

# Calling rhythm as a predictor of the outcome of vocal interactions: flight departure in pale-winged starling pairs

Martine Hausberger, Aline Giacalone, Mariane Harmand, Adrian J.F.K. Craig, Laurence Henry

## ▶ To cite this version:

Martine Hausberger, Aline Giacalone, Mariane Harmand, Adrian J.F.K. Craig, Laurence Henry. Calling rhythm as a predictor of the outcome of vocal interactions: flight departure in pale-winged starling pairs. The Science of Nature Naturwissenschaften, 2020, 107 (1), pp.2. 10.1007/s00114-019-1658-1. hal-02402295

## HAL Id: hal-02402295 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-02402295v1

Submitted on 10 Dec 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Title
2	Calling rhythm as a predictor of the outcome of vocal interactions: flight
3	departure in pale-winged starling pairs
4	
5	
6	Authors
7	Martine Hausberger <sup>1</sup> Aline Giacalone <sup>2</sup> , Mariane Harmand <sup>2</sup> , Adrian J.F.K. Craig <sup>3</sup> ,
8	Laurence Henry <sup>2</sup>
9	
10	Affiliation
11	<sup>1</sup> CNRS, Laboratoire d'Ethologie animale et humaine, UMR 6552, Université de Rennes,
12	Université de Caen-Normandie, France
13	<sup>2</sup> Université de Rennes, Laboratoire d'Ethologie animale et humaine, UMR 6552, CNRS,
14	Université de Caen-Normandie, France
15	<sup>3</sup> Department of Zoology & Entomology, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, South Africa
16	
17	Corresponding author: Laurence Henry: <u>lhenry@univ-rennes1.fr</u>
18	
19	

#### 20 Abstract

21 Vocal communication plays an important role in the regulation of social interactions and the coordination of activities in many animal species. Synchrony is an essential part of the 22 establishment and maintenance of pair bonds, but few reports have investigated decision 23 24 making at the pair level. We investigated temporal characteristics of call exchanges in palewinged starlings (Onychognathus nabouroup) that could predict whether one, two, or neither 25 members of a pair would take off. Our analysis of these interactions revealed that the overall 26 rhythm of a call exchange, as well as the acceleration towards the end of an interaction, were 27 significantly associated with the type of behavioural outcome. Faster rhythms were associated 28 with higher probabilities that both birds would fly away. Our results confirm the findings of 29 previous studies showing that higher rates of alarm calls indicate imminent departure and 30 highlight the relationship between temporal features of vocal interactions and their outcome. 31

32

Keywords decision making, preflight call, vocal interactions, *Sturnids*, *Onychognathus nabouroup*,

35

### 36 Introduction

Acoustic communication plays a major role in the coordination of activities that are an essential part (Tinbergen 1953) in the social lives of, for example, non-human primates such as *Macaca radiata* (Hohmann 1989) and African savannah elephants *Loxodonta africana* (McComb et al. 2000). Specific recruitment signals can help conspecifics perceive an emitter's intention to leave (King and Cowlishaw 2009). Different types of acoustic vocal or non-vocal signals associated with group departures in contexts of transition (e.g., from resting to foraging or changing foraging patches) have been described. These include moving calls in meerkats

Suricata suricatta (Bousquet et al. 2011), piping signals in honeybees Apis mellifera (Seeley 44 and Tautz 2001), trills in white-faced capuchins Cebus capucinus (Boinsky 1993), flight calls 45 in green wood hoopoes Phoeniculus purpureus (Radford 2004) and back glances in both 46 Tonkean macaque Macaca tonkeana and rhesus macaques Macaca mulatta (Sueur and Petit 47 2010). Group-decision to move away can also be related to arousal-inducing contexts and the 48 alarm calls of different species can induce the departure of group members while giving 49 indications of the type of predator (Zuberbühler 2002; Seyfarth and Cheney 2003) or the nature 50 of the threat (Sciuridae: Weary and Kramer 1995; Blumstein and Armitage 1997; Warkentin et 51 al. 2001; primates: Ouattara et al. 2009) via specific call types. Faster call rates indicate the 52 53 proximity of danger and induce immediate departure in Campbell's monkeys' Cercopithecus campbelli group members (Lemasson et al. 2010). Increased call production is associated with 54 more successful "recruitments" and can be the result of more group members producing calls 55 (e.g., Bousquet et al. 2011; Stewart and Harcourt 1994), accelerated rate of calls by the emitter 56 (Lemasson et al. 2010) or possibly both (Walker et al. 2017). 57

Vocal coordination can be of primary importance. This is shown by "turn-taking" in a variety 58 of species (nightingales Luscinia megarhynchos (Naguib 1990), bottlenose dolphins Tursiops 59 truncatus (Janik 2000); elephants, (Soltis et al. 2005); Campbell's monkeys (Lemasson et al. 60 61 2011), white-winged vampire bats *Diaemus youngi* (Carter et al. 2008); naked mole-rats Heterocephalus glaber (Yosida et al. 2007)) when the temporal alternation is of primary 62 importance for the outcome of the interaction (e.g., Henry et al. 2015a). The synchronisation of 63 vocalisations can depend upon context (Dahlin and Benedict 2014), revealing some degree of 64 flexibility (Oller and Griebel 2008). While the existence of specific "flight calls" in birds is 65 known, their potential involvement in synchronising departures has rarely been described. 66 Radford (2004) reported that, in wood hoopoes, cackling calls produced during the flight when 67 the emitter moves to a new foraging site announce departure and attract the attention of other 68

group members. However, relatively little is known concerning the role of acoustic signals in 69 decision-making at the pair level, even though synchrony is an essential part of the 70 establishment and maintenance of pair bonds. Females of barnacle geese Branta leucopsis can 71 produce vocalisations which inhibit or encourage ritual display in males (Hausberger and Black 72 1990). Ritualised head and neck movements of whooper swan Cygnus cygnus and tundra swan 73 Cygnus columbianus bewickii, called "pre-flight rituals", serve as a mechanism for triggering 74 synchronised flight (Black 1988): the rate of signalling increases at the end of a preflight 75 sequence. 76

Considering that the rate of production is a major aspect of the success of specific calls in 77 shared decisions for group departures, we hypothesised that both calling rhythm and synchrony 78 would be a major aspect of pair decision-making for flight departure by monogamous birds. To 79 test this hypothesis, we analysed the temporal features of flight call sequences emitted during 80 81 within-pair interactions by pale-winged starlings Onychognathus nabouroup, a monogamous species with long-living mates. We predicted that acceleration of the rhythm of vocal 82 interactions would signal intent to depart and hence promote simultaneous departure, whereas 83 no acceleration of the slower rhythms would indicate reluctance of one of the partners to depart. 84

85

## 86 Methods

#### 87 Study sites and subjects

We studied a resident population of pale-winged starlings in Augrabies Falls National Park, South Africa. These birds are habituated to humans as they feed and rest close to the tourists' accommodations. This species is locally common in the arid regions of south-western Africa, where they breed in monogamous pairs on rocky outcrops (Feare and Craig 1998; Hulley et al. 2002). Pale winged starlings' plumage is sexually monomorphic (Craig and Feare 2009). Accurate sexing requires precise measurements of the tail, wing, tarsus, and culmen as well as
their weight after catching (Henry et al. 2015b).

The study took place between 2 November and 31 December 2015. The population has 95 been followed since 2011 as a part of a long term comparative project on the evolution of vocal 96 communication in relation to social evolution. Ringing campaigns between 2011 and 2013 led 97 to ringing 87 individuals. Bird ringing was done using small plastic split rings with unique 98 colour combinations. Unfortunately, the colour rings used then did not resist very well the harsh 99 arid climate, and only part of these ringed birds could be easily recognised for behavioural 100 observations. Re-sightings and re-capture made on different fieldwork sessions revealed that 101 102 these birds show a high site and partner fidelity over years (Lange et al. in prep).

Moreover, field observations of pre-flight interactions had to be opportunistic as they are occasional and rather unpredictable events from a human point of view. Thus, the study required that the observer was present before the flight call sequence started, and at a distance allowing proper recording and observation. We opportunistically recorded 43 pre-flight sequences from 18 pairs (9 ringed and 9 unringed) at different locations within the park's residential area, thus ensuring that they were different birds (Lange et al. in prep).

109

#### **Recordings and terminology**

A total of 31 hours of song were opportunistically recorded by one observer (MH1) between 6 am and 6 pm. A call sequence was considered to start with the first call produced by either of the birds of the pair and to end by the departure of one or both subjects, a significant change in activity (e.g., to foraging or singing) or a silence lasting more than 10 seconds (i.e., the maximum interval between two calls in an exchange leading to flight). A departure involved movements of at least several meters and change of location while vertical movements such as landing on the ground or perching on a higher branch were not considered as interrupting the

flight call sequence. During recordings, the birds' behaviour and identity of the emitter were 118 recorded. The identity of the emitter was identified based on ring colours when one or both 119 birds were ringed. If one of the birds was not ringed, the first emitter was identified as "A" and 120 the second one as "B". Birds were visually followed until they were out of sight. Vocalisations 121 were recorded on a Marantz PMD 661 MKII recorder (.wav format; sampling rate: 48 kHz; 122 resolution: 16 bit; frequency response 20-20 000 Hz) and with a Sennheiser MKH416-P48U 123 microphone. A sequence was included in the analyses only if the observer was present before 124 the sequence started and there were no other pale-winged starlings within hearing distance. 125

The possible outcomes of a call sequence were recorded as C0: neither bird flew away, and both members of the pair were silent for more than 10s; C1: one of the pair flew away; C2: both birds flew away. We were able to record 43 sequences (13 for C0, 11 for C1 and 19 for C2), 14 of them from unique pairs, recorded only once over the whole recording period (5 for C0, 4 for C1 and 5 for C2).

131

#### 132 Measurements and statistical analyses

Recordings were analysed using a sound analysis and synthesis software (Richard 133 1991). Sonograms were calculated with an FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) using a 256-points 134 Hanning window and a 128-points step. Sampling frequency was 22 kHz, and pixel size 87 Hz 135 x 11.5 ms. Given the constraints mentioned above (i.e., opportunistic nature of the recordings, 136 rarity of this event, proportion of unringed birds) and because sometimes the birds were not 137 permanently visible due to environmental constraints, we considered each sequence as an 138 independent event (see also Walker et al. 2017). Thus, different pairs were differently 139 represented in the samples, but we controlled for this difference in the statistical models (see 140 below). Moreover, during a call exchange, we were occasionally not able to identify the emitter 141

within a pair, especially when the rhythm increased. Therefore, each pair was introduced in themodel as an "individual" and measurements were taken at the sequence level.

For each recorded sequence, one of the authors (AG) measured the following 144 parameters: number of calls per sequence, duration of the sequence (sec) and rhythm (number 145 of calls per second). In order to evaluate the potential acceleration of the calling rhythm within 146 a sequence, we divided sequences into three equal parts based on the number of calls in the 147 sequence: "start", "middle" and "end" (Fig 1). The duration of the first part of the sequence was 148  $X = 19.8 \pm 9.8s$  (range: 2.5 to 49), the duration of the middle part was  $X = 19.1 \pm 12.06 s$  (range: 149 3.9 to 59), and the duration of the last part was  $X=14.4\pm9.9s$ . (range: 2 to 46). We then compared 150 the call rhythm during the first (i.e., "start") and the last parts (i.e., "end") of each sequence. 151 We decided to take a similar proportion of the sequence rather than an absolute time because 152 there was a large variability (even within contexts) in the sequences' durations (from 10.5 sec 153 154 to 158 sec, X=53.24±27,79 sec). By taking into consideration the same proportion of time (i.e., first and last third of sequences), we considered that differences between sequences duration 155 were scaled down. 156

We used an ordered logistic regression to identify which parameters were the best 157 predictors of an outcome. The parameters included in the model were sequence duration, 158 number of calls per sequence, and calls per second. Then we applied a general linear mixed 159 model (GLMM) to test for differences between the rhythm of the first and last parts of 160 sequences according to the behavioural outcome of the pair. In this second analysis, pairs were 161 considered as a random variable and were included as "individual" effects in the model. 162 Normality of residuals was verified using a quantile-quantile plot. Tukey post hoc tests were 163 used to test potential differences in calling rhythms among behavioural outcomes. We also used 164 Tukey post hoc tests to test potential differences between rhythms of the first and last parts of 165 sequences. 166

To test for differences, we performed non-parametric statistics. Changes in rhythm within a sequence according to outcome were estimated by comparing the last (C0:  $X=20.5\pm11.9$ , C1:  $X=15.8\pm10.2$ , C2: =9.5 $\pm5.1$  sec before the end) and the first parts (C0:  $X=17.7\pm12.9$ , C1:  $X=21.9\pm7.6$ , C2: =19.8 $\pm8.7$  sec) of a sequence using a Wilcoxon paired test for related samples (Siegel 1956). Statistical significance was set at p=0.05. Results are expressed as means and standard error. All statistical analyses were run using R 3.5.3 software (2009) and lme4 package.

174

175

## 176 **Results**

The logistic regression revealed that the number of calls per second was the only 177 parameter that was significantly associated with call sequence outcomes ( $\chi^2=4.1$ , df= 1, p=.04). 178 The duration of sequences ( $\chi^2=0.61$ , df= 1, p=.43), the number of calls per sequence ( $\chi^2=0.53$ , 179 df= 1, p= .46) and the interaction between these two parameters ( $\chi^2=0.8$ , df= 1, p= .37) were 180 not associated with a given outcome (Fig 2a, 2b, 2c), (table S1). Likewise, the interactions 181 between number of calls per second and sequence duration ( $\chi^2=0.05$ , df= 1, p= .8) and between 182 number of calls per second and number of calls per sequence ( $\chi^2=0.02$ , df= 1, p= .87) were not 183 associated with a given outcome. 184

185

The GLMM revealed that the difference in calling rhythms (number of calls per second) between the first part and the last part of a sequence varied with the outcome ( $\chi^2$ =399.01, df=1, p=10<sup>-16</sup>). It also revealed that the calling rhythm globally accelerated during a sequence (Tukey test: z=15.38, p=10<sup>-16</sup>). However, outcomes differed according to the overall calling rhythm ( $\chi^2$ =255.26, df=2, p=10<sup>-16</sup>) (Fig 2*c*), and we found an interaction between the type of outcome and the difference of calling rhythm between the first and the last part of a sequence ( $\chi^2$ =161.48, df= 2, p=  $10^{-16}$ ) indicating that modifications of the calling rhythm within a sequence, more precisely the acceleration rate of call rhythm at the end of a sequence (i.e. change between end and start parts) was associated with a given outcome. Thus, no acceleration was found when none of the birds flew away (C0: W=50, N=12, p=.41), highly variable but increased rhythm was detected before only one bird left (C1: W=6=5.5, N=11, p=.01), and a very consistent increase was detected before both birds departed (W=3, N=19, p= .00002) (Fig 3).

198

## 199 **Discussion**

Our analysis of the temporal pattern of pale-winged starling pairs' interactional preflight calling 200 sequences revealed that 1) the overall rhythm of calls was associated with the probability of a 201 pair's departure, i.e., faster rhythms were associated with higher probabilities of departure of 202 both birds, 2) these faster rhythms were mainly due to an acceleration at the end of an 203 interaction, just before departure of the pair. Neither of the members of a pair departed when 204 there was no acceleration. This highlights the fact that calling rhythm is the parameter involved 205 in the outcome of an interaction. This study bridges the gap between movement decision 206 making at the group level, as frequently described in the literature, and decision making at the 207 pair level, more scarcely described. 208

209

Our results are in agreement with previous reports that indicate that faster calling rhythms are associated with higher consensus of movement before groups move off (Ouattara et al. 2009; Stewart and Harcourt 1994). Increased calling rhythm can be achieved by an individual increasing the call rhythm, by a large number of emitters (e.g. Bousquet et al. 2011), or possibly both (Walker et al. 2017). In this study, we could not assess the input of each member of the pair in the acceleration phase. However, the faster calling rhythm observed before departure was probably due to both an individual increase and a greater synchronisation.

This hypothesis is supported by the fact that the pattern of sequences preceding departure of a 217 pair is more consistent than the pattern preceding the departure of only one bird. The increased 218 calling rhythm in pale-winged starlings probably reflects the emitter's arousal level in relation 219 to fear, as it has been described for other species (Lemasson et al. 2010) or its arousal due to 220 intended movement (e.g., Stewart and Harcourt 1994) but the level of the receiver's (i.e., mate) 221 response could play a major modulating role on the emitter's vocal production and hence its 222 arousal level and motivation to depart. During "triumph ceremonies", the males of many Anatid 223 species initiate this ritual display and females decide whether or not to join in by alternating 224 calls and displays with their partners (Hausberger and Black 1990; Johnsgard 1962). The older 225 a couple of barnacle geese, the less likely the females are to join in and the more likely they are 226 to produce signals that interrupt the male's display (Bigot et al. 1995). We did not know the 227 ages of the pairs in our study, but this factor may be, apart from other immediate motivational 228 factors, an important aspect that would deserve further investigation. 229

Group decision making studies converge to indicate that a "quorum" has to be reached 230 before an entire group moves off (Sueur and Petit 2008). In meerkats, research has shown that 231 at least 2 or 3 individuals have to emit moving calls before the whole group moves to a new 232 foraging patch (Bousquet et al. 2011). In the case of pair interactions, the mate's reactions 233 constitute the only potential social modulating factor. The fact that a proportion of pale winged 234 starlings' flight call sequences ended without a departure shows that the first emitter's 235 motivation can decrease to the point of renouncing to move. This outcome was associated with 236 an overall slower calling rhythm during the whole sequence, mostly due to the lack of 237 acceleration towards the end of the sequence. Probably, the best explanation is that the weaker 238 responses, and thus less "joining in" of the mate, decreased the emitter's motivation. This 239 decrease in the motivation could have decreased its arousal level and, consequently, calling 240 rhythm, too. Future studies should investigate whether, during a sequence, these birds produce, 241

like barnacle geese, specific vocal signals that inhibit their mates' arousal and related vocal
production. The existence of potential inhibitory acoustic signals is a promising line that has
been under-investigated and which would demonstrate an active part of the receiver in
interrupting the emitter's behaviour. At that stage, the passive inhibitory effect of receivers by
"not joining in" vocally (Bousquet et al. 2011; Walker et al. 2017) or spatially (Sueur and Petit
2008; Ramseyer et al. 2009; King and Sueur 2011) has been described in a variety of species.

The communication behaviours of a few bird species aiming to recruit conspecifics, attract attention, and prevent departure have been previously described. A green wood hoopoe individual that vocalises when leaving its group is significantly more likely to be followed to a new foraging site (Radford 2004). This vocalisation advertises departure and attracts the attention of other group members. Sandhill cranes' *Grus canadensis* pre-flight behaviour leads to coordinated departures (Tacha 1984) as do the pre-flight rituals of whooper swans (Black 1988).

255

#### 256 Acknowledgements

Patrick and Mary Hulley, Michael Ford, Arjen Van Zwieten helped catch and ring birds;
Tshifhiwa Mandiwana-Neudani assisted in organising fieldwork. We are particularly grateful
to SanParks for permitting this research and SANParks staff, especially Sharon Thompson as
scientific coordinator, Frans van Rooyen and Leane van Vyk, in Augrabies Falls National Park,
for their assistance in many ways.

The authors thank Véronique Biquand for her help with statistics and Ann Cloarec for correcting the English.

264

#### 265 **Compliance with ethical standards**

266 **Conflict of interests** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

268	Ethical approval All procedures performed in studies involving animals were in accordance
269	with the ethical standards of the institution or practice at which the studies were conducted.
270	Ethics approval for capture and ringing of birds were granted to Adrian Craig (Rhodes
271	University Ethical Standards Committee, RU-LAD-15-09-0001). Research in the national park
272	and handling of the birds by qualified bird-ringers was approved by the ethical committee of
273	South African National Parks (#HAUM1381).
274	
275	Data accessibility Data are available in the supplementary electronic material at:
276	https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7448795.v1
277	
278	Authors' contributions
279	MH2, LH, MH1, and AC designed the procedure; MH1 performed the field recordings; MH1,
280	LH, MH2, AG, analysed the data; AG, LH, MH2, AC wrote the paper.
281	
282	Funding
283	This research is part of an International Associated Laboratory project (Vocom) jointly funded
284	by CNRS (France), NRF (South Africa), Rennes 1 University (France).
285	
286	References
287	Bigot E, Hausberger M, Black, JM (1995) Exuberant youth: the example of triumph ceremonies
288	in barnacle geese (Branta leucopsis). Ethol Ecol Evol 7: 79-85. 37
289	Black JM (1988) Preflight signalling in swans: a mechanism for group cohesion and flock
290	formation. Ethology 79: 143-157

- Blumstein DT, Armitage KB (1997) Alarm calling in yellow-bellied marmots: I. The meaning
- of situationally variable alarm calls. Anim Behav 53: 143-171
- 293 Boinsky S (1993) Vocal Coordination of Troop Movement Among White-Faced Capuchin
- 294 Monkeys, *Cebus capucinus*. Am J Prim 30: 85-100
- Bousquet CAH, Sumpter DJT, Manser MB (2011) Moving calls: a vocal mechanism underlying
- quorum decisions in cohesive groups. Proc. R. Soc. B 278: 1482-1488.
- 297 Carter GG, Skowronski MD, Faure PA, Fenton B (2008) Antiphonal calling allows individual
- discrimination in white-winged vampire bats. Anim Behav 76: 1343-1355
- 299 Craig AJFK, Feare, CJ (2009) Familiy Sturnidae (starlings). In: Del Hoyo J, Elliott A, Christie
- D (eds) Handbook of the birds of the world, Vol. 14, Barcelona: Lynx Editions, pp. 654-758
- 301 Dahlin CR, Benedict L (2014) Angry birds need not apply: a perspective on the flexible form
- and multifunctionality of avian vocal duets. Ethology 120: 1-10
- Feare C, Craig AJFK (1998) Starlings and mynas. London, A & C Black.
- Hausberger M, Black JM (1990) Do females turn males on and off in barnacle goose social
  display? Ethology 84: 232-238
- Henry L, Craig, AJFK, Lemasson A, Hausberger M (2015a) Social coordination in animal vocal
- interactions. Is there any evidence of turn-taking? The starling as an animal model. FrontPsychol 6: 325–328.
- Henry L, Biquand V, Craig AJFK, Hausberger M (2015b) Sexing adult pale-winged starlings
  using morphometric and discriminant function analysis. PLoS ONE, 10 (9), pp.e0135628.
- Hohmann G (1989) Vocal communication of wild bonnet macaques (*Macaca radiata*).
  Primates 30: 325-345
- Hulley PE, Craig AJFK, Walter GH (2002) Group displays in pale-winged starlings. Afr Zool
  37: 105-107.

- Janik VM (2000) Whistle matching in wild bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). Science
  289: 1355-1357
- Johnsgard PA (1962) Evolutionary trends in the behavior and morphology of the Anatidae,
- 318 Wildfowl 13: 340, 130-148
- King AJ, Cowlishaw G (2009) Leaders, followers, and group decision-making. Communicative
- 320 & Integrative Biology 2: 147-150.
- 321 King AJ, Sueur C (2011) Where next? Group coordination and collective decision making by
- 322 primates. Int. J. Primatol 3: 1245-1267
- Lemasson A, Glas L, Barbu S, Lacroix A, Guilloux M, Remeuf K, Koda H (2011) Youngsters
- do not pay attention to conversational rules: also in nonhuman primates? Sci Rep 1: 22.
- Lemasson A, Ouattara K, Bouchet H, Zuberbühler K (2010) Speed of call delivery is related to
- context and caller identity in Campbell's monkey males. Naturwissenschaften 97: 1023-1027.
- 327 McComb K, Moss C, Sayialel S, Baker L (2000) Unusually extensive networks of vocal
- recognition in African elephants. Anim Behav 59: 1103-1109
- Naguib M (1990) Effects of song overlapping and alternating in nocturnally singing
  nightingales. Anim Behav 88: 1061-1067
- Oller K, Griebel, U (2008) Evolution of communicative flexibility: Complexity, creativity, and
- adaptability in human and animal communication. Cambridge, MA, MIT Press.
- 333 Ouattara K, Zuberbühler K, N'goran EK, Gombert JE, Lemasson A (2009) The alarm call
- system of female Campbell's monkeys. Anim Behav 78: 35-44
- R Development Core Team, R: a language and environment for statistical computing, 2009
- Vienna Austria) R Foundation for Statistical Computing ISBN 3-900051-07-0 Available from:
- 337 <u>http://www.R-project.org/</u>
- 338 Radford AN (2004) Vocal coordination of group movement by green wood hoopoes
- 339 (*Phoeniculus purpureus*). Ethology 110: 11-20

- Ramseyer A, Petit O, Thierry B (2009) Decision-making in group departures of female
  domestic geese. Behaviour 146: 351-371
- Richard JP (1991) Sound analysis and synthesis using an Amiga microcomputer. Bioacoustics
  3: 45-60
- 344 Seeley T, Tautz J (2001) Worker piping in honey bee swarms and its role in preparing for liftoff.
- 345 J Comp Physiol 187: 667-676.
- Seyfarth RM, Cheney DL (2003) Meaning and emotion in animal vocalizations. Ann NY Acad
  Sci 1000: 32–55
- Siegel S (1956) Nonparametric statistics for the behavioural sciences. McGraw-Hill,
  Kogakusha, Ltd.
- Soltis J, Leong K, Savage A (2005) African elephant vocal communication I: antiphonal calling
- behaviour among affiliated females. Anim Behav 70: 579-587
- 352 Stewart KJ, Harcourt AH (1994) Gorillas' Vocalizations During Rest Periods: Signals of
- 353 Impending Departure? Behaviour 130(1):29-40
- Sueur J, Petit O (2008) Shared or unshared consensus decision in macaques? Behav Processes.
  78: 84-92
- Sueur C, Petit O (2010) Signals use by leaders in Macaca tonkeana and Macaca mulatta: group-
- mate recruitment and behaviour monitoring. Anim Cogn 13: 239–248
- Tacha, T.C. (1984) Preflight behavior of sandhill cranes. Wilson Bulletin 96: 471-477
- Tinbergen N (1953) Social Behaviour in Animals. Chapman and Hall, London.
- 360 Walker RH, King AJ, McNutt JW, Jordan NR (2017) Sneeze to leave: African wild dogs
- 361 (Lycaon pictus) use variable quorum thresholds facilitated by sneezes in collective decisions.
- 362 Proc R Soc B 284: 20170347
- Warkentin KJ, Keeley AT, Hare JF (2001) Repetitive calls of juvenile Richardson's ground
- squirrels (*Spermophilus richardsonii*) communicate response urgency. Can J Zool 79: 569-573

- Weary DM, Kramer DL (1995) Response of eastern chipmunks to conspecific alarm calls.
- 366 Anim Behav 49: 81-93
- 367 Yosida S, Kobayasi KI, Ikebuchi M, Ozaki R, Okanoya K (2007) Antiphonal Vocalization of a
- Subterranean Rodent, the Naked Mole-Rat (*Heterocephalus glaber*). Ethology 113: 703-710
- 369 Zuberbühler K (2002) A syntactic rule in forest monkey communication. Anim Behav 63: 293-
- 370 299

#### **Figure captions**

372

Fig. 1 Sequence of calls (N=36 calls) between 2 ringed birds preceding the flight of both partners (C2 outcome). Each call is noted with the letter of the emitter (A= first emitter, B=second emitter) and a number indicating the order of arrival in the emitter's succession of calls. Triangles indicate the beginning and end of the sequence and the total duration of the whole sequence is indicated at the end (i.e., 40,3 s). The sequence is divided into three equal parts (1/3 = 12 calls) separated here by dotted lines. NA= Calls for which the individual emitter could not be identified.

500

**Fig. 2** Sequence characteristics. A: Mean duration of sequence according to behavioural

outcome. B: mean number of calls per sequence according to behavioural outcome. C: mean

number of calls per second, \*\*: p<.01

384

Fig. 3 Changes in the rhythm of a vocal interaction (calls/s) between the "start" and "end" parts of sequences for the three behavioural outcome categories: C0: neither bird flew away, C1: one of the pair flew away, and C2: both birds flew away. Each line represents a different pair. \*p=0.01, \*\*p=.00001





