

A pre-operative scoring system for adnexal mass in children and adolescents to preserve their future fertility

C Depoers, F A Lebaccon, K Nyangoh Timoh, J Morcet, M Proisy, S Henno,

V Lavoue, a P Arnaud

► To cite this version:

C Depoers, F A Lebaccon, K Nyangoh Timoh, J Morcet, M Proisy, et al.. A pre-operative scoring system for adnexal mass in children and adolescents to preserve their future fertility. Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology, 2019, 32 (1), pp.57-63. 10.1016/j.jpag.2018.08.009 . hal-01880073

HAL Id: hal-01880073 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01880073

Submitted on 28 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

A pre-operative scoring system for adnexal mass in children and adolescents to preserve their future fertility

C. Depoers, MD, F.A. Lebaccon, MD, K. Nyangoh Timoh, MD, J. Morcet, PhD, M. Proisy, MD, S. Henno, MD, V. Lavoue, MD PhD, A.P. Arnaud, MD

PII: S1083-3188(18)30303-6

DOI: 10.1016/j.jpag.2018.08.009

Reference: PEDADO 2273

To appear in: Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology

Received Date: 11 February 2018

Revised Date: 28 August 2018

Accepted Date: 30 August 2018

Please cite this article as: Depoers C, Lebaccon F, Nyangoh Timoh K, Morcet J, Proisy M, Henno S, Lavoue V, Arnaud AP, A pre-operative scoring system for adnexal mass in children and adolescents to preserve their future fertility, *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.jpag.2018.08.009.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1	A pre-operative scoring system for adnexal mass in children and adolescents to preserve
2	their future fertility
3	
4	C.Depoers ¹ , MD, FA.Lebaccon ^{1, 2} , MD, K.Nyangoh Timoh ¹ , MD, J.Morcet ³ , PhD, M.Proisy ⁴ ,
5	MD, S.Henno ⁵ , MD, V.Lavoue ⁶ , MD, PhD, A.P.Arnaud ⁷ , MD *.
6	
7	Study was undertaken in Rennes, France.
8	
9	1. Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Service de gynécologie, F-35000 Rennes, France
10	2. Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Service de chirurgie pédiatrique, F-35000 Rennes, France
11	3. Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Centre d'investigation clinique, INSERM 1414, F-35000
12	Rennes, France
13	4. Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Service de radiologie pédiatrique, F-35000 Rennes, France
14	5. Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Service d'anatomie pathologique, F-35000 Rennes, France
15	6. Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Service de gynécologie, Inserm, U1242, F-35000 Rennes,
16	France
17	7. Univ Rennes, CHU Rennes, Service de chirurgie pédiatrique, Inra, Inserm, Institut NU-
18	MECAN – UMR_A 1341, UMR_S 1241, F-35000 Rennes, France
19	

20 All authors have no conflict of interest. The study was performed without any funding.

21

- 22 *Corresponding author (and for request for reprints and galley proofs):
- 23 Alexis P Arnaud, MD.
- 24 Telephone number: 0033 299265930
- 25 Fax number: 0033 299265932
- 26 E-mail: <u>alexis.arnaud@chu-rennes.fr</u>
- 27 Service de chirurgie pédiatrique, CHU Hôpital Sud
- 28 16 Bd de Bulgarie
- 29 35203 Rennes
- 30 France
- 31
- 32
- **Word count:** 3192

34 Abstract

35 **STUDY OBJECTIVE**: To develop a predictive score for ovarian malignancy to avoid 36 unnecessary adnexectomy in cases of adnexal mass in pediatric and adolescent girls.

37 DESIGN: A population-based retrospective study on girls who underwent surgery for an
38 ovarian mass with normal levels of human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG) and alpha
39 fœtoprotein (aFP) between 1996 and 2016.

40 **SETTING**: Rennes University Hospital, Rennes, France.

41 **PARTICIPANTS:** Eighty-one patients operated on for ovarian tumor.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES: The main outcome measure was the rate of malignant and
borderline tumor. A pre-operative scoring system was constructed after multivariate analysis.

RESULTS: The rate of malignant ovarian tumor was 7%, borderline tumor was 9% (i.e., 44 outcome measure: 16%), and benign tumor was 84%. In a univariate analysis, the 45 46 characteristics significantly associated with malignancy were early puberty, palpable mass, size and content of the tumor, and positive epithelial tumor markers [CA 125, CEA, and CA 47 48 19-9]. The predictive malignancy score was based on two variables obtained after multivariate analysis: tumor size and cystic content. The score defined 3 groups at risk for malignancy: 49 low risk, middle-risk and high-risk. The sensitivity for detecting malignancy was 1.3% 50 (95%CI: 0.1–18.4), 26.2% (95%CI: 11.6-49.0) and 53.1% (95%CI: 29.1–75.8), respectively. 51

52 **CONCLUSION**: We set up a simple predictive score of malignancy based on objective 53 criteria to help decision making on whether or not ovarian-sparing surgery is feasible in case 54 of children and adolescents with ovarian tumors and normal hCG and aFP levels while 55 ensuring oncologic safety.

56

57 Key words: Adnexal mass, children, adolescent, surgery, ovarian malignancy, score, ovarian
58 preservation.

59 INTRODUCTION

Ovarian tumors in children and adolescents are rare, with an estimated incidence in girls of 60 $2.2/100,000^{1-3}$. The majority of ovarian tumors in this population are benign and often 61 organic; only 10-20% are malignant ^{4,5}. Among children and adolescents, only 1% of pediatric 62 cancers are malignant tumors of the ovary ^{4–9}. The age of the child does not change the risk of 63 malignant ovarian tumors. Germ-cell tumors represent most ovarian tumors and include 64 mature benign teratomas (dermoid cysts)¹⁰. Overall, patients with malignant germ-cell tumors 65 have a good prognosis ¹¹. Sex-cord stromal tumors are regularly seen in pediatrics. The 66 prognosis of these tumors is related to the initial surgery, which must be complete 1^{12} . 67

The discovery of an ovarian mass in a child presents a dilemma regarding the optimal 68 treatment. An immediate oophorectomy, or adnexectomy, has the best oncological safety, 69 whereas an ovarian cystectomy better preserves the patient's fertility. Indeed, an ovariectomy 70 or adnexectomy in childhood is correlated with a lower spontaneous pregnancy rate (45,5%) 71 ^{13,14} and premature ovarian failure ¹⁵, which is an ongoing concern. Of note, in Western 72 countries, because of societal evolution, pregnancy occurs later, with 22% of births occurring 73 in women over 35 years of age ¹⁶. Thus, women who underwent ovariectomy in childhood are 74 particularly vulnerable to ovarian failure at the time of conception, and ovarian preservation 75 in children is crucial to protect their future fertility. However, oncologic surgery remains 76 mandatory for the treatment of ovarian cancer to avoid compromising an otherwise good 77 prognosis. Indeed, the main risk of ovarian-sparing surgery in case of malignant germ cell 78 tumor is either spillage or recurrence on the preserved parenchyma^{10,17}. 79

The rates of conservative surgery for ovarian mass in pediatric patients are from 18% to 72% ^{1,18-20} depending on surgeon habits and cohort studies, reflecting the fact that a majority of benign adnexal masses in children are removed via oophorectomy. Preoperative analysis of the lesion remains crucial for tailoring surgical management, i.e., appropriately choosing

between oophorectomy and ovarian conservative management ²¹. Although International
Ovarian Tumor Analysis (IOTA) classification for adnexal masses is available for adult
women ²², there are no objective criteria or reproducible tools to preoperatively predict the
risk of malignancy in children with an adnexal mass.

88 The aim of this study was to determine the predictive factors of malignancy in pediatric pa-

tients with an adnexal mass and to develop a simple score for predicting malignancy.

- 90
- 91
- 92

93 METHODS

94 Objective and design of the study

95 This was a population-based retrospective study conducted from January 1996 to April 2016 in a tertiary hospital (Rennes Teaching Hospital, France). Inclusion criteria were patients aged 96 0 to 18 years with a diagnosis of ovarian mass who underwent surgical treatment. Exclusion 97 criteria were positive germinal tumor markers: alpha-fetoprotein (α FP) > 10 ng/mL, human 98 chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) > 5 mU/mL or a functional follicle on sonography or 99 pathological analysis. Indeed, positive germinal tumor markers are always associated with a 100 malignant germ cell tumor 4,11,23,24 . We differentiated germinal tumor markers (α FP and HCG) 101 from epithelial tumor markers (cancer antigen (CA) 125 (CA125), carcinoembryonic antigens 102 (CEA), and CA 19-9). 103

104 The main outcome measure was defined as the finding of ovarian cancer or a borderline 105 ovarian tumor on the final pathological analysis because these findings usually required 106 adnexectomy. Ovarian borderline lesions were diagnosed upon pathological analysis 107 according to the 2014 World Human Organization criteria ²⁵, as was ovarian cancer. All final 108 pathological analyses were reviewed by a certified pathologist (SH).

109 This study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board (CEROG 2016-GYN-1003).

110 **Data collection**

111 The medical database from the pediatric surgery department was used to select the patients. 112 The data were collected from the patients' medical records, which were stored in the 113 hospital's archiving system. Data regarding the patient's age at diagnosis, hormonal status 114 (puberty, defined by the presence of a menstrual cycle), medical history, and clinical 115 symptoms were collected. According to the symptoms, a palpable mass was defined as the

palpation of a mass by the patient or her physician, or as an increase in the abdominalperimeter observed by the patient.

The characteristics of the ovarian lesions were obtained from preoperative imaging 118 119 [ultrasound, abdomino-pelvic computed tomography (CT) and/or pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)] and described according to the International Ovarian Tumor Analysis (IOTA) 120 classification²² for pelvic ultrasound using the following ten criteria: maximum diameter of 121 the mass, the presence of a septum, the regularity of the wall, type of cyst (multilocular, solid 122 multilocular, unilocular, solid unilocular, solid), cyst content, solid papillary projection, 123 posterior shadow cone, Doppler signal strength, and the presence of ascites or a peritoneal 124 125 implant. All preoperative imaging were reviewed by a certified specialist in the imaging of the female reproductive system who was blind to the final pathological analysis. 126

127 Data regarding elevated levels of tumor markers [α FP > 10 ng/ml, HCG > 5 mU/ml, CEA > 128 30 µg/L, cancer antigen CA125 > 35 U/ml, and CA19-9 > 37 U/ml], the type of surgery 129 performed, association with an adnexal torsion, complications of the surgical procedure, and 130 pathological findings were also collected.

131 The lesions were classified as benign and non-benign comprising borderline and malignant132 tumours.

133 Statistical analysis

For quantitative variables, data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) if normally distributed and median (min-max) if not normally distributed. For qualitative variables, data were expressed as n (%). Comparisons between groups were performed using t-tests for normally distributed variables, Wilcoxon for non-normally distributed variables and chi-square test or fisher exact test for categorical variables. A forward logistic regression analysis was applied to the statistically significant variables to test their association with malignancy. All

140	variables for which statistical significance was <0.2 were introduced into models. Optimal
141	cut-off values were obtained by optimization of the Younden index from AUROC curve anal-
142	ysis. The predictive malignancy score was constructed from variables derived from the mul-
143	tivariate analysis. Sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value (NPV), positive predictive
144	value (PPV) were also calculated. A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
145	Statistical analyses were performed using SAS V9.4 (SAS Institute, USA).
146	
147	
148	
149	
150	
151	
152	
153	
154	
155	
156	
157	
158	
159	
160	
161	

162 **RESULTS**

163 An adnexal mass was detected in 89 children during the study period. One patient was ex-164 cluded because of follicle diagnosis, and seven others were excluded because of high levels of 165 serum α FP and HCG, which are known positive markers of germinal tumor (figure 1). All 166 seven of these patients underwent ovariectomy surgery and final ovarian germinal tumor di-167 agnosis. Finally, 81 patients were included in the study.

168

169 **Descriptive data**

The characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. The mean (\pm standard deviation) age of the population was 13.1 (\pm 4.7) years, without significant difference between the two groups (p=0.40). There were 36 (44%) right ovarian masses and 45 (56%) left ovarian masses. There were 4 (31%) malignant tumors and 26 (38%) benign tumors among the pre-pubescent patients (p=0.76).

Laparotomy was performed in 20 patients (25%), while 61 (75%) underwent laparoscopic
surgery, including 22 conversions to laparotomy. Among these 22 patients with conversions,
one tumor was borderline, and 21 were benign (7 adnexal masses had a size > 100 mm).
Finally, 42 (52%) laparotomies were performed (32 horizontal and 10 median laparotomies)
for 30 benign tumors (44.1%) and 12 malignant tumors (92.3%) (p<0.0001).

- Of note, there were 14 adnexal torsions, all due to a benign mass. Ten underwent a non-conservative treatment and 4 either tumorectomy or isolated adnexal detorsion.
- The pathological results are presented in Table 2. Briefly, seven patients had an epithelial borderline ovarian tumor and six had ovarian cancer (five non-epithelial tumors and one epithelial tumor). Thus, 13 (16%) of the 81 patients had an adnexal tumor that required oncologic surgical treatment, i.e., non-conservative treatment (primary outcome measure).

186 In the present study, all patients with malignant tumors were treated with non-conservative 187 surgery (oophorectomy or adnexectomy), and 3 out of the 7 patients with borderline tumors 188 underwent cystectomy.

189 Data analysis

Symptoms were significantly associated with malignancy (p=0.01), especially early pubertyand palpable mass (Table 1).

Preoperative ultrasound was performed for 79 patients; 24 patients underwent CT, and 22 underwent MRI. The size of the lesion was significantly associated with malignancy (p < 0.0003) as all malignant lesions exceeded 65 mm in diameter with a mean size of 166.1 mm (70.3) vs. 86.3 mm (63.9) for benign lesions in the univariate analysis. A lesion size > 100 mm following the IOTA classification's cut-off was correlated with ovarian cancer (p = 0.003) as determinate in a univariate analysis (Table 1).

Among the morphological criteria used in echography to characterize a malignant tumor, the type of ovarian mass was significantly associated with malignancy (p=0.002). In this study, a solid multilocular mass was identified in 5/13 (38%) patients with malignant tumors vs. 5/68 (7%) patients with benign tumors (Table 1).

Tumor markers were significantly associated with ovarian malignancy (p = 0.009) and were measured in 64/81 (79%) patients. Tumor markers were elevated in 7/13 (54%) patients with malignant tumors vs. 9/51 (17%) patients with benign tumors.

Based on 72 patients who underwent preoperative sonography, the multivariate analysis defined two predictive factors of malignancy and borderline tumor: the size of the tumor (<65mm, between 65-130mm, >130mm) and the ultrasound aspect of the tumor (unilocular cystic tumor or not) (Table 3).

209 Malignancy scoring system

A predictive score for malignancy (Table 4) was constructed using the two variables associated with malignant ovarian tumor or borderline tumor derived from the multivariate analysis. A receiver operating curve (ROC) was plotted to determine the sensitivity and specificity of the score (Figure 2). The area under the curve (AUC) of the ROC was 0.88 [0.80-0.95].

Following the IOTA recommendations with a single cut-off at 100mm, the multivariate Odd Ratio was 7.68 (1.96-30.07). To maximize the statistical significance of the "lesion size" variable we selected two cut-offs: 65mm and 130mm. The choice of two cut-off points to define a population at low risk (<65mm) and a population at high risk (>130mm) provided a clinical prediction rule with a good diagnostic performance: the low risk cut-off point defined a model with a sensitivity of 100% and the high risk cut-off point defined a model with a specificity of 88%.

The score was constructed from the logistic model coefficients (Table 4). Using a score cutoff >25, the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value for predicting malignancy were 100%, 63%, 37% and 100%, respectively.

225 Clinical utility of the score

The score stratified the population in 3 groups at low-risk, middle-risk and high-risk of malig-226 nancy. This was applied to our study population following the rules that low-risk was due to 227 228 ovarian-sparing surgery, high-risk to non-sparing surgery and middle-risk to further investiga-229 tions or discussion. This resulted in 46 (57%) patients who should be spared adnexectomies, 16 (20%) patients who would have been referred for non-conservative treatment, and 19 230 231 (23%) who would have required more investigations and discussion on the risk and benefits of each option. This led to 80% of non-radical first line treatment (conservative treatment or 232 implement investigations). 233

234 **DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we created a simple score for predicting malignancy in children and 235 adolescents with adnexal mass and therefore helping to determine whether conservative or 236 radical surgery is the optimal treatment strategy. We built a scoring system using factors 237 found in the multivariate analysis: tumor size and cyst component. This tool provides ensured 238 oncologic safety while preserving fertility. In our series, fertility would be directly spared in 239 57% of children with adnexal mass, and 23% of the patients would require more 240 investigations before taking the decision on ovarian sparing surgery. All patients with ovarian 241 cancer (borderline or invasive) would undergo either non-conservative surgical treatment or 242 continuation of the work-up, which is, at present day, the correct oncologic decision. 243

Some weaknesses of present study must be mentioned, particularly in relation to the misinterpretation of data, classification bias, or missing data because of the study's retrospective nature. Nevertheless, our results are similar to those previously reported in populations ranking from 41 to 112 patients with a malignancy prevalence of malignancy between 10 to 20%. This prevalence might vary depending on the age at screening and the availability of tertiary screening centers. Conservative surgery ratios vary between 15% and 87% according to recent studies ^{1,18–20,26}.

251 Many studies have sought to identify predictive characteristics to guide the decision of conservative vs. radical surgery $^{17,27-30}$. The detection of α FP and HCG (marker of germinal tu-252 mor) in blood testing strongly indicated that the tumor is malignant, which is why patients 253 with positive germinal markers were excluded from our study because required non-254 conservative surgical treatment for oncologic purpose. To predict whether a tumor might be 255 benign or malignant, its characteristics are determined using pelvic echography or MRI. For 256 example, tumors > 7.5-8 cm are at high risk of being malignant, according to published data 257 ^{19,26,27,31}. The threshold varies depending on the studies and specificity and sensitivity levels 258

chosen: in the present study, a tumor size correlated with malignancy was 65 mm or larger (as much as 100 mm or more). As opposed to adult women, there is no classification dedicated to the pediatric population to differentiate benign from malignant tumors based on their ultrasound features (or MRI features). Thus, in present study, we used IOTA classification to sort and interpret preoperative sonography pictures ^{22,32}. Using the simple rules proposed by the IOTA classification, our study showed first that solid multilocular ovarian mass is significantly associated with malignancy in children.

The Ueland Index, described by Stankovick, is an ultrasonographic algorithm tool specific to 266 the pediatric population ^{33,34}. Its two criteria are the volume and structural characteristics of 267 the tumor. A tumor is considered benign if it is < 5 cm and malignant if > 7 cm in diameter. 268 This tool predicted the risk of malignancy with a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 94%. 269 In another recent study, Stankovic showed that discrimination between benign and malignant 270 271 tumors in pediatric and adolescent patients was greater with the Ueland index than with the search for the ovarian crescent sign (OCS). Indeed, there is a lack of reproducibility in the 272 ultrasonographic search for the OCS 35 , as it is difficult to spot when the mass is > 5 cm in 273 abdominal echography; therefore, it is unreliable as a discriminating sign. Nevertheless, the 274 Ueland Index had some weakness because it was determined as an algorithm and not based on 275 a statistical tool, such as logistic regression, as our proposed robust score. Both our score and 276 the Stanakovic algorithm still require external validation using multicenter prospective cohort. 277

Despite previous studies have shown that size and complexity were important predictors of malignancy ^{19,20,26,36,37}, none of the different scoring system or preoperative stratification has proven its clear utility. For example, following the recommendations published by Rogers et al ²⁰, 15 patients in our series would have had a malignant tumor management because of the size>8cm of their ovarian masses. We also applied the preoperative risk stratification described by Madenci et al in 2016 on our series³⁶. This led to 54.5% ovarian sparing surgery,

10% non-sparing surgery and 35.5% of doubtful cases. Our score led to 57%, 20% and 23% 284 respectively. In their series, Madenci et al ³⁶ reported less malignant tumors (8% vs 16%) and 285 included functional cyst (23%) that we did not consider as ovarian tumors and were excluded 286 in our study. As stated in many studies, every case should be unique and discussed between 287 family and surgeons. Thus, we propose a simple score to add an objective value to the 288 discussion and decision-making and improve the armamentarium that helps dealing with 289 ovarian tumors. Using this score in a daily manner would be easier and less tedious than 290 following most of the risk stratifications previously described. In practice, when seeing 291 children with adnexal mass, physicians should perform an ultrasound scan and the usual 292 work-up with tumor markers. In case of confirmed ovarian tumor with negative aFP and 293 HCG, one could apply this scoring system and adapt the strategy and the speech given to the 294 family following the predictive risk described in Table 4. Patients in the low-risk group could 295 296 undergo ovarian-sparing surgery, when possible after an MRI to guide the surgery. In the middle-risk group, a complementary work-up comprising at least an MRI should be 297 298 mandatory taking into account the 26% predictive risk of malignancy. Patients belonging to the high-risk group should be proposed a non-conservative treatment. 299

In our study, 10 out of 14 adnexal torsions were treated with oophorectomy or adnexectomy. Since the introduction of the national or international recommendations in the gynecologic society ^{38,39}, this treatment strategy has to change. The revised approach is based on the recognition that even if the ovary has an infarcted appearance, it may recover normal endocrine function ¹³; thus, conservative treatment should be attempted in all cases ^{40–42}. Indeed, in our study, adnexal torsion was never associated with a malignant or borderline tumor, as confirmed by published data^{13,40}.

307 In the literature, the specialty of the surgeon was shown to influence the decision for ovarian 308 preservation 31,43 with more conservative treatments performed by gynecologic surgeons and

less conservative treatment performed by a pediatric surgeon. This difference in care outcome 309 should be addressed. By using the score evaluated in this study, the probability of malignancy 310 can be better evaluated preoperatively, allowing a better-informed decision regarding ovarian 311 preservation. Our score allowed 57% of ovarian conservative surgeries and 23% of patients 312 requiring more investigations. The ovarian-sparing surgery rate must be improved in the fu-313 ture and we need to work with radiologist to improve the care of the 23% of doubtful cases. It 314 is likely that adnexal mass in children should be explored systematically by MRI combined 315 with perfusion- and diffusion-weighted MR imaging as used in adult women with ovarian 316 mass ⁴⁴. These new tools must be validated in children to improve the ovarian conservation 317 rate in case of adnexal mass since these approaches have only been recently described ^{45,46}. It 318 could also help to visualize healthy ovarian tissue remnants in case of large tumors. 319

320

321

322 CONCLUSION

To our knowledge, this is the first published simple scoring system for predicting malignancy 323 in children and adolescents with an adnexal mass. It is based on easily obtained sonographic 324 data (size and echogenicity of the tumor) and can be applied in children with an adnexal mass 325 and normal germinal tumor marker levels. The score could be used to guide decision-making 326 regarding conservative vs. non-conservative ovarian surgical treatment and may therefore 327 increase the rate of fertility preservation while ensuring good oncologic safety in a larger 328 number of patients. In our study, 80% of the children with an adnexal mass may undergo 329 ovarian-sparing surgery (57% of first line conservative treatment and 23% requiring more 330 investigations before decision) based on reproducible criteria. However, this scoring system 331 still requires external validation in a prospective multicenter study before it can be routinely 332

used in a clinical setting. MRI combined with perfusion- and diffusion-weighted MR imaging
applied to the pediatric population could be the next step in improving the rate of ovarian
preservation surgery in children with adnexal mass. Of note, conservative management in
cases of adnexal torsion in children, as in adults, will also help to increase the rate of ovariansparing surgery.

338

339 Disclosure of interest statement: Nothing to disclose.

340

341 **REFERENCES**

- Deligeoroglou E, Eleftheriades M, Shiadoes V, et al. Ovarian masses during
 adolescence: clinical, ultrasonographic and pathologic findings, serum tumor markers
 and endocrinological profile. Gynecol Endocrinol Off J Int Soc Gynecol Endocrinol.
 2004 Jul;19(1):1–8.
- Andrés MM, Costa E, Cañete A, et al. Solid ovarian tumours in childhood: a 35-year
 review in a single institution. Clin Transl Oncol Off Publ Fed Span Oncol Soc Natl
 Cancer Inst Mex. 2010 Apr;12(4):287–91.
- 349 3. von Allmen D. Malignant lesions of the ovary in childhood. Semin Pediatr Surg. 2005
 350 May;14(2):100–5.
- Gribbon M, Ein SH, Mancer K. Pediatric malignant ovarian tumors: a 43-year review. J
 Pediatr Surg. 1992 Apr;27(4):480–4.
- 353 5. Yeap S-T, Hsiao C-C, Hsieh C-S, et al. Pediatric malignant ovarian tumors: 15 years of
 asymptotic experience at a single institution. Pediatr Neonatol. 2011 Jun;52(3):140–4.
- 355 6. von Allmen D, Fallat M. Chapter 39 Ovarian Tumors A2. In: Pediatric Surgery
 356 (Seventh Edition). Philadelphia; 2012. p. 592–548.

Taskinen S, Fagerholm R, Lohi J, Taskinen M. Pediatric ovarian neoplastic tumors:
incidence, age at presentation, tumor markers and outcome. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.
2015 Apr;94(4):425–9.

Mahadik K, Ghorpade K. Childhood ovarian malignancy. J Obstet Gynaecol India. 2014
 Apr;64(2):91–4.

362	9.	Baert T, Storme N, Van Nieuwenhuysen E, et al. Ovarian cancer in children and
363		adolescents: A rare disease that needs more attention. Maturitas. 2016 Jun;88:3-8.
364	10.	Anteby EY, Ron M, Revel A, et al. Germ cell tumors of the ovary arising after dermoid
365		cyst resection: a long-term follow-up study. Obstet Gynecol. 1994 Apr;83(4):605–8.
366	11.	Flamant F, Baranzelli MC, Kalifa C, Lemerle J. Treatment of malignant germ cell
367		tumors in children: experience of the Institut Gustave Roussy and the French Society of
368		Pediatric Oncology. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 1990;10(2):99–110.
369	12.	Cecchetto G, Ferrari A, Bernini G, et al. Sex cord stromal tumors of the ovary in
370		children: a clinicopathological report from the Italian TREP project. Pediatr Blood
371		Cancer. 2011 Jul 1;56(7):1062–7.
372	13.	Al-Turki HA. Fertility after oophorectomy due to torsion. Saudi Med J. 2015
373		Mar;36(3):368–70.
374	14.	Palomba S, Zupi E, Russo T, et al. Comparison of two fertility-sparing approaches for
375		bilateral borderline ovarian tumours: a randomized controlled study. Hum Reprod Oxf
376		Engl. 2007 Feb;22(2):578-85.
377	15.	Yasui T, Hayashi K, Mizunuma H, et al. Factors associated with premature ovarian
378		failure, early menopause and earlier onset of menopause in Japanese women. Maturitas.
379		2012 Jul;72(3):249–55.
380	16.	V B. Statistiques d'état civil sur les naissances en 2013. Insee Résultats 2014; n° 157
381		Société.

- 382 17. Vaysse C, Delsol M, Carfagna L, et al. Ovarian germ cell tumors in children.
 383 Management, survival and ovarian prognosis. A report of 75 cases. J Pediatr Surg. 2010
 384 Jul;45(7):1484–90.
- 18. Piippo S, Mustaniemi L, Lenko H, et al. Surgery for ovarian masses during childhood
 and adolescence: a report of 79 cases. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 1999 Nov;12(4):223–
 7.
- 19. Cass DL, Hawkins E, Brandt ML, et al. Surgery for ovarian masses in infants, children,
 and adolescents: 102 consecutive patients treated in a 15-year period. J Pediatr Surg.
 2001 May;36(5):693–9.
- 20. Rogers EM, Casadiego Cubides G, Lacy J, et al. Preoperative risk stratification of
 adnexal masses: can we predict the optimal surgical management? J Pediatr Adolesc
 Gynecol. 2014 Jun;27(3):125–8.
- Oue T, Uehara S, Sasaki T, N et al. Treatment and ovarian preservation in children with
 ovarian tumors. J Pediatr Surg. 2015 Dec;50(12):2116–8.
- 22. Peces Rama A, Llanos Llanos MC, Sánchez Ferrer ML, et al. Simple descriptors and
 simple rules of the International Ovarian Tumor Analysis (IOTA) Group: a prospective
 study of combined use for the description of adnexal masses. Eur J Obstet Gynecol
 Reprod Biol. 2015 Dec;195:7–11.
- 23. Ehren IM, Mahour GH, Isaacs H. Benign and malignant ovarian tumors in children and
 adolescents. A review of 63 cases. Am J Surg. 1984 Mar;147(3):339–44.

- 402 24. Spinelli C, Pucci V, Buti I, et al. The role of tumor markers in the surgical approach of
 403 ovarian masses in pediatric age: a 10-year study and a literature review. Ann Surg
 404 Oncol. 2012 Jun;19(6):1766–73.
- 405 25. Whittom R. WHO Classification of Tumours of Female Reproductive Organs. WHO
 406 Press. 2014;
- 26. Oltmann SC, Garcia N, Barber R, et al. Can we preoperatively risk stratify ovarian
 masses for malignancy? J Pediatr Surg. 2010 Jan;45(1):130–4.
- Papic JC, Billmire DF, Rescorla FJ, et al. Management of neonatal ovarian cysts and its
 effect on ovarian preservation. J Pediatr Surg. 2014 Jun;49(6):990-993-994.
- 411 28. Amies Oelschlager A-ME, Gow KW, Morse CB, Lara-Torre E. Management of Large
 412 Ovarian Neoplasms in Pediatric and Adolescent Females. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol.
 413 2016 Apr;29(2):88–94.
- Templeman CL, Hertweck SP, Scheetz JP, Perlman SE, Fallat ME. The management of
 mature cystic teratomas in children and adolescents: a retrospective analysis. Hum
 Reprod Oxf Engl. 2000 Dec;15(12):2669–72.
- 30. Skiadas VT, Koutoulidis V, Eleytheriades M, et al. Ovarian masses in young
 adolescents: imaging findings with surgical confirmation. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol.
 2004;25(2):201–6.
- 420 31. Eskander RN, Bristow RE, Saenz NC, Saenz CC. A retrospective review of the effect of
 421 surgeon specialty on the management of 190 benign and malignant pediatric and
 422 adolescent adnexal masses. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2011 Oct;24(5):282–5.

423	32.	Timmerman D, Van Calster B, Testa A, S et al. Predicting the risk of malignancy in
424		adnexal masses based on the Simple Rules from the International Ovarian Tumor
425		Analysis group. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2016 Apr;214(4):424–37.

- 33. Stankovic ZB, Djukic MK, Savic D, et al. Pre-operative differentiation of pediatric
 ovarian tumors: morphological scoring system and tumor markers. J Pediatr Endocrinol
 Metab JPEM. 2006 Oct;19(10):1231–8.
- 34. Stankovic ZB, Bjelica A, Djukic MK, Savic D. Value of ultrasonographic detection of
 normal ovarian tissue in the differential diagnosis of adnexal masses in pediatric
 patients. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol Off J Int Soc Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2010
 Jul;36(1):88–92.
- 35. Stanković ZB, Sedlecky K, Savić D, et al. Ovarian Preservation from Tumors and
 Torsions in Girls: Prospective Diagnostic Study. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2017
 Jun;30(3):405–12.
- 436 36. Madenci AL, Levine B-S, Laufer MR, et al. Preoperative risk stratification of children
 437 with ovarian tumors. J Pediatr Surg. 2016 Sep;51(9):1507–12.
- 37. Ruttenstock EM, Saxena AK, Schwinger W, et al. Pediatric ovarian tumors--dilemmas in
 diagnosis and management. Eur J Pediatr Surg Off J Austrian Assoc Pediatr Surg Al Z
 Kinderchir. 2010 Mar;20(2):116–20.
- 38. Pienkowski C, Kalfa N. [Presumed benign ovarian tumors of childhood and adolescent].
 J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris). 2013 Dec;42(8):833–41.

- 39. French College of Gynecologists and Obstetricians. [Recommendations for clinical
 practice: Presumed benign ovarian tumors--short text]. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod
 (Paris). 2013 Dec;42(8):856–66.
- 446 40. Aziz D, Davis V, Allen L, Langer JC. Ovarian torsion in children: is oophorectomy
 447 necessary? J Pediatr Surg. 2004 May;39(5):750–3.
- 448 41. Wong YS, Tam YH, Pang KKY, et al. Oophorectomy in children. Who and why: 13449 year experience in a single centre. J Paediatr Child Health. 2012 Jul;48(7):600–3.
- 450 42. Maneschi F, Marasá L, Incandela S, et al. Ovarian cortex surrounding benign neoplasms:
- 451 a histologic study. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1993 Aug;169(2 Pt 1):388–93.
- 43. Bristow RE, Nugent AC, Zahurak ML, et al. Impact of surgeon specialty on ovarianconserving surgery in young females with an adnexal mass. J Adolesc Health Off Publ
 Soc Adolesc Med. 2006 Sep;39(3):411–6.
- 45. 44. Thomassin-Naggara I, Toussaint I, Perrot N, et al. Characterization of complex adnexal
 456 masses: value of adding perfusion- and diffusion-weighted MR imaging to conventional
 457 MR imaging. Radiology. 2011 Mar;258(3):793–803.
- 45. Heo SH, Kim JW, Shin SS, et al. Review of ovarian tumors in children and adolescents:
 radiologic-pathologic correlation. Radiogr Rev Publ Radiol Soc N Am Inc. 2014
 Dec;34(7):2039–55.
- 461 46. Péroux E, Franchi-Abella S, Sainte-Croix D, et al. Ovarian tumors in children and
 462 adolescents: a series of 41 cases. Diagn Interv Imaging. 2015 Mar;96(3):273–82.
- 463
- 464

1	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
465	
466	
467 468 469 470 471 472 473 473 474 475 476	
477	Table 1: Preoperative patient characteristics
478	
479	Table 2: Pathologic findings of pediatric ovarian masses
480	
481	Table 3: Predictive factors of malignancy and borderline tumors in the multivariate analysis
482	OR, odds ratio, CI, confidence interval
483	
484	Table 4: Score predictive of malignancy
485	
486	Figure 1: Flow chart
487	
488	Figure 2: ROC curve of the score to predict malignancy

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT				
	Study population	Malignant tumor N = 13 (16%)	Benign tumor N = 68 (84%)	p-value
	N = 81	(,		
Age				
Age (y), mean ±SD	13.1 ±4.7	13.8 ±5.2	13 ±4.6	
Age (y), media (min-max)	n 15 (0-19)	15 (3-18)	15 (0-19)	0.40
0 to 8	15 (19%)	2 (15%)	13 (19%)	0.68
9 to 13	14 (17%)	1 (8%)	13 (19%)	
>13	52 (64%)	10 (77%)	42 (62%)	
Hormonal status				
- Pre-pubescent	30 (37%)	4 (31%)	26 (38%)	0.76
BMI		5		
- Mean ±SD	21.7 ±3.6	20.6 ±3.0	22.0 ± 3.7	0.41
Symptoms				
Palpable mass	13 (16%)	4 (31%)	9 (13%)	7
Acute pain	49 (60%)	6 (46%)	43 (63%)	
Other	17 (21%)	1 (8%)	16 (24%)	- 0.01
Early puberty	2 (2%)	2 (15%)	0]
2				
Sonography size	\bigcirc			
Size (mm), mea ±SD	an 99.1 ±70.9	166.1 ±70.3	86.3 ±63.9	
Size (mm), median (min-ma	70 (19-360) ax)	170 (65-260)	66 (19-360)	0.0003
>100 mm	30 (37%)	10 (77%)	20 (29%)	0.003

Type of ovarian mass					
Multilocular	7 (9%)	2 (15%)	5 (7%)]	
Solid multilocular	10 (12%)	5 (38%)	5 (7%)		
Unilocular	36 (44%)	3 (23%)	33 (49%)	- 0.002	
Solid unilocular	27 (33%)	2 (15%)	25 (37%)		
Solid	1 (1%)	1 (8%)	0		
Sonography characteristic			<u> </u>		
Solid	38 (47%)	8 (62%)	30 (44%)	0.25	
SPP*	37 (50%)	4 (44%)	33 (51%)	1.00	
Calcification	20 (25%)	2 (15%)	18 (27%)	0.79	
Cystic	29 (40%)	3 (23%)	26 (44%)	0.16	
Ascites	4 (5%)	2 (15%)	2 (3%)	0.16	
Peritoneal implant	1 (1%)	1 (8%)	0	0.17	
Tumor markers					
Positive marker	16 (24%)	7 (54%)	9 (17%)	0.009	
CA 125	13 (16%)	6 (46%)	7 (10%)	0.005	
CA 19-9	7 (9%)	3 (23%)	4 (6%)	0.038	
ACE	2 (3%)	2 (15%)	0	0.027	
Torsion	14 (17%)	0	14 (21%)	0.11	
Y '					

Table 1: Preoperative patient characteristics

Pathologic	Patients	%
Danian	68	Q1
Denign	00	04
Germ-cell tumor	42	
Mature teratoma	42	
Epithelial	24	
Serous cystadenoma	16	
Mucinous cystadenoma	8	
Sex-cord stromal tumor	1	
Sclerosing tumor	1	
Indeterminate tumor	2	5
Borderline	7	9
Serous	3	
Mucinous	4	\rightarrow
Malign	6	7
Germ-cell tumor	2	
Dysgerminoma	1	
Immature teratoma	1	
Epithelial	1	
- Mucinous cystadenocarcinoma	1	
Sex-cord stromal tumor	2	
Juvenile granulosa tumor	2	
Secondary	1	

Table 2: Pathologic findings of pediatric ovarian masses

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT			
N=72	Malignant &	Benign	Multivariate OR
	borderline (N=13)	(N=59)	(95% CI)
Size <65 mm	0	27 (46%)	1
65 <size<130 mm<="" th=""><th>5 (38%)</th><th>25 (42%)</th><th>10.89 [0.57–210.0]</th></size<130>	5 (38%)	25 (42%)	10.89 [0.57–210.0]
Size>130 mm	8 (62%)	7 (12%)	74.54 [3.58–999.99]
Unilocular cystic tumor	3 (23%)	26 (44%)	1
Other type of tumor	10 (77%)	33 (56%)	3.63 [0.74–17.84]

Table 3: Predictive factors of malignancy and borderline tumors in the multivariate analysis

OR, odds ratio, CI, confidence interval

Variable	Score	Predictive risk (95% CI)
Size of lesion (mm)		
<65	0	
65 – 130	25	
>130	41	
Unilocular cystic tumor		R Y
Yes	0	S
No	9	5
Total score	5	
Low-risk group	0 - 25	1.3% [0.1–18.4]
Middle-risk group	26 - 40	26.2% [11.6-49]
High-risk group	>40	53.1% [29.1–75.8]

Table 4: Score predictive of malignancy



