

Effects of continuous subcutaneous apomorphine infusion in Parkinson's disease without cognitive impairment on motor, cognitive, psychiatric symptoms and quality of life

Jean-François Houvenaghel, Sophie Drapier, Joan Duprez, Gabriel Hadrien Robert, Audrey Riou, Dominique Drapier, Paul Sauleau, Marc Vérin

▶ To cite this version:

Jean-François Houvenaghel, Sophie Drapier, Joan Duprez, Gabriel Hadrien Robert, Audrey Riou, et al.. Effects of continuous subcutaneous apomorphine infusion in Parkinson's disease without cognitive impairment on motor, cognitive, psychiatric symptoms and quality of life. Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 2018, 395, pp.113-118. 10.1016/j.jns.2018.10.010. hal-01940272

HAL Id: hal-01940272 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01940272

Submitted on 3 Dec 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Effects of continuous subcutaneous apomorphine infusion in 2 Parkinson's disease without cognitive impairment on motor, 3 cognitive, psychiatric symptoms and quality of life

4	
5	Jean-François Houvenaghel ^{a,b*1} , Sophie Drapier ^{a,b} , Joan Duprez ^a , Gabriel Hadrien Robert ^{a,c} ,
6	Audrey Riou ^{a,b} , Dominique Drapier ^{a,c} , Paul Sauleau ^{a,d} , Marc Vérin ^{a,b}
7	
8	^a "Behavior and Basal Ganglia" research unit (EA 4712), University of Rennes 1, Rennes,
9	France
10	^b Department of Neurology, Rennes University Hospital, Rennes, France
11	^c Department of Psychiatry, Rennes University Hospital, Rennes, France
12	^d Department of Neurophysiology, Rennes University Hospital, Rennes, France
13	
14	
15	
16	

¹ **Corresponding author**: Jean-François Houvenaghel, Service de Neurologie, CHU Pontchaillou, 2 rue Henri Le Guilloux, 35033 Rennes Cedex, France. Phone: +(33)2 99 28 98 42, Fax: +(33)2 99 28 41 32, E-mail: jeanfrancois.houvenaghel@chu-rennes.fr

1	
2 3	ABSTRACT Introduction: Treatment optimization using continuous subcutaneous apomorphine infusion
4	(CSAI) improves the control of motor fluctuations of patients with Parkinson's disease (PD).
5	Although CSAI seems to be cognitively and behaviorally safe and to improve the quality of
6	life, very few studies have investigated its influence in these domains, especially in patients
7	without cognitive impairment. Methods: We estimated the impact of CSAI on motor
8	symptoms, cognition, psychiatric domains and quality of life in parkinsonian patients without
9	cognitive impairment by comparing the scores of 22 patients assessed before and 6 months
10	after the start of add-on CSAI. Results: Optimized treatment with CSAI was associated with i)
11	reduced motor fluctuations, ii) unchanged cognition, iii) unchanged psychiatric domains, and
12	iv) improved quality of life in physical and psychological aspects. Conclusion: In PD patients
13	without cognitive impairment, CSAI improves motor symptoms and quality of life and, as
14	suggested by previous studies, alters neither cognition nor mental health.
15	

Wordcount: Abstract: 151 - Main text: 3092. 23 references, 4 tables, no figures, 1

supplemental data.

- 1 **1 INTRODUCTION**
- 2

4 antiparkinsonian medication is considered to be an effective treatment for motor symptoms of 5 patients with Parkinson's disease (PD) who are severely disabled by dyskinesia and/or motor 6 fluctuations (for a review, see [1,2]). A randomized placebo-controlled study confirmed 7 recently this widely accepted view [3]. CSAI is frequently considered to be cognitively safe, 8 or even to have a potentially beneficial effect on cognition [4–6]. However, to our knowledge, 9 very few studies have investigated these cognitive aspects with neuropsychological batteries, 10 and most of them had small and heterogeneous patient samples [7-14]. The two studies that 11 have so far been conducted in patients without severe cognitive impairment, as indicated by 12 the fact that they were not contraindicated for subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation (STN-DBS), did not report any significant CSAI-induced changes [7,8,10]. In one study, 13 executive, episodic verbal memory, and visuoperceptual performances remained stable in all 14 15 seven patients 6 and 12 months after the introduction of CSAI [7]. In the other study, there 16 was no significant change in either episodic verbal memory or visual working memory at 12 17 months for thirteen patients [10], or at 40 months for two patients [8]. However, since the 18 exclusion criteria for STN-DBS rely on motor and/or neuropsychological symptom severity, we cannot know whether the patients in these studies had cognitive impairment. Similar 19 20 results have been reported for patients with advanced PD who had more important motor 21 and/or neuropsychological symptoms, and therefore underwent apomorphine infusion as an 22 alternative therapeutic strategy [9,11,13,14]. A study evaluating the effect of 12 months of 23 treatment in 23 patients found that executive functions were unaffected by CSAI, although a slight cognitive slowdown was observed, presumably induced by disease progression [11]. 24 25 Similarly, a recent study failed to find any significant modulation of either overall cognitive 26 efficiency (Mini-Mental State Examination, MMSE) or overall executive efficiency (Frontal

The addition of continuous subcutaneous apomorphine infusion (CSAI) to oral

1 Assessment Battery, FAB, or Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease-Cognition,

SCOPA-COG) after a median follow-up duration of 26 months in 7-24 patients with cognitive
disorders [13,14]. Lastly, one study reported a slight executive improvement in 12 patients
after 6 months of add-on CSAI [9]. Thus, even if CSAI has frequently been reported to be
cognitively safe, further evidence from neuropsychological assessments is needed, especially
in patients with no cognitive impairment, who represent more than 50% of all patients with
PD [15].

8 In addition, research interest in quality of life is very recent, with only four studies published 9 in international journals, all concerning patients with advanced PD [9,16–18]. Two of these reported a significant improvement in total scores on the Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire 10 (PDQ-8; PDQ-39) [16,18], which was specifically built for PD, while a third found a trend 11 12 towards an improvement [9]. The last one did not shown significant changes [17]. 13 In this context, we conducted a retrospective study to investigate the influence of 6 months of 14 add-on CSAI on the cognitive, motor and psychiatric domains, as well as on quality of life, in 15 patients with no cognitive impairment at baseline. We hypothesized that add-on CSAI reduces 16 motor symptoms and improves quality of life without disturbing cognitive or psychiatric 17 aspects.

18 2 METHODS

19

20 2.1 Participants

During the 2006-2015 period, the add-on CSAI treatment was introduced in 122 patients
diagnosed with idiopathic PD according to the UK Brain Bank criteria at Rennes University
Hospital. Among those 122 patients, 44 underwent motor and neuropsychological
assessments before (baseline; M0) and 6 months (M6) after continuous add-on CSAI. Patients
with dementia or mild cognitive impairment were excluded on the basis of the Level 1

diagnostic criteria recommended by the Movement Disorders Society [19], and the Mattis 1 2 Dementia Rating Scale (MDRS; score > 137 [20] at baseline). Totally, 22 patients were 3 included (8 men, 14 women) (Table 2). In addition to motor and neuropsychological 4 assessments, most of them underwent psychiatric and quality-of-life assessments. Patients 5 underwent the full assessment within the same week. All the patients were evaluated on 6 dopaminergic medication both at baseline and during the follow-up assessments. At baseline, 7 medication included both dopamine agonists and levodopa therapy in 21 patients, and 8 levodopa alone in one patient. The study was approved by the local ethics committee of 9 Rennes University Hospital and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and 10 current French legislation.

11 **2.2 Motor assessment**

Disease severity was rated on the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS-II, III
and IV), and the Hoehn and Yahr and Schwab and England scales.

14 2.3 Neuropsychological assessment

15 In addition to the MDRS, we administered a neuropsychological battery that mainly

16 investigated executive functioning. This battery included the phonemic (letter *p*) and semantic

17 (animals) verbal fluency tasks (2-min version), the Nelson's simplified version of the

18 Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (MCST), the Trail Making Test (TMT) and the Golden's version

19 of the Stroop Interference Test.

20 2.4 Psychiatric assessment

- 21 Apathy, depression and anxiety were assessed by an experienced psychiatrist using the
- 22 Apathy Evaluation Scale (AES), the Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale
- 23 (MADRS), and the AMDP-AT anxiety scale.

1 **2.5 Quality-of-life assessment**

2 Quality of life was assessed with the 36-item Short-Form Survey (SF-36), the 39-item PDQ

3 (PDQ-39) and the Clinical Global Impression Improvement (CGI-I) scale.

4 2.6 Statistical analyses

5 Changes in dopaminergic treatment and motor, psychiatric, neuropsychological, and quality-6 of-life scores following add-on CSAI were compared using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs test. 7 The significance threshold was set at p = 0.05 for all analyses. We did not correct the level of 8 significance for multiple comparisons given the exploratory nature of our study to reduce the 9 risk of type II error. However, we were mindful of the consecutively higher probability of a 10 type 1 error.

11 **3 RESULTS**

12 **3.1 Treatments**

13 The introduction of add-on CSAI was associated with a significant reduction in levodopa

14 treatment of 38% (-312 \pm 312 mg/d, p = 0.0004) and an increase in the total levodopa

equivalent daily dose (LEDD) of 45% ($392 \pm 382 \text{ mg/d}$, p = 0.0004) (Table 1). At 6 months,

16 the apomorphine treatment represented 55% of total LEDD. Daytime CSAI had a mean

duration of 15.4 ± 2.1 hours (range: 13-24) at a mean hourly rate of 4.7 ± 1.0 mg (range: 3.5-

18 7) and a mean bolus number of 2.0 ± 1.8 per day (range: 0-5), with a mean dose of 2.9 ± 1.2

19 mg (range: 0-5) per bolus.

20

Table 1: Levodopa equivalent daily dose (mean $\pm SD$) of patients with PD before (M0) and

after 6 months (M6) of add-on CSAI

	Ν	M0	M6	M6 - M0	p value
Dopamine agonists (mg/day)	22/22	278.7 ± 147.5	244.7 ± 146.8	-34.1 ± 86.9	0.11

	L-DOPA (mg/day)	22/22	$\textbf{791.7} \pm \textbf{409.7}$	479.5 ± 381.6	-312.1 ± 312.7	< 0.001
	Total apomorphine dose (mg/day)	22/22		754.0 ± 209.7		
	Total LEDD (mg/day)	22/22	1087.7 ± 485.5	1478.6 ± 552.7	391.9 ± 381.9	< 0.001
2	<i>Note</i> . LEDD = lev	odopa ec	quivalent daily d	ose; <i>SD</i> = standa	rd deviation.	

- 1 2
- 3

4 **3.2. Motor assessment**

- 5 Add-on CSAI significantly reduced motor fluctuations, as shown by the decrease in the
- 6 UPDRS-IV Fluctuations score (sum of Items 36-39), which improved by -1.04 ± 1.8 points (p
- 7 = 0.005). No other significant motor improvement was observed. In parallel, we observed a
- 8 trend towards an increase in the UPDRS-III ON medication score (non-dopaminergic
- 9 symptoms; p = 0.09) and the Schwab & England OFF medication score (p = 0.09), indicating
- 10 potential disease progression (Table 2).
- 11
- **Table 2:** Motor assessments (mean \pm *SD*) of patients with PD before (M0) and after 6 months
- 13 of add-on CSAI

	Ν	M0	M6	M6 - M0	p value
Sex (M:F)		8:14			
Age (years)		57.5 ± 9.6			
Disease duration (years)		11.1 ± 4.4			
Side of symptom onset (R:L)		12:10			
Education (years)		11.4 ± 4.0			
UPDRS-II ON med	22/22	5.9 ± 4.2	6.4 ± 5.3	0.48 ± 6.8	0.74
UPDRS-II OFF med	22/22	17.9 ± 7.5	17.4 ± 4.8	-0.5 ± 7.3	0.82
UPDRS-III ON med	21/22	11.1 ± 8.1	14.5 ± 8.9	3.4 ± 9.1	0.09
UPDRS-III OFF med	20/22	37.7 ± 16.8	40.2 ± 14.7	2.5 ± 14.6	0.57
UPDRS-IV	22/22				
Total score		7.0 ± 3.3	5.8 ± 2.6	-1.2 ± 3.1	0.11
Dyskinesia		2.7 ± 2.4	2.3 ± 1.8	-0.4 ± 2.2	0.30
Fluctuations		3.4 ± 1.2	2.4 ± 1.4	-1.0 ± 1.8	0.005
Hoehn & Yahr ON med	21/22	1.4 ± 0.9	1.1 ± 0.9	-0.3 ± 0.9	0.16
Hoehn & Yahr OFF med	21/22	2.3 ± 1.0	2.3 ± 0.8	-0.0 ± 0.8	0.86
Schwab & England ON med (%)	21/22	91.9 ± 6.8	91.4 ± 7.9	-0.5 ± 7.4	0.78
Schwab & England OFF med (%)	21/22	73.8 ± 16.3	66.2 ± 23.8	-7.6 ± 18.4	0.09

Note. SD = standard deviation; UPDRS = Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale.

3

4 **3.3.** Neuropsychological assessment

5 Very few cognitive changes were observed at 6 months. Patients showed a slight slowdown, 6 as measured by the Stroop Word score (p = 0.04). However, this slowdown was not reflected 7 in the other scores, such as the Stroop Colour score (p = 0.37), or the TMT Part A which, on 8 the contrary, tended to be faster (p = 0.10). The Stroop interference score also tended to be 9 better at 6 months (p = 0.10) (Table 3).

10

Table 3: Neuropsychological assessment (mean \pm *SD*) of patients with PD before (M0) and

12 after 6 months of add-on CSAI

	Ν	M0	M6	M6 - M0	p value
MDRS	22/22				
Attention		36.6 ± 0.7	36.6 ± 0.5	0.0 ± 0.7	1.00
Initiation		36.2 ± 1.4	36.1 ± 1.6	-0.1 ± 1.8	0.66
Construction		5.9 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.2	1.00
Conceptualization		37.9 ± 1.3	38.3 ± 1.2	0.4 ± 0.9	0.11
Memory		24.1 ± 0.6	24.3 ± 1.0	0.2 ± 1.1	0.50
Total		140.8 ± 2.0	141.3 ± 2.5	0.5 ± 2.2	0.18
Stroop	22/22				
Word		97.3 ± 17.3	93.8 ± 17.2	-3.5 ± 12.3	0.04
Colour		69.4 ± 12.5	67.8 ± 13.3	-1.6 ± 9.5	0.37
Colour-Word		35.1 ± 9.6	37.1 ± 11.1	2.0 ± 8.0	0.18
Interference		-5.3 ± 7.4	-1.9 ± 6.8	3.3 ± 8.3	0.10
TMT	22/22				
Errors A		0.18 ± 0.4	0.09 ± 0.3	-0.10 ± 0.5	0.46
Time A (s)		42.1 ± 14.7	39.8 ± 15.9	-2.3 ± 8.3	0.10
Errors B		0.45 ± 0.9	0.32 ± 0.65	-0.14 ± 1.1	0.65
Time B (s)		91.6 ± 32.2	86.4 ± 41.6	-5.2 ± 36.1	0.27
TMT B-A(s)		49.5 ± 26.2	46.6 ± 32.5	-2.9 ± 34.1	0.42
Semantic Fluency	21/22	32.6 ± 7.0	33.5 ± 8.5	0.9 ± 5.5	0.37
Phonemic Fluency	20/22	20.5 ± 6.2	21.8 ± 5.8	1.3 ± 4.1	0.16
WCST	21/22				
Categories		5.3 ± 1.3	5.4 ± 1.3	0.1 ± 0.9	0.46
Errors		5.5 ± 7.0	4.9 ± 7.8	-0.5 ± 4.1	0.57
Perseverations		1.9 ± 2.8	1.9 ± 3.6	$-0,1 \pm 2.2$	0.67

Time (s)		206.0 ± 73.1	200.0 ± 81.8	-5.9 ± 57.0	0.58
UPDRS I	22/22	1.75 ± 1.4	1.9 ± 2.0	0.1 ± 1.4	0.56
MADRS	13/22	5.1 ± 4.6	5.4 ± 5.0	0.31 ± 4.7	0.65
AMDPAT	10/22	9.1 ± 5.7	7.5 ± 5.5	-1.6 ± 5.0	0.48
AES	10/22	28.5 ± 7.2	30.1 ± 9.6	1.6 ± 5.2	0.27

2 *Note. SD* = standard deviation; TMT: Trail Making Test; MCST = Modified Wisconsin Card

3 Sorting Test; MDRS = Mattis Dementia Rating Scale; UPDRS-I = Unified Parkinson's

4 Disease Rating Scale Part I; MADRS = Montgomery and Åsberg Depression Rating Scale;

5 AMDPAT = AMDP-AT anxiety scale; AES = Apathy Evaluation Scale.

6 3.4. Psychiatric assessment

At 6 months follow up, no significant modification was observed in overall psychiatric
aspects (UPDRS-I), depression (MADRS), apathy (AES) or anxiety (AMDP-AT), despite
missing data for the three last scales, owing to the retrospective status of our study (Table 3).
Of the 22 patients, one patient developed impulse control disorders (sexual compulsion and
compulsive shopping) and another presented slight simple visual hallucinations. Both events
disappeared when the dopamine agonist treatment was reduced without any change in CSAI
treatment.

14 **3.5.** Quality-of-life assessment

15 The overall quality of life tended to improve, according to the total score of the SF-36 (p =0.09). When we explored the subscores, we observed that the add-on CSAI treatment 16 17 improved physical domains, which were the most impaired at baseline, but also improved 18 psychological domains. The improvement in the physical composite subscore (9.7% \pm 13.9, p 19 = 0.01) was sustained by a significant improvement in the bodily pain subscore (13.9% \pm 20 20.5, p = 0.02) and a trend towards an improvement in the role physical subscore (20.6% \pm 21 40.7, p = 0.06). In addition, we found a significant reduction in the bodily discomfort 22 subscore of the PDQ-39 (9.2% \pm 14.4, p = 0.01). The psychological improvement was related to both a significant decrease in the stigma subscore of the PDQ-39 (10.9% ± 20.5, p = 0.01)
and a trend towards an improvement in the social functioning subscore of the SF-36 (9.0% ± 23.4, p = 0.10). Finally, at 6-month follow up, the mean CGI-I score was 66.4% ± 16.0 (Table 4).

5

6 **Table 4:** Quality-of-life assessment (mean $\pm SD$) of patients with PD before (M0) and after 6

7 months of add-on CSAI

	Ν	M 0	M6	M6 - M0	<i>p</i> value
SF 36 (%)					
General Health Perception	17/22	46.8 ± 18.2	49.1 ± 19.3	2.3 ± 7.7	0.26
Physical Function	17/22	66.2 ± 23.7	64.7 ± 25.6	-1.5 ± 15.5	0.53
Role Limitations Because of Physical Health Problems	17/22	32.3 ± 31.6	52.9 ± 39.4	20.6 ± 40.7	0.06
Role Limitations Because of Emotional Problems	17/22	70.6 ± 35.1	68.6 ± 39.9	-2.0 ± 39.9	0.76
Social Function	18/22	54.9 ± 18.8	63.9 ± 19.6	9.0 ± 23.4	0.10
Bodily Pain	18/22	45.6 ± 23.3	59.4 ± 20.9	13.9 ± 20.5	0.02
Mental Health	18/22	64.4 ± 14.1	66.7 ± 11.1	2.2 ± 11.8	0.50
Vitality	18/22	44.7 ± 19.5	51.1 ± 15.1	6.4 ± 17.6	0.20
Mental Composite Score	17/22	59.4 ± 14.8	62.0 ± 17.3	2.6 ± 14.7	0.43
Physical Composite Score	16/22	45.6 ± 15.7	55.3 ± 19.4	9.7 ± 13.8	0.01
Total	16/22	52.0 ± 13.9	58.4 ± 17.5	6.4 ± 13.1	0.09
PDQ-39 (%)					
Mobility	19/22	38.8 ± 19.6	35.4 ± 19.9	-3.4 ± 13.4	0.19
Activities of daily living	19/22	30.5 ± 19.9	28.3 ± 14.7	-2.2 ± 16.6	0.42
Emotional wellbeing	19/22	35.5 ± 20.2	32.9 ± 20.3	-2.6 ± 15.7	0.48
Stigma	19/22	35.2 ± 30.3	$\textbf{24.3} \pm \textbf{21.4}$	$\textbf{-10.9} \pm \textbf{20.5}$	0.03
Social Support	18/22	11.6 ± 15.2	13.9 ± 20.2	2.3 ± 8.0	0.46
Cognitions	18/22	27.4 ± 23.1	28.1 ± 21.7	0.7 ± 11.7	0.75
Communication	18/22	24.1 ± 19.1	22.0 ± 10.4	2.3 ± 12.1	0.36
Bodily Discomfort	19/22	52.2 ± 14.1	$\textbf{43.0} \pm \textbf{17.2}$	-9.2 ± 14.4	0.01
Total	18/22	30.4 ± 10.6	28.5 ± 12.6	$\textbf{-1.9}\pm6.9$	0.27
CGI-I (%)	22/22		66.4 ± 16.0		

8 *Note. SD* = standard deviation; SF-36 = 36-Item Short Form Survey; PDQ-39 = 39-item

9 Parkinson's Disease Questionnaire; CGI-I = Clinical Global Impression Improvement scale.

10 4 DISCUSSION

1 In the present study, we investigated for the first time the influence of 6 months of treatment 2 optimized with add-on CSAI on motor symptoms, cognitive and psychiatric domains, and 3 quality of life in 22 PD patients without cognitive impairment. The add-on CSAI was 4 associated with i) reduced motor fluctuations, ii) no change in cognition, iii) no change in 5 psychiatric domains, and iv) improved quality of life in physical and psychological aspects. The overall results of the current study are in line with previous observations realized in more 6 7 advanced patients, indicating that add-on CSAI improves motor symptoms and quality of life 8 without altering cognition or inducing psychiatric symptoms [7–12,14,17].

9

10 The main result for motor function was a significant reduction in the motor fluctuation score, 11 confirming that add-on CSAI is efficient in this motor aspect [11,21]. This improvement 12 probably resulted from both the reduction in pulsatile levodopa treatments and the increase in 13 total LEDD through the introduction of the apomorphine infusion, although a specific role of 14 the apomorphine molecule cannot be ruled out.

15 As expected, cognitive functioning, as assessed with neuropsychological tools, was not 16 disturbed when we compared the same patients without cognitive deterioration at baseline and 6 months after the introduction of add-on CSAI. Our results confirm the absence of cognitive 17 change reported in previous observations for smaller samples with greater variations in 18 19 cognitive severity [7-11,13,14]. The only significant change that we found was a reduction in 20 the number of words correctly read during 45 seconds in the Stroop Word Test. This 21 reduction may suggest that add-on CSAI induces some degree of slowdown, as reported in 22 patients with a more severe disease [11]. However, unlike the study by Drapier et al. (2012), this slowdown was not confirmed by other cognitive indices, such as colour naming accuracy 23 24 or the time taken to complete the TMT Part A, which actually tended to be shorter at 6 months 25 [11]. However, in another study using more sensitive tools to evaluate cognitive action control

we did not found any significant change [22]. Overall, add-on CSAI appears to be cognitively
 safe in PD patients with no cognitive deterioration.

3 Furthermore, we did not find any change in depression, anxiety or apathy scores following 4 introduction of add-on CSAI, despite the frequency of these symptoms in PD [15]. Although 5 these results should be interpreted with caution, as many data were lacking owing to the 6 retrospective design of our study, they are nonetheless in line with previous studies 7 demonstrating either psychiatric safety in patients with PD who are cognitively normal or 8 mildly cognitively impaired [7,10,12], or an improvement in apathy [9,17,18] or anxiety [16] 9 among patients with a more severe disease. In addition, impulse control disorders and simple 10 visual hallucinations noted in two different patients both vanished when the oral dopamine 11 agonist treatment was reduced, without the need to change the CSAI. Once again, the add-on CSAI treatment seems to be psychiatrically safe in this population, but these two cases 12 13 demonstrate that further studies are needed to establish the optimum medical treatment to be 14 associated with CSAI.

15 Finally, quality of life improved with add-on CSAI on some domains but not on the total 16 score. Surprisingly, only two studies reported a significant improvement in total scores on the PDQ [16,18], while a third found a trend towards an improvement [9], and another no 17 significant change [17]. The absence of change on the total score in our cohort probably 18 19 reflected the fact that our patients had a generally better quality of life at baseline than those 20 in the above-mentioned studies [9,16,18]. This may have induced a floor effect for the 21 improvement following add-on CSAI. In this respect, we highly recommend to use the full 22 PDQ-39 questionnaire, rather than its abbreviated version, to study the influence of add-on 23 CSAI in patients with mild-to-moderate disease severity. When we explored the subscores of 24 the quality-of-life questionnaires, we found specific improvements in physical aspects such as 25 bodily discomfort and bodily pain, and a trend towards an improvement in role limitations

because of physical health problems. We also observed an improvement in psychological
domains such as stigma. This psychological improvement was only described in one [16] of
the two studies that investigated this domain, both in advanced PD [9]. This improvement is
very important, as felt stigma is very frequent in patients with PD, and reflects psychological
distress [23]. Add-on CSAI treatment therefore induced a motor benefit, but also led to an
improvement in psychological aspects.

7

8 Several points need to be kept in mind when interpreting our results. The first limitation of 9 our study is that it is a retrospective one. Further, some data were missing and we had no 10 control group with an optimized oral medical treatment. Thus, we cannot say whether the 11 absence of significant change resulted from a lack of statistical power or from a real absence 12 of effect. However, this study provides important empirical evidence regarding the influence of CSAI on nonmotor domains and quality of life in PD. Similarly, we cannot rule out the 13 14 possibility that the beneficial effect measured on self-report questionnaires was, at least in 15 part, induced by placebo or care effects. In the same way, we cannot exclude that the lack of 16 significant changes in the neuropsychological tests was due to practice effects. However, in a control group, we only observed negligible practice effects at 6-month follow-up (only one 17 score was significantly better at 6 months; see supplementary data). In addition, mild 18 19 cognitive impairment was excluded on the basis of the Level 1 diagnostic criteria 20 recommended by the Movement Disorders Society [19], so further studies using the more 21 sensitive Level 2 diagnostic criteria are needed to confirm our results. Moreover, our study 22 design estimated the influence of the overall change in antiparkinsonian treatment, rather than the specific role of the apomorphine molecule, its continuous delivery or the impact of the 23 24 levodopa reduction following the start of CSAI. Finally, the present study is the first to focus 25 on the influence of add-on CSAI treatment according to neuropsychological status at baseline.

Other studies are needed to confirm and complete our results, notably by adding nonexecutive
 tasks, as recommended by the MDS task force [19], as well as a nonmotor symptoms scale
 such as the NMSS.

4

5 Conclusion

The goal of the present study was to describe for the first time the effects of CSAI in the 6 7 motor, cognitive, and psychiatric domains, as well as on quality of life, in patients with PD 8 who had no cognitive disorders. Our results indicate that CSAI has a beneficial effect on 9 motor fluctuations and quality of life, without any deleterious impact on the cognitive or psychiatric domains. Although further studies are needed to confirm and complete these 10 results, notably in patients with more impaired cognitive profiles, the current study argues in 11 favour of the overall cognitive and psychiatric safety of CSAI in patients with PD. 12 13 Acknowledgements 14 15 The authors would like to thank all the patients who took part in this study, as well as 16 Elizabeth Wiles-Portier who revised the English style. 17 18 Source of funding 19 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, 20 commercial, or not-for- profit sectors. 21

22 Compliance with ethical standards

- 23 Conflicts of interest: Dr Sophie Drapier received speech honorarium from Teva and
- 24 Medtronic and served on scientific advisory boards for Aguettant and Britannia. Pr Marc

- 1 Vérin has served on the Scientific Advisory Board for Aguettant and Orkyn and received
- 2 speech honorarium from Teva and Medtronic.
- 3 Ethical standards: This study was approved by the ethics committee of Rennes University
- 4 Hospital and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and current French
- 5 legislation (Huriet Act).
- BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 9 [1] F. Grandas, Subcutaneous infusions of apomorphine: a reappraisal of its therapeutic
 10 efficacy in advanced Parkinson's disease, Expert Rev. Neurother. 13 (2013) 1343–1353.
 11 doi:10.1586/14737175.2013.839235.
- K. Wenzel, C.N. Homann, G. Fabbrini, C. Colosimo, The role of subcutaneous infusion of apomorphine in Parkinson's disease, Expert Rev. Neurother. 14 (2014) 833–843.
 doi:10.1586/14737175.2014.928202.
- R. Katzenschlager, W. Poewe, O. Rascol, C. Trenkwalder, G. Deuschl, K.R. Chaudhuri,
 T. Henriksen, T. van Laar, K. Spivey, S. Vel, H. Staines, A. Lees, Apomorphine
 subcutaneous infusion in patients with Parkinson's disease with persistent motor
 fluctuations (TOLEDO): a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled
 trial, Lancet Neurol. (2018). doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30239-4.
- [4] M. Rosa-Grilo, M.A. Qamar, A. Evans, K.R. Chaudhuri, The efficacy of apomorphine –
 A non-motor perspective, Parkinsonism Relat. Disord. 33, Supplement 1 (2016) S28–
 S35. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2016.11.020.
- [5] C. Trenkwalder, K.R. Chaudhuri, P.J. García Ruiz, P. LeWitt, R. Katzenschlager, F.
 Sixel-Döring, T. Henriksen, Á. Sesar, W. Poewe, M. Baker, A. Ceballos-Baumann, G.
 Deuschl, S. Drapier, G. Ebersbach, A. Evans, H. Fernandez, S. Isaacson, T. van Laar, A.
 Lees, S. Lewis, J.C. Martínez Castrillo, P. Martinez-Martin, P. Odin, J. O'Sullivan, G.
 Tagaris, K. Wenzel, Expert Consensus Group report on the use of apomorphine in the
 treatment of Parkinson's disease Clinical practice recommendations, Parkinsonism
 Relat. Disord. 21 (2015) 1023–1030. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2015.06.012.
- [6] A.J. Yarnall, T. Lashley, H. Ling, A.J. Lees, S.Y. Coleman, S.S. O'Sullivan, Y. Compta,
 T. Revesz, D.J. Burn, Apomorphine: A potential modifier of amyloid deposition in
 Parkinson's disease?, Mov. Disord. 31 (2016) 668–675. doi:10.1002/mds.26422.
- [7] M. Alegret, F. Valldeoriola, M. Martí, M. Pilleri, C. Junqué, J. Rumià, E. Tolosa,
 Comparative cognitive effects of bilateral subthalamic stimulation and subcutaneous
 continuous infusion of apomorphine in Parkinson's disease, Mov. Disord. 19 (2004)
 1463–1469. doi:10.1002/mds.20237.
- A. Antonini, I.U. Isaias, G. Rodolfi, A. Landi, F. Natuzzi, C. Siri, G. Pezzoli, A 5-year
 prospective assessment of advanced Parkinson disease patients treated with
 subcutaneous apomorphine infusion or deep brain stimulation, J. Neurol. 258 (2010)
 579–585. doi:10.1007/s00415-010-5793-z.
- [9] M. Auffret, F. Le Jeune, A. Maurus, S. Drapier, J.-F. Houvenaghel, G.H. Robert, P.
 Sauleau, M. Vérin, Apomorphine pump in advanced Parkinson's disease: Effects on
 motor and nonmotor symptoms with brain metabolism correlations, J. Neurol. Sci. 372
 (2017) 279–287. doi:10.1016/j.jns.2016.11.080.

- [10] D. De Gaspari, C. Siri, A. Landi, R. Cilia, A. Bonetti, F. Natuzzi, L. Morgante, C.B.
 Mariani, E. Sganzerla, G. Pezzoli, A. Antonini, Clinical and neuropsychological follow
 up at 12 months in patients with complicated Parkinson's disease treated with
 subcutaneous apomorphine infusion or deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic
 nucleus, J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry. 77 (2006) 450–453.
- 6 doi:10.1136/jnnp.2005.078659.
- [11] S. Drapier, A.-S. Gillioz, E. Leray, J. Péron, T. Rouaud, A. Marchand, M. Vérin,
 Apomorphine infusion in advanced Parkinson's patients with subthalamic stimulation
 contraindications, Parkinsonism Relat. Disord. 18 (2012) 40–44.
 doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2011.08.010.
- [12] L. Morgante, G. Basile, A. Epifanio, E. Spina, A. Antonini, F. Stocchi, E. Di Rosa, G.
 Martino, R. Marconi, P. La Spina, V. Nicita-Mauro, A.E. Di Rosa, Continuous apomorphine infusion (CAI) and neuropsychiatric disorders in patients with advanced Parkinson's disease: a follow-up of two years, Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr. Suppl. (2004) 291–296. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2004.04.039.
- [13] T. van Laar, A.G. Postma, M. Drent, Continuous subcutaneous infusion of apomorphine
 can be used safely in patients with Parkinson's disease and pre-existing visual
 hallucinations, Parkinsonism Relat. Disord. 16 (2010) 71–72.
 doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2009.05.006.
- [14] R.W.K. Borgemeester, T. van Laar, Continuous subcutaneous apomorphine infusion in
 Parkinson's disease patients with cognitive dysfunction: A retrospective long-term
 follow-up study, Parkinsonism Relat. Disord. (2017).
 doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2017.09.025.
- [15] K. Dujardin, A.J.H. Moonen, H. Behal, L. Defebvre, A. Duhamel, A.A. Duits, L.
 Plomhause, C. Tard, A.F.G. Leentjens, Cognitive disorders in Parkinson's disease:
 Confirmation of a spectrum of severity, Parkinsonism Relat. Disord. (2015).
 doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2015.08.032.
- [16] S. Drapier, A. Eusebio, B. Degos, M. Vérin, F. Durif, J.P. Azulay, F. Viallet, T. Rouaud,
 C. Moreau, L. Defebvre, V. Fraix, C. Tranchant, K. Andre, C.B. Courbon, E. Roze, D.
 Devos, Quality of life in Parkinson's disease improved by apomorphine pump: the
 OPTIPUMP cohort study, J. Neurol. (2016) 1–9. doi:10.1007/s00415-016-8106-3.
- [17] P. Martinez-Martin, P. Reddy, R. Katzenschlager, A. Antonini, A. Todorova, P. Odin, T.
 Henriksen, A. Martin, D. Calandrella, A. Rizos, N. Bryndum, A. Glad, H.S. Dafsari, L.
 Timmermann, G. Ebersbach, M.G. Kramberger, M. Samuel, K. Wenzel, V.
 Tomantschger, A. Storch, H. Reichmann, Z. Pirtosek, M. Trost, P. Svenningsson, S.
 Palhagen, J. Volkmann, K.R. Chaudhuri, EuroInf: AMulticenter Comparative
 Observational Study of Apomorphine and Levodopa Infusion in Parkinson's Disease,
- 38 Mov. Disord. 30 (2015) 510–516. doi:10.1002/mds.26067.
- [18] P. Martinez-Martin, P. Reddy, A. Antonini, T. Henriksen, R. Katzenschlager, P. Odin,
 A. Todorova, Y. Naidu, S. Tluk, C. Chandiramani, A. Martin, K.R. Chaudhuri, Chronic
 subcutaneous infusion therapy with apomorphine in advanced Parkinson's disease
 compared to conventional therapy: a real life study of non motor effect, J. Park. Dis. 1
 (2011) 197–203. doi:10.3233/JPD-2011-11037.
- [19] I. Litvan, J.G. Goldman, A.I. Tröster, B.A. Schmand, D. Weintraub, R.C. Petersen, B.
 Mollenhauer, C.H. Adler, K. Marder, C.H. Williams-Gray, D. Aarsland, J. Kulisevsky,
 M.C. Rodriguez-Oroz, D.J. Burn, R.A. Barker, M. Emre, Diagnostic criteria for mild
 cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease: Movement Disorder Society Task Force
 guidelines, Mov. Disord. 27 (2012) 349–356. doi:10.1002/mds.24893.
- [20] E. Pirogovsky, D.M. Schiehser, I. Litvan, K.M. Obtera, M.M. Burke, S.L. Lessig, D.D.
 Song, L. Liu, J.V. Filoteo, The utility of the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale in

- Parkinson's disease mild cognitive impairment, Parkinsonism Relat. Disord. 20 (2014)
 627–631. doi:10.1016/j.parkreldis.2014.03.010.
- [21] P.J. García Ruiz, Á. Sesar Ignacio, B. Ares Pensado, A. Castro García, F. Alonso Frech,
 M. Álvarez López, J. Arbelo González, J. Baiges Octavio, J.A. Burguera Hernández, M.
 Calopa Garriga, D. Campos Blanco, B. Castaño García, M. Carballo Cordero, J. Chacón
 Peña, A. Espino Ibáñez, A. Gorospe Onisalde, S. Giménez-Roldán, P. Granés Ibáñez, J.
- 7 Hernández Vara, R. Ibáñez Alonso, F.J. Jiménez Jiménez, J. Krupinski, J. Kulisevsky
- 8 Bojarsky, I. Legarda Ramírez, E. Lezcano García, J.C. Martínez-Castrillo, D. Mateo
- 9 González, F. Miquel Rodríguez, P. Mir, E. Muñoz Fargas, J. Obeso Inchausti, J. Olivares
- Romero, J. Olivé Plana, P. Otermin Vallejo, B. Pascual Sedano, V. Pérez de Colosía
 Rama, I. Pérez López-Fraile, A. Planas Comes, V. Puente Periz, M.C. Rodríguez Oroz,
- Rama, I. Pérez López-Fraile, A. Planas Comes, V. Puente Periz, M.C. Rodríguez Oroz,
 D. Sevillano García, P. Solís Pérez, J. Suárez Muñoz, J. Vaamonde Gamo, C. Valero
- Berno, F. Valldeoriola Serra, J.M. Velázquez Pérez, R. Yáñez Baña, I. Zamarbide
- Capdepon, Efficacy of long-term continuous subcutaneous apomorphine infusion in
 advanced Parkinson's disease with motor fluctuations: A multicenter study, Mov.
- 16 Disord. 23 (2008) 1130–1136. doi:10.1002/mds.22063.
- [22] J. Duprez, J.-F. Houvenaghel, S. Drapier, M. Auffret, D. Drapier, G. Robert, M. Vérin,
 P. Sauleau, Continuous subcutaneous apomorphine infusion does not impair the
- dynamics of cognitive action control in mild to moderate Parkinson's disease, J. Neurol.
 (2017) 1–7. doi:10.1007/s00415-017-8721-7.
- [23] H.-I. Ma, M. Saint-Hilaire, C.A. Thomas, L. Tickle-Degnen, Stigma as a key
 determinant of health-related quality of life in Parkinson's disease, Qual. Life Res. 25
 (2016) 3037–3045. doi:10.1007/s11136-016-1329-z.
- 24