

Social distribution of tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking and obesity in the French West Indies

Aviane Auguste, Julien Dugas, Gwenn Menvielle, Christine Barul,

Jean-Baptiste Richard, Daniele Luce

▶ To cite this version:

Aviane Auguste, Julien Dugas, Gwenn Menvielle, Christine Barul, Jean-Baptiste Richard, et al.. Social distribution of tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking and obesity in the French West Indies. BMC Public Health, 2019, 19 (1), pp.1424. 10.1186/s12889-019-7802-1. hal-02364725

HAL Id: hal-02364725 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-02364725

Submitted on 9 Jul 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Social distribution of tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking and obesity in the French West Indies

Aviane Auguste¹, Julien Dugas¹, Gwenn Menvielle², Christine Barul¹, Jean-Baptiste Richard³ and Danièle Luce^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Tobacco smoking, alcohol and obesity are important risk factors for a number of non-communicable diseases. The prevalence of these risk factors differ by socioeconomic group in most populations, but this socially stratified distribution may depend on the social and cultural context. Little information on this topic is currently available in the Caribbean. The aim of this study was to describe the distribution of tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking and obesity by several socioeconomic determinants in the French West Indies (FWI).

Methods: We used data from a cross-sectional health survey conducted in Guadeloupe and Martinigue in 2014 in a representative sample of the population aged 15-75 years (n = 4054). All analyses were stratified by gender, and encompassed sample weights, calculated to account for the sampling design and correct for non-response. For each risk factor, we calculated weighted prevalence by income, educational level, occupational class and having hot water at home. Poisson regression models were used to estimate age-adjusted prevalence ratios (PR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results: Current smoking and harmful chronic alcohol use were more common in men than in women (PR = 1.80, 95% CI = 1.55-2.09; PR = 4.53, 95% CI = 3.38-6.09 respectively). On the other hand, the prevalence of obesity was higher in women than in men (PR = 0.67, 95% CI = 0.57–0.79). Higher education, higher occupational class and higher income were associated with lower prevalence of harmful alcohol drinking in men (PR = 0.43, 95% Cl = 0.25–0.72; PR =0.73, 95% CI = 0.53–1.01; PR = 0.72, 95% CI = 0.51–1.03 respectively), but not in women. For tobacco smoking, no variation by socioeconomic status was observed in men whereas the prevalence of current smoking was higher among women with higher occupational class (PR = 1.47, 95% CI = 1.13–1.91) and higher income (PR = 1.50, 95% CI = 1.11–2.03). In women, a lower prevalence of obesity was associated with a higher income (PR = 0.43, 95% Cl = 0.33-0.56), a higher occupational class (PR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.50–0.80), a higher educational level (PR = 0.36, 95% CI = 0.26–0.50) and having hot water at home (PR = 0.65, 95% CI = 0.54-0.80).

Conclusion: Women of high socio-economic status were significantly more likely to be smokers, whereas alcohol drinking in men and obesity in women were inversely associated with socioeconomic status.

Keywords: Social disparities, Tobacco smoking, Alcohol drinking, Obesity, Non-communicable diseases, Caribbean, France

* Correspondence: daniele.luce@inserm.fr

R۸

¹Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) -UMR_S 1085, F-97100 Pointe-à-Pitre, France Full list of author information is available at the end of the article









Background

The French West Indies (FWI) is a part of the Caribbean region which is made up of the two overseas French regions, Martinique and Guadeloupe. The French West Indies have a particular situation in the Caribbean. As French territories, Martinique and Guadeloupe are classified as high-income countries, whereas most of other Caribbean states are low or middle-income countries. The FWI population benefits from the same health insurance and financial redistribution systems as the mainland French population. While the French West Indies appear to be a privileged region within the Caribbean, the comparison with the mainland is much less favourable. Although the gross domestic product per capita is one of the highest in the Caribbean, it is only about 65% of the French national average. When compared to the national average, the population of the FWI is characterized by a lower median income, a lower educational level and a higher rate of unemployment. On the other hand, the FWI are close to their Caribbean neighbours with regards to the cultural, historical and climatic context. This unique situation reflects in health conditions, with for most of them an intermediate position between mainland France and other countries in the Caribbean. Cancer and cardiovascular diseases were in 2016 the leading causes of death in the FWI, accounting each for about 25% of all deaths [1]. Cancer incidence rates are overall lower than in mainland France, with the exception of prostate, stomach and cervical cancer, but higher than in other Caribbean countries for most cancer sites. Mortality rates from cardiovascular diseases, although higher than in mainland France, are among the lowest in the Caribbean [1-5]. The prevalence of diabetes is also high in the FWI [6]. Tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking and obesity are important risk factors for a number of non-communicable diseases (NCD), including cancer, diabetes and cardiovascular disease. These risk factors were described in previous studies to be inequitably distributed across the different socio-economic strata. Worldwide, the prevalence of these risk factors tends to be higher in persons of lower socioeconomic status (SES) than in the more affluent groups [7, 8]. This trend however varies with country-level development and the indicators used [7-10]; in mainland France, and other developed countries, lower SES is usually associated to a greater prevalence of these risk factors; whereas, in low and middle-income countries, the reverse association is usually observed [7, 11–13]. However, data in regards to social disparities and NCD risk factors are very scarce in the Caribbean. A study in Barbados addressed the social distribution of NCD risk factors [14]. A systematic review reported data on social determinants of obesity and alcohol consumption in the Caribbean; however, they provide unclear conclusions on the social disparities in this population, due to few data [15]. Knowing the social distribution of risk factors is crucial for the designing of prevention programs and policy in these regions [15]. The specific features of the FWI further warrant a sound understanding of the social distribution of the known NCD risk factors to take appropriate measures for prevention.

In this study, we performed a secondary data analysis from a national survey in order to describe the social distribution of tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking and obesity in the French West Indies.

Methods

Study population, data collection

The data for this study were drawn from a national crosssectional health survey conducted in the FWI in 2014 ("Baromètre Santé DOM", Health Barometer) [16]. The survey was based on a random two stage sampling method: telephone numbers (landlines and cell phones) were randomly generated, then one person was randomly selected among eligible household members or among cell phone users, using the Kish method [17]. Persons aged between 15 and 75 years of age living in Martinique or Guadeloupe who spoke French or Creole were eligible for inclusion. Field investigators conducted the interview over the phone.

Participation was anonymous and voluntary. Anonymity and respect of confidentiality were guaranteed using a procedure erasing the phone number. All included subjects gave informed consent before the telephone interview. Parental consent was obtained for participants under 18. As the participants were contacted exclusively over the phone, the consents were verbal. The overall procedure was approved by the French regulatory authority, the Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés (CNIL).

Overall, 8057 numbers were dialled (3687 landlines and 4407 cell phones). Among them, 35% could not be reached, 11% refused to participate and 3% abandoned the survey before the end of the interview. In the end, 4054 subjects were included in the final sample for Martinique and Guadeloupe. The overall participation rate for the French West Indies was 51% (56% for landlines, 46% for cell phones).

Data were weighted in two steps. To account for the sampling design, sample weights were computed according to the probability of selection of the telephone number, the number of eligible individuals for each telephone number, the number of landline and cell phones of the individual. To correct for non-response, a post-stratification was then performed to match the distribution of the population, according to sex, age, education level and household structure, using data from the 2011 census in Martinique and Guadeloupe.

Variables

All risk factors analysed in our current study were dichotomised. Current smokers were persons who smoked any tobacco product. Lifetime tobacco smokers were those who had smoked tobacco in their lifetime regardless of the duration or frequency. Daily alcohol drinkers were persons who drank at least one glass of alcohol per day. Harmful chronic alcohol use was defined as drinking more than 21 drinks a week for a man and 14 for a woman or drinking six drinks or more on a single occasion weekly [18]. Self-reported height and weight were collected during the phone call and body mass index (BMI) was calculated (weight in kg/height in m²). An obese person was regarded as someone with a BMI of at least 30 kg/m^2 . We used four variables related to socioeconomic status: education, occupational category, income and having hot water at home. Education was defined as the highest educational attainment achieved by an individual participant and categorised into four groups: without diploma or primary education (up to approximately 6 years of schooling), less than high school diploma (up to approximately 9 years of schooling), high school diploma (up to approximately 12 years), and tertiary education (associate's degree or higher) [19]. Occupation was defined as the current occupation for active workers and as the last occupation for retired or unemployed persons, and was classified into three groups based on the French classification of occupations and socio-professional categories [20, 21]: qualified workers (self-employed and entrepreneurs, professionals and managers), unqualified workers (farmers, clerical, sales and service workers, manual workers) and inactive, who were persons who never worked.. Individual income was split into three groups according to the tertiles of the overall distribution of income in our sample. Having hot water at home described someone living in a household where a water heating system was available to heat the running water in the house. Hot water at home is strongly linked to the household income in the FWI and can therefore be viewed as a surrogate for self-reported income, which may be more subject to misclassification or misreporting [22].

Statistical analysis

The prevalence for each risk factor was calculated by gender, age and according to the four socio-economic indicators. Age-adjusted prevalence ratios (PR) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) estimating the associations of the different socio-economic indicators with the risk factors were calculated using a Poisson-regression model. Chisquared tests were performed to assess the statistical trend between the socio-demographics and gender. All analyses encompassed sample weights.

Results

Characteristics and risk factor prevalence

In total 4054 persons were included for the purpose of our analysis. Table 1 shows the distribution of

socio-demographic characteristics of participants in our sample. The participants were equally distributed between Martinique and Guadeloupe and there were slightly more women than men (ratio of women to men 1.2). Men were more frequently under 25 years of age and had higher income when compared to women. On the other hand, women had more frequently tertiary education and hot water at home when compared to men. Very few data were missing for most variables ($\leq 1\%$) with the exception of individual income and body mass index (14 and 6% respectively). Table 2 shows the prevalence of risk factors. Overall, ever tobacco smoking was the most prevalent risk factor among participants. Men were significantly more likely to be smokers and alcohol drinkers. The prevalence of ever and current smokers was two-fold grater in men than in women (PR = 1.98, 95% CI = 1.75-2.24 and PR = 1.80, 95% CI = 1.55–2.09 respectively). Similarly, the prevalence of daily alcohol drinking and harmful chronic drinking was 4 times greater in men than in women (PR = 4.15, 95% CI = 3.11-5.55 and PR = 4.53, 95% CI = 3.38-6.09 respectively). Inversely men were significantly less likely to be obese than women (PR = 0.67, 95% CI = 0.57-0.79).

Table 3 shows the prevalence of risk factors by gender and age. In both men and women, for all tobacco and alcohol-related variables, the highest prevalence was consistently observed in the 25 to 34 age group when compared to the other age groups. We observed a regular decrease of the prevalence of current tobacco smoking from 24 to 75 years of age, A similar trend, although less apparent, was found for ever smoking. On the other hand, in both men and women, daily alcohol drinking increased with age whereas harmful chronic alcohol drinking decreased with age. In terms of obesity, women between 55 and 64 years were the most frequently obese (28.9%), followed by the 25 to 34 age group with 23.8%. The obesity prevalence in men was quite homogenous across age groups with the exception of men under 24 years for whom the prevalence was notably lower (4.9%).

Social distribution of risk factors

Tables 4 and 5 show in women and men respectively, the prevalence of risk factors by socio-economic category, as well as age-adjusted prevalence ratios, and 95% CI of the Poisson regression model, estimating the associations between the socio-economic indicators and those risk factors. In women, ever smoking prevalence was seen to increase with higher socio-economic status. The prevalence was significantly greater in women who had tertiary education (PR = 1.45, 95% CI = 1.07-1.96), and who occupied qualified jobs (PR = 1.60, 95% CI = 1.30-1.98) and who had the highest incomes (PR = 1.63, 95% CI = 1.28-2.08). Similarly, compared to persons in lower SES class, current smoking prevalence was significantly greater in women of in qualified jobs, and those

Characteristic	Category	Mei	n	Wom	nen	Over	p^{\dagger}	
		n = 1849	% ^a	n = 2205	% ^a	n = 4054	% ^a	
Age (years)								0.0721
	15–24	351	(19.0)	348	(15.8)	699	(17.2)	
	25–34	232	(12.5)	311	(14.1)	543	(13.4)	
	35–44	348	(18.8)	459	(20.8)	807	(19.9)	
	45–54	396	(21.4)	466	(21.1)	862	(21.3)	
	55–64	303	(16.4)	359	(16.3)	662	(16.3)	
	65–75	219	(11.8)	263	(11.9)	481	(11.9)	
Recruitement site								0.9135
	Martinique	922	(49.9)	1104	(50.1)	2026	(50.0)	
	Guadeloupe	927	(50.1)	1101	(49.9)	2028	(50.0)	
Education level								< 0.0001
	Up to primary education	462	(25.2)	511	(23.3)	973	(24.2)	
	Less than high school diploma	811	(44.3)	818	(37.4)	1629	(40.5)	
	High school diploma	279	(15.2)	421	(19.2)	700	(17.4)	
	Tertiary education	280	(15.3)	439	(20.1)	719	(17.9)	
	Missing	17		16		33		
Occupational Class								0.0567
	Inactive	245	(13.2)	339	(15.4)	584	(14.4)	
	Non-qualified	1032	(55.9)	1241	(56.4)	2273	(56.1)	
	Qualified	571	(30.9)	621	(28.2)	1191	(29.4)	
	Missing	1		4		5		
Individual income								< 0.0001
	Low-income	471	(30.3)	724	(37.7)	1195	(34.4)	
	Middle-income	523	(33.7)	630	(32.8)	1153	(33.2)	
	High-income	561	(36.1)	566	(29.5)	1127	(32.4)	
	Missing	294		285		579		
Hot water at home								0.0153
	Yes	1283	(69.5)	1609	(73.0)	2892	(71.4)	
	No	563	(30.5)	596	(27.0)	1159	(28.6)	
	Missing	3		0		3		

Table 1 Distribution of socio-demographic characteristics of participants by gender

Baromètre Santé DOM survey, 2014

^aColumn percentage calculated by dividing the total number of men, women or overall sample

t: p-value of Chi-squared test, assessing the association between participant's socio-demographic characteristics and gender

had higher income. In contrast, daily alcohol and harmful chronic alcohol drinking were not associated with SES in women. However, though the prevalence difference for occupational class was not significant, women with qualified jobs, and hot water at home tended to engage less in harmful chronic drinking. Having hot water at home was not significantly associated with tobacco and daily alcohol consumption. In men, no distinct trend or significant association was found in regards to tobacco and socio-economic status. However, in men, a harmful chronic drinking and daily alcohol drinking were inversely and significantly associated with educational level With the exception of daily alcohol in women, we found that occupationally inactive persons had significantly lower alcohol drinking prevalence for both genders when compared to unqualified workers. Obesity prevalence was inversely associated with socio-economic status, in particular in women, where we observed significant decreases of at least 35% in obesity prevalence in those of the highest stratum for each socio-economic indicator (education PR = 0.36, 95% CI = 0.26–0.50; occupational class PR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.50–0.80; income PR = 0.43, 95% CI = 0.33–0.56; hot water at home PR = 0.65, 95% CI = 0.54–0.80).

Risk factor		Men	Women n = 2205		
	1	n = 1849			
Ever tobacco smoking					
Prevalence (%)	682	(38)	421	(19)	
Adjusted PR (95% CI)	1.98	(1.75–2.24)	1	ref	
Missing (n)	36		8		
Current tobacco smoking					
Prevalence (%)	422	(23)	286	(13)	
Adjusted PR (95% CI)	1.80	(1.55–2.09)	1	ref	
Missing (n)	43		0		
Daily alcohol drinking					
Prevalence (%)	201	(11)	59	(3)	
Adjusted PR (95% CI)	4.15	(3.11–5.55)	1	ref	
Missing (n)	1		0		
Harmful chronic alcohol us	e				
Prevalence (%)	213	(12)	57	(3)	
Adjusted PR (95% CI)	4.53	(3.38–6.09)	1	ref	
Missing (n)	1		0		
Obesity					
Prevalence (%)	209	(12)	443	(22)	
Adjusted PR (95% CI)	0.67	(0.57–0.79)	1	ref	
Missing (n)	100		146		

Table 2 Prevalence of risk factors and prevalence ratios

 comparing men to women

PR Prevalence ratio, 95%Cl 95% confidence interval Baromètre Santé DOM survey, 2014

Discussion

Social disparities in NCD risk factors distribution were reported in previous studies in many countries [7, 14, 15, 23] but data on this topic are scarce in the Caribbean. We attempted to shed some light on disparities in chronic diseases by describing the social distribution of these risk factors in the French West Indies. We were able to highlight gender-specific social disparities in regards to these risk factors, in this population.

While tobacco smoking was predominantly found in women of high SES, in men, the prevalence did not differ in regards to SES. The social pattern for tobacco smoking did not correspond to what has been described in developed countries, and in particular in mainland France, where persons of lower SES were more frequently smokers [24–26]. Furthermore, the social distribution of tobacco smoking in Barbados and Cuba was discordant with what we found. In men, a negative association between smoking and SES was found in both countries. In women, the social distribution for tobacco smoking in Barbados did not have any distinct pattern and in Cuba it went in the opposite direction to ours [14, 27]. Previous reports have shown that economic development and urbanicity affect socio-economic behaviour and would explain the variation of our results from other studies [7, 8]. Data from the World Health Surveys in 53 countries showed that in the most urban countries, which were mainly middle-income countries in this study, smoking in women was concentrated in the higher education groups, whereas in men smoking was inversely associated with education, regardless of urbanicity [7]. The FWI have a high level of urbanicity, with more than 80% of the population living in urban areas, and our results are consistent with these findings for women, but not for men. The global tobacco epidemic, as described elsewhere, explains well these differences [26]. It is a process which begins first in the most affluent men in society; then, it spreads through the other socioeconomic classes. The same habit then initiates in women of high SES; before finally transitioning to the lower socioeconomic class, since those in higher SES tend to become conscious of their unhealthy lifestyle and possess greater means to alter their behaviour or environment. Our findings suggest that the FWI have not reached the last stage of tobacco epidemic, and that tobacco consumption could increase in the lower SES categories in the future.

The association between alcohol use and SES is complex, vary across genders, country development level and cultures, and depends on the measures used for alcohol drinking [12, 28]. Alcohol drinking measures differ in the previous studies, which made comparisons difficult. We found that in men the prevalence of daily alcohol drinking and harmful alcohol use was lower in the highest socioeconomic strata, a pattern consistent with the inverse association with SES reported in Barbados for heavy episodic alcohol consumption [14] and in a multinational study (including France) for heavy drinking [12]. In women, no clear trend was found, similarly to Barbados [14] but inconsistent with mainland France where the prevalence of heavy drinking was higher in the highest educational level [12].

In terms of obesity, there was an inverse association with the socio-economic status for both genders with a more marked socioeconomic gradient in women. This gradient was the most apparent for income, where the prevalence was twice as high in women of low income when compared to those of high income. A French study [29] and a study in Guadeloupe [6] reported a social pattern for obesity in men and women concordant to our sample. In contrast, the study in Barbados reported no socioeconomic gradient for obesity [14]. Previous studies showed that in developed countries, women of high socio-economic status are more sensitive to body image because small body size is viewed as attractive [30, 31]. Although in our study height and weight were selfreported, our findings were globally similar to those of studies that used anthropometric measurements [6, 29].

Our findings are also consistent with the local context. A previous study conducted in the FWI investigating

Risk factor 15–24 yrs		25-34	25–34 yrs 35–44 yrs 45–54 yrs 55–64 yrs				4 yrs	65–75 yrs				
	n = 699	%	n = 543	%	n = 807	%	n = 862	%	n = 662	%	n = 481	%
Ever tobacco	smoking											
Total	180	(25.8)	191	(35.2)	224	(27.7)	227	(26.4)	174	(26.2)	107	(22.3)
Women	72	(20.9)	87	(28.4)	101	(22.0)	90	(19.3)	48	(13.3)	23	(8.8)
Men	108	(32.4)	104	(46.5)	123	(35.7)	137	(35.1)	126	(41.7)	84	(38.6)
Current toba	cco smoking											
Total	167	(24.5)	155	(29.2)	153	(19.1)	133	(15.5)	68	(10.2)	33	(6.9)
Women	64	(18.6)	69	(22.6)	69	(15.0)	65	(14.0)	13	(3.6)	6	(2.4)
Men	102	(30.6)	86	(38.4)	84	(24.6)	68	(17.2)	55	(18.2)	27	(12.3)
Daily alcohol	drinking											
Total	17	(2.4)	41	(7.6)	41	(5.1)	43	(5.0)	63	(9.5)	55	(11.4)
Women	1	(0.2)	14	(4.4)	15	(3.3)	7	(1.4)	9	(2.6)	13	(5.1)
Men	16	(4.6)	27	(11.8)	26	(7.5)	37	(9.3)	54	(17.7)	41	(18.9)
Harmful chro	nic alcohol ι	use										
Total	73	(10.4)	60	(11.1)	56	(7.0)	44	(5.1)	23	(3.4)	13	(2.8)
Women	15	(4.4)	14	(4.5)	13	(2.9)	10	(2.1)	1	(0.2)	3	(1.3)
Men	58	(16.4)	46	(20.0)	43	(12.3)	34	(8.6)	22	(7.3)	10	(4.6)
Obesity												
Total	51	(7.9)	96	(19.0)	130	(17.1)	159	(19.6)	139	(21.8)	78	(17.0)
Women	35	(11.0)	70	(23.8)	83	(19.6)	103	(23.4)	101	(28.9)	52	(21.7)
Men	16	(4.9)	26	(12.3)	47	(13.9)	56	(15.1)	38	(13.3)	26	(11.9)

 Table 3 Risk factor prevalence by age group

Baromètre Santé DOM survey, 2014

Table 4 Associations between SES and risk factors in women

SES indicator		Ever tobacco		Current tobacco		Daily alcohol		Harmful chronic alcohol use		Obesity	
	Prev	PR (95% CI)	Prev	PR (95% CI)							
Education level											
Up to primary education	14.8	1 (ref)	10.4	1 (ref)	2.9	1 (ref)	2.7	1 (ref)	31.3	1 (ref)	
Less than high school diploma	16.2	1.00 (0.75–1.33)	11.1	0.89 (0.63–1.25)	3.2	1.29 (0.67–2.48)	1.9	0.55 (0.26–1.16)	24.5	0.79 (0.63–0.98)	
High school diploma	23.2	1.30 (0.96–1.78)	16.8	1.13 (0.79–1.63)	1.9	1.71 (0.68–4.28)	4.0	0.97 (0.46–2.02)	14.9	0.53 (0.39–0.73)	
Tertiary education	26.2	1.45 (1.07–1.96)	16.5	1.09 (0.76–1.58)	2.2	0.72 (0.30–1.70)	2.3	0.50 (0.21–1.19)	12.1	0.36 (0.26-0.50)	
Occupational Class											
Inactive	18.9	1.04 (0.73–1.49)	16.4	1.02 (0.68–1.53)	0.7	1.19 (0.35–4.04)	1.6	0.21 (0.08–0.55)	17.2	1.27 (0.88–1.83)	
Non-qualified	15.6	1 (ref)	10.3	1 (ref)	3.0	1 (ref)	3.1	1 (ref)	25.4	1 (ref)	
Qualified	26.3	1.60 (1.30–1.98)	16.7	1.47 (1.13–1.91)	3.2	1.07 (0.61–1.85)	2.0	0.54 (0.27–1.05)	16.2	0.63 (0.50-0.80)	
Individual income											
Low-income	16.6	1 (ref)	11.6	1 (ref)	2.5	1 (ref)	2.5	1 (ref)	31.8	1 (ref)	
Middle-income	17.6	1.12 (0.86–1.45)	13.3	1.21 (0.89–1.63)	2.4	0.87 (0.43–1.76)	3.0	1.14 (0.60–2.18)	19.2	0.59 (0.47–0.74)	
High-income	25.5	1.63 (1.28–2.08)	15.6	1.50 (1.11–2.03)	3.7	1.38 (0.74–2.61)	3.0	1.22 (0.63–2.38)	14.3	0.43 (0.33–0.56)	
Hot water at home											
Yes	19.3	1.06 (0.85–1.32)	13.1	1.06 (0.81–1.37)	2.6	0.76 (0.40–1.30)	2.1	0.60 (0.35–1.02)	19.0	0.65 (0.54–0.80)	
No	18.5	1 (ref)	13.0	1 (ref)	2.9	1 (ref)	3.8	1 (ref)	28.6	1 (ref)	

Prev Risk factor prevalence, PR Age-adjusted prevalence ratio, 95%Cl 95% confidence interval Baromètre Santé DOM survey, 2014

SES indicator		tobacco	Curre	ent tobacco	Daily alcohol Harmful chronic alcohol use		Obesity			
	Prev	PR (95% CI)	Prev	PR (95% CI)	Prev	PR (95% CI)	Prev	PR (95% CI)	Prev	PR (95% CI)
Education level										
Up to primary education	40.3	1 (ref)	22.9	1 (ref)	15.2	1 (ref)	13.2	1 (ref)	15.2	1 (ref)
Less than high school diploma	36.2	0.91 (0.75–1.09)	22.6	0.91 (0.72–1.17)	12.0	0.87 (0.64–1.18)	12.1	0.82 (0.59–1.13)	11.4	0.79 (0.57–1.10)
High school diploma	35.9	0.89 (0.69–1.14)	26.2	0.88 (0.65–1.21)	6.0	0.46 (0.26-0.80)	12.7	0.74 (0.48–1.15)	9.7	0.77 (0.48–1.22)
Tertiary education	40.1	0.98 (0.77–1.25)	23.9	0.90 (0.66–1.23)	5.5	0.40 (0.23–0.70)	6.8	0.43 (0.25–0.72)	10.0	0.64 (0.40-1.01)
Occupational Class										
Inactive	27.1	0.67 (0.48–0.94)	24.5	0.63 (0.44-0.91)	2.4	0.31 (0.12-0.81)	9.9	0.37 (0.22–0.62)	5.2	1.11 (0.43–2.87)
Non-qualified	38.6	1 (ref)	24.1	1 (ref)	12.2	1 (ref)	13.4	1 (ref)	13.4	1 (ref)
Qualified	40.3	1.04 (0.88–1.22)	21.3	0.95 (0.76–1.19)	12.2	0.93 (0.69–1.25)	8.9	0.73 (0.53–1.01)	12.1	0.88 (0.65–1.18)
Individual income										
Low-income	36.1	1 (ref)	24.4	1 (ref)	11.8	1 (ref)	15.1	1 (ref)	13.2	1 (ref)
Middle-income	34.8	0.98 (0.79–1.21)	19.2	0.84 (0.64–1.10)	10.4	0.85 (0.59–1.24)	9.6	0.68 (0.48–0.98)	11.0	0.70 (0.48–1.02)
High-income	42.7	1.18 (0.97–1.44)	24.4	1.08 (0.84–1.40)	9.3	0.74 (0.50–1.08)	10.0	0.72 (0.51–1.03)	12.1	0.76 (0.52-1.09)
Hot water at home										
Yes	38.1	1.02 (0.87–1.21)	23.5	1.02 (0.83–1.26)	10.8	0.94 (0.69–1.26)	10.0	0.68 (0.52–0.89)	10.4	0.65 (0.49–0.87)
No	36.8	1 (ref)	22.9	1 (ref)	11.2	1 (ref)	15.0	1 (ref)	15.6	1 (ref)

Table 5 Associations between SES and risk factors in men

Prev Risk factor prevalence, PR Age-adjusted prevalence ratio, 95%Cl 95% confidence interval Baromètre Santé DOM survey, 2014

area-level socio-economic status and incidence of cancer revealed that women living in deprived areas were found to have a lower incidence of lung and head and neck cancers when compared to more affluent areas, which is consistent with the lower prevalence of tobacco smoking (a major risk factor for respiratory cancer) among women of low SES reported in our study [32]. That same study showed that breast cancer incidence was higher in women from deprived areas. Our results on obesity, a known risk factor for breast cancer, coincided well with the incidence data in that study, since our female obesity was consistently more prevalent in the lower SES strata.

In addition to the social distribution, our analysis revealed interesting estimates for the prevalence of risk factors by gender. The FWI were found to have a particular NCD risk factor profile, especially when compared to Caribbean neighbours and mainland France. Overall, the prevalence of risk factors in the FWI was in-between mainland France and other Caribbean territories. The prevalence of current smokers was 23% in men and 13% in women, lower than in mainland France (32.3 and 24.3%) [33], and similar in men to the other territories in the Caribbean [4]. However, in the FWI the prevalence of current smokers in women was higher than in other Caribbean territories (5.9% in Jamaica and 3.7% in Barbados) [14, 34]. Daily alcohol drinking prevalence was also lower in the FWI (12% in men, 3% in women) than in mainland France (15% in men, 5% in women) [35]. Harmful chronic alcohol use was however similar in men (FWI: 12%, mainland France: 11%) and in women (FWI: 3%, mainland France: 4%). The other reports in the Caribbean used different definitions for alcohol drinking to us which made it difficult to evaluate differences between countries.

In our study obesity prevalence was assessed from selfreported data and may be underestimated [36]. In a survey in mainland France using the same methodology than ours, obesity prevalence in women (12%) was lower to that in the FWI (22%), whereas in men the prevalence was similar in both territories (12%) [37]. In a survey based on measurements of height and weight conducted in 2008 in the FWI obesity prevalence was slightly higher than in our study (17% in men and 27% in women) [38]. A national survey in mainland France, also using measurements, reported an obesity prevalence of 16% in men and 17% in women [29]. It should be noted that regardless the method used (self-report or measurements): the prevalence of obesity in men is similar in mainland France and in the FWI; the prevalence of obesity in women is higher in the FWI; in mainland France, the prevalence of obesity is similar in men and women, whereas in the FWI obesity is much more frequent in women., On the contrary, obesity among men and women in the FWI was much lower compared to the prevalence reported by Caribbean neighbours and Nicaragua [14, 39-42]. These observed differences could be due to the FWI being overseas French regions, and the population may share similar behaviour patterns from their mainland counterparts; however, they are

under the Caribbean influence due to their geographic position. These conditions could explain this particular risk factor profile in the FWI.

Our study presents some limitations that should be taken into consideration when interpreting our results. The socio-economic indicators and risk factors were measured through self-reported data from our study participants and thus, are subject to misclassification bias. We cannot exclude the possibility that this misclassification was related to SES, which may have impacted our results on the social distribution of risk factors. Our study has also several strengths. Our sample size was quite large (4054 participants), and therefore could provide fairly reliable estimates and we corrected for the non-response bias by using sample weights. Our sample was representative of the FWI and included participants from both rural and urban areas; hence, our results can be considered generalisable to the FWI.

Conclusion

Our analysis revealed gender-specific social disparities in NCD risk factor distribution. Women of high socioeconomic status were significantly more likely to be smokers, whereas alcohol drinking in men and obesity in women were inversely associated with socioeconomic status. Future prevention programs and policies should take into consideration our findings.

Abbreviations

BMI: Body mass index; CI: Confidence interval; FWI: French West Indies; PR: Prevalence ratio; SES: Socioeconomic status

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

JBR designed the "Baromètre Santé DOM" survey and coordinated the original collection of the data; AA and DL designed the present study, conducted the analyses and draft the manuscript; JD, CB and GM contributed to the statistical analysis and interpretation of the results. All authors critically reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version.

Funding

The « Baromètre Santé DOM » survey was funded by the French Public Health Agency (Santé Publique France). Aviane Auguste was supported by a grant from the *"Ligue contre le Cancer, comité d'Ille-et-Vilaine"* for this work. The funders had no role in study design, data analysis, interpretation of the results or writing of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

Data are from the "Baromètre Santé DOM" carried out by the French National Public Health Agency (Santé Publique France). This analysis has been subject to a license for the use of data issued by Santé Publique France. Readers may contact Jean-Baptiste Richard (jean-baptiste.richard@santepubliquefrance.fr) to request the data.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The survey was approved by the relevant national ethics committee, the French Data Protection Authority (Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés, CNIL). Participation was anonymous and voluntary. In accordance with the guidelines of the CNIL, all included subjects gave verbal informed consent before the telephone interview, and parental verbal consent was obtained for participants under 18.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) -UMR_S 1085, F-97100 Pointe-à-Pitre, France. ²Sorbonne Université, INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique (IPLESP), F75012 Paris, France. ³Santé publique France, French National Public Health Agency, 12 rue du val d'Osne, F-94415 Saint Maurice, France.

Received: 23 April 2019 Accepted: 17 October 2019 Published online: 30 October 2019

References

- French epidemiological center on medical causes of deaths (2019) Causes of deaths. http://cepidc-data.inserm.fr/inserm/html/index2.htm. Accessed 28 Sep 2019.
- Joachim C, Veronique-Baudin J, Ulric-Gervaise S, et al. Cancer burden in the Caribbean: an overview of the Martinique cancer registry profile. BMC Cancer. 2019;19:239. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-019-5434-6.
- Cowppli-Bony A, Colonna M, Ligier K, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of cancer in metropolitan France: incidence, survival and prevalence. Bull Cancer. 2019;106:617–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bulcan.2018.11.016.
- Pan American Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases in the region of the Americas: facts and figures. Washington, DC: PAHO; 2019. Available at: http://iris.paho.org/xmlui/handle/123456789/51483. Accessed 26 Sep 2019
- Deloumeaux J, Bhakkan B, Eyraud R, et al. Prostate cancer clinical presentation, incidence, mortality and survival in Guadeloupe over the period 2008-2013 from a population-based cancer registry. Cancer Causes Control. 2017;28:1265–73. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-017-0962-3.
- Carrère P, Fagour C, Sportouch D, et al. Diabetes mellitus and obesity in the French Caribbean: a special vulnerability for women? Women Health. 2018; 58:145–59. https://doi.org/10.1080/03630242.2017.1282396.
- Fleischer NL, Roux D VA, Hubbard AE. Inequalities in body mass index and smoking behavior in 70 countries: evidence for a social transition in chronic disease risk. Am J Epidemiol. 2012;175:167–76. https://doi. org/10.1093/aje/kwr314.
- Allen L, Williams J, Townsend N, et al. Socioeconomic status and noncommunicable disease behavioural risk factors in low-income and lowermiddle-income countries: a systematic review. Lancet Glob Health. 2017;5: e277–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30058-X.
- Van der Heyden JHA, Schaap MM, Kunst AE, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in lung cancer mortality in 16 European populations. Lung Cancer. 2009;63:322–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lungcan.2008.06.006.
- Roskam A-JR, Kunst AE, Van Oyen H, et al. Comparative appraisal of educational inequalities in overweight and obesity among adults in 19 European countries. Int J Epidemiol. 2010;39:392–404. https://doi.org/10. 1093/ije/dyp329.
- Sommer I, Griebler U, Mahlknecht P, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in non-communicable diseases and their risk factors: an overview of systematic reviews. BMC Public Health. 2015;15. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12889-015-2227-y.
- Bloomfield K, Grittner U, Kramer S, Gmel G. Social inequalities in alcohol consumption and alcohol-related problems in the study countries of the EU concerted action "gender, culture and alcohol problems: a multi-national study.". Alcohol Alcohol Suppl. 2006;41:i26–36. https://doi.org/10.1093/ alcalc/aql073.
- Murphy GAV, Asiki G, Ekoru K, et al. Sociodemographic distribution of noncommunicable disease risk factors in rural Uganda: a cross-sectional study. Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42:1740–53. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyt184.
- Howitt C, Hambleton IR, Rose AMC, et al. Social distribution of diabetes, hypertension and related risk factors in Barbados: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2015;5:e008869. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008869.
- Brown CR, Hambleton IR, Hercules SM, et al. Social determinants of breast cancer in the Caribbean: a systematic review. Int J Equity Health. 2017;16:60. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-017-0540-z.

- 16. Richard J-B, Andler R. Méthode d'enquête du Baromètre santé DOM 2014. Saint-Maurice: Santé Publique France, coll. Baromètres Santé. 2015.
- Kish L. A procedure for objective respondent selection within the household. J Am Stat Assoc. 1949;44:380–7. https://doi.org/10.2307/2280236.
- Baggio S, Dupuis M, Richard J-B, Beck F. Addictive behaviors and healthcare renunciation for economic reasons in a French population-based sample. Int J Drug Policy. 2017;49:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2017.07.004.
- Connelly R, Gayle V, Lambert PS. A review of educational attainment measures for social survey research. Methodol Innov. 2016;9: 2059799116638001. https://doi.org/10.1177/2059799116638001.
- Connelly R, Gayle V, Lambert PS. A review of occupation-based social classifications for social survey research. Methodol Innov. 2016;9: 2059799116638003. https://doi.org/10.1177/2059799116638003.
- Nomenclatures des professions et catégories socioprofessionnelles | Insee. https://www.insee.fr/fr/information/2406153. Accessed 19 Sep 2019.
- 22. Turrell G. Income non-reporting: implications for health inequalities research. J Epidemiol Commun Health. 2000;54:207–14.
- 23. Gupta B, Lalloo R, Johnson NW. Life course models for upper aero-digestive tract cancer. Int Dent J. 2015;65:111–9. https://doi.org/10.1111/idj.12167.
- Bricard D, Jusot F, Beck F, et al. Educational inequalities in smoking over the life cycle: an analysis by cohort and gender. Int J Public Health. 2016;61: 101–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-015-0731-6.
- Guignard R, Nguyen Thanh V, Andler R, Richard JB, Beck F, Arwidson P. Psychoactive substance use by unemployed and employed people and related factors: a secondary analysis of the 2010 health barometer. Bull Epidémiol Hebd. 2016;16-17:304-12.
- Huisman M, Kunst A, Mackenbach J. Educational inequalities in smoking among men and women aged 16 years and older in 11 European countries. Tob Control. 2005;14:106–13. https://doi.org/10. 1136/tc.2004.008573.
- 27. Varona P, Chang M, García RG, Bonet M. Tobacco and alcohol use in Cuban women. MEDICC Rev. 2011;13:38–44.
- Huckle T, Romeo JS, Wall M, et al. Socio-economic disadvantage is associated with heavier drinking in high but not middle-income countries participating in the international alcohol control study. Drug Alcohol Rev. 2018;37(Suppl 2):S63–71. https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.12810.
- Vernay M, Malon A, Oleko A, et al. Association of socioeconomic status with overall overweight and central obesity in men and women: the French nutrition and health survey 2006. BMC Public Health. 2009;9:215. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2458-9-215.
- McLaren L, Kuh D. Women's body dissatisfaction, social class, and social mobility. Soc Sci Med. 2004;58:1575–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(03)00209-0.
- Feingold A, Mazzella R. Gender differences in body image are increasing. Psychol Sci. 1998;9:190–5. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00036.
- Luce D, Michel S, Dugas J, et al. Disparities in cancer incidence by area-level socioeconomic status in the French West Indies. Cancer Causes Control. 2017;28:1305–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-017-0946-3.
- Guignard R, Nguyen-Thanh V, Richard J-B, Arwidson P. Evolution of tobacco smoking in France: results from the health barometer 2014. Bull épidémiologique hebdomadaire. 2015;17–18:8.
- GHO | By category | Tobacco use Data WHO Region. In: WHO. http://apps. who.int/gho/data/view.main.1805REG?lang=en. Accessed 18 Aug 2018.
- Richard J-B, Palle C, Guignard R, et al. La consommation d'alcool en France en 2014. Evolutions. 2015;32:6.
- Maukonen M, Männistö S, Tolonen H. A comparison of measured versus self-reported anthropometrics for assessing obesity in adults: a literature review. Scand J Public Health. 2018;46:565–79. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1403494818761971.
- Richard J-B. Premiers résultats du Baromètre santé DOM 2014 Résultats détaillés selon le DOM, l'âge et le sexe - 2015. Saint-Maurice: Santé publique France; 2015.
- Daigre J-L, Atallah A, Boissin J-L, et al. The prevalence of overweight and obesity, and distribution of waist circumference, in adults and children in the French overseas territories: the PODIUM survey. Diabetes Metab. 2012; 38:404–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2012.03.008.
- World Health Organization. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014.
- 40. van Heerden A, Barnabas RV, Norris SA, et al. High prevalence of HIV and non-communicable disease (NCD) risk factors in rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. J Int AIDS Soc. 2017;20. https://doi.org/10.1002/jia2.25012.

- DeGennaro V Jr, Malcolm S, Crompton L, et al. Community-based diagnosis of non-communicable diseases and their risk factors in rural and urban Haiti: a cross-sectional prevalence study. BMJ Open. 2018;8:e020317. https:// doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020317.
- 42. Laux TS, Bert PJ, González M, et al. Prevalence of obesity, tobacco use, and alcohol consumption by socioeconomic status among six communities in Nicaragua. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2012;32:217–25.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- · thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

