



Functional incapacity related to rotator cuff syndrome in workers. Is it influenced by social characteristics and medical management?

Romain Champagne, Julie Bodin, Natacha Fouquet, Yves Roquelaure, Audrey Petit

► To cite this version:

Romain Champagne, Julie Bodin, Natacha Fouquet, Yves Roquelaure, Audrey Petit. Functional incapacity related to rotator cuff syndrome in workers. Is it influenced by social characteristics and medical management?. *Journal of Hand Therapy*, 2019, 32 (3), pp.322-327. 10.1016/j.jht.2017.10.009 . hal-01881594

HAL Id: hal-01881594

<https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01881594>

Submitted on 20 Jul 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Functional incapacity related to rotator cuff syndrome in workers. Is it influenced by social characteristics and medical management?

Romain Champagne (MD)^a, Julie Bodin (PhD)^a, Natacha Fouquet (PhD)^{a,b}, Yves Roquelaure (MD, PhD)^{a,c}, Audrey Petit* (MD, PhD)^{a,c}

^aINSERM, U1085, IRSET, ESTER Team, University of Angers, F-49045 Angers, France

^bSanté publique France, French national public health agency, Direction of Occupational Health, F-94415 Saint-Maurice, France

^cUniversity Hospital of Angers, Department of Occupational health, F-49933 Angers, France

*Corresponding author: Dr Audrey Petit

aupetit@chu-angers.fr

CHU Angers - Centre de consultations de pathologie professionnelle

4, rue Larrey 49933 Angers cedex 9, France

Tel: 0033 (0)2 41 35 49 81 - Fax: 0033 (0)2 47 35 34 48

Functional incapacity related to rotator cuff syndrome in workers. Is it influenced by sociodemographic characteristics and medical management?

ABSTRACT

Study design. Survey.

Introduction. Rotator cuff syndrome (RCS) is one of the most common musculoskeletal disorders (MSD) reported in workers. The functional incapacity related to RCS may vary according to the sociodemographic context and to the medical management.

Purpose of the study. To analyze the RCS-related functional incapacity assessed by the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) questionnaires in workers according to their sociodemographic characteristics and the use of care.

Methods. A cross-sectional study carried out on a French sample of workers diagnosed with RCS. The DASH and DASH-work scores were studied according to the sociodemographic factors, to the musculoskeletal symptoms and to the RCS medical management during the preceding 12-months.

Results. 207 workers who suffered from RCS filled out the questionnaire of which 80% were still working. The DASH score was significantly higher in women (24.0 *versus* 17.4; $p < 0.01$; effect size (d) = 0.39), in patients over the age of 50 (23.6 *vs* 11.3; $p < 0.005$) and in case of another upper limb MSD ($p < 0.0001$; $d \geq 0.4$). The DASH and DASH-work scores were significantly higher in case of use of care for RCS ($p < 0.005$; $d > 0.6$).

Discussion. The demographic factors and the RCS medical management influenced the overall incapacity assessed by the DASH Questionnaire. Work incapacity was more especially related to the use of care for RCS.

24 **Conclusion.** The sociodemographic and medical parameters added to other established
25 predictors could help guide clinicians in managing their patients.

26 **Keywords:** Rotator cuff syndrome, Functional incapacity, Workers, DASH questionnaire.

27 **Level of evidence:** Not applicable (descriptive survey).

28

MANUSCRIT

1. Introduction

Upper-extremity musculoskeletal disorders (UE-MSDs) are currently by far the most common occupational pathology in developed countries.^{1,2} The rotator cuff syndrome (RCS) is the second most frequent location of UE-MSDs after the wrist/hand locations. Whether caused and/or aggravated by working conditions, RCS is responsible for significant disability, sickness absence, and high economic and health care burden. The socio-economic consequences are numerous, both at the level of the individual and more generally at company and society levels.³ Moreover, the disability prognostic in workers with RCS is a complex phenomenon which can be related with several domains including sociodemographic factors, and medical characteristic and management.⁴⁻⁷

The assessment of functional incapacity and residual functional capacities of the upper limb allows assessing the impact of RCS on quality of life as well as on daily life and occupational activities; it also allows guiding RCS-related management. Only a few studies have investigated prognostic factors for UE-MSDs.⁶⁻⁸ Since early intervention produces better results, it would be highly beneficial to promptly identify workers at risk of greater disability and prolonged absence or work cessation, thus enabling targeted rehabilitation strategies. Functional assessment included objective and subjective parameters. Among the 16 questionnaires aimed at assessing the functional capacities of the shoulder, the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand Scale (DASH) is a relevant tool for evaluating subjective shoulder disability of injured workers with UE-MSDs and can also be used as a simple surveillance tool in an active working population.⁸⁻¹⁴

2. Purpose of the study

To provide a comprehensive overview of the current increased number of UE-MSDs in relation to work, the French National Public Health Agency (*Santé publique France*) has developed an experimental network for the epidemiological surveillance of UE-MSDs in a French region.¹⁵ The aim of the study was to describe and analyze the scores obtained with the DASH questionnaire according to the sociodemographic, and medical characteristics and management of workers diagnosed with a RCS.

3. Methods

3.1. The studied population

Between 2002 and 2005, 83 occupational physicians (OPs) from a French region were volunteered to participate in a sentinel network for the surveillance of MSDs;¹⁵ each of them randomly included 1 to 112 workers during the inclusion period (3 years). In order to be included, a worker had to be aged between 20 and 59, work in a private or public company located in our region, regardless of the type of employment contract and whether they suffered from MSD or not, and had to give consent. The workers filled out a self-administered questionnaire that assessed various sociodemographic, medical and occupational characteristics. A clinical examination was performed by the OPs, which could diagnose the main UE-MSDs including RCS, according to the clinical examination protocol of the European SALTSA consensus.¹⁶ That led to the diagnosis of RCS in 274 of the 3,710 workers (7.4 %) included in the study.

A follow-up self-questionnaire was sent and a follow-up medical of the workers initially included was undertaken between 2007-2010. The questionnaire was divided into two parts: 1) a general part that assessed sociodemographic characteristics and musculoskeletal symptoms through the Nordic questionnaire;^{17,18} 2) a part specifically aimed at workers suffering from a RCS at inclusion which included an assessment of the medical and surgical

treatment (including physician consultations, physiotherapy sessions and sick leave duration) related to the RCS and an assessment of the functional incapacity of the upper limb using the DASH questionnaires.

3.2. Variables of interest

3.2.1. Assessment tools

The DASH questionnaire is a self-administered questionnaire composed of 30 items, supplemented by one optional module containing four items relating to the impact of UE-MSDs at work (DASH-work questionnaire). This module is assessed separately from the first 30 items. Regarding the main questionnaire, at least 27 of 30 items must be completed in order to calculate the score. The four items of the work-related module must be completed for the calculation of the DASH-work score. Each item is graded according to a Likert scale (1: no difficulty; 2: slight difficulty; 3: average difficulty; 4: great difficulty; 5: impossible). The total calculated score ranges from 0 to 100; the higher the score, the greater the incapacity.¹⁷ For illustration purposes, the average DASH score for a general American population is 10.1/100.¹⁸

The Nordic questionnaire is a tool aimed at MSDs screening, created to answer the question: “Do musculoskeletal troubles occur in a given population, and if so, in what parts of the body are they localized?”.¹⁷ It is used in the study in the form of a self-administered questionnaire. It includes closed questions aimed specifically at various areas affected by MSDs. A human body is presented as a model divided into ten anatomical areas for which the symptoms (stiffness, pain, discomfort, numbness) are evaluated systematically over a given period (preceding 7-days or 12-months). The Nordic questionnaire is validated for the assessment of shoulder MSDs.²⁰

3.2.2. Risk factors

Regarding individual risk factors, age at follow-up was evaluated in three categories (under 40 years old; between 40 and 49; over 49 years old). This choice was made given that the prevalence and disability of RCS increases significantly after the age of 40, and even more so after the age of 50.²² The follow-up body mass index (BMI) was evaluated in three categories: underweight and normal weight ($\text{BMI} < 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$), overweight (BMI between 25 and 30 kg/m^2), obesity ($\text{BMI} \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$) according to the World Health Organization.

Regarding the medical management, evaluated aspects at follow-up were sick leaves, physician consultations, physiotherapy sessions and surgery in relation with the RCS during the preceding 12-months.

3.3. Statistical analyses

The DASH scores were evaluated on the basis of individual factors (age, gender, BMI), the presence (or the absence) of MSDs of the upper limb and the spine (shoulders, elbows, hands, fingers, neck, upper and lower back, hips) at follow-up, and the RCS medical management during the preceding 12-months. The DASH scores were compared using parametric (Student and ANOVA) and non-parametric (Wilcoxon and Kruskal-Wallis) tests with EpiInfo[®] software. The significance threshold was set at 0.05. Finally, the effect sizes (d) were calculated when parametric tests were used and percentages of difference were calculated when non-parametric tests were used.

Each subject provided informed written consent to participation in the study at baseline, and the study received approval from France's National Committee for data Protection (Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés), first in 2001 and then again in 2006.

4. Results

Among the 274 workers diagnosed for a RCS during the first phase of the study (2002-2005), 207 filled out the questionnaire of the second phase of the study at follow-up between 2007 and 2010. The DASH score could be calculated for 190 of them. One hundred and sixty-two workers reported shoulder's symptoms during the preceding 12-months (through the Nordic questionnaire) and 167 were still working during the second questionnaire; the DASH-work score could therefore be calculated for 154 workers (81.1%).

The population for which the DASH score could be calculated was formed of 55.3% of men. The subjects were mainly over the age of 50 (57.9%). Slightly over half of the subjects were overweight or obese (51.4 %). The averages of the DASH and DASH-work scores were 20.3 ± 16.6 and $20.5 \pm 19.9 / 100$, respectively.

The DASH score was significantly higher in women than in men (24.0 *versus* 17.0; $p=0.008$). The DASH score was significantly higher in subjects over the age of 40, and even higher in those over 50, compared to those under the age of 40 ($p=0.003$). However, no significant difference was found within the BMI categories. No significant difference was found for the DASH-work score according to individual factors (Table I).

The workers who reported an upper limb MSD during the preceding 12-months had a significantly higher DASH score, regardless of the anatomical affected area; this was not the case for low back pain. Moreover, we observed a very significant difference in the DASH score depending on whether the subjects did not show any shoulder symptom, showed isolated shoulder symptoms or showed shoulder symptoms as well as a different other area of the upper limb ($p<0.0001$) (Table II).

Finally, the DASH and DASH-work scores were significantly higher in workers who had consulted a physician ($p<0.001$) or a physiotherapist ($p<0.001$), or who benefited from one or

several sick leaves ($p < 0.001$) related to the shoulder symptoms during the previous 12-months. By contrast, there was no significant difference for the DASH and DASH-work scores depending on whether or not surgery had been performed on the shoulder (Tables III and IV).

5. Discussion

The present study, carried out within a large population of workers highlights higher DASH scores in women, in workers over the age of 40, those who suffered from upper limb MSDs (regardless of its location) and those who had sought medical treatment in relation with the RCS. However, the DASH score was not affected by overweight or any surgery performed on the shoulder. The DASH-work score was only affected by medical management (excluding surgery) related to the RCS, during the preceding 12-months.

The DASH-score averages observed in our population were overall lower than those observed in other populations of workers suffering from MSDs.^{8,23-25} This can be explained by the fact that our population was recruited through occupational medicine and mainly consisted of still working people at baseline. Conversely, the DASH and DASH-work scores observed in our population were overall higher than in general populations of workers (not specifically diagnosed with a MSD). This can be explained by the higher sensitivity of our diagnostic methods for MSDs (through the SALTSA standardized clinical examination).^{16,22}

A significantly higher DASH score in women (24.0 *versus* 17.4; $p < 0.01$; $d = 0.39$) confirms the data found in the literature.^{26,27} It could be explained by women's higher sensitivity to pain and the fact that they report functional incapacity more readily than men do.²⁸ Another hypothesis could be linked to the types of tasks performed depending on gender.²⁹ Unsurprisingly, the study confirms the impact of age on the DASH score.⁷ Indeed, in our study, the DASH score was twice as high for workers aged over 50 as for workers aged under

40 (23.6 *versus* 11.3; $p < 0.005$). The physiological aging of tissue combined with cumulative exposure to work constraints during the course of a career may explain this result. Moreover, aging causes tissue to adapt and recover slower following physical efforts.³⁰ Our results do not show a significant impact of BMI on the DASH scores. Indeed, it is more common to observe overweightness having an impact on the pain and functional incapacity of the lower limb and the lumbar spine.³¹ Previous Studies showed above all, the impact of obesity on the occurrence of MSDs as opposed to on the functional incapacity that is cause.^{32,33} Finally, this study did not allow measuring the impact of psychological factors on the DASH score. However, literature shows that focusing on depression has a significant impact on the variation of the DASH score, but its clinical relevance has not been established.^{34,35}

Regarding the DASH-work score, no significant relation has been shown with individual risk factors. This is undoubtedly explained by the purely work-related nature of the questions in this module. Moreover, the subjects who were not still working at the time of the second phase of the study were not taken into consideration for this score and yet, some of them could have been excluded from work due to a serious functional incapacity of the shoulder or retired on account of their age; these are conditions which are likely to influence the DASH-work score, but which have not been measured here. Furthermore, the level of functional incapacity felt by a worker can be decreased by using job retention measures (workstation layout, transfer to a different department, etc.), which lead to a decrease of the DASH-work score.⁷ This specific module has been studied to a relatively small extent in the literature and it could be more subjective than the rest of the DASH questionnaire on account of the potential impact of working conditions perceived by the worker on functional incapacity at work and therefore on the DASH-work score.³⁶

The results of the Nordic questionnaire have demonstrated a significant higher DASH score for workers suffering from MSDs that affects the upper limb and/or the upper back. This was

particularly true in case of upper limb disorder (26.0 *versus* 6.6; $p < 0.0001$). The DASH questionnaire is therefore a tool used for the overall assessment of the upper limb, sensitive, but not exclusively specific to the shoulder.¹⁰ One of the elements that could explain this weak specificity could be the usual interdependence between neck pain and shoulder pain, as well as the projection of neck pains onto the upper limb and conversely.³⁷ Nevertheless, the DASH questionnaire remains a valid tool in the case of neck pain.^{10,37} The projection of referred pain of the upper limb probably also explains why we observe higher DASH scores in the case of multiple MSDs of the upper limb.²²

Our results highlight a significant increase in DASH and DASH-work scores for workers who consulted a physician or a physiotherapist, or who were placed on sick leaves in relation with shoulder's symptoms. This supports the hypothesis that workers who feel a greater functional incapacity (measured by DASH and DASH-work scores) are also the ones who most often seek medical treatment.⁶ Workers who were on sick leave had the highest DASH and DASH-work scores (40.5 *versus* 18.7; $p < 0.001$ and 49.4 *versus* 19.1; $p = 0.001$, respectively). However, our results must be interpreted with caution on account of the small number of subjects, and more especially for shoulder surgery.

Finally, the self-administered questionnaire, despite the sources of bias associated with it, is undoubtedly the best approach in assessing perceived functional incapacity, which is therefore subjective. This approach, used alongside an objective clinical assessment of physical incapacity, is essential to the overall understanding of the impact of the RCS.

6. Conclusions

The study highlights the clinical relevance of DASH scores, including in case of multiple disorders of the upper limb, and the use of these scores on a large sample of workers shows the feasibility of using them as part of routine practice.^{13,14,39} Our study confirms the impact

of sociodemographic and, medical characteristics and management on RCS-related functional incapacity assessed by the DASH tool in workers. These results have clinical and research implications since these parameters added to other established predictors could help predict the functional incapacity degree and even could help predict stay at work in individuals with UE-MDSs. Moreover, the DASH tool could potentially help guide clinicians in determining early interventions for those patients.

Acknowledgements

We thank the 81 occupational physicians involved in the sentinel network and Santé Publique France, the French national public health agency.

References

1. Walker-Bone K, Palmer KT, Reading I, Coggon D, Cooper C. Prevalence and impact of musculoskeletal disorders of the upper limb in the general population. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2004;51:642e651.
2. Huisstede BMA, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, Koes BW, Verhaar JAN. Incidence and prevalence of upper-extremity musculoskeletal disorders. A systematic appraisal of the literature. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2006;7:7.
3. Kuijpers T, van der Windt DA, van der Heijden GJ, Bouter LM. Systematic review of prognostic cohort studies on shoulder disorders. *Pain.* 2004 Jun;109(3):420-31.
4. Bhatia S, Piasecki DP, Nho SJ, et al. Early return to work in workers' compensation patients after arthroscopic full-thickness rotator cuff repair. *Arthroscopy.* 2010;26(8):1027e1034.
5. Desmeules F, Braën C, Lamontagne M, Dionne CE, Roy JS. Determinants and predictors of absenteeism and return-to-work in workers with shoulder disorders. *Work.* 2016;55(1):101e113.
6. Sandford FM, Sanders TAB, Lewis JS. Exploring experiences, barriers, and enablers to home- and class-based exercise in rotator cuff tendinopathy: A qualitative study. *J Hand Ther.* 2017;30(2):193-199.
7. Raman J, Walton D, MacDermid JC, Athwal GS. Predictors of outcomes after rotator cuff repair-A meta-analysis. *J Hand Ther.* 2017;30(3):276-292.
8. Armijo-Olivo S, Woodhouse LJ, Steenstra IA, Gross DP. Predictive value of the DASH tool for predicting return to work of injured workers with musculoskeletal disorders of the upper extremity. *Occup Environ Med.* 2016;73(12):807e815.

- 252 9. Bot SDM, Terwee CB, van der Windt D a. WM, Bouter LM, Dekker J, de Vet HCW.
253 Clinimetric evaluation of shoulder disability questionnaires: a systematic review of the
254 literature. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2004;63(4):335–41.
- 255 10. Fan ZJ, Smith CK, Silverstein BA. Assessing validity of the QuickDASH and SF-12 as
256 surveillance tools among workers with neck or upper extremity musculoskeletal disorders. *J*
257 *Hand Ther*. 2008;21(4):354-65.
- 258 11. Desai AS, Dramis A, Hearnden AJ. Critical appraisal of subjective outcome measures
259 used in the assessment of shoulder disability. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2010 Jan;92(1):9–13.
- 260 12. Angst F, Schwyzer H-K, Aeschlimann A, Simmen BR, Goldhahn J. Measures of adult
261 shoulder function: Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand Questionnaire (DASH) and its
262 short version (QuickDASH), Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI), American
263 Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) Society standardized shoulder assessment form,
264 Constant (Murley) Score (CS), Simple Shoulder Test (SST), Oxford Shoulder Score (OSS),
265 Shoulder Disability Questionnaire (SDQ), and Western Ontario Shoulder Instability Index
266 (WOSI). *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2011;63 Suppl 11:S174–88.
- 267 13. Moshe S, Izhaki R, Chodick G, Segal N, Yagev Y, Finestone AS, Juven Y. Predictors of
268 return to work with upper limb disorders. *Occup Med (Lond)*. 2015;65(7):564-9
- 269 14. Kennedy CA, Beaton DE. A user's survey of the clinical application and content validity
270 of the DASH (Disability of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand) outcome measure. *J Hand Ther*.
271 2017;30(1):30-40.
- 272 15. Ha C, Roquelaure Y, Leclerc A, Touranchet A, Goldberg M, Imbernon E. The French
273 Musculoskeletal Disorders Surveillance Program: Pays de la Loire network. *Occup Environ*
274 *Med*. 2009 Jul;66(7):471–9.

- 275 16. Sluiter JK, Rest KM, Frings-Dresen MH. Criteria document for evaluating the work-
276 relatedness of upper-extremity musculoskeletal disorders. *Scand J Work Environ Health*.
277 2001;27 Suppl 1:1–102.
- 278 17. Kuorinka I, Jonsson B, Kilbom A, Vinterberg H, Biering-Sørensen F, Andersson G, et al.
279 Standardised Nordic questionnaires for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms. *Appl*
280 *Ergon*. 1987;18(3):233–7.
- 281 18. Hagberg M, Silverstein B, Wells R, Smith M, Hendrick H, Cararyon P, et al.
282 Identification, measurement and evaluation of risk. In: *Work-Related Musculoskeletal*
283 *Disorders - A Manual for Prevention*. Taylor & Francis; 1995. p. 139–212.
- 284 19. Hudak PL, Amadio PC, Bombardier C. Development of an upper extremity outcome
285 measure: the DASH (disabilities of the arm, shoulder and hand) [corrected]. The Upper
286 Extremity Collaborative Group (UECG). *Am J Ind Med*. 1996;29(6):602–8.
- 287 20. Hunsaker FG, Cioffi DA, Amadio PC, Wright JG, Caughlin B. The American academy of
288 orthopaedic surgeons outcomes instruments: normative values from the general population. *J*
289 *Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2002;84-A(2):208–15.
- 290 21. Palmer K, Smith G, Kellingray S, Cooper C. Repeatability and validity of an upper limb
291 and neck discomfort questionnaire: the utility of the standardized Nordic questionnaire.
292 *Occup Med (Lond)*. 1999;49(3):171–5.
- 293 21. Roquelaure Y, Ha C, Leclerc A, Touranchet A, Sauteron M, Melchior M, et al.
294 Epidemiologic surveillance of upper-extremity musculoskeletal disorders in the working
295 population. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2006;55(5):765–78.
- 296 23. Dupeyron A, Gelis A, Sablayrolles P, Bousquet P-J, Julia M, Herisson C, et al.
297 Heterogeneous assessment of shoulder disorders: validation of the Standardized Index of
298 Shoulder Function. *J Rehabil Med*. 2010;42(10):967–72.

- 299 24. Pichora D, Grant H. Upper extremity injured workers stratified by current work status: an
300 examination of health characteristics, work limitations and work instability. *Int J Occup*
301 *Environ Med.* 2010;1(3):124–31.
- 302 25. House R, Wills M, Liss G, Switzer-McIntyre S, Lander L, Jiang D. DASH work module
303 in workers with hand-arm vibration syndrome. *Occup Med (Lond).* 2012;62(6):448–50.
- 304 26. Camargo PR, Haik MN, Ludewig PM, Filho RB, Mattiello-Rosa SMG, Salvini TF.
305 Effects of strengthening and stretching exercises applied during working hours on pain and
306 physical impairment in workers with subacromial impingement syndrome. *Physiother Theory*
307 *Pract.* 2009;25(7):463–75.
- 308 27. Kim KS, Kim MG. Gender-related Factors Associated with Upper Extremity Function in
309 Workers. *Saf Health Work.* 2010;1(2):158–66.
- 310 28. Fillingim RB, King CD, Ribeiro-Dasilva MC, Rahim-Williams B, Riley JL. Sex, gender,
311 and pain: a review of recent clinical and experimental findings. *J Pain.* 2009;10(5):447–85.
- 312 29. Messing K, Stock SR, Tissot F. Should studies of risk factors for musculoskeletal
313 disorders be stratified by gender? Lessons from the 1998 Québec Health and Social Survey.
314 *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2009;35(2):96–112.
- 315 30. Kennedy CA, Manno M, Hogg-Johnson S, Haines T, Hurley L, McKenzie D, et al.
316 Prognosis in soft tissue disorders of the shoulder: predicting both change in disability and
317 level of disability after treatment. *Phys Ther.* 2006;86(7):1013–32; discussion 1033–7.
- 318 31. Viester L, Verhagen EALM, Oude Hengel KM, Koppes LLJ, van der Beek AJ, Bongers
319 PM. The relation between body mass index and musculoskeletal symptoms in the working
320 population. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2013;14:238.

32. Kortt M, Baldry J. The association between musculoskeletal disorders and obesity. *Aust Health Rev.* 2002;25(6):207–14.
33. Murray CJL, Vos T, Lozano R, Naghavi M, Flaxman AD, Michaud C, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380(9859):2197–223.
34. Calderón SAL, Zurakowski D, Davis JS, Ring D. Quantitative Adjustment of the Influence of Depression on the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH) Questionnaire. *Hand (N Y).* 2010;5(1):49–55.
35. Roh YH, Lee BK, Noh JH, Oh JH, Gong HS, Baek GH. Effect of depressive symptoms on perceived disability in patients with chronic shoulder pain. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2012;132(9):1251–7.
36. Dale AM, Gardner BT, Buckner-Petty S, Kaskutas V, Strickland J, Evanoff B. Responsiveness of a 1-Year Recall Modified DASH Work Module in Active Workers with Upper Extremity Musculoskeletal Symptoms. *JOR.* 2015;25(3):638–47.
37. Gorski JM, Schwartz LH. Shoulder impingement presenting as neck pain. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2003;85-A(4):635–8.
38. Huisstede BMA, Feleus A, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Verhaar JA, Koes BW. Is the disability of arm, shoulder, and hand questionnaire (DASH) also valid and responsive in patients with neck complaints. *Spine.* 2009;34(4):E130–8.
39. Kitis A, Celik E, Aslan UB, Zencir M. DASH questionnaire for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms in industry workers: a validity and reliability study. *Appl Ergon.* 2009;40(2):251–5.

345 **Table I. DASH et DASH-work scores according to individual characteristics at follow-up.**

	N	Score	SD	Median	P value
DASH score					
Gender					0,008*
Female	85	24,0	16,8	19,2	
Male	105	17,4	16,4	13,0	
Age					0,003**
< 40 years	22	11,3	9,2	8,8	
40 - 49 years	58	17,6	16,2	14,0	
≥ 50 years	110	23,6	17,6	18,3	
BMI					0, 752**
< 25 kg/m ²	90	19,5	16,5	15,5	
25 – 30 kg/m ²	67	20,9	15,4	17,5	
≥ 30 kg/m ²	28	22,6	21,3	14,2	
DASH-work score					
Gender					0,150*
Female	69	23,6	25,7	12,5	
Male	85	17,9	23,7	6,3	
Age					0,231**
< 40 years	21	15,5	25,4	0,0	
40 - 49 years	55	17,8	22,0	6,3	
≥ 50 years	78	23,6	26,2	18,8	

DASH for workers suffering from RCS

BMI

0,235**

< 25 kg/m ²	75	18,3	25,6	6,3
25 – 30 kg/m ²	54	23,3	23,2	18,8
≥ 30 kg/m ²	21	20,5	24,9	6,3

346 * Test de Student

** Test de Kruskal-Wallis

347

348 **Table II. DASH scores according to the presence of musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) reported**
 349 **on the Nordic questionnaire at follow-up.**

MSDs location	N	Score	SD	Median	P value*
Neck/nape					0,001
No	77	15,6	14,8	12,5	
Yes	113	23,6	17,5	18,3	
Shoulder/arm with elbow/forearm and/or hand/wrist					<0,0001**
No	41	6,6	7,8	2,5	
Shoulder alone	47	20,0	15,3	16,7	
Shoulder with another location of MSD	102	26,0	17,1	22,9	
Shoulder/arm					<0,001
No	41	6,6	7,8	2,5	
Yes	149	24,1	16,7	19,2	
Elbow/forearm					0,009
No	119	17,9	15,4	15,0	
Yes	71	24,5	18,4	18,3	
Hand/wrist					<0,001
No	97	14,8	14,1	11,7	
Yes	93	26,2	17,6	20,8	
Fingers					<0,001
No	123	15,9	14,1	13,4	
Yes	67	28,5	18,5	24,2	
Upper back					0,004
No	125	17,8	17,0	13,3	
Yes	65	25,2	15,7	20,8	
Lower back					0,163
No	69	18,1	17,8	13,8	
Yes	121	21,6	16,3	17,5	
Hip/thigh					0,004
No	134	18,1	16,3	14,4	
Yes	56	25,8	17,1	20,8	

DASH for workers suffering from RCS

350 * Test de Student ** Test de Kruskal-Wallis
351

352 **Table III. DASH scores according to RCS medical management during the preceding 12-**
 353 **months.**

	N	Score	SD	Median	P value
Physician consultation					<0,001*
No	92	14,7	12,8	12,5	
Yes	76	30,5	18,0	28,9	
Number of consultations					
1	16	21,0	17,2	15,7	
2 or 3	30	29,2	17,3	25,8	
> 3	16	42,5	15,6	45,4	
Physiotherapy session					<0,001*
No	118	16,9	14,6	14,4	
Yes	48	32,7	17,4	31,4	
Number of sessions					
< 5	15	27,5	17,4	20,5	
5-15	12	31,8	17,8	26,7	
> 15	10	42,9	13,6	44,6	
Sick leave					<0,001**
No	149	18,7	15,1	16,7	
Yes	15	40,5	17,8	43,3	
Sick leave duration					
< 30 days	4	41,6	19,9	48,8	
30 – 55 days	4	30,0	17,1	25,9	
> 55 days	3	42,2	20,5	44,2	
Shoulder surgery					0,225**
No	155	20,9	16,2	18,1	
Yes	14	29,8	23,0	20,0	

354 * Test de Student ** Test de Wilcoxon

355

356 **Table IV. DASH-work scores according to RCS medical management during the preceding 12-**
 357 **months.**

	N	score	SD	Median	P value
Physician consultation					<0,001*
No	80	15,0	21,8	6,3	
Yes	58	30,5	27,2	25,0	
Number of consultations					
1	12	16,1	22,2	9,4	
2 - 3	26	33,4	29,6	28,1	
> 3	10	39,4	23,8	50,0	
Physiotherapy session					0,002*
No	105	17,6	23,0	6,3	
Yes	34	32,9	28,6	28,1	
Number of sessions					
< 5	13	34,6	27,4	31,3	
5 - 15	8	25,0	20,6	21,9	
> 15	6	51,0	34,8	53,1	
Sick leave					0,001**
No	127	19,1	23,4	12,5	
Yes	11	49,4	30,4	50,0	
Sick leave duration					
< 30 days	3	66,7	14,4	75,0	
30 - 55 days	4	37,5	32,3	37,5	
> 55 days	2	40,6	13,3	40,6	
Shoulder surgery					0,210**
No	130	22,3	25,6	12,5	
Yes	8	10,2	17,0	3,1	

358 * Test de Student

** Test de Wilcoxon