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Compact GNSS Metasurface-inspired Cavity Antennas
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Abstract—This paper presents an innovative circularly-polarized compact cavity antenna based on metasurfaces; the proposed design is explained starting from a linearly-polarized antenna based on similar concepts. The main objective is to cover three different GNSS systems, namely Galileo E1, GLONASS G1 and GPS L1, with a single antenna embedded in a metallic cavity. The aperture dimension is set to 0.26λ × 0.26λ, with a central frequency of 1578 MHz. Loading the aperture with a metasurface allows an efficient radiation within such a small aperture size. Our experimental results are in very good agreement with the simulations, with an axial ratio lower than 2 dB between 1540 MHz and 1655 MHz.

Index Terms—circular polarization, compact antenna, GNSS, metasurface.

I. INTRODUCTION

MINIATURE antennas have been studied for a plethora of applications, like mobile communication, radionavigation or geolocation systems. They are also used for accurate positioning and tracking of fastly-flying vehicles (e.g. projectiles). In many configurations, such antennas are embedded in compact metallic cavities with a typical aperture size smaller than 0.3λ × λ, where λ is the wavelength in vacuum at the operating frequency; this strategy allows to guarantee its mechanical robustness and maintain the carrier aerodynamic properties. In practice, the radionavigation antenna module (which operates in right-hand circular polarization (RHCP)) must cooperate with at least three GNSS systems, namely the L1 GPS, E1 Galileo and G1 Glonass bands, whose carrier frequencies equal 1575.42 MHz for GPS and Galileo, and 1602 MHz for Glonass. This corresponds to a minimum acceptable antenna aperture size in the order of 0.6λ × 0.6λ, or larger (the overall antenna dimensions reported studies, the minimum antenna aperture size is in the order of 0.6λ × 0.6λ, or larger (the overall antenna dimensions 0.245λ × 0.245λ, 0.72λ × 0.6λ × 0.192λ, and 0.8λ × 0.8λ × 0.30λ). Metasurface-inspired solutions have thus been proposed by the authors to approach the theoretical bounds defined in [17], and a LP metasurface antenna has been first introduced in [13] as a practical implementation (at the frequency of 1575 MHz) of the theoretical developments given in [17].

We propose here two compact metasurface-inspired antennas operating at 1578 MHz and embedded in a very small square metallic cavity of size 0.26λ. The first one, linearly-polarized, is briefly described in Section II to better explain the operation principle of the RHCP counterpart that is excited by four separate feed ports (Section III). Conclusions are drawn in Section IV.

II. LINEARLY-POLARIZED ANTENNA

A. Antenna geometry

The antenna geometry, provided in Fig. 1, is embedded in a square cavity whose dimensions (50x50x20 mm³, i.e. 0.26λ × 0.26λ × 0.11λ) are dictated by the flying platform
diameter (around 150 mm). This design is inspired from [16], but with a larger cavity size.

![Fig. 1. LP antenna embedded in a square cavity. (a) Top view. (b) Cross-section view. (c) Feeding system.](image)

The metallic cavity is filled with two different materials, as shown in Fig. 1b:

- A thick polypropylene layer ($\varepsilon_2 = 2.25$, $\tan \delta_2 = 0.001$, $h_1 = 18.08$ mm, [18]) selected to guaranty an adequate robustness to the structure, especially due to the strong acceleration constraints supported by the flying platforms (several thousands of $g$).
- A thin lamination of two Rogers RO3210 substrates ($\varepsilon_1 = 10.2$, $\tan \delta_1 = 0.0027$, $h_2 = 1.92$ mm) with three metallic levels: the lowest one is the feeding layer with a trapezoidal shape transmission line (Fig. 1c), while the metasurface-inspired radiating element is printed on the two upper metallic layers; the upper substrate is thin enough (0.64 mm) to provide the requested capacitive effect [17] and thus enlarge the antenna bandwidth; both upper metallic layers have identical patterns made of three rectangular strips of equal size ($l_1 \times l_2$). These dimensions are smaller than $\lambda / 10$, which satisfies the metasurface periodicity and size criteria. Moreover, the capacitance loading of the radiating aperture can be adjusted by tuning the gap values $g_1$ and $g_2$ (Figs. 1a and 1b).

### B. Numerical and experimental results

All simulations have been carried out using CST Studio Suite [19]. The glue parameters (estimated to $\varepsilon_r = 3.5$, $\tan \delta = 0.01$, $h = 0.1$ mm) have been taken into account in the simulation results. As design guidelines, first, the strip width ($l_1$) is chosen to be about $\lambda / 13$ (which also determines $g_1$), and $l_2$ is fixed to $0.77 \times \lambda a$ to get a wide enough bandwidth [17]. The antenna center frequency and matching level are then tuned by the variables $f_r$ and finely optimized by adjusting the dimensions $t_1$ and $t_2$ (Fig. 1c) [16].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value (mm)</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$l_2$</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_1$</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_1$</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_2$</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_2$</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_r$</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>$t_1$</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_1$</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>$t_2$</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_2$</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>$d_1$</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_2$</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>$d_2$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 2. LP antenna: measured and computed reflection coefficients.](image)

![Fig. 3. LP antenna: measured and computed gains (at broadside).](image)

![Fig. 4. LP antenna: measured (solid line) and computed (dotted line) normalized radiation patterns in dBi at 1578 MHz in two vertical cut planes: (a) $\varphi = 0^\circ$ (E-plane), (b) $\varphi = 90^\circ$ (H-plane).](image)

The final dimensions are provided in Table I. The simulated and measured reflection coefficients, gains and radiation patterns are in good agreement with each other as confirmed by Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 respectively. The antenna is well matched over the three aimed GNSS bands. The peak gain...
reaches 4 dBi and the half power beam width (HPBW) is about 130º in all vertical cut planes.

III. CIRCULARLY-POLARIZED ANTENNA

A. Antenna geometry

The LP antenna design is extended to circular polarization (CP) by replacing the two-layer rectangular strips loading the radiating aperture (Fig. 1a) by two arrays of 3x3 capacitively-coupled square patches (LxL), as illustrated in Fig. 5a. The dielectric stack-up (Fig. 5b) is similar to the one used in LP (Fig. 1b). The antenna module is fed by four independent ports (as shown in Fig. 5) excited in phase quadrature [20], [21]. The outer conductors of each coaxial probe are soldered as defined in Figs. 5a and 5b.

B. Design guidelines

The gap g between the square patches is a fundamental design parameter since it allows tuning the metasurface capacitance loading the radiating aperture, thus the resonant frequency of the antenna and its efficiency. The feed parameters \( f_p \), \( t_1 \), \( t_2 \) (Figs. 5b and 5c) are also optimized to improve the antenna matching level and impedance bandwidth. The final dimensions of the optimized prototype are provided in Table II. Figs. 6 and 7 illustrate the influence of two critical design parameters (g and \( f_p \) respectively) on the reflection coefficient at one of the four input ports, assuming that all other dimensions are fixed and equal to their optimal values specified in Table II.

Note that, by symmetry, the reflection coefficients are the same on each input port. Fig. 6 confirms the very strong impact of g on the resonance frequency, while Fig. 7 shows that \( f_p \) has a less important effect on the resonance frequency values, but a stronger impact of the matching level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value (mm)</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t1</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>t2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_p )</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_1 )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a )</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>( \epsilon_r )</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>( \epsilon_r )</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Four-Ports CP antenna optimized parameters

Fig. 6. Influence of parameter g on the reflection coefficient of the antenna (all other parameter values are given in Table II).

C. Prototyping and experimental results

The antenna prototype (Figs. 8a and 8b) has been manufactured and measured. As for the LP antenna, thin layers of liquid dielectric glue have been used to assemble both dielectric layers and attach the antenna stack-up to the cavity walls. The four coaxial probes used to excite the antenna module are soldered as defined in Figs. 5a and 5b.

The measured and computed scattering parameters are represented in Fig. 9. \( S_{11}, S_{22}, S_{13} \) and \( S_{44} \) represent the measured reflection coefficients at each antenna port (Fig. 5a), while \( S_{ii} \) represents the simulated result. \( S_{ii} \) corresponds to the measured reflection coefficient at the output of the active combiner circuit connected to the antenna ports (see below). The antenna is well matched at each port, and the slight frequency shift observed between simulations and measurements is attributed to mechanical tolerances and material characteristics (e.g. losses
in glue among others). The measured -10-dB reflection bandwidth is about 260 MHz. This quite large bandwidth (compared to the LP antenna) is attributed to the strong mutual coupling between ports, especially between two opposite ports, as shown in [22].

![Antenna prototype](image)

Fig. 8. Antenna prototype. (a) Antenna mounted on the rotating mast of the near-field anechoic chamber. (b) Top view. (c) Combiner system with four independent ports.

The feeding circuit, used to assess the antenna performance in CP, is shown in Fig. 8c. It is based on a commercial surface mounted four-port power splitter/combiner SCQ-4-1650+ [23] cascaded with a low noise amplifier BGA725L6 [24] developed for GNSS applications. The LNA is biased using the bias tee TCBT-6G+ [25]. This feeding system size has a total footprint of 60×60 mm². It has been characterized in amplitude and phase. The results, not shown here for the sake of brevity, show a maximum phase difference of ±3° between all ports from 1300 to 1700 MHz, while maintaining a very low amplitude imbalance.

![Reflection coefficients](image)

Fig. 9. Reflection coefficients measured at each input port of the CP antenna, and comparison with the simulated data. The reflection coefficient measured at the combiner output port is also shown.

The total gain of the antenna module, including the LNA gain, is plotted in Fig. 10 (left scale). Its average value is around 15 dBi at the center frequency. The axial ratio measured at broadside (Fig. 10, right scale) remains below 3 dB between 1380 MHz and more than 1700 MHz, and below 2 dB between 1540 MHz and 1655 MHz. The axial ratio value at 45° in elevation remains below 3 dB between 1500 MHz and 1650 MHz.

![Gain vs Frequency](image)

Fig. 10. Performance of the antenna module with its feeding system (Fig. 8c). Left: measured and computed gain. Right: measured axial ratio.

The normalized radiation patterns measured and simulated at 1578 MHz are plotted in Fig. 11 in RHCP (co-polarization) and LHCP (cross-polarization). The agreement between simulations and experiments is very good, with a very low cross-polarization discrimination level (< 18 dB for elevation angles smaller than 60°).

![Normalized radiation patterns](image)

Fig. 11. CP antenna: measured (solid line) and computed (dotted line) normalized radiation patterns in dBi at 1578 MHz in two vertical cut planes: (a) φ=0°, (b) φ=90°. RHCP in red, and LHCP in blue.

IV. CONCLUSION

A compact RHCP metasurface-inspired cavity antenna with a single radiating aperture, has been proposed at 1578 MHz. The radiating aperture is loaded by a two-layer array of square patches excited by four feed ports in phase quadrature. This antenna concept has been introduced by first studying a similar antenna system, but operating in linear polarization. The LP antenna can be used onboard flying platforms in multipath-free-environments, while the CP version provides a better carrier-to-noise ratio (C/N), which is also required for ground purposes.

The experimental results obtained in RHCP have shown an excellent agreement with the numerical predictions. The proposed antenna is able to sufficiently cover the three GNSS bands (L1, E1, G1) with an active gain of 16 dBic and an axial ratio lower than 1.6 dB over this frequency range ([1550 – 1610] MHz).
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