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Adaptable Overhanging Carboxylic Acid Porphyrins: Towards Molecular Assemblies Through Unusual Coordination Modes

Wael Barakat[a], Ismail Hijazi[b, c], Thierry Roisnel[a], Vincent Dorcet[a], Bernard Boitrel[a], Stéphane Le Gac[a]

Abstract: A new family of strap porphyrins exhibiting out/in overhanging carboxylic acid stereoisomerism, has been synthesized and investigated for its coordination properties. Their 5,10 linkage allows a significant flexibility of the strap which exhibits various degrees of angulation relatively to the mean porphyrin plane, making the ligand adjustable to the metal it binds. Notably, in the case of Bi(III), a second sphere of coordination plays a crucial role for the side selective insertion of the large metal ion. As a result, inward and outward orientation of the overhanging carboxylic acid function can be tuned, which is of interest for supramolecular coordination assemblies.

Introduction

Molecular switches based on metal transition complexes keep attracting considerable attention as they constitute promising prototypes of molecular machines and devices [1,2,3,4]. In most bistable systems, switching between two states is achieved by a change of the metal ion coordination sphere triggered by an external stimulus. It can also arise from the single translocation of a metal ion itself in a ditopic ligand [5,6] and even, in less common systems, from concerted double translocations of metal ions [7,8]. In the particular case of metalloporphyrins, most metal complexes based on the N4-coordination are mononuclear, that is they incorporate one metal cation into one porphyrin, and multimetallic species generated from the porphyrin “N4-box” are much less common. The few reported instances are limited to alkali metal complexes [9,10,11], to transition metalloporphyrins like the Re(I)/Ir(I) [12], Rh/Rh [13], and Ir/Ir [14,15] cases, and to the main group dimetallic complexes of Ti(II) [16] and B(III) [17,18]. Most of the time, the usual supramolecular chemistry of these complexes relies on the variation of the coordination sphere of the N-core-bound metal cation [19]. However, the situation has evolved when a decade ago, a versatile strategy to form bimetallic species was reported and consisted in appending a binding motif such as a carboxylic acid above the primary coordination site of a porphyrin [20,21]. Such a structure was obtained by formally grafting one or two straps on the diametrically opposed meso positions, namely 5,15 or/and 10,20 of the macrocycle (Figure 1, top line). Based on the study of the resulting homo- and heterobimetallic complexes, our group has evidenced a new coordination mode [22,23,24], new dynamic processes encompassing compartmentalized and non-compartmentalized translocations [25] taking place during stereoselective metallation reactions [26,27] or dynamic constitutional evolution [28] and recently controlled by (photo)redox processes [29,30,31]. Most of the properties of these complexes come from the peculiar hanging atop coordination mode in which a metal cation is “overhung” to the intramolecular carboxylate group, and is stabilized via hydrogen bond(s) between the amide linkage(s) of the strap and its own acetate ligand [22]. It is worth noting that as a result of the 5,15 linkage of the strap, the average distance of the carboxylic acid group from the binding site cannot vary significantly. In order to obtain more flexible molecules, we envisaged to synthesize the new ligands 3 and 4, the analogous isomers of porphyrins 1 and 2 with the very same strap(s) linked on two adjacent meso positions, namely 5,10 and 15,20 (Figure 1, bottom line).

Indeed, the ester precursor of porphyrin 4 has been synthesized 15 years ago [32] and the solid-state structures of its Ni(II), Zn(II) and Pb(II) complexes have shown that the mean plane of the straps exhibits variable angles to the mean plane of the macrocycle ranging from 45° to 90°, clearly indicating the conformational adaptability of this strap [33]. However, the coordination of ligands 3 and 4 remains unexplored in as much as it is anticipated that porphyrin 3 exists as two isomers and porphyrin 4 exists as three isomers according to the relative
position of the final carboxylic group. Indeed, observing the chemical drawing of precursor porphyrin 9 (Scheme 1), it is obvious that the two ethoxycarbonyl groups are located in different positions. In this simplified arbitrary conformation, the overhanging group labelled "i" (for in) is closer to the center of the porphyrin than the second one (labelled "o" for out) giving rise to typical signatures at different chemical shifts in the proton NMR spectrum \[^{32,33}\]. For instance, in 5 (Scheme 2), the "out" ethoxycarbonyl group gives two signals at 3.29 ppm and 0.63 ppm where its "in" analogue leads to the same signature but upfield-shifted at 2.53 ppm and 0.01 ppm. It is worth noting that the strap can also adopt a "broken-shape" and a "W-shape" conformation (Figure 2). In the latter, the overhanging group the closest to the center of the porphyrin is the "out" group due to the rotation of carbon atom C2 around carbon atoms C1 and C3 and the subsequent inversion of the relative position of the two overhanging groups. Timely examples of these two conformations were illustrated by the X-ray structures of both ferric and ferrous complexes of closely related ligands in which the second side of the porphyrin was functionalized by a strap bearing a nitrogen base (Figure 2)\[^{31}\].

In light of these observations and targeting more particularly porphyrin with the strap in a "W-shape" conformation. The rotation of carbon atom C2 around carbon atoms C1 and C3 overhanging groups. Timely examples of these two conformations were illustrated by the X-ray structures of both ferric and ferrous complexes of closely related ligands in which the second side of the porphyrin was functionalized by a strap bearing a nitrogen base (Figure 2)\[^{31}\].

**Results and Discussion**

On the one hand, the single strap porphyrins are more difficult to obtain than their bis-strap counterparts as they require the synthesis of the 5,10 isomer of bis-2-nitrophenyl-bis-4-methoxophenylporphyrin 6 (Scheme 1). Actually, the latter was purified as a secondary product from a mixture of 5,10 and 5,15 isomers resulting from the reaction of 5-(4-methoxophenyl)-dipyromethane with 2-nitrobenzaldehyde in acidic conditions, and further oxidation with DDQ as the 5,15 isomer was also desirable for other purposes \[^{36}\]. First, it was observed that under the experimental conditions used for the reaction, the scrambling reaction was significant. Second, the 5,10 isomer of both porphyrins 6 and 7 were not isolated as a pure compound but only as an enriched mixture in which minor proportions of the 5,15 isomer together with residual scrambled products were found. It is only at the stage of porphyrin 8, after the acylation reaction with 3-chloromethyl benzoyl chloride, that the desired compound was obtained as a pure isolated porphyrin.

Condensation of diethyl malonate in basic conditions was then performed with porphyrin 8 according to published procedures \[^{37}\] leading to porphyrin 9 which was saponified by treatment with KOH in refluxing ethanol for 5 h, followed by heating in DMF to complete mono-decarboxylation, to obtain porphyrins 3i and 3o \[^{22}\]. Porphyrins 3o and 3i were separated by flash chromatography on silica gel column and eluted with 1.5% MeOH / DCM (46 %) and 2% MeOH / DCM (23 %), respectively.

On the other hand, the access to the bis strap analogous compounds is straightforward as their common precursor 5 is readily available at the gram scale \[^{38}\]. Heating 5 with KOH in EtOH during 9 h, followed by heating in DMF to complete mono-decarboxylation, led to the formation of porphyrins 4 with a global yield of 57 %, separated and purified by silica gel column chromatography. Porphyrin 4ii was eluted first with a mixture of 3% MeOH in DCM (36 %), followed by porphyrin 4io eluted with 5% MeOH / DCM (16 %) and finally came out porphyrin 4ii.
eluted with 0.1% AcOH / MeOH (4.9 %) / DCM (5%). For these five new ligands (two single-strap and three bis-strap), the chemical shifts of two different protons Ha and Hα (see 3o, Scheme 1) can be scrutinized to probe the conformation of the strap(s) keeping in mind that this method, taken alone, leads only to a rough analysis of the conformation of the molecule.[39] However, it becomes more precise when either comparing a series of closely related porphyrins for which a restricted type of motion is possible for a given proton. In the present case, the motion of the strap is restricted to the rotation around the two meso aromatic groups and that of the overhanging group is restricted to the rotation around the two benzylic carbon atoms.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of bis strap ligands. (i) EtOH, THF, KOH, reflux, 9 h, then DMF, 115 °C, 4 h, 57 %.

Therefore, we can approximate that Ha is representative of the inclination of the whole strap over the macrocycle where Hα indicates the orientation of the W-shape part of the strap, all other things being equal. For instance, Hα resonates at -0.26 ppm and 0.56 ppm for 3i and 3o, respectively. These chemical shifts are not consistent with the chemical drawings of Scheme 1 as the latter would lead to Hα more shielded for 3o. Conversely, the observed chemical shift for Hα is consistent with a “W-shape” strap that inverts the position of the two groups borne by C2. This conformation analysis deduced from proton NMR data was confirmed by the resolution of the X-ray structure of both isomers 3i (left) and 3o (right) (Figure 3). By a direct comparison of both lateral views, it is obvious that Hα in 3i is much closer to the centroid of the macrocycle (3.56 Å) than it is in 3o (5.48 Å). However, whereas Ha protons are located almost at the same distance from the centroid in the solid state structure of both isomers, that is 3.63/3.80 Å in 3i and 3.71/3.78 Å in 3o, they do not experience the same chemical shift in solution. They resonate at 3.54 ppm and 4.42 ppm for 3i and 3o, respectively, indicating that Ha is closer to the center of the porphyrin in 3i than in 3o. This suggests a different conformational behaviour in solution compared to the solid state. The same comparison was performed with the three isomers of bis-strap porphyrin 4 for which the chemical shifts of protons Ha and Hα were found significantly different relatively to their single strap counterpart. For instance, Ha resonates at 4.60 ppm in 4ii (3.54 ppm in 3i) and 5.08 ppm in 4oo (4.42 ppm in 3o), leading to a difference of 0.5 ppm between both isomers.

Figure 3. X-ray structures of single-strap porphyrins (a: 3i (CCDC 1902700) and b: 3o (CCDC 1902699); top: ORTEP representation (30 % thermal ellipsoids) and bottom: rods apical view).

Figure 4. X-ray structures of bis-strap porphyrins (a: 4io (CCDC 1902695) and b: 4oo (CCDC 1902697); top: ORTEP representations (30 % thermal ellipsoids) and bottom: rods apical views with foreground strap in orange and background strap in dark blue).
Even more remarkable is the very same chemical shift of Hα (0.85 ppm) in both 4ii and 4oo whereas they were strongly differentiated in 3i and 3o (-0.26 ppm and 0.56 ppm respectively). One possible explanation is that the $^1$H NMR spectra of 4ii and 4oo correspond to average mixtures of different conformations in fast equilibrium on the NMR time scale, making fine conformational analysis complicated (see solid state structures below). In the case of 4io, one observes some similarities in terms of chemical shifts as one Hα at 0.88 ppm but also a significant variation for the second Hα which resonates at 0.21 ppm. For Ha, both chemical shifts in 4io (3.89 and 5.40 ppm) are different from those found in the symmetrical compounds 4ii and 4oo. For two of these bis-strap porphyrins, X-ray structures were also resolved and found in agreement with those of their single-strap analogues (Figure 4). They further definitely establish the out/in overhanging carboxylic acid stereoisomerism.

Scheme 3. Coordination behaviour of 3o and 3i towards Zn(II) and Bi(III) (DMSO-$d_6$, DIPEA; Ar = 4-methoxyphenyl; L1 = DMSO; L2 = MeOH).
It is worth noting that the solid state structure of 4oo shows one strap bent around 45° as in 3o but the second one almost at the perpendicular to the mean plane of the macrocycle. This strengthens the hypothesis that its symmetrical ¹H NMR spectrum corresponds to an average mixture of conformational isomers in equilibrium.

Hereafter, we describe a preliminary coordination study with the single strap ligands 3i and 3o, towards Zn(II) and Bi(III) cations (Scheme 3). Where the former is known as a small-radius divalent cation with an average out-of-plane displacement around 0.3 Å, the latter is much too large to fit into the porphyrin as a trivalent cation and sits c.a. 1.3 Å above the mean porphyrin plane. First, comparison of the X-ray crystallographic structures of 3i.Zn and 3o.Zn, both obtained with single crystals grown from DMSO solutions, evidences a clear difference from the view point of Zn(II) coordination (Figure 5). In both cases, the Zn atom is five-coordinate in a square pyramidical geometry, the coordination sphere involving the 4 N atoms of the porphyrin and either O1 from the overhanging COOH group (for 3o.Zn, O1-Zn = 2.085 Å) or Os from an exogenous DMSO opposite to the strapped side (for 3i.Zn, Os-Zn = 2.141 Å). As a result, the porphyrins adopt opposite slight domed distortions.

Chiefly, the “bent” W-shape conformation of the strap is maintained in both cases (Figure 5 a and b, in orange, apical views). It reveals that the “broken-shape” conformation of the strap, which could be expected with 3i.Zn, is energetically costly and therefore requires a strong metal-ligand coordination bond with the carboxylic group (such as with Fe(III), Figure 2a) to counterbalance the steric penalty. Moreover, higher out-of-plane (OOP) displacement of Fe(III) vs. Zn(II) may contribute to favor such an interaction (dOOP Fe 0.53 Å, dOOP Zn 0.36 Å).

The “bent” W-shape conformation of 3o.Zn was consistently observed in DMSO-d₆ solution, as deduced from the metalation-induced highfield shift of Ha protons (Figure 6a,b, Δδ = -0.69 ppm). In contrast, the conformational study was less obvious for 3i.Zn. Indeed, a downfield shift of 1.5 ppm of Ha protons upon Zn(II) coordination (Figure 6d,e) suggests that the strap is less bent. This could arise from DMSO coordination from one or the other side of the ligand, in a fast exchange regime on the NMR time scale, thus repelling the strap as previously reported for the ester precursors. However, variable temperature ¹H NMR measurements in CDCl₃/CD₃OD 9:1 down to 220 K revealed a bent W-shape conformation, consistent with that of the X-ray structure (a methanol molecule is assumed to bind Zn(II) opposite to the strapped side).

Contrastingly, the coordination of Bi(III) led to unexpected behaviours (Scheme 3). For both 3i and 3o, bismuth insertion proceeds in usual conditions (5 eq of Bi(NO₃)₃, 4 to 16 hours at 85 °C), leading quantitatively to a single species. The ¹H NMR patterns in DMSO-d₆ are similar, with a marked deshielding of Ha protons (Δδ > 3 ppm, Figure 6a vs c and d vs f), indicating important conformational changes with straps no longer bent over the N-core. These closely related NMR patterns also suggest that bismuth coordination proceeds independently of the in/out COOH stereoisomerism.

An X-ray structure of the Bi(III) complex of 3o was obtained from the NMR tube solution, evidencing a monometalllic bismuth-nitratocomplex 3o.BiNO₃ (Figure 5c). Bi(III) is bound to the N-core with an out-of-plane displacement of 1.272 Å towards the strapped side of the porphyrin. The coordination sphere involves the four N atoms of the porphyrin (N1,N2,N3,N4), two O atoms of solvents (DMSO and methanol, Os1/Os2), and two O atoms of a bis-hapto nitrate ligand (O3/O4). The latter is surrounded by the strap, and stabilized by two H bonds with the lateral CONH functions (O3-N/O4-N 3.037/3.081 Å, dashed bonds). This second sphere of coordination is responsible for the side-selective insertion of bismuth, the coordination of BiNO₃ on the opposite side being deprived of such stabilization. The strap adopts a “straight” conformation with opposite W-shape orientation vs. the corresponding Zn(II) complex (Figure 5c vs a, in orange). This conformation places the closest O2 atom of the COOH group at 5.535 Å from the Bi(III) cation.

Such a coordination mode contrasts with that displayed by the 5−15 related ligands, whose overhanging carboxylic acid group is bound to Bi(III). In the present complexes 3i.BiNO₃ and 3o.BiNO₃, the COOH group of the strap is oriented respectively inward and outward, which is opposite to the free bases (Scheme 3). The possible developments of this coordination mode to establish further coordination assemblies is the subject of current investigations.
Conclusions

In conclusion, we have prepared five new strap porphyrin ligands exhibiting out/in overhanging COOH stereoisomerism. Coordination studies with Zn(II) and Bi(III) metal ions have shown important conformational adaptability of the strap resulting in unexpected metal complexes. Whereas in/out COOH stereoisomerism does not affect so much the bent W-shape conformation with the smallest Zn(II) cation, with the largest Bi(III), drastic changes are observed leading to a straight conformation with opposite W-shape. These changes are due to a second sphere of coordination between lateral CONH functions of the strap and the nitrato ligand of bismuth, responsible for the selective insertion of bismuth from the strapped side, and not to the direct binding of the overhanging COOH function. The latter adopts opposite inward or outward orientation vs. the free base. Further investigations with large metal ions towards new types of tunable supramolecular coordination assemblies are in progress in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

General:

$^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded at respectively 500 MHz and 125 MHz and referenced to the residual protonated solvents. THF was distilled over Na/benzophenone according to a standard procedure. Other chemicals were used as received without any further purification. All reactions were performed under argon and monitored by TLC (silica, CH$_2$Cl$_2$/CH$_3$OH). Column flash chromatography was performed on silica gel (Merck TLC-Kieselgel 60 H, 15 µm).

Typical procedure for the porphyrins synthesis, here detailed in the case of 3. Sodium (12 eq) in EtOH was stirred at room temperature for 30 min in a two-neck round bottom flask and diethyl malonate (10 eq) was added. After 1 h, the resulting mixture was added to a solution of porphyrin 8 (1 eq) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at RT, then water was added. The organic layer was separated from water and
evaporated under vacuum. Finally, the product was purified on a silica gel chromatography column eluted with 0.03% MeOH/CHCl₃. The expected compound was obtained in 83% yield. In the next step, a 100 ml two-neck round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar was charged with KOH (50 eq) in distilled ethanol. After 30 min, porphyrin 9 (1 eq) was dissolved in THF and slowly added to the reaction mixture, which was refluxed for 5 h. The reaction was quenched by adding distilled water, neutralized with 1M HCl. Then the product was filtrated and solubilized by MeOH/CHCl₃. Then, the recovered product was heated in DMF for 2 h at 115 °C. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column. Compound 30 eluted with 1.5% MeOH/CHCl₃ was obtained in 46% yield, and compound 31 eluted with 2% MeOH/CHCl₃ was obtained with 23% yield.

**α,5,10-bis-[2-(3-chloromethyl)benzoylaminolopyphenyl]-15,20-bis-(4methoxyphenyl)-porphyrin 8.** H NMR (CDCl₃, 298 K, 500 MHz): δ [ppm] 8.92 (2H, d, J = 7.4 Hz, H₂), 8.12 (2H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, H₂), 8.08 (2H, d, J = 7.4 Hz, H₂), 8.20 (2H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H₂), 8.20 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H₂), 7.75 (2H, s, HₙCO), 6.56 (2H, s, HₙCO), 6.39 (2H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H₂), 6.28 (2H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H₂), 4.10 (6H, s, O-CH₃), 3.48 (4H, m, CH₂CO₃), 2.58 (2H, s, NH pyr). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 298 K, 500 MHz): δ ppm, 164.63 (C₀), 153.21 (C₁), 135.21 (C₂), 131.10 (C₃), 125.18 (C₄), 125.03 (C₅), 125.90 (C₆), 125.81 (C₇), 125.80 (C₈), 121.06 (C₉), 112.32 (C₁₀), 57.72 (C₁₁), 44.40 (C₁₂). UV-vis (CHCl₃): λ/µm (ε/m²·mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹): 424 (93.5), 519 (26.9), 556 (10.9), 692 (7.15), 648 (3.7). ESI-HRMS: m/z calc. 1009.3030 [M + H]+ for C₇₀H₆₇N₂O₇Cl₂, found 1009.3028 (0 ppm).

**α,5,10-bis-[2-3,3′-(2,2-dithoxycarbonyl)propane-1,3-diy]-benzoylaminolopyphenyl]-15,20-bis-(4-methoxyphenyl)-porphyrin 9.** H NMR (CDCl₃, 298 K, 500 MHz): δ [ppm] 8.95 (2H, s, H₂), 8.88 (2H, s, H₂), 8.71 (2H, d, J = 4.3 Hz, H₂), 8.71 (2H, d, J = 4.3 Hz, H₂), 6.68 (2H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, H₂), 8.29 (2H, d, J = 7.4 Hz, H₂), 8.15 (2H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H₂), 7.91 (2H, t, J = 7.8 Hz, H₂), 7.65 (2H, t, J = 7.7 Hz, H₂), 7.57 (2H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, H₂), 7.47 (2H, broad, CH₃CO₂), 7.28 (2H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H₂), 7.27 (2H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H₂), 7.10 (2H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H₂), 6.91 (2H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, H₂), 6.50 (2H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H₂), 4.24 (2H, d, J = 4.3 Hz, CH₂N), 4.06 (6H, s, O-CH₃), 2.72 (2H, q, J = 7.3 Hz, CH₃CO₂), 1.90 (1H, d, J = 12 Hz, H₂), 0.25 (3H, broad, CH₃CO₂), 0.03 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz, CH₃CO₂), -0.62 (2H, broad, CH₃CO₂), -2.29 (2H, s, NH pyr). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 298 K, 500 MHz): δ ppm, 153.95 (C₁), 135.82 (C₂), 135.82 (C₃), 132.99 (C₄), 153.06 (C₅), 128.16 (C₆), 126.76 (C₇), 126.55 (C₈), 127.73 (C₉), 122.13 (C₁₀), 112.33 (C₁₁), 59.51 (C₁₂), 59.56 (C₁₃), 55.28 (C₁₄), 39.89 (C₁₅), 39.87 (C₁₆), 12.86 (C₁₇), 12.47 (C₁₈). UV-vis (CHCl₃): λ/µm (ε/m²·mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹): 425 (35.9), 519 (18.3), 557 (7.6), 593 (4.8), 649 (1.8). ESI-HRMS: m/z calc. 1097.42324 [M + H]+ for C₇₀H₆₇N₂O₇Cl₂, found 1097.4230 (0 ppm).
Hz, H₂), 8.44 (2H, d, J = 4.9 Hz, H₆), 8.31 (2H, d, J = 7.3 Hz, H₇), 8.25 (2H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, H₈), 8.15 (2H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H₉), 8 (2H, s, NHCO), 7.89 (2H, t, J = 7.7 Hz, H₁₀), 7.82 (2H, t, J = 8 Hz, H₁₁), 7.71 (2H, t, J = 7.9 Hz, H₁₂), 7.59 (2H, d, J = 7.3 Hz, H₁₃), 7.49 (2H, t, J = 8.1 Hz, H₁₄), 7.32 (2H, d, J = 7.7 Hz, H₁₅), 7.26 (2H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, H₁₆), 6.91 (2H, t, J = 7.7 Hz, H₁₇), 6.90 (2H, t, J = 6.9 Hz, H₁₈), 6.69 (2H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, H₁₉), 6.50 (2H, d, J = 7.1 Hz, H₂₀), 5.40 (2H, s, H₂₁), 3.89 (2H, s, H₂₂), 1.55 (2H, d, J = 13.2 Hz, H₂₃), 0.99 (2H, broad, H₂₄), 0.91 (2H, broad, H₂₅), 0.88 (1H, s, H₂₆), 0.21 (1H, s, H₂₇), -1.74 (2H, d, J = 11.5 Hz, H₂₈), -2.35 (2H, s, NHpyr), H of carboxylic acids were not observed. HSQC (DMSO-d₆, 333 K, 500 MHz): δC, ppm: 134.80 (C₁), 133.89 (C₂), 133.16 (C₃), 131.46 (C₄), 131.42 (C₅), 129.83 (C₆), 129.70 (C₇), 128.30 (C₈), 128.30 (C₉), 128.37 (C₁₀), 126.13 (C₁₁), 125.90 (C₁₂), 125.70 (C₁₃), 125.63 (C₁₄), 124.60 (C₁₅), 124.60 (C₁₆), 124.60 (C₁₇), 124.60 (C₁₈), 124.60 (C₁₉), 124.60 (C₂₀), 36.57 (C₂₁), 36.57 (C₂₂), 36.57 (C₂₃), 35.88 (C₂₄). UV-vis (DMSO): λmax nm (rel. abs): 354 (0.24), 474 (1), 606 (0.043), 654 (0.08).

Procedures for metal insertion. A solution (51) of Zn(OAc)₂ (37.6 μmol, 8.3 mg) in DMSO-d₅ (500 μL) was prepared. Zn(II) insertion was performed in an NMR tube by mixing either 30 or 31 (3.0 μmol, 3.0 mg). DMSO-d₅ (500 μL). DIPCA (17.2 μmol, 3 μL) and 200 μL of solution S2. Heating the NMR tubes at 85 °C for respectively 4 and 16 hours led quantitatively to 30.BINO₃ and 31.BINO₃, as attested by ¹H NMR spectra recorded at 298 K.

30.BINO₃. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₅, 298 K, 500 MHz): δH, ppm: 9.14 (2H, s, NHCO), 9.12 (2H, d, J = 4.6 Hz, H₈), 8.98 (2H, s, H₉), 8.97 (2H, d, J = 4.7 Hz, H₁₀), 8.87 (2H, s, H₁₁), 8.21 (2H, broad, H₁₂), 8.18 (2H, d, J = 8.4 Hz, H₁₃), 8.11 (2H, broad, H₁₄), 7.90 (2H, d, J = 7.4 Hz, H₁₅), 7.90 (2H, d, J = 7.4 Hz, H₁₆), 7.58 (2H, t, J = 7.5 Hz, H₁₇), 7.42 (2H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H₁₈), 7.42 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H₁₉), 7.24 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H₂₀), 7.54 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H₂₁), 7.34 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H₂₂), 7.34 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H₂₃), 7.28 (2H, d, J = 7.8 Hz, H₂₄), 6.94 (2H, t, J = 7.7 Hz, H₂₅), 6.70 (2H, broad, H₂₆), 4.05 (6H, s, O-CH₃), 2.47 (2H, broad, H₂₇), 2.31 (2H, d, J = 11.2 Hz, H₂₈), 1.00 (1H, s, H₂₉). HSQC (DMSO-d₅, 298 K, 500 MHz): δC, ppm: 136.48 (C₁), 134.72 (C₂), 132.86 (C₃), 132.65 (C₄), 131.72 (C₅), 131.71 (C₆), 131.89 (C₇), 130.33 (C₈), 129.13 (C₉), 127.94 (C₁₀), 127.07 (C₁₁), 125.01 (C₁₂), 124.84 (C₁₃), 124.84 (C₁₄), 113.44 (C₁₅), 113.12 (C₁₆), 55.94 (C₁₇), 51.91 (C₁₈), 40.82 (C₁₉), 44.00 (C₁₂). UV-vis (DMSO): λmax nm (rel. abs): 354 (0.24), 474 (1), 606 (0.043), 654 (0.08).

X-ray Crystallographic Studies. Collected data obtained with a D8 VENTURE Bruker AXS diffractometer (founded by FEDER) equipped with a (CMOS) PHOTON 100 detector, Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.7073 Å, monochromator), T = 150(2) K. The structures were solved by dual-space algorithm using the SHELXT program [49], and then refined with full-matrix least-square methods based on P2₁ (SHELXLX) [48]. Except otherwise stated, the contribution of the disordered solvents to the calculated structure factors was estimated following the BYPASS algorithm [47], implemented as the SQUEEZE option in PLATON [48]. A new data set, free of
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solvent contribution, was then used in the final refinement. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters. H atoms were finally included in their calculated positions and treated as riding on their parent atom with constrained thermal parameters.

3i. CCDC 1902700, C$_{6}$H$_{4}$N$_{2}$O$_{6}$, M = 997.08. Monoclinic P 2/c (I.T.#14), a = 15.1962(5), b = 22.7866(9), c = 17.5837(8) Å, β = 115.0770(10)°, V = 5514.8(4) Å$^3$, Z = 4, d = 1.201 g·cm$^{-3}$, μ = 0.078 mm$^{-1}$. The contribution of the disordered solvents to the structure factors was calculated by the PLATON SQUEEZE procedure$^{[46]}$ and then taken into account in the final SHELXL-2014 least-square refinement. A final refinement on 7927 unique intensities and 321 parameters converged at ωR$_F^2$ = 0.1113 (R$_F$ = 0.0465) for 6958 observed reflections with I > 2σ(I).

3i.Zn: CCDC 1903550, C$_{46}$H$_{40}$N$_{2}$O$_{6}$, M = 1138.56. Monoclinic P 2/m (I.T.#11), a = 11.6881(15), b = 17.2353(2), c = 17.102(2) Å, β = 103.199(5)°, V = 3354.1(7) Å$^3$, Z = 2, d = 1.127 g·cm$^{-3}$, μ = 0.449 mm$^{-1}$. A final refinement on F$^2$ with 7927 unique intensities and 321 parameters converged at ωR$_F^2$ = 0.1113 (R$_F$ = 0.0465) for 6958 observed reflections with I > 2σ(I).

3i.BiNO$_3$: CCDC 1912020, C$_{6}$H$_{4}$BiN$_{7}$O$_{6}$, M = 1376.22. Monoclinic P 21/c (I.T.#14), a = 13.8772(13), b = 33.160(3), c = 15.3815(14) Å, β = 93.544(3)°, V = 7064.5(12) Å$^3$, Z = 4, d = 1.294 g·cm$^{-3}$, μ = 2.584 mm$^{-1}$. A final refinement on F$^2$ with 16085 unique intensities and 793 parameters converged at ωR$_F^2$ = 0.1564 (R$_F$ = 0.0677) for 13760 observed reflections with I > 2σ(I).
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It is worth to remind that typical graphic abaci have been calculated. Note that the “in” and “out” labelling has been initially chosen with the strap in the broken-shape conformation when no X-ray structure of this series was yet available, see previous reference. We now know that most of these ligands adopt the W-shape conformation even without any coordination driving force.

Coordination studies with bis-strap ligands 400, 410 and 4ii will be reported in due time.

Full Paper
A new family of overhanging carboxylic acid strap porphyrins exhibits significant flexibility of the strap, tuned by coordination, resulting in inward (for Zn(II)) or outward (for Bi(III)) orientation of the overhanging carboxylic acid function.