)	216 (96)	
Stage 1	8 (2.7)	1 (1.6)	7 (3.1)	0.79
Stage 2	2 (0.7)	0(0)	1 (0.4)	
Stage 3	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	1 (0.4)	
Hemodialysis	0(0)	0 (0)	0(0)	
nfectious complications, n		, , ,		
(%)				
- Any	15 (5.1)	0 (0)	14 (6.2)	0.04
- Pulmonary	3 (1.0)	0 (0)	2 (0.9)	1
- Urinary	4 (1.4)	0 (0)	4 (1.8)	0.58
- Others	8 (1.7)	0 (0)	8 (3.6)	0.21

- 1 Abbreviations. LBBB: left bundle branch block, AV: atrio-ventricular, PPI: permanent pacemaker
- 2 implantation. Four patients died during the hospitalization and are note included in the early and
- 3 discharge groups.
- 4 5

#### Table 5. Echocardiographic data after TAVI

Variables	Overall population (N=289)	Early discharge (N=64)	Late dioscharge (N=225)	Р
Mean Gradient, mmHg	$12.4 \pm 4.3$	$12.1 \pm 3.9$	$12.6\pm4.4$	0.48
Mean Gradient $> 20$				
mmHg, n (%)	17 (5.9)	3 (4.7)	14 (6.2)	1
Aortic valve area, $cm^2$	$1.9 \pm 0.5$	$2.0 \pm 0.5$	$1.8\pm0.5$	0.08
Aortic regurgitation,				
n(%)				0.54
- None	161 (54.9)	39 (60.9)	122 (54.2)	
- Grade 1	104 (35.5)	23 (35.9)	81 (36)	
- Grade 2	20 (6.8)	2 (3.1)	18 (8)	
- Grade 3	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	1 (0.4)	
- Grade 4	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
- unknown	3 (1.0)	0 (0)	3 (1.3)	
Mitral regurgitation,				
n(%)				0.70
- None	116 (39.6)	25 (39.1)	91 (40.4)	
- Grade 1	131 (44.7)	33 (51.6)	98 (43.6)	
- Grade 2	32 (10.9)	5 (3.9)	27 (12)	
- Grade 3	3 (1.0)	1 (1.6)	2 (0.9)	
- Grade 4	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
- unknown	7 (2.4)	0 (0)	7 (3.1)	
LVEF, %	$58.8 \pm 11.7$	$62.0\pm10.1$	$57.9 \pm 12.0$	0.02
PASP, mmHg	$38.4 \pm 11.2$	$33.7 \pm 10.0$	$38.9 \pm 11.0$	0.02

**Table 6.** LOS and proportion of patients early discharged in the 5 participating centers

Centers	Α	В	С	D	Ε
LOS					
Median (IQR)	2 (2-4)	7 (4.5-12)	6 (4-8)	4 (3-6)	7 (5-8)
Mean (SD)	$3.2\pm0.3$	$8.7 \pm 1.0$	$7.0\pm0.8$	$5.0 \pm 0.5$	$7.6\pm0.6$
% early discharge	72.6	12.5	3.1	9.4	4.7

**Abbreviations.** LOS: length of stay

# **Table 7.** EQ-5D-3L analysis one month after TAVI

	discharge (N=64)	Late discharge (N=225)	Р	
			0.36	
57.3	59.4	56.7		
30.9	31.2	30.8		
1.4	3.1	0.9		
10.4	6.3	11.6		
			0.51	
75.3	76.5	75.0		
12.2	15.6	11.2		
2.1	1.6	2.2		
10.4	6.3	11.6		
			0.36	]
56.9	59.4	56.2		
25.0	23.4	25.4		
7.3	10.9	6.3		
10.8	6.3	12.1		
			0.22	
51.0	48.4	51.8		
33.3	35.9	32.6		
5.2	9.4	4.0		
10.4	6.3	11.6		
<u>^</u>			0.39	
58.7	57.8	58.9		
26.4	32.8	24.6		
4.5	3.1	4.9		
10.4	6.3	11.6		
$0.81\pm0.20$	$0.80\pm0.22$	$0.81 \pm 0.20$	0.55	
$67.1 \pm 16.3$	$65.5 \pm 18.3$	$67.7 \pm 15.6$	0.39	
-	$ \begin{array}{r} 10.4 \\ 75.3 \\ 12.2 \\ 2.1 \\ 10.4 \\ 56.9 \\ 25.0 \\ 7.3 \\ 10.8 \\ 51.0 \\ 33.3 \\ 5.2 \\ 10.4 \\ 58.7 \\ 26.4 \\ 4.5 \\ 10.4 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c cccccc} 10.4 & 6.3 \\ \hline 10.4 & 6.3 \\ \hline 75.3 & 76.5 \\ 12.2 & 15.6 \\ 2.1 & 1.6 \\ 10.4 & 6.3 \\ \hline 56.9 & 59.4 \\ 25.0 & 23.4 \\ 7.3 & 10.9 \\ 10.8 & 6.3 \\ \hline 51.0 & 48.4 \\ 33.3 & 35.9 \\ 5.2 & 9.4 \\ 10.4 & 6.3 \\ \hline 58.7 & 57.8 \\ 26.4 & 32.8 \\ 4.5 & 3.1 \\ 10.4 & 6.3 \\ \hline 0.81 \pm 0.20 & 0.80 \pm 0.22 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$10.4$ $6.3$ $11.6$ $75.3$ $76.5$ $75.0$ $12.2$ $15.6$ $11.2$ $2.1$ $1.6$ $2.2$ $10.4$ $6.3$ $11.6$ $56.9$ $59.4$ $56.2$ $25.0$ $23.4$ $25.4$ $7.3$ $10.9$ $6.3$ $10.8$ $6.3$ $12.1$ $51.0$ $48.4$ $51.8$ $33.3$ $35.9$ $32.6$ $5.2$ $9.4$ $4.0$ $10.4$ $6.3$ $11.6$ $58.7$ $57.8$ $58.9$ $26.4$ $32.8$ $24.6$ $4.5$ $3.1$ $4.9$ $10.4$ $6.3$ $11.6$ $0.81 \pm 0.20$ $0.80 \pm 0.22$ $0.81 \pm 0.20$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

 Table 8. Reported reasons for prolonged hospitalization (i.e. > 3 days)

### 

Variables	Late discharge group (N=225)		
At least one Complication, n (%)	140 (62.2)		
- Conduction disturbance	72 (32)		
- Vascular	53 (23.6)		
- Bleeding	15 (6.7)		
- Arrhythmia	10 (4.4)		
- Infection	8 (3.6)		
- Neurological (stroke, confusion)	6 (2.7)		
- Heart failure	4 (1.8)		
- Others	9 (4)		
Loss of autonomy, n (%)	7 (3.1)		
Refusal, n (%)	5 (2.2)		
- Patient	2 (0.9)		
- Family	3 (1.3)		
Logistic reasons, n (%)	2 (0.9)		
- Absence of ambulance	1 (0.4)		
- No echocardiography possible at discharge	1 (0.4)		
No reason, n (%)	71 (31.6)		

## **Table 9**. Predictors of prolonged hospitalization

	Univariate		Multivariable	
	Hazard Ratio	р	Hazard Ratio	р
	(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
Pacemaker before TAVI	0.38 (0.16-0.89)	0.03	0.24 (0.06–0.99)	0.05
COPD	3.06 (0.90–10.38)	0.07	0.99 (0.15–6.47)	0.99
Logistic EuroSCORE	1.10 (1.04–1.15)	0.001	1.17 (1.03–1.32)	0.01
Mean aortic gradient	0.96 (0.94–0.98)	0.001	0.94 (0.89-0.98)	0.008
LVEF	0.98 (0.96–1.01)	0.09	1.00 (0.95–1.06)	0.89
PASP >40 mmHg	3.17 (1.57–6.38)	0.001	2.35 (0.73-7.55)	0.15
Conductive disturbances	8.06 (1.90–34.17)	0.005	9.32 (1.00–90.83)	0.05
Vascular complications	14.70 (3.49-61.71)	<0.0001	23.56 (2.46-225.79)	0.006

3 Abbreviations. COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, LVEF: left ventricular

4 ejection fraction, PASP: pulmonary arterial systolic pressure.