

# Ferrate(VI) oxidation of pentachlorophenol in water and soil

Olivier Monfort, Muhammad Usman, Khalil Hanna

# ► To cite this version:

Olivier Monfort, Muhammad Usman, Khalil Hanna. Ferrate<br/>(VI) oxidation of pentachlorophenol in water and soil. Chemosphere, 2020, 253, pp.126550. <br/> 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126550. hal-02563401

# HAL Id: hal-02563401 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-02563401

Submitted on 12 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

	Journal Pre-proof
1	Ferrate(VI) oxidation of pentachlorophenol in water and soil
2	Olivier Monfort <sup>a,b,*</sup> , Muhammad Usman <sup>c,d,*</sup> , Khalil Hanna <sup>a,e</sup>
3	<sup>a</sup> Univ Rennes, Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes, CNRS, ISCR (Institut des
4	Sciences Chimiques de Rennes) – UMR 6226, F-35000 Rennes, France
5	<sup>b</sup> Comenius University in Bratislava, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Department of Inorganic
6	Chemistry, Ilkovicova 6, Mlynska Dolina, 84215 Bratislava, Slovakia
7	<sup>c</sup> Environmental Mineralogy, Center for Applied Geosciences, University of Tübingen, 72074
8	Tübingen, Germany
9	<sup>d</sup> PEIE Research Chair for the Development of Industrial Estates and Free Zones, Center for
10	Environmental Studies and Research, Sultan Qaboos University, Al-Khoud 123, Muscat,
11	Oman
12	<sup>e</sup> Institut Universitaire de France (IUF), MESRI, 1 rue Descartes, 75231 Paris, France.
13	* These authors contributed equally to this work.
14	* corresponding authors: monfort1@uniba.sk (O. Monfort); muhammad.usman@squ.edu.om
15	(M. Usman)

Credit Author Statement for the manuscript "Ferrate(VI) oxidation of pentachlorophenol in water and soil" by O. Monfort, M. Usman and K. Hanna.

Olivier Monfort: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing

Muhammad Usman: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing

Khalil Hanna: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing

.or, Writi

## 17 Abstract

Although the use of ferrate(VI), an emerging green oxidant, has been widely investigated to 18 19 remove organic pollutants in water, its ability to remediate contaminated soils has been scarcely evaluated. Here, we explore the use of ferrate(VI) to degrade a polychlorinated 20 persistent compound, the pentachlorophenol (PCP), in aqueous solution and in an aged 21 contaminated soil under batch, water-saturated and water-unsaturated flow conditions. The 22 23 first results showed the prominent efficiency of ferrate(VI) over conventional oxidants (e.g. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and persulfate) in both matrices and at different oxidant doses. In aqueous solution, 24 more than 80% of PCP was degraded by ferrate(VI) while complete removal was observed in 25 soil under batch conditions. In column experiments, PCP removal by ferrate(VI) remained 26 efficient but dependent on the flow rate and water saturation. Maximum PCP removal (95%) 27 in columns was observed under water saturated conditions when ferrate(VI) (0.2 g g<sup>-1</sup> of soil) 28 was injected at a low flow rate (i.e. 0.025 mL min<sup>-1</sup>). This study has strong implications in the 29 development of new sustainable processes based on ferrate(VI) for the remediation of 30 31 different environmental compartments.

32

33 Keywords: PCP Remediation; Soil; Water; Chemical Oxidation; Ferrate(VI)

#### 35 1 Introduction

Ferrate(VI) (Fe(VI)) is amongst the most powerful oxidants with oxidation potential of 36 37 2.2 V, which is higher than conventional oxidants like H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1.8 V), persulfate (2.0 V) or permanganate (1.7 V) (eqs. 1-4) (Jiang, 2007; Sharma et al., 2001; Sharma, 2002). Use of 38 ferrate(VI) is advantageous mainly because it can be applied without any catalytic activation 39 unlike traditional oxidants which require activation to produce stronger radicals. Moreover, 40 decomposition of ferrate(VI) mainly yields Fe(III) minerals which are non-toxic (Jiang, 2007; 41 Rai et al., 2018; Sharma, 2002; Talaiekhozani et al., 2017; Zajicek et al., 2015). 42 Ferrate(VI) has shown promising efficiency to degrade a wide range of pollutants in aqueous 43 solutions such as pharmaceuticals, persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals, etc. (Han et 44 al., 2015; Yang et al., 2016; Zhou and Jiang, 2015a, 2015b). However, very limited data is 45 available about its application in the remediation of contaminated soils and sediments 46 (Hrabak et al., 2016; Johansson et al., 2019; Talaiekhozani et al., 2017). Our very recent 47 study (Monfort et al., 2019) was the first attempt to explore the ability of ferrate(VI) to 48 49 remove polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in an aged contaminated soil. The ferrate(VI) oxidation efficiency has been found to be mainly limited by the strong persistence and low 50 solubility of target compounds in addition to the soil matrix effect (Monfort et al., 2019). 51 Furthermore, polychlorinated compounds with stable C-CI bonds exhibit strong refractory 52 behavior against remediation processes (Monfort et al., 2019; Rybnikova et al., 2016; 53 Tang et al., 2015). Therefore, there is still much to learn about the ability of ferrate(VI) in the 54 55 oxidative degradation of polychlorinated compounds and remediation of contaminated soils. For this purpose, an aged PCP contaminated soil sampled from a timber mill in Waipa district 56 of Waikato Region, New Zealand, was used to evaluate the performance of ferrate(VI) 57 58 oxidation.

59 
$$FeO_4^{2^-} + 8H^+ + 3e^- \rightarrow Fe^{3^+} + 4H_2O$$
  $E^0 = 2.2 V$  (1)

 $E^{0} = 1.8 V$ 

(2)

 $H_2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O$ 

(3)

6	1
υ	т

 $S_2 O_8^{2^-} + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow 2HSO_4^ E^0 = 2.0 \text{ V}$ 

62

 $MnO_4^{-} + 4H^+ + 3e^- \rightarrow MnO_2 + 2H_2O$   $E^0 = 1.7 V$  (4)

PCP appears to be an ideal candidate due to its classification among persistent 63 organic pollutants (Stockholm Convention), and its widespread contamination in soil and 64 water (Rybnikova et al., 2017). PCP can be efficiently degraded in aqueous solution through 65 biological, physical and chemical treatments (Chen et al., 2018; Guemiza et al., 2017; 66 Rybnikova et al., 2017; Xue et al., 2017; Zimbron and Reardon, 2009). To our knowledge, 67 there exists only two studies which focused on the use of ferrate(VI) to remove PCP in water 68 (Homolkova et al., 2016, 2017) but no work has been reported in soil matrix. Present study 69 is intended to assess the efficiency of ferrate(VI) to remove PCP in aqueous solution and in 70 historically contaminated soil. For this purpose, preliminary experiments were performed in 71 72 aqueous solution at various ferrate(VI) dose and PCP concentration. The stability of ferrate in aqueous solution was also assessed. Further experiments were performed in an aged PCP 73 74 contaminated soil in batch mode as well as in dynamic columns under water -saturated and -75 unsaturated conditions. Continuous flow-through experiments allow evaluating the effect of kinetic limitations and water saturation on the oxidation performance and provide more 76 accurate operational parameters for future field applications. The oxidation efficiency of 77 78 ferrate(VI) was also compared with that of conventional chemical oxidants (persulfate,  $H_2O_2$ alone or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> catalyzed by magnetite). This work on the use of ferrate(VI) as an innovative 79 oxidant for PCP removal would open doors for further developments in this research field 80 leading to its scale-up application. 81

82

# 83 2 Experimental section

### 84 2.1 Materials and soil characteristics

Potassium ferrate (K<sub>2</sub>FeO<sub>4</sub>, 20%) was provided by NanoIron (Czech Republic). It 85 contained impurities (e.g. K<sub>3</sub>FeO<sub>4</sub>, KFeO<sub>2</sub> and KOH salts) which improve its stability and 86 87 allow relatively long-term storage. Pentachlorophenol (PCP, 97%), hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 50 wt%) and diammonium 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) were 88 supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. Potassium persulfate extra pure grade was purchased from 89 Merck. Magnetite powder (97%) was supplied by Alfa Aesar. Solutions were prepared using 90 deionized water produced by Milli-Q system from Millipore. The pH of solutions was adjusted 91 92 by using NaOH and HCI solutions.

Tested soil was obtained from a timber mill located in Waikato Region, New Zealand
and was characterized by *French Institute for Agro-environmental Health* (ISAE, France).
The soil was dried, ground and sieved through 1 mm mesh. A summary of major soil
characteristics is provided in the **Table S1** and is discussed in the **section 3.2**.

97

# 98 2.2 Oxidation experiments

A summary of the different experiments carried out in this study is presented in Table 99 100 1. Experiments were first performed in aqueous solution. For this purpose, different concentrations of ferrate(VI) and PCP were used as described in Table 1. Stock solutions of 101 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of PCP and 800 µM of ferrate(VI) were prepared at pH 12 and 9, respectively, to 102 increase PCP solubility and ferrate(VI) stability. Typical batch experiment was carried in 100 103 mL solution in Nalgene bottle at pH 7.2 (i.e. similar conditions as in soil) for 5 hours at room 104 temperature (20 ±2 °C). In order to assess ferrate(VI) oxidation efficiency regarding to 105 106 conventional oxidants, experiments with persulfate, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, magnetite-activated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were also performed. Similar conditions as ferrate(VI) oxidation were used (Table 1). Magnetite 107 (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) is a mixed-valent mineral (Usman et al., 2018a)) which has shown strong ability to 108 catalyze chemical oxidation for the remediation of contaminated soils (Usman et al., 2012, 109 110 2013) and sediments (Usman et al., 2018b). In addition, the decomposition of ferrate(VI)

was determined in both deionized water and PCP solutions in order to evaluate the influence
of the chlorinated pollutant on the stability of ferrate(VI). The decomposition of ferrate(VI)
was assessed using UV-vis spectrophotometry as described elsewhere (Lee et al., 2015).
Briefly, aliquots containing ferrate(VI) were added to an ABTS solution, giving rise to an ironABTS complex detectable at 415 nm (Lee et al., 2015).

- 116 **Table 1.** Summary of experiments performed in aqueous solution and soil.
- 117

Purpose of	Tested		PCP	Other
oxporimont	ovidant	Oxidant dose	concontration	conditions
experiment	Oxidant		concentration	conditions
Experiments in aqueo	ous solution			
	<b>—</b> <i>a w</i>		Γ	
	Fe(VI)	800 µM		
	S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>8</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	4 mM		
Comparison of Fe(VI)			1	pH = 7.2 / 5
with other oxidants	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	4 mM	20 mg L <sup>-</sup>	h
	$H_2O_2 +$	4 mM + magnetite		
	magnetite	0.5 g L <sup>-</sup> '		
		15 µM		
		75 μM		
Effect of Fe(VI) dose				pH = 7.2 / 5
	Fe(VI)	150 µM	20 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	
on PCP degradation				h
		800 µM		
		8 mM (excess)		
	Fe(VI)	150 µM	5 ma L <sup>-1</sup>	
Effect of PCP dose				рн = 7.2/5

	Jourr	al Pre-proof			
on PCP degradation			10 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	h	
and Fe(VI)					
decomposition			20 mg L <sup>-1</sup>		
Experiments in conta	minated soil				
	Blank	No oxidant			
Batch experiments to compare different oxidants		0.1 g g <sup><math>-1</math></sup> of soil	Ç.	24 h,	
	Fe(VI)	0.25 g g <sup>-1</sup> of soil	6 mg kg⁻¹ (real	no pH	
		0.5 g g <sup><math>-1</math></sup> of soil	soil)	adjustment,	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	200 mM		7.2	
	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> +	200 mM + 25 mg			
	magnetite	magnetite g <sup>-1</sup> soil			
	namic column nditions at different dant doses and v rates	0.1 g g <sup>−1</sup> of soil	6 mg kg <sup>-1</sup> (real soil)	Saturated	
Dynamic column conditions at different		0.25 g g <sup>−1</sup> of soil		conditions	
				with three	
oxidant doses and				different flow	
flow rates				rates: 0.025,	
				0.25 and 2.5	
				mL min <sup>-1</sup>	
Dynamic column	Fe(VI)	0.1 g g <sup>−1</sup> of soil		Unsaturated	
conditions at different			6 mg kg⁻¹ (real	conditions	
oxidant doses and		Fe(VI)	$0.25  \mathrm{g}  \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ of coll	soil)	with three
flow rates				different flow	
				rates: 0.025,	

		0.2	25 and 2.5
		mL	. min <sup>-1</sup>

119 Oxidation study in soil involved batch and column experiments. Batch experiments were performed at liquid-to-solid ratios (L/S) of 1 chosen on the basis of our previous study 120 121 (Monfort et al., 2019) which reported that L/S ratio had no impact on oxidation efficiency of 122 ferrate(VI). Moreover, L/S ratio of 1 is highly relevant for column experiments and ultimate field application. These batch experiments are preliminary tests to compare ferrate(VI) to the 123 other oxidants and to chose the optimal conditions for removing PCP in the historically 124 125 contaminated soil. Firstly, contaminated soil was subjected to chemical oxidation by applying three different doses of ferrate(VI) salt including 0.1, 0.25 and 0.5 g g<sup>-1</sup> soil. For that, 2 g of 126 soil was homogenized with suitable amount of solid potassium ferrate followed by adding 2 127 mL of water to obtain L/S ratio of 1. These samples were stirred for 24 h. Oxidation efficiency 128 of ferrate(VI) was also compared with that of  $H_2O_2$  and magnetite-activated  $H_2O_2$ . For  $H_2O_2$ 129 based experiments, 200 mM of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was used alone or with magnetite (25 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) in case of 130 magnetite-activated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Subsequently, column experiments were conducted under water 131 saturated and unsaturated conditions to perform experiments closer to field conditions. 132 133 Experimental setup for these column experiments is presented in a previous work (Rybnikova et al., 2017). Briefly, glass columns were packed with 5 g of PCP-contaminated 134 soil and 50 mL ferrate(VI) oxidant solution was injected either downward or upward to satisfy 135 saturated and unsaturated conditions, respectively. Different doses of oxidant (0.1 and 0.2 g 136  $g^{-1}$  soil) and flow rates (0.025, 0.25 and 2.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) were used as summarized in **Table 1**. 137 Column effluents were collected to analyze PCP. All experiments were performed in 138 triplicates (n = 3) and without pH adjustment. The error bars in the figures represent relative 139 140 standard deviation calculated on the basis of 3 replicates and blank experiments were 141 carried out without any oxidant.

142

# 143 **2.3 Extraction and analysis**

144	PCP extraction from soil slurries was performed as reported earlier (Rybnikova et al.,
145	2017). For this, methanol/water solution (50/50, v/v) was mixed with soil slurries (L/S ratio:
146	2/1) in ultrasonic bath for 1 h followed by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 10 min. Then,
147	supernatant solution (2 mL) filtered (0.2 $\mu$ m filter) for further analyses. The pH was adjusted
148	before extraction (if needed) to $7.2 \pm 0.2$ with NaOH (1 M) solution. It has been reported by
149	Rybnikova et al. (2017) that pH of 7 – 7.5 leads to an extraction efficiency of >95% of PCP.
150	For column experiments, effluents were also filtered and analyzed.

To quantify PCP concentrations in solution and soil extracts, HPLC was performed on *Alliance Waters 2487* device equipped with *XBridge* C18 column fixed at 30 °C and UV detector at 254 nm. A mixture of acetonitrile/ultra pure water (ACN/UPW), both acidified with formic acid 0.1 *vol%*, was used as mobile phase. Isocratic mode ACN/UPW 70:30 was realized for 9 min with injection volume of 50  $\mu$ L at 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate.

156

# 157 3 Results and discussion

# 158 **3.1 Use of ferrate(VI) for PCP removal in water**

Preliminary experiments were conducted in aqueous solutions to determine the feasibility of ferrate(VI) oxidation for PCP removal. These experiments offer simplicity and better control on reaction conditions, prior for assessing the performance of ferrate(VI) oxidation in a more complex system, the soil matrix.

First, ferrate(VI) oxidation was compared with other oxidants including persulfate, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (**Figure 1**) under similar conditions. Magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) was chosen as a catalyst because of its proven catalytic ability to produce hydroxyl radicals at circumneutral pH (**Usman et al., 2018a**). The initial pH of the solution was adjusted at 7.2 which is also the

pH of tested soil (section 2). It appears that conventional oxidants (including persulfate, 167  $H_2O_2$  and magnetite-activated  $H_2O_2$ ) are inefficient as compared to ferrate(VI) (Figure 1). 168 Only 10% of PCP was removed with these traditional oxidants whereas 80% of PCP removal 169 was obtained by ferrate(VI) oxidation. This strong degradation efficiency can be ascribed to 170 the high reactivity of ferrate(VI) toward electron rich moieties (ERM) (Rai et al., 2018; 171 Sharma et al., 2016; Talaiekhozani et al., 2017). Indeed, molecules containing phenols, 172 173 amines, chlorine, olefins, etc. are degraded efficiently by ferrate(VI) (Feng et al., 2018; Homolkova et al., 2017; Rai et al., 2018). In addition, the circumneutral pH (7.2 in the 174 present study) favors the ferrate(VI) oxidation while it could limit the formation of radicals 175 from conventional oxidants (Sharma, 2002; Sharma et al., 2015, 2016), thus explaining the 176 superiority of ferrate(VI) in PCP removal. This latter observation also highlights the better 177 adaptability of ferrate(VI) among a wide range of experimental conditions (compared to the 178 conventional oxidants) (Monfort et al., 2019). 179

180



181

**Figure 1:** Removal kinetics of PCP (20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) using 800  $\mu$ M of ferrate(VI), 4 mM of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and magnetite-activated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system (0.5 g/L Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with 4 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and n=3. The solid line represents the pseudo-first order kinetic model.

The removal extent of PCP increases with the dose of ferrate(VI) (**Figure 2A**). Around 80% of PCP is degraded using 0.8 M ferrate(VI) while 100% removal is achieved with higher dose of ferrate(VI) (8 mM). In addition, most of PCP was degraded within few minutes due to the fast reactivity of ferrate(VI) and a plateau is then observed since ferrate(VI) has been decomposed into iron oxides (**Goodwill et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2015; Sharma, 2002)**. The degradation kinetics of PCP over the first stage (i.e. 60 min) can be described by the pseudofirst-order equation:

$$[PCP]_t = [PCP]_0 \exp^{-k_a \cdot t}$$
(5)

194 where  $k_a$  is obtained by linear regression of ln ([PCP]<sub>t</sub>/[PCP]<sub>o</sub>) versus time t.

The kinetic rate constant as well as the degradation efficiency strongly depend on the ferrate dose and PCP concentration. At a fixed PCP concentration (20 mg  $L^{-1}$ ), the kinetic rate constants increased linearly with ferrate(VI) concentration increasing (**Figure 2B**).



199

Figure 2: (A) Removal kinetics of PCP (20 mg  $L^{-1}$ ) using ferrate(VI) at different concentrations at pH 7.2 (n = 3). The solid lines represent the pseudo first order kinetic. (B) Evolution of kinetic constant value of PCP degradation as a function of ferrate(VI) dose. The kinetic constant is calculated from pseudo first order kinetic applied to the first 60 minutes of reaction.

206 At a fixed dose of ferrate(VI) (150 µM), oxidation efficiency decreased with an increase in PCP concentration (Figure 3A). Indeed, when PCP concentration increased from 207 5 to 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, the kinetic rate constant decreased from 1.2  $10^{-2}$  to 7.1  $10^{-3}$  min<sup>-1</sup>, thereby 208 suggesting the significant impact of oxidant/PCP ratio. Furthermore, the decomposition of 209 ferrate(VI) increases with PCP concentration increasing (Figure 3B). The pseudo first order 210 kinetic constant of ferrate decomposition ranges from 2.9 10<sup>-3</sup> to 5.0 10<sup>-3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, when PCP 211 concentration increases from 5 to 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> respectively. Reaction between the organic 212 compound and the oxidant leads to more decomposition of ferrate(VI) (Han et al., 2015; 213 214 Jiang et al., 2015; Homolkova et al., 2017).

215

205



**Figure 3:** (A) Removal kinetics of PCP at different concentrations (5, 10, 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) using 150  $\mu$ M ferrate(VI) at pH 7.2. Experiments were performed in triplicates (n = 3). The solid lines represent the pseudo-first order of PCP degradation for the first 60 minutes. (B) Decomposition kinetics of 150  $\mu$ M ferrate(VI) in different PCP solutions (5, 10, 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). The solid lines are the pseudo-first order models of ferrate(VI) decomposition..

222

It is known that ferrate(VI) decomposition in water leads to iron(III) species (eq. 6) (Goodwill
et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2015; Sharma, 2002). In presence of PCP which is rich in
electrons, the decomposition of ferrate (eq. 7) occurs faster as shown in Figure 3:

226 
$$4Fe^{VI}O_4^{2-} + 10H_2O \rightarrow 4Fe^{III}(OH)_3 + 3O_2 + 8OH$$
 (6)

227 
$$Fe^{VI}O_4^{2-} + PCP \rightarrow Fe^{III}(OH)_3 + PCP_{by-products}$$
 (7)

228 The oxidation of PCP by ferrate(VI) is a process similar to that involving permanganate, isostructural to ferrate(VI), where PCP is oxidized by electron transfer resulting in 229 230 dechlorination (Matta and Chiron, 2018). The ferrate(VI) oxidation mechanism probably involved a dechlorination step of PCP releasing chloride anion (Homolkova et al., 2017) 231 followed by further oxidation by high valent iron through electron transfer (Monfort et al., 232 **2019).** Regarding the existence of several high oxidation states of ferrate (+VI, +V and +IV) 233 and the possibility to transfer O-atom to the PCP, several reactions are plausible (eqs. 8 and 234 9). For instance, 1-electron transfer (eq. 8) or 2-electron transfer followed by O-atom transfer 235 (eq. 9) are plausible mechanisms for the PCP (R-Cl in eqs. 8 and 9) removal. The pollutant 236 oxidation process is effectively improved by increasing in the amount of electron transfer 237 acceptor (i.e. ferrate) (Feng et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2012; Rai et al., 2018). In addition, at pH 238 = 7.2, the predominant ferrate species is the monoprotonated form (HFeO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> for ferrate(VI)) 239 and HFeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> for ferrate(V)) which is the most reactive one (Sharma, 2002; Sharma et al., 240 2015, 2016). 241

242 
$$HFe^{VI}O_4^{-7}/HFe^{V}O_4^{-2-} + R-CI \rightarrow HFe^{V}O_4^{-2-}/HFe^{IV}O_4^{-3-} + R + CI^{-1}$$
 (8)

243 
$$HFe^{VI}O_4/HFe^{V}O_4^{2-} + R-CI \rightarrow HFe^{IV}O_3/HFe^{III}(OH)_3 + R(O) + CI$$
 (9)

244

245

## 247 3.2 Use of ferrate(VI) to degrade PCP in contaminated soil

Efficiency of ferrate(VI) was, then, tested in PCP contaminated soil. Tested soil is characterized as "loamy sand" with pH 7.2. It contains 0.3 *wt%* carbonate contents (CaCO<sub>3</sub>), 1.5% soil organic matter and high iron contents (9.8 g kg<sup>-1</sup>). Concentration of PCP in soil is 6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> which is above the threshold guideline values for agricultural soils and is, therefore, categorized as a soil with serious threats in New Zealand as well as in Europe **(Rybnikova et al., 2017)**.

254

# 255 3.2.1. Soil remediation under batch conditions

To evaluate the treatment efficiency in contaminated soil, we employed different doses of 256 ferrate(VI) (w/w) including 0.1, 0.25 and 0.5 g  $g^{-1}$  soil without pH adjustment (i.e. pH 7.2 ± 257 0.2) in batch mode. Oxidation efficiency of ferrate(VI) was compared with that of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> alone 258 (200 mM) or in combination with magnetite (200 mM  $H_2O_2$  + 25 mg Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> soil) under 259 260 similar experimental conditions. Obtained results (Figure 4) revealed that PCP degradation was negligible with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> alone. Application of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> alone often leads to weak oxidation 261 because of the inability of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to generate hydroxyl radicals without activation (Usman et 262 al., 2012). In the presence of a catalyst ( $H_2O_2 + Fe_3O_4$ ), almost 45% of PCP degradation was 263 264 observed. It should be noted that Rybnikova et al. (2017) reported negligible PCP degradation by  $H_2O_2 + Fe_3O_4$  in the same soil (under similar experimental conditions) that 265 could be linked to the lower reagent dose in their study (0.2 – 4 mM  $H_2O_2$  and 5 mg  $Fe_3O_4$  g<sup>-1</sup> 266 soil). On the other hand, application of ferrate(VI) resulted in complete removal of PCP at all 267 tested doses (0.1, 0.25 and 0.5 g  $g^{-1}$  soil). These results clearly illustrate the strong efficiency 268 of ferrate(VI) to remove PCP in contaminated soils as compared to the traditional oxidant 269  $(H_2O_2)$  even in the presence of an efficient catalyst (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>). Our previous study using 270 ferrate(VI) to remove PCBs in an aged contaminated soil (Monfort et al., 2019) reported only 271 272 40% of PCB degradation despite efforts to address the soil matrix-associated effects.

273 Complete degradation of PCP in present study reveals that incomplete removal of PCBs was 274 mainly associated to the refractory nature of PCB. Thus, ferrate(VI) can be an effective 275 oxidant to treat contaminated soils but pollutant nature should be considered. Compared to 276 the aqueous system, ferrate(VI) could be stabilized in soil by natural organic matter which 277 would act as a complexing agent (**Jiang et al., 2015**), thus ferrate(VI) could sustain its 278 efficiency for long duration and at low dose. This would ultimately improve the durability of 279 the ferrate(VI)-based treatment.



**Figure 4:** Degradation extents of PCP in contaminated soil in the presence of various treatments such as (i)  $H_2O_2$  alone (200 mM), (ii) 200 mM  $H_2O_2 + 25$  mg Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> g<sup>-1</sup> soil and different doses of ferrate(VI) (0.1, 0.25 and 0.5 g g<sup>-1</sup> soil). Experiments were performed without pH adjustment (i.e. pH 7.2 ± 0.2) for 24 h and n=3. Blank experiments were conducted without any oxidant under similar experimental conditions.

287

#### 288 **3.2.2. Soil remediation under flow-through conditions**

Batch experiments are characterized by an improved contact between oxidant/pollutant/soil matrix. However, such conditions are not prevalent in field conditions and therefore, we

performed the column experiments under water saturated and unsaturated conditions. Different doses of ferrate(VI) (0.1 and 0.2 g  $g^{-1}$  soil) and flow rates (0.025, 0.25 and 2.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) were chosen in order to emulate the field conditions (**Figure 5**).

294



Figure 5. Degradation of PCP using columns of contaminated soil. A quantity of (A) 0.1 and (B) 0.2 g g<sup>-1</sup> soil of ferrate(VI) was used under both saturated and unsaturated conditions (n = 3). Different flow rates (0.025, 0.25 and 2.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) of ferrate(VI) oxidant solution was used. Experiments were performed without pH adjustment (i.e. pH 7.2  $\pm$  0.2).

300

The blank experiment was performed by flushing deionized water which neither cause any 301 302 PCP degradation nor its loss in the column effluent (data not shown). The degradation extent of PCP increased with an increase in the quantity of ferrate under both saturated and 303 unsaturated conditions. Ferrate(VI) at 0.1 g g<sup>-1</sup> removed PCP up to 55% (unsaturated 304 conditions) and 85% (saturated conditions). On the other hand, increase in dose of ferrate 305  $(0.2 \text{ g g}^{-1})$  improved the degradation extent to 72% (unsaturated conditions) and 95% 306 (saturated conditions). In any case, degradation efficiency of ferrate(VI) is higher than that of 307 traditional oxidants (<60%) reported by Rybnikova et al. (2017) in the same soil under 308 similar experimental setup. It was also observed that PCP removal is higher under saturated 309 conditions as compared to that in unsaturated columns at all tested doses of ferrate(VI) 310

(Figure 5). This might be correlated to the presence of gas phase and/or preferential pathways in the unsaturated columns, which did not permit an optimum contact between contaminated area and the oxidant (Rybnikova et al., 2017). Saturated conditions, on the other hand, offer better contact between oxidant and contaminant, which led to higher PCP removal. This can also explain the decrease in oxidation efficiency under column conditions as compared to that in batch (Rybnikova et al., 2017; Usman et al., 2013). It is worth noting that the PCP concentration in column effluents was negligible (i.e. under detection limit).

Experiments were also performed under different flow rates (0.025, 0.25 and 2.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) of 318 ferrate(VI) to evaluate the impact of oxidant residence time on the treatment efficiency 319 320 (Figure 5). Degradation extent was almost similar at all flow rates (0.025 to 2.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>) under unsaturated conditions, which can once again be correlated to preferential pathways of 321 322 the oxidant. On the other hand, under saturated conditions, the degradation extent decreased (from 85% to 69% at lower oxidant dose and from 95% to 74% at higher dose of 323 ferrate(VI)) with increasing flow rate (from 0.025 to 2.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>), which can be correlated to 324 kinetic limitations in the column (Rybnikova et al., 2017). It is worth mentioning that effect of 325 kinetic limitations in columns are determined by evaluating the Damköhler number (Da), a 326 ratio of hydrodynamic residence time to reaction time in the column. Estimated Da values in 327 328 present study are relatively low, suggesting the lack of local equilibrium (Hanna and Boily, 2010; Homolkova et al., 2016). Consequently, decrease in flow rate increases the oxidant 329 residence time, overcomes kinetic limitations and ultimately enhances the treatment 330 efficiency (Rybnikova et al., 2017). 331

Taken together, these results show that ferrate(VI) is a viable oxidant to remove PCP in aqueous solution and contaminated soils. These results also enlighten us that incomplete removal of PCBs by ferrate(VI) application in our previous study **(Monfort et al., 2019)** was mainly related to the nature of the pollutant and not to the inability of ferrate(VI) to perform in complex soil medium. Owing to the strong persistence and lower water solubility, PCBs were not removed by ferrate(VI) despite multiple efforts to improve pollutant availability or soil

matrix effects (Monfort et al., 2019). On the other hand, effective PCP removal was
observed in multiple environmental compartments (aqueous solution or soil) or experimental
setup (batch and column).

341

# 342 4 Conclusion

Ferrate(VI) oxidation has exhibited promising results in the degradation of chlorinated 343 persistent organic pollutants in both water and soil systems. Indeed, compared to 344 conventional oxidants, especially H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and at similar experimental conditions, ferrate(VI) 345 exhibited about 8-fold increase in the removal extent of PCP in solution (from 10 to 80 % 346 approximatively). In soil, in batch mode, ferrate(VI) completely removed the PCP which is 347 much higher than H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (5 %) or magnetite-activated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (45 %). In column experiments, 348 ferrate(VI) efficiently degraded the PCP especially under saturated conditions. Moreover, 349 oxidation efficiency increased with increasing in column residence time under saturated 350 conditions. We have notably demonstrated that ferrate(VI) oxidation efficiency is controlled 351 not only by soil matrix effects but also by the nature of target pollutant. Owing to the proven 352 efficiency of ferrate(VI) for the remediation of different environmental compartments, present 353 study open the doors in the research of innovative sustainable processes based on this 354 green oxidant. 355

356

### 357 Acknowledgement

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of this work by ADEME "Agence de I'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie". Muhammad Usman also acknowledges the support of Alexander von Humboldt Foundation of Germany. We thank Prof. Singhal from the University of Auckland (New Zealand) for providing the contaminated soil. Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

363	
-----	--

#### 364 **References**

- Chen, M., Tong, H., Li, F., Liu, C., Lan, Q., Liu, C., 2018. The effect of electron donors on the
  dechlorination of pentachlorophenol (PCP) and prokaryotic diversity in paddy soil. Eur. J. Soil
  Biolog. 86, 8-15.
- Feng, M., Wang, X., Chen, J., Qu, R., Sui, Y., Cizmas, L., Wang, Z., Sharma, V.K., 2016.
  Degradation of fluoroquinolone antibiotics by ferrate(VI): Effects of water constituents and
  oxidized products. Water Res. 103, 48-57.
- Feng, M., Jinadatha, C., McDonald, T.J., Sharma, V.K., 2018. Accelerated oxidation of
  organic contaminants by ferrate(VI): the overlooked role of reducing additives. Environ. Sci.
  Technol. 52(19), 11319-11327.
- Goodwill, J.E., Jiang, Y., Reckhow, D.A., Gikonyo, J., Tobiason, J.E., 2015. Characterization
  of particles from ferrate preoxidation. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 4955-4962.
- Guemiza, K., Coudert, L., Metahni, S., Mercier, G., Besner, S., Blais, J.F., 2017. Treatment
  technologies used for the removal of As, Cr, Cu, PCP and/or PCDD/F from contaminated
  soil : A review. J. Hazard. Mater. 333, 194-214.
- Han, Q., Wang, H., Dong, W., Liu, T., Yin, Y., Fan, H., 2015. Degradation of bisphenol A by
  ferrate(VI) oxidation: Kinetics, products and toxicity assessment. Chem. Eng. J. 262, 34–40.
- Hanna, K., Boily, J.F., 2010. Sorption of two naphthoic acids to goethite surface under flow
  through conditions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 8863-8869.
- Homolkova, M., Hrabak, P., Kolar, M., Cernik, M., 2016. Degradability of chlorophenols using
  ferrate(VI) in contaminated groundwater. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23, 1408-1413.
- Homolkova, M., Hrabak, P., Graham, N., Cernik, M., 2017. A study of the reaction of ferrate
  with pentachlorophenol kinetics and degradation products. Water Sci. Technol. 75(1-2),
  189-195.

- Hrabak, P., Homolkova, M., Waclawek, S., Cernik, M., 2016. Chemical Degradation of
  PCDD/F in contaminated sediment. Ecol. Chem. Eng. S. 23(3), 473-482.
- Jiang, J.Q., 2007. Research progress in the use of ferrate(VI) for the environmental remediation. J. Hazard. Mater. 146, 617-623.
- Jiang, Y., Goodwill, J.E., Tobiason, J.E., Reckhow, D.A., 2015. Effect of different solutes,
- natural organic matter, and particulate Fe(III) on ferrate(VI) decomposition in aqueous
  solution. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 2841-2848.
- Johansson, C., Bataillard, P., Biache, C., Lorgeoux, C., Colombano, S., Joubert, A., Pigot, T.,
- 396 Faure, P., 2019. Ferrate VI oxidation of polycyclic aromatic compounds (PAHs and polar
- 397 PACs) on DNAPL-spiked sand: degradation efficiency and oxygenated by-products formation
- 398 compared to conventional oxidants. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 27(1), 704-706.
- Lee, Y., Yoon, J., von Gunten, U., 2005. Spectrophotometric determination of ferrate (Fe(VI))
  in water by ABTS. Water Res. 39, 1946-1953.
- Lewis, J., Sjostrom, J., 2010. Optimizing the experimental design of soil columns in saturated
  and unsaturated transport experiments. J. Contam. Hydrol. 115, 1-13.
- Ma, Y., Gao, N., Li, C., 2012. Degradation and pathway of tetracycline hydrochloride in
  aqueous solution by potassium ferrate. Environ. Eng. Sci. 26(5), 357-362.
- Matta, R., Chiron, S., 2018. Oxidative degradation of pentachlorophenol by permanganate
  for ISCO application. Environ. Technol. 39(5), 651-657.
- 407 Monfort, O., Usman, M., Soutrel, I., Hanna, K., 2019. Ferrate(VI) based chemical oxidation 408 for the remediation of aged PCB contaminated soil: Comparison with conventional oxidants 409 and study of limiting factors. Chem. Eng. J. 355, 109-117.
- Rai, P.K., Lee, J., Kailasa, S.K., Kwon, E.E., Tsang, Y.F., Ok, Y.S., Kim, K.H., 2018. A critical
  review of ferrate(VI)-based remediation of soil and groundwater. Environ. Res. 160, 420-448.

- Rybnikova, V., Usman, M., Hanna, K., 2016. Removal of PCBs in contaminated soils by
  means of chemical reduction and advanced oxidation processes. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.
  23(17), 17035-17048.
- Rybnikova, V., Singhal, N., Hanna, K., 2017. Remediation of an aged PCP-contaminated soil
- by chemical oxidation under flow-through conditions. Chem. Eng. J. 314, 202-211.
- 417 Sharma, V.K., Burnett, C.R., Millero, F.J., 2001. Dissociation constants of the monoprotic
- 418 ferrate(VI) ion in NaCl media. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 3, 2059-2062.
- Sharma, V.K., 2002. Potassium ferrate(VI): an environmentally friendly oxidant. Adv. Environ.
  Res. 6,143-156.
- 421 Sharma, V.K., Zboril, R., Varma, R.S., 2015. Ferrates: Greener Oxidants with Multimodal
- 422 Action in Water Treatment Technologies. Acc. Chem. Res. 48, 182-191.
- Sharma, V.K., Chen, L., Zboril, R., 2016. Review on High Valent FeVI (Ferrate): A
  Sustainable Green Oxidant in Organic Chemistry and Transformation of Pharmaceuticals.
  ACS Sust. Chem. Eng. 4, 18-34.
- Talaiekhozani, A., Talaei, M.R., Rezania, S., 2017. An overview on production and
  application of ferrate (VI) for chemical oxidation, coagulation and disinfection of water and
  wastewater. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 5, 1828-1842.
- Tang, X., Hashmi, M.Z., Zeng, B., Yang, J., Shen, C., 2015. Application of iron-activated
  persulfate oxidation for the degradation of PCBs in soil. Chem. Eng. J. 279, 673-680.
- Usman, M., Faure, P., Ruby, C., Hanna, K., 2012. Remediation of PAH-contaminated soils
  by magnetite catalyzed Fenton-like oxidation. Appl. Catal. B 117–118, 10-17.

- Usman, M., Faure, P., Lorgeoux, C., Ruby, C., Hanna, K., 2013. Treatment of hydrocarbon
  contamination under flow through conditions by using magnetite catalyzed chemical
  oxidation. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 20(1), 22-30.
- Usman, M., Byrne, J.M., Chaudhary, A., Orsetti, S., Hanna, K., Ruby, C., Kappler, A.,
  Haderlein, S.B., 2018a. Magnetite and Green Rust: Synthesis, Properties, and
  Environmental Applications of Mixed-Valent Iron Minerals. Chem. Rev. 118(7), 3251-3304.
- Usman, M., Hanna, K., Faure, P., 2018b. Remediation of oil-contaminated harbor sediments
  by chemical oxidation. Sci. Total. Environ. 634, 1100-1107.
- Xue, L., Feng, X., Xu, Y., Li, X., Zhu, M., Xu, J., He, Y., 2017. The dechlorination of
  pentachlorophenol under a sulfate and iron reduction co-occurring anaerobic environment.
  Chemosphere 182, 166-173.
- Yang, B., Kookana, R.S., Williams, M., Ying, G.G., Du, J., Doan, H., Kumar, A., 2016.
  Oxidation of ciprofloxacin and enrofloxacin by ferrate(VI): Products identification, and toxicity
  evaluation. J. Hazard. Mater. 320, 296-303.
- Zajicek, P., Kolar, M., Prucek, R., Ranc, V., Bednar, P., Varma, R.S., Sharma, V.K., Zboril,
  R., 2015. Oxidative degradation of triazine- and sulfonylurea-based herbicides using Fe(VI):
  The case study of atrazine and iodosulfuron with kinetics and degradation products. Sep.
  Purif. Technol. 156(3), 1041-1046.
- Zhou, Z., Jiang, J.Q., 2015a. Reaction kinetics and oxidation products formation in the
  degradation of ciprofloxacin and ibuprofen be ferrate(VI). Chemosphere 119, S95-S100.
- Zhou, Z., Jiang, J.Q., 2015b. Treatment of selected pharmaceuticals by ferrate(VI):
  Performance, kinetic studies and identification of oxidation products. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal
  106, 37-45.
- Zimbron, J.A., Reardon, K.F., 2009. Fenton's oxidation of pentachlorophenol. Water Res. 43,
  1831-1840.

# **Highlights**

- Oxidation of PCP by ferrate(VI) is studied under on dynamic soil columns •
- Maximum PCP removal is observed under water saturated conditions •
- PCP is completely removed by ferrate(VI) in batch mode •
- Ferrate(VI) is more efficient than conventional oxidants for PCP removal ٠

inter and the second se

#### **Declaration of interests**

 $\boxtimes$  The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Journal Prerk