

Rethinking Alkylating(-Like) Agents for Solid Tumor Management

Hélène Lajous, Bénédicte Lelièvre, Elodie Vauleon, Philippe Lecomte,

Emmanuel Garcion

► To cite this version:

Hélène Lajous, Bénédicte Lelièvre, Elodie Vauleon, Philippe Lecomte, Emmanuel Garcion. Rethinking Alkylating(-Like) Agents for Solid Tumor Management. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences, 2019, 40 (5), pp.342-357. 10.1016/j.tips.2019.03.003 . hal-02121104

HAL Id: hal-02121104 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-02121104

Submitted on 16 Jul 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Rethinking alkylating(-like) agents for solid tumor management**

- Hélène Lajous^{a,b}, Bénédicte Lelièvre^c, Elodie Vauléon^{d,e}, Philippe Lecomte^{b,*} and
 Emmanuel Garcion^{a,*}
- 4 ^a CRCINA, INSERM, Université de Nantes, Université d'Angers, Angers, France
- ^b Center for Education and Research on Macromolecules (CERM), CESAM Research Unit, University
 of Liege, B6a Sart-Tilman, B-4000 Liege, Belgium
- ^c Centre régional de pharmacovigilance, Laboratoire de pharmacologie-toxicologie, CHU Angers, 4 rue
 Larrey, F-49100 Angers, France
- 9 ^d Centre Eugène Marquis, Rennes, France
- 10 ^e INSERM U1242, Université de Rennes 1, Rennes, France
- 11 * Equivalent contribution
- 12 Correspondence: emmanuel.garcion@univ-angers.fr (E. Garcion)
- 13

14 Abstract

Although old molecules, alkylating agents and platinum derivatives are still widely 15 used in the treatment of various solid tumors. However, systemic toxicity and cellular 16 resistance mechanisms impede their efficacy. Innovative strategies, including local 17 administration, optimization of treatment schedule/dosage, synergistic combinations and 18 encapsulation of bioactive molecules within smart multifunctional drug delivery systems, 19 have shown promising results to potentiate anticancer activity while circumventing such 20 hurdles. Furthermore, questioning the old paradigm according to which nuclear DNA is the 21 critical target of their anticancer activity has shed light upon subcellular alternative and 22 neglected targets that obviously participate in mediating cytotoxicity or resistance. Thus, 23 rethinking the use of these pivotal antineoplastic agents appears critical to improve clinical 24 25 outcomes in the management of solid tumors.

<u>Key words:</u> alkylating agents, cisplatin, local treatment, synergies, nanomedicine, solid
 tumors

28 Strategic paths towards anticancer therapy

29 Oncology mainly focuses on patient symptoms, treating hallmarks acquired by normal cells that gradually progress to a neoplastic state, instead of fighting against a still unknown 30 causal entity responsible for cancer occurrence and progression [1]. Global strategies, namely 31 chemotherapy and radiotherapy, still consist in the mainstay of the treatment of solid tumors 32 by addressing specific mechanisms involved in tumorigenesis. More targeted therapies (see 33 Glossary), such as anti-angiogenesis strategies, have been developed with various degrees of 34 35 success depending on the patient pathogenesis [2,3]. A better insight into the diverse underlying processes, including causes, triggered cellular and molecular pathways and 36 potential related targets, would definitely help for developing relevant and effective 37 anticancer treatments. 38

In contrast to the empiricism from animal models that gave rise to alkylating agents or 39 to the rational design emanating from the targeting of pathways altered in tumors, we suggest 40 that rethinking the use of conventional anticancer drugs could make it possible to exploit their 41 42 full potential. This alternative approach relies on the optimization of an already marketed bioactive drug capable of reaching its target in effective concentrations for exerting its 43 anticancer activity while limiting adverse side effects. In this context, alkylating agents are 44 old molecules still widely used in the front-line treatment of various solid tumors. Among 45 them, platinum derivatives do not alkylate but rather complex with their nucleophilic targets. 46 Although historically affiliated to alkylating agents, they should therefore rather be referred to 47 as "alkylating-like" agents. Half of patients experience platinum-based drug therapy [4,5]. As 48 such, the clinical relevance of platinum compounds is key in the daily practice. Cisplatin is 49 the oldest platinum drug approved by the FDA. Although alternative platinum derivatives 50 have been developed to improve its therapeutic index, cisplatin remains the leader molecule 51 of platinum complexes and one of the most compelling anticancer drugs with a pivotal role in 52 the management of solid tumors [6,7]. Therefore, cisplatin will be addressed as a prototypic 53 platinum-based anticancer agent to exemplify paradigms, mechanisms, limitations and new 54 directions that fall under a broader understanding of the future of alkylating agents and 55 platinum compounds in the clinic. 56

57 In the following, we provide an up-to-date review of the rationale and conventional 58 use of alkylating agents and platinum derivatives in clinical practice. Then, we focus on 59 optimization ways, synergies and innovative alternatives that pave the way for rethinking how

- 60 to potentiate their anticancer efficacy, laying down future challenges for these old molecules
- 61 in the treatment of solid tumors, with the ultimate view of personalized medicine.

Rationale and conventional use of alkylating agents and platinum derivatives in clinical practice

After the attack of Bari Harbor in 1943 revealed the effects of mustard gas on bone 64 marrow depletion and first therapeutic outcomes on lymphoma, alkylating agents gradually 65 66 became a gold-standard as first-line treatment in various cancer indications. The DrugBank database reports all FDA-approved alkylating agents and affiliated compounds in worldwide 67 use, their initial indications, delivery type and administration route (Table 1) [8]. Other 68 alkylating agents (e.g. mitolactol that has been granted orphan drug designation from the FDA 69 for the treatment of invasive carcinoma of the uterine cervix and as adjuvant therapy in the 70 treatment of primary brain tumors) and platinum complexes (lobaplatin for inoperable 71 72 metastatic breast cancer, chronic myelogenous leukemia and small cell lung cancer in China, 73 heptaplatin for gastric cancer in Korea, nedaplatin for (non-)small cell lung cancer, esophageal cancer and head and neck cancer, and miriplatin for hepatocellular carcinoma in 74 75 Japan) are also currently in use in humans [5].

76 Mechanism

Anticancer agents are traditionally classified in chemical families according to their 77 mode of action. Intercalating and alkylating agents are reported to directly interact with DNA 78 by inter- or intrastrand crosslinking. However, the mechanism of action of intercalating agents 79 80 that form formaldehyde-based covalent bonds with DNA bases as shown through the example of anthracycline antibiotics on Figure 1-(a) strictly differs from that of alkylating agents [9]. 81 Alkylators allow for the transfer of an **alkyl group** from one to another molecule under 82 physiological conditions. Such nucleophilic substitutions occur by an S_N1 or S_N2 mechanism 83 depending on the kinetics of the reaction and result in covalent binding to an organic 84 macromolecule as depicted in Figure 1-(b) in the case of **temozolomide** [10]. Since exposure 85 86 to alkylating agents leads to chromosomal aberrations in dividing cells, DNA stands for the key target site of alkylation within cells. This hypothesis is further supported by its high molar 87 mass, which makes DNA the major nucleophilic substrate for alkylation within the organism, 88 far ahead RNA and proteins. Alkylation mainly occurs during S phase, while DNA is 89

replicating: both strands are separated making nucleophilic substrates easily reachable. A 90 blockage in G2-phase was also reported [11]. Alkylating agents are more likely to bind to 91 exposed nucleophilic sites in the grooves of the DNA double helix: guanine (positions N_7 , O_6 , 92 N_2 and N_3), adenine (N_3 and N_7) and cytosine bases (N_3). The resulting adducts prevent 93 strands from uncoiling and separating, making DNA replication and downstream RNA 94 transcription impossible where the alkylation occurred. Platinum complexes are stabilized by 95 various ligands that can be substituted by nucleophilic substrates to form a strong 96 coordination bound with the central platinum atom. In this respect, platinum compounds were 97 historically considered as alkylating agents even though they do not interact with biological 98 macromolecules through an alkyl group but rather by complexation. Cisplatin, whose 99 mechanism of action is illustrated on Figure 1-(c), enabled to dramatically improve the 100 prognosis of germinal cancer cells and is still currently used as a gold-standard in the 101 102 treatment of various solid tumors [6,7]. Contrary to alterations caused by mono-functional alkylating agents such as nitrosoureas, inter- or intracatenary bridges induced by platinum 103 104 derivatives between both DNA strands are extremely difficult to repair.

105 *Resistance*

Intrinsic or acquired resistance to alkylating agents and platinum derivatives is 106 considered as a multifactorial phenomenon. In the case of cisplatin, it involves avoidance (e.g. 107 drug exclusion from the cell [12–14] or from the nucleus [15]), prevention or escape (e.g. 108 109 drug inactivation [4,6,15–17] or resistance to apoptosis [11,13,14,18–20]) and repair (e.g. DNA repair [6,13,15,16,21–24]) mechanisms. Multiple intrinsic regulators that may also be 110 modulated by extracellular triggers represent key (in)activators of these pleiotropic processes, 111 as exemplified by mTOR in autophagy or microRNAs (miRNA), and could be identified as 112 relevant predictive biomarkers of patient response to a treatment with the perspective of 113 providing more accurate and personalized chemotherapeutic regimen [24]. Figure 2-(a), Key 114 Figure, illustrates the main cellular mechanisms that mediate resistance to cisplatin. The 115 development of alternative platinum derivatives with a milder toxicity profile and able to alter 116 all cells whatever their stage in the cell cycle, including stem cells located within the tumor 117 margins that are insensitive to radio- or chemotherapy, is of particular interest to circumvent 118 119 drug resistance [6].

120 *Radiosensitization*

121 Radiotherapy (RT) constitutes a key strategy in the treatment of several solid tumors, including glioma, lung, breast, head and neck, uterine cervix, rectum, vulvar and prostate 122 123 cancers. Radiation beam causes direct DNA damages but also indirectly impact cell-death through the formation of highly reactive oxygen species (ROS). Modulation of the tumor 124 125 response to RT can be achieved by resorting to various antineoplastic agents and has been extensively investigated in alkylating agents and platinum-based strategies with the aim of 126 127 amplifying the differential effects between tumor and normal cells [25-28]. Due to their 128 ability to form DNA adducts leading to double-strand breaks and the heavy platinum atom 129 that locally enhances the effect of external beam radiation respectively, alkylating agents and platinum compounds are particularly used in combination with RT as effective 130 131 radiosensitizing chemotherapy [25,27–29]. Clinical studies have further evidenced the superior efficacy of concomitant chemoradiotherapy in various solid tumors compared to RT 132 alone [25,26,30]. This synergistic effect depicted in Figure 2-(b) can be explained by a more 133 accurate locoregional control of the pathology with a reduced or at least contained tumor cell 134 proliferation that would otherwise quickly entails radioresistance, resulting in a better 135 prognosis. Paradoxically, radioresistance can also occur from disruption of blood supply to 136 the altered tissue after surgery and chemotherapy, leading to hypoxic foci. Tumor 137 radiosensitivity can then be modulated by chemical radiosensitizers that simultaneously 138 enhance the therapeutic benefit of RT locally and exert their own cytotoxic effect [31,32]. The 139 time schedule between chemotherapy and RT is a key point for effective combination owing 140 to dose- and time-dependent cytotoxicity of the drug, leading to synergism or at least to an 141 142 additive effect on tumor cells [30]. Polychemotherapy, i.e. the combination of several drugs, offers another way to reach synergism in anticancer treatment. 143

144 *Polychemotherapy*

Alkylating agents as well as platinum compounds are commonly used concurrently with other antineoplastic agents, including targeted drugs and antibodies, in the management of solid tumors. The combination of drugs that exert their anticancer activity through various mechanisms of action induces cell damage and metabolism dysfunction by altering several molecular targets and signaling pathways involved in tumorigenesis [14,33]. This option is therefore commonly considered in clinical practice to potentiate drug efficacy and reverse acquired drug resistance like in ovarian, biliary tract, lung, breast and prostate cancers that

primary respond to a platinum-based treatment but ultimately relapse. For instance, the 152 standard treatment for patients with advanced colorectal cancer that consists of the 153 combination of 5-fluorouracil, leucovorin and oxaliplatin, demonstrated a potentiation of the 154 anticancer activity of oxaliplatin with fluoropyrimidines resulting in a significant 155 improvement in overall survival. The design of complementary targeted drugs since the 2000s 156 has further reinforced this trend [34,35]. In parallel, in the mid-1970s, a breakthrough in the 157 treatment of men with metastatic testicular cancer arose from a combinatory regimen based on 158 cisplatin supplemented with bleomycin and vinblastine, leading to an increase in complete 159 response rates from 5 % to 60 %. Substitution of vinblastine with etoposide further enabled to 160 reach up to 80 % of cure rates [6]. Additional adjunctive drugs can also be considered to 161 162 modulate platinum activity or toxicity [36–41].

163 **Optimization of the use of alkylating agents and platinum derivatives**

Although dramatically limited by resistance mechanisms and a lack of specificity associated with high systemic toxicity, alkylating agents and platinum derivatives remain pivotal in the management of solid tumors. Promising alternatives to their conventional use in clinical practice will be addressed in the following part that paves the way for reflection on an optimization of their use in anticancer therapy and suggests that time may have come to bring these old molecules back on the stage again.

170 Drug administration and dosage

Chemotherapy is often limited by systemic injection which causes drug dilution within 171 the organism and is responsible for severe side effects, especially on highly proliferative cells. 172 High systemic toxicity of conventional anticancer agents can be overcome by using a more 173 174 suited route of administration depending on the tumor type. In the case of operable patients with glioblastoma, an alternative to temozolomide relies on the implantation of carmustine-175 loaded wafers (Gliadel[®]) within the resection cavity at the end of the surgery [42,43]. In such 176 aqueous environment, the anhydride bonds of the biodegradable polymeric matrix get 177 hydrolyzed, allowing for a controlled and sustained release of the drug that can diffuse within 178 the surrounding parenchyma during several weeks. After degradation, the active metabolite 179 can alkylate DNA, cross-link with RNA and entail proteins carbamylation, ultimately leading 180 to cell apoptosis [43]. Although Gliadel[®] demonstrated a high therapeutic efficacy in animal 181 models, clinical translation is limited by side effects and poor diffusion within the damaged 182

parenchyma since the concentration gradient may not be strong enough to allow carmustine for penetrating deep into the brain tissue and for distributing through the tumor margins [44– 47]. Although alkylating agents have provided therapeutic efficacy and improved patient outcomes in the management of brain cancer, alternative strategies are required to reach therapeutic doses in close vicinity of the tumor burden and maximize their anticancer activity.

188 In this context, the locoregional administration of chemotherapy directly within the 189 brain enables both to bypass the blood brain barrier that prevents most macromolecules and 190 therapeutic drugs from reaching the central nervous system and to locally increase drug concentration. Convection-enhanced delivery (CED) consists of infusing the drug at high 191 192 concentration directly within the brain or the tumor *via* intraparenchymal microcatheters [44]. A constant hydrostatic positive pressure gradient is established by an infusion pump that 193 194 forces convection of the therapeutic solution at a rate of 0.1 to 10 µl min⁻¹. As such, CED achieves homogeneous elliptical to spherical distribution of molecules of various molar 195 masses over large distances compared to suboptimal therapeutic doses reached by passive 196 197 diffusion from concentration gradient [45,48–50]. Because they cannot easily cross the blood 198 brain barrier, platinum derivatives do not reach brain tumors in optimal therapeutic concentrations when administered intravenously [51]. In animal models, CED was shown to 199 dramatically increase the concentration of cisplatin and carboplatin within the brain tumor in 200 regard with traditional administration routes while reducing systemic toxicity [52]. Although 201 safety and feasibility have been demonstrated in phase I clinical trials, translation to the 202 clinics failed so far because of surgical complications [53,54]. In addition, increased 203 204 interstitial fluid pressure within brain tumors and leakage into the cerebrospinal fluid 205 drastically reduce drug concentration at the targeted site and can even induce neurotoxicity [55,56]. Thus, technical advances are expected to fill the gap between the view of CED as a 206 promising strategy to deliver therapeutic agents *in situ* to large and clinically-relevant brain 207 volumes and the current state of an invasive technique in which continuous or repeated 208 administration is at risk due to infection, hemorrhage or neurologic disorders related to 209 catheter positioning inside the brain parenchyma [45,55,57]. In case of localized diseases, 210 other clinically-relevant routes of administration have been investigated such as 211 intraperitoneal chemotherapy for primary or recurrent ovarian cancer [58,59]. 212

The use of drugs at their **maximum tolerated dose** (**MTD**) requires intermittent drugfree periods between two cycles of chemotherapy that should allow the patient for recovering from acute toxicities. However, tumor cells can regenerate during that resting time, and

selected clones may develop resistance to the treatment [60,61]. As a result, the traditional 216 rationale according to which higher doses are necessary for tumor eradication is slowly 217 shifting to the concept that "less is more", which favors a stabilization of the disease over time 218 for maintenance of quality of life. As hyperfractionated radiotherapy suggests that a 219 220 continuous low-dose schedule may be more efficient in killing highly-proliferative cells than standard radiotherapy by avoiding tumor cells reparation, metronomic chemotherapy consists 221 in the chronic and equally-spaced administration of drugs at low dose (1/10th to 1/3rd of the 222 MTD) without extended rest periods [33,62]. Whereas drug administration by intermittent 223 224 bolus generally results in high peak plasma concentrations that are further responsible for severe toxicity, "dose-dense" strategies have shown encouraging results with evidence of 225 226 disease stabilization and improved outcomes associated with a low toxicity profile in patients with solid tumors [61,63–65]. Interestingly, the frequent low-dose administration of 227 228 traditional drugs makes them able to target the dividing vascular endothelial cells, thus demonstrating additional anti-angiogenic potential, while the stimulation of the anticancer 229 230 immune response may further contribute to force tumor dormancy [33,60,62,64]. Besides, metronomic chemotherapy results in more convenient treatment administration and promotes 231 232 maintenance of patients quality of life [65]. Economic reasons can also favor oral metronomic 233 chemotherapy as a minimal cost but still compelling alternative to current standard-of-care, particularly in developing countries [66,67]. Metronomic regimens based on alkylating agents 234 or platinum derivatives have demonstrated a therapeutic benefit in patients with solid tumors 235 [60,65,68]. However, large-scale studies and controlled randomized trials that compare 236 conventional MTD to the same metronomic administration regimen are required to define the 237 optimal drug dosage and schedule. 238

239 Alternative and neglected targets

Since chromosomal aberrations in dividing cells were an outstanding feature of 240 mustard gas intoxication, most hypotheses postulated that nuclear DNA was the most critical 241 242 pharmacological target of alkylating agents and platinum derivatives [13,14]. However, in the 243 case of platinum-based treatments, the level of Pt-DNA adducts does not necessarily correlate 244 with neither intracellular drug accumulation nor cytotoxicity, suggesting that other cellular or 245 molecular components must be involved with various degrees of specificity and severity in anticancer activity [4,16,25,69,70]. Growing evidence notably suggest the role of 246 mitochondria in cisplatin anticancer activity [13,17,71,72]. Mitochondria are involved in the 247 apoptotic pathway through the release of cytochrome c into the cytosol and subsequent 248

activation of caspases 8 and 9, thus constituting a critical target for cytotoxic drugs. Rerouting 249 250 chlorambucil through engineered mitochondria-penetrating peptides (MPPs) that are able to cross the dense and highly hydrophobic membranes of mitochondria demonstrated a dramatic 251 252 potentiation of its anticancer activity in various cancer cell lines by promoting apoptosis and evading deactivation processes that commonly occur within the cytosol [73]. Interestingly, the 253 development of a cisplatin analog from MPPs showed that mtDNA damage was sufficient to 254 induce cytotoxicity and promote apoptotic cell death without impairing nuclear DNA or 255 entailing cell cycle arrest [74]. Therefore, mitochondria-specific targeting should be 256 257 reconsidered for implementing innovative and efficient anticancer strategies. Furthermore, since platinum complexes demonstrate high affinity for nucleophilic sites, various studies 258 259 have investigated their ability to trigger interactions at the molecular level by binding to various intracellular non DNA components that constitute as many potential targets of their 260 261 cytotoxicity or resistance. This rationale is schematized in Figure 3 with the example of cisplatin whose participation in DNA adducts accounts for only about 10 % of the whole 262 263 amount of cisplatin covalently bound to biomolecules within cells [4,13,17]. Therefore, the proper significance of the multifactorial mechanisms that mediate cytotoxicity in a highly 264 265 concerted way both at the cellular and molecular levels should be reconsidered with the 266 perspective of giving traditional drugs a new impetus [5,75].

267 Innovative synergies

The combination of alkylating agents or platinum derivatives with relevant therapeutic 268 strategies capable of promoting a synergistic effect and therefore potentiating anticancer 269 270 activity is of paramount interest in the treatment of solid tumors, as illustrated in Figure 2-(b) with the example of cisplatin. Inhibition of abundant thiol- and thioether-containing amino 271 272 acids and proteins for which platinum complexes exhibit high affinity can hamper drug detoxification processes [4]. Based on in vitro assays that demonstrated enhanced cell 273 sensitivity to DNA damage and apoptosis in glioblastoma cell lines exposed to buthionine 274 sulfoximine (BSO) beforehand, a significant inhibition of the tumor growth was achieved in 275 276 animal groups treated with BSO in combination with either temozolomide or cisplatin compared to animal groups treated with each of these drugs independently. Thanks to a 277 putative synergistic effect, even low doses of anticancer agents were sufficient to achieve 278 substantial outcomes while preventing from severe side effects. According to these promising 279 results, the authors suggest that the combination of glutathione (GSH) inhibitors with 280 alkylating agents or platinum complexes may improve the clinical outcome in brain cancer 281

patients [76,77]. Conversely, advantage can be taken of the elevated levels of GSH in resistant 282 283 cancer cells to specifically damage them [6,78]. Bio-mimicking molecules can also be synthesized to supersede their bio-analogs within the organism. Methylation of the gene's 284 promoter of 6-O-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), a common feature in 285 glioblastoma diagnosed patients, is of good prognosis since it improves cell sensitivity to 286 temozolomide and results in an increase in median survival [21–23]. O6-benzylguanine, a 287 structural analog of O6-methylguanine, is able to divert and irreversibly inactivate the MGMT 288 enzyme, preventing it from repairing DNA adducts induced by temozolomide. Such 289 290 synergistic combination is expected to lead to the restoration of tumor sensitivity and to maximize drug cytotoxicity. Despite promising preliminary results, the efficacy of this 291 292 strategy was limited in clinical practice by severe side effects attributed to the inactivation of the MGMT enzyme also in normal tissues [79,80]. Epigenetic modulations that may alter the 293 294 DNA repair machinery can play a role in circumventing drug resistance too [6,13,24]. DNA demethylating agents are able to reverse hypermethylation of genes involved in the DNA 295 296 mismatch repair (MMR) pathway whose alteration participates in cell resistance to platinum compounds and is of bad prognosis for patients with ovarian carcinoma. A phase II clinical 297 298 trial in patients with platinum-resistant ovarian carcinoma supported impairment of gene 299 methylation by low-dose decitabine administration and subsequent alteration of the MMR pathway to restore sensitivity to carboplatin, resulting in high response rates and extended 300 301 progression-free survival [81]. The expression of a panel of genes involved in cell sensitivity 302 or resistance mechanisms and the molecular pathways below may also be modulated to reverse drug resistance and reach a synergistic effect through miRNA that play a key role in 303 cellular development but also in oncogenesis, cancer progression and drug resistance [82–85]. 304

305 Development of smart nanocarriers

Nanotechnologies may offer tremendous opportunities in the field of medicine due to 306 their size and versatility of structure, as described with the example of cisplatin in Figure 2-307 (c). Various drug delivery systems (DDS) have been engineered to locally deliver their 308 bioactive cargo, thus concentrating drug efficacy at the tumor site while preventing from 309 systemic toxicity [86]. Indeed, DDS have been described to passively target tumor cells 310 through the enhanced permeability and retention effect (EPR) [87,88]. Although 311 controversial, this paradigm has given rise to the development of various DDS, including for 312 vectorization of platinum derivatives [70,88-93]. Interestingly, active targeting can be 313 achieved by functionalizing nanocarriers with various ligands that specifically bind to 314

receptors overexpressed on the surface of cancer cells such as folate or epidermal growth 315 factor [87,94,95]. DDS can also be engineered to specifically reroute a drug to targets whose 316 impairment will trigger a cell signaling cascade likely to entail apoptotic cell death [96]. 317 318 Designing adaptive systems sensitive to micro-environmental changes, namely environment-(pH [97], enzyme and reductive environment [98]) responsive DDS, further allows for 319 specific targeting and triggered drug release. A controlled release of the drug over time and 320 the subsequent modulation of its pharmacokinetic profile may improve its therapeutic benefit 321 [45,88,99–104]. 322

Although DDS are of high interest to extend the drug lifetime in the general circulation and protect it from deactivation until it reaches its target, alternative routes have been investigated to circumvent physiological barriers. In animal models, the local infusion of liposomes [105], nanoparticles [70,106] or polymeric micelles [56] by CED within the brain parenchyma was reported to substantially enhance the distribution volume of the system in comparison with the free drug, as well as to reduce toxicity and prolong half-life [45,105,107].

Endocytosis has been extensively described as the key mechanism of DDS cellular uptake [89,95,97,102,108–110]. Protected from deactivation by the plasma membrane vesicle, quanta of active molecules are conveyed from early endosomes to late endosomes and lysosomes, like a "Trojan horse", favoring drug release in close vicinity of the nuclear and subsequently promoting interactions with DNA [91,94,95,110]. As such, LipoplatinTM, a liposomal formulation of cisplatin, was reported to bypass membrane transporters and subsequent intracellular trafficking by direct fusion with the cell membrane [94,111].

337 Thanks to the reduced systemic toxicity that goes along with nanovectorization, new effective drug combinations may be considered. Furthermore, the resort to agents modulating 338 drug resistance mechanisms is of particular interest to enhance cell sensitivity to 339 chemotherapy. Poloxamers have been reported to accumulate within resistant cancer cells 340 and intracellular organelles from where they alter metabolic processes involved in drug efflux 341 and detoxification [94,112]. Similarly, micelles loaded with a Pt(IV) prodrug based on an 342 ethacrynic acid backbone achieved substantial reversal of cisplatin resistance owed to 343 effective GST inhibition, leading to tumor necrosis in vivo [103]. Co-delivery of platinum 344 345 derivatives and miRNA whose involvement in tumorigenesis was specifically identified could 346 also enable to impede tumor cell proliferation and invasiveness [113].

Alternatives that combine nanomedicine and other key therapeutic strategies may have 347 great potential in the clinic too. One example relies on the investigation of the radiosensitizing 348 effect of gold nanoparticles due to high X-ray absorption [114]. The incorporation of high Z 349 platinum compounds into various DDS also potentiate drug efficacy in synergy with radiation 350 351 therapy [31]. Surface-functionalization of DDS with radiopharmaceutics could further allow for targeted molecular nuclear medicine, providing nanosystems with an additional imaging 352 modality. This way towards "theranostics" may be a promising application of DDS in the 353 near future. 354

From the perspective of personalized medicine, multifunctional nanoplatforms may 355 356 enable to gather large amount of information relevant to patient care [115]. Therefore, combinatorial systems have been developed to allow for real-time monitoring of the treatment 357 358 efficacy. Some of these systems require a specific stimulus, either physical (light or heat) or chemical (hypoxic conditions or oxidative stress), to release their pharmaceutically active 359 payload [99,116,117]. The therapeutic benefit of such tunable nanosystems is improved by 360 real-time monitoring of their biodistribution within the organism together with the evaluation 361 of patient early response to the treatment [118]. As such, the rise of various DDS with 362 integrated smart functions has already pushed the frontiers of science by making it possible to 363 develop hybrid systems that are able not only to drive the drug to its target but also to monitor 364 its impact, or even intensify it. 365

366 **Concluding remarks**

Owing to their broad anticancer spectrum, alkylating agents and platinum derivatives 367 are key in the management of solid tumors. Still, they suffer from acute systemic toxicity, 368 369 sub-optimal treatment schedule, intrinsic or acquired resistance and inadequate routing both at 370 the tissue and cellular levels. In this context, this review envisions promising alternatives to the conventional use of alkylating agents and platinum derivatives in clinical practice, 371 including their administration by appropriate routes depending on the tumor location, an 372 optimized subcellular rerouting, synergistic strategies, and the development of an arsenal of 373 374 smart nanocarriers. Driven by the necessity to rethink their use through rather simple potentiating therapeutic strategies relevant to the daily needs and clinical practice – instead of 375 376 developing plenty of new drugs that would quickly face the same issues in terms of limited

therapeutic index (see Outstanding Questions), we do believe that these old molecules havegreat promise for future applications in the management of solid tumors.

379 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the "Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale" 380 (INSERM), by the University of Angers (Angers, France) and by the University of Liege 381 (Liege, Belgium). It is also related to the LabEx IRON "Innovative Radiopharmaceuticals in 382 Oncology and Neurology" as part of the French government "Investissements d'Avenir" 383 program, to the INCa (Institut National du Cancer) MARENGO consortium "MicroRNA 384 agonist and antagonist Nanomedicines for GliOblastoma treatment: from molecular 385 programmation to preclinical validation through the PL-BIO 2014-2020 grant and to the 386 MuMoFRaT project "Multi-scale Modeling & simulation of the response to hypo-387 Fractionated Radiotherapy or repeated molecular radiation Therapies" supported by "La 388 389 Région Pays-de-la-Loire" and by the Cancéropôle Grand-Ouest (tumor targeting and radiotherapy network). Hélène Lajous was a Ph.D. student involved in the Erasmus Mundus 390 Joint Doctorate program for Nanomedicine and pharmaceutical innovation (EMJD NanoFar) 391 392 and received a fellowship from "La Région Pays-de-la-Loire".

393 **Disclosures**

394 There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

395 **References**

- Hanahan, D. and Weinberg, R.A. (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. *Cell* 144, 646–74
- Ye, W. (2016) The complexity of translating anti-angiogenesis therapy from basic
 science to the clinic. *Dev. Cell* 37, 114–25
- Yang, W.-H. *et al.* (2017) Revision of the concept of anti-angiogenesis and its
 applications in tumor treatment. *Chronic Dis. Transl. Med.* 3, 33–40
- 402 4 Mezencev, R. (2015) Interactions of cisplatin with non-DNA targets and their influence
 403 on anticancer activity and drug toxicity: The complex world of the platinum complex.
 404 *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* 14, 794–816

5 Gibson, D. (2019) Multi-action Pt(IV) anticancer agents; do we understand how they 405 work? J. Inorg. Biochem. 191, 77-84 406 Kelland, L. (2007) The resurgence of platinum-based cancer chemotherapy. Nat. Rev. 407 6 Cancer 7, 573-84 408 409 7 Johnstone, T.C. et al. (2015) Third row transition metals for the treatment of cancer. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 373, 20140185 410 8 Wishart, D.S. et al. (2018) DrugBank 5.0: A major update to the DrugBank database 411 for 2018. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, D1074–D1082 412 413 9 Yang, F. et al. (2014) Doxorubicin, DNA torsion, and chromatin dynamics. Biochim. 414 Biophys. Acta 1845, 84–9 Babu, N.J. et al. (2013) Temozolomide hydrochloride dihydrate. CrystEngComm 15, 415 10 666-71 416 417 11 Siddik, Z.H. (2002) Mechanisms of action of cancer chemotherapeutic agents: DNAinteractive alkylating agents and antitumour platinum-based drugs. In The Cancer 418 419 Handbook 1st Edition (Alison, M. R., ed), John Wiley & Sons, Ltd 12 Corte-Rodríguez, M. et al. (2015) Quantitative evaluation of cellular uptake, DNA 420 421 incorporation and adduct formation in cisplatin sensitive and resistant cell lines: 422 Comparison of different Pt-containing drugs. Biochem. Pharmacol. 98, 69–77 13 Shen, D.-W. et al. (2012) Cisplatin resistance: A cellular self-defense mechanism 423 resulting from multiple epigenetic and genetic changes. Pharmacol. Rev. 64, 706-21 424 425 14 Dasari, S. and Tchounwou, P.B. (2014) Cisplatin in cancer therapy: Molecular mechanisms of action. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 740, 364-78 426 427 15 Stewart, D.J. (2007) Mechanisms of resistance to cisplatin and carboplatin. Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 63, 12-31 428 16 Garrido, N. et al. (2008) Cisplatin-mediated impairment of mitochondrial DNA 429 metabolism inversely correlates with glutathione levels. *Biochem. J.* 414, 93–102 430 17 Galluzzi, L. et al. (2014) Systems biology of cisplatin resistance: Past, present and 431 future. Cell Death Dis. 5, e1257-18 432 433 18 Cheung-Ong, K. et al. (2013) DNA-damaging agents in cancer chemotherapy: Serendipity and chemical biology. Chem. Biol. 20, 648-59 434 Amable, L. (2016) Cisplatin resistance and opportunities for precision medicine. 435 19 Pharmacol. Res. 106, 27-36 436 20 Fennell, D.A. et al. (2016) Cisplatin in the modern era: The backbone of first-line 437 chemotherapy for non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Treat. Rev. 44, 42-50 438

- 439 21 Ostrom, Q. *et al.* (2013) Gene markers in brain tumors: What the epileptologist should
 440 know. *Epilepsia* 54, 25–9
- 441 22 Van Thuijl, H.F. *et al.* (2015) Evolution of DNA repair defects during malignant
 442 progression of low-grade gliomas after temozolomide treatment. *Acta Neuropathol.*443 129, 597–607
- Hegi, M.E. *et al.* (2005) MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in
 glioblastoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 352, 997–1003
- 446 24 O'Grady, S. *et al.* (2014) The role of DNA repair pathways in cisplatin resistant lung
 447 cancer. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 40, 1161–70
- Hoebers, F.J.P. *et al.* (2008) Cisplatin-DNA adduct formation in patients treated with
 cisplatin-based chemoradiation: Lack of correlation between normal tissues and
 primary tumor. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* 61, 1075–81
- 451 26 Chalmers, A.J. *et al.* (2009) Cytotoxic effects of temozolomide and radiation are
 452 additive- and schedule-dependent. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 75, 1511–9
- Eckert, F. *et al.* (2010) Definitive radiotherapy and single-agent radiosensitizing
 ifosfamide in patients with localized, irresectable soft tissue sarcoma: A retrospective
 analysis. *Radiat. Oncol.* 5, 55
- 456 28 Wilson, G.D. *et al.* (2006) Biologic basis for combining drugs with radiation. *Semin.*457 *Radiat. Oncol.* 16, 2–9
- Reboul, F.L. (2004) Radiotherapy and chemotherapy in locally advanced non-small
 cell lung cancer: Preclinical and early clinical data. *Hematol. Oncol. Clin. North Am.*18, 41–53
- 461 30 Tippayamontri, T. *et al.* (2012) Synergism in concomitant chemoradiotherapy of
 462 cisplatin and oxaliplatin and their liposomal formulation in the human colorectal cancer
 463 HCT116 model. *Anticancer Res.* 32, 4395–404
- 464 31 Miladi, I. *et al.* (2015) Combining ultrasmall gadolinium-based nanoparticles with
 465 photon irradiation overcomes radioresistance of head and neck squamous cell
 466 carcinoma. *Nanomedicine Nanotechnology, Biol. Med.* 11, 247–57
- 467 32 Tomoda, K. *et al.* (2015) Examination of gossypol-pluronic micelles as potential
 468 radiosensitizers. *AAPS J.* 17, 1369–75
- 469 33 De Felice, F. *et al.* (2015) Head and neck cancer: Metronomic chemotherapy. *BMC*470 *Cancer* 15, 677
- 471 34 Goldberg, R.M. *et al.* (2004) A randomized controlled trial of fluorouracil plus
 472 leucovorin, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin combinations in patients with previously

- 473 untreated metastatic colorectal cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 22, 23–30
- 474 35 Carethers, J.M. (2008) Systemic treatment of advanced colorectal cancer: Tailoring
 475 therapy to the tumor. *Therap. Adv. Gastroenterol.* 1, 33–42
- 476 36 Oldenburg, J. *et al.* (2013) Testicular seminoma and non-seminoma: ESMO Clinical
 477 Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol.* 24, vi125478 vi132
- 479 37 Bellmunt, J. *et al.* (2014) Bladder cancer: ESMO Practice Guidelines for diagnosis,
 480 treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol.* 25, iii40-iii48
- 481 38 Lordick, F. *et al.* (2016) Oesophageal cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for
 482 diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol.* 27, v50–v57
- 483 39 Novello, S. *et al.* (2016) Metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer: ESMO Clinical
 484 Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol.* 27, v1–v27
- 485 40 Marth, C. *et al.* (2017) Cervical cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for
 486 diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann. Oncol.* 28, iv72-iv83
- 487 41 Postmus, P.E. *et al.* (2017) Early and locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer
 488 (NSCLC): ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up.
 489 Ann. Oncol. 28, iv1-iv21
- 42 Wilson, T. *et al.* (2014) Glioblastoma multiforme: State of the art and future
 491 therapeutics. *Surg. Neurol. Int.* 5, 132138
- 43 Lombardi, M.Y. and Assem, M. (2017) Glioblastoma genomics: A very complicated
 43 story. In *Glioblastoma* pp. 3–25, Codon Publications
- 494 44 Laquintana, V. *et al.* (2009) New strategies to deliver anticancer drugs to brain tumors.
 495 *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 6, 1017–32
- 496 45 Zhou, J. et al. (2012) Novel delivery strategies for glioblastoma. Cancer J. 18, 89–9
- 46 Fernandes, C. *et al.* (2017) Current standards of care in glioblastoma therapy. In
 498 *Glioblastoma* pp. 197–241, Codon Publications
- 499 47 Hanif, F. *et al.* (2017) Glioblastoma multiforme: A review of its epidemiology and
 500 pathogenesis through clinical presentation and treatment. *Asian Pacific J. Cancer Prev.*501 18, 3–9
- Arshad, A. *et al.* (2015) Convection-enhanced delivery of carboplatin PLGA
 nanoparticles for the treatment of glioblastoma. *PLoS One* 10, e0132266
- Garg, T. *et al.* (2015) Current strategies for targeted delivery of bio-active drug
 molecules in the treatment of brain tumor. *J. Drug Target.* 23, 865–87
- 506 50 Vogelbaum, M.A. and Aghi, M.K. (2015) Convection-enhanced delivery for the

- 507 treatment of glioblastoma. *Neuro. Oncol.* 17, ii3-ii8
- 508 51 Cheff, D.M. and Hall, M.D. (2017) A drug of such damned nature.1 Challenges and 509 opportunities in translational platinum drug research. *J. Med. Chem.* 60, 4517–32
- 510 52 Shi, M. *et al.* (2015) Convection-enhancement delivery of platinum-based drugs and
 511 LipoplatinTM to optimize the concomitant effect with radiotherapy in F98 glioma rat
 512 model. *Invest. New Drugs* 33, 555–63
- 513 53 Vogelbaum, M.A. (2007) Convection enhanced delivery for treating brain tumors and
 514 selected neurological disorders: Symposium review. *J. Neurooncol.* 83, 97–109
- 54 White, E. *et al.* (2012) A phase I trial of carboplatin administered by convectionenhanced delivery to patients with recurrent/progressive glioblastoma multiforme. *Contemp. Clin. Trials* 33, 320–31
- 518 55 Siegal, T. (2013) Change clinical practice for brain tumor. *Neuro. Oncol.* 15, 656–69
- 519 56 Zhang, R. *et al.* (2016) Convection-enhanced delivery of SN-38-loaded polymeric
 520 micelles (NK012) enables consistent distribution of SN-38 and is effective against
 521 rodent intracranial brain tumor models. *Drug Deliv.* 23, 2780–6
- 57 Yang, W. *et al.* (2011) Convection-enhanced delivery of carboplatin in combination
 with radiotherapy for the treatment of brain tumors. *J Neurooncol* 101, 379–90
- 58 Jaaback, K. *et al.* (2016) Intraperitoneal chemotherapy for the initial management of
 primary epithelial ovarian cancer (Review). In *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* pp. CD005340, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd
- 527 59 Jandial, D.A. *et al.* (2017) A phase I pharmacokinetic study of intraperitoneal
 bortezomib and carboplatin in patients with persistent or recurrent ovarian cancer: An
 529 NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Gynecol. Oncol.* 145, 236–42
- Scharovsky, O.G. *et al.* (2009) Metronomic chemotherapy: Changing the paradigm that
 more is better. *Curr. Oncol.* 16, 7–15
- 532 61 Zhidkov, N. *et al.* (2013) Continuous intraperitoneal carboplatin delivery for the
 533 treatment of late-stage ovarian cancer. *Mol. Pharm.* 10, 3315–22
- 534 62 Su, W.H. *et al.* (2012) Metronomic therapy for gynecologic cancers. *Taiwan. J. Obstet.*535 *Gynecol.* 51, 167–78
- 536 63 Ye, H. *et al.* (2015) Sustained, low-dose intraperitoneal cisplatin improves treatment
 537 outcome in ovarian cancer mouse models. *J. Control. Release* 220, 358–67
- 538 64 Pasquier, E. *et al.* (2010) Metronomic chemotherapy: New rationale for new directions.
 539 *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 7, 455–65
- 540 65 Kubota, H. et al. (2017) Feasibility of metronomic chemotherapy with tegafur-uracil,

- cisplatin, and dexamethasone for docetaxel-refractory prostate cancer. *J Rural Med* 12,
 112–9
- 543 66 Patil, V.M. *et al.* (2015) A prospective randomized phase II study comparing
 544 metronomic chemotherapy with chemotherapy (single agent cisplatin), in patients with
 545 metastatic, relapsed or inoperable squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck. *Oral*546 *Oncol.* 51, 279–86
- 547 67 Specenier, P. and Vermorken, J.B. (2018) Optimizing treatments for recurrent or
 548 metastatic head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Expert Rev. Anticancer Ther.* 18,
 549 901–15
- Correale, P. *et al.* (2011) Phase II trial of bevacizumab and dose/dense chemotherapy
 with cisplatin and metronomic daily oral etoposide in advanced non-small-cell-lung
 cancer patients. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 12, 112–8
- 553 69 Tippayamontri, T. *et al.* (2011) Cellular uptake and cytoplasm/DNA distribution of 554 cisplatin and oxaliplatin and their liposomal formulation in human colorectal cancer 555 cell HCT116. *Invest. New Drugs* 29, 1321–7
- 556 70 Lajous, H. *et al.* (2018) Hybrid Gd3+/cisplatin cross-linked polymer nanoparticles
 557 enhance platinum accumulation and formation of DNA adducts in glioblastoma cell
 558 lines. *Biomater. Sci.* 6, 2386–409
- 559 71 Cullen, K.J. *et al.* (2007) Mitochondria as a critical target of the chemotherapeutic
 agent cisplatin in head and neck cancer. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 39, 43–50
- Van Gisbergen, M.W. *et al.* (2015) How do changes in the mtDNA and mitochondrial
 dysfunction influence cancer and cancer therapy? Challenges, opportunities and
 models. *Mutat. Res.* 764, 16–30
- Fonseca, S.B. *et al.* (2011) Rerouting chlorambucil to mitochondria combats drug
 deactivation and resistance in cancer cells. *Chem. Biol.* 18, 445–53
- 566 74 Wisnovsky, S.P. *et al.* (2013) Targeting mitochondrial DNA with a platinum-based
 567 anticancer agent. *Chem. Biol.* 20, 1323–8
- 568 75 Gibson, D. (2016) Platinum(IV) anticancer prodrugs hypotheses and facts. *Dalton*569 *Trans.* 45, 12983–91
- 570 76 Rocha, C.R.R. *et al.* (2014) Glutathione depletion sensitizes cisplatin- and
 571 temozolomide-resistant glioma cells in vitro and in vivo. *Cell Death Dis.* 5, e1505
- 572 77 Villablanca, J.G. *et al.* (2016) A phase I new approaches to neuroblastoma therapy
 573 study of buthionine sulfoximine and melphalan with autologous stem cells for
 574 recurrent/refractory high-risk neuroblastoma. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* 63, 1349–56

- 575 78 Vergote, I. *et al.* (2009) Phase 3 randomised study of canfosfamide (Telcyta®,
 576 TLK286) versus pegylated liposomal doxorubicin or topotecan as third-line therapy in
 577 patients with platinum-refractory or -resistant ovarian cancer. *Eur. J. Cancer* 45, 2324–
 578 32
- 579 79 Kaina, B. *et al.* (2010) Targeting O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase with 580 specific inhibitors as a strategy in cancer therapy. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 67, 3663–81
- Warren, K.E. *et al.* (2012) A phase II study of O6-benzylguanine and temozolomide in
 pediatric patients with recurrent or progressive high-grade gliomas and brainstem
 gliomas: A Pediatric Brain Tumor Consortium study. *J. Neurooncol.* 106, 643–9
- Matei, D. *et al.* (2012) Epigenetic resensitization to platinum in ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res.* 72, 2197–205
- 586 82 Garzon, R. et al. (2009) MicroRNAs in cancer. Annu. Rev. Med. 60, 167–79
- 587 83 Visone, R. and Croce, C.M. (2009) MiRNAs and cancer. Am. J. Pathol. 174, 1131–8
- 588 84 Chen, W. *et al.* (2014) MiR-136 targets E2F1 to reverse cisplatin chemosensitivity in
 glioma cells. *J. Neurooncol.* 120, 43–53
- 590 85 Chen, X. *et al.* (2015) MiR-873 acts as a novel sensitizer of glioma cells to cisplatin by
 591 targeting Bcl-2. *Int. J. Oncol.* 47, 1603–11
- 592 86 Ventola, C.L. (2017) Progress in nanomedicine: Approved and investigational
 593 nanodrugs. *Pharm. Ther.* 42, 742–55
- 594 87 Peer, D. *et al.* (2007) Nanocarriers as an emerging platform for cancer therapy. *Nat.*595 *Nanotechnol.* 2, 751–60
- 596 88 Harper, B.W. *et al.* (2010) Advances in platinum chemotherapeutics. *Chem. A Eur. J.*597 16, 7064–77
- S98 89 Cabral, H. and Kataoka, K. (2014) Progress of drug-loaded polymeric micelles into
 clinical studies. *J. Control. Release* 190, 465–76
- 600 90 Li, J. *et al.* (2014) Complex of cisplatin with biocompatible poly(ethylene glycol) with
 601 pendant carboxyl groups for the effective treatment of liver cancer. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*602 131, 9246–54
- Duan, X. *et al.* (2015) Polymeric micelle-mediated delivery of DNA-targeting
 organometallic complexes for resistant ovarian cancer treatment. *Small* 11, 3962–72
- Johnstone, T.C. *et al.* (2016) The next generation of platinum drugs: Targeted Pt(II)
 agents, nanoparticle delivery, and Pt(IV) prodrugs. *Chem. Rev.* 116, 3436–86
- Parhizkar, M. *et al.* (2016) Electrohydrodynamic encapsulation of cisplatin in poly
 (lactic-co-glycolic acid) nanoparticles for controlled drug delivery. *Nanomedicine*

- 609 *Nanotechnology, Biol. Med.* 12, 1919–29
- 610 94 Xue, X. *et al.* (2013) Nanoscale drug delivery platforms overcome platinum-based
 611 resistance in cancer cells due to abnormal membrane protein trafficking. *ACS Nano* 7,
 612 10452–64
- 613 95 Lehner, R. *et al.* (2013) Intelligent nanomaterials for medicine: Carrier platforms and
 614 targeting strategies in the context of clinical application. *Nanomedicine*615 *Nanotechnology, Biol. Med.* 9, 742–57
- Marrache, S. *et al.* (2014) Detouring of cisplatin to access mitochondrial genome for
 overcoming resistance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 111, 10444–9
- Sun, X. *et al.* (2017) A pH-responsive yolk-like nanoplatform for tumor targeted dualmode magnetic resonance imaging and chemotherapy. *ACS Nano* 11, 7049–59
- 620 98 Cajot, S. *et al.* (2011) Design of reversibly core cross-linked micelles sensitive to
 621 reductive environment. *J. Control. Release* 152, 30–6
- Nishiyama, N. and Kataoka, K. (2006) Current state, achievements, and future
 prospects of polymeric micelles as nanocarriers for drug and gene delivery. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 112, 630–48
- 625 100 Oerlemans, C. *et al.* (2010) Polymeric micelles in anticancer therapy: Targeting,
 626 imaging and triggered release. *Pharm. Res.* 27, 2569–89
- 627 101 Stathopoulos, G.P. and Boulikas, T. (2012) Lipoplatin formulation review article. J.
 628 Drug Deliv. 2012, 581363
- 629 102 Patra, H.K. and Turner, A.P.F. (2014) The potential legacy of cancer nanotechnology:
 630 Cellular selection. *Trends Biotechnol.* 32, 21–31
- Li, S. *et al.* (2017) Overcoming resistance to cisplatin by inhibition of glutathione Stransferases (GSTs) with ethacraplatin micelles in vitro and in vivo. *Biomaterials* 144,
 119–29
- Ku, S. *et al.* (2017) Supramolecular cisplatin-vorinostat nanodrug for overcoming drug
 resistance in cancer synergistic therapy. *J. Control. Release* 266, 36–46
- Krauze, M.T. *et al.* (2005) Real-time visualization and characterization of liposomal
 delivery into the monkey brain by magnetic resonance imaging. *Brain Res. Protoc.* 16,
 20–6
- 639 106 Zhang, C. *et al.* (2017) Convection enhanced delivery of cisplatin-loaded brain
 640 penetrating nanoparticles cures malignant glioma in rats. *J. Control. Release* 263, 112–
 641 9
- 642 107 Saito, R. et al. (2005) Gadolinium-loaded liposomes allow for real-time magnetic

- resonance imaging of convection-enhanced delivery in the primate brain. *Exp. Neurol.*196, 381–9
- Hillaireau, H. and Couvreur, P. (2009) Nanocarriers' entry into the cell: Relevance to
 drug delivery. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 66, 2873–96
- Bregoli, L. *et al.* (2016) Nanomedicine applied to translational oncology: A future
 perspective on cancer treatment. *Nanomedicine Nanotechnology, Biol. Med.* 12, 81–
 103
- Cai, Y. *et al.* (2017) Supramolecular "Trojan Horse" for nuclear delivery of dual
 anticancer drugs. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 139, 2876–9
- 652 111 Boulikas, T. (2009) Clinical overview on LipoplatinTM: A successful liposomal
 653 formulation of cisplatin. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* 18, 1197–218
- Exner, A.A. *et al.* (2005) Enhancement of carboplatin toxicity by Pluronic block
 copolymers. *J. Control. Release* 106, 188–97
- 113 Yang, T. *et al.* (2016) Anti-tumor efficiency of lipid-coated cisplatin nanoparticles coloaded with microRNA-375. *Theranostics* 6, 142–54
- Hainfeld, J.F. *et al.* (2013) Gold nanoparticle imaging and radiotherapy of brain tumors
 in mice. *Nanomedicine* 8, 1601–9
- McCarthy, J.R. and Weissleder, R. (2008) Multifunctional magnetic nanoparticles for
 targeted imaging and therapy. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 60, 1241–51
- 662 116 Zhu, H. *et al.* (2012) Responsive fluorescent Bi2O3@PVA hybrid nanogels for
 663 temperature-sensing, dual-modal imaging, and drug delivery. *Biomaterials* 33, 3058–
 664 69
- 665 117 Cao, Y. *et al.* (2015) Photo-triggered fluorescent theranostic prodrugs as DNA
 666 alkylating agents for mechlorethamine release and spatiotemporal monitoring. *Org.*667 *Biomol. Chem.* 13, 6742–8
- 118 Yuan, Y. *et al.* (2014) Targeted theranostic platinum(IV) prodrug with a built-in
 aggregation-induced emission light-up apoptosis sensor for noninvasive early
 evaluation of its therapeutic responses in situ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 2546–54
- 671 119 Choi, Y.M. *et al.* (2015) Mechanism of cisplatin-induced cytotoxicity is correlated to
 672 impaired metabolism due to mitochondrial ROS generation. *PLoS One* 10, e0135083
- Mandal, R. *et al.* (2006) Mass spectrometry study of hemoglobin-oxaliplatin complexes
 in colorectal cancer patients and potential association with chemotherapeutic responses.
- 675 Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 20, 2533–8
- 676

677 Figure legends



23

Figure 1. Various mechanisms of action of DNA targeting agents. (a) Intercalation of 680 doxorubicin between DNA strands. Doxorubicin forms with guanine a covalent bond 681 (formaldehyde equivalent) on one DNA strand and hydrogen bonds on the opposite strand to 682 stabilize the structure. Consequently, DNA stays unwound and replication becomes 683 impossible. Interactions with DNA preferentially occur with neighboring GC base pairs [9]. 684 (b) DNA methylation by temozolomide. Temozolomide acts as a prodrug spontaneously 685 hydrolyzed at physiological pH in its active metabolite MTIC, subsequently converted to AIC 686 and methyldiazonium [10]. This highly reactive cation methylates purine bases, preferentially 687 O₆ and N₇ guanines and to a lesser extent A₃ adenine, thus inhibiting DNA replication. 688 Excision of O₆-methylguanine adducts by MMR enzymes may induce either mutations 689 continuously recovered along replications or DNA single- or double-strand breaks responsible 690 for cell apoptosis [43]. (c) DNA complexation with cisplatin. Cisplatin (1) requires the 691 692 substitution of at least one chloride group by water for its activation, a process called aquation. This hydrolysis automatically occurs once cisplatin is internalized because of the 693 small intracellular chloride concentration. Reactivity of Pt(II) complexes, (4) > (2), (5) >694 (1),(3) >> (6), is determined by the ability of every ligand to be substituted by a nucleophile 695 696 [4]. Active Pt(II) species complex with nucleophilic intracellular ligands: N₇-sites of purine DNA or RNA bases, mainly guanine and to a lesser extent adenine, and nucleophilic sites on 697 698 several proteins [7,12]. Guanine intrastrand cross-linking with cisplatin impedes DNA 699 replication and transcription [6,11].



700

Trends in Pharmacological Sciences

701 Figure 2, Key Figure. Strategies to overcome cellular resistance and enhance the 702 therapeutic index of a drug: The example of cisplatin. (a) Cellular resistance 703 mechanisms to cisplatin. (1) Impaired influx through altered transported-mediated uptake (CTR1) [13,14], or conversely (2) active efflux outside the cell (ATP7A/B, MRP2) [6] are 704 705 responsible for (3) a reduced total intracellular accumulation of the drug [12]. Cisplatin efflux from the nucleus back to the cytoplasm also reduces drug distribution to nuclear DNA [15]. 706 (4) The abundance within the cytosol of thiol- and thioether-containing amino acids and 707 proteins for which cisplatin exhibits high affinity is responsible for detoxification processes 708 that lead to drug sequestration and inactivation [4,16,17,73]. Besides, glutathione may quench 709 710 Pt-DNA monoadducts before their conversion into cytotoxic DNA cross-links and (5) reduce cisplatin-induced oxidative stress within cells [15,16]. To overcome drug cytotoxicity, tumor 711 cells trigger an overall abnormal phenotype by silencing or activating multiple genes notably 712

involved in (6) the modulation of the expression of miRNA, (7) of GTPases, ribosomal and 713 heat shock proteins (HSP), in (8) the overexpression of markers of the epithelial to 714 mesenchymal transition (EMT) pathway, in (9) histone acetylation, (10) aberrant DNA 715 methylation, (11) DNA-damage repair and (14) apoptotic signaling pathways [13]. (11-a) 716 717 DNA cross-linking recognition and reparation mechanisms allow for (12-a) a decreased amount of DNA adducts, whereas (11-b) ineffective DNA repair leads to (12-b1) homologous 718 recombination or (12-b2) translesion DNA synthesis that further results in genome instability 719 and recurrence of aggressive and resistant tumor cells [16]. Among other indications, cisplatin 720 721 provided a breakthrough in the management of testis cancer attributed to an intrinsic cellular hypersensitivity together with a reduced ability to repair DNA adducts through the nucleotide 722 723 excision repair (NER) pathway [6]. Other molecular pathways are also involved in the 724 efficacy/toxicity of platinum-based regimen [13,15,17,24]. (13) An enhanced tolerance to 725 DNA damage and (14) the alteration of the apoptotic signaling pathway, especially p53 mutation (p53mt), result in cell escape from apoptosis and acquired resistance [6,15]. (b) 726 727 Innovative synergies capable of detouring drug resistance mechanisms. (1) Cisplatin is conventionally used in combination with radiotherapy (RT) in the treatment of various solid 728 729 tumors as it enhances dose deposition [25,28,29]. RT can increase the cellular uptake of 730 cisplatin and promote the activation of toxic Pt(II) complexes. Conversely, cisplatin may stop the cell cycle and inhibit the molecular repair machinery that tackles radiation-induced DNA 731 damage [28]. (2) Interestingly, cisplatin was reported to decrease the MGMT activity whose 732 733 expression counters temozolomide efficacy in glioma treatment [76]. (3) An alternative to reverse resistance induced detoxification processes consists in taking advantage of the 734 elevated levels of GSH in resistant cancer cells to specifically damage them [6,78] or to 735 inhibit the glutathione S-transferase (GST) [103]. (4) Combination with histone deacetylase 736 737 inhibitors prevents histones from binding to DNA, leaving it more accessible to alkylation/complexation [104]. (5) Sensitivity can also be restored through epigenetic 738 modulations involving miRNAs for permissive or synergistic effects [82-85]. (c) Advantages 739 740 of cisplatin nanovectorization over traditional regimens. Multifunctional nanocarriers are developed and evaluated towards an optimized drug delivery to tumor cells for (1) 741 locoregional confinement in specific environments and/or for selective targeting of receptors 742 in relation with administration routes and modalities [87,94,95]. They can also be engineered 743 by using radionuclides, MRI contrast agents or fluorophores to assess the patient response in 744 real-time and adjust the treatment [116,118]. (2) Whereas free molecules individually enter 745 746 the intracellular space by passive diffusion through the membrane or by transporters

mediation, endocytosis of nanosized DDS enables the internalization of the drug in a quantum 747 form [109]. Nanocarriers have been synthesized to bypass (3) endolysosomal degradation, (4) 748 detoxification processes and drug elimination by multidrug resistance efflux [94]. Besides, 749 tailored nanosystems can mediate (5) the rerouting or subcellular trafficking of the drug to 750 target specific organelles [96]. The high intracellular platinum accumulation favored by the 751 endocytic process is associated with an increased formation of DNA adducts and a markedly 752 enhanced antitumor activity whatever the resistance status of the cells [69,91,97,103,104]. 753 The versatility of structure of smart DDS also allows for (6) a sustained drug release mediated 754 755 by environmental triggers in the intracellular compartment or in the extracellular space [98,116]. (7) Drug combinations and synergies with alternative approaches such as adjuvant 756 radiation therapy or modulation of the expression of resistance signals through miRNA 757 agonist or antagonist strategies may reinforce the cytotoxicity of nanovectorized cisplatin. 758



Trends in Pharmacological Sciences

Figure 3. Cisplatin alternative and neglected targets. Cisplatin binds to various 760 intracellular non DNA components that constitute potential targets and factors of efficacy or 761 762 resistance. (1) Cisplatin interactions with proteins account for most adducts within cells due to high reactivity of thiol and thioether protein constitutive residues and their abundance within 763

the cytosol [4]. (2) Nucleotides and nucleosides are characterized by a lower steric hindrance 764 compared to their analogs involved in DNA that may result in easier interactions with Pt(II) 765 complexes. (3) Kinetics considerations showed faster and higher complexation rates of 766 cisplatin with RNA than DNA in vitro, even though resulting in less stable adducts. Cross-767 768 linking with mRNA was reported to inhibit translation in vitro. Interactions with non-coding RNA may impair downstream cellular and molecular processes [4]. (4) Positively charged 769 Pt(II) activated species were reported to accumulate within negatively charged mitochondria 770 due to electrostatic interactions. There, cisplatin produces a significantly higher amount of 771 772 adducts with mitochondrial DNA than with nuclear DNA, subsequently impairing response and clinical outcome of cancer patients [16,72,119]. Besides, since resistance to cisplatin is 773 partly linked to an extensive repair of Pt-DNA adducts by the NER machinery, rerouting the 774 drug towards mitochondria whose DNA lacks such repair mechanisms may overcome 775 776 resistance and enhance therapeutic efficacy [96]. (5) Although the amount of Pt(II) complexes with hemoglobin that persist following an oxaliplatin-based treatment was correlated with an 777 778 increased risk of disease progression in patients with colorectal cancer, the impact of cisplatin interactions with extracellular components has not been reported yet [120]. 779

780

781 **Tables**

- **Table 1.** FDA-approved alkylating agents and affiliated compounds for anticancer therapy ^a.
- 783 Marketing authorization and clinical practice guidelines are likely to evolve over time and
- 784 *depending on the country.*

Drug	Approval year	Indication	Delivery type	Route
Nitrogen mustards				
Mechlorethamine	1949	Lung cancer Leukemia Lymphoma	single	IV injection Intracavitary Intrapericardial
Chlorambucil	1957	Leukemia Lymphoma	single	Oral
Cyclophosphamide	1959	Lymphoma Multiple myeloma Leukemia Brain cancer Ovarian cancer Retinoblastoma Breast cancer	single or in combination	Oral IV injection
Uracil mustard	1962	Leukemia Lymphoma	single	Oral
Melphalan	1964	Multiple myeloma Ovarian cancer	combination	IV injection Oral
Estramustine phosphate sodium	1981	Prostate cancer	combination	Oral
Ifosfamide	1988	Testicular cancer	combination	IV injection
Bendamustine hydrochloride	2008	Lymphoma Leukemia	single	IV injection
Nitrosoureas Lomustine (CCNU)	1976	Brain cancer Lymphoma	single or in combination	Oral
Carmustine (BCNU)	1977	Brain cancer Lymphoma Multiple myeloma	single or in combination	IV injection
Streptozocin	1982	Pancreatic cancer	single	IV injection
Carmustine wafers (Gliadel [®])	1996	Brain cancer	single or in combination	Intracranial implantation

Drug	Approval year	Indication	Delivery type	Route
Platinum complexes				
Cisplatin	1978	Testicular cancer Ovarian cancer Bladder cancer	single or in combination	IV injection
Carboplatin	1989	Ovarian cancer	single or in combination	IV injection
Oxaliplatin	2004	Colon cancer Colorectal cancer	combination	IV injection
Others				
Busulfan	1954	Leukemia	combination	Oral IV injection
Thiotepa	1959	Breast cancer Ovarian cancer Bladder cancer	single	IV injection Intravesical instillation
Pipobroman	1966	Leukemia	single	Oral
Procarbazine hydrochloride	1969	Lymphoma	combination	Oral
Mitomycin C	1974	Stomach cancer Pancreatic cancer Bladder cancer	single or in combination	IV injection
Dacarbazine	1975	Melanoma Lymphoma	single or in combination	IV injection
Altretamine	1990	Ovarian cancer	single	Oral
Temozolomide	2005	Brain cancer	single or in combination	Oral
Trabectedin	2015	Soft tissue sarcoma	single	IV injection

785 ^a Abbreviations: IV, intravenous

786 Glossary

Alkyl group: a univalent group derived from alkanes by removal of a hydrogen atom from any carbon atom, an alkane being an acyclic branched or unbranched hydrocarbon having the general formula C_nH_{n+2} .

790 Cisplatin, *cis*-diamminedichloroplatinum(II): a metallic coordination complex with a 791 central platinum atom in a divalent state, two labile chlorine groups and two stable amine 792 ligands located in a *cis*- configuration. Its ability to inhibit DNA synthesis on *E. coli* bacterial 793 culture was serendipitously discovered in 1965 by Rosenberg and led to its FDA-approval as 794 an antineoplastic agent in 1978.

795 Dose deposition: quantifies the concentration of energy absorbed in a tissue following 796 exposure to ionizing radiation. Basically, absorption of X-rays of a given frequency increases 797 with higher Z atomic number of the penetrated material, which explains the radiosensitizing 798 properties of platinum derivatives.

799 Drug delivery system (DDS): a formulation or a device that carries a therapeutic compound 800 throughout the body and improves its efficacy while limiting systemic toxicity by controlling 801 the location, the time and the rate of drug release.

Gliadel[®]: 3.85% carmustine-loaded polymeric wafers that enable a controlled and sustained drug release. Although controversial, their implantation within the resection bed of operable newly diagnosed glioblastoma patients was approved in 2002 by the FDA as first-line treatment.

806 **Glutathione (GSH):** with a concentration of 0.5 to 10 mM, this tripeptide is the most 807 abundant thiol within the cell.

Heat shock proteins (HSP): produced by living organisms in response to a stress such as
temperature or exposition to heavy metals, overexpressed in cisplatin resistant cells, HSP
prevent proteins from impairment.

Maximum tolerated dose (MTD): evaluated in phase I clinical trials, the MTD is the highest
dose of a drug or a treatment that does not induce unacceptable side effects.

Metallothioneins: proteins constituted of high amounts of sulfur-rich amino acids, namely
cysteine. Exhibiting high affinity to metals, they play a key role in drug detoxification.

MGMT, 6-O-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase: enzyme involved in the repair of
methylated DNA adducts.

- 817 **microRNA** (**miRNA**): regulatory endogenous non-coding RNAs produced by the genome.
- **p53:** tumor suppressor notably involved in cell cycle regulation and apoptotic cell death, its
- 819 mutation is a common feature in human cancer cells.
- 820 **Poloxamers:** amphiphilic block copolymers able to self-assemble into micelles.

Targeted therapies: therapeutic strategies that use drugs or other substances to recognize particular entities associated with hallmarks of cancer cells while sparing normal cells. Some targeted therapies work by blocking the action of cancer's specific genes, proteins, or environmental cues that contribute to cancer growth and survival.

- 825 **Temozolomide:** small orally available lipophilic molecule of high interest in the treatment of
- 826 malignant gliomas due to its ability to cross the blood-brain barrier.
- 827 Theranostics: merger between the words "therapy" and "diagnosis".