

## Virtual Reality Simulation in Nontechnical Skills Training for Healthcare Professionals

Marie-Stéphanie Bracq, Estelle Michinov, Pierre Jannin

### ▶ To cite this version:

Marie-Stéphanie Bracq, Estelle Michinov, Pierre Jannin. Virtual Reality Simulation in Nontechnical Skills Training for Healthcare Professionals. Simulation in Healthcare, 2019, 14 (3), pp.188-194. 10.1097/SIH.00000000000347. hal-01980000

### HAL Id: hal-01980000 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01980000

Submitted on 14 Jan 2019  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Virtual reality simulation in non-technical skills training for healthcare professionals:
2	a systematic review
3	
4	Marie-Stéphanie Bracq <sup>a</sup> , MPsy, Estelle Michinov <sup>a</sup> , Ph.D., Professor, Pierre Jannin <sup>b,</sup> Ph.D.,
5	Research Director
6	
7	a. Univ Rennes, LP3C (Laboratoire de Psychologie: Cognition, Comportement,
8	Communication) - EA 1285, F-35000 Rennes, France
9	b. Univ Rennes, Inserm, LTSI (Laboratoire Traitement du Signal et de l'Image) -
10	UMR_S 1099, F-35000 Rennes, France
11	
12	
13	Funding
14	This work is supported by the French Ministry of Research and Education as part of the
15	"Laboratoires d'Excellence", Labex CominLabs, SunSet project: "Scrub Nurse Non-Technical
16	Skills Training System".
17	
18	Conflict of Interest
19	The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
20	
21	Authors' notes: Please address any correspondence regarding this manuscript to: Marie-
22	Stéphanie Bracq, Université Rennes 2, Département de Psychologie, Place du Recteur Henri
23	le Moal, 35043 Rennes Cedex (France). E-mail: marie.stephanie.bracq@univ-rennes2.fr
24	

25

#### **Summary statement**

28 This systematic review, conducted in accordance with PRISMA guidelines, is aimed to 29 review current research in virtual reality for healthcare training, specifically pertaining to non-30 technical skills. PsycInfo and Medline databases were queried for relevant articles published 31 through December 2017. Of the 1377 publications identified, 80 were assessed for eligibility 32 and 26 were finally included in the qualitative synthesis. Overall, the use of virtual training 33 for non-technical skills is recent in healthcare education, and has increased since 2010. 34 Screen-based VR simulators or virtual worlds are the most frequently used systems. The non-35 technical skills addressed in VR simulation include mainly teamwork, communication and 36 situation awareness. The majority of studies evaluate the usability and acceptability of VR 37 simulation, and few studies have measured the effects of VR simulation on non-technical 38 skills development.

39

40

41 Keywords: virtual reality simulation; non-technical skills; healthcare training; medical
42 education; systematic review.

## 44 45

# systematic review

Virtual reality simulation in non-technical skills training for healthcare professionals: a

#### 46 Introduction

With the publication of To Err is Human in 1999<sup>(1)</sup> and Safety at the Sharp End<sup>(2)</sup>, 47 attention was drawn to the importance of human factors in operational teams, identifying 48 49 skills that were first described for crew resource management in aviation and other high-risk 50 industries. Flin described these non-technical skills (NTS) as "the cognitive, social and 51 personal resource skills that complement technical skills, and contribute to safe and efficient task performance"<sup>(2)</sup>. In Flin's taxonomy, NTS included individual cognitive skills (e.g., 52 53 situation awareness, decision-making, coping with stress and management of fatigue) and interprofessional social skills (e.g., cooperation and teamwork, conflict resolution, 54 leadership). Evidence that human factors have an impact on surgical performance<sup>(3)</sup> led to the 55 development of training programs focusing on NTS to improve patient safety<sup>(4)</sup>. Using Flin's 56 57 taxonomy, several tools (i.e. ANTS, NOTSS, SPLINTS) have been designed to provide 58 surgical teams with a common language to discuss and develop the human factors that are critical for patient safety $^{(5)}$ . 59

60 Several studies have demonstrated the efficiency of simulation for knowledge acquisition and for technical<sup>(6-8)</sup> and non-technical<sup>(9,10)</sup> skills training in healthcare. Training 61 healthcare professionals to manage rare or critical events in a standardized manner and 62 without risk for the patient has become a major challenge<sup>(11)</sup>. Although the benefits of 63 simulation have been well documented, the human resources required for mannequin or 64 65 standardized patient-based simulation and the availability of human resources in simulation 66 centers remain scarce. However, various simulation methods have recently been developed 67 using real actors, mannequins, standardized patients, computer simulators or serious games.

With the development of technology and the "laparoscopic surgery revolution"<sup>(12)</sup>, 68 Virtual Reality (VR) simulators are being used more widely in both professional practice 69 and education  $\operatorname{programs}^{(13,14)}$ . VR is a broad concept that encompasses three categories of 70 simulators: screen-based VR simulators, virtual worlds, and immersive virtual reality 71 72 environments. First, screen-based VR simulators have been used since the nineties to develop psychomotor skills for endoscopic surgery<sup>(15)</sup>. They consist of an interface 73 comprising a computer and monitors coupled to mechanical devices or haptic units<sup>(16)</sup>. This 74 75 kind of simulator requires very little set-up time and can be used repeatedly by learners for practice in different pathologies and with a number of anatomical variations<sup>(17)</sup>. Second, 76 77 virtual worlds are three-dimensional virtual environments based on multiplayer online 78 gaming, allowing users to free themselves from geographical proximity or time constraints (individual connection and full time access)<sup>(18-20)</sup>. For health professionals, medical 79 80 furniture, instruments, devices, tools and characters are added to create dedicated medical virtual worlds<sup>(21)</sup>. Lastly, immersive virtual reality environments combine three-dimensional 81 82 imaging, interactions with the environment, possible haptic feedback and head-mounted 83 displays (HMD) or cave automatic virtual environments (CAVE, room-sized cube VR 84 environments) to immerse the user and occlude the real world in order to provide a feeling of presence  $^{(13,22)}$ . 85

The effects of VR-based training on healthcare professionals have mostly been studied in relation to the development of technical skills, either surgical (e.g., procedure, planning, knowledge of instruments) or psychomotor (e.g., dexterity, accuracy, speed)<sup>(23,24)</sup>. The use of VR simulation-based training for the development of NTS seems to be less common. In view of the recent developments described above, a systematic review of how VR has been used for NTS skills training and assessment could provide powerful new insights into the value and efficiency of this technique. This paper provides such a review, focusing on the use of virtual 93 reality in the evaluation and development of a predefined set of cognitive and94 interprofessional social skills required by healthcare professionals.

95 METHODS

#### 96 Data source and search strategy

This systematic review followed the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for 97 Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)<sup>(25)</sup>. The PICOS worksheet and search 98 99 strategy<sup>(26)</sup> were used to organize our research topics and terms, combining five concepts: 100 Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome and Settings. Based on this framework, we 101 produced a comprehensive research equation: "(nurs\* OR scrub nurse OR surgeon\* OR 102 medical student OR nursing student OR health\* OR clinical) AND (virtual reality OR virtual\* 103 OR virtual gaming simulation OR immers\* OR serious game) AND (skills training OR 104 training OR medical education) AND (non-technical skill\* OR cognitive skill\* OR team\* OR leadership OR communicat\* OR decision making OR task management)". We searched two 105 106 main databases, Psycinfo and Medline, because they both cover our fields of interest 107 (healthcare, VR and NTS) and because their query forms are very similar, allowing us to use 108 the same research equation. The search was conducted in May 2017 with a final extraction for 109 screening on 11th December 2017.

#### 110 Selection criteria

Inclusion of articles was based on the following criteria: 1) Focus on healthcare professionals working in teams; 2) Focus on Virtual Reality used in an educational or training context that includes outcomes data; 3) Focus on NTS in line with Flin's pre-defined set of NTS referring to cognitive skills (situation awareness, decision-making, stress and management fatigue) and interprofessional social skills (cooperation and teamwork, conflict resolution, leadership). To get the most reliable source of scientific information, only publications in peer-reviewed journals were considered. Since VR is a relatively new technology, the period chosen for study selection was between 2007 and 2017. Finally, tohave an international overview, only articles written in English have been retained.

#### 120 Study selection and eligibility criteria

We extracted 1373 references, 460 on Psycinfo and 913 on Medline. Four others found on Google Scholar while reading on the subject were added, thus making a total of 1377 references. We removed 188 abstracts in duplicate, resulting in 1189 titles and abstracts to read for selection. At this stage of the process, 1109 references were excluded.

125 In accordance with the first selection criterion, study populations that did not involve 126 health professionals (e.g. pedestrians, cyclists, students, athletes or patients) were removed, as 127 well as those working in smaller teams or solo (e.g. dentists, pharmacists and vets).

128 Following the second selection criterion, articles dealing with learning methods that were 129 not the focus of this study were deleted (e.g., e-learning, e-classroom, web-based learning, 130 telemedicine, mobile applications, social networks). According to the same criterion, some 131 references were also excluded because the term "virtual" was used without referring to virtual 132 reality (e.g., virtual teams, virtual communities, virtual classroom, virtual consultations and 133 virtual patients). There is a vast literature on the "Virtual Patient", focusing on the 134 interpersonal social skills of healthcare professionals required to facilitate accurate diagnosis, 135 give appropriate advice, and instruct patients about treatment. As these skills do not come 136 within the scope of our predefined set, these references were not included in the present 137 review.

Finally, according to the third criterion, articles that did not address NTS were excluded: knowledge representation (anatomy, physiology, histology, 3D planning for radiotherapy), technical skills, interpersonal patient-physician relationship (diagnosis, notification of critical results or pathology), psychiatric disorders (dementia, post-traumatic stress disorder), or remediation (cognitive behavioral therapy, rehabilitation) that also use VR technologies butare out of the scope of this review.

This initial selection included 80 articles with abstracts that were insufficiently clear to decide whether or not they were eligible, and these were uploaded in order to read the full text. However, five remained unavailable even after their main authors were contacted by email, which reduced the number of articles to read to 75.

After the full-text analysis, 49 articles were excluded for four reasons: 1) they did not involve NTS (n= 31), 2) they did not use virtual reality (n= 15), 3) they did not involve health professionals (n= 2), or 4) it was a review article with no experiment (n=1).

#### 151 Selection bias (interrater reliability)

To check the validity of the study, a sample of the articles (30%) was independently coded by the second author. Based on the eligibility criteria, the second coder decided whether or not to examine an article in depth. After reviewing the abstract and full text, the two coders, meaning the first and second authors, agreed on 26 articles, 11 that should be selected and 15 rejected. Their opinions only diverged on the implementation of NTS in one article (3.7%), which was eventually excluded (Cohen Kappa = .92). The selection process is summarized in the flowchart (see Figure 1).



159

160 **Figure 1.** Flowchart of systematic review

161

162 To obtain a descriptive analysis of the results of this review, we drew up a comparative table, 163 focusing on medical specialties, VR simulator typologies, study populations, and assessd 164 NTS. For the latter, when assessment tools were mentioned, presence or absence of their 165 validity evidence in the virtual environment was also examined. Another criterion for the 166 analysis was outcomes, according to Kirkpatrick's levels (see Table 1, Supplemental Digital 167 Content 1, which shows the characteristics of the studies included in the review). This framework was chosen because the Kirkpatrick Model<sup>(27)</sup> is frequently used to evaluate 168 169 training programs; for example, it was recently used to evaluate serious games for training healthcare professionals<sup>(28)</sup>. This model has four levels: 1) affective reactions after the training
program, 2) improvement of knowledge and skills, 3) change of behavior and transfer of
skills in professional contexts, 4) increased patient care quality and reduced costs.

173

174 RESULTS

#### 175 Study characteristics

176 VR is a recent technology and, as expected, the number of articles published per year 177 over the last decade on this subject is rather low although it has been increasing, with a peak 178 of seven articles in 2015. The median number of articles published per year is two 179 (interquartile range [IQR] = 3-1, *min* = 0, *max* = 7).

180 Regarding sample size, the average number of participants was 39.69 (*min* = 10, *max* 181 = 148, *SD*= 37.33). Looking more closely at their study design, 17 studies used an 182 experimental design (pre-test/post-test, group comparisons or control/test group 183 comparisons)<sup>(29-44)</sup>, nine were observational studies<sup>(18-20,45-50)</sup>, and one was based on 184 qualitative interviews<sup>(51)</sup>.

#### 185 Medical specialties

Emergency medicine<sup>(18,19,32,44,47)</sup> and health education<sup>(20,37,41,50,51)</sup> stand out from the rest with five articles each, but the latter includes issues that potentially concern all specialties or health professionals in different sectors. Next are  $urology^{(29,30,40,48)}$  and gastroenterology<sup>(33,34,45,46)</sup> with four articles each. A further eight articles dealt with interprofessionality<sup>(18,32,37,41,47-50)</sup>.

**Study populations** 

Most of the studies include a range of professions: nurses feature in eight articles<sup>(19,20,32,37,38,40,48,49)</sup>, surgeons<sup>(33,35,38,39,43,45,46)</sup> in seven, and five articles mention other professionals<sup>(18,19,32,37,38)</sup>. With regard to students, 12 articles involve residents or postgraduate trainees<sup>(18,33,35,36,38-40,42,44-46,48)</sup>, ten concern health students regardless of their level or specialty<sup>(30,31,39,41,44-47,50,51)</sup>, and three articles mention trainees<sup>(29,34,38)</sup>.

#### 197 VR systems

In the studies selected for review, two technologies are equally represented: Screenbased VR simulators in 12 studies<sup>(29,30,33,34,36,38–40,42,43,48,49)</sup> and virtual worlds in 12 articles<sup>(18– 20,31,32,35,37,41,44,47,50,51)</sup>. Immersive virtual worlds are less common, as they are found in only three studies<sup>(39,45,46)</sup>.

202 NTS and assessment metrics

Among the 26 studies, the most frequently investigated NTS is teamwork, which is mentioned in 19 articles<sup>(18,19,29-32,34,35,37,38,40-42,45,47-51)</sup>. After that is communication (15/26)<sup>(18,29,30,32-34,37,38,40-42,47-50)</sup>, followed by situation awareness (10/26)<sup>(18,20,29-31,34,42,46,48,51)</sup>, decision-making (8/26)<sup>(18,20,29,30,32,34,40,42)</sup>, leadership (8/26)<sup>(18,29,30,34,37,41,42,44)</sup>, and stress management (6/26)<sup>(18,36,39,43,44,46)</sup>. Most studies measured more than one NTS construct, with the exception of three articles focusing specifically on stress management<sup>(36,39,43)</sup>, three others on teamwork<sup>(19,35,45)</sup>, and one on communication<sup>(33)</sup>.

210 Specific metrics for NTS assessment are mentioned or described in detail in 16  $articles^{(18,20,29-37,41-44,49)}$ , ten of which^{(18,29,30,33,34,37,41-44)} mention and use specific validated 211 212 assessment tools for NTS in the operating room or in a clinical environment (i.e. NOTECHS, 213 NOTSS, T-NOTECHS, CGRS, M-OSANTS, TOSCE, T-TAQ, SURG-TLX, EMCRM). These assessment tools are presented as validated for simulation<sup>(52,53)</sup>, but none of them 214 mention their validation in the very specific context of VR simulation. Four articles<sup>(31,34,36,42)</sup> 215 216 use scales designed for a specific NTS, but are not specific to the operating room or to health professionals (i.e. situation awareness, self-efficacy, anxiety). Six articles<sup>(18,20,32,35,43,49)</sup> use 217 218 their own tools or checklists, or open-ended questions rather than a questionnaire. Again,

none of these tools or checklists take into consideration the specificity of VR simulation, butare simply transferred from real life or traditional simulation contexts.

#### **Outcome measures**

The levels of assessment of the simulators or scenarios used in the studies reach Kirkpatrick's level 1 (affective reactions) in 19  $\operatorname{articles}^{(18-20,29,30,32,37-48,51)}$  and level 2 (learning: attitude, knowledge) in  $18^{(18,20,29-37,39,41-44,49,50)}$ . Level 3 (behavior) is only reached in two  $\operatorname{articles}^{(33,34)}$  that describe a test of skills transfer to the clinical environment in order to evaluate behavioral changes among trainees. No studies were found that reached level 4 (results) evaluating the effect of simulation on patient care quality and cost.

Overall, this review demonstrates that few medical specialties use virtual reality for NTS training. The most frequently used systems are screen-based VR simulators or virtual worlds, while immersive virtual worlds are rarely used. The most frequently studied NTS are the interpersonal and interprofessional social skills needed for effective medical teams, including teamwork and communication, together with situation awareness, which is a crucial cognitive ability.

#### 234 **Objectives and findings of the studies**

Two main categories of study objectives emerged from our review (see Table 2, Supplemental
Digital Content 2, which shows the objectives, measures and main findings of the studies).

The majority of studies are simulator or scenario centered, with a clear goal of establishing the acceptability of the technology for NTS training. This is the goal of 20 articles<sup>(18–20,29,31,35–39,41–49,51)</sup>. As VR has only been introduced in healthcare training recently, it seems logical to assess this first. The general conclusion of these studies is that VR simulators offer promising opportunities for NTS training of health professionals. Their acceptability as an NTS training tool is validated either directly<sup>(37,41)</sup> or through one or more of its predictors as defined by Nielsen's model of system acceptability<sup>(54)</sup>: validity or fidelity<sup>(18,29,38,39,42,45,46)</sup>, usefulness or utility<sup>(41,42,44,46)</sup>, efficiency, effectiveness or efficacy<sup>(19,35,47,48)</sup>, and usability<sup>(20,43,47)</sup>. The acceptability of VR simulators is not assessed alone but together with teamwork<sup>(19,35,37,38,41,45,47,48,51)</sup>, situation awareness<sup>(20,31,46)</sup>, stress management<sup>(39,43,46)</sup>, self efficacy<sup>(36)</sup>, and leadership<sup>(44)</sup>, or with several NTS using a dedicated assessment tool such as NOTECHS or NOTSS<sup>(18,29,42)</sup>.

249 The purpose of the six remaining articles, among the most recent ones of this review, 250 is to propose different uses of VR simulation. One includes a virtual reality program that 251 focuses on communication tools as an initial introduction to team communication strategies<sup>(50)</sup>. The other five are curriculum centered, their objectives being to incorporate 252 253 NTS training modules using VR simulators in the medical curriculum: four of them describe distributed simulation<sup>(30,33,34,40)</sup>, in other words, the use of VR simulators initially designed for 254 technical skills in wider settings or scenarios<sup>(29)</sup>, and the fifth<sup>(32)</sup> addresses 255 teaching 256 emergency preparedness using a virtual world.

257

#### 258 DISCUSSION

259 There are few medical specialties that use virtual reality simulation for NTS and their 260 goals vary. First, virtual worlds are used in healthcare education and in emergency medicine 261 to provide practice opportunities for rare events or extreme situations that are difficult to set 262 up in real life. Second, screen-based VR simulators are used for technical skills training in 263 laparoscopic surgery or robotic-assisted surgery, domains involving such as gastroenterology<sup>(33,34)</sup> and urology<sup>(30,40)</sup> curricula. In the latter, NTS are introduced 264 progressively in VR simulation scenarios as trainees develop their technical skills<sup>(55)</sup>. This is 265 congruent with the conclusions of Shamim-Khan et al. (2013)<sup>(40)</sup> and Rudarakanchana et al. 266 (2014)<sup>(38)</sup> who observed that junior trainees focus more on technical skills and feel that the 267 268 introduction of NTS adds stress and anxiety due to the need to multitask. It also follows the

269 hierarchy of core, procedural and team skills proposed by Windsor (2009), who illustrates it 270 with a musical analogy of note, melody and harmony: just like musicians begin to learn 271 playing a note before trying to play a melody and then join an orchestra, core skills such as 272 knot tying, dissecting or suturing, for example, should be trained before procedural skills (i.e. how to dissect out a pathology). Then, team skills can be trained<sup>(12)</sup>. Nevertheless, this 273 274 progression does not enjoy consensus and must be considered for each set of NTS. For 275 example, the addition of cognitive skills likely to help error-detection to technical skills 276 training can result in more effective learning $^{(56)}$ .

277 Screen-based VR simulators or virtual worlds are thus the most frequently used 278 systems. Immersive virtual worlds are less commonly used and are mentioned in only three articles<sup>(39,45,46)</sup>, two of them using head-mounted displays<sup>(39,46)</sup>. They were published between 279 280 2015 and 2016, which corresponds to the time when HMD such as Oculus Rift or HTC Vive 281 became mainstream, even though immersive VR simulation is not a new technology in healthcare as it has been used since the early 2000s<sup>(57)</sup>. It will be interesting to see how these 282 283 devices will be used in the future as their comfort and fields of view improve, and how they 284 can be integrated into new surgical training modules.

One of the major interests of VR is its realism, which is stressed in several 285 studies<sup>(29,38,39,42,45,46)</sup>. Even though avatars may sometimes behave awkwardly, and haptic 286 287 feedback may be approximate or missing, participants still recognise the main features of their 288 environments or work organizations. This contributes to the feeling of immersion mentioned 289 in two articles<sup>(19,39)</sup>. These two notions are of particular interest in that they help trainees gain confidence<sup>(19,29,37,42)</sup> by providing them with new learning opportunities, such as discovering 290 an emergency room without stress<sup>(47)</sup>, or being able to develop the skills needed to react to 291 disasters when training in an unfamiliar environment<sup>(32)</sup>. However, the degree of realism 292 293 expected in a VR simulator has to be questioned for each scenario: if more haptic feedback,

such as somatosensations or kinematic interactions, is expected with a high degree of fidelity, too much realism for an avatar in virtual worlds can lead to anxiety and rejection, due to the uncanny valley phenomenon. According to this theory, participants react favorably to environments that are very similar or very dissimilar to reality, but are uncomfortable with intermediate realism<sup>(58,59)</sup>.

299 The affective component of learning has been described as one of the four key criteria 300 for simulation-based learning, which is centered on the learners' needs and for which motivation and self-efficacy are key concepts<sup>(60)</sup>. With this in mind, many VR simulators 301 302 allow participants to replay their session, helping them recognize and analyze both their interactions and their emotions<sup>(61)</sup>. The emotional impact of VR simulation on self-efficacy is 303 emphasized and appreciated by trainees<sup>(44)</sup>, as well as the opportunity provided by some 304 scenarios to communicate with other disciplines before any clinical practice<sup>(47)</sup> or to 305 experience human interactions in problematic environments<sup>(51)</sup>. In terms of motivation, VR 306 307 simulation seems to be of particular interest as it is described as a highly rated learning experience<sup>(37)</sup>, preferred to standard didactic lectures<sup>(46)</sup>, and seen as excellent preparation for 308 clinical situations<sup>(47)</sup>. 309

310 Another interest of VR simulation is data generation because VR simulators can track 311 and record every action. The data are used to give learners feedback on their performance and 312 progress over time through their profile, allowing them to verify their skills acquisition and 313 become proactive in their learning. But they also help educators better understand their 314 students' learning processes, allowing them to adjust their inputs and complement their traditional teaching methods with appropriate simulations<sup>(50)</sup>. In addition, as most VR 315 316 simulators and scenarios can be used in different cultural and geographic environments, the collected data could also be used for further intercultural studies of NTS<sup>(50)</sup>. 317

318 The most frequently studied NTS are interpersonal and social skills, such as teamwork 319 and communication. As a cognitive skill, situation awareness also features frequently, as it is 320 a crucial personal skill, especially in dynamic environments such as medical settings where it can impact decision-making and communication<sup>(62)</sup>. While the capacity of VR environments 321 322 to recreate realistic situations makes it suitable for stress management training, none of the 323 articles selected for this review discuss fatigue management. Even though VR is used to that 324 end for patient-focused psychological therapy, healthcare professionals may not perceive 325 fatigue and stress management as an issue warranting the use of simulation scenarios.

326 Most studies are set up to assess a simulator or scenario, reaching Kirkpatrick's level 1 327 (affective reaction) or 2 (learning). According to these articles, VR simulators offer NTS 328 training opportunities for healthcare students, as their feasibility, usability, validity, 329 acceptability or effectiveness have been validated in different situations. However, their 330 conclusions recommend further studies. The impact of VR simulation on NTS training still 331 requires more systematic assessment in routine clinical practice in order to validate a possible 332 transfer of skills for health professionals and to determine whether it achieves its ultimate goal 333 of improving patient safety (Kirkpatrick's level 4). This is challenging because patient safety 334 is multifactorial, and because, as this review shows, validated tools to assess NTS are not 335 systematically used, making large-scale comparisons difficult. Furthermore, few studies mention the inclusion of NTS training using VR simulators in the curriculum as a way of 336 337 helping students progress.

To continue the development of VR systems for NTS training, four lines of research are required. First, studies are needed regarding the validation of specific NTS assessment tools for VR simulation. So far, these tools have not been validated, which may impact their evaluation in these specific environments. Second, studies are needed to estimate the different technical possibilities offered by VR technologies for NTS training, such as mixed and

343 augmented reality. Third, debriefing is considered a key element for skills transfer in simulation-based training<sup>(63)</sup>. While this has been studied in different simulation contexts<sup>(64)</sup> 344 and with different frameworks<sup>(65)</sup>, specific debriefing methods for VR simulation are scarce. 345 Avatar-based debriefing<sup>(66)</sup>, for example, could be developed for health education. Fourth, 346 347 future studies should evaluate the effects of these training methods at different levels of 348 learning: attitudes, skills, transfer of skills, and cost-benefit ratio. In particular, more studies 349 are needed in the near future to investigate the transfer of skills to the operating room 350 (Kirkpatrick's level 3) and to validate VR simulation as an efficient training tool for NTS. But 351 what is really missing is the impact of these tools on the quality of care for patients and on the 352 overall cost of care (Kirkpatrick's level 4), which has not yet been established.

#### 353 Limitations

354 As our search was limited to 2 databases, there is a risk that some relevant articles were missed. The decision to select only articles written in English also excludes studies 355 356 published in other languages. Our search may also have been limited by the definitions of VR 357 and NTS, as the keywords may not have used a very specific terminology, such as avatar, 3-358 dimensional, HMD, mixed or augmented reality. Augmented reality, the integration of digital 359 information into the physical world in real time, or mixed reality, the use of real objects to enhance simulations, are related to virtual environments or VR settings<sup>(67)</sup>. Thus, some studies 360 361 may use simulators based on VR technology but not specify it in their keywords. However, in 362 order to be as comprehensive as possible for this review, the search request was replicated, 363 replacing virtual reality with augmented and mixed reality: 58 articles were found but none of 364 them dealt with NTS training.

Another limitation of this review is that NTS are not always defined and explicitly operationalized in studies and are sometimes concealed behind technical skills on which they are highly dependent. Finally, a limitation concerns Virtual Patient simulation, focusing on the interpersonal social skills of healthcare professionals with their patient. It was decided that this did not come within the set of skills defined for this review. However, there is a vast literature on Virtual Patients, which are frequently used in virtual worlds and virtual immersive environments. A systematic literature review concerning the development of interpersonal social skills and communication with patients via VR could thus be examined in another paper.

374

#### 375 Conclusion

376 In conclusion, VR simulation systems are a recent development in health education. The use 377 of VR simulators has increased for technical skills training, but to a lesser extent for NTS (i.e. 378 cognitive and interprofessional social skills). This systematic review of articles published 379 from 2007 to 2017 shows that screen-based VR simulators or virtual worlds are the most 380 frequently used systems, and teamwork, communication or situation awareness are the most 381 frequently addressed NTS. The evaluation of VR systems as training tools is essential, but 382 there has, so far, been little systematic research. The majority of studies evaluate the usability 383 and acceptability of VR simulation, and few studies have measured the effects of VR 384 simulation on non-technical skills development.

Nevertheless, the development of VR technologies and the portability of VR systems offer a very promising outlook for the future training of healthcare professionals. The wide range of possible scenarios that can be simulated, especially for NTS training, will undoubtedly contribute to the "successful integration of simulation throughout the fabric of healthcare"<sup>(11)</sup>.

#### **390 REFERENCES**

Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS, Institute of Medicine. To Err Is Human:
 Building a Safer Health System. Washington, DC: National Academic Press; 1999. 312 p.

393 2. Flin R, O'Connor P, Crichton M. Safety at the sharp end, a guide to non-technical
394 skills. Farnham: Ashgate Publishing Limited; 2008. 317 p.

395 3. Hull L, Arora S, Aggarwal R, Darzi A, Vincent C, Sevdalis N. The impact of
396 nontechnical skills on technical performance in surgery: a systematic review. J Am Coll Surg.
397 2012;214(2):214–230.

398 4. Gordon M, Darbyshire D, Baker P. Non-technical skills training to enhance patient
399 safety: a systematic review: Non-technical skills education: a systematic review. Med Educ.
400 2012 Nov;46(11):1042–54.

401 5. Flin R. Non-technical skills for anaesthetists, surgeons and scrub practitioners (ANTS,
402 NOTSS and SPLINTS). Health Found. 2013;

403 6. Agha RA, Fowler AJ. The role and validity of surgical simulation. Int Surg. 2015
404 Feb;100(2):350–7.

405 7. Harder BN. Use of Simulation in Teaching and Learning in Health Sciences: A
406 Systematic Review. J Nurs Educ. 2010 Jan 1;49(1):23–8.

McGaghie WC, Issenberg SB, Cohen ER, Barsuk JH, Wayne DB. Does SimulationBased Medical Education With Deliberate Practice Yield Better Results Than Traditional
Clinical Education? A Meta-Analytic Comparative Review of the Evidence: Acad Med. 2011
Jun;86(6):706–11.

9. Cumin D, Boyd MJ, Webster CS, Weller JM. A Systematic Review of Simulation for
Multidisciplinary Team Training in Operating Rooms: Simul Healthc J Soc Simul Healthc.
2013 Jun;8(3):171–9.

414 10. von Wendt CEA, Niemi-Murola L. Simulation in Interprofessional Clinical Education:
415 Exploring Validated Nontechnical Skills Measurement Tools. Simul Healthc J Soc Simul
416 Healthc. 2017 Nov;1.

417 11. Gaba DM. The future vision of simulation in health care. Qual Saf Health Care. 2004
418 Oct 1;13(suppl\_1):i2–10.

419 12. Windsor JA. Role of simulation in surgical education and training. ANZ J Surg. 2009
420 Mar;79(3):127–32.

421 13. Mantovani F, Castelnuovo G, Gaggioli A, Riva G. Virtual reality training for health422 care professionals. Cyberpsychol Behav. 2003;6(4):389–395.

423 14. Palter VN, Grantcharov TP. Virtual reality in surgical skills training. Surg Clin North
424 Am. 2010 Jun;90(3):605–17.

425 15. van Dongen KW, Ahlberg G, Bonavina L, Carter FJ, Grantcharov TP, Hyltander A, et
426 al. European consensus on a competency-based virtual reality training program for basic
427 endoscopic surgical psychomotor skills. Surg Endosc. 2011 Jan;25(1):166–71.

428 16. Van Herzeele I, Aggarwal R, Neequaye S, Darzi A, Vermassen F, Cheshire NJ.
429 Cognitive training improves clinically relevant outcomes during simulated endovascular
430 procedures. J Vasc Surg. 2008 Nov;48(5):1223-1230.e1.

431 17. Blackburn SC, Griffin SJ. Role of simulation in training the next generation of
432 endoscopists. World J Gastrointest Endosc. 2014 Jun 16;6(6):234–9.

433 18. Cohen D, Sevdalis N, Patel V, Taylor M, Lee H, Vokes M, et al. Tactical and
434 operational response to major incidents: feasibility and reliability of skills assessment using
435 novel virtual environments. Resuscitation. 2013 Jul;84(7):992–8.

436 19. Heinrichs WL, Youngblood P, Harter P, Kusumoto L, Dev P. Training healthcare
437 personnel for mass-casualty incidents in a virtual emergency department: VED II. Prehospital

438 Disaster Med. 2010 Oct 9;25(5):424–32.

- 439 20. Hudson K, Taylor LA, Kozachik SL, Shaefer SJ, Wilson ML. Second life simulation
- 440 as a strategy to enhance decision

441 Mar;24(5–6):797–804.

- 442 21. Dev P, Youngblood P, Heinrichs WL, Kusumoto L. Virtual worlds and team training.
- 443 Anesthesiol Clin. 2007 Jun;25(2):321–36.
- 444 22. Kilmon CA, Brown L, Ghosh S, Mikitiuk A. Immersive Virtual Reality Simulations in
- 445 Nursing Education. Nurs Educ Perspect Natl Leag Nurs. 2010 Oct 9;31(5):314–7.
- 446 23. Choudhury N, Gélinas-Phaneuf N, Delorme S, Del Maestro R. Fundamentals of
  447 neurosurgery: virtual reality tasks for training and evaluation of technical skills. World
  448 Neurosurg. 2013 Nov;80(5):e9–19.
- 449 24. Gallagher AG, Ritter EM, Champion H, Higgins G, Fried MP, Moses G, et al. Virtual
- 450 Reality Simulation for the Operating Room: Proficiency-Based Training as a Paradigm Shift
- 451 in Surgical Skills Training. Ann Surg. 2005 Feb;241(2):364–72.
- 452 25. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic
  453 reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. Int J Surg. 2010;8(5):336–41.
- 454 26. Rielding AM. Learning to learn [Internet]. Neal Schuman Publisher. New York; 2002
- 455 [cited 2017 Jan 11]. Available from:
- 456 http://uottawa.libguides.com/ld.php?content\_id=13161372
- 457 27. Kirkpatrick DL, Kirkpatrick JD. Evaluating training programs the four levels. 3rd ed.
- 458 San Francisco Emeryville, CA: Berrett-Koehler Publishers Group West [distributor]; 2006. 1
  459 vol. (xvii-379 p.).
- Wang R, DeMaria S, Goldberg A, Katz D. A Systematic Review of Serious Games in
  Training Health Care Professionals: Simul Healthc J Soc Simul Healthc. 2016 Feb;11(1):41–
  51.

-making in di

Brewin J, Tang J, Dasgupta P, Khan MS, Ahmed K, Bello F, et al. Full immersion
simulation: validation of a distributed simulation environment for technical and non-technical
skills training in Urology. BJU Int. 2015 Jul;116(1):156–62.

30. Brunckhorst O, Shahid S, Aydin A, McIlhenny C, Khan S, Raza SJ, et al. Simulationbased ureteroscopy skills training curriculum with integration of technical and non-technical
skills: a randomised controlled trial. Surg Endosc. 2015 Sep;29(9):2728–35.

31. Creutzfeldt J, Hedman L, Felländer-Tsai L. Using Virtual World Training to Increase
Situation Awareness during Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation. Stud Health Technol Inform.
2014;196:83–5.

Greci LS, Ramloll R, Hurst S, Garman K, Beedasy J, Pieper EB, et al. vTrain: a novel
curriculum for patient surge training in a multi-user virtual environment (MUVE). Prehospital
Disaster Med. 2013 Jun;28(3):215–22.

475 33. Grover SC, Garg A, Scaffidi MA, Yu JJ, Plener IS, Yong E, et al. Impact of a
476 simulation training curriculum on technical and nontechnical skills in colonoscopy: a
477 randomized trial. Gastrointest Endosc. 2015 Dec;82(6):1072–9.

478 34. Khan R, Scaffidi MA, Walsh CM, Lin P, Al-Mazroui A, Chana B, et al. Simulation479 Based Training of Non-Technical Skills in Colonoscopy: Protocol for a Randomized
480 Controlled Trial. JMIR Res Protoc. 2017 Aug 4;6(8):e153.

481 35. Khanal P, Vankipuram A, Ashby A, Vankipuram M, Gupta A, Drumm-Gurnee D, et
482 al. Collaborative virtual reality based advanced cardiac life support training simulator using
483 virtual reality principles. J Biomed Inform. 2014 Oct;51:49–59.

484 36. Maschuw K, Osei-Agyemang T, Weyers P, Danila R, Bin Dayne K, Rothmund M, et 485 al. The impact of self-belief on laparoscopic performance of novices and experienced 486 surgeons. World J Surg. 2008 Sep;32(9):1911–6. 487 37. Riesen E, Morley M, Clendinneng D, Ogilvie S, Ann Murray M. Improving
488 interprofessional competence in undergraduate students using a novel blended learning
489 approach. J Interprof Care. 2012 Jul;26(4):312–8.

490 38. Rudarakanchana N, Van Herzeele I, Bicknell CD, Riga CV, Rolls A, Cheshire NJW,
491 et al. Endovascular repair of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm: technical and team training
492 in an immersive virtual reality environment. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. 2014
493 Aug;37(4):920–7.

39. Sankaranarayanan G, Li B, Manser K, Jones SB, Jones DB, Schwaitzberg S, et al.
Face and construct validation of a next generation virtual reality (Gen2-VR) surgical
simulator. Surg Endosc. 2016 Mar;30(3):979–85.

497 40. Shamim Khan M, Ahmed K, Gavazzi A, Gohil R, Thomas L, Poulsen J, et al.
498 Development and implementation of centralized simulation training: evaluation of feasibility,
499 acceptability and construct validity: Centralized simulation training. BJU Int. 2013
500 Mar;111(3):518–23.

501 41. Sweigart LI, Umoren RA, Scott PJ, Carlton KH, Jones JA, Truman B, et al. Virtual
502 TeamSTEPPS(®) Simulations Produce Teamwork Attitude Changes Among Health
503 Professions Students. J Nurs Educ. 2016 Jan;55(1):31–5.

Willaert W, Aggarwal R, Harvey K, Cochennec F, Nestel D, Darzi A, et al. Efficient
implementation of patient-specific simulated rehearsal for the carotid artery stenting
procedure: part-task rehearsal. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg Off J Eur Soc Vasc Surg. 2011
Aug;42(2):158–66.

Wucherer P, Stefan P, Abhari K, Fallavollita P, Weigl M, Lazarovici M, et al.
Vertebroplasty Performance on Simulator for 19 Surgeons Using Hierarchical Task Analysis.
IEEE Trans Med Imaging. 2015 Aug;34(8):1730–7.

44. Youngblood P, Harter PM, Srivastava S, Moffett S, Heinrichs WL, Dev P. Design,
development, and evaluation of an online virtual emergency department for training trauma
teams. Simul Healthc J Soc Simul Healthc. 2008 2008 Fall;3(3):146–53.

514 45. Abelson JS, Silverman E, Banfelder J, Naides A, Costa R, Dakin G. Virtual operating
515 room for team training in surgery. Am J Surg. 2015 Sep;210(3):585–90.

516 46. Dorozhkin D, Olasky J, Jones DB, Schwaitzberg SD, Jones SB, Cao CGL, et al. OR

517 fire virtual training simulator: design and face validity. Surg Endosc [Internet]. 2016 Dec 30;

518 Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com.distant.bu.univ-

519 rennes2.fr/login.aspx?direct=true&db=cmedm&AN=28039649&lang=fr&site=ehost-

520 live&scope=site

521 47. King S, Chodos D, Stroulia E, Carbonaro M, MacKenzie M, Reid A, et al. Developing

522 interprofessional health competencies in a virtual world. Med Educ Online [Internet]. 2012

523 Nov 16;17. Available from:

http://search.ebscohost.com.distant.bu.univrennes2.fr/login.aspx?direct=true&db=psyh&AN=
2014-27756-001&lang=fr&site=ehost-live&scope=site

48. Paige J, Kozmenko V, Morgan B, Howell DS, Chauvin S, Hilton C, et al. From the
flight deck to the operating room: an initial pilot study of the feasibility and potential impact
of true interdisciplinary team training using high-fidelity simulation. J Surg Educ. 2007 Dec
11:64(6):369–77.

49. White C, Chuah J, Robb A, Lok B, Lampotang S, Lizdas D, et al. Using a Critical
Incident Scenario With Virtual Humans to Assess Educational Needs of Nurses in a
Postanesthesia Care Unit. J Contin Educ Health Prof. 2015 2015 Summer;35(3):158–65.

533 50. Umoren RA, Poore JA, Sweigart L, Rybas N, Gossett E, Johnson M, et al. 534 TeamSTEPPS Virtual Teams: Interactive Virtual Team Training and Practice for Health 535 Professional Learners. Creat Nurs. 2017 Aug 1;23(3):184–91.

- 536 51. Rogers L. Developing simulations in multi
- healthcare education. Br J Educ Technol. 2011 Jul;42(4):608–15.
- 538 52. Sevdalis N, Davis R, Koutantji M, Undre S, Darzi A, Vincent CA. Reliability of a
- revised NOTECHS scale for use in surgical teams. Am J Surg. 2008 Aug;196(2):184–90.
- 540 53. Yule S, Flin R, Paterson-Brown S, Maran N, Rowley D. Development of a rating
- 541 system for surgeons' non-technical skills. Med Educ. 2006 Nov;40(11):1098–104.

542 54. Nielsen J. Usability engineering. San Diego: Academic Press; 1993.

543 55. Arora S, Lamb B, Undre S, Kneebone R, Darzi A, Sevdalis N. Framework for 544 incorporating simulation into urology training. BJU Int. 2011 Mar;107(5):806–10.

545 56. Kohls-Gatzoulis JA, Regehr G, Hutchison C. Teaching cognitive skills improves 546 learning in surgical skills courses: a blinded, prospective, randomized study. Can J Surg. 547 2004;47(4):277.

548 57. Krijn M, Emmelkamp PM., Biemond R, de Wilde de Ligny C, Schuemie MJ, van der
549 Mast CAP. Treatment of acrophobia in virtual reality: The role of immersion and presence.
550 Behav Res Ther. 2004 Feb;42(2):229–39.

551 58. Mori M, MacDorman KF, Kageki N. The uncanny valley [from the field]. IEEE Robot
552 Autom Mag. 2012;19(2):98–100.

553 59. Howard MC. Investigating the simulation elements of environment and control:
554 Extending the Uncanny Valley Theory to simulations. Comput Educ. 2017 Jun;109:216–32.

555 60. Kneebone R. Evaluating Clinical Simulations for Learning Procedural Skills: A
556 Theory-Based Approach: Acad Med. 2005 Jun;80(6):549–53.

557 61. Fertleman C, Aubugeau-Williams P, Sher C, Lim A-N, Lumley S, Delacroix S, et al.
558 A Discussion of Virtual Reality As a New Tool for Training Healthcare Professionals. Front
559 Public Health [Internet]. 2018 Feb 26 [cited 2018 May 18];6. Available from:
560 http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00044/full

-user virtual

- 561 62. Endsley MR. Toward a theory of situation awareness in dynamic systems. Hum
  562 Factors J Hum Factors Ergon Soc. 1995;37(1):32–64.
- 563 63. Fanning RM, Gaba DM. The Role of Debriefing in Simulation-Based Learning: Simul
  564 Healthc J Soc Simul Healthc. 2007;2(2):115–25.
- 565 64. Cheng A, Eppich W, Grant V, Sherbino J, Zendejas B, Cook DA. Debriefing for
  566 technology-enhanced simulation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Med Educ. 2014
  567 Jul;48(7):657–66.
- 568 65. Sawyer T, Eppich W, Brett-Fleegler M, Grant V, Cheng A. More Than One Way to
  569 Debrief: A Critical Review of Healthcare Simulation Debriefing Methods. Simul Healthc J
  570 Soc Simul Healthc. 2016 Jun;11(3):209–17.
- 571 66. Nagendran A, Pillat R, Kavanaugh A, Welch G, Hughes C. A Unified Framework for
  572 Individualized Avatar-Based Interactions. Presence Teleoperators Virtual Environ. 2014
- 573 Aug;23(2):109–32.
- 574 67. Stone RJ, Guest R, Mahoney P, Lamb D, Gibson C. A "mixed reality" simulator
  575 concept for future Medical Emergency Response Team training. J R Army Med Corps. 2017
  576 Aug;163(4):280–7.
- 577
- 578

579	Figure Legends
580	
581	Figure 1. Flowchart of systematic review
582	
583	Supplemental Digital Content 1. PDF, Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the
584	review
585	
586	Supplemental Digital Content 2. PDF, Table 2. Objectives, measures and main findings of
587	studies included in the review
588	
589	
590	
591	



Figure 1. Flowchart of systematic review

### Table 1

DOMAIN	ARTICLE	N	POPULATION	VIRTUAL REALITY SYSTEM VR SIMULATOR		NON TECHNICAL SKILLS				S	OUTCOMES (KIRKPATRICK's LEVEL)							
	KEFEKENCES			SB	VW	IV E		SA	DM	СОМ	τw	LD S	SM	FM	1	2	3	4
emergency medicine	Cohen et al., 2013 <sup>(41)</sup>	23	Ambulance practitioner, surgical registrars, emergency department consultants		X		Second Life® + OpenSimulator	х	x	X	X	x	X		X	x		
emergency medicine	Greci et al., 2013 <sup>(27)</sup>	14	Emergency department nurses and hospital administrators		х		MUVE (Multi User Virtual Environment) using Second Life®		х	Х	Х				x	x		
emergency medicine	Heinrichs et al., $2010^{(43)}$	22	Physicians and nurses		Х		VED II (Virtual Emergency Department) an online virtual world				Х				x			
emergency medicine	King et al., 2012 <sup>(45)</sup>	15	Medicine, nursing, respiratory therapy, pharmacy, speech language pathology, diagnostic medicine sonography students		х		Second Life®			X	х				X			
emergency medicine	Youngblood et al., 2008 <sup>(39)</sup>	30	Medical graduates and students		X		3D Virtual World (internet-based virtual emergency department)					X	X		X	x		
health education	Hudson et al., 2015 <sup>(44)</sup>	12	Nurses		Х		Second Life®	Х	X						X	Х		
health education	Riesen et al., 2012 <sup>(32)</sup>	60	Recent graduates from nursing, paramedic, police and child and youth service programs		X		Web.Alive <sup>TM</sup>			X	Х	x			x	x		
health education	Rogers, 2011 <sup>(49)</sup>	16	Nursing students		X		Second Life®	Х			Х				Х			
health education	Sweigart et al., 2016 <sup>(36)</sup>	10 9	Health professional students		X		VLE with avatar			X	X	x			X	X		
health education	Umoren et al., 2017 <sup>(48)</sup>	14 4	Nursing and medical students		X		VLE with avatar			X	X					X		

urology	Brewin et al., 2015 <sup>(24)</sup>	20	Experienced and trainee urologists	X		TURP Simulator (Limbs and Things©, Bristol, UK) distributed simulation environment	X	X	X	X	X		x	x		
urology	Brunckhorst et al., 2015 <sup>(25)</sup>	32	Medical students	X		Uro Scopic Trainer (Limbs and Things©, Bristol, UK) + Uro MentorTM and Perc- mentor (Simbionix USA Corp., Cleveland, OH, USA)	X	x	Х	Х	X		X	X		
urology	Paige et al., 2007 <sup>(46)</sup>	10	Senior surgery resident, nurse anesthesist and circulating nurse	Х		Simbionix LapMentor	Х		Х	Х			X			
urology	Shamim Khan et al., 2013 <sup>(35)</sup>	38	Specialist registrars of different grades and urological nurses	X		Uro Mentor <sup>™</sup> and Perc- mentor (Simbionix USA Corp., Cleveland, OH, USA)		X	Х	X			x			
gastroenterolo gy	Dorozhkin et al., 2016 <sup>(42)</sup>	49	Students to attending surgeons		х	VEST OR Simulator (Virtual Electrosurgical Skill Trainer) with Oculus Rift HMD	X					x	x			
gastroenterolo gy	Abelson et al., 2015 <sup>(40)</sup>	33	Surgeons, residents and medical students		x	ICE STORM platform (Integrated Clinical Environment; Systems, Training, Operations, Researsh, Methods) (Lockheed Martin Corporation, Oswego, NY)				x			x			
gastroenterolo gy	Grover et al., 2015 <sup>(28)</sup>	33	Novice endoscopists	X		EndoVR endoscopy simulator (CAE Healthcare Canada, Montreal, Quebec, Canada)			Х					X	x	

gastroenterolo gy	Khan et al., 2017 <sup>(29)</sup>	42	Novice endoscopists	X			EndoVR endoscopy simulator (CAE Healthcare Canada, Montreal, Quebec, Canada)	х	X	X	X	X			x	X	
laparoscopic surgery	Maschuw et al., 2008 <sup>(31)</sup>	40	Inexperienced and advanced surgical residents	X			LapSim® (Surgical Science, Goteborg, Sweden)						X		X		
laparoscopic surgery	Sankaranarayana n et al., 2016 <sup>(34)</sup>	16	Surgeons and residents	X		X	Gen2-VR <sup>©</sup> system (HMD) and Gen1 VR (VBLaST- PT©)						х	x	X		
vascular surgery	Rudarakanchana et al., 2014 <sup>(33)</sup>	32	Experienced or trainee endovascular specialists, interventional radiology trainees and assistants, interventional radiology or vascular surgery consultants, scrub nurses and radiographers	x			(VIST)-C, Mentice, Gothenburg, Sweden			X	х			x			
vascular surgery	Willaert et al., 2011 <sup>(37)</sup>	20	Junior medical residents	X			AngioMentorExpress <sup>TM</sup> (Simbionix USA Corp., Cleveland, OH, USA)	X	X	Х	X	X		X	X		
cardiology	Khanal et al., 2014 <sup>(30)</sup>	14 8	Certified clinicians		X		Second Life®				X				X		
cardiopulmon ary resuscitation	Creutzfeldt et al., $2010^{(26)}$	12	Medical students		X		Multiplayer virtual world (OLIVE, SAIC inc.)	X			X				X		
Orthopedic surgery	Wucherer et al., 2015 <sup>(38)</sup>	19	Junior surgeons	X			VR surgical procedural simulator						X	X	X		
Post Anesthesia Care Unit (PACU)	White et al., 2015 <sup>(47)</sup>	43	Nurses	X			2 Virtual Humans displayed on 2 screens (Wizard of Oz setup: converse with trainee)			Х	Х				X		

Note. N= Number of participants. Virtual reality system is coded : SB : Simulation-based virtual reality simulator ; VW : virtual world ; IVE : Immersive virtual environment. Non technical skills are coded : SA : Situation awareness ; DM : Decision making ; COM : Communication ; TW : Teamwork ; LDS : Leadership ; SM : Stress management ; FM : Fatigue management.

# Table 2

ARTICLE REFERENCES	OBJECTIVES	OUTCOME MEASURES	MAIN FINDINGS
Abelson et al., 2015 <sup>(40)</sup>	<ul> <li>Determine feasability of creating a VR operating room</li> <li>Evaluate simulator for face and construct validity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Construct validity : metric data</li> <li>Face validity : Likert-scale questionnaires (realism, inclination to use), Bedford Workload Scale and modified NASA-Task Load Index scale</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Training environment evaluated as realistic</li> <li>82% of participants felt low workload or had enough spare capacity for additional tasks. All participants had minimal mental, physical, and temporal demand and none reported requiring a high amount of effort to complete the simulation</li> <li>No statistically significant difference between attendings and trainees for all responses</li> </ul>
Brewin et al., 2015 <sup>(24)</sup>	<ul> <li>Assess validity of distributed simulation environment for NTS training</li> <li>Evaluate educational impact</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Face, content and construct validity : questionnaires</li> <li>NOTECHS</li> <li>Educational impact : questionnaires completed after the simulations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good learning environment for NTS, judged realistic</li> <li>NTS of experienced urologists significantly better than trainees establishing construct validity</li> <li>All trainees felt more confident</li> <li>Kirkpatrick level 1 evidence and indirect evidence of learning (Kirkpatrick level 2)</li> </ul>
Brunckhorst et al., 2015 <sup>(25)</sup>	• Evaluate feasibility, acceptability, content validity and educational impact of simulation-based curriculum integrating NTS	<ul> <li>NOTSS</li> <li>Content validity : post-study questionnaire</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>100 % of experts agreed integration of full immersion simulation was a useful tool for teaching non-technical skills</li> <li>Curriculum-trained group : significantly higher NOTSS scores than control group</li> <li>Feasibility of delivery of the curriculum was rated 9.27/10, enjoyment and productivity was scored at 9/10, difficulty of curriculum rated 4.93/10</li> </ul>
Cohen et al., 2013 <sup>(41)</sup>	• Determine feasability and reliability of skills assessment	<ul> <li>7-point NTS competency scale for paramedics and T- NOTECHS (Trauma Non- Technical Skills Scale)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significant and strong correlations between expert assessors suggest reliability to carry out NTS assessments in virtual environments in major incident scenarios</li> <li>No significant correlations between expert and self-assessment for NTS</li> </ul>
Creutzfeldt et al., 2010 <sup>(26)</sup>	<ul> <li>Evaluate (SA) Situation Awareness self assessment instrument</li> <li>Analyze SA training in virtual settings</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SA : 9-items questionnaire + trainee's own opinion of his or her SA during training</li> <li>Concentration/attention : 10- items instrument</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SA increased from the first to the last scenario</li> <li>Perception of SA corresponded to calculated SA</li> <li>Correlation between SA and concentration</li> </ul>
Dorozhkin et al., 2016 <sup>(42)</sup>	• Establish face validity, usefulness and fidelity of virtual OR fire training	• Perceived usefulness and face validity : questionnaire	<ul> <li>Face validity established with high degree of satisfaction and usefelness</li> <li>33/49 participants preferred this modality of training over a traditional one</li> <li>47% of subjects offered suggestions on how to make the simulator look and feel more realistic</li> </ul>

	module in VEST simulator	• Open-ended questions : improvements and preferences	
Greci et al., 2013 <sup>(27)</sup>	• Develop and evaluate a virtual learning curriculum	<ul> <li>Open-ended questions : technical challenges, course content, immersion</li> <li>Interviews and focus groups</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All students improved postcourse disaster preparedness knowledge scores</li> <li>Emerging themes : team communication, team planning, team decision making</li> <li>Functioning in an unfamiliar environment was evaluated as requiring similar skills as during a disaster where rapid decision making with incomplete information</li> </ul>
Grover et al., 2015 <sup>(28)</sup>	<ul> <li>Validate a simulation-based curriculum for cognitive and integrative competencies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Global performance : Integrated Scenario Global Rating Form (ISGRF)</li> <li>Communication skills : Communication Global Rating Scale (CGRS)</li> </ul>	• Participants significantly outperformed control group with respect to colonoscopy-specific performance, communication skills and global performance during the integrated scenario format assessment 4 to 6 weeks after training
Heinrichs et al., 2010 <sup>(43)</sup>	• Determine efficiency of a Virtual Emergency Department to train mass-casaulty incidents (team skills)	<ul> <li>Immersion, level of comfort, confidence, usefulness for clinical skills and team training : questionnaire</li> <li>Focus group</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>68% of the participants felt immersed</li> <li>Everyone felt they learned how to interact in the simulation</li> <li>"Useful","Very Useful", or "Extremely Useful" for clinical skills training for 82% participants</li> <li>Participants gained confidence in ability to handle incidents</li> </ul>
Hudson et al. , 2015 <sup>(44)</sup>	<ul> <li>Examine perceived usability of Second Life (SL) as an immersive virtual environment</li> <li>Study clinical decisions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Perceived usability : System Usability Scale (SUS)</li> <li>Situation awareness : questionnaire with 27 items</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SL considered usable in providing practice with complex scenarios of insulin administration. Perceived usability decreased among experienced nurses</li> <li>No significant association between years of nursing experience and SA scores was found.</li> </ul>
Khan et al., 2017 <sup>(29)</sup>	<ul> <li>Evaluate effectiveness of a simulation-based training curriculum of NTS on novice endoscopists' performance of clinical colonoscopy.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Modified Objective Structured Assessment NTS (M-OSANTS)</li> <li>ISGRF</li> <li>Integrated Scenario Communication Rating Form (ISCRF)</li> </ul>	• To inform the potential implementation of NTS into postgraduate gastrointestinal curricula, non-technical performance will be determined by comparing the scores from the M-OSANTS, ISGRF, ISCRF and GSE for both conditions and at 3 different times

		• General Self Efficacy Scale (GSE)	
Khanal et al., 2014 <sup>(30)</sup>	• Evaluate efficacy of delivering advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) using a virtual reality simulator	<ul> <li>Team performance : electronic checklist based on ACSL guidelines assessed by experts</li> <li>Final questionnaire on training experience</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No statistically significant difference in improvement of skills between groups</li> <li>VR-based ACLS training simulator is significantly cheaper, easier to organize, and facilitates users to practice in a team from disparate locations without requiring an evaluator</li> </ul>
King et al., 2012 <sup>(45)</sup>	<ul> <li>Evaluate usability of the environment</li> <li>Evaluate learning effectiveness of scenarios</li> <li>Evaluate integration into curriculum</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Debriefing: exploration of team interactions</li> <li>Satisfaction survey and questions on learning in the environment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Students appreciated to visualize the Emergency Room setting in a low-pressure situation</li> <li>It provided students with opportunities to communicate with other disciplines, which they would not have had until in clinical practice</li> <li>Students felt it was great preparation for non-virtual scenarios for clinical situations</li> </ul>
Maschuw et al., 2008 <sup>(31)</sup>	• Explore impact of self-belief of surgeons on laparoscopic performance using a VR simulator	• General Self Efficacy (GSE) score Technical metrics : time, economy of motion and damage parameters	<ul> <li>No significant differences were found in gender or in GSE score between both groups</li> <li>Motions of advanced trainees were more economic than novices, but no significant difference in time, error score and right instrument movements.</li> <li>Novices GSE scores negatively correlates with economy of motion and time, while for advanced residents it is independent of laparoscopic performance</li> </ul>
Paige et al., 2007 <sup>(46)</sup>	<ul> <li>Evaluate perception of simulated scenarios</li> <li>Evaluate effectiveness for communication and teamwork during OR crisis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Teamwork assessment: communication, coordination and situational awareness</li> <li>Questionnaire on perception of training effectiveness and specific attributes of teamwork</li> </ul>	• Sessions were found effective/very effective for improving teamwork, communication and recognizing problems in the OR
Riesen et al., 2012 <sup>(32)</sup>	<ul> <li>Improve interprofessional competencies</li> <li>Determine acceptability of a blended learning environment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Self-perceived changes in interprofessional attitudes and competence : IEPS, ICCAS</li> <li>Team performance assessment : TOSCE</li> <li>Students perceptions : program assessment tool, and 16-item questionnaire</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significant differences pre and post workshop were found in ICCAS and IEPS scores</li> <li>Significant improvement accross the 3 simulations in all competencies</li> <li>Program and learning experience were highly rated</li> <li>Learner confidence and performance can be improved through education delivered in a virtual environment</li> </ul>

Rogers, 2011 <sup>(49)</sup>	<ul> <li>Investigate how a simulation in Second Life can encourage teamwork and collaborative problem solving</li> </ul>	• Individual interviews: clinical judgement, teamwork and interpersonal skills	<ul> <li>Critical Life simulation is an artificial social structure where problem-based scenarios can be created</li> <li>Students can co-construct mental models expericencing human interaction in problematic environment</li> <li>Critical Life could develop cognitive understanding of team-orientated procedural and problem-based decision-making skills.</li> </ul>
Rudarakanchana et al., 2014 <sup>(33)</sup>	<ul> <li>Evaluate feasability of integration of a VR simulator in an immersive simulation</li> <li>Investigate construct and face validity for training human factor skills during a crisis scenario</li> </ul>	• Questionnaires : realism (face validity) and potential for use in team training for both technical and human factor skills	<ul> <li>Experienced team leaders were significantly faster than trainees</li> <li>Realism of the environment was scored very high and realism of the VR simulator was rated high</li> <li>Trainees rated the simulation more useful for technical skills training, and experts believed it more useful in enhancing communication skills</li> <li>Feasability, face and construct validity of a realistic crisis scenario integrating a VR simulator has been shown</li> </ul>
Sankaranarayana n et al., 2016 <sup>(34)</sup>	<ul> <li>Establish face and construct validity of an immersive VR system</li> <li>Assess the effects of distractions and task interruptions</li> </ul>	• 5-point Likert-scale subjective feedback questionnaire : realism, immersive experience, and effects of distractions and interruptions	<ul> <li>Performance decreased with added distractions and interruptions</li> <li>Subjects rated interruptions very high in their ablity to affect performance and music distraction received the lowest mean rating</li> <li>Simulators rated as realistic to present distractions and interruptions in a simulated OR, immersion evaluated as intermediate.</li> </ul>
Shamim Khan et al., 2013 <sup>(35)</sup>	• Establish feasability and acceptability of simulation training for NTS	<ul> <li>Interviews : perception of simulated environment</li> <li>Feasability, acceptability and construct validity : questionnaires</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Construct-validity established : Seniors performed significantly better than junior trainees in all simulation sessions</li> <li>Increased cognitive load for trainees on VR simulator : pressure/anxiety about the unknown and interplay between technical and non-technical skills</li> </ul>
Sweigart et al., 2016 <sup>(36)</sup>	<ul> <li>Test utility and acceptability of a virtual learning environment (VLE)</li> <li>Examine change in teamwork attitudes in interprofessional communication</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Effectiveness : TeamSTEPPS -TAQ (Teamwork Attitude Questionnaire)</li> <li>Utility : Time to complete scenarios and answers to questions within scenarios</li> <li>Acceptability : Likert-scale type questions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Positive student feedback on ease of use and perceived effectiveness for teaching communication and professionalism t</li> <li>Scores on the T-TAQ revealed significant positive changes in leadership, situation monitoring, mutual support, and communication</li> </ul>

Umoren et al., 2017 <sup>(48)</sup>	• Propose an introduction to TeamSTEPPS communication tools for nursing and medical students	• MCQ questions during the progression of the scenarios : designation of a TeamSTEPPS strategy, identification of a missing component of this strategy and possible selection of another strategy	<ul> <li>Learner recognition of the SBAR communication tool was high accross groups</li> <li>Knowledge of which component of SBAR was missing was lower accross groups</li> <li>Students demonstrated increased correct recognition of strategies as they progressed through the scenarios</li> <li>When they had the choice, students were more likely to chose the Two-Challenge Rule than the CUS</li> </ul>
White et al., 2015 <sup>(47)</sup>	<ul> <li>Study quality of information transfer and teamwork during a simulated critical event</li> <li>Assess gathering and sharing of critical information</li> </ul>	Communication skills: Critical Patient Information checklist and Interprofessional Communication Skills checklist	<ul> <li>A substantial percentage of participants did not share 3 critical items and 87% of the participants missed a dosage error</li> <li>Items on Communication Checklist were missed by a substantial number of participants (introduction of self and task, closed-loop communication)</li> <li>No statistically significant relationship between scores and years of nursing</li> </ul>
Willaert et al., 2011 <sup>(37)</sup>	• Evaluate whether a part-task rehearsal of a surgical procedure on a VR simulator is as effective as a full-task one	<ul> <li>Non-technical skills : NOTSS</li> <li>Face validity and usefulness : questionnaire</li> <li>Emotional, cognitive and physical stress : short version of State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) questionnaire</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Both groups scored acceptable scores in all categories of NOTSS</li> <li>Simulated procedure was found highly realistic. Simulation helped participants in decision-making, confidence, reduction of anxiety, and communication. Both strategies were as effective on stress levels</li> <li>For a moderately difficult case, a part-task patient specific VR rehearsal is as effective as a full-task one</li> </ul>
Wucherer et al., 2015 <sup>(38)</sup>	<ul> <li>Measure usability of simulator</li> <li>Explore relationship between mental workload and surgical performance during crisis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cognitive workload : 3-item questionnaire and Surgery Task Load Index (SURG- TLX)</li> <li>Questionnaire : face validity and training value</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Training resulted in a decrease of time, but significantly slower performances when crises</li> <li>The more workload was experienced, the poorer was the surgical performance.</li> <li>Telephone call seemed more disturbing compared to patient discomfort</li> </ul>
Youngblood et al., 2008 <sup>(39)</sup>	<ul> <li>Evaluate VLE for leadership and trauma management by comparing users' experience with a</li> </ul>	• Leaderdhip skills : EMCRM (Emergency Medicine Crisis Resource Management) scale	<ul> <li>All participants evaluated simulation as "useful" or "very useful" to assess and manage trauma patients in Emergency Department (ED)</li> <li>All participants showed significant improvement in team leadership</li> <li>Students emphasized emotional impact of simulation in VLE</li> <li>Both mannequin-based and VLE simulation of ED cases are valid training methods to improve EMCRM team leadership skills</li> </ul>

high-fidelity patient simulator (PS)	Assessment of learning     experience : debriefing and
	questionnaire