

A core microbiota of the plant-earthworm interaction conserved across soils

Samuel Jacquiod, Ruben Puga-Freitas, Aymé Spor, Arnaud Mounier, Cécile Monard, Christophe Mougel, Laurent L. Philippot, Manuel Blouin

► To cite this version:

Samuel Jacquiod, Ruben Puga-Freitas, Aymé Spor, Arnaud Mounier, Cécile Monard, et al.. A core microbiota of the plant-earthworm interaction conserved across soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 2020, 144, pp.107754. 10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107754. hal-02535174

HAL Id: hal-02535174 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-02535174v1

Submitted on 17 Apr 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Journal Pre-proof
A core microbiota of the plant-earthworm interaction conserved across soils
Samuel Jacquiod ¹ , Ruben Puga-Freitas ² , Aymé Spor ¹ , Arnaud Mounier ¹ , Cécile Monard ³ ,
Christophe Mougel ⁴ , Laurent Philippot ¹ , Manuel Blouin ^{1*}
¹ Agroécologie, AgroSup Dijon, INRAE, Université Bourgogne, Université Bourgogne
Franche-Comté, Dijon, France
² UMR 7618 IEES-Paris (CNRS, INRAE, UPMC, IRD, UPEC), France
³ UMR 6553 ECOBIO (CNRS, Université de Rennes 1), France
⁴ UMR 1349 IGEPP (INRAE - Agrocampus Ouest - Université Rennes 1), France

Journal Pre-proo

10 Abstract

The core microbiota defines the fraction of microorganisms common to all individuals from the 11 same host species regardless of the abiotic context, be they located inside (e.g. animal guts) or 12 outside (e.g. plant rhizospheres). While the core microbiota of many host species have been 13 14 documented, no studies attempted to decipher how these core microbiota could be altered when their respective host species are interacting. We thus tested the hypothesis that interactions between 15 different host species possessing external microbiota could result in a novel emerging entity: a core 16 microbiota of an interaction. This is particularly true in soils, where such interactions are likely to 17 occur between different host species harboring external microbiota, like plants through rhizospheres 18 and earthworms through drilospheres. Using three contrasting soils (sand, loam or clay) and a 19 20 meticulous sampling of different microhabitats (rhizospheres, casts and bulk) coupled to a "sourcesink approach" derived from the meta-community theory, we evidenced the presence of a conserved 21 core microbiota of bacterial OTUs resulting from plant-earthworm interactions in all soils. This 22 interaction was also evidenced using a tailored network analysis, revealing the presence of signature 23 OTUs always found in earthworms casts and plant rhizospheres, and whose co-occurrence patterns 24 were indicative of soil type. Furthermore, qPCR abundance estimates revealed that not only 25 bacteria, but also fungi and archeae, are affected by plant-earthworm interactions. Our findings 26 provide a new framework to explore aboveground-belowground interactions through the prism of 27 microbial communities. 28

29

31

1. Introduction

Journal Pre-proof

Microorganisms participate in crucial soil functions and services like biogeochemical cycles, 32 bioremediation and food production. Their activities strongly depend on soil ecosystem engineers 33 (Jones et al. 1994; Lavelle et al. 1997) which "directly or indirectly modulate the availability of 34 35 resources to other species, by causing physical state changes in biotic or abiotic materials". As a consequence, soil is made of "microbial hotspots" (Kuzyakov & Blagodatskaya 2015), previously 36 described as specific "functional domains", defined as "parts of the soil that are influenced by a 37 major biotic or abiotic regulator, [...] recognizable in a set of structures (pores, aggregates, fabrics 38 etc.) generated by the regulator that can be physically separated from the soil matrix" (Lavelle, 39 2002). In the case of plants and earthworms, two major soil ecosystem engineers, these functional 40 41 domains are respectively named the rhizosphere and drilosphere, which are also microhabitats for the myriads of microorganisms populating them, being the microbiota. In this study, not only we 42 investigated how plants and earthworms do influence soil microbial communities in their respective 43 functional domains, but also how their interaction can reciprocally alter the microbiota residing in 44 these two microhabitats. 45

By definition, the rhizosphere includes soil aggregates that are closely adhering to roots, and 46 47 the drilosphere encompasses two soil parts that have been modified by earthworms, namely the casts (earthworms excreta) and the burrows (the inner part of earthworms galleries) (Lavelle, 2002). 48 Microorganisms populating these two microhabitats could either come from the surrounding bulk 49 50 soil matrix and/or from the host itself (e.g. endophytes and earthworm's cuticle/gut). While the influence of plants on soil microbial communities is well documented (e.g. Philippot et al., 2013), 51 the overlooked role of earthworms may be as important (Brown et al. 2004; de Menezes et al. 2018; 52 Blouin et al. 2019; Medina-Sauza et al. 2019), given they represent the largest soil animal biomass 53 in most terrestrial ecosystems (Bouché 1972). Indeed, root microbiota are renowned for their 54 importance on plant health (Tkacz & Poole 2015; Pérez-Jaramillo et al. 2016; Finkel et al. 2017), 55 but so far very few is known regarding the role of microbes leaving in contact with earthworms 56

(Medina-Sauza et al. 2019). Moreover, effects of plants and earthworms on soil microbial 57 communities are often studied independently. To our knowledge, there are no studies on the effect 58 of plants on microbial communities in the drilosphere and only one report on earthworm's effect on 59 60 rhizosphere microbial communities (Braga et al. 2016). Considering their respective capacity to manipulate microbes, one could regard plants and earthworms as competitors for the steering of soil 61 microbial communities and functions. However, a positive interaction between the two is generally 62 observed, as several studies showed that earthworms can increase plant growth (van Groenigen et 63 al. 2014; Blouin et al. 2019), with converging observations suggesting that plant-earthworm 64 relationships are partly mediated by microbes (Puga-Freitas et al. 2012a/b; Blouin 2018). 65

Going further, no attempt has been made to determine if these reciprocal influences remains 66 despite variation in the soil physico-chemical context. This question is in line with the concept of 67 "core microbiota", defined as the faithful fraction of microorganisms always associated to their host 68 species individuals regardless of environmental fluctuations, and characterized through taxonomical 69 genetic markers (Turnbaugh et al. 2009). It was illustrated in many instances, such as the Human 70 gut (Turnbaugh et al. 2009), insect gut (Shukla et al. 2018) and plant rhizospheres (e.g. Arabidopsis 71 thaliana, Lundberg et al. 2012; Lactuca sativa L., Chowdhury et al. 2019). For humans or animals, 72 the core microbiota is generally accessed via feces, a proxy to study the gut without harm 73 (Vandeputte et al. 2017). For plants, the core microbiota is generally investigated in the 74 rhizosphere, which is often referred to as an "inverted" gut system (Ramírez-Puebla et al. 2013). 75

As both rhizosphere and drilosphere microbiota are external to their respective hosts, located into soil, an interaction between these two microbial communities could occur. We investigated this interaction in three different soils (predominance of sand, loam or clay) using microcosms containing either none, one or two soil engineer species as follow: i) a plant (barley, *Hordeum vulgare*), ii) endogeic earthworms (*Aporrectodea caliginosa*), iii) both macroorganisms and iv) a control without macroorganisms (Fig.1). Looking at the different scales through the prism of microhabitats, we expected to capture the reciprocal influences of plants on cast microbiota (used as

a proxy for drilosphere), and earthworms on rhizosphere microbiota. Thus, we applied a meticulous 83 soil dismantling to separately collect i) the bulk soil either from the treatment without 84 macroorganisms (control bulk) or near rhizospheres and casts (plant bulk, earthworm bulk, plant-85 86 earthworm bulk), ii) rhizospheres (with/without earthworms) and iii) casts (with/without plants; Fig.1). We made the following hypotheses for the presence of i) core microbiota for Aporrectodea 87 caliginosa and Hordeum vulgare respectively found in casts and rhizospheres; but also ii) a core 88 89 microbiota of the plant-earthworm interaction simultaneously found in both rhizospheres and casts; 90 as well as iii) a core microbial network common and specific of both rhizospheres and casts. Together with plant traits, we analyzed the molecular abundance of microbes (bacteria, archaea, and 91 92 fungi) and focused on the bacterial community structure using high throughput sequencing to seek, for the first time, the existence of a core microbiota of an interaction between two host species. 93

94

2. Materials and methods

95

2.1 Experimental design and sampling

Three soils were used (Tab.1): a sand soil (cambisoil with moor from CEREEP station, 96 Saint-Pierre-Lès-Nemours, France), a loam crop soil (luvisoil from INRA, Versailles, France) and a 97 forest clay soil (leptosoil from MNHN station, Brunoy, France). The first 20cm were sampled 98 99 excluding plant material. Soils were air-dried, sieved (2mm) and set in microcosm pots of 11 100 containing 1kg of soil watered at 80% of their respective water holding capacity, being the optimum for plant and earthworm (Lavelle 1978). Four conditions were tested with five biological replicates 101 (Fig.1): i) a plant, ii) three earthworms, iii) both together and iv) nothing (control). Barley 102 (Hordeum vulgare L. commercial variety, "La fermette") was germinated in three batches of 80 103 seeds in Petri dishes containing humidified soil (100%, 20°C, phytotron, seven days). ~8cm-tall 104 105 seedlings were transplanted in pots. The earthworm Aporrectodea caliginosa was chosen for its endogeic lifestyle. Individuals originated from a non-stop breeding program initiated in 2007 (IRD 106 park, Bondy, France). Three batches of young individuals were purged in their respective 107 experimental soil to prevent breeding substrate contaminations (three days). Three individuals were 108

introduced at the pot surfaces (total weight ~1g). Microcosms were incubated in a climatic chamber 109 (S10H, Conviron, Canada; 75% air humidity, 18/20°C night/day, 12h photoperiod with constant 110 300 µmol photons m-2 s-1, 28 days). Leaf surface was estimated after 17 days by summing leaf 111 112 areas (leaf area = leaf length x mid-section leaf width x 0.75, Blouin *et al.* 2007). Plant height was estimated after 23 days based on the longest leaf. After 28 days, all microcosms were used for 113 destructive sampling. Soil was meticulously sampled to recover the distinct microhabitats: 114 rhizospheric soil (adhering root soil recovered from vigorous shaking with distillated water, then 115 centrifuged), earthworm casts (visual identification; Velasquez et al. 2007) and bulk soil (no visible 116 macroorganisms influence). Shoot biomass was measured after drying (50°C, 48h). 117

118 2.2 *qPC*

2.2 qPCR settings

Total DNA was extracted from 250 mg of soil using FastDNA® SPIN Kit for Soil (MP 119 120 Biomedicals). DNA concentration was quantified using Quant-iT[™] dsDNA High-Sensitivity Assay Kit (Invitrogen) before dilution at 1 ng.µl-1. ITS 5'-121 Fungal (ITS3F: GCATCGATGAAGAACGCAGC-3', ITS4R: 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3'; White 122 1990). Crenarchaeota 16S rRNA (Crenar771F: 5'-ACGGTGAGGGATGAAAGCT-3', 123 Crenar975R: 5'- CGGCGTTGACTCCAATTG-3'; Ochsenreiter et al. 2003) and bacterial 16S 124 rRNA genes (341F: 5'-CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3', 534R: 5'-CCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3'; 125 Muyzer et al. 1993) were quantified using real-time polymerase chain reactions (qPCR) on a 126 StepOnePlus[™] Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystem, France). Reaction mixtures (15µl) 127 were composed of 7.5µl Power SYBRTM Green PCR Master Mix with ROX (Applied Biosystem), 128 1.5µl of each primer (10µM), 2.5µl UltraPure[™] DNase/RNase-Free Distilled Water (Applied 129 Biosystem) and 2µl diluted-DNA template. Potential inhibition was assessed by adding 2µl of 130 131 known concentration of plasmid to reaction mixtures (adjusted water volume: 0.5µl). No inhibition was detected, as amplifications using primers targeting T7 and SP6 RNA polymerase promoters 132 were similar amongst samples. Amplification was set with 900 s at 95 °C for enzyme activation, 35 133 cycles of 15 s at 95 °C, 30 s at annealing temperatures (ITS/Archaea: 55°C, Bacteria: 60°C), 30 s at 134

- 135 72 °C for elongation and 30 s at 80 °C for termination. Abundances were quantified with linearized
 136 plasmid-based standard curves (StepOneTM Software v2.2.2, three technical repetitions). Copy
 137 numbers were normalized per µgram of DNA per gram of soil, and log2-transformed for analysis.
- 138

2.3 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

Bacteria were selected for their importance in plant-earthworm interaction (Hoeffner et al. 139 2018). Amplicons were generated from purified DNA by LGC Genomics (GmbH, Germany), 140 respecting best practices guidelines (Berry et al. 2011; Schöler et al. 2017). The bacterial 16S rRNA 141 V3-V4 hypervariable region was amplified with fusion primers U341F (5'-142 gene CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG -3') and 785R (5'- GACTACHVGGGTATCTAAKCC -3'; 143 Klindworth et al. 2013) equipped with barcode sequences for each sample, with the following 144 protocol: 20µL reaction mixtures, 1.5 units MyTaq DNA polymerase (Bioline, Germany), 2 µl of 145 BioStabII PCR Enhancer (Sigma, Germany), 15pmol of each primer, 5 ng template DNA, 96°C for 146 2 min followed by 30 cycles (96°C for 15s, 50°C for 30sec), 70°C for 90s and termination (72°C, 147 10 min). Amplicon were visualized (2% agarose electrophoresis, ~500 bp). Two pools of 48 148 samples (20 ng each) were generated (n = 96), purified once (AMPure XP beads, Agencourt, 149 Germany) and twice (MinElute columns, Qiagen, Germany). 100 ng of purified pools were used for 150 Illumina library construction (Ovation Rapid DR Multiplex System 1-96, NuGEN, Germany). 151 Libraries were pooled and size-selected by electrophoresis. Sequencing was performed on MiSeq 152 (Illumina MiSeq reagent kit v2, 2x250 bp), followed by demultiplexing and trimming of 153 adaptors/barcodes (Illumina MiSeq Reporter software v2.5.1.3). Sequences were analyzed in-house 154 with a Python notebook. Sequences were assembled (PEAR, default settings, Zhang et al. 2014), 155 removing short sequences and applying quality checks (QIIME, Caporaso et al. 2010). Reference-156 157 based and *de novo* chimera detection, and Operational Taxonomic Unit (OTU, 97%) clustering were performed using VSEARCH (Rognes et al. 2016), and taxonomy was assigned (UCLUST, 158 Edgar 2010) with the Greengenes database (v05, MacDonald et al. 2012). OTU representative 159 sequences were aligned (PyNAST, Caporaso et al. 2011) to build a phylogenetic tree (FastTree, 160

- Price *et al.* 2009). The contingency table was set at OTU level. Rarefaction curves were calculated with *vegan* (Dixon *et al.* 2009) in *Rgui* (R Development Core Team 2017) to assess sequencing depth before rarefaction (Fig.S1, rarefaction at 6900 counts).
- 164

2.4 Beta-diversity and multivariate analysis

Rarefied OTU tables and unifrac trees were used to build variance-adjusted weighted and 165 166 unweighted unifrac-based analysis using distance based redundancy analysis (dbRDA, capscale, *vegan*). The rarefaction curves of raw datasets, as well as the global variance partition model is 167 presented in supporting information (Fig.S1, Tab.S1). OTUs whose abundance was significantly 168 169 altered in rhizosphere and casts when both macroorganisms were present (but not in bulk soils) were detected using the non-rarefied data with a quasi-likelihood F-test under negative binomial 170 distributions and generalized linear models (nbGLM QLFT, FDR-adjusted q < 0.05), as 171 recommended in the literature (MacMurdies & Holmes 2014; Schöler et al. 2017). OTUs 172 significantly changed were extracted via hierarchical clustering in heatmaps for cast and 173 rhizosphere samples (Fig.S2-Fig.S3), followed by synthetic grouping in bar charts. The grouping of 174 cast and rhizosphere OTUs responding with a similar pattern to the presence of the other 175 macroorganism was tested and validated with multiple Monte-Carlo simulation using null-models 176 177 against the all other non-responding OTUs (Fig.S4), as described previously (Jacquiod et al. 2018). The list of OTUs responding in casts and rhizospheres to the presence of the other macroorganisms 178 in summarized in supporting information (Tab.S2). A Venn diagram (limma, Ritchie et al. 2015) 179 180 was done to define the core microbiota shared between casts and rhizospheres. Phylogenetic signals between OTUs identified as part of the core microbiota of the plant-earthworm interaction were 181 assessed using weighted Mean Nearest Taxon Distance method (MNTD, package *picante*, Kembel 182 et al. 2010) against a null-model in the unifrac phylogenetic tree (n = 10000 permutations, Tab.S3). 183

184 2.5 Netw

In order to identify the presence of a potential core network of OTUs between the rhizospheres 185 and the casts, but not in the bulk soils, we tailored a custom methodology based on network 186 arithmetic described in a workflow diagram in supporting data (Fig.S5). First, to account for the 187 188 strong soil effect, OTU abundances in each sample were normalized into z-scores using their average and standard deviation in the control bulk soil without macroorganisms (Fig.S5, Phase 1). 189 We focused on cosmopolitan OTUs present at least in 50% of samples in each soil (n > 16/32) and 190 191 used their standardized abundances to build three correlation networks, one per microhabitat, with 192 the igraph R package (Csardi & Nepusz 2006) with stringent cut-offs (Spearman's rho > |0.6|, FDRadjusted q < 0.05) (Fig.S5, Phase 2). This resulted in a "bulk network" (using all standardized bulk 193 194 samples in earthworm, plant, earthworm/plant treatments in the three soils, n = 36), a "cast network" (using all standardized cast samples in the three soils, n = 24), and a "rhizosphere" 195 network" (using all the standardized rhizosphere samples in the three soils, n = 24). Hereafter, we 196 used network arithmetic, intersecting the cast and rhizosphere networks to only keep the 197 overlapping correlations in common between these two microhabitats (Fig.S5, Phase 3). Last, we 198 removed bulk-specific correlations from the rhizosphere-cast intersected network by subtracting 199 correlations seen in the bulk network (Fig.S5, Phase 4). The final network so-obtained reflects 200 OTUs whose correlations patterns are strictly specific to both casts and rhizospheres. The network 201 was visually organized into clusters based on the z-score level of each OTUs using hierarchical 202 clustering (Fig.S6). 203

204 3. Results

205 *3.1 Plant traits*

Earthworm presence resulted in a systematic increase in dry shoot weight in all tested soils (p < 0.05; Tab.2). Height and leaf surface were also increased in clay and sand soils, but not in the loam one. Shoot biomass was increased on average by +21%, while height and leaf surface area were respectively increased by 5% and 11% except in the loam soil. 210

3.2 Beta-diversity

Journal Pre-proof

Phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA gene amplicon profiles showed a strong soil effect 211 (Fig.2, Tab.S1). Therefore a refined beta-diversity analysis was done for each soil separately to 212 focus on microhabitats (Fig.3). Rhizosphere communities always differed from those of other 213 214 microhabitats (Fig.3 axis 1, 18-24%) with distinct taxonomic composition at phylum level (Fig.2), while cast communities where more similar to those from bulk soils (Fig.3 axis 2, 4-8% and Fig.2). 215 Bulk and cast communities in the earthworm treatment clustered away from the control bulk in the 216 clay soil (yellow apart from blue, Fig.3a). However, bacterial communities in bulk and cast were 217 similar to the control in the sand soil (yellow close to blue, Fig.3c). The loam soil had an 218 intermediate profile (Fig.3b). 219

The simultaneous presence of plant and earthworm changed community profiles in cast and 220 221 rhizosphere (Fig.2-3). Earthworms influence on rhizosphere communities was always detected, (Fig.3 axis 1-2, green and red squares) due to an increase of earthworm-responding rhizosphere 222 OTUs up to ~3-folds, being mainly affiliated to Bacteroidetes, Beta- and Gammaproteobacteria 223 (Fig.2, Fig.4a, Fig.S2-S3). Plant influence on cast communities was also always detected, although 224 weaker in the clay soil (Fig.2-3, axis 2, yellow and red triangles), with an average abundance 225 226 increase of plant-responding cast OTUs ranging from ~2-folds in the clay soil up to ~9-folds in the sand soil, being mainly affiliated to Alpha-, Betaproteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia and Bacteroidetes 227 (Fig.4b, Fig.S2-S3). Similarly, we identified responding OTUs whose abundance was decreased by 228 229 the addition of the other macroorganism in rhizospheres (Fig.4c) and casts (Fig.4d). When looking at the phylogenetic origin of these responding OTUs in all soils (Tab.S2), we noticed that 6 families 230 were systematically responding in all soils, both in casts and rhizospheres, either with increased 231 232 abundance (Bacteroidetes families: Cytophagaceae, Flavobacteriaceae, unclassified Sphingobacteriales; Betaproteobacteria families: Oxalobacteraceae, Comamonadaceae), or 233 decreased abundance (Alphaproteobacteria: Sphingomonadaceae) when both macroorganisms were 234 present. 235

-3.3 Tracing back origins of bacterial OTUs

We searched for a shared core microbiota of OTUs present both in casts and rhizospheres 237 from single and both macroorganisms treatments using a Venn diagram. Only cosmopolitan OTUs 238 strictly found in all soils at least in 75% of biological replicates (3/4) were considered. A total of 239 240 366 OTUs were retained, featuring distinct endemic fractions found only when one or two macroorganisms were present either in the cast and rhizosphere (Fig.5a). Nevertheless, 73 core 241 OTUs (20%) were commonly found everywhere. This number extended to 106 (white numbers) 242 243 when considering only conditions with both macroorganisms. These 106 OTUs were dominated by Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria (Fig.5b, pie chart), showing a significant phylogenetic clustering 244 (Tab.S3). 245

To better identify OTUs specific from the plant-earthworm interaction, a "source-sink" plot 246 247 was established to trace OTU origins based on pure presence/absence patterns using cosmopolitan OTUs found in 75% of biological replicates (3/4) in all three soils. (Fig.5c). We hypothesized that 248 sources of bacteria for rhizospheres (g) and casts (h) in the presence of both macroorganisms were 249 following a hierarchical priority order: i) the control bulk soil without macroorganisms (a); ii) the 250 microhabitat created by each macroorganism alone (b for g and c for h); iii) the second microhabitat 251 252 created by the other macroorganism alone (c for g and b for h); iv) the other microhabitat when both macroorganisms were present (h for g and g for h); v) the bulk soil sampled next to the microhabitat 253 254 of the macroorganism alone (d for g and e for h); vi) the bulk soil surrounding the microhabitat of 255 the other macroorganism alone (e for d and b for h); vii) the bulk soil sampled when both macroorganisms were present (f); viii) the remaining parts were thus specifically attributed to each 256 microhabitat as endemic fractions resulting from the interaction (g and h). The main source of 257 258 bacteria was the bulk soil without any macroorganism (a: 65% in g and 72% in h), followed by microhabitats (bc, 20% in g; 13% in h) and other bulk soils (def, 2% in g; 6% in h). A prevalent 259 contribution of plant rhizospheres (b: 18% in g, 6% in h) compared to earthworm casts (c: 7% in h, 260 2% in g) was observed. This approach evidenced endemic fractions only seen in microhabitats with 261

both macroorganisms (g: 14%; h: 9%), which correspond to the core microbiota of plant-earthworm
interaction respectively in rhizospheres and casts.

264

3.4 A core microbial network

Going beyond presence/absence patterns identified with the source-sink approach, we wondered if the core microbiota could also be characterized based on OTUs abundance and cooccurrence patterns using a network analysis. We thus tailored a unique, custom approach to seek for the existence of a core microbiota network specific of the plant-earthworm interaction across soils (as explained in Fig.S5). For this purpose, we focused only on cosmopolitan OTUs sharing simultaneously strong Spearman correlations (r > |0.6|, FRD-adjusted q-values < 0.05) in both casts and rhizospheres, but not in the bulk soil (Fig.S5).

Indeed, we could detect such a network, made of OTUs whose abundance correlation 272 patterns were conserved and strictly specific of all tested casts and rhizospheres (Fig.6). Similarly to 273 the Venn diagram, the network was also showing a significant phylogenetic signal (Tab.S3) due to 274 the same dominance of Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria. Based on hierarchical clustering of 275 OTUs standardized abundance (Fig.S6), this network could be organized into three distinct clusters, 276 marked by the different node shape in the network (diamond, circle and triangle of Fig.6). OTUs in 277 each cluster displayed significant abundance patterns depending on soil type, as summarized in the 278 upper-right bar chart (Fig.6). Respectively, the diamond-shaped OTUs were increased due to 279 macroorganisms presence in the sand soil, but decreased in the clay soil, while not changing in the 280 loam soil. The circle-shaped OTUs had the opposite pattern, increasing in abundance in the clay 281 soil, but decreasing strongly in the sand soil, and slightly in the loam soil. The triangle-shaped 282 OTUs always had significantly increased abundance in all soils under macroorganisms presence, 283 especially in the loam soil. 284

285

3.5 Molecular abundances of archeae, fungi and bacteria

We investigated if the mutual presence of a plant and earthworms could impact the 286 abundance of other microbial groups by qPCR. Data normality was assessed with d'Agostino test 287 on the residuals of each ANOVA model (p > 0.05). Outlier values were removed based on ANOVA 288 289 diagnosis plots (3/96, 4/96 and 3/96 values for bacteria, fungi and archaea respectively), leaving 3-5 biological replicates per condition. To account for the strong soil and macroorganism effects, 290 rhizosphere and cast datasets were standardized to z-scores using their respective bulk soils under 291 292 the macroorganisms presence (plant bulk for the rhizosphere, earthworm bulk for the cast, and plant-earthworm bulk for both casts and rhizosphere when both are present). Statistical significance 293 against their bulk soils and between microhabitats was tested with Student tests (two-sample, one-294 295 sided, p < 0.05). The one-side version of the test was selected because we hypothesized that macroorganisms will have positive effects on microbial abundances. The presence of one or both 296 macroorganisms resulted in significant abundance increase for all tested groups (Fig.7, stars above 297 vertical bars), especially in the sand soil witch had the most significant hits (all rhizospheres with 298 earthworms + bacteria and fungi in casts with plant + the fungi in casts), followed by loam soil 299 300 (bacteria and fungi in all rhizospheres with or without earthworms), and clay soil (fungi in all rhizospheres with or without earthworms). Furthermore, adding the second macroorganism resulted 301 in significant increase of microbial abundances (Fig.7, stars above horizontal lines), again with 302 more significant differences in the sand soil (all rhizospheres with earthworms), followed by loam 303 soil (fungi in rhizospheres with earthworms), and none in the clay soil. 304

305 4. Discussion

306

4.1 The core microbiota of earthworms and plants

So far, no studies reported the existence of a core microbiota for a given earthworm species across different soil types. In fact, not all macroorganism host have a core microbiota, as several examples of species were reported to live without a stable resident microbiota because of specific traits such as fast transit in short digestive tracks (e.g. caterpillars, for more examples see Hammer *et al.* 2017, 2019). As earthworms rely on fast digestion to both feed and progress in soil *via* their short tubular

guts, one could speculate that they do not have a stable resident microbiota as well. The beta-312 diversity analysis revealed clearer community differentiation for rhizospheres compared to casts 313 relative to the bulk (Fig.2-3). Our observation was in line with the expectation of a slight effect of 314 315 the short digestive track of earthworms, as compared with the necessity for plants (which are sessile organisms whose survival strongly depends on successful implantation) to actively recruit 316 beneficial microbes (Tkacz & Poole 2015; Pérez-Jaramillo et al. 2016; Finkel et al. 2017). 317 Nevertheless, we showed for the first time that a core microbiota of 136 OTUs in the casts left by 318 319 the earthworm Aporrectodea caliginosa could be found across different soils, (cast intercept, Fig.5a). As most of these OTUs were detected in the control bulk soils (Fig.5c), this led us to 320 321 assume that earthworms evolving through a soil matrix do select, in a conserved manner, the same microbial species present in different environments. Regarding plant core microbiota, we evidenced 322 here the presence of a rhizospheric core microbiota of 113 OTUs for Hordeum vulgare, found 323 across all tested soils (rhizosphere intercepts, Fig.5a). This is consistent with other studies 324 identifying a rhizospheric core microbiota occurring independently of environmental context 325 (Lundberg et al. 2012; Chowdhury et al. 2019). As most of these OTUs were also detected in the 326 control bulk soils (Fig.5c), this also suggests that plants selected a set of ubiquitous bacterial species 327 present in different environments. Additional experiment would be required to reinforce and 328 support the notion of a core microbiota for earthworms by adding more soils, and also investigate 329 the proportion of OTUs that could be originating from host themselves (earthworm's gut and plant 330 seed endophytes). 331

332

4.2 A core microbiota resulting from plant-earthworm interaction

By definition, core microbiota are identified across a range of various environmental conditions defined by abiotic parameters like soil type for plants (Lundberg *et al.* 2012; Chowdhury *et al.* 2019) or diet for mammals (Turnbaugh *et al.* 2009). However, they may also be influenced by biotic factors, for instance the presence of another organisms (e.g. intestinal parasites, Leung *et al.* 2018). This becomes crucial for external microbiota, as they are directly exposed to other macroorganisms (e.g. earthworms influencing rhizosphere microbiota, Braga *et al.* 2016).
Moreover, if the other macroorganism is also harboring an external microbiota, an interaction may
occur. This becomes critical when both hosts share the same habitat, like earthworms and plants
overlapping there rhizosphere and drilosphere in soils (Lavelle 2002; Kuzyakov & Blagodatskaya
2015), or rhizosphere and cuticle microbiota of nematodes that may shuttle pathogens (Elhady *et al.*2017).

In this study, we successfully evidenced a reciprocal influence of earthworms and plants on 344 their respective functional domains through the prism of microbiota, which was characterized by 345 two major findings. The first one was the systematic presence of responding OTUs in casts and 346 rhizospheres whose abundance was altered by the presence of the other macroorganism (Fig.4, 347 Tab.S2). These OTUs were related to known poaceae rhizospheric taxa (Bulgarelli et al. 2015) such 348 as members from Comamonadaceae (Betaproteobacteria) and Flavobacteriaceae (Bacteroidetes), 349 Sphingobacteriaceae but also others like (Alphaproteobacteria), Oxalobacteraceae 350 (Betaproteobacteria), Cytophagaceae and Flavobacteriaceae (Bacteroidetes), which are often 351 referenced as being of importance for plant health (Yin et al. 2013; Hassani et al. 2018; Schlatter et 352 al. 2019). These responding OTUs might be potential plant beneficial microbes stimulated by 353 earthworms, hence leading to the increased plant growth observed with earthworms (Tab.2). 354 However, their reciprocal presence and importance in the casts of earthworms remain unresolved. 355

The second one was the detection of 106 unique endemic OTUs that became detectable in 356 357 both casts and rhizospheres only when the two macroorganisms were present (Fig.5a), with a strong phylogenetic signal in favor of Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria (Fig.5b, Tab.S3), which can be 358 considered as a strong evidence for the presence of a core microbiota resulting from the interaction 359 360 between plants and earthworms. A first, the concept of microbial community coalescence (Rillig et al. 2015), introduced to define the forming of a novel microbial community (C) from the joining of 361 two previously separated ones (A and B), seemed relevant to understand our results. However this 362 notion does not fully match our situation, where there is a pre-existing soil environmental matrix 363

from which ecosystem engineers sample the same microbial pool. Our data suggest no convergence 364 between rhizosphere and cast community structures (Fig.3), as it could be expected from the 365 coalescence theory. Moreover, the Venn diagram clearly indicated that previously unseen and 366 367 unique OTUs were systematically detected in each microhabitat in the presence of the second macroorganism (cast: 42; rhizosphere: 27, Fig.5a), indicating a situation where A and B 368 respectively become A' and B' rather than a composite C. Consequently, our results are discussed 369 370 with a different meta-community framework accounting for the existence of an environmental 371 matrix: the source-sink model (Mouquet & Loreau 2003; Lindegren et al. 2014). We applied it for tracing respective contributions of several sources to the constitution of sinks, i.e. rhizosphere and 372 373 cast, when both macroorganism species are present (Fig.5c). This approach showed the major contribution of the bulk soil for casts (72%) and rhizospheres communities (65%). OTUs were also 374 found in the rhizosphere only when earthworms were present (14%) or in the casts only when plants 375 were present (9%), which could be due for example to the release of organic compounds by the 376 second macroorganism (e.g. root exudates by plants or mucus by earthworms). The processes 377 behind the crossed-contribution of these two major soil ecosystem engineers to the selection of a 378 specific core microbiota reflecting their interaction in all tested soils deserve more attention, as it 379 may have important functional and evolutionary implications that have yet to be found. The fact 380 that our plant biomass was increased under the presence of earthworms (a well-established 381 observation in meta-analyses; van Groenigen et al. 2014; Blouin et al. 2019), seems to indicate that 382 functional and adaptive mechanisms are at play. As plants and earthworms shared the same soils 383 and microbial dwellers over several hundred million years (Anderson et al. 2017; Harrison et al. 384 2018), it can be speculated that a certain degree of co-evolution occurred between these two 385 386 ecosystem engineers (Blouin, 2018), in which soil microbes may play a key role. Although the phylogenetic signal identified in this study brings a first element in this direction, additional work 387 would be needed to investigate it. As a perspective, it would be interesting to confirm that the plant 388 influence is systematic on the casts, while the influence of earthworms on the rhizosphere is soil-389

dependent, as observed in our results. The weak effect of the plant on cast microbiota in the clay soil, which was stronger in the loam and sand soils (Fig.3-4) could be interpreted as the result of adaptive mechanisms related to soil fertility/texture.

393

4.3 A network emerging from the core microbiota of plant-earthworm interactions

Going beyond the mere presence/absence aspects required to identify a core microbiota, we 394 introduced in this study a novel concept that we referred to as a core microbiota network, bringing 395 an additional level of information to characterize a core microbiota (Fig.6). To our knowledge, this 396 procedure has never been used to describe a core microbiota before. Using a custom approach, we 397 398 were able to detect the presence of such a core microbiota network, made of OTUs whose correlation patterns are only seen in both casts and rhizosphere, but not in the bulk of all tested 399 soils. Similarly, a significant phylogenetic signal in favor of Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria has 400 been identified (Tab.S3), thus supporting the hypothesis that a specific microbial assemblage 401 resulting from the plant-earthworm interaction occur in those microhabitats. This network was 402 organized into three clusters of OTUs depending on how they reacted to the mutual presence of 403 both macroorganisms in the three tested soils. It is worth noticing that two clusters displayed 404 opposite patterns that seemed to depend on the soil properties (texture and/or fertility), being i) the 405 diamond-shaped OTUs with gradual enhanced abundance from clay, loam up to sand soils, and ii) 406 circle-shaped OTUs with the converse enhanced trend from sand, loam up to clay soil (Fig.6, upper-407 right bar chart). Additional analysis would be required to confirm if soil properties are indeed 408 shaping this core microbiota network. The last cluster features OTUs whose abundance are always 409 increased in all soils (especially in the loam soil), thus representing a good basis for the definition of 410 potential microbial indicator of the plant-earthworm interaction. Altogether, these microbial 411 412 observations are questioning on the existence of something emerging from the plant-earthworm interaction in soils (e.g. creation of a novel microbial niche; Odling-Smee et al. 1996; Matthews et 413 al. 2014). 414

4.4 Effect of plant-earthworms interaction on abundances of bacteria, fungi and archaea

Our qPCR results show that the outcome of this interaction is not restricted to bacteria. 416 Indeed, earthworms stimulated fungi in loam soil rhizospheres as well as all microbial domains in 417 sand soil rhizospheres, while bacteria were promoted in sand soil casts by plants (Fig.7). These 418 419 results suggest that effects of multiple host interaction may impact the whole soil microbial community. Thus, microbial "hotspots" and "hot moments" (Kuzyakov & Blagodatskaya 2015) are 420 421 dependent on macroorganisms interaction and on soil properties (Fig.7). Hotspots and hot-moments 422 could be more frequent when benefiting from several energy sources (earthworm mucus and plant 423 exudates), especially in sand soil, the poorest in organic matter, in which ecosystem engineer activities could be a major determinant of soil function. Again, results indicate that effects of 424 425 macroorganisms on microbial abundances is soil dependent, questioning on the fact that soil properties might modulate the plant-earthworm interaction at the microbial level. 426

427 5. Conclusion

Altogether, results indicated a joint shaping of microbial communities by plants and 428 earthworms, correlating with an increase in plant biomass. This interaction resulted in the 429 emergence of i) core microbiota specific of plant-earthworm interaction across soils, revealed in 430 both casts and rhizospheres, as well as ii) a core microbiota network being specific of the casts and 431 rhizosphere whose OTUs clustering was indicative of soil type. These results are opening the path 432 for future research on the role of this core microbiota in plant-earthworm interactions. An 433 immediate perspective would be to better characterize this core microbiota of plant-earthworm 434 interaction through a functional approach, in accordance with the concept of functional core 435 microbiota (Lemanceau et al. 2017). 436

437 6. Acknowledgements

We thank Valérie Serve for technical help, Beatriz Decencière, Amandine Hansart and Florent
Massol of the CEREEP - Ecotron IDF/UMS CNRS/ENS 3194 for the sand soil, Sandrine Salmon of
the UMR 7179 / CNRS-MNHN for the clay soil and Christophe Montagnier of the UE Grandes

441 cultures / INRA for the loam soil. This work was supported by grants from the French national

442 program CNRS/INSU [EC2CO-Biohefect-MicrobiEn-AuxAzote]. Samuel Jacquiod was funded by

the University of Bourgogne Franche-Comté *via* the ISITE-BFC International Junior Fellowshipaward (AAP3:

445 RA19028.AEC.IS).

446

447 7. References

- Anderson, F.E., Williams, B.W., Horn, K.M., Erséus, C., Halanych, K.M., Santos, S.R. *et al.*(2017). Phylogenomic analyses of Crassiclitellata support major Northern and Southern
 Hemisphere clades and a Pangaean origin for earthworms. *BMC Evol Biol*, 17,123.
- Berry, D., Mahfoudh, K.B., Wagner, M., Loy, A. (2011). Barcoded Primers Used in Multiplex
 Amplicon Pyrosequencing Bias Amplification. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 77, 7846-7849.
- Blouin, M., Lavelle, P., Laffray, D. (2007). Drought stress in rice (Oryza sativa L.) is enhanced in
 the presence of the compacting earthworm *Millsonia anomala*. *Env Exp Bot*, 60, 352-359.
- Blouin, M., Barrêre, J., Meyer, N., Lartigue, S., Barot, S., Mathieu, J. (2019). Vermicompost
 Significantly Affects Plant Growth. A Meta-Analysis. *Agron Sustain Dev*, 39:34.
- Blouin, M. (2018). Chemical communication: An evidence for co-evolution between plants and soil
 organisms. *Appl Soil Ecol*, 123, 409-415.
- Bouché, M.B. (1972). Lombriciens de France. Ecologie et Systématique. Institut national de la
 recherche agronomique. *Annls zool-ecol animale*, 72, 1-671.
- Braga, L.P., Yoshiura, C.A., Borges, C.D., Horn, M.A., Brown, G.G., Drake, H.L. *et al.* (2016).
 Disentangling the influence of earthworms in sugarcane rhizosphere. *Sci Rep*, 6, 38923.
- Brown, G.G., Edwards, C.A., Brussaard, L. (2004). *in Earthworm ecology*. 2nd Edition. CRC Press
 (ed Edwards C. A.) Ch. 2, pp. 441.
- Bulgarelli, D., Garrido-Oter, R., Münch, P.C., Weiman, A., Dröge, J., Pan, Y., *et al.* (2015).
 Structure and function of the bacterial root microbiota in wild and domesticated barley. *Cell Host*
- 467 *Microbe*, 17, 392-403.
- Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K. *et al.*(2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods*, 7,
 335-336.
- 471 Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C.A., Turnbaugh, P.J. *et*472 *al.* (2011). Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample.
- 473 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 108, 4516-4522.

- 474 Chowdhury, S.P., Babin, D., Sandmann, M., Jacquiod, S., Sommermann, L., Sørensen, S.J. et al.
- 475 (2019). Effect of long term organic and mineral fertilization strategies on rhizosphere
 476 microbiota assemblage and performance of lettuce. *Environ Microbiol*, 21, 2426-2439.
- 477 Csardi, G., Nepusz, T. (2006). The igraph software package for complex network research.
 478 *InterJournal Complex Systems*, 1695, <u>http://igraph.org</u>.
- 479 Dixon, P. (2009). VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *J Veg Sci*, 14, doi:
 480 10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02228.x.
- de Menezes, A.B., Prendergast-Miller, M.T., Macdonald, L.M., Toscas, P., Baker, G., Farrell, M., et
- *al.* (2018). Earthworm-induced shifts in microbial diversity in soils with rare versus established
 invasive earthworm populations. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*, 94, doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiy051.
- 484 Edgar, R,C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics*,
 485 26, 2460-2461.
- 486 Elhady, A., Giné, A., Topalovic, O., Jacquiod, S., Sørensen, S.J., Sorribas, F.J., et al. (2017).
- 487 Microbiomes associated with infective stages of root-knot and lesion nematodes in soil. *PLoS*488 *ONE*, 12: e0177145.
- Hammer, T.J., Janzen, D.H., Hallwachs, W., Jaffe, S.P., Fierer, N. (2017). Caterpillars lack a
 resident gut microbiome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 114, 9641-9646.
- Hammer, T.J., Sanders, J.G., Fierer N. (2019). Not all animals need a microbiome. FEMS *Microbiol Lett*, 366, pii: fnz117.
- Harrison, C.J., Morris, J.L. (2018). The origin and early evolution of vascular plant shoots and
 leaves. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 373, pii: 20160496.
- Hassani, M.A., Durán, P., Hacquard, S. (2018). Microbial interactions within the plant holobiont. *Microbiome*. 6:58.
- Hoeffner, K., Monard, C., Santonja, M., Cluzeau, D. (2018). Feeding behaviour of epi-anecic
 earthworm species and their impacts on soil microbial communities. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 125, 1-9.
- 499 Jacquiod, S., Nunes, I., Brejnrod, A., Hansen, M.A., Holm, P.E., Johansen, A., et al. (2018). Long-
- term soil metal exposure impaired temporal variation in microbial metatranscriptomes and
 enriched active phages. *Microbiome*, 6, 223.
- Finkel, O.M., Castrillo, G., Herrera, P.S., Salas, G.I., Dangl, J.L. (2017). Understanding and
 exploiting plant beneficial microbes. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*, 38, 155-163.
- Jones, C.G., Lawton, J.H., Shachak, M. (1994). Organisms as Ecosystem Engineers. *Oikos*, 69, 373386.
- 506 Kembel, S.W., Cowan, P.D., Helmus, M.R., Cornwell, W.K., Morlon, H., Ackerly, D.D. (2010).
- 507 Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 1463–4.

- 508 Klindworth, A., Pruesse, E., Schweer, T., Peplies, J., Quast, C., Horn, M. et al. (2013). Evaluation
- of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencingbased diversity studies. *Nucleic Acids Res*, 41, e1.
- Kuzyakov, Y., Blagodatskaya, E. (2015). Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil: Concept &
 review. *Soil Biol Biochem*, 83, 184e199.
- Lavelle, P. (1978). Les vers de terre de la savane de Lamto (Côte d'Ivoire). Peuplements,
 populations et fonctions de l'écosystème. *Publ lab Zool ENS*, 12, 1301.
- Lavelle, P., Bignell, D., Lepage, M., Wolters, V., Pierre-Armand, R., Ineson, P. et al. (1997). Soil
- function in a changing world: the role of invertebrate ecosystem engineers. *Eur J Soil Biol*, 33,
 159-193.
- Lavelle, P. (2002). Functional domains in soils. *Ecol Res*, 17, 441-450.
- Lemanceau, P., Blouin, M., Muller, D., Moënne-Loccoz, Y. (2017). Let the Core Microbiota Be
 Functional. *Trends Plant Sci*, 22, 583-595.
- Leung, J.M., Graham, A.L., Knowles, S.C.L. (2018). Parasite-microbiota interactions with the
 vertebrate gut: synthesis through an ecological lens. *Front Microbiol*, 9, 843.
- Lindegren, M., Andersen, K.H., Casini, M., Neuenfeldt, S. (2014). A metacommunity perspective
 on source-sink dynamics and management: the Baltic Sea as a case study. *Ecol Appl*, 24, 18201832.
- Lundberg, D.S., Lebeis, S.L., Paredes, S.H., Yourstone, S., Gehring, J., Malfatti, S., *et al.* (2012).
 Defining the core *Arabidopsis thaliana* root microbiome. *Nature*, 488, 86-90.
- 528 MacDonald, D. Price, M.N., Goodrich, J., Nawrocki, E.P., DeSantis, T.Z., Probst, A., et al. (2012).
- An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary analyses
 of bacteria and archaea. *ISME J*, 6, 610-608.
- MacMurdie, P. J. and Holmes S. (2014). Waste not, want not: Why rarefying microbiome data is
 inadmissible. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 10, e1003531.
- Matthews, B.,De Meester, L., Jones, G.C., Ibelings, B.W., Bouma, T.J., Nuutinen, V. *et al.* (2014).
 Under niche construction: An operational bridge between ecology, evolution, and ecosystem
 science. *Ecol Monogr*, 84, 245-263.
- 536 Medina Sauza, R.M., Álvarez Jiménez, M., Delhal, A., Reverchon, F., Blouin, M., Guerrero
- Analco, J.A. *et al.* (2019). Earthworms building up soil microbiota, a review. *Front Environ Sci*,
 7, 81.
- Mouquet, N., Loreau, M. (2003). Community Patterns in Source Sink Metacommunities. *Am Nat*,
 162, 544-557.

- 541 Muyzer, G., de Waal, E.C., Uitterlinden, A.G. (1993). Profiling of complex microbial populations
- by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes
 coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, 59, 695-700.
- 544 Odling-Smee, F. J., Laland, K. N., Feldman, M. W. (1996). Niche construction. *Am, Nat*, 147, 641545 648.
- Ochsenreiter, T., Selezi, D., Quaiser, A., Bonch-Osmolovskaya, L., Schleper, C. (2003). Diversity
 and abundance of Crenarchaeota in terrestrial habitats studied by 16S RNA surveys and real time
 PCR. *Environ Microbiol*, 5, 787-797.
- 549 Pérez-Jaramillo, J.E., Mendes, R., Raaijmakers, J.M. (2016). Impact of plant domestication on
 550 rhizosphere microbiome assembly and functions. *Plant Mol Biol*, 90, 635-644.
- Philippot, L., Raaijmakers, J.M., Lemanceau, P., van der Putten, W.H. (2013). Going back to the
 roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 11:789-99.
- Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., Arkin, A.P. (2009). FastTree: computing large minimum evolution trees
 with profiles instead of a distance matrix. *Mol Biol Evol*, 26, 1641-1650.
- Puga-Freitas, R., Abbad, S., Gigon, A., Garnier-Zarli, E., Blouin, M. (2012). Control of Cultivable
 IAA-Producing Bacteria by the Plant Arabidopsis Thaliana and the Earthworm Aporrectodea
 Caliginosa. *Appl Environ Soil Sci*, ID 307415.
- Puga-Freitas, R., Barot, S., Taconnat, L., Renou, J.P., Blouin, M. (2012). Signal molecules mediate
 the impact of the earthworm Aporrectodea caliginosa on growth, development and defence of the
 plant Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS One* 7,e49504.
- R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/.
- Ramírez-Puebla, S.T., Servín-Garcidueñas, L.E., Jiménez-Marín, B., Bolaños, L.M., Rosenblueth,
 M., Martínez, J., *et al.* (2013). Gut and root microbiota commonalities. *Appl Environ Microbiol*,
 79, 2-9.
- Rillig, M.C., Antonovics, J., Caruso, T., Lehmann, A., Powell, J.R., Veresoglou, S.D., *et al.* (2015).
 Interchange of entire communities: microbial community coalescence. *Trends Ecol Evol*, 30, 470-476.
- 569 Ritchie, M.E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C.W., Shi, W., et al. (2015). limma powers
- differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res*,
 43, e47.
- Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile open
 source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*, 4, e2584.

- 574 Schlatter, D.C., Yin, C., Hulbert, S., Paulitz, T.C. (2019). Core rhizosphere microbiomes of dryland
- wheat are influenced by location and land-use history. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, pii: AEM.02135-19.
- Schöler, A., Jacquiod, S., Vestergaard, G., Schulz, S., Schloter, M. (2017). Analysis of soil
 microbial communities based on amplicon sequencing of marker genes. *Biol Fertil Soils*, 53,
 485.
- Shukla, S.P., Vogel, H., Heckel, D.G., Vilcinskas, A., Kaltenpoth, M. (2018). Burying beetles
 regulate the microbiome of carcasses and use it to transmit a core microbiota to their offspring. *Mol Ecol*, 27, 1980-1991.
- 583 Tkacz, A., Poole, P. (2015). Role of root microbiota in plant productivity. *J Exp Bot*, 66, 2167584 2175.
- Turnbaugh, P.J., Hamady, M., Yatsunenko, T., Cantarel, B.L., Duncan, A., Ley, R.E. *et al.* (2009).
 A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. *Nature*, 457, 480-484.
- Vandeputte, D., Tito, R.Y., Vanleeuwen, R., Falony, G., Raes, J. (2017). Practical considerations
 for large-scale gut microbiome studies. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*, 41, S154-S167.
- van Groenigen, J.W. Lubbers, I.M., Vos, H.M., Brown, G.G., De Deyn, G.B., van Groenigen, K.J.
 (2014). Earthworms increase plant production: a meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*, 4, 6365.
- Velasquez, E., Pelosi C., Brunet D., Grimaldi M., Martins M., Rendeiro A.C. *et al.* (2007). This ped
 is my ped: Visual separation and near infrared spectra allow determination of the origins of soil
 macroaggregates. *Pedobiologia*, 51, 75-87.
- 594 White, T.J. (1990). Amplification and Direct Sequencing of Fungal Ribosomal RNA Genes for 595 Phylogenetics. *PCR Protocols, a Guide to Methods and Applications*. Biochemical Education 19,
- 596 315-322. Edited by Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J, White T.J. 482 p. Academic Press,
- 597 London 1990. ISBN 0 12 372181 4.
- Yin C, Hulbert SH, Schroeder KL, Mavrodi O, Mavrodi D, Dhingra A, Schillinger WF, Paulitz TC.
 (2013). Role of bacterial communities in the natural suppression of *Rhizoctonia solani* bare patch
 disease of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 79:7428-38.
- 601 Zhang, J., Kobert, K., Flouri, T., Stamatakis, A. (2014). PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina Paired-
- 602 End reAd mergeR. *Bioinformatics*, 30, 614-620.
- 603

604 **Online content**

605 This research is supported by supporting material, available in the online version of the paper.

606 Authorship statement

Authors declared no conflicting nor competing interests, and approved the content of this work. 607 Contributions: SJ (analytical strategy, data analysis, manuscript writing), RPF (laboratory 608 experiment, manuscript editing), CMon (sequencing strategy), CMou (sequencing strategy), AS 609 (analytical strategy, data analysis, manuscript editing), AM (bioinformatic), LP (analytical strategy, 610 manuscript editing), MB (study conception, research direction, analytical strategy, manuscript 611 612 writing). Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to manuel.blouin@agrosupdijon.fr. 613

614 Data availability statement

615 Data that support the findings of this study have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra) 616 database (SRA, with the primary accession code "SUB5123378", and will be made automatically publically available either after publication or after 617 the embargo deadline. A temporary link may be provided upon request. Upon acceptance, the final 618 accession number will be added at the end of the article. The Rgui software and associated function 619 packages used for data analysis are all publically available (R Development Core Team. R. A 620 language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 621 Vienna: RC Team; 2017. http://www.R-project.org). 622

623 Figure legends

Fig.1: Experimental design. Three soils (sand, loam, clay) were used in four treatments (no macroorganisms, earthworms, plant, both) and replicated five times, giving 60 microcosms. Plant traits were recorded on each microcosms (n = 60). Soil microhabitats were sampled depending on the treatment, yielding 40 samples per soil type (20 bulks, 10 casts and 10 rhizospheres), representing a total of 120 samples (3 soils x 40 microhabitats). qPCR assay was done on all samples (n = 120) while 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was done only on four biological replicates, excluding the outlier-most sample based on plant traits (n = 96). **Fig.2:** Averaged phylogenetic overview of bacterial communities detected in bulk, cast and rhizosphere samples in all soils and treatments. Horizontal bar chart was obtained by averaging the relative abundances across the biological replicates for each microhabitat at phylum level (down to class level for Proteobacteria). Cluster dendrogram was established with the *Manhattan* distance and *complete* method.

Fig.3: Distance-based redundancy analysis showing the principal constrained coordinates of bacterial communities in each soil (weighted unifrac distances, 10.000 permutations). The four treatments are indicated by different colors, while microhabitats are indicated by different marker shape.

Fig.4: Responding OTUs reacting to the second macroorganisms in casts and rhizospheres (Fig.S2-Fig.S3 for details). Panel a and b are showing OTUs whose abundance was increased in rhizospheres and casts when earthworms and plants were added respectively (w = with; w/o =without; ew = earthworms; pl = plant). Panel c and d are showing OTUs whose abundance was decreased in rhizospheres and casts when earthworms and plants were added respectively

Fig.5: Core microbiota of the pant-earthworms interaction. Only OTUs found at least in 645 75% of the biological replicates (3/4) and in all soils were considered (n = 465). Panel a shows a 646 Venn diagram depicting the core microbiota shared between casts and rhizosphere when both 647 macroorganisms are present (in white, n = 106). Panel b shows the unweighted taxonomic 648 distribution of the 106 OTUs, mainly dominated by Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria. Panel c 649 shows the origin of OTUs in a source-sink plot from "sources" (a-f) going into "sinks" (rhizosphere 650 "g" and cast "h" with both macroorganisms). A hierarchical sorting rule was applied to attribute 651 OTU sources for each sink (g: {abchdef}; h: {acbgedf}). Percentages on arrows indicate source 652 contributions. 653

Fig.6: Core microbiota network of OTUs found in cast and rhizosphere of all soils, but not in the bulk. Only OTUs found in 50% of replicates in each soil were included. The strategy describing the network analysis is presented in supporting data (Fig.S5). Cluster membership (node shapes) is based on OTU standardized abundances against control bulk (upright bar chart, average z-score \pm standard error of the mean, n = 48). With both macroorganisms, diamond-shaped OTUs had increased abundance in sand soil but decreased in clay soil. A converse pattern was observed for circle-shaped OTUs. Triangle-shaped OTUs increased everywhere, especially in the loam soil.

Fig.7: qPCR estimation of bacterial/archaeal genetic markers (a and b, 16S rRNA gene) and fungi (c, ITS). Molecular copy counts were standardized against average and standard deviation values of reference bulk soils from the same treatment (z-score). Bar charts are representing z-score averages \pm standard error of the mean (n = 3-5). Significance between treatments were assessed by two-sample, one-sided Student tests (top horizontal lines between treatments). Significance relative to the reference bulk (zero-baseline) were assessed by one-sample, one-sided Student tests (indications above bars). Significance: *** p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05; . p > 0.1.

Jonual

Journal Pre-proo

669 Tables

670

Tab.1: Characteristics of the three contrasting soils used in this study to set microcosms.

Description	C org.	N tot.	pН	Clay	Loam	Sand	Name
Cambisoil with moor	14.7	1.2	5.2	6.9%	19.0%	74.1%	Sand soil
Cropping luvisoil	9.2	0.9	7.0	16.7%	56.2%	27.1%	Loam soil
Forest leptosoil	56.7	4.7	7.5	34.4%	39.2%	27.4%	Clay soil

671

Tab.2: Effect of earthworms on barley traits at harvest in each soil. Data were collected only 672 for the treatments that had plants, with or without earthworms (green and red treatments, Fig.1). 673 Three traits were measured (rows), including height (the longest leaf length, which was always the 674 highest in our case), dry shoot weight and leaf surface area. Statistical significance was tested using 675 two-sided, two-sample Student tests (p < 0.05) to compare average values (\pm standard error of the 676 mean) between the condition without (-) and with (+) earthworms. Lowercase letters indicate 677 statistically significant difference between tested average values ("a": highest, "b": lowest). All 678 tested conditions were set with five biological replicates. 679

Soil	Clay		Lo	am	Sand		
Earthwoms	2	+	-	+	-	+	
Height (mm)	34.80 ±0.26 ^b	37.10 ± 0.8^{a}	30.30 ±0.51	31.10 ± 0.6	32.10 ± 0.56^{b}	34.3 ±0.44 ^a	
Dry weight (g)	0.44 ± 0.01^{b}	0.60 ± 0.05^{a}	0.32 ±0.03 ^b	$0.370\pm\!0.03^a$	0.36 ± 0.01^{b}	0.44 ± 0.02^{a}	
Surface (mm ²)	47.59 ±2.88 ^b	54.20 ± 2.51^{a}	36.22 ±2.32	35.50 ±2.26	41.63 ±1.83 ^b	47.33 ±2.49 ^a	





Manhattan distance



Relative abundance (%)

- Alphaproteobacteria
- Betaproteobacteria
- Bacteroidetes
- Planctomycetes
- Firmicutes
- Gemmatimonadetes
- Cyanobacteria
- **TM7**



Journal Prevention



a. Rhizosphere increased OTUs

b. Casts increased OTUs



c. Rhizosphere decreased OTUs





Journal Pre-proof



Journal Pre-proof

Journal Pre-proof

Journal Pre-proof





A core microbiota of the plant-earthworm interaction conserved across soils

Samuel Jacquiod¹, Ruben Puga-Freitas², Aymé Spor¹, Arnaud Mounier¹, Cécile Monard³,

Christophe Mougel⁴, Laurent Philippot¹, Manuel Blouin^{1*}

¹Agroécologie, AgroSup Dijon, INRAE, Université Bourgogne, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Dijon, France
²UMR 7618 IEES-Paris (CNRS, INRAE, UPMC, IRD, UPEC), France
³UMR 6553 ECOBIO (CNRS, Université de Rennes 1), France
⁴UMR 1349 IGEPP (INRAE - Agrocampus Ouest - Université Rennes 1), France
*Corresponding author

Highlights:

- 1. A core microbiota of the plant-earthworm interaction was identified in all soils
- 2. The core microbiota is present in earthworm casts and plant rhizospheres
- 3. A core microbiota network specific of casts and rhizosphere was detected
- 4. Bacteria, fungi and archeae are affected by the plant-earthworm interaction
- 5. Soil type modulates plant-earthworm interaction on microbial communities
- 6. This core microbiota fosters revisiting aboveground-belowground interactions

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Journal Prerk