

Increasing temperature and decreasing specific leaf area amplify centipede predation impact on Collembola

M. Santonja, A. Aupic-Samain, E. Forey, M. Chauvat

▶ To cite this version:

M. Santonja, A. Aupic-Samain, E. Forey, M. Chauvat. Increasing temperature and decreasing specific leaf area amplify centipede predation impact on Collembola. European Journal of Soil Biology, 2018, 89, pp.9-13. 10.1016/j.ejsobi.2018.08.002 . hal-01904532

HAL Id: hal-01904532 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01904532

Submitted on 20 Nov 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Title:	Increasing	temperature	and	decreasing	specific	leaf	area	amplify	centipede	predation
2	impac	t on Collem	ıbola								

4 **Authors:** Mathieu Santonja^{1,2,3}*, Adriane Aupic-Samain⁴, Estelle Forey⁵, Matthieu Chauvat⁵

5

6 Addresses

- 7 **1.** Univ Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO UMR 6553, F-35000 Rennes, France.
- 8 2. Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), School of Architecture, Civil and
- 9 Environmental Engineering (ENAC), Laboratory of Ecological Systems (ECOS), Station 2,
- 10 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.
- 11 3. Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL), Site Lausanne,
- 12 Case postale 96, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.
- 13 4. Aix Marseille Univ, Avignon Université, CNRS, IRD, IMBE, Marseille, France.
- 14 5. Normandie Univ, UNIROUEN, IRSTEA, ECODIV, FR SCALE CNRS 3730, F-76000
- 15 Rouen, France
- 16
- 17 *Corresponding authors: mathieu.santonja@gmail.com
- 18 ORCID: 0000-0002-6322-6352
- 19

20 Abstract

21 Collembola is an abundant group of soil organisms playing a major role on litter decomposition process and nutrient cycling in forest ecosystems. Habitat structure strongly 22 influences Collembola assemblages as plant litter physical characteristics and quantity provide 23 structural niches and determine the outcome of their interactions with predators. Collembola 24 are also extremely sensitive to environmental conditions such as soil temperature that control 25 their demographic parameters and activity. In this context, increasing temperature with the 26 ongoing climate change can have strong impact on Collembola assemblages and their responses 27 to predation either directly by altering their behaviour or indirectly by altering their habitat 28 29 structure. We therefore examined how the increase of temperature combined to the decrease of specific leaf area (SLA, a major functional plant trait) of the European common oak (Quercus 30 robur L.) and the presence of a centipede predator (Chilopoda: Lithobiidae) will affect the 31 32 abundance of Folsomia candida (Collembola: Isotomidae) in a 5-week microcosm experiment. Increasing temperature, decreasing SLA and presence of centipede altered F. candida 33 abundance. We observed a significant temperature \times predation interaction suggesting 34 differential effects of increasing temperature on F. candida abundance with and without 35 predator. We also observed a significant SLA × predation interaction highlighting that lower 36 37 SLA decreases F. candida abundance only in predator presence. Finally, our findings evidenced that increasing temperature and decreasing SLA amplify the negative effect of centipede 38 predation on F. candida abundance, suggesting that both direct and indirect effects of climate 39 change would conjointly strengthen the top-down control of predators on preys. 40

41

42 Keywords: climate change; Chilopoda; plant functional trait; predator-prey interaction;
43 springtail

45 **1. Introduction**

Soil biodiversity plays an essential role in ecosystem functioning, especially in 46 biogeochemical cycles [1, 2] with feedback on plant growth, ecosystem productivity and overall 47 community structure [3, 4]. It has become increasingly clear during the last decades that soil 48 biodiversity and related ecosystem services are extremely threatened by climate change [5, 6], 49 through changes in species demographic parameters, species interactions [7, 8], and eventually 50 cascading effects on ecosystem processes [9, 10]. As predator-prey interactions are a key 51 structuring force in population dynamics [11, 12], understanding the effects of climate change 52 on these interactions is of primary importance to predict soil ecosystem responses. 53

54 Collembola, among the most widespread and abundant group of soil organisms [13], plays a major role in soil functioning [14] by regulating the microbial (bacterial and fungal) 55 communities, litter decomposition process and nutrient cycling, and consequently feedback on 56 57 plants [15, 16, 17, 18]. Collembola are also strongly top-down controlled by many predators including spiders [18, 19], centipedes [20, 21] or mites [22, 23]. Furthermore, Collembola are 58 often under the influence of habitat structure as plant litter physical characteristics and quantity 59 (i.e. litter thickness) provide niches serving as microhabitats for Collembola [9, 19, 21, 24]. 60 This habitat structure also acts as an important driver of prey-predator interactions by 61 influencing encounter probabilities between Collembola and their predators [19, 21]. 62

As Collembola are also extremely sensitive to environmental conditions [13], increasing temperature and decreasing precipitation induced by ongoing climate change [25, 26, 27] can have strong direct impacts on Collembola demographic parameters (e.g. reproduction, development and survival) by altering both soil temperature and moisture conditions [28, 29, 30]. As ectothermic organisms, Collembola and their predators generally exhibit greater activity with warming because of elevated metabolic demands [31]. Several experimental field studies, simulating the impact of future climatic conditions in grassland and forest ecosystems, reported a decline in Collembola abundance and diversity in warmer and drier soil conditions, whereas
they increased in warmer and wetter soils [9, 30, 32, 33, 34]. While there is a recent wealth of
literature on warming effect on prey-predator interactions [26, 35, 36, 37], few attempts have
been made on belowground organisms. For example Thakur et al. [23] reported that predatory
mites (*Hypoaspis aculeifer* and *H. miles*) reduced Collembola coexistence (*Folsomia candida*and *Proisotoma minuta*) with increasing temperature.

76 In addition, the ongoing climate change can also indirectly affect Collembola by altering litter physical characteristics and quantity produced by plants with thus cascading effects on 77 both the food resource and microhabitat availability for Collembola as well as the outcome of 78 79 their interactions with predators. In fact, climatic conditions strongly control leaf traits and consequently induce changes in litter traits [38, 39, 40]. Plants under warmer and drier climates 80 tend to have thicker leaves (i.e. sclerophylly) and lower specific leaf area (SLA) in order to 81 82 reduce water loss [40, 41]. For example, Graça and Poquet [42] reported that SLA of Quercus robur and Alnus glutinosa leaves decreased with increasing temperature across a wide 83 European climatic gradient. As a consequence, for hemi- and epedaphic Collembola (i.e. living 84 on the soil surface and in the leaf litter), this decrease in SLA could imply (i) a lower surface 85 area for fungal colonization leading to a reduced fungal grazing, as well as (ii) less spatial 86 87 refuges and higher probability to encounter their predators. However, to our knowledge, no previous study attempted to evaluate the consequences of a decrease in SLA on Collembola 88 populations and their interactions with predators. 89

Thus, our current understanding of soil organisms' responses to climate change drivers is still limited by a lack of studies addressing conjointly both direct and indirect effects of climate change on these organisms and their interactions. To address this gap, we designed a full factorial experiment in order to evaluate how the increase of atmospheric temperature (15 °C, 20 °C and 25 °C), the decrease of SLA (15.9 \pm 0.5 *vs.* 11.7 \pm 0.3 mm².mg⁻¹) of European

oak leaves (Quercus robur L.) and the presence or absence of a centipede predator (Chilopoda: 95 96 Lithobiidae) affect the abundance of Folsomia candida (Collembola: Isotomidae) in a 5-week microcosm experiment. The elevated temperature treatments were established in order to 97 represent moderate (+5 °C) to high (+10 °C) climate warming scenarios [27], whereas the 98 lowest temperature treatment was based on the climate at which F. candida was thermally 99 acclimated (i.e. 15 °C). The decrease of SLA was established to simulate the impact of lower 100 101 surface area for a same litter mass leading to an alteration of habitat structure. Previous to the 102 experimentation, we carefully checked that the two oak litters (high and low SLA) only differed in their physical traits and not on their chemical traits (i.e. C:N ratio and phenolic 103 104 concentration). We hypothesized that increasing temperature would induce higher predation effects on F. candida, as both prey and predator become more active and must encounter each 105 other more frequently. We also hypothesized that decreased SLA would induce higher 106 107 predation effects on *F. candida*, as a decrease in habitat complexity provides less spatial refuges for the prey population. Finally, the combination of both direct (i.e. temperature) and indirect 108 109 (i.e. habitat structure) effects of climate change would conjointly strengthen the top-down control of predator on F. candida. 110

111

- 112 **2. Materials and Methods**
- 113
- 114 2.1. Material collection

115

```
116 2.1.1. Leaf litter
```

117 The experiment was conducted using leaf litter of a common and widespread deciduous 118 tree in temperate European forest: the European oak (*Quercus robur* L.). Freshly-abscised 119 shade leaves were collected on 5 individuals during the litter fall period (autumn 2016) in the Paimpont Forest (48°01'N, 2°10'W) and in the Rennes Forest (48°11'N, 1°34'W), northwestern France. In February 2017, 200 g of the leaf litter from both forests were enclosed in 0.5-mm mesh size litterbags and put on the litter layer under oak trees of the University of Rennes 1 campus (48°07'N, 1°38'W) in order to allow litter microbial colonization. Litter samples were collected after 2 months, dried at 40 °C for 48 h and frozen at -18 °C for 48 h in order to remove animals, and then stored in a dark room at ambient temperature until the start of the experiment.

Ten leaves were used to determine the specific leaf area (SLA) of Q. robur leaves for 127 each forest. SLA was calculated as the ratio between leaf area and leaf dry weight and 128 determined by weighing $(\pm 0.01 \text{ mg})$ 3 leaf disks obtained with a cork borer (10 mm diameter) 129 from the 10 randomly chosen leaves [42]. The leaf dry weight was determined after drying leaf 130 samples at 60 °C for 48 h. Oak leaves of Paimpont Forest showed a mean SLA of 15.9 ± 0.5 131 mm².mg⁻¹ (thereafter high SLA) while those of Rennes Forest showed a significant 26% lower 132 SLA with a mean of $11.7 \pm 0.3 \text{ mm}^2 \text{.mg}^{-1}$ (thereafter low SLA) (*t*-test = 7.0, *P* < 0.001). In 133 134 addition to SLA, C:N ratio and phenolic concentration of the two litter types were measured as these two plant functional traits were reported to also influence Collembola population 135 dynamics [9, 24, 43]. Carbon and N concentrations were determined by thermal combustion 136 using a CN analyzer. Phenolic concentration was measured colorimetrically using the method 137 described in Santonia et al. [44] with gallic acid as a standard. Leaf litter types of high and low 138 SLA showed no significant difference in both C:N ratio $(37.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ vs. } 40.6 \pm 1.1, \text{ respectively};$ 139 *t*-test = -2.3, P = 0.063) and phenolic concentration (49.3 ± 0.8 vs. 51.8 ± 0.9 mg.g⁻¹, 140 respectively; t-test = -1.8, P = 0.123). As our research hypothesis was based on a physical effect 141 of Quercus litter on predator-prey interaction (i.e. habitat structure) and not on a chemical effect 142 of this litter (i.e. trophic or toxic role), we considered that we used two litter types exhibiting 143 similar chemical properties but distinct physical properties to perform our experiment. 144

146 2.1.2. Collembola and centipede

The experiment was conducted using two well-represented invertebrate groups from the 147 leaf litter of European oak (Quercus robur L.) forests: Collembola as the prey and Chilopoda 148 as the predator. Collembola used in this experiment was Folsomia candida (Collembola: 149 Isotomidae), a parthenogenetic and ubiquitous hemiedaphic species known as fungivorous and 150 frequently used in laboratory experiment [23, 45]. Individuals were reared in plastic boxes (5.5 151 152 cm diameter \times 7 cm height) containing a flat mixture of plaster of Paris and activated charcoal in a ratio 9:1, permanently water saturated. Individuals had been cultured at 15 °C and fed ad 153 154 libitum with dry yeast pellets. To synchronize the age of the organisms, oviposition was stimulated by placing adults on a new breeding substrate [45]. After oviposition, adults were 155 removed and the eggs hatched 3-4 days later. To ensure that the population was as homogeneous 156 157 as possible, eggs were placed in a large container and juveniles were fed for the first time altogether. We used 10-12 days juveniles of similar size $(0.90 \pm 0.08 \text{ mm}; n = 10)$ that were 158 159 starved 48 h before the start of the experiment.

Lithobiid centipedes (Chilopoda: Lithobiidae) were used as predator in this experiment. They are known as active predators that live in the upper soil layers pursuing prey such as Collembola [13, 46]. The centipedes were manually collected by sifting oak leaf litter of the Paimpont Forest. After collection, collected centipede individuals of similar size (10.81 ± 0.65 mm; n = 10) were kept separate from each other in moistened plastic boxes at 15 °C and were fed *ad libitum* with *F. candida* individuals. Centipede individuals were also starved 48 h before the start of the experiment.

167

168 2.2. Experimental setup

We tested the effects of three temperatures (15 °C, 20 °C, and 25 °C), two SLA (low vs. 169 high) and centipede predation (no predator vs. predator presence) on Collembola abundance in 170 a full factorial experiment. Each combination was replicated 7 times and then led to the 171 construction of 84 microcosms, i.e. 3 temperatures \times 2 SLA \times 2 predation levels \times 7 replicates. 172 Plastic boxes (12 cm diameter \times 10 cm height covered by a nylon net to allow air circulation) 173 were used as microcosms. Each microcosm was filled with 2 g (dry mass) oak leaf litter. In 174 order to keep the base of microcosm moist, litter was added on top of a double layer of filter 175 paper. In order to make the litter adequately moist and to stimulate microbial growth, 50 mg of 176 yeast in 5 mL of deionized water were added on top of the litter 4 days before animals were 177 178 added. Thirty individuals of F. candida were added into each of 84 microcosms at the start of the experiment. In order to allow prey acclimation to leaf litter habitat, one centipede individual 179 was added 2 days after F. candida in each treatment including a predator (i.e. 42 microcosms). 180 181 The experiment was performed during 5 weeks in climate-controlled rooms (Percival AR-41L3) with a 12 h: 12 h light: dark photoperiod, a constant temperature (15 °C, 20 °C or 182 25 °C), and a constant 80% air humidity as centipedes are very sensitive to desiccation [47, 48]. 183 The microcosms were kept moist by adding 1 ml of deionized water every 2 days. The 15 °C 184 treatment corresponded to the Collembola culture temperature, the 20 °C and 25 °C treatments 185 to a +5 °C and +10 °C increase, respectively. The two SLA levels (15.9 \pm 0.5 vs. 11.7 \pm 0.3 186 mm².mg⁻¹) were used in order to simulate the impact of a reduction in leaf surface area (i.e. 187 31800 vs. 23400 mm² for 2 g of oak leaf litter) on Collembola fitness and Collembola-centipede 188 interaction. At the end of the experiment, centipedes were removed by hand and leaf litter of 189 each microcosm was placed on a Berlese-Tullgren funnel to collect Collembola. Individuals 190 were harvested in 90% ethanol and then counted under a stereomicroscope. 191

192

193 2.3. Statistical analyses

194 Statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 3.4.2). A generalized 195 linear model (GLM), followed by post-hoc multiple comparisons (Tukey contrasts), was used 196 to test for the effect of temperature, SLA, centipede predation, and their interactions on 197 Collembola abundance. We fitted the GLM model specifying a Poisson error distribution and 198 log link function because the response variable was count data (i.e. number of Collembola per 199 microcosm).

200

201 **3. Results**

Temperature, SLA and predation treatments had significant effects on Collembola abundance (Table 1, Fig. 1). On the whole experiment, we found that Collembola abundance was the highest at 20 °C and the lowest at 25 °C (Fig. 1a). For SLA, Collembola abundance was higher with high SLA than with low SLA (Fig. 1b). Lastly, predation treatment also altered Collembola abundance with higher Collembola abundance in absence of predator (Fig. 1c). However, significant interactions between temperature and predation treatments, between SLA and predation treatments, and between the three treatments were observed (Table 1).

The interaction between temperature and predation treatments suggested that increasing 209 temperature differentially affected Collembola abundance with or without a predator (Table 1, 210 Fig. 2). Without predator, +5 °C favored Collembola abundance (+27% compared to 15 °C) 211 whereas +10 °C negatively affected Collembola abundance (-18% compared to 15 °C). In 212 presence of a predator, there was no difference in Collembola abundance between 15 °C and 213 20 °C, while Collembola abundance strongly decreased at 25°C (-55% compared to 15 °C). 214 Furthermore, the negative effect of predation increased with increasing temperature, as the 215 density of F. candida was reduced by 26%, 34%, and 59% at 15 °C, 20 °C and 25 °C, 216 217 respectively.

The interaction between SLA and predation treatments suggested that the decrease of SLA negatively affected Collembola abundance only in predator presence (Table 1, Fig. 3). Furthermore, the negative effect of predation increased with the decrease of SLA, from a 28% reduction of Collembola abundance at high SLA to a 50% reduction at low SLA.

The interaction between temperature, SLA and predation treatments suggested that the combinations of specific temperature and SLA treatments conjointly alter the predator control of Collembola abundance (Table 1). In fact, the greatest top-down control was observed at 20 °C on low SLA (i.e. 70% reduction of Collembola abundance, Table 2), while the lowest topdown control was observed at 15 °C on high SLA (i.e. 18% reduction of Collembola abundance, Table 2).

228

229 **4. Discussion**

230 As expected, Collembola abundance was depressed by the presence of a centipede. This result is in accordance with previous studies, which reported that centipedes have strong 231 232 negative effects on Collembola abundance [21, 49, 50]. In agreement with our first hypothesis, we demonstrated that predation effects became stronger with increasing temperature, from -233 26% at 15 °C to -59% at 25 °C. Grgic and Kos [51] reported optimal temperatures for some 234 European Lithobiidae species between 12 °C and 22 °C, while Chitty [52] reported this 235 temperature range for temperate centipede species between 20 °C and 25 °C, suggesting that 236 the centipedes during our experiment were active foragers. As ectothermic organisms, by 237 increasing their activities with increasing temperature because of elevated metabolic demands 238 [31], higher encounter probabilities between Collembola and centipede induced this short-term 239 increase of predation effects. Our result supports the recent findings of Thakur et al. [10] that 240 241 also highlighted higher predation effects upon Collembola with increasing temperature. Interestingly, we also found an increase in Collembola abundance with +5 °C increase in 242

temperature that could compensate for the predation impact, as we did not observe a difference 243 in Collembola abundances between the treatments at 15 °C and 20 °C in centipede presence 244 (Fig. 2). Our observation of a maximum abundance of F. candida at 20 °C is consistent with 245 246 the general sigmoid curve obtained when considering the response of an organism over the whole temperature range where development may occur [53]. Stam et al. [54] reported a 247 decrease in clutch size (number of eggs) of F. candida at temperature exceeding 22 °C 248 compared with temperature around 15 °C. Fountain and Hopkin [45] also reported an optimal 249 250 temperature for hatching success at 21°C for F. candida. However, Collembola abundance was strongly reduced with +10 °C, and this direct negative effect, coupled with centipede presence, 251 252 induced a strong decline of F. candida population.

In agreement with our second hypothesis, we also demonstrated that decreasing specific 253 leaf area (SLA) induced higher predation effects on a Collembola population. In line with our 254 255 findings, two previous short-term experiments at low temperatures revealed the importance of habitat structure for the per capita interaction strengths of generalist predators on their 256 257 Collembola prey [19, 21]. In a 24 h experiment at 18 °C day: 16 °C night regime, Vucic-Pestic et al. [19] showed a decrease in consumption rate by a spider (Pardosa lugubris) on its 258 Collembola prey (Heteromurus nitidus) in presence of moss (Polytrichum formosum), 259 highlighting the importance of refuge presence for the prey. In a 24 h experiment at 15 °C, 260 Kalinkat et al. [21] reported a decrease in consumption rate of a predator centipede (Lithobius 261 mutabilis) on its Collembola prey (H. nitidus) according to the increase of litter quantity (Fagus 262 sylvatica), highlighting the importance of habitat size in the encounter probability between a 263 predator and its prey. Kalinkat et al. [21] demonstrated thus that increasing litter quantity 264 reduced the encounter rates by diluting the prey population to lower density. In the present 265 266 study, in addition to the importance of i) litter presence [19] and ii) litter quantity [21], we demonstrated for the first time the key importance of a leaf morphological trait as a regulating 267

factor of predator-prey interactions in a leaf litter system. In consequence, the litter habitat
modifications mediated by decreasing both litter quantity production [55] and leaf litter SLA
[42] in response to climate change would conjointly amplify the predatory control of
Collembola populations by decreasing refuge availability and increasing the encounter rate with
their predators.

273 Finally, we also confirmed our last expectation that both increasing temperature and decreasing SLA conjointly strengthen the top-down control of a centipede predator on a F. 274 275 candida population. In fact, the highest top-down effect was observed at the highest temperature coupled with the lowest SLA. A strong decrease of Collembola abundance could, in turn, leads 276 277 to a negative impact on their predator population with profound repercussions on soil food web structure. Additionally, these soil cascading trophic effects might have consequences for 278 feedback on plants, as the presence and identity of Collembola can influence nutrient 279 280 availability for plants, plant traits and strategy, with consequences on both plant productivity and reproduction [9, 16, 17, 18]. As species interactions provide the mechanistic link between 281 warming and ecosystem functions [11, 56], our study suggests that predicting the consequences 282 of climate change may be far from trivial and needs to take into account both direct and indirect 283 effects of climate change to better understand the outcome of short-term dynamics of predator-284 prey interactions. 285

286

287 Acknowledgement

We thank Anaïs Rancon and Kevin Hoeffner for their assistance during material collection, Cécile Sulmon-Maisonneuve and Christophe Piscart for their assistance during the laboratory experiment, and Pierre Mariotte for his reviewing of the English. We also thank the Experimental Ecology (ECOLEX) platform of the ECOBIO research unit for providing experimental infrastructures.

294	References
234	NULLI CHUUS

- [1] R. Bardgett, The biology of soil: a community and ecosystem approach, Oxford UniversityPress, Oxford, 2005.
- [2] S. Hättenschwiler, A.V. Tiunov, S. Scheu, Biodiversity and litter decomposition in
 terrestrial ecosystems, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 36 (2005) 191-218. DOI:
 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.112904.151932
- 300 [3] D.A. Wardle, K.I. Bonner, G.M. Barker, Linkages between plant litter decomposition, litter
- quality, and vegetation responses to herbivores, Funct. Ecol. 16 (2002) 585-595. DOI:
- 302 10.1046/j.1365-2435.2002.00659.x
- 303 [4] J.M. Gobat, M. Aragno, W. Matthey, Le sol vivant: bases de pédologie, biologie des sols,
 304 Presse Polytechniques et Universitaires Romandes, Lausanne, 2010.
- [5] J.C. Blankinship, P.A. Niklaus, B.A. Hungate, A meta-analysis of responses of soil biota to
 global change, Oecologia 165 (2011) 553-565. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-011-1909-0
- 307 [6] J.M. Montoya, D. Raffaelli, Climate change, biotic interactions and ecosystem services,
 308 Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 365 (2010) 2013-2018. DOI:
 309 10.1098/rstb.2010.0114
- [7] J.M. Tylianakis, R.K. Didham, J. Bascompte, D.A. Wardle, Global change and species
 interactions in terrestrial ecosystems, Ecol. Lett. 11 (2008) 1351-1363. DOI: 10.1111/j.14610248.2008.01250.x
- [8] W.H. van der Putten, M. Macel, M.E. Visser, Predicting species distribution and abundance
 responses to climate change: why it is essential to include biotic interactions across trophic
 levels, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 365 (2010) 2025-2034. DOI:
 10.1098/rstb.2010.0037
- [9] M. Santonja, C. Fernandez, M. Proffit, C. Gers, T. Gauquelin, I.M. Reiter, W. Cramer, V.

- Baldy, Plant litter mixture partly mitigates the negative effects of extended drought on soil
- biota and litter decomposition in a Mediterranean oak forest, J. Ecol. 105 (2017) 801-815.

320 DOI: 10.1111/1365-2745.12711

- 321 [10] M.P. Thakur, P.B. Reich, S.E. Hobbie, A. Stefanski, R. Rich, K.E. Rice, ... N. Eisenhauer,
- Reduced feeding activity of soil detritivores under warmer and drier conditions, Nat. Clim.
- 323 Chang. 8 (2018) 75. DOI: 10.1038/s41558-017-0032-6
- [11] A.N. Laws, Climate change effects on predator-prey interactions, Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.
 23 (2017) 28-34. DOI: 10.1016/j.cois.2017.06.010
- 326 [12] O.J. Schmitz, Behaviour of predators and prey and links with population-level processes,
- in: P. Barbarosa, I. Castellanos (Eds.), Ecology of predator-prey interactions, Oxford
 University Press, New York, 2005, pp. 256-278.
- 329 [13] S.P. Hopkin, Biology of Springtails (Insecta: Collembola), Oxford University Press,
 330 Oxford, 1997.
- [14] J. Rusek, Biodiversity of Collembola and their functional role in the ecosystem, Biodivers.
 Conserv. 7 (1998) 1207-1219.
- 333 [15] R.G. Cragg, R.D. Bardgett, How changes in soil faunal diversity and composition within
- a trophic group influence decomposition processes, Soil Biol. Biochem. 33 (2001) 2073-
- 335 2081. DOI: 10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00138-9
- [16] J. Filser, The role of Collembola in carbon and nitrogen cycling in soil, Pedobiologia 46
- 337 (2002) 234-245. DOI: 10.1016/S0031-4056(04)70139-0
- 338 [17] E. Forey, S.F. Coulibaly, M. Chauvat, Flowering phenology of a herbaceous species (Poa
- *annua*) is regulated by soil Collembola, Soil Biol. Biochem. 90 (2015) 30-33. DOI:
- 340 10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.07.024

- [18] K.L. Lawrence, D.H. Wise, Spider predation on forest-floor Collembola and evidence for
 indirect effects on decomposition, Pedobiologia 44 (2000) 33-39. DOI: 10.1078/S00314056(04)70026-8
- [19] O. Vucic-Pestic, K. Birkhofer, B.C. Rall, S. Scheu, U. Brose, Habitat structure and prey
 aggregation determine the functional response in a soil predator-prey interaction,
 Pedobiologia 53 (2010) 307-312. DOI: 10.1016/j.pedobi.2010.02.003
- 347 [20] B. Günther, B.C. Rall, O. Ferlian, S. Scheu, B. Eitzinger, Variations in prey consumption
 348 of centipede predators in forest soils as indicated by molecular gut content analysis, Oikos
 349 123 (2014) 1192-1198. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2013.00868.x
- 350 [21] G. Kalinkat, U. Brose, B.C. Rall, Habitat structure alters top-down control in litter
 351 communities, Oecologia. 172 (2013) 877-887. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-012-2530-6
- [22] M.P. Thakur, N. Eisenhauer, Plant community composition determines the strength of topdown control in a soil food web motif, Sci. Rep. 5 (2015) 9134. DOI: 10.1038/srep09134
- [23] M.P. Thakur, T. Künne, J.N. Griffin, N. Eisenhauer, Warming magnifies predation and
- reduces prey coexistence in a model litter arthropod system, Proc. R. Soc. B. 284 (2017)

356 20162570. doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.2570. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2016.2570

- 357 [24] M. Sauvadet, M. Chauvat, N. Fanin, S. Coulibaly, I. Bertrand, Comparing the effects of
 358 litter quantity and quality on soil biota structure and functioning: application to a cultivated
 359 soil in Northern France, Appl. Soil Ecol. 107 (2016) 261-271.
- [25] C. Bellard, C. Bertelsmeier, P. Leadley, W. Thuiller, F. Courchamp, Impacts of climate
 change on the future of biodiversity, Ecol. Lett. 15 (2012) 365-377. DOI: 10.1111/j.14610248.2011.01736.x
- 363 [26] S.E. Gilman, M.C. Urban, J. Tewksbury, G.W. Gilchrist, R.D. Holt, A framework for
- 364 community interactions under climate change, Trends Ecol. Evolut. 25 (2010) 325-331.
- 365 DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2010.03.002

366	[27] IPCC, Climate change 2014: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Part A: global and
367	sectoral aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the
368	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
369	and New York, NY, USA, 2014.
370	[28] P. Kardol, W.N. Reynolds, R.J. Norby, A.T. Classen, Climate change effects on soil

- microarthropod abundance and community structure, Appl. Soil Ecol. 47 (2011) 37-44. DOI:
 10.1016/j.apsoil.2010.11.001
- 373 [29] Z. Lindo, Warming favours small-bodied organisms through enhanced reproduction and
- compositional shifts in belowground systems, Soil Biol. Biochem. 91 (2015) 271-278.
- 375 DOI:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.09.003
- [30] G.L. Xu, T.M. Kuster, M.S. Günthardt-Goerg, M. Dobbertin, M.H. Li, Seasonal exposure
 to drought and air warming affects soil Collembola and mites, Plos One 7 (2012) e43102.

378 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043102

- [31] J.F. Gillooly, J.H. Brown, G.B. West, V.M. Savage, E.L. Charnov, Effects of size and
 temperature on metabolic rate, Science 293 (2001) 2248-2251. DOI:
 10.1126/science.1061967
- [32] J. Harte, A. Rawa, V, Price Effects of manipulated soil microclimate on mesofaunal
 biomass and diversity, Soil Biol. Biochem. 28 (1996) 313-322. DOI: 10.1016/00380717(95)00139-5
- [33] N. Lindberg, J.B. Bengtsson, T. Persson, Effects of experimental irrigation and drought on
 the composition and diversity of soil fauna in a coniferous stand, J. Appl. Ecol. 39 (2002)
- 387 924-936. DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2664.2002.00769.x
- [34] A. Pflug, V. Wolters, Influence of drought and litter age on Collembola communities, Eur.
- 389 J. Soil Biol. 37 (2001) 305-308. DOI: 10.1016/S1164-5563(01)01101-3

- [35] K.C. Abbott, J.P. Harmon, N.S. Fabina, The challenge of predicting temperature effects
 on short-term predator-prey dynamics, Popul. Ecol. 56 (2014) 375-392. DOI:
 10.1007/s10144-013-0426-x
- [36] P. Amarasekare, Effects of temperature on consumer-resource interactions, J. Anim. Ecol.
 84 (2014) 665-679. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2656.12320
- 395 [37] B.C. Rall, O. Vucic-Pestic, R.B. Ehnes, M.C. Emmerson, U. Brose, Temperature, predator-
- prey interaction strength and population stability, Glob. Change Biol. 16 (2010) 2145-2157.
 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02124.x.
- 398 [38] Y. Chen, W. Han, L. Tang, Z. Tang, J. Fang, Leaf nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations
- of woody plants differ in responses to climate, soil and plant growth form, Ecography 36
- 400 (2011) 178-184. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0587.2011.06833.x
- [39] P.B. Reich, J. Oleksyn, Global patterns of plant leaf N and P in relation to temperature and
 latitude, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101 (2004) 11001-11006. DOI:
 10.1073/pnas.0403588101
- 404 [40] I.J. Wright, P.B. Reich, J.H.C. Cornelissen, D.S. Falster, P.K. Groom, K. Hikosaka, ... M.
- 405 Westoby, Modulation of leaf economic traits and trait relationships by climate, Glob. Ecol.
- 406 Biogeogr. 14 (2005) 411-421. DOI: 10.1111/j.1466-822x.2005.00172.x
- 407 [41] S.E. Pallardy, Physiology of woody plants. Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2008.
- 408 [42] M.A.S. Graça, J.M. Poquet, Do climate and soil influence phenotypic variability in leaf
- 409 litter, microbial decomposition and shredder consumption? Oecologia 174 (2014) 1021-
- 410 1032. DOI: 10.1007/s00442-013-2825-2
- 411 [43] M. Chomel, C. Fernandez, A. Bousquet-Mélou, C. Gers, Y. Monnier, M. Santonja, T.
- 412 Gauquelin, R. Gros, C. Lecareux, V. Baldy, Secondary metabolites of *Pinus halepensis* alter
- 413 decomposer organisms and litter decomposition during afforestation of abandoned
- 414 agricultural zones. J. Ecol. 102 (2014) 411-424. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2745.12205

- 415 [44] M. Santonja, V. Baldy, C. Fernandez, J. Balesdent, T. Gauquelin, Potential shift in plant
- 416 communities with climate change in a Mediterranean Oak forest: consequence on nutrients
- 417 and secondary metabolites release during litter decomposition. Ecosystems 18 (2015) 1253-
- 418 1268. DOI: 10.1007/s10021-015-9896-3
- 419 [45] M.T. Fountain, S.P. Hopkin, *Folsomia Candida* (Collembola): a "standard" soil arthropod,
- 420 Annu. Rev. Entomol. 50 (2005) 201-222. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.ento.50.071803.130331
- 421 [46] D.C. Coleman, J.D.A. Crossley, P.F. Hendrix, Fundamentals of Soil Ecology. Elsevier
 422 Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA, 2004.
- [47] M. Jabin, Influence of environmental factors on the distribution pattern of centipedes
 (Chilopoda) and other soil arthropods in temperate deciduous forests. Cuvillier Verlag,
 Göttingen, 2008.
- 426 [48] J.G.E. Lewis, The biology of centipedes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- 427 [49] O. Ferlian, S. Scheu, M.M. Pollierer, Trophic interactions in centipedes (Chilopoda,
- 428 Myriapoda) as indicated by fatty acid patterns: variations with life stage, forest age and 429 season, Soil Biol. Biochem. 52 (2012) 33-42. DOI: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.04.018
- 430 [50] S. Salmon, J.J. Geoffroy, J.F. Ponge, Earthworms and collembola relationships: effects of
- 431 predatory centipedes and humus forms. Soil Biol. Biochem. 37 (2005) 487-495. DOI:
- 432 10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.08.011
- 433 [51] T. Grgic, I. Kos, Temperature preference in some centipede species of the genus *Lithobius*
- 434 Leach, 1814 (Chilopoda: Lithobiidae). Acta Biol. Slov. 44 (2002) 3-12.
- 435 [52] J.R. Chitty, Myriapods (centipedes and millipedes), in: G.A. Lewbart (Ed.), Invertebrate
 436 Medicine, Blackwell Publishing, Ames, 2006, pp. 195-203.
- 437 [53] T.L. Wagner, H.I. Wu, P.J. Sharpe, R.M. Schoolfield, R.N. Coulson, Modeling insect
- development rates: a literature review and application of a biophysical model, Ann. Entomol.
- 439 Soc. Am. 77 (1984) 208-220. DOI: 10.1093/aesa/77.2.208

- 440 [54] E.M. Stam, M.A. Van de Leemkule, G. Ernsting, Trade-offs in the life history and energy
- 441 budget of the parthenogenetic collembolan *Folsomia candida* (Willem), Oecologia 107
 442 (1996) 283-292. DOI: 10.1007/BF00328444
- 443 [55] N. Rodriguez-Ramirez, M. Santonja, V. Baldy, C. Ballini, N. Montès, Shrub species
- richness decreases negative impacts of drought in a Mediterranean ecosystem. J. Veg. Sci.
- 445 28 (2017) 985-996. DOI: 10.1111/jvs.12558
- 446 [56] L. Hughes, Biological consequences of global warming: is the signal already apparent?
 447 Trends Ecol. Evolut. 15 (2000) 56-61. DOI: 10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01764-4

Table 1. Output of the general linear model testing for the effects of temperature (15°C, 20°C and 25°C), SLA (low *vs.* high), predation (no predator *vs.* predator presence), and their interactions on Collembola abundance. d.f. = degrees of freedom. Chi-squared (χ^2) and associated *P*-values (with the respective symbols * for *P* < 0.05, ** for *P* < 0.01, and *** for *P* < 0.001) are indicated.

- 456
- 457

	d.f.	χ^2	P-value
Temperature (T)	2	121.3	***
SLA(S)	1	7.4	**
Predation (P)	1	22.2	***
$\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{S}$	2	0.1	
$\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{P}$	2	57.3	***
$\mathbf{S} \times \mathbf{P}$	1	12.4	***
$T\times S\times P$	2	8.9	*

458

460 **Table 2.** Collembola abundance (mean \pm SE; n = 7) according to temperature (15°C, 20°C and 461 25°C), SLA (low *vs.* high), and centipede predation (no predator *vs.* predator presence) 462 treatments.

Temperature	SLA	No predator	Predator
15°C	High	174.4 ± 13.9	142.7 ± 11.5
15°C	Low	155.7 ± 12.1	101.6 ± 8.9
20°C	High	222.7 ± 16.2	$175.0{\pm}9.0$
20°C	Low	195.3 ± 10.8	100.0 ± 7.1
25°C	High	144.0 ± 10.9	73.0 ± 7.4
25°C	Low	126.9 ± 8.7	38.1±7.3

466 Figures

467

Fig. 1. Effects of (a) temperature, (b) SLA and (c) predation treatments on Collembola abundance. Each bar represents the mean value \pm SE; n = 28 in (a) and n = 42 in (b) and (c). Different letters denote significant differences among treatments with a < b < c.



Fig. 2. Collembola abundance according to the Temperature × Predation interaction. Each bar 475 represents the mean value \pm SE; n = 14. Different letters denote significant differences among 476 treatments with a < b < c < d.



Fig. 3. Collembola abundance according to the SLA \times Predation interaction. Each bar 481 represents the mean value \pm SE; n = 21. Different letters denote significant differences among 482 treatments with a < b < c.

