

Modeling ocular lens disease in Xenopus

Justine Viet, David Reboutier, Serge Hardy, Salil A Lachke, Luc Paillard, Carole Gautier-Courteille

▶ To cite this version:

Justine Viet, David Reboutier, Serge Hardy, Salil A Lachke, Luc Paillard, et al.. Modeling ocular lens disease in Xenopus. Developmental Dynamics, 2020, 249 (5), pp.610-621. 10.1002/dvdy.147. hal-02440933

HAL Id: hal-02440933 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-02440933

Submitted on 13 Feb 2020 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MODELING OCULAR LENS DISEASE IN XENOPUS

Justine Viet^a, David Reboutier^a, Serge Hardy^a, Salil A. Lachke^b, Luc Paillard^{a,*}, Carole Gautier-

a Univ Rennes, CNRS, IGDR-UMR 6290, F-35000 Rennes, France b Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA

* Corresponding authors, LP (luc.paillard@univ-rennes1.fr) and CGC (carole.gautier@univ-rennes1.fr)

RUNNING TITLE

Xenopus ocular lens

KEYWORDS

Cataract; CRISPR; Pathology; GJA8; PAX6; DNASE2B

UJLLET POINTS

- Ocular lens development is similar in Xenopus and in mammals
- Xenopus embryos disrupted for human cataract genes develop cataract-like lens defects
- Xenopus embryos with cataract have abnormal lenses and reduced visual acuity
- Xenopus embryos are a novel relevant model of lens development and disease

GRANTS

Accepted Articles are accepted, unedited articles for future issues, temporarily published online in advance of the final edited version.

LP is supported by a grant from Bouygues and the Association Retina France, and a CNRS-PICS. SAL is supported by NIH Grants R01EY021505, R01EY029770.



ABSTRACT

Background

Ocular lens clouding is termed as cataract, which depending on the onset, is classified as congenital or age-related. Developing new cataract treatments requires new models. Thus far, *Xenopus* embryos have not been evaluated as a system for studying cataract.

Results

We characterized the developmental process of lens formation in *Xenopus laevis* tailbuds and tadpoles, and we disrupted the orthologues of three mammalian cataract-linked genes in F0 by CRISPR/Cas9. We assessed the consequences of gene inactivation by combining external examination with histochemical analyses and functional vision assays. Inactivating the key metazoan eye development transcription factor gene *pax6* produces a strong eye phenotype including an absence of eye tissue. Inactivating the genes for gap-junction protein and a nuclease, *gja8* and *dnase2b*, produces lens defects that share several features of human cataracts, including impaired vision acuity, nuclei retention in lens fiber cells, and actin fibers disorganisation. We tested the potential improvement of the visual acuity of *gja8* crispant tadpoles upon treatment with the molecular chaperone 4-phenylbutyrate.

Conclusion

Xenopus is a valuable model organism to understand the molecular pathology of congenital eye defects, including cataracts, and to screen molecules with a potential to prevent or reverse cataracts.

INTRODUCTION

The ocular lens is an avascular structure in the eye whose function is to refract and focus light on the retina ¹. A major property of lenses is their transparency, and lens clouding, or cataract, is the leading cause of blindness worldwide. While most cataracts are age-related, there exist congenital cataracts, which can be genetic ². Their incidence is 1 to 6 children per 10,000 births ^{3–5}. Cataracts are cured by replacing opaque lenses by artificial intraocular lenses. Despite generally excellent outcomes of cataract surgery, post-operative complications can occur, such as capsule rupture and loss of vitreous humour, infections, endophthalmitis or glaucoma. At a longer term, posterior capsular opacification (secondary cataract) results from the proliferation of epithelial cells that remain in the capsular sac after lens removal ^{6,7}. The annual number of patients with complications is far from negligible considering the high frequency of cataract surgeries. Cataract therefore remains a major public health issue, and a better understanding of the pathophysiological mechanisms that cause it as well as the quest for innovative treatments are highly important ⁸.

While there are several models for cataract research, they vary in their strengths and weaknesses. 2D cell culture models only recapitulate a tiny part of the molecular events leading to cataracts. A 3D cell culture model (lens organoid) was recently developed as a promising in vitro model ⁹. Animal models of cataract are the zebrafish "cloche" mutants, which tend to have lens defects suggestive of cataracts ¹⁰, and mammals like rodents, rabbits or dogs ¹¹. For ethical and cost reasons, these models cannot be considered for large-scale studies like drug screening.

Xenopus embryos have several advantages as models of human pathologies. They develop externally, and raising hundreds of *Xenopus* embryos is highly cost-effective. The high number of raised embryos ensures unequalled statistical power of the observations. *Xenopus* are tetrapods, hence evolutionary closer to humans than other small multicellular models like flies, worms, or even fishes. *Xenopus* is amenable to F0 reverse genetics, i.e. analyses of phenotypes of larvae developed from genetically modified embryos without any requirement for time-consuming crosses. However, only a few articles deal with cataract in *Xenopus*. Knocking-down *sparc* with antisense morpholinos in *Xenopus* results in a high mortality due to defective cell-cell adhesion after gastrulation, but surviving tailbuds injected with a reduced amount of morpholino display poorly characterised lens phenotypes evocative of cataract ¹². Knocking-down the orthologues of

~

mammalian cataract genes like TMEM114, CHRLD1, SIPAL3 or CELF1 produces eye phenotypes generally loosely related to cataract ¹³⁻¹⁶. Hence, whether ocular lens developmental defects, including cataract, can be modelled in *Xenopus* remains an open question. A prerequisite to modelling cataract is to have a good understanding of normal lens development. A stage series of *Xenopus* lens development was proposed decades ago ¹⁷. More recent articles investigate *Xenopus* lens development, but are limited to early stages up to Nieuwkoop and Faber stage 38-40^{18,19}. In another article, these early stages are compared with a much older stage 50 embryo, but intermediate stages are not described ²⁰. Lens regeneration following lensectomy has also been extensively investigated in amphibians. In Xenopus, lens regeneration was divided into 5 stages characterized by precise cellular and molecular events, and much has been learnt from regeneration studies regarding lens development. However, lens development and lens regeneration are two different phenomena. During development, the lens placode originates from the preplacodal ectoderm whereas it results from cornea transdifferentiation during lens regeneration ²¹⁻²⁴. Furthermore, gene expression patterns differ between lens development and regeneration ^{20,25}. Hence, while *Xenopus* lens regeneration and development share many properties, the underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms are not necessarily the same. These observations call for completing the anatomical description of Xenopus lens development. We first describe lens morphogenesis during Xenopus laevis development. Next, to test if cataract can be detected in Xenopus larvae, we disrupt pax6, gja8 and dnase2b by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genetic engineering. We selected these genes because they are associated with eye defects or cataract in mammals, and can potentially also be associated with lens defects in amphibians. We investigate the consequences of gene inactivation by combining morphological examination with histochemical analyses and functional vision assays. Disrupting these genes leads to eye phenotypes with variable severity that include lens defects that share several features of cataract in humans. We discuss the potential interest of genetically modified Xenopus larvae to screen molecules that may prevent or cure cataracts and to understand the molecular pathobiology of congenital cataracts.

RESULTS

Lens development in Xenopus laevis

We made a series of sections of *Xenopus* embryos to generate a developmental time series reference for normal lens development (Figure 1). During early stages of vertebrate development, the presumptive lens ectoderm thickens to form the lens placode, which invaginates ^{1,26}. In *Xenopus*, this results in an internalised poorly structured cell mass or lens rudiment ^{18,20} observed at Nieuwkoop and Faber stage 27 (Figure 1A). At stage 32, the presumptive lens is polarized with anterior cells differing in their aspect from posterior cells, and hence is now referred to as a lens vesicle (Figure 1B). At these stages, the apparent detachment of the lens rudiment or vesicle from the sensory ectoderm (Figures 1A-B) is probably artifactual.

In mammals, the posterior cells of the lens vesicle elongate to form primary lens fiber cells, while the anterior cells form an epithelial layer ^{1,26–28}. This is comparable to *Xenopus* stage 38, when a layer of epithelial cells surrounds the primary fiber cells (Figure 1C). The primary fiber cells were reported to form between stages 35 and 41 ¹⁸. The primary lens fiber cells then progressively lose their nuclei and other organelles. Kariolysis is detected at *Xenopus* stage 41 (Figure 1D). The high magnification of stage 41 lens reveals the absence of nuclei in the central-most fiber cells (Figure 1D').

In mammals, the anterior epithelium is the place of cell divisions. In the so-called transition zone in the equatorial region of the lens, epithelial cells exit the cell cycle, and initiate differentiation into secondary fiber cells which internalize. These secondary fiber cells progressively form rings around the primary fiber cells. This results in a lens nucleus made of the original primary fiber cells and a lens cortex made of the secondary fiber cells. The gradual loss of organelles and nuclei in the fiber cells, and their elongation along the antero-posterior axis corresponding to the light path, support lens transparency and light transmission toward the retina ^{1,26–28}. This is essentially the same situation in stage 44 *Xenopus* larvae. However, while in mammals the epithelium is essentially anterior, it seems to cover the fiber cells both anteriorly and posteriorly in *Xenopus* (Figure 1E). At stage 47, only the epithelial cells that surround the lens have retained their nuclei. The inner fibers are oriented around the antero-posterior axis and the lens is in the form of many concentric layers (Figure 1F, F'). At stage 48 (Figure 1G), the lens has grown further and has the same structure as in adults ²⁹.

~

Strong alterations of eye development in *pax6* crispants

pax6 is a key transcription factor regulator gene in eye development $^{30-33}$, and TALENmediated inactivation of *pax6* gene has been described both in *X. laevis* and *X. tropicalis* 34,35 . To demonstrate that eye phenotypes can readily be observed following CRISPR/Cas9 mediated gene engineering, we co-injected Cas9 nuclease with a single sgRNA against *pax6* exon 5 in *X. laevis* embryos soon after fertilization. *X. laevis* is allotetraploid, and homoeologous genes (pairs of homologous genes found together in the same allopolyploid genome) are distinguished by their suffix ".L" and ".S" 36 . The region targeted by the *pax6* sgRNA is fully conserved between *pax6.L* and *pax6.S*. We amplified and sequenced the targeted loci with homoeologous-specific primers. Figure 2A shows the Sanger chromatograms obtained by sequencing the *pax6.L* and *pax6.S* loci amplified from one control and one sgRNA and Cas9-injected embryo. In the edited tadpole, several nucleotides are overlaid at each position around the cleavage site, revealing its mosaicism. We used the ICE (Inference of CRISPR Edits) software to infer the composition of this mixture of sequences 37 . For each chromatogram, ICE returns a percentage of sequences with insertions-deletions (InDels), which is a proxy for the efficiency of genome editing. ICE analysis revelead genome editing efficiencies in several embryos to be close to 100%, both for *pax6.L* and *pax6.S* (Figure 2B).

As compared with control larvae (Figure 2C, upper panel), disrupting *pax6* does not strongly modify the overall morphology of stage 41-42 larvae (Figures 2D-E, upper panels). However, eye morphologies of *pax6* crispants are affected in the injected embryos. The affected eyes have different aspects, as shown in Figures 2D-E, middle panels. Overall, the number of tadpoles with defective eye morphology is close to 95% (Figure 2F). Sections reveal no lens and a highly unsorganised and/or hypotrophied retina (Figures 2D-E, lower panels). To confirm these data, we designed another sgRNA against *pax6* exon 5. Gene inactivation mediated by this second sgRNA leads to externally visible eye defects in 84% of the injected tadpoles (Figure 2F), confirming gene editing specificity.

We expected this abnormal eye structure to lead to blindness. To test this hypothesis, we set up a functional vision assay in *Xenopus* larvae. This assay relies on the preference of tadpoles for white when placed in a tank with both white and black sides ³⁸. Within a pool of 10 tadpoles, we counted the number on the white side every minute for 10 minutes. We repeated this experiment for 8 independent pools. While the cumulative number of tadpoles on white would be close to 50 (corresponding to 50% of the time spent on white) if the tadpoles had no preference for the white side, we observed that control tadpoles spend around 80% of their time on the white side (95% confidence interval [67; 86]). *pax6* crispants spend almost the same time on either the white or black background (95% confidence interval of the percentage of time spent on white [50; 59]) (Figure 2G). This strongly suggests that *pax6* crispants are virtually blind, though a defective development of the central nervous system in embryos lacking Pax6³⁹ may be an alternative explanation to these observations.

Disruption of *gja8* causes a strong cataract

In human, *GJA8* encodes connexin 50, a transmembrane gap junction protein which supports the diffusion of small molecules between neighbouring lens fibers. Genetic variations of *GJA8* cause congenital cataracts 40,41 , but can also be involved in age-related cataracts 42 .

We tested if inactivating *gja8* in *X. laevis* could model human cataracts by co-injecting Cas9 nuclease and a sgRNA directed against *gja8* exon 1. This sgRNA is expected to target both *gja8* homoeologous genes. We separately sequenced *gja8.L* and *gja8.S* loci after homoeologous locus-specific amplification to assess gene targeting efficiency. Sanger chromatograms confirmed the mosaicism of *gja8* crispants (Figure 3A). ICE analysis revealed that *gja8* crispants contain around 80% InDels at *gja8.L* and *gja8.S* loci (Figure 3B). To confirm the ICE data, we subcloned one *gja8.L* amplimere and we sequenced 13 subclones. The obtained sequences and their number of occurences are consistent with the ICE results (Figure 3C). Together, these data show that the *gja8* sgRNA targets both *gja8.L* and *gja8.S* with a good efficiency.

The overall morphology of *gja8* crispants is completely unaltered, compared with sibling buffer-injected embryos (Figures 3D, E, upper panels). However, the eyes of *gja8* crispants have greyish appearance in the central region, which is not observed in control embryos (middle panels). This is highly reminiscent of cataract, where lens clouding impedes light diffusion and correct observation of the dark pigmented retinal epithelium. A large proportion (78%, 213/278) of *gja8*

~

crispants have such a cataract detected under a simple binocular magnifier (Figure 3F). Phenotype specificity was confirmed by injecting another sgRNA against *gja8*, which leads to a similar cataract phenotype albeit with a reduced efficiency (Figure 3F).

Histological sections revealed that the morphology of the eye is essentially unaffected in gja8 crispants (Figure 3D-E, lower panels). The retina has all the cell layers found in control eyes. However, the lenses are smaller in crispants, and the inner cells of crispant lenses retain their nuclei, demonstrating a defective karyolysis that should have occurred at this stage. DAPI staining of lens sections confirm nuclei retention in gja8 crispant lenses (Figures 3G-H). Phalloidin staining reveals that the actin fibers are aligned along the antero-posterior axis in control lenses (Figure 3G). In mammals, this organisation supports the elongation of lens fiber cells along the light path. Interestingly, it appears abnormal in gja8 crispant lenses (Figure 3H). Hence, at least two features that challenge lens transparency provide a potential explanation for the development of cataract in gja8 crispants, namely, defective organisation of fiber cells along the antero-posterior axis and the abnormal retention of their nuclei in the central region of the lens. Mice or rabbits disrupted for Gja8 show a reduced size of the lens, an arrest of the differentiation of lens fiber cells, and a retention of nuclei ⁴³⁻⁴⁵. Hence, our observations in *Xenopus* recapitulate the phenotypes observed in mammals.

We next asked if lens clouding affects the vision of the tadpoles. In the same functional vision assay as before, *gja8* crispants have a preference for the white background, indicating that they are not blind (95% confidence interval of the percentage of time spent on the white side of the tank [58; 65]). However, the crispant embryos spend much less time on white than sibling control embryos (Figure 3I. p = 9.1×10^{-4} , Wilcoxon test). Hence, the cataract caused by disrupting the *gja8* gene reduces but does not abrogate the visual acuity of *Xenopus* tadpoles.

Weak cataract in *dnase2b* crispants

In mice, *Dnase2b* encodes a nuclease responsible of degrading DNA during lens fiber differentiation, and *Dnase2b*-KO mice develop cataract associated with nuclei retention ⁴⁶. We asked therefore if inactivating *dnase2b* in *Xenopus* also elicits cataract in tadpoles. While *dnase2b*.S

gene is annotated in Xenopus laevis 9.1 genome, it is not the case of dnase2b.L. BLAST search identified putative *dnase2b.L* exons 1 and 2, but the other exons are apparently absent. Hence, there is probably only one functional *dnase2b* homoeologous gene, *dnase2b.S*, while *dnase2b.L* may have evolved as a pseudogene. Nevertheless, we co-injected Cas9 enzyme with a mixture of sgRNA against the first exons of *dnase2b.S* gene and *dnase2b.L* possible pseudogene. As we failed to amplify this putative *dnase2b*.L exon 1, we only assessed the efficiency of *dnase2b*.S gene editing by Sanger sequencing (Figure 4A) and ICE analysis. Gene editing was moderately efficient, with roughly 50% of InDels (Figure 4B). We found no evidence for lens clouding comparable to what had been observed in gia8 crispants, and most larvae have no eye defect detectable by external observation. However, one fifth of the larvae (46/233) have a smaller eye, with a gap in the ventral part of the retina suggesting chorioretinal coloboma (Figures 4C-D). We assessed the vision of dnase2b crispants. They tend to avoid the dark side of the tank, demonstrating that they are able to distinguish white from black (95% confidence interval of the percentage of time spent on the white side of the tank [61; 71]). However, compared with control embryos, their preference for white is reduced (Figure 4E. $p = 3.7 \times 10^{\circ}$, Wilcoxon test). We conclude from these observations that dnase2b crispants have poorer visual acuity, and microphthalmia and possibly coloboma albeit not in a fully penetrant manner.

We made a series of sections to understand the anatomical bases of reduced visual acuity. At stage 41 the posterior poles of both control and *dnase2b* crispant lenses are made of a layer of several nucleated epithelial cells. However, while this layer corresponds to almost half of the *dnase2b* crispant lenses, it is much thinner in control lenses (Figures 4F-G). The posterior layer of nucleated cells is also thicker in *dnase2b* crispant lenses at stage 45 (Figures 4H-I). The most likely explanation for these observations is that, while lens fiber differentiation in control lenses is accompanied by a progressive loss of nuclei, the kariolysis is delayed in *dnase2b* crispant lenses, resulting in an increased number of nucleated cells within the lens.

Toward screening anti-cataract compounds in Xenopus tadpoles

The above results show that cataract can be elicited in Xenopus tadpoles by genome engineering, and we tested if this model responds to potential anti-cataract compounds. The chemical chaperone 4-phenylbutyrate (4PBA) mitigates cellular defects associated with a *GJA8* mutation (Cx50D47N) in HeLa cells and in a mouse model. However, it does not reduce lens opacification ⁴⁷. We tested if this compound has a detectable effect on *gja8* crispant tadpoles. In preliminary experiments, we found that a dose of 0.025 mM 4PBA produces no morphological defect and is apparently not toxic. This is consistent with a previous report in zebrafish where 4PBA was used in a model of dominant osteogenesis imperfecta ⁴⁸. Indeed, in vision assays, treated and untreated control embryos behave identically (Figure 5, 2 left panels). We treated *gja8* crispants with 4PBA for 48h. We found no difference between treated and untreated tadpoles regarding lens clouding in external examination. However, we observed a tendency toward a vision improvement upon 4PBA treatment (p = 0.067, Figure 5, 2 right panels). Hence, 4PBA, which showed a limited capacity to improve pathological issues caused by a *GJA8* mutation in HeLa cells and mice, apparently has the same effect in Xenopus *gja8* crispants. This suggests that cataract-prone Xenopus tadpoles can be used to test the efficiency of potential anti-cataract drugs.

DISCUSSION

We disrupted three genes in *Xenopus laevis* by CRISPR/Cas9, and we investigated the resulting eye phenotypes after a few days of development. *pax6* crispants have highly severe eye phenotypes, consistent with previous reports ^{34,35}. *gja8* crispants have lens-specific defects, with clouded lenses directly visible by external observations, and defective karyolysis and organisation of fiber cells in sections. Finally, *dnase2b* crispants have a wild-type appearance in external observation and a thickened layer of posterior epithelial cells in sections, suggesting a blockage of lens fiber cells differentiation. Interestingly, functional vision assays reflect this graduation. This suggests that these vision assays can prove useful to quantitatively assess cataract severity, for instance in a process of screening potential anti-cataract drugs. Because the molecular chaperone 4PBA was reported to have a limited capacity to mitigate some cellular defects associated with a mutation in *GJA8*⁴⁷, we tested the same molecule on *gja8* crispants. We found that 4PBA may have a weak capacity to improve the vision of *gja8* crispants. The weakness of 4PBA may be due to the differences in the nature of the GJA8 mutations between our study and the previous study (i.e. Cx50D47A). In any case, this data reinforces the notion that functional vision assays in Xenopus cataract-prone tadpoles are a novel and relevant tool in a process of anti-cataract drug development.

<

Indeed, no pharmacological treatment of cataract currently exists, but recent findings indicate that sterol-based compounds hold promise to prevent/treat cataracts ^{49,50}. These studies report that steroid compounds reduce the aggregation of mutant crystallin protein forming amyloid fibrils *in vitro* and in cultured cells, and reduce cataract severity in rabbit or mouse models. Other compounds could certainly be screened in preclinical approaches to isolate novel molecules with a potential to enter clinical trials against cataracts. A promising tool toward this goal is the "micro-lens" obtained in vitro by differentiation of human stem cells ⁹. However, this organoid does not recapitulate the normal environment or the barriers of the eye (especially the cornea) that may prevent molecules of potential pharmaceutical interest to access to the lens. Furthermore, obtaining a large number of genetically modified *Xenopus* tadpoles is cheap and rapid, and larvae are generally not submitted to regulations on animal tests. For these reasons, we think that the genetically modified cataract-prone *Xenopus* tadpoles that we describe here are a promising novel preclinical model to screen potential anti-cataract drugs.

Having shown that cataract can be readily observed in *Xenopus* will also permit to tackle a subset of poorly understood congenital genetic cataracts. Tens of genes were identified by genetic approaches in human as involved in congenital genetic cataract. Most of these genes encode crystallins, the major proteins of the lens that make a structure for refraction of light, connexins (like that encoded by the *GJA8* gene), which exchange small molecules between cells, proteins of the cell membrane, the extracellular matrix or the cytoskeleton that set up the highly peculiar morphology of lens fibres, or transcription factors such as FOXE3, HSF4, MAF, and PITX3 ^{2,5,51}. However, for some other genes, the link between the molecular functions of the encoded proteins and cataract remains elusive. Understanding the involvement of these genes in cataract can be achieved by constructing and characterizing new animal models inactivated for these genes. Indeed, the bioinformatics resource tool iSyTE has predicted several promising candidates that need to be validated in animal models ^{8,16,51–55}. The cost-effective pipeline of cataract investigation in *Xenopus* that we describe here would help to uncover the genetic basis of these rare genetic cataracts as well as to identify/validate new cataract-linked genes.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Ethics statement

The animals were housed in the *Xenopus* facilities of the IGDR as approved by the French animal care agency (Direction des Services Vétérinaires). Experiments were carried out according to standard procedures following local ethics committee opinion and acceptance by the ministry of research (APAFIS 14829, 2018).

Gene editing in *Xenopus laevis*

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing in *Xenopus laevis* was essentially as described for *Xenopus tropicalis* ⁵⁶. We designed the sgRNAs (single guide RNA) with CHOPCHOP ⁵⁷. We prepared sgRNAs by in vitro transcription from templates obtained by PCR with a 3' primer common to all sgRNA templates ⁵⁶ and the following 5' primers:

pax6, sgRNA #1 (Targetting exon5)

TAATACGACTCACTATAGGAGACCGGATCGATCCGACCTGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCA *pax6*, sgRNA #2 (Targetting exon5) TAATACGACTCACTATAGGATCCGACCTCGGGCGATCGGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCA gja8, sgRNA #1 (Targetting an exon annotated as exon 1 in gja8.L and exon 2 in gja8.S) TAATACGACTCACTATAGG**TTTGAGGTGGGCTTTGTGGT**GTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCA gja8, sgRNA #2 (Targetting an exon annotated as exon 1 in gja8.L and exon 2 in gja8.S) TAATACGACTCACTATAGGCTCTCTCACACATTAGGCTGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCA dnase2b.S (Targetting 1) exon TAATACGACTCACTATAGGTGGGGTCACCAGCTTCATTTGGAGCTAGAAATAGCA dnase2b.L (Targetting exon1) TAATACGACTCACTATAGGATTTCAGCATGAAATCTCAGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCA

The gene-specific sequences are in bold underlined and are flanked by the T7 promoter and a region hybridizing to the 3' primer.

We dejellyed the embryos 15 minutes after fertilization, and we injected them up to 45 minutes after fertilization with 9.2 nl of a mixture of Cas9 enzyme (IDT DNA 1085059, 0.5 μ g/ μ l) and sgRNA (0.2 μ g/ μ l) in 12 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 90 mM KCl. We allowed them to develop at 22°C following standard procedures and we staged them according to Nieuwkoop and Faber ⁵⁸.

We checked gene editing by lysing the embryos and sequencing the PCR products obtained with the following primers:

pax6.S TCAGTTCTGCGACAGAGTAGGC and AATAGCACTCACTTACACTGGGG (amplification), sequencing with the forward primer;

pax6.L GACATGTAAGGGGCTATGTGC and GGGATATTGTCGTTGGTACAGA (amplification), TTAATGCTACCTATAAACTA (sequencing);

gja8.L (sgRNA #1) ATGAGCACTCGACTGTGATCG and GTTTGGACAGGGCCACCTAC (amplification), sequencing with the forward primer;

gja8.S (sgRNA #1) TTTTGTGTGCAACACTCAGCAG and GGATGCTGATCTTCGCTCCTCC (amplification), sequencing with the forward primer;

gja8.L (sgRNA #2) GATCGGTAGAGTTTGGCTCACT and GTTTGGACAGGGCCACCTAC (amplification), sequencing with the forward primer;

gja8.S (sgRNA #2) GATCGGTAGAGTTTGGCTCACT and GGATGCTGATCTTCGCTCCTCC (amplification), sequencing with the forward primer;

dnase2b.S TCTGACAGAAAACCGTGCCA and AGCGTTTGTGTTCTCCCCTT (amplification), sequencing with the forward primer.

The traces (chromatograms) were uploaded on the ICE server (https://ice.synthego.com/#/) and ICE analysed with the default parameters.

Histological methods

For histological analyses, we fixed and embedded in paraffin wax embryos at the indicated stages. Horizontal sections were stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin. For histochemical analyses, we fixed embryos in 4% PFA in PBS for 1h, rinsed them in PBS and incubated them in 30% sucrose overnight at 4°C. Embryos were embedded in Tissue Teck and stored at -80°C. Transversal cryosections were performed and stained with Phalloidin and DAPI.

Functional vision assays

The functional vision assays were essentially as described ³⁸. We put independent pools of 10 embryos in a rectangular water tank with a black and a white side. We switched the sides and we counted the numbers of embryos on the white side one minute after switching the sides. We repeated this operation every minute for 10 minutes. The cumulative number of embryos on the

white is comprised between 0 and 100 and corresponds to the percentage of time spent on white. We show it for 8-10 independent pools of tadpoles. We assessed the significance of the results with R, including the CI function in Rmisc (https://cran.r-project.org/package=Rmisc). Functional vision assays of 4PBA-treated tadpoles were carryed out on *gja8* crispants and controls incubated for 48h, starting at stage 44, in 0.025 mM 4PBA in water (Sigma P21006) and allowed to recover overnight in pure water.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Biosit platforms (Rennes, France) for access to their facilities, and notably MRic (microscopy) and H2P2 (histology).

REFERENCES

- Cvekl A, Zhang X. Signaling and Gene Regulatory Networks in Mammalian Lens Development. *Trends Genet*. 2017;33(10):677-702. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2017.08.001
- Shiels A, Hejtmancik JF. Mutations and mechanisms in congenital and age-related cataracts. *Exp Eye Res*. 2017;156:95-102. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2016.06.011
- 3. Foster A, Gilbert C. Cataract in children. Acta Paediatr. 2003;92(12):1376-1378.
- 4. Francis PJ, Berry V, Bhattacharya SS, Moore AT. The genetics of childhood cataract. *J Med Genet*. 2000;37(7):481-488.
 - Shiels A, Hejtmancik JF. Molecular Genetics of Cataract. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci.* 2015;134:203-218. doi:10.1016/bs.pmbts.2015.05.004
 - Nibourg LM, Gelens E, Kuijer R, Hooymans JMM, van Kooten TG, Koopmans SA. Prevention of posterior capsular opacification. *Exp Eye Res.* 2015;136:100-115. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2015.03.011
 - Wormstone IM, Wang L, Liu CSC. Posterior capsule opacification. *Exp Eye Res.* 2009;88(2):257-269. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2008.10.016

- 8. Anand D, Lachke SA. Systems biology of lens development: A paradigm for disease gene discovery in the eye. *Exp Eye Res*. 2017;156:22-33. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2016.03.010
- Murphy P, Kabir MH, Srivastava T, et al. Light-focusing human micro-lenses generated from pluripotent stem cells model lens development and drug-induced cataract in vitro. *Development*. 2018;145(1). doi:10.1242/dev.155838
- Goishi K, Shimizu A, Najarro G, et al. AlphaA-crystallin expression prevents gamma-crystallin insolubility and cataract formation in the zebrafish cloche mutant lens. *Development*. 2006;133(13):2585-2593. doi:10.1242/dev.02424
- Chan C-C, ed. Animal Models of Ophthalmic Diseases. Springer International Publishing; 2016. https://www.springer.com/fr/book/9783319194332. Accessed April 11, 2019.
- Huynh M-H, Zhu SJ, Kollara A, Brown T, Winklbauer R, Ringuette M. Knockdown of SPARC leads to decreased cell-cell adhesion and lens cataracts during post-gastrula development in Xenopus laevis. *Dev Genes Evol.* 2011;220(11-12):315-327. doi:10.1007/s00427-010-0349-x
- Maher GJ, Hilton EN, Urquhart JE, et al. The cataract-associated protein TMEM114, and TMEM235, are glycosylated transmembrane proteins that are distinct from claudin family members. *FEBS Lett.* 2011;585(14):2187-2192. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2011.05.060
- Pfirrmann T, Emmerich D, Ruokonen P, et al. Molecular mechanism of CHRDL1-mediated Xlinked megalocornea in humans and in Xenopus model. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2015;24(11):3119-3132. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddv063
- 15. Rothe M, Kanwal N, Dietmann P, et al. An Epha4/Sipa113/Wnt pathway regulates eye development and lens maturation. *Development*. 2017;144(2):321-333. doi:10.1242/dev.147462
- Siddam AD, Gautier-Courteille C, Perez-Campos L, et al. The RNA-binding protein Celf1 posttranscriptionally regulates p27Kip1 and Dnase2b to control fiber cell nuclear degradation in lens development. *PLoS Genet*. 2018;14(3):e1007278. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007278
- McDevitt DS, Brahma SK. Ontogeny and localization of the crystallins during embryonic lens development in Xenopus laevis. J Exp Zool. 1973;186(2):127-140. doi:10.1002/jez.1401860204

- Brunekreef GA, van Genesen ST, Destrée OH, Lubsen NH. Extralenticular expression of Xenopus laevis alpha-, beta-, and gamma-crystallin genes. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 1997;38(13):2764-2771.
- Ishibashi S, Yasuda K. Distinct roles of maf genes during Xenopus lens development. *Mech Dev.* 2001;101(1-2):155-166. doi:10.1016/s0925-4773(00)00585-2
- Mizuno N, Mochii M, Takahashi TC, Eguchi G, Okada TS. Lens regeneration in Xenopus is not a mere repeat of lens development, with respect to crystallin gene expression. *Differentiation*. 1999;64(3):143-149. doi:10.1046/j.1432-0436.1999.6430143.x
- Henry JJ, Elkins MB. Cornea-lens transdifferentiation in the anuran, Xenopus tropicalis. *Dev Genes Evol.* 2001;211(8-9):377-387. doi:10.1007/s004270100163
- Henry JJ, Tsonis PA. Molecular and cellular aspects of amphibian lens regeneration. *Prog Retin Eye Res.* 2010;29(6):543-555. doi:10.1016/j.preteyeres.2010.07.002
- 23. Servetnick MD, Cook TL, Grainger RM. Lens induction in axolotls: comparison with inductive signaling mechanisms in Xenopus laevis. *Int J Dev Biol*. 1996;40(4):755-761.
- Vergara MN, Tsissios G, Del Rio-Tsonis K. Lens regeneration: a historical perspective. Int J Dev Biol. 2018;62(6-7-8):351-361. doi:10.1387/ijdb.180084nv
- Malloch EL, Perry KJ, Fukui L, et al. Gene Expression Profiles of Lens Regeneration and Development in Xenopus laevis. *Dev Dyn.* 2009;238(9):2340-2356. doi:10.1002/dvdy.21998
- Ogino H, Ochi H, Reza HM, Yasuda K. Transcription factors involved in lens development from the preplacodal ectoderm. *Dev Biol.* 2012;363(2):333-347. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.01.006
- 27. Rao PV, Maddala R. The role of the lens actin cytoskeleton in fiber cell elongation and differentiation. *Semin Cell Dev Biol*. 2006;17(6):698-711. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2006.10.011
- Wride MA. Lens fibre cell differentiation and organelle loss: many paths lead to clarity. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond, B, Biol Sci.* 2011;366(1568):1219-1233. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0324

- Wiechmann AF, Wirsig-Wiechmann CR. Color Atlas of Xenopus Laevis Histology. Springer US; 2003. https://www.springer.com/us/book/9781461348764. Accessed April 11, 2019.
- Altmann CR, Chow RL, Lang RA, Hemmati-Brivanlou A. Lens induction by Pax-6 in Xenopus laevis. *Dev Biol.* 1997;185(1):119-123. doi:10.1006/dbio.1997.8573
- 31. Chow RL, Altmann CR, Lang RA, Hemmati-Brivanlou A. Pax6 induces ectopic eyes in a vertebrate. *Development*. 1999;126(19):4213-4222.
- 32. Halder G, Callaerts P, Gehring WJ. Induction of ectopic eyes by targeted expression of the eyeless gene in Drosophila. *Science*. 1995;267(5205):1788-1792.
- 33. Onuma Y, Takahashi S, Asashima M, Kurata S, Gehring WJ. Conservation of Pax 6 function and upstream activation by Notch signaling in eye development of frogs and flies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2002;99(4):2020-2025. doi:10.1073/pnas.022626999
- 34. Nakayama T, Fisher M, Nakajima K, et al. Xenopus pax6 mutants affect eye development and other organ systems, and have phenotypic similarities to human aniridia patients. *Dev Biol*. 2015;408(2):328-344. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.02.012
- 35. Suzuki K-IT, Isoyama Y, Kashiwagi K, et al. High efficiency TALENs enable F0 functional analysis by targeted gene disruption in Xenopus laevis embryos. *Biol Open*. 2013;2(5):448-452. doi:10.1242/bio.20133855
- 36. Session AM, Uno Y, Kwon T, et al. Genome evolution in the allotetraploid frog Xenopus laevis. *Nature*. 2016;538(7625):336-343. doi:10.1038/nature19840
- Hsiau T, Maures T, Waite K, et al. Inference of CRISPR Edits from Sanger Trace Data. *bioRxiv*. January 2018:251082. doi:10.1101/251082
- Viczian AS, Zuber ME. A simple behavioral assay for testing visual function in Xenopus laevis. J Vis Exp. 2014;(88). doi:10.3791/51726

- Huettl R-E, Eckstein S, Stahl T, et al. Functional dissection of the Pax6 paired domain: Roles in neural tube patterning and peripheral nervous system development. *Dev Biol.* 2016;413(1):86-103. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.07.009
- 40. Shiels A, Mackay D, Ionides A, Berry V, Moore A, Bhattacharya S. A missense mutation in the human connexin50 gene (GJA8) underlies autosomal dominant "zonular pulverulent" cataract, on chromosome 1q. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1998;62(3):526-532. doi:10.1086/301762
- 41. Yi J, Yun J, Li Z-K, Xu C-T, Pan B-R. Epidemiology and molecular genetics of congenital cataracts. *Int J Ophthalmol*. 2011;4(4):422-432. doi:10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959.2011.04.20
- 42. Yu X, Ping X, Zhang X, et al. The impact of GJA8 SNPs on susceptibility to age-related cataract. *Hum Genet*. 2018;137(11-12):897-904. doi:10.1007/s00439-018-1945-5
- 43. Rong P, Wang X, Niesman I, et al. Disruption of Gja8 (alpha8 connexin) in mice leads to microphthalmia associated with retardation of lens growth and lens fiber maturation. *Development*. 2002;129(1):167-174.
- 44. White TW, Goodenough DA, Paul DL. Targeted ablation of connexin50 in mice results in microphthalmia and zonular pulverulent cataracts. *J Cell Biol*. 1998;143(3):815-825.
- 45. Yuan L, Sui T, Chen M, et al. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated GJA8 knockout in rabbits recapitulates human congenital cataracts. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:22024. doi:10.1038/srep22024
- 46. Nishimoto S, Kawane K, Watanabe-Fukunaga R, et al. Nuclear cataract caused by a lack of DNA degradation in the mouse eye lens. *Nature*. 2003;424(6952):1071-1074. doi:10.1038/nature01895
- 47. Jara O, Minogue PJ, Berthoud VM, Beyer EC. Chemical chaperone treatment improves levels and distributions of connexins in Cx50D47A mouse lenses. *Exp Eye Res.* 2018;175:192-198. doi:10.1016/j.exer.2018.06.015
- 48. Gioia R, Tonelli F, Ceppi I, et al. The chaperone activity of 4PBA ameliorates the skeletal phenotype of Chihuahua, a zebrafish model for dominant osteogenesis imperfecta. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2017;26(15):2897-2911. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddx171

- 49. Makley LN, McMenimen KA, DeVree BT, et al. Pharmacological chaperone for α-crystallin partially restores transparency in cataract models. *Science*. 2015;350(6261):674-677. doi:10.1126/science.aac9145
- 50. Zhao L, Chen X-J, Zhu J, et al. Lanosterol reverses protein aggregation in cataracts. *Nature*. 2015;523(7562):607-611. doi:10.1038/nature14650
- 51. Anand D, Kakrana A, Siddam AD, Huang H, Saadi I, Lachke SA. RNA sequencing-based transcriptomic profiles of embryonic lens development for cataract gene discovery. *Hum Genet*. 2018;137(11-12):941-954. doi:10.1007/s00439-018-1958-0
- 52. Kakrana A, Yang A, Anand D, et al. iSyTE 2.0: a database for expression-based gene discovery in the eye. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46(D1):D875-D885. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx837
- 53. Lachke SA, Ho JWK, Kryukov GV, et al. iSyTE: integrated Systems Tool for Eye gene discovery. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* 2012;53(3):1617-1627. doi:10.1167/iovs.11-8839
- 54. Aryal S, Anand D, Hernandez FG, et al. MS/MS in silico subtraction-based proteomic profiling as an approach to facilitate disease gene discovery: application to lens development and cataract. *Hum Genet*. December 2019. doi:10.1007/s00439-019-02095-5
- 55. Dash S, Brastrom LK, Patel SD, Scott CA, Slusarski DC, Lachke SA. The master transcription factor SOX2, mutated in anophthalmia/microphthalmia, is post-transcriptionally regulated by the conserved RNA-binding protein RBM24 in vertebrate eye development. *Hum Mol Genet*. December 2019. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddz278
- 30. Nakayama T, Blitz IL, Fish MB, et al. Cas9-based genome editing in Xenopus tropicalis. *Meth Enzymol.* 2014;546:355-375. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-801185-0.00017-9
- 57. Labun K, Montague TG, Gagnon JA, Thyme SB, Valen E. CHOPCHOP v2: a web tool for the next generation of CRISPR genome engineering. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016;44(W1):W272-276. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw398

58. Nieuwkoop PD, Faber J, eds. Normal Table of Xenopus Laevis (Daudin): A Systematical and Chronological Survey of the Development from the Fertilized Egg till the End of Metamorphosis. New York: Garland Pub; 1994.



FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Eye development in *Xenopus laevis*.

Representative sections of embryos staged according to Nieuwkoop and Faber ⁵⁸, hematoxylineeosine stained. **A**, Stage 27, 30 hours post-fertilization at 23°C. Ir lens rudiment; oc optic cup. **B**, Stage 32, 40 hours post-fertilization. Iv lens vesicle. **C**, Stage 38, 2 days 5 hours post-fertilization. le lens epithelium; plfc primary lens fiber cells; rpe retinal pigmented epithelium. **D**, Stage 41, 3 days 4 hours post-fertilization. **D'**, Higher magnification of the lens shown in **D**, with interpretative diagram. **E**, Stage 44, 3 days 20 hours post-fertilization. lfc, lens fiber cells. **F**, Stage 47, 5.5 days post-fertilization. gcl, ganglion cell layer; inl, inner nuclear layer; oc, outer cornea; onl, outer nuclear layer; pr, photoreceptors; rpe retinal pigmented epithelium. **F'**, Higher magnification of the lens shown in **F**. **G**, Stage 48, 1 week post-fertilization. All scale bars 200 μ m except **F'** 90 μ m.

Figure 2. Eye phenotype of *pax6* crispants.

We injected embryos with Cas9 enzyme and a sgRNA targeted against pax6.L and pax6.S or buffer, and we allowed the embryos to develop until stage 41-42. A, We separately amplified the pax6.L and *pax6.S* loci from total embryos for Sanger sequencing. We show representative chromatograms of one sgRNA and one buffer-injected embryo. The sgRNA and PAM sequences are underlined and the cleavage sites are shown. **B**, The percentages of InDels in individual embryos were calculated from Sanger chromatograms with ICE ³⁷. C-E, from top to bottom whole embryo, higher magnification of the eye and histological section of the eye, scale bar 200 µm. C, Buffer-injected embryo, **D-E**. Two Cas9 and sgRNA injected embryos. Key for lens and retina layers, see Figure 1. **F.** Quantification of eve defects, in tadpoles previously injected with the same sgRNA against pax6as above (sgRNA #1) or an alternative sgRNA against pax6 (sgRNA #2) G, Functional vision assay or stage 45 buffer-injected embryos and *pax6* crispants (sgRNA #1). We put 10 tadpoles in a water tank with a black and a white side, we switched the sides and we counted the number of embryos on the white side after one minute. We repeated the switching-counting procedure 10 times for each batch of 10 tadpoles. The cumulative number of embryos on white (between 0 and 100) is shown here, for 8 independent pools of 10 tadpoles. The tadpoles spend more time on white if they are able to distinguish white from black, and the bias in favour of the white side reflects their visual acuity.

Figure 3. Eye phenotype of gja8 crispants.

 \triangleleft

We injected embryos with Cas9 enzyme and a sgRNA targeted against *gja8.L* and *gja8.S*, and we allowed the embryos to develop until stage 47. **A-B**, Representative Sanger chromatograms of one sgRNA and one buffer-injected embryo and percentages of InDels in individual embryos, as in Figures 2A-B. **C**, We subcloned the *gja8.L* amplimere from one *gja8* crispant and we sequenced individual clones. We show the sequences and their number of occurences. ICE is the result of the ICE analysis carried out on the same embryo. **D-E**, from top to bottom whole embryo, higher magnification of the eye, and histological section of the eye, scale bar 200 μ m. **D**, Buffer-injected embryo. **E**, Cas9 and sgRNA-injected embryo. Note the greyish lens. **F**, Quantification of cataract, in tadpoles previously injected with the same sgRNA against *gja8* above (sgRNA #1) or an alternative sgRNA against *gja8* (sgRNA #2) **G-H**, From top to bottom, DAPI staining, Phalloidin staining, and Merge of lens sections. A and P highlight the anterior and posterior pole of the lens. **G**, Buffer-injected embryo. **H**, Cas9 and sgRNA #1-injected embryo. **I**, Functional vision assay of stage 47 buffer-injected embryos and *gja8* crispants (sgRNA #1), as in Figure 2G.

Figure 4. Eye phenotype of *dnase2b* crispants.

We injected embryos with Cas9 enzyme and a mixture of sgRNA targeted against *dnase2b.S* and a putative *dnase2b.L* locus, and we allowed the embryos to develop. **A-B**, Representative Sanger chromatograms of one sgRNA and one buffer-injected embryo and percentages of InDels in individual embryos, as in Figures 2A-B. Since we failed to amplify the putative *dnase2b.L* locus, only the results for *dnase2b.S* are shown. **C-D**, Stage 41 larvae previously injected with buffer (**C**) or Cas9-sgRNA (**D**). Insets are high magnifications of the eyes of the same larvae. **E**, Functional vision assay of buffer-injected embryos and *dnase2b* crispants, as in Figure **2F**. **F**, Histological section of the eye of a buffer-injected stage 41 tadpole. **G**, Section of the eye of a Cas9 and sgRNA-injected stage 41 tadpole with microphthalia. **H-I**, Same as F-G with stage 45 tadpoles. Scale bars 200 μ m.

Figure 5. Effect of 4-phenylbutyrate on the vision of *gja8* crispants.

We injected embryos with buffer or Cas9 enzyme and a sgRNA targeted against *gja8.L* and *gja8.S* (sgRNA #1). We allowed them to develop until stage 44, and we incubated half of them for 48h in 4PBA. We led them recover overnight in water before testing their vision as in Figure 2G.



morphologically normal zebrafish tetraploids.

4. Diploidization of haploids by transient heat shocks during the teratogenic heat resilient windows aids in effective generation of gynogenic diploids.

Funded By:

- 1) Wellcome Trust Department of Biotechnology India Alliance (Intermediate Fellowship to Sreelaja Nair). Grant Number 13X301
- 2) Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (Department of Atomic Energy Government of India). Grant Number 12P0127



morphologically normal zebrafish tetraploids.

4. Diploidization of haploids by transient heat shocks during the teratogenic heat resilient windows aids in effective generation of gynogenic diploids.

Funded By:

- 1) Wellcome Trust Department of Biotechnology India Alliance (Intermediate Fellowship to Sreelaja Nair). Grant Number 13X301
- 2) Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (Department of Atomic Energy Government of India). Grant Number 12P0127

FIGURE 3 (2 columns)



- 1) Wencome must Department of Servation on the Annance (intermediate Fellowship to Sreelaja Nair). Grant Number 13X301
- 2) Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (Department of Atomic Energy Government of India). Grant Number 12P0127



- Wellcome Trust Department of Biotechnology India Alliance (Intermediate Fellowship to Sreelaja Nair). Grant Number 13X301
- Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (Department of Atomic Energy Government of India). Grant Number 12P0127



Figure 5, 1 column

- 3. Heat shock during the teratogenic heat resilient window enables generation of morphologically normal zebrafish tetraploids.
- 4. Diploidization of haploids by transient heat shocks during the teratogenic heat resilient windows aids in effective generation of gynogenic diploids.

Funded By:

- 1) Wellcome Trust Department of Biotechnology India Alliance (Intermediate Fellowship to Sreelaja Nair). Grant Number 13X301
- 2) Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (Department of Atomic Energy Government of India). Grant Number 12P0127