

Heterometallic Triply-Bridging Bis-Borylene Complexes

Ranjit Bag, Sourav Kar, Suvam Saha, Suman Gomosta, Beesam Raghavendra, Thierry Roisnel, Sundargopal Ghosh

▶ To cite this version:

Ranjit Bag, Sourav Kar, Suvam Saha, Suman Gomosta, Beesam Raghavendra, et al.. Heterometallic Triply-Bridging Bis-Borylene Complexes. Chemistry - An Asian Journal, 2020, 15 (9), pp.780-786. 10.1002/asia.201901593 . hal-02531303

HAL Id: hal-02531303 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-02531303v1

Submitted on 9 Apr 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Heterometallic Triply-Bridging *Bis-*Borylene Complexes

Ranjit Bag,^[a] Sourav Kar,^[a] Suvam Saha,^[a] Suman Gomosta,^[a] Beesam Raghavendra,^[a] Thierry Roisnel,^[b] and Sundargopal Ghosh^{*[a]}

Dedicated to Professor Goutam Kumar Lahiri on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract: Triply bridging bis-{hydrido(borylene)} and bis-borylene species of groups 6, 8 and 9 transition metals are reported. Mild thermolysis of [Fe2(CO)9] with an in situ produced intermediate, generated from the low temperature reaction of [Cp*WCl₄] (Cp* = η^{5} -C5Me5) and [LiBH4.THF] afforded triply-bridging bis-{hydrido (borylene)}, $[(\mu_3-BH)_2H_2\{Cp^*W(CO)_2\}_2\{Fe(CO)_2\}]$ (1) and *bis*-borylene, $[(\mu_3-BH)_2(Cp^*W(CO)_2)_2(Fe(CO)_3)]$ (2). The chemical bonding analyses of 1 show that the B-H interactions in bis-{hydrido (borylene)} species is stronger as compared to the M-H ones. Frontier molecular orbital analysis shows a significantly larger energy gap between HOMO-LUMO for 2 as compared to 1. In an attempt to synthesize the ruthenium analogue of 1, a similar reaction has been performed with [Ru₃(CO)₁₂]. Although we failed to get the bis-{hydrido(borylene)} species, the reaction afforded triply-bridging bis-borylene species $[(\mu_3-BH)_2\{WCp^*(CO)_2\}_2\{Ru(CO)_3\}]$ (2'), an analogue of 2. In search for the isolation of bridging bis-borylene species of Rh, we have treated [Co2(CO)8] with nido-[(RhCp*)₂(B₃H₇)], which afforded triply-bridging *bis*-borylene species $[(\mu_3-BH)_2(RhCp^*)_2Co_2(CO)_5(\mu-CO)]$ (3). All the compounds have been characterized by means of single-crystal X-ray diffraction study; ¹H, ¹¹B, ¹³C NMR spectroscopy; IR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry.

Introduction

The rapidly growing field of subvalent species¹ is witnessing a dramatic change with the entry of borylene (:BR) species because of their unique electronic structure and extensive reactivity.^{2,3} Although in 1960s Timms reported the synthesis of free borylene (:BF) in the gas phase, because of their highly reactive nature isolation of free borylenes are only achievable under drastic conditions as an intermediate.² Later, the borylene fragment has been stabilized and structurally characterized by trapping it in the transition metals coordination sphere.⁴ In 1998, Braunschweig *et al.*⁵ and Cowley *et al.*⁶ reported the first structurally characterized terminal borylene complexes. Since then, the search for different types of borylene complexes using various synthetic methods has been augmented immensely.

[a] R. Bag, S. Kar, S. Saha, S. Gomosta, Dr. B. Raghavendra, Prof. Dr. S. Ghosh Department of Chemistry Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India E-mail: sghosh@itm.ac.in
[b] Dr. T. Roisnel Univ Rennes, CNRS, Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR 6226, F-35000 Rennes, France

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Based upon the coordination nature of boron and the number of boron-metal bonds, borylene ligands can be classified as terminal, bridging, semibridging, and triply bridging (Chart 1).⁷⁻¹² Borylenes possess classical 2c-2e bonds, unlike metallaboranes, where M-B bonds are composed of nonclassical multicenter-2e bonds. Apart from their fascinating structural features, the chemistry associated with borylene has been enriching the field of organometallic and organic synthesis. For example, acid induced B–N bond break,^{3e} the borylene transfer to organic species to synthesize diboraheterocyclic and borirenes complexes,^{3e,13} metal-fragment addition,^{3e,14} cycloaddition and dimerization,¹⁵ nucleophilic substitution and addition,^{3e,13,16} and auxiliary ligand exchange.¹⁷ In addition, very recently Braunschweig *et al.* have shown nitrogen fixation using a dicoordinate borylene species.¹⁸



Chart 1. Different types of transition metal borylene complexes: hydridoborylene (**A**), hydrido(hydroborylene) (**B**), *bis*-borylene (**C**), homometallic triply bridged borylene (**D**), heterometallic triply bridged borylene (**E**) and heterometallic triply bridged *bis*-borylene (**F**).

Furthermore, after the isolation of triply bridging borylene species $[(\mu_3-BX)(RuCp^*)_3(\mu-H)_3]$ (X = H or CN) (**D**) followed by their fascinating chemistry, the synthesis of them using various transition metals became of interest.¹⁰ In that connection, a series of homo and hetero-metallic triply bridging borylene complexes has been reported by us.^{12,19} In addition, we have synthesized and structurally characterized triply bridging bisborylene [{(RuCp*)(μ -CO)(μ_3 -BH)}₂Fe₂(CO)₅] (**F**).¹² Although examples of structurally characterized triply-bridging borylene species are known, the synthesis strategies for the isolation of triply-bridging bis-borylene complexes met with little success.^{12,19a,d} Thus, we explored the chemistry of group 6 transition metals with boranes and metal carbonyls. Herein, we report the synthesis, structure and bonding of various type of triply bridging borylenes. The density functional theory (DFT)

calculations and electron counting rules provided further insight into the electronic structures and the bonding of these molecules.

Results and Discussion

Reactivity of [Cp*WCl₄] with boranes and metal carbonyls.

In the course of our continuing efforts to synthesize borylene species, we have been exploring numerous approaches using different metal carbonyl precursors. Using these methodologies recently, we have reported a series of borylene complexes. In order to explore this chemistry with group 6, we have performed thermolysis reaction of [Fe2(CO)9] with an in situ produced intermediate, generated from the reaction of [Cp*WCl4] and [LiBH₄·THF], which afforded triply-bridging bis-{hydrido(borylene)} metal complex (1) and *bis*-borylene complex (2) (Scheme 1). In a pursuit to synthesize the rutheniumborylene species, a similar reaction has been carried out with [Ru₃(CO)₁₂], which afforded triply-bridging *bis*-borylene complex (2'). All these species were isolated using thin layer chromatography (TLC) and characterized by means of various NMR spectroscopic; IR spectroscopic and mass spectrometric data. The characterizations of these compounds are described below.

Bis-{hydrido(borylene)}, 1. Compound **1** was isolated as orange solid in low yield. A downfield resonance at $\delta = 80.0$ ppm is observed in ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum. The molecular ion peak of **1** at *m/z* 888.0894 corresponds to $[C_{26}H_{34}O_6B_2W_2Fe]^+$. The peak at $\delta = 1.97$ ppm in ¹H NMR spectrum corresponds to Cp⁺ ligands. Further, the ¹H NMR spectrum exhibits resonances at -9.20 (W-*H*-B) and 9.31 (B-*H*_i) ppm. The IR spectroscopic data has also confirmed the existence of B-*H*_t and CO ligands. However, the mass and spectroscopic data were not sufficient to establish the exact core structure of this molecule.

An explicit explanation evaded us till an X-ray structure showed the molecular formula of **1** as $[(\mu_3\text{-}BH)_2H_2\{\text{Cp}^*W(\text{CO})_2\}_2\{\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_2\}]$. As represented in Figure 1, solid state structure of **1** signifies a trigonal bipyramid W₂FeB₂ core, in which the axial positions are occupied with two B atoms and the equatorial positions are occupied with one Fe and two W atoms. The W–W bond length of 3.0491(4) Å is marginally longer in **1** with respect to W-W bond distances of reported tugnstaboranes.²⁰ The Fe-B bond distances of **1** are slightly shorter as compared to Fe-B bond distances of reported metallaboranes.²¹ Although the bridging W–*H*–B hydrogens were not positioned from single crystal X-ray diffraction, their

existence was ascertained by the characteristic proton chemical shift.



Figure 1. Molecular structure and labeling diagram of 1. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): W2-W1 3.0491(4), Fe3-W1 2.7773(11), W2-Fe3 2.7893(11), W1-B51 2.287(9), W1-B52 2.310(8), Fe3-B52 2.042(9), Fe3-B51 2.045(8); W1-Fe3-W2 66.43(2), W2-B52-W1 83.1(3), Fe3-B51-W1 79.5(3).

Based on the available literature (Chart 1), compound 1 can be considered as bis-{hydrido(borylene)} species. In 2013, Braunschweig and co-workers reported a new class of borylene called hydridoborylene (A).7 Recently, Tobita and co-workers reported the synthesis of W-hydrido(hydroborylene) species (B).8 Compound 1 may be considered as the newest and unique entry to this series. The presence of a broad down-field ¹¹B chemical shift for 1 is comparable to aminoborylene species [W(CO)₅BN(SiMe₃)₂] (86.6 ppm);⁶ hydridoborylene complexes $[(OC)_2MCp\{HBN(SiMe_3)_2\}]$ ((M = Cr (70.7 ppm); Mo (74.4 ppm)) and W (81.6 ppm));⁷ and hydrido(hydroborylene) complexes [WCp*(CO)₂(H)(BH·NHC)] (NHC = ^{Me}lⁱPr (74.4 ppm); ^{Me}lMe (74.0 ppm)).⁸ The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** exhibits a broad peak at δ = -9.20 ppm, which may be due to the existence of hydrido ligand. The presence of the bridging W-H-B interaction has been indicated by broadening of this peak.

Computational studies have been carried out at the b3lyp/def2-tzvp level of theory to analyze the bonding scenario of $1.^{22}$ The HOMO-LUMO energy gap of 2.894 eV indirectly supports the thermodynamic stability of **1**. The NBO calculations of **1** illustrate that the B-H bonding interaction is significantly stronger as compared to the W-H interaction in W-H-B. In addition, the wiberg bond indices (WBI) shows that WBI values for B-H interaction (0.46-0.53) is higher compared to that of W-H



Scheme 1. Synthesis of triply-bridging bis-{hydrido(borylene)} metal complex (1) and bis-borylene complexes (2 and 2').

interaction (0.35-0.41). The calculated ¹H NMR further supported the W-H-B interaction.²³ Further, to check bonding nature of Fe-B bonds of **1**, we have looked at the Laplacian electron density plot along the B53–Fe3–B51 plane which displayed bond critical points (bcp) between all these Fe-B bonds (Figure S23(a)). A charge concentrated area along the Fe-B bonds has been found with high covalent character, which was also revealed by a negative energy density value [H(r) = -0.055] and average electron density (pr = 0.123) at BCPs of Fe-B bonds. All these bcp and atoms (one Fe and two B) are connected by bond paths (Figure S23(a)).



Figure 2. (a) and (b) showed natural natural bonding orbital (NBOs) obtained from NBO analysis showing W-H-B bonding.

Triply-bridging *bis*-borylene complexes, 2 and 2'. As shown in Scheme 1, triply-bridging *bis*-borylene complex 2 was isolated along with *bis*-{hydrido(borylene)}, **1**. A molecular ion peak of 2, observed at *m/z* 914.0702, that suggests the composition of $[C_{27}H_{32}O_7B_2W_2Fe]^+$. Although the ¹H NMR spectrum exhibits resonances at δ = 2.01 and 10.20 ppm that correspond to Cp^{*} and terminal B-*H_t* protons respectively, there were no up-field resonances. A down-field resonance at δ = 102.8 ppm is observed in ¹¹B NMR. All these spectroscopic data along with mass spectrometric data suggest **2** as a *bis*-borylene species, which was further confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis.

The X-ray structure of **2**, represented in Figure 3(top), corroborated well with all the spectroscopic data. The structure of **2** can also be viewed as a trigonal bipyramid geometry where the trimetallic triangular equatorial positions are occupied by two tungsten atoms and one iron atom and two B-H units are linked with three metal atoms in μ_3 -fashion. The W-W bond length of **2** (3.0516(7) Å) is comparable with that of **1**. The W-Fe bond distances of 2.785(2) Å and 2.814(2) Å of **2** are consistent with the W-Fe bond lengths of **1**.

In an effort to isolate the Ru analogue of **1**, we performed the same reaction in presence of [Ru₃(CO)₁₂]. The reaction yielded brown solid **2'**. The chemical shift pattern of **2'** is almost similar to that of **2** in ¹H NMR. The ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum displays a down-field peak at δ = 102.4 ppm. A peak at *m*/z 961.0460 is observed in the mass spectrum of **2'** that corresponds to [C₂₇H₃₂O₇B₂W₂Ru+H]⁺. The X-ray structures of **2'**, presented in Figure 3(bottom), confirmed the structural interpretations which have been done based on the spectroscopic results. The core geometry of **2'** is alike to that of **2**, the presence of Ru instead of Fe is the only difference. The Ru-B bond distances of **2'** are comparable with the reported Ru-B bond distances.²⁴ The Ru-W bond lengths of 2.923(3) Å and 2.897(3) Å of **2'** are consistent with the W-Ru bond distances (2.986(3) Å and 2.981(3) Å) of [HRu₃WCp(CO)₁₀(PPh₃)BH].²⁵



Figure 3. Molecular structures and labeling diagrams of 2 (top) and 2' (bottom). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) 2: W1-W2 3.0516(7), Fe1-W1 2.814(2), Fe1-W2 2.785(2), B1-Fe1 2.03(2), B1-W2 2.307(19); B1-Fe1-B2 89.8(7). 2': W1-W2 3.0585(15), W1-B1 2.18(4), W1-B2 2.23(3), W2-Ru12.923(3), Ru1-B2 2.18(3), W2-B1 2.37(4), B2-W2 2.37(3); B1-W1-Ru1 8.5.9(14).

Electronic structure comparison of 1, 2 and 2'. The molecular structures of **1**, **2** and **2'** are very similar. The only difference between these three 6 skeletal electron pairs $(SEP)^{26.27}$ cores is the absence of two W-*H*-B protons in **2** and **2'** that is balanced by an extra CO ligand. Seeing **1** and **2** as 52 cve (cluster valance electron) with trigonal bipyramid geometry (M₃B₂ core), one can constructs **1** by removing one CO and adding two hydrogens into **2**. The MOS comparison of **1** and **2** displays a larger gap between HOMO and LUMO for **2** (Table S1), which suggests a higher thermodynamic stability for **2** compared to **1**. Whereas, in case of triply bridging borylene species, moving from Fe (**2**) to Ru (**2'**), the HOMO-LUMO energy gap increases (Table S1). Furthermore, the HOMO, HOMO-1, HOMO-5 and HOMO-13 of **2** show considerable overlap between B atom, two W and one Fe atom that indicate that {BH} unit is bridged



Figure 4. Selected frontier MOs of 2 showing $\mu\!$ and one Fe atoms.

between two W and one Fe atom in μ_3 -fashion (Figures 4 and S21). Furthermore, the interactions have also been confirmed from average WBI values for W-B (0.58) and Fe-B (0.54).

Reactivity of nido-{Cp*Rh₂(B₃H₇)} with [Co₂(CO)₈].

In order to extend this chemistry with other transition metals, the thermolysis reaction of *nido*- $[(Cp^*Rh)_2(B_3H_7)]^{28}$ with $[Co_2(CO)_8]$ has been performed (Scheme 2). The reaction afforded yellow solid **3** and known *pileo*- $[(Cp^*Rh)_3B_4H_4]^{29}$. The molecular ion peak was observed at *m/z* 757.9167 in the mass spectrum. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3** indicates single Cp* environment appearing at $\delta = 1.81$ ppm. In addition, a broad peak at $\delta = 8.73$ ppm is detected in ¹H NMR spectroscopy confirmed the presence of CO and Cp* ligands. ¹¹B NMR spectrum of **3** displays a downfield peak at $\delta = 125.5$ ppm. Although a higher molecular-ion peak and NMR spectra of **3** specify the formation of borylene species, to predict the structure of **3** these spectroscopic data were not sufficient. To get the structure single crystal X-ray analysis of **3** has been carried out.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of triply bridging bis-borylene complex, 3.

The X-ray analysis of **3** displays the molecular formula as $[(\mu_3 - BH)_2(Cp^*Rh)_2Co_2(CO)_5(\mu-CO)]$. The molecular structure of **3** comprises of a Rh₂Co₂ tetrahedron, in which Rh1-Co1-Rh2 and Rh1-Co2-Rh2 faces are symmetrically bridged in μ_3 -fashion by borylene ligand (BH) (Figure 5). The core structure of **3** alike of our previously reported triply bridging *bis*-borylene, [{(Cp^*Ru)($\mu_3 - BH$)(μ -CO)}₂Fe₂(CO)₅].¹² On the other hand, compound **3** can be



kFigure 5. Molecular structures and labeling diagrams of **3**. For clarity the CO ligands are omitted. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) **3**: Rh2-Rh1 2.6285(16), B2-Rh1 2.04(2), B1-Rh1 2.07(2), Rh1-Co1 2.608(2), Co1-Co2 2.372(3), Co1-B2 1.98(2), Co2-B1 1.99(2); Co2-B1-Rh2 78.6(8).

viewed as bicapped tetradhedral, having 6 SEP. The Rh-Rh bond length of 2.6285(16) Å in **3** is significantly shorter as compared to Rh-Rh bond distances of *nido*-[(Cp*Rh)₂(B₃H₇)]²⁷ (2.8491(4) Å) and *pileo*-[(Cp*Rh)₃B₄H₄]²⁹ (ca. 2.667 Å); however, comparable with [(Cp*Rh)₂(μ -CO)(μ ₃-BH)(Mo(CO)₅]^{19d} (2.6339 (3) Å). The average Rh-B and B-Co bond lengths of 2.055 Å and 1.985 Å respectively lie in the range of those reported transition-metal borylene complexes.^{19a,d}

Although we do not have any direct evidence in support of the formation of these triply-bridging borylene, or bicapped tetrahedron species, **1-3**, the existence of heterometallic octahedral species $[Co(CO)_3(Cp^*Rh)_2B_3H_3CI]$, produced from the reaction of *nido*- $[(Cp^*Rh)_2(B_3H_6CI)]$ and $[Co_2(CO)_8]$,³⁰ provides some hints for their formation. Thus, we strongly believe that the formation of **3** might have occurred through a similar intermediate $[Co(CO)_3(Cp^*Rh)_2B_3H_4]$, which on reaction with one cobalt carbonyl fragment yielded **3** with the replacement of one of the BH vertices of the intermediate.

Conclusions

In summary, we have isolated and structurally characterized some unique heterometallic *bis*-{hydrido(borylene)} species **1** and triply-bridging *bis*-borylene complexes **2** and **2'**. The density functional theory calculations and electron counting rules provided salient insight into the electronic structures and bonding of

these novel molecules. Furthermore, theoretical studies show that addition of one CO and removal of two bridging H atoms from *bis*-{hydrido(borylene)} (1), generated triply-bridging *bis*borylene complex (2), which has significantly higher HOMO-LUMO energy gap. In addition, we have synthesized 6 SEP triply-bridging *bis*-borylene species 3 which contain a tetrahedral core, capped by two borylene ligands.

Experimental Section

General Procedures and Instrumentation. Employing glove box or standard Schlenk line techniques, all processes were carried out under dry argon. Solvents were distilled using sodium/benzophenone. Using three freeze-pump-thaw cycles CDCl3 was degassed. [Cp*WCl4]31 and [Cp*RhCl2]232 were prepared following literature procedures, while [LiBH₄·THF], [Ru₃(CO)₁₂], [Co₂(CO)₈] and [Fe₂(CO)₉] were obtained commercially. ¹¹B{¹H} NMR external reference, [Bu₄N(B₃H₈)] was prepared following literature technique.33 Chromatography was performed using 250 µm aluminium supported silica gel Merck TLC sheets. Utilizing Bruker FT-NMR (500 MHz) spectrometers NMR spectra were recorded. The residual solvent protons have been employed as reference (δ , ppm, CDCl₃, 7.26; d_6 -benzene, 7.16), while for the ¹¹B{¹H} NMR a sealed tube holding [Bu₄N(B₃H₈)] in d₆-benzene (δ_B , ppm, -30.07) had been employed as an external reference. Utilizing a FT/IR-1400 (JASCO) spectrometer IR spectra were recorded. Using an Agilent 6545 Q-TOF LC/MS instrument ESI-MS spectra were recorded.

Synthesis of 1 and 2: In a moisture free Schlenk tube [Cp*WCl₄], (0.1 g, 0.21 mmol) was taken in 10 mL of toluene, followed by addition of 5-fold excess of [LiBH₄-THF] (0.7 mL) at -78 °C. It was brought to room

temperature by stirring for 1 h. After removing toluene, extraction was carried out using hexane. Under vacuo the brown hexane filtrate was dried. 10 mL of THF was added to it in presence of $[Fe_2(CO)_9]$ and heated at 60 °C for 16 h. After that solvent was removed and extraction was done using hexane. Using TLC plates the residue was chromatographed. Elution with a CH₂Cl₂/hexane (20:80 v/v) afforded orange 1 (0.010 g, 11 %) and yellow **2** (0.020 g, 21 %) along with known [(Cp*W)₂B₄H₈Fe(CO)₃]³⁴ (0.010 g, 12 %) and [(Cp*W)₂B₅H₉]³⁵ (0.020 g, 27 %).

1: MS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for [M]⁺ 888.0933, found 888.0894; ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 80.0 ppm (s, 2B); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 9.31 (br, 2H, B-Ht), 1.97 (s, 30H, C₅Me₅), -9.20 ppm (br, 2H, W-H-B); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 99.4 (*C*₅Me₅), 10.4 ppm (C₅Me₅); IR (CH₂Cl₂, cm⁻¹): 2498 (w, BHt), 2032, 1992, 1941, 1871 (CO).

2: MS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for [M]⁺ 914.0730, found 914.0702; ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 102.8 ppm (s, 2B); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 10.20 (br, 2H, B-H_t), 2.01 ppm (s, 30H, C₅Me₅); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (125 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 99.9 (*C*₅Me₅), 10.2 ppm (*C*₅Me₅); IR (CH₂Cl₂, cm⁻¹): 2003, 1942 (CO).

Synthesis of 2': In a solution of [Cp*WCla], (0.1 g, 0.21 mmol) of toluene (10 mL) 5-fold excess of [LiBH₄·THF] (0.7 mL) was added at -78 °C and allowed to come to room temperature by stirring for 1 h. After that toluene was removed, extraction was carried out into hexane using Celite. The brown filtrate was dried. It was taken in THF(10 mL) along with [Ru₃(CO)₁₂], followed by heating at 60 °C for 16 h. After that solvent was removed and extraction was done using hexane. Using TLC plates the residue was chromatographed. Elution with a CH₂Cl₂/hexane (20:80 v/v) afforded yellow **2'** (0.013 g, 13 %) along with known [{WCp*(CO)₂}{Ru(CO)₃(μ -B)}²(μ -H)]³⁶ (0.020 g, 17 %) and [{WCp*(CO)₂}{Ru(CO)₃}(μ (μ -B)]³⁷(0.031 g, 13 %).

2': MS (ESI⁺): *m*/z calculated for [M+H]⁺ 961.0511, found 961.0460; ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 102.4 ppm (s, 2B); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 10.60 (br, 2H, B*H*₃), 2.03 ppm (s, 30H, C₅*Me*₅), ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 99.7 (s, C₅Me₅), 10.3 ppm (s, C₅*Me*₅), IR (CH₂Cl₂, cm⁻¹): 2518(w, BH₃), 2024, 1945, 1922 (CO).

Synthesis of 3: To the solution of *nido*-[(Cp*Rh)₂(B₃H₇)] (0.15 g, 0.29 mmol) in 15 mL of hexane 2 equiv. of $[Co_2(CO)_8]$ (0.198g, 0.58 mmol) was added at room temerature. It was left to stir for additional 16 h at 60 °C. After removal of solvent, extraction was carried out using hexane through celite. After that it was concentrated and placed at -40 °C for removing [Co₄(CO)₁₂]. Using TLC plates the residue was chromatographed. Elution with a CH₂Cl₂/hexane (20:80 v/v) afforded yellow **3** (0.044 g, 20 %) and known *pileo*-[(Cp*Rh)₃B₄H₄]²⁹ (0.017 g, 12 %).

3: MS (ESI⁺): *m/z* calculated for [M]⁺ 757.9221, found 757.9167; ¹¹B{¹H} NMR (160 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 125.5 ppm (s, 2B); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 8.73 (br, 2H, B*H*), 1.81 ppm (s, 30H, C₅*Me*₅), ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, 22 °C, CDCl₃): δ = 211.0 (s, CO), 100.9 (s, *C*₅Me₅), 10.3 ppm (s, C₅*Me*₅).

X-ray structure determination. Using slow diffusion method crystals of 1, 2, 2' and 3 were grown from CH₂Cl₂/hexane solution. The crystal data for 1 was collected and integrated utilizing Bruker D8 Venture AXS diffractometer, for 2 using Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer, for 2' using Oxford Diffraction Super Nova single crystal diffractometer, for 3 utilizing Bruker axs kappa apex3 CMOS Diffractometer, facilitated with graphite monochromated MoKa ($\lambda = 0.71078$ Å) radiation at 150 K (for 1) and 296 K (for 2, 2' and 3), respectively. Employing SADABS³⁷ program multi-scan absorption correction has been done. Using SHELXS-97 or SIR92³⁸ all the structures has been solved by heavy atom methods. Whereas, the refinement is done using SHELXL-2014³⁹. CCDC-1908922

(1), 1953765 (2), 1908926 (2') and 1964900 (3) contain crystallographic data, which are accessible at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.

Crystal data of 1: C₂₆H₃₄B₂O₆FeW₂, M_r = 887.72, Monoclinic, P21/n, a = 8.5096(8) Å, b = 22.094(2) Å, c = 15.1025(15) Å, a = 90°, β = 90.451(4), γ = 90°, V = 2839.4(5) Å³, Z = 4, ρ_{calcd} = 2.077 g/cm³, F(000) = 1688, μ = 8.624 mm⁻¹, R_1 = 0.0436, wR_2 = 0.1085, 6498 independent reflections [20≤55.03°] and 326 parameters.

Crystal data of 2: C₂₇H₃₀B₂FeO₇W₂, *M*_r = 911.68, Orthorhombic, *Fdd2*, *a* = 15.9987(4) Å, *b* = 79.603(2) Å, *c* = 9.1771(2) Å, *α* = 90°, *β* = 90°, *γ* = 90°, *V* = 11687.5(5) Å³, *Z* = 16, *ρ*_{calcd} = 2.072 g/cm³, *F*(000) = 6912, *μ* = 8.386 mm⁻¹, *R*₁ = 0.0367, *wR*₂ = 0.0634, 4946 independent reflections [20≤49.992°] and 362 parameters.

Crystal data of 2': C₂₇H₃₂B₂O₇RuW₂, M_r = 958.91, Orthorhombic, *Fdd2*, *a* = 16.2626(6), *b* = 79.337(2) Å, *c* = 9.2643(4) Å, *α* = 90°, *β* = 90°, *γ* = 90°, *V* = 11953.0(8) Å³, *Z* = 16, ρ_{calcd} = 2.131 g/cm³, *F*(000) = 7232, *μ* = 8.220 mm⁻¹, R_1 = 0.0688, wR_2 = 0.1800, 4579 independent reflections [20≤49.996°] and 362 parameters.

Crystal data of 3: C₂₅H₃₂B₂Co₂O₅Rh₂, M_r = 757.80, Monoclinic, Cc, a = 8.8032(5), b = 37.947(2) Å, c = 25.8258(14) Å, α = 90°, β = 98.6300(10)°, γ = 90°, V = 8529.4(8) Å³, Z = 12, ρ_{cakcd} = 1.770 g/cm³, F(000) = 4512, μ = 2.319 mm⁻¹, R_1 = 0.0602, wR_2 = 0.0864, 14340 independent reflections [20≤49.508°] and 962 parameters.

Computational details. Gaussian 0940 program was used for the optimization of all the molecules by means of b3lyp⁴¹ functional along with def2-tzvp basis set from EMSL⁴² Library. Calculations were done on Cp analogue compounds to avoid extra computing time. Initiating from the X-ray coordinates, optimizations were done in gaseous state. Employing same level of theory frequency calculations were completed. The GIAO43 method was employed for the calculations of ¹¹B and ¹H chemical shifts. The b3lyp/def2-tzvp optimized structures has been used for calculating the NMR chemical shifts by means of the hybrid B3LYP and def2-tzvp. With respect to $B_2H_6,$ the $^{11}B\{^1H\}$ NMR chemical shifts has been computed (shielding constant 84.3 ppm) and changed to [BF₃·OEt₂] scale utilizing the experimental δ ⁽¹¹B) value of B₂H₆ (16.6 ppm). Whereas, for computing ¹H NMR chemical shift, TMS(SiMe₄) has been taken as the internal standard (shielding constant 31.92 ppm). Employing NBO partitioning scheme, natural bonding analyses have been accomplished.44 Utilizing Multiwfn V.3.4 package45 the QTAIM analysis46 was executed. Using the visualization programs, such as ${\rm Gaussview^{47}}$ and Chemcraft⁴⁸ optimized structures and orbital graphics have been produced.

Acknowledgements

Council of Scientific & Industrial Research, New Delhi, India (Project 01(2939)/18/emr-ii), is gratefully acknowledged for generous support. R. B., S. K., S. G. and B. R. thank IIT Madras for research fellowships. S. S. thanks DST, India for the research fellowship. Computational facilities, IIT Madras, is gratefully acknowledged.

Keywords: Borylene • metallaborane • tungsten • metal carbonyl • hydrido

 a) D. Bourissou, O. Guerret, F. P. Gabbaï, G. Bertrand, *Chem. Rev.* 2000, 100, 39-91; b) *Carbene Chemistry: From Fleeting Intermediates* to Powerful Reagents (Ed.: G. Bertrand), Fontis Media & Marcel Dekker, NewYork, 2002; c) *Reactive Intermediate Chemistry (Eds.: R. A. Moss, M. S. Platz, J. J. Maitland*), Wiley, New York, 2004.

- a) P. L. Timms, J. Am. Chem. Soc.1967, 89, 1629–1632; b) P. L.
 Timms, Acc. Chem. Res. 1973, 6, 118–123; c) P. L. Timms, J. Am.
 Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4585–4589.
- a) H. F. Bettinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2534–2535; b) B. P. Lavrov, M. Osiac, A. V. Pipa, J. Roepcke, *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 2003, 12, 576–589; c) B. Pachaly, R. West, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 454–455; Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 444–445. d) R. Kinjo, B. Donnadieu, M. A. Celik, G. Frenking, G. Bertrand, *Science* 2011, 333, 610–613; e) H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, A. Schneider, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110*, 3924–3957; f) S. Aldridge, D. L. Coombs, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2004, 248, 535-559.
- [4] a) H. Braunschweig, R. Dewhurst, V. H. Gessner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, *42*, 3197-3208; b) H. Braunschweig, M. Colling, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2001, *223*, 1–51.
- [5] H. Braunschweig, C. Kollann, U. Englert, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3179–3180.
- [6] A. H. Cowley, V. Lomelí, A. Voigh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 6401–6402.
- J. Bauer, S. Bertsch, H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, K. Ferkinghoff, C. Hörl, K. Kraft, K. Radacki, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 17608–17612.
- [8] Z. Hui, T. Watanabe, H. Tobita, *Organometallics* 2017, *36*, 4816–4824.
 [9] H. Braunschweig, M. Forster, K. Radacki, *Angew. Chem.* 2006, *118*,
- 8036–8038; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2132–2134.
 [10] R. Okamura, K. Tada, K. Matsubara, M. Oshima, H. Suzuki,
- Organometallics 2001, 20, 4772-4774. [11] H. Braunschweig, C. Burschka, M. Burzler, S. Metz, K. Radacki, Angew.
- [11] R. Braunschweig, C. Burschka, M. Burzler, S. Metz, K. Radacki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4352–4355; Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 4458– 4461.
- [12] K. Geetharani, S. K. Bose, B. Varghese, S. Ghosh, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, 16, 11357–11366.
- H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, K. Kraft, K. Radacki, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 5951–5954; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5837–5840.
- [14] H. Braunschweig, D. Rais, K. Uttinger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3763-3766.
- a) H. Braunschweig, M. Colling, C. Hu, K. Radacki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1359-1361; b) H. Braunschweig, M. Burzler, K. Radacki, F. Seeler, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 8071-8073.
- a) D. L. Coombs, S. Aldridge, C. Jones, D. J. Willock, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6356-6357; b) D. L. Kays, J. K. Day, S. Aldridge, R. W. Harrington, W. Clegg, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3513-3516; c)
 D. L. Kays, A. Rossin, J. K. Day, L.-L. Ooi, S. Aldridge, Dalton Trans. 2006, 399-410.
- [17] B. Blank, M. Colling-Hendelkens, C. Kollann, K. Radacki, D. Rais, K. Uttinger, G. R. Whittell, H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2007, *13*, 4770-4781.
- [18] M.-A. Légaré, G. Bélanger-Chabot, R. D. Dewhurst, E. Welz, I. Krummenacher, B. Engels, H. Braunschweig, *Science* 2018, 359, 896– 900.
- [19] a) D. Sharmila, K.Yuvaraj, S. K. Barik, D. K. Roy, K. K. Chakrahari, R. Ramalakshmi, B. Mondal, B. Varghese, S. Ghosh, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 15219-15225; b) K. Yuvaraj, D. K. Roy, K. Geetharani, B. Mondal, V. P. Anju, P. Shankhari, V. Ramkumar and S. Ghosh, *Organometallics*. 2013, *32*, 2705-2712; c) D. Sharmila, B. Mondal, R. Ramalakshmi, S. Kundu, B. Varghese, S. Ghosh, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, *21*, 5074-5083; d) K. Yuvaraj, M. Bhattacharyya, R. Prakash, V. Ramkumar, S. Ghosh, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 8889-8896. e) M. Bhattacharyya, R. Prakash, R. Jagan, S. Ghosh, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2018, *866*, 79-86.
- [20] a) S. Sahoo, K. H. K. Reddy, R. S. Dhayal, S. M. Mobin, V. Ramkumar, E. D. Jemmis, S. Ghosh, *Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 48, 6509-6516. b) B. S. Krishnamoorthy, A. Thakur, K. K. V. Chakrahari, S. K. Bose, P. Hamon, T. Roisnel, S. Kahlal, S. Ghosh, J-F. Halet, *Inorg. Chem.* 2012, 51, 10375-10383.
- [21] a) S. K. Bose, K. Geetharani, B. Varghese, S. Ghosh, *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, *50*, 2445-2449; b) S. K. Bose, K. Geetharani, S. Sahoo, K. H. K. Reddy, B. Varghese, E. D. Jemmis, S. Ghosh, *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, *50*, 9414-9422; c) K. Geetharani, S. K. Bose, G. Pramanik, T. K. Saha, V. Ramkumar, S. Ghosh., *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 1483-1487.
- [22] Although theoretically calculated bond distances of 1 are comparable with X-ray determined structure bond distances, the W-W bond

distance is significantly longer in optimized structure. The calculated ^{11}B NMR of 1 shows two peaks at δ = 83.2 and 57.8 ppm.

- [23] The calculated ¹H NMR shows two peaks at δ = -5.74 and -5.87 ppm in the upfield region.
- [24] a) S. K. Bose, D. K. Roy, P. Shankhari, K. Yuvaraj, B. Mondal, A. Sikder, S. Ghosh, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 2337-2343; b) K. Geetharani, S. K. Bose, S. Sahoo, B. Varghese, S. M. Mobin, S. Ghosh, *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, *50*, 5824-5832. c) S. Ghosh, B. C. Noll, T. P. Fehlner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, *44*, 6568–6571. d) D. K. Roy, B. Mondal, R. S. Anju, S. Ghosh, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, *21*, 3640-3648.
- [25] C. E. Housecroft, D. M. Nixon, A. L. Rheingold, J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 609, 89-94.
- [26] a) K. Wade, J. Chem. Soc. D 1971, 0, 792–793; b) K. Wade, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem. 1976, 18, 1–66; c) M. A. Fox, K. Wade, Pure Appl. Chem. 2003, 75, 1315–1323.
- [27] For 1, 2{BH}, 2H, 2{Cp*W(CO)₂} and {Fe(CO)₂} contribute 2, 1, 3 and 0 SEP respectively. Whereas for 2 and 2', 2{BH}, 2{Cp*W(CO)₂} and {M(CO)₃} contribute 2, 3 and 1 SEP respectively.
- [28] X. Lei, M. Shang, T. P. Fehlner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 1275-1287.
- [29] H. Yan, A. M. Beatty, T. P. Fehlner, Organometallics 2002, 21, 5029-5037.
- [30] X. Lei, M. Shang, T. P. Fehlner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 2686-2687.
- [31] M. L. H. Green, J. D. Hubert, P. Mountford, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1990, 3793–3800.
- [32] U. Koelle, J. Kossakowski, Inorg. Synth. 1992, 29, 225-228.
- [33] G. E. Ryschkewitsch, K. C. Nainan, *Inorg. Synth.* **1974**, *15*, 113–114.
 [34] R. Bag, S. Saha, R. Borthakur, B. Mondal, T. Roisnel, V. Dorcet, J-F.
- Halet, S. Ghosh, *Inorganics* **2019**, 7, 27. [35] S. Aldridge, M. Shang, T. P. Fehlner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*,
- [35] S. Aldridge, M. Shang, T. P. Peniner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 2586–2598.
- [36] R. Bag, B. Mondal, K. Bakthavachalam, T. Roisnel, S. Ghosh, Pure Appl. Chem. 2018, 90, 665–675.
- [37] APEX2, SAINT, and SADABS; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2004.
 [38] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-97; University of Göttingen: Göttingen,
- Germany, 1997.
- [39] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem. 2015, C71, 3-8.
- [40] M. J. Frisch, et al. Gaussian 09; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2010. (for a detailed reference, see the Supporting Information)
- [41] a) A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys.* **1988**, *38*, 3098–3100; b) C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1988**, *37*, 785–789; c) A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5651.
- [42] EMSL Basis Set Exchange Library. https://bse.pnl.gov/bse/portal
- [43] a) F. London, J. Phys. Radium 1937, 8, 397–409; b) R. Ditchfield, Mol. Phys. 1974, 27, 789–807; c) K. Wolinski, J. F. Hinton, P. Pulay, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 8251–8260.
- [44] a) E. D. Glendening, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, F. Weinhold, NBO Program 3.1, W. T. Madison, 1988; b) A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss, F. Weinhold, *Chem. Rev.*, **1988**, *88*, 899–926; c) F. Weinhold, R. Landis, Valency and bonding: A natural bond orbital donor-acceptor perspective, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2005.
- [45] T. Lu, F. Chen, J. Comput. Chem. 2012, 33, 580–592.
- [46] a) R. F. W. Bader, Atoms in Molecules: a Quantum Theory; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1990; b) R. F. W. Bader, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 1998, *102*, 7314–7323; c) R. F. W. Bader, *Chem. Rev.* 1991, *91*, 893–928.
- [47] GaussView, Version 3.09, Dennington II., Keith, R. T.; Millam, J.; Eppinnett, K.; Hovell, W. L.; Gilliland, R. Inc, Semichem, Shawnee Mission, KS, 2003.
- [48] Zhurko, G. A. http://www.chemcraftprog.com.

Entry for the Table of Contents FULL PAPER

Bis-{hydrido(borylene)}, $[(\mu_3-BH)_2(\mu-H)_2\{Cp^*W(CO)_2\}_2\{Fe(CO)_2\}]$ and triply bridging bis-borylene species, $[(\mu_3-BH)_2\{Cp^*W(CO)_2\}_2\{M(CO)_3\}]$ (M= Fe or Ru) have been isolated and structurally characterized utilizing the coordination sphere of transition metals. In addition, we have synthesized another triply bridging bis-borylene species $[(\mu_3-BH)_2-(Cp^*Rh)_2Co_2(CO)_5(\mu-CO)].$



Ranjit Bag,^[a] Sourav Kar,^[a] Suvam Saha,^[a] Suman Gomosta,^[a] Beesam Raghavendra,^[a] Thierry Roisnel,^[b] and Sundargopal Ghosh*^[a]

Page No. – Page No.

Heterometallic Triply-Bridging *Bis*-Borylene Complexes