

New transcriptomic tools to understand testis development and functions

Estelle Lecluze, Bernard Jégou, Antoine D. Rolland, Frédéric Chalmel

► To cite this version:

Estelle Lecluze, Bernard Jégou, Antoine D. Rolland, Frédéric Chalmel. New transcriptomic tools to understand testis development and functions. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology, 2018, 468, pp.47-59. 10.1016/j.mce.2018.02.019 . hal-01864339

HAL Id: hal-01864339 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01864339

Submitted on 30 Aug 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

New transcriptomic tools to understand testis development and functions

Estelle Lecluze¹, Bernard Jégou¹, Antoine D. Rolland^{1,†}, Frédéric Chalmel^{1,†,*}

¹Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) -UMR_S1085, F-35000 Rennes, France

5

```
*Corresponding author
```

†These authors contributed equally to this work

Contact: frederic.chalmel@inserm.fr

10 Abstract

The testis plays a central role in the male reproductive system - secreting several hormones including male steroids and producing male gametes. A complex and coordinated molecular program is required for the proper differentiation of testicular cell types and maintenance of their functions in adulthood. The testicular transcriptome displays the highest levels of complexity and specificity across all tissues in a wide range of species. Many studies have used high-throughput sequencing technologies to define the molecular dynamics and regulatory networks in the testis as well as to identify novel genes or gene isoforms expressed in this organ. This review intends to highlight the complementarity of these transcriptomic studies and to show how the use of different sequencing protocols contribute to improve

20

15

Keywords

Testis; development; spermatogenesis; germ cells; RNA-sequencing; transcriptomics

Highlights

RNA-sequencing applied to testis biology

our global understanding of testicular biology.

25 Abbreviations

BS-seq: bisulfite sequencing CB: chromatoid body ceRNAs: competing endogenous RNA 1 ChIP-seq: chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing

circRNA: circular RNA

Dpc: days post coitum

Dpp: days post partum

5 EST: Expressed Sequenced Tag

GCs: germ cells

lncRNA: Long noncoding RNA

miRNA: micro RNA

NOMe-seq: nucleosome occupancy and DNA methylation profiling followed by sequencing

10 PGCs: primordial germ cells

PGCLCs: PGC like cells

piRNA: Piwi interacting RNA

RNA-seq: RNA sequencing

rRNA ribosomal RNA

15 SAGE: serial analysis of gene expression scRNA-seq: Single-cell RNA sequencing

siRNA: small interfering RNA

sncRNA: small noncoding RNA

Spc: spermatocytes

20 Spg: spermatogonia

Spt: spermatids

Spz: spermatozoa

SSCs: spermatogonial stem cells

UHTS: ultra-high-throughput sequencing

1 Introduction

The transcriptome can be defined as the entire set of transcripts present in a given biological sample. For a long time, the central dogma in molecular biology assumed that one gene is transcribed into one messenger RNA (mRNA) which in turn is translated into one protein that fulfills various structural, biological and/or regulatory functions within the cell. However, it is now clear that the transcriptome is a far more complex machinery, composed by several RNA classes performing a myriad of functions. About two thirds of human genes contain more than one alternatively spliced exon (Johnson et al., 2003) and are thus able to produce numerous RNA and protein isoforms. A large part of the transcriptome is also composed of RNAs that do not encode proteins - noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs). These are involved in a wide variety of biological processes (for review, see: Cech and Steitz, 2014), including testis differentiation/development (Rastetter et al., 2015; Taylor et al., 2015) and spermatogenesis (Luk et al., 2014). Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) constitute the first class of noncoding transcripts, longer than 200bp, and are involved in many regulatory mechanisms, such as transcriptional, post-transcriptional and direct protein activity regulation (Wang and Chang, 2011). Small noncoding RNAs (sncRNAs) refer to transcripts shorter than 200bp that can be further divided into micro RNAs (miRNAs), small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) or Piwi interacting RNAs (piRNAs), most of which regulate gene expression at a transcriptional and/or post-transcriptional level (for review, see : Luo et al., 2016). Capturing all types of RNA species is necessary to fully understand the transcriptional network at play within a given cell. Owing to the intrinsic structural differences between mRNAs, lncRNAs and sncRNAs, transcriptomic studies typically focus on a single type of RNA. These intrinsic differences also warrant specific extraction methods, sequencing protocols and distinctive analytical strategies.

20

5

10

15

The first transcriptomic technology, called Expressed Sequenced Tag (EST), was based on the Sanger method and consisted of partial sequencing of cDNAs cloned into bacterial plasmids. The serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE) (Velculescu et al., 1995) was an improved version of the EST technique that allowed increasing the sequencing throughput as well as quantifying the corresponding transcripts. Both EST and SAGE technics already introduced the notion of transcript assembly (tags concatenated into contigs) prior to association of corresponding genes in a reference genome. While these methodologies paved the way to decipher transcriptomes, they remained resource, time and money consuming. The technology that allowed transcriptomics to truly become a high-throughput discipline was 30 DNA microarrays. These consist of solid surfaces on which DNA fragments, called probes, are spotted or synthetized *in situ* (Heller, 2002; Nelson, 2001; Pozhitkov et al., 2007; Schena et al., 1995). The complementary sequence between probes and transcripts (or corresponding cDNAs) allows their specific

hybridization, while radioactivity or fluorescence intensity emitted following hybridization is used for

quantification purposes. The design of probes is critical and requires prior knowledge of genes and transcripts. Aside from the whole-genome tiling arrays designed to interrogate an entire genome (Mockler et al., 2005), this technology does not allow the characterization of new transcriptional events and, ultimately, the discovery of new genes. Nonspecific hybridization can also result in biased transcript

5 quantification (Pozhitkov et al., 2007). Even though the number of PubMed records using the term "microarray" is declining since 2015, most probably because of the democratization of high-throughput RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq), the overall fast assessment of gene expression at a reasonable price explains why microarrays remain a widely-used technology.

Over the last decade, ultra-high-throughput sequencing (UHTS) technologies have revolutionized transcriptomics (Morozova et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2009), and all fields under the umbrella of genomics. Briefly, total RNAs or a subset of these are reverse-transcribed, amplified by PCR and fragmented. Subsequently, millions of short DNA fragments are sequenced in parallel, leading to generation of many sequences called reads. The mapping of these reads onto a reference genome (genome-based assembly) or of reads to each other (*de novo* assembly) is then required to reconstruct the initial transcripts. Finally, the

- 15 expression level is assessed by counting reads associated to each transcript or gene (Figure 1). Such quantification methods have been shown to be accurate and well-correlated to other technologies such as microarrays, and to eventually outperform these in terms of accuracy for low-abundance transcripts (Chen et al., 2017a; Izadi et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2014). Since the emergence of RNA-seq, the accuracy and sensitivity of related methodologies have greatly improved. In addition, these techniques have diversified
- 20 to respond to scientists' specific biological questions (Head et al., 2014). For example, the sequencing depth can be improved by selecting RNAs of specific interest, such as polyA-RNAs, or by depleting undesired ones (e.g. rRNAs). Depending on the study's focus, the sequencing protocol can also be optimized. Single-end sequencing is usually sufficient for gene expression analysis, while paired-end sequencing is highly recommended for the purpose of transcript assembly an absolute pre-requisite to the
- 25 identification of new isoforms or novel genes (Conesa et al., 2016). Preserving strand information is also crucial to determine the direction in which a given locus is transcribed, allowing a better gene prediction in non-model organisms and the discovery of antisense lncRNAs. Over the past years, many bioinformatic tools have also been developed to improve all steps of UHTS analyses, including mapping of reads to a reference genome, transcript reconstruction and quantification, and differential expression analysis. Rather
- 30 than describing the best practices in experimental design (Hardwick et al., 2017), RNA-seq protocol (Conesa et al., 2016; Han et al., 2015; Hrdlickova et al., 2017) or differential expression analysis (Fang et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2015; Kvam et al., 2012; Wu and Wu, 2016), this review intends to illustrate the wide range of biological questions that can be answered, thanks to these sequencing methods, using the testis as a complex organ model.

The establishment and maintenance of testicular functions, i.e. the secretion of several hormones including androgens and the continuous production of male gametes, require a permanent communication network between several cell types. The testicular transcriptome therefore represents the sum of transcripts expressed in distinct cell populations, present in various proportions within the testis, including Leydig cells (that produce androgens), peritubular cells (that surround seminiferous tubules), Sertoli cells (that support germ cells) and germ cells (GCs) at various differentiation stages (mitotic spermatogonia, meiotic spermatocytes and haploid spermatids). Despite its extreme cellular complexity, a commonly-used strategy to study the testis consists of using the whole organ at different ages: fetal or postnatal. The expression changes highlighted with such approaches can be used to identify: i) expression dynamics within a given cell population, and/or ii) preferential expression in cell types whose proportions fluctuate during development. Additionally, many techniques allow the isolation of enriched populations of each testicular cell type (Figure 2). These two different strategies have recently been combined with the UHTS methods, notably RNA-seq, to gain insights into testis biology.

2 Tissue profiling analyses and first evidences of a testicular specificity

15 RNA-seq has been used in a wide range of species to perform tissue profiling analyses, i.e. studies in which the transcriptomes of various tissues or organs, including the testis, are analyzed and compared. A pioneer study using RNA-seq was performed on several tissues in humans and rodents (Ramsköld et al., 2009). This study demonstrated that the most complex tissues in terms of number of expressed genes and RNA classes were the brain, kidney and testis. With the aim of describing the evolutionary dynamics of 20 the mammalian transcriptomics, Brawand and colleagues investigated six organs from several species representing mammalian lineages and avians (Brawand et al., 2011). This analysis pointed towards the testis being the most rapidly evolving organ with regards to gene expression, thereby confirming previous phenotypic observations (Harcourt et al., 1981). UHTS has also been combined with proteomics analysis in order to define the specific proteome of several organs (Djureinovic et al., 2014). The authors used 25 RNA-seq as a clue to determine the protein-coding genes specifically expressed in all the tissues analyzed and concluded that the testis was by far the organ with the highest number of tissue-specific genes. Additionally, a landmark RNA-seq study comparing transcriptomes of 24 tissues and cell types in Humans was performed to gain insight into the role of lncRNAs in testis specificity (Cabili et al., 2011). The authors found that lncRNAs are transcribed in a highly tissue-specific manner and that a third of these are 30 predominantly expressed in the testis. These results were further confirmed by a tissue profiling analysis across 11 species, including mammals and tetrapods (Necsulea et al., 2014), which also showed that the high divergence rate of genes expressed within the testis does not exclusively apply to protein-coding

5

genes, but is also inclusive of lncRNAs. This testis-specific expression of lncRNAs was further investigated by Zhang and collaborators, who performed RNA-seq on the whole testis and mature sperm. They compared their results with six other mouse tissues by integrating available data from NONCODE database, and they found that almost 7000 sequenced lncRNAs were exclusively expressed in the testis or sperm. Unlike other tissues, the average level of lncRNAs expression appeared higher than that of mRNAs in mature sperm.

These RNA-seq based studies have confirmed previous findings from microarray experiments (for reviews, see: Calvel et al., 2010; Chalmel and Rolland, 2015; Zhu et al., 2015) and have provided additional insight into testis-specificity of lncRNAs. The high tissue-specificity of the adult testis is mostly due to the germline expression program. During differentiation (i.e. meiosis and spermiogenesis), male GCs undergo unique biological processes that require molecular factors expressed exclusively in the testis. Additionally, strong evolutionary constraints appear to act on the male gonad, shaping its genes with exaggerated traits, at both transcripts' sequences and expression levels.

3 Gonad development and somatic cell differentiation

15 Following sexual differentiation, the bipotent gonad differentiates to either a testis or an ovary. In the testis, this involves the differentiation of Sertoli and Leydig cells, the formation of testis cords as well as the early establishment of a relatively prominent vasculature. These developmental processes occur during the entire embryonic period and continue after birth. Many microarray studies have investigated these critical processes in various species and paved the way for a better understanding of the underlying 20 molecular mechanisms (Beverdam and Koopman, 2005; Bouma et al., 2007; Combes et al., 2011; del Valle et al., 2017; Houmard et al., 2009; Jameson et al., 2012; Munger et al., 2013; Small et al., 2005). To date, only a few studies performed in non-mammalian species, have analyzed this process at the whole organ level with the use of RNA-seq.

For instance, the transcriptomes of chicken ovaries and testes at two developmental time-points surrounding sex differentiation (4.5 and 6 dpc) were investigated using a poly(A)-enrichment protocol (Ayers et al., 2015). Additionally, the transcriptional dynamics throughout gonadal development were studied in *Portunus trituberculatus* by sequencing whole testis and ovaries at various stages of development (Meng et al., 2015). The authors undertook *de novo* transcript assembly using the Trinity tool (O'Neil and Emrich, 2013) and used protein databases (Nr, Pfam, Swiss-Prot) as well as Blast2go and

30 WEGO softwares for annotation purposes. Owing to the non-reliance of RNA seq on genome annotation, it offered a great potential of discovery in non-model species studies.

10

Till date, only a single study has focused on somatic cell differentiation within the fetal mammalian testis using RNA-seq (McClelland et al., 2015). The authors used FACS to isolate sf1-eGFP cells from mouse testes at different stages. By comparing Sertoli cells (high-GFP cells), Leydig cells (low-GFP cells) and GFP-negative cells, they were able to identify 61 genes, previously unknown to be involved in fetal Leydig cells differentiation, prior to the onset of steroidogenic genes. In this context, it is also worth mentioning the RNA-seq analysis of Sertoli cells isolated from Sox9-EGFP mouse testes at different postnatal ages (Zimmermann et al., 2015). This study also integrated data from purified GCs (Soumillon et al., 2013) to filter out transcripts arising from potential residual GCs. By doing so, the authors successfully described the expression dynamics of known transcripts as well as of newly discovered isoforms and unannotated transcripts (29 novel unknown intronic or intergenic transcripts) in Sertoli cells as they differentiate from immature proliferating cells to mature quiescent cells (in charge of sustaining spermatogenesis).

4 Primordial germ cells and gonocytes

Studies on sex differentiation and testicular somatic cells using RNA-seq are less numerous than 15 microarray-based studies (see above). However, there have been many studies investigating primordial germ cells (PGCs) and/or gonocytes by means of UHTS over the recent years. These cells indeed undergo a deep epigenetic reprograming involving removal of cytosine methylation from imprinted genes and restoration of totipotency (Hajkova et al., 2010; Popp et al., 2010; Seki et al., 2005) (reviewed in this issue). In this context, many studies have characterized the chromatin status of immature GCs from Oct4-20 GFP mice (Hammoud et al., 2015; Kubo et al., 2015; Lesch et al., 2013; Seisenberger et al., 2012) by combining RNA-seq together with epigenomics technologies (for review, see : Mensaert et al., 2014), including bisulfite sequencing (BS-seq), (hydroxyl-)methylated DNA immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing ((h)MeDIP-seq) (Jacinto et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2011); chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing (ChIP-seq) (Park, 2009), or nucleosome occupancy and DNA methylation profiling followed by sequencing (NOMe-seq) (Kelly et al., 2012). A first study compared gene 25 expression and DNA methylation (using BS-seq) and showed that global erasure of methylation does not lead to promiscuous transcription, including retrotransposons, suggestive of other transcriptional repression mechanisms in these cells (Seisenberger et al., 2012). A second study correlated transcriptional activity with poised chromatin domains, i.e. which harbor both activation (H3K4me3) and repression 30 (H3K27me3) histone marks, using ChIP-seq and highlighted a set of essential developmental regulators which poised chromatin state was conserved from fetal life (in PGCs) to adulthood (in meiotic and postmeiotic germ cells) (Lesch et al., 2013). The authors further proposed that the flexible transcriptional state

7

5

of these genes from fertilization onwards is essential to regenerate totipotent cells from differentiated germ cells. Finally, one study combined BS-seq and RNA-seq to compare mouse gonocytes (16.5dpc), prospermatogonia (0.5 dpp), undifferentiated (KIT-) and differentiating (KIT+) spermatogonia (7.5 dpp), allowing to correlate methylation status together with were changes in expression of important genes (Kubo et al., 2015).

In humans, the *in vitro* differentiation of the PGC like cells (hPGCLCs) from embryonic stem cells (hESCs) could represent a great mean for the study of PGCs but such models also require a better understanding of fetal GCs. For instance, a first transcriptional landscape of purified gonocytes (cKIT+) from human fetal testes and ovaries, between 8 and 20 gestational weeks (GW), was generated to evaluate and compare five protocols for *in vitro* generation of hPGCLCs, all of which proved unsatisfactory (Gkountela et al., 2013). In 2015, four independent studies investigated human PGCs and gonocytes between 4 and 19 GW using similar isolation procedures (Gkountela et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2015; Irie et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2015). Combination of RNA-seq and BS-seq produced comprehensive datasets

involving transcriptional and single-base-resolution methylome dynamics during GC development. Except

- 15 for SINE-variable number of tandem repeats-Alu elements (SVAs) (Tang et al., 2015), global DNA demethylation was not found to correlate with gene upregulation, notably for transposons, implicating the presence of another regulatory process (Gkountela et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2015). Another study combined RNA-seq and NOMe-seq with previously published ChIP-seq data (H. Guo et al., 2017), showing that that promoters' accessibility is strongly correlated to the corresponding gene expression
- 20 level. The SVAs elements also specifically displayed an open state in fetal GCs. In terms of transcriptional and epigenomic dynamics during GC development, two studies have successfully highlighted similarities as well as significant differences between human and mouse (H. Guo et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2015). Other labs also developed protocols for the *in vitro* differentiation of hPGCLCs from hESCs or human induced pluripotent stem cells (hISPCs). A first group evaluated such *in vitro* differentiation protocol by
- 25 comparing hPGCLCs to hPGCs, cultured hESCs, pre-induced cells, the seminoma-derived Tcam-2 cell line as well as somatic cells using RNA-seq (Irie et al., 2015). They first showed a significant correlation between the transcriptomes of hPGCLCs, hPGCs and Tcam-2 cells. They also identified hPGCLCs as potential "differentiating" hPGCs as they do not express the typical late germ cell markers DAZL, VASA and MAEL, further demonstrating that hPGCLCs constitute an interesting model to investigate the
- 30 mechanisms of human germ line establishment. Most importantly, they identified the requirement of SOX17 for specification of human PGCs (Irie et al., 2015). A second group compared the transcriptome of hPGCLCs with other previously published RNA-seq data and evidenced significant differences in terms of gene expression program and epigenetic reprogramming between human and mouse PGCLCs as well as

8

5

regarding the respective timing of specification and reprogramming between these species (von Meyenn et al., 2016).

5 Spermatogonial stem cells

Spermatogonia (Spg) constitute a heterogeneous population of male GCs that include spermatogonial stem cells (SSC), proliferative progenitor Spg and differentiating Spg committed to spermatogenesis. In mouse, undifferentiated Spg consist of type A single (As), paired (Apr) and aligned (Aal4-16) Spg while differentiating Spg consist of A1-4 Spg, intermediate Spg and type B Spg. Whether only As Spg encompass the pool of SSC is still a matter of debate (for review, see Lord and Oatley, 2017). In humans, there are only three types of Spg: undifferentiated Adark and Apale Spg, which are thought to be reserve and active SSC, respectively, and type B Spg which are differentiating progenitors (Boitani et al., 2016).

An extensive characterization (RNA-seq, smallRNA-seq, ChIP-seq and BS-Seq) of mouse GCs at different stages, including self-renewing Spg (THY1+), differentiating Spg (KIT+), spermatocytes (Spc), spermatids (Spt) and mature sperm allowed for the unraveling of key pathways regulating the balance between self-renewal and differentiation of SSCs (Hammoud et al., 2014). This study also showed 15 epigenetic specificities concerning these cells, which includes the poising of key transcription factors as well as the activity of DNA-methylated promoters during gametogenesis. By including additional markers (THY1, KIT, OCT4, ID4, and GFRa1), the same group further characterized the transcriptome and epigenome of adult mice SSCs (Hammoud et al., 2015) and proposed a classification of spermatogonial subpopulations, i.e. epithelial-like Spg (THY1+; highOCT4, ID4, and GFRa1), mesenchymal-like Spg (THY1+; moderate OCT4 and ID4; high mesenchymal markers), and Spg committed to gametogenesis 20 (high KIT+), that differ in terms of methylation status and imprinting. The recent identification of ID4 as being preferentially-expressed in a subset of mouse As Spg and playing an important role in the maintenance of SSC pool (Oatley et al., 2011), allowed further characterization of the SSC transcriptome (Chan et al., 2014; Helsel et al., 2017; Hermann et al., 2015; Mutoji et al., 2016). Taking advantage of 25 previously-published transcriptomic datasets (Hammoud et al., 2015; Mutoji et al., 2016), a list of 123 genes representing the core expression program of SSC was identified (Helsel et al., 2017).

A great work has been recently conducted, using SSEA4 as a marker of human SSCs, and KIT as a marker of Spg committed into gametogenesis (J. Guo et al., 2017). The authors performed whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS), Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin with highthroughput sequencing (ATAC-seq) and RNA-seq on these two purified cell populations, aiming to describe the complete DNA methylation, chromatin and transcriptional states which may explain their stem-ness differences, and focused their analysis on pluripotency factors. Single-cell RNA-seq technologies were

also used to profile the transition between SSEA4+ and KIT+ cells. The authors described four distinct cellular states, which highlight more precisely the differentiation pathway of human SSCs. This work represents a great example of the complementarity and power of different high-throughput technologies (J. Guo et al., 2017).

- 5 A number of studies have also been conducted in order to characterize the repertoire of ncRNAs, including sncRNAs, expressed in immature male GCs. The pioneer work in this field compared mouse SSC (THY1+) to somatic cells (THY1-) and identified a set of preferentially-expressed miRNAs in selfrenewing SSC (Niu et al., 2011). The use of unbiased sequencing also confirmed that piRNAs represent the preponderant class of smallRNA in differentiating mouse GCs, i.e. Spc and Spt, whereas, other cell
- types such as ESCs, SSCs, Sertoli cells, and mesenchymal stem cells preferentially express miRNAs (Tan et al., 2014). Recently, RNA-seq was also used to characterize not only classical mRNAs and lncRNAs but also circular RNAs (circRNAs) expressed in SSCs and ovarian germline stem cells (X. Li et al., 2017). circRNA is a novel class of ncRNA which specificity lies in its covalent bond linking the 3' and 5' ends generated by backsplicing (for review, see: Huang et al., 2017). Thanks to correlation analysis, a
 competing endogenous RNA (ceRNAs) network, comprising lncRNA-miRNA-circRNA-mRNA,

identified competitive RNAs - capable of binding and inhibiting the regulatory activities of other RNAs.

6 Gene expression program at play during spermatogenesis

While at the first glance, working with a whole organ might seem irrelevant for studying GC differentiation, it has been successfully demonstrated that in several mammalian species (including rodents), the first wave of spermatogenesis is synchronous in all seminiferous tubules (Bellvé et al., 1977; 20 Oakberg, 1957, 1956). Therefore, following the evolution of the testicular transcriptome at representative post-natal ages allows extrapolation of the gene expression program of each GC population (Figure 2). This hypothesis is based on the assumption that observed transcriptional variations are due to germline differentiation. This strategy has the critical advantage of conserving the testis's integrity by preserving 25 cell junctions and avoiding transcriptome modifications inherent to cell isolation procedures. In 2013, Gong and collaborators were among the first to profile the transcriptome of the developing mouse testis at three postnatal stages (infant, 6 dpp; juvenile, 4 weeks after birth; and, adult, 10 weeks after birth) using UHTS and a polyA-enrichment protocol (Gong et al., 2013). At the same time, Laiho and collaborators published about the transcriptome evolution during the first wave of spermatogenesis using postnatal mouse testes at 7, 14, 17, 21 and 28 dpp (Laiho et al., 2013). Instead of using a classical polyA-enriched 30 approach, the authors used a rRNA depletion strategy to characterize the transcriptional dynamics of a wide range of protein-coding and noncoding transcripts. They observed for the first time that lncRNAs accumulate during meiotic and postmeiotic stages.

Kaessmann's lab was among the first to determine the cellular source of the testicular transcriptome complexity during mouse spermatogenesis (Soumillon et al., 2013) using RNA-seq on five enriched 5 populations of testicular cells including Sertoli cells, type A Spg, pachytene Spc, round Spt and spermatozoa (Spz). Their results confirmed that meiotic and postmeiotic GCs have the highest transcriptome complexity (considering protein-coding genes, lncRNAs, pseudogenes or transposable elements), in comparison to testicular somatic cells (Sertoli cells) or other organs. At the same time, Gan and colleagues also published a landmark paper describing global 5-hydroxymethylcytosine distribution in 10 GCs and its impact on the mouse germline transcriptional landscape (Gan et al., 2013). The authors sequenced the transcriptome of seven isolated cell populations (primitive SpgA, SpgA, SpgB, preleptotene Spc, pachytene Spc, round Spt and elongated Spt) and found that the highest transcriptional transitions during spermatogenesis occurred between preleptotene Spc and pachytene Spc, and also between pachytene Spc to round Spt. The following year, Chalmel and collaborators used RNA-seq to study the rat 15 testicular noncoding expression program and to discover novel genes (Chalmel et al., 2014). Four distinct testicular cell populations (Sertoli cells, Spg, Spc and Spt) were investigated using a rRNA depletion strategy. This allowed them to discover 1419 novel genes, called TUTs for testis-expressed unannotated

transcripts, most of which exhibited characteristic genomic features of lncRNAs. This study confirmed that lncRNAs and TUTs accumulate in the meiotic and postmeiotic GCs (Laiho et al., 2013; Soumillon et al., 2013) and identified a distinct class of meiosis-related lncRNAs exhibiting exons twice as long as

- those of other transcripts. By using a "proteomics informed by transcriptomics" (PIT) strategy combining RNA sequencing data with shotgun proteomics analyses, the authors further identified 44 novel proteincoding transcripts initially thought to be lncRNAs or TUTs (Chocu et al., 2014; Evans et al., 2012). Recently, Da Cruz and colleagues took advantage of improved FACS-based methods (da Cruz et al.,
- 25 2016) to isolate leptotene/zygotene Spc, pachytene Spc, secondary Spc, and round Spt and subsequently performed RNA-seq analysis. Their meiosis-centric study revealed a large number of genes showing a burst of expression at the leptotene/zygotene stage. The authors also confirmed that the transcription of some spermiogenesis-related genes is initiated as early as pachytene Spc. They also found that some X-linked genes are overexpressed during meiosis, thus escaping the meiotic sex chromosome inactivation
- 30 (MSCI) (Ichijima et al., 2012; van der Heijden et al., 2011). Another RNA-seq study on five enriched mouse GCs populations focused on lncRNAs and also identified candidate genes that escape MSCI (Wichman et al., 2017). Moreover, another study analyzed the differential expression of not only mRNAs, lncRNAs but also included circRNAs in mouse primitive SpgA, preleptotene Spc, pachytene Spc and rSpt (Lin et al., 2016). Interestingly, the authors minimized the limitations of their study by taking advantage of

several previous transcriptomic studies to define the set of testis-specific genes expressed in their samples (Brawand et al., 2011; Cabili et al., 2011), assess the conservation of the spermatogenic expressed lncRNAs (Necsulea et al., 2014), compare the circRNAs production across mouse tissues (Rybak-Wolf et al., 2015) and investigate the expression of potential precursors of piRNAs (Li et al., 2013).

- 5 In humans, microarray experiments have been conducted to decipher the specific transcriptome of testicular cell populations and to identify key genes involved in spermatogenesis by using biopsies from patients with distinct infertility phenotypes (Chalmel et al., 2012; Ellis et al., 2007; Fox et al., 2003; Gatta et al., 2010; Nguyen et al., 2009; Okada et al., 2008; Spiess et al., 2007; von Kopylow et al., 2010). Similar approached were also used in rodents with GC-deficient models (Ellis et al., 2004), and chemical induced infertility (Orwig et al., 2008; Rockett et al., 2001). Recently, studies have relied on testicular samples from patients who underwent orchiectomy (Djureinovic et al., 2014). Zhu and colleagues used testicular biopsies of patients with obstructive azoospermia (i.e. with normal spermatogenesis) and
- 15 well as to focus their analysis on potential key transcriptional regulators of each GC stage such as HOXs, JUN, SP1, and TCF3. Recently, Jégou and collaborators published a RNA-seq analysis on five testicular cell populations including Leydig cells, Sertoli cells, peritubular cells, Spc and Spt (Jégou et al., 2017). By classifying differentially expressed genes into three broad co-expression clusters associated with somatic, meiotic or postmeiotic cells, the authors found that genes expressed during meiosis are significantly

combined FACS and MACS methods to obtain purified Spg, Spc and Spt (Zhu et al., 2016). The RNA-seq analysis allowed them to describe stage specific expression of known protein-coding and lncRNAs, as

20 depleted in archaic hominin alleles (Sankararaman et al., 2016, 2014). Jan and collaborators used a microdissection approach to obtain enriched cell populations from adult human testis including A_{dark} and A_{pale} Spg, leptotene/zygotene Spc, early pachytene Spc, late pachytene Spc and round Spt (Jan et al., 2017). The dynamic changes of transcriptome have been characterized during human germline differentiation and enlightened that the Spg express transcripts, at protein level, which are required in later stages of spermatogenesis. The authors also compared their sequencing results with previously published datasets, in order to assess the efficiency of their cell isolation protocol (Zhu et al., 2016), and to define the conserved expression program between human ad mouse spermatogenesis in GCs populations (da

Cruz et al., 2016).

7 Splicing factors and regulatory RNA at play during male germ cell differentiation

30 RNA-binding proteins and splicing factors play a critical role during male gamete development (for reviews, see Bettegowda and Wilkinson, 2010; Elliott, 2004; Idler and Yan, 2012; Paronetto and Sette, 2010; Venables, 2002; Walker et al., 1999). Several studies also took great advantage of RNA-sequencing

to study splicing events occurring during spermatogenesis and particularly in the meiosis phase. Schmid and collaborators compared the transcriptome between whole mouse testis before GCs enter meiosis (6dpp) and at the end of the process (21dpp) (Schmid et al., 2013). They used a dedicated pipeline, MISO (Katz et al., 2010), to identify differentially regulated isoforms or exons across samples, revealing 5 switches in splicing patterns during the meiotic process. They pointed out several splicing factors that may play a key role in the evolution of meiotic protein isoforms, which can be possibly crucial for the germline mitotic-meiotic transition. Margolin and colleagues investigated whole testes at eight developmental stages of between 6 and 38 dpp (Margolin et al., 2014). They identified more than 13000 novel isoforms, including 159 predicted transcripts mapped in intergenic regions, further demonstrating that alternative 10 spliced isoforms are abundant through spermatogenesis. They found transcripts mapping on unknown splice junctions for which an open reading frame was maintained. In 2016, the dynamics of the transcriptional landscape governing testis maturation (20 dpp, 75 dpp, 270 dpp) has also been characterized in two closely related species, Meishan and Duroc boars (Ding et al., 2016). Using Sus scrofa as the reference genome to map reads, the authors enlightened a set of potential critical genes for the onset of spermatogenesis. They used a dedicated strategy based on the SOAPsplice tool, allowing 15 them to identify alternative splicing events (Huang et al., 2011). Importantly, transcriptomic differences in such closely related species illustrates the high divergence of transcription within the testis across mammalian species. Alternative splicing has also been explored in enriched mouse populations of Spc and Spt, to decipher more precisely the splicing changes occurring through transmeiotic differentiation of GC (Naro et al., 2017). Following a polyA-enrichment protocol, the authors used the FAST-DB splicing

20 (Naro et al., 2017). Following a polyA-enrichment protocol, the authors used the FAST-DB splicing annotation tool and identified intron retention (IRT) as being the most represented pattern. They showed that IRTs are highly stable transcripts that accumulate in the meiotic nuclei and are enriched in genes involved in mature gamete functions (i.e. Spt development and sperm-egg recognition). The authors therefore suggested that IRT allows storage and stabilization of key transcripts after the transcriptional 25 burst in meiotic cells until their translation in transcriptionally-silenced post-meiotic cells.

Besides the widely described mRNAs and lncRNAs, sncRNAs are involved in many expression regulation pathways and can be divided in different classes according to their genomic features and sequence modifications. Specific RNA-seq protocols had to be developed to allow smallRNA purification, library preparation and sequencing, as well as mapping and annotation of corresponding reads. The role of sncRNAs in testis maturation and spermatogenesis have been recently reviewed (for reviews, see Luo et al., 2016; Meikar et al., 2011; Yadav and Kotaja, 2014), and will not be extensively described here. Briefly, sncRNA profiling and differential expression analyses were performed on testes at different developmental stages (Gebert et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Lian et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012), by comparing the testis with other tissues (Luo et al., 2015), including the ovary (Kowalczykiewicz et al., 2014; Li et al.,

13

2011). Several works used whole mouse testes at different ages to study the specific biogenesis of piRNAs during spermatogenesis (Beyret et al., 2012; Li et al., 2013). In humans, the small noncoding transcriptome has also been characterized in the adult testis (Ha et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2013) and several other studies have been performed on purified GCs to understand the implication of such sncRNAs during spermatogenesis (Gan et al., 2011; García-López et al., 2015; Goh et al., 2015; Vourekas et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2015).

The Noora Kotaya's laboratory is particularly known in the field of reproductive biology for its work on the chromatoid body (CB). The CB is a GC-specific organelle composed of RNAs and RNA-binding proteins which appears in the cytoplasm of GCs during the transition between meiotic and post-meiotic phase of spermatogenesis (Kotaja and Sassone-Corsi, 2007; Meikar et al., 2011; Parvinen, 2005; Peruquetti, 2015). Meikar and collaborators isolated CBs from juvenile mouse testes at 22 and 26 dpp using several steps of cell lysis, filtration and immunoprecipitation (Meikar et al., 2014). They combined sncRNA-seq and RNA-seq to compare purified CB to round Spt and identified sncRNAs, lncRNAs and mRNAs that accumulate within this organelle. This analysis showed that lncRNAs identified as genomic

15 clusters generating piRNAs, accumulate in the CB, suggesting their important role in piRNAs biogenesis. However, a proteomic analysis failed at identifying the core primary piRNA processing components within the CB, therefore challenging the role of this organelle in the biogenesis of piRNAs.

8 Resources

5

- While microarrays remain useful and accurate tools for measuring expression levels, RNA-seq provides a more complete transcriptomic solution by allowing transcript isoform determination and 20 eventually new gene discovery, on top of being more accurate when it comes to quantification (Chen et al., 2017b; Izadi et al., 2016; Malone and Oliver, 2011; Wang et al., 2014). With the continuous decrease of sequencing costs, the number of RNA-seq studies therefore drastically increased over recent years, and the corresponding datasets now present a great potential for reanalysis or integration within one's own 25 study. Similar to microarrays, the MIAME compliance (Brazma et al., 2001) applies to UHTS data and generalist databases such as the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) (Barrett et al., 2012), or the ArrayExpress (Kolesnikov et al., 2015, https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/) resources, also serve as repositories of high-throughput sequencing data. Most of the datasets arising from studies described in this review are therefore publicly available in these public repositories (see 30 Supplemental Table). Despite that several groups have already taken advantage of these available resources, differences in sequencing protocols, such as transcript type and size selection, strand-
 - 14

specificity, length or pairing of reads, may somehow prevent full integration of, or direct comparison between different datasets.

Despite the wide use of UHTS, the handling of such data requires specific skills and is both time and resource consuming. Dedicated databases have therefore started to emerge in order to extract, summarize 5 and/or visualize the corresponding information, including the field of reproductive biology. For instance, the ReproGenomics Viewer (RGV) is a useful toolbox dedicated to genomic data for the reproductive science community (Darde et al., 2015). It consists of a genome browser that allows the visualization of selected datasets from the literature in the genomic context of their respective species. Moreover, crossspecies comparisons are now possible, allowing simultaneous visualization of several types of data (e.g. 10 RNA-seq, ChIP-seq) performed in different species. Currently, studies implemented in RGV mainly focus

- on testis biology and spermatogenesis, but this tool is likely to be regularly updated in order to expand its focus to other areas of reproductive biology. As described earlier in this review, lncRNAs are expressed in a highly tissue-specific manner and especially in male GCs. GermlncRNA constitutes a dedicated catalogue of known and novel lncRNAs (Luk et al., 2015), by integrating several published transcriptomic
- 15 studies covering three GC populations, i.e. type A Spg, pachytene Spc and round Spt (Gan et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2012, 2009; Soumillon et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013). Last but not the least, Spermbase represents a great resource dedicated to sperm transcriptome in four mammalians species, i.e. human, rat, mouse and rabbit (Schuster et al., 2016). Using a standardized sperm RNA isolation and sequencing protocol, this work allows a comparative RNA-seq analysis, covering long as well as small RNAs, and identifying the conserved counterpart of the sperm transcriptome across mammalian species.

20

9 New technologies and perspectives

The application fields of UHTS technologies have expanded at an amazing pace since its emergence, enabling investigation of RNA as well as DNA, both quantitatively and qualitatively, at the sequence, structural, and conformation levels. In parallel, the accuracy and throughput of sequencing methods are also improving to overcome technical limitations. For instance, most current UHTS approaches involve 25 amplification steps by PCR and rely on the sequencing of relatively short sequences in order to maintain reasonable sequencing error rates. The future of UHTS particularly relies on the use of PCR-free protocols, to prevent amplification biases, and on increasing the length of reads, to avoid or, at least, reduce and make easier assembly steps for both transcripts and chromosomes/genomes (Chu et al., 2017). 30 In this context, it is worth mentioning the emergence of third generation sequencing technologies which

allow the PCR-free or even the direct sequencing of single RNA molecules and generate long reads of up to several kilobases (Garalde et al., 2018; Laver et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2016; Rhoads and Au, 2015)

(Figure 1). Such technologies remain very expensive and the sequence error rates are still very high (up to 10%), but they are likely to improve on both aspects in the near future. Very importantly, and also quite unexpectedly, both technologies are able to capture information on bases' modifications such as methylation during the sequencing process.

- 5 The outcome of transcriptomic studies also greatly depends on the biological material. While whole organs preserve cell-cell interactions and reduce gene expression alterations due to extensive sample handling, they prevent access to discrete cell populations as well as to low-copy transcripts, and they do not allow to ascertain their cellular origins. On the other hand, cell enrichment protocols provide cell-type information and improve sensitivity, but potential contaminations and/or changes in expression cannot be 10 ruled out. Moreover, such a bulk approach, even with enriched cell populations, is likely to mask differences between distinct subpopulations. Over the past years, single cell approaches have been developed and adapted to RNA sequencing (Figures 1 and 2). Single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) includes cell isolation and library preparation with molecular barcodes. Thanks to microfluidic (Klein et al., 2015; Zilionis et al., 2016), droplet-based (Macosko et al., 2015) or FACS-based methods (Jaitin et al., 2014),
- 15 scRNA-seq is able to capture the transcriptome of a very large number of cells. These high-throughput technologies (Ziegenhain et al., 2017) have proved very efficient for inferring and describing the temporal dynamic of cell differentiation, or the spatial description of different cell populations within an organ (Kalisky et al., 2017; Macaulay et al., 2017; Tanay and Regev, 2017; Wagner et al., 2016). In the field of reproductive biology, several single-cell transcriptomic studies have already been performed, on human
- 20 PGCs/gonocytes and fetal somatic cells (Guo et al., 2015; L. Li et al., 2017) as well as on mouse fetal somatic cells (Stévant et al., 2018) (reviewed in this issue). scRNAseq is a promising technology that represents a great potential of discovery, but as with all other technologies, some experimental limitations are still in place. For instance, the dissociation of complex tissues into single-cell suspension can be the first limiting step. Single nucleus RNAseq (snuc-RNAseq) has initially been developed to overcome this
- 25 issue in the brain (Habib et al., 2016; Lake et al., 2016), and then combined with microfluidic technology, leading to DroNc-seq (Habib et al., 2017). Furthermore, the different available technologies still show relatively low sensitivity, allowing to detect medium to highly expressed transcripts exclusively. Therefore, for now at least, these methods cannot replace classical RNA-seq approaches in terms of quantification accuracy and completeness.
- 30 The future of these single cell high-throughput technologies is evolving (Yuan et al., 2017), and many perspectives remain to be explored, such as *in situ* transcriptomic analysis (Coskun and Cai, 2016; Satija et al., 2015), live imaging transcriptomic analysis (Skylaki et al., 2016), lineage tracing (McKenna

et al., 2016; Perli et al., 2016; Schmidt et al., 2017) or single-cell multi-omics (Cheow et al., 2016; Genshaft et al., 2016; Hou et al., 2016).

Acknowledgements

- 5 We thank Dr. Julien Dagher and Dr. Sadia Khan for critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank the Research Institute for Environmental and Occupational Health (Irset), the National Institute of Health and Medical Research (Inserm), the University of Rennes 1 and the French School of Public Health (EHESP) for supporting this work.
- 10 **Funding**: This work was supported by the French National Institute of Health and Medical Research (Inserm), the University of Rennes 1 and the French School of Public Health (EHESP).

Figures and Legends



Figure 1. High-throughput sequencing technologies supporting transcriptomic analyses (2-column fitting, colors should be used).

- (a) Until recently, most sequencing technologies have relied on the generation of relatively short reads (*i.e.* sequences of few tens to hundreds of nucleotides in length). When applied to the sequencing of RNA, following reverse transcription (RT) of either poly-A enriched or of ribosomal RNA (rRNA)-depleted transcripts, the bioinformatic analysis then consists in mapping reads to a reference sequence (typically the genome if available) prior to transcript assembly (based on reads spanning exonic junctions) and/or quantification (based on density of reads mapping each individual transcript/gene). (b) Alternatively, modified library construction protocols involve the barcoding of each cDNA by a Unique Molecular Index (UMI) during reverse transcription, followed by sequencing of the 3' extremity of the resulting cDNA. The counting of UMIs, rather than measuring read density, then allows more precise quantification, by correcting for eventual PCR biases. Such protocols were designed for differential gene expression analysis
- 15 and are particularly well-suited for single-cell RNA-seq analyses as well as low-input samples. (c) Currently, third-generation sequencing technologies are revolutionizing many fields of genomics, including transcriptomics. These emerging technologies indeed differ from previous one since they allow the direct sequencing of RNAs (*i.e.* with neither reverse transcription nor PCR amplification) and the generation of long-reads (*i.e.* sequences of several tens of kilobases in length). Consequently, they make it

possible to sequence full length transcripts, therefore avoiding the tedious and equivocal step of transcript assembly. The relatively low throughput (in terms of number of sequences delivered per run) at a still relatively high cost, however, do not make yet such technologies an appropriate solution for quantification purposes.



Cons: Dilution effects, limited detection of low abundance transcripts and rare cell populations

Cons: Dilution effects, limited detection of low abundance transcripts and rare cell populations, pathological tissues

sample handling, low detection sensitivity, doublets/multiplets

Figure 2. Strategies to study gene expression during testis development and functions (2-column fitting, colors should be used).

Several strategies are typically used to investigate the transcriptomic profiles of testicular cells, notably

10

5

during germ cell development. (a) These include the use of whole testes at various developmental stages during the first wave of spermatogenesis in various species. In such approaches, the specific or preferential expression program of a given cell type is inferred from the changes in expression observed between two stages during which this cell type appears. (b) Based on the same rationale, the use of testicular biopsies from patients with spermatogenesis arrested at distinct developmental stages has been commonly used in humans. (c) Alternatively, many isolation procedures enable to obtain enriched cell

populations in order to investigate more directly the transcript content of various cell types present within the testis. (d) Finally, the relatively recent development of single-cell approaches combined with highthroughput technologies holds great promises for capturing and studying any cell type within a sample, including rare cell populations or cell subtypes for which absence of appropriate markers prevents purification. Main advantages (Pros) and disadvantages (Cons) are indicated for each strategy.

References

- Ayers, K.L., Lambeth, L.S., Davidson, N.M., Sinclair, A.H., Oshlack, A., Smith, C.A., 2015. Identification of candidate gonadal sex differentiation genes in the chicken embryo using RNA-seq. BMC Genomics 16, 704. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1886-5
- 5 Barrett, T., Wilhite, S.E., Ledoux, P., Evangelista, C., Kim, I.F., Tomashevsky, M., Marshall, K.A., Phillippy, K.H., Sherman, P.M., Holko, M., Yefanov, A., Lee, H., Zhang, N., Robertson, C.L., Serova, N., Davis, S., Soboleva, A., 2012. NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets update. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, D991–D995. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1193
- Bellvé, A.R., Cavicchia, J.C., Millette, C.F., O'Brien, D.A., Bhatnagar, Y.M., Dym, M., 1977.
 Spermatogenic cells of the prepuberal mouse. Isolation and morphological characterization. J. Cell Biol. 74, 68–85.
 - Bettegowda, A., Wilkinson, M.F., 2010. Transcription and post-transcriptional regulation of spermatogenesis. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 365, 1637–51. doi:10.1098/rstb.2009.0196
- 15 Beverdam, A., Koopman, P., 2005. Expression profiling of purified mouse gonadal somatic cells during the critical time window of sex determination reveals novel candidate genes for human sexual dysgenesis syndromes. Hum. Mol. Genet. 15, 417–431. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddi463
 - Beyret, E., Liu, N., Lin, H., 2012. piRNA biogenesis during adult spermatogenesis in mice is independent of the ping-pong mechanism. Cell Res. 22, 1429–1439. doi:10.1038/cr.2012.120
- 20 Boitani, C., Persio, S. Di, Esposito, V., Vicini, E., 2016. Spermatogonial cells: mouse, monkey and man comparison. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 59, 79–88. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2016.03.002
 - Bouma, G.J., Affourtit, J., Bult, C.J., Eicher, E.M., 2007. Transcriptional profile of mouse pre-granulosa and Sertoli cells isolated from early-differentiated fetal gonads, Gene Expression Patterns. doi:10.1016/j.modgep.2006.05.011
- 25 Brawand, D., Soumillon, M., Necsulea, A., Julien, P., Csárdi, G., Harrigan, P., Weier, M., Liechti, A., Aximu-Petri, A., Kircher, M., Albert, F.W., Zeller, U., Khaitovich, P., Grützner, F., Bergmann, S., Nielsen, R., Pääbo, S., Kaessmann, H., 2011. The evolution of gene expression levels in mammalian organs. Nature 478. doi:10.1038/nature10532
- Brazma, A., Hingamp, P., Quackenbush, J., Sherlock, G., Spellman, P., Stoeckert, C., Aach, J., Ansorge,
 W., Ball, C.A., Causton, H.C., Gaasterland, T., Glenisson, P., Holstege, F.C.P., Kim, I.F., Markowitz, V., Matese, J.C., Parkinson, H., Robinson, A., Sarkans, U., Schulze-Kremer, S., Stewart, J., Taylor, R., Vilo, J., Vingron, M., 2001. Minimum information about a microarray experiment (MIAME)-toward standards for microarray data. Nat. Genet. 29, 365–371. doi:10.1038/ng1201-365
 - Cabili, M.N., Trapnell, C., Goff, L., Koziol, M., Tazon-Vega, B., Regev, A., Rinn, J.L., 2011. Integrative annotation of human large intergenic noncoding RNAs reveals global properties and specific subclasses. Genes Dev. 25, 1915–27. doi:10.1101/gad.17446611
 - Calvel, P., Rolland, A.D., Jégou, B., Pineau, C., 2010. Testicular postgenomics: targeting the regulation of spermatogenesis. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 365, 1481–500. doi:10.1098/rstb.2009.0294
- 40 Cech, T.R., Steitz, J.A., 2014. The noncoding RNA revolution Trashing old rules to forge new ones. Cell 157, 77–94. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.008
 - Chalmel, F., Lardenois, A., Evrard, B., Mathieu, R., Feig, C., Demougin, P., Gattiker, A., Schulze, W., Jégou, B., Kirchhoff, C., Primig, M., 2012. Global human tissue profiling and protein network analysis reveals distinct levels of transcriptional germline-specificity and identifies target genes for male infertility. Hum. Reprod. 27, 3233–48. doi:10.1093/humrep/des301
 - Chalmel, F., Lardenois, a., Evrard, B., Rolland, a. D., Sallou, O., Dumargne, M.-C., Coiffec, I., Collin, O., Primig, M., Jegou, B., 2014. High-Resolution Profiling of Novel Transcribed Regions During Rat Spermatogenesis. Biol. Reprod. 91, 5–5. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.114.118166
- Chalmel, F., Rolland, A.D., 2015. Linking transcriptomics and proteomics in spermatogenesis.
 Reproduction 150, R149-57. doi:10.1530/REP-15-0073
 - Chan, F., Oatley, M.J., Kaucher, A. V, Yang, Q.-E., Bieberich, C.J., Shashikant, C.S., Oatley, J.M., 2014.

35

²¹

Functional and molecular features of the Id4+ germline stem cell population in mouse testes. Genes Dev. 28, 1351–62. doi:10.1101/gad.240465.114

Chen, L., Sun, F., Yang, X., Jin, Y., Shi, M., Wang, L., Shi, Y., Zhan, C., Wang, Q., 2017a. Correlation between RNA-Seq and microarrays results using TCGA data. Gene 628, 200-204. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2017.07.056

- Chen, L., Sun, F., Yang, X., Jin, Y., Shi, M., Wang, L., Shi, Y., Zhan, C., Wang, Q., 2017b. Correlation between RNA-Seq and microarrays results using TCGA data. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2017.07.056
 - Cheow, L.F., Courtois, E.T., Tan, Y., Viswanathan, R., Xing, Q., Tan, R.Z., Tan, D.S.W., Robson, P., Loh, Y.-H., Quake, S.R., Burkholder, W.F., 2016. Single-cell multimodal profiling reveals cellular epigenetic heterogeneity. Nat. Methods 13, 833-836. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3961
- 10 Chocu, S., Evrard, B., Lavigne, R., Rolland, a. D., Aubry, F., Jegou, B., Chalmel, F., Pineau, C., 2014. Forty-Four Novel Protein-Coding Loci Discovered Using a Proteomics Informed by Transcriptomics (PIT) Approach in Rat Male Germ Cells. Biol. Reprod. 91. 123-123. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.114.122416
- 15 Chu, J., Mohamadi, H., Warren, R.L., Yang, C., Birol, I., 2017. Innovations and challenges in detecting long read overlaps: an evaluation of the state-of-the-art. Bioinformatics 33, 1261-1270. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw811
 - Combes, A.N., Bowles, J., Feng, C.-W., Chiu, H.S., Khoo, P.-L., Jackson, A., Little, M.H., Tam, P.P.L., Koopman, P., 2011. Expression and Functional Analysis of Dkk1 during Early Gonadal Development. Sex Dev 5, 124-130. doi:10.1159/000327709
 - Conesa, A., Madrigal, P., Tarazona, S., Gomez-Cabrero, D., Cervera, A., McPherson, A., Szcześniak, M.W., Gaffney, D.J., Elo, L.L., Zhang, X., Mortazavi, A., 2016. A survey of best practices for RNAseq data analysis. Genome Biol. 17, 13. doi:10.1186/s13059-016-0881-8
 - Coskun, A.F., Cai, L., 2016. Dense transcript profiling in single cells by image correlation decoding. Nat. Methods 13, 657–660. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3895
 - da Cruz, I., Rodríguez-Casuriaga, R., Santiñaque, F.F., Farías, J., Curti, G., Capoano, C.A., Folle, G.A., Benavente, R., Sotelo-Silveira, J.R., Geisinger, A., 2016. Transcriptome analysis of highly purified mouse spermatogenic cell populations: gene expression signatures switch from meiotic-to postmeiotic-related processes at pachytene stage. BMC Genomics 17, 294. doi:10.1186/s12864-016-2618-1
 - Darde, T.A., Sallou, O., Becker, E., Evrard, B., Monjeaud, C., Le Bras, Y., Jégou, B., Collin, O., Rolland, A.D., Chalmel, F., 2015. The ReproGenomics Viewer: an integrative cross-species toolbox for the reproductive science community. Nucleic Acids Res. 43, W109-16. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv345
- del Valle, I., Buonocore, F., Duncan, A.J., Lin, L., Barenco, M., Parnaik, R., Shah, S., Hubank, M., 35 Gerrelli, D., Achermann, J.C., 2017. A genomic atlas of human adrenal and gonad development. Wellcome Open Res. 2, 25. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.11253.1
 - Ding, H., Luo, Y., Liu, M., Huang, J., Xu, D., 2016. Histological and transcriptome analyses of testes from Duroc and Meishan boars. Nat. Publ. Gr. doi:10.1038/srep20758
 - Djureinovic, D., Fagerberg, L., Danielsson, A., Lindskog, C., 2014. The human testis specific proteome defined by transcriptomics and 20, 1–39. doi:10.1093/molehr/gau018
 - Elliott, D.J., 2004. The role of potential splicing factors including RBMY, RBMX, hnRNPG-T and STAR proteins in spermatogenesis. Int. J. Androl. 27, 328-34. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2605.2004.00496.x
 - Ellis, P.J.I., Furlong, R.A., Conner, S.J., Kirkman-Brown, J., Afnan, M., Barratt, C., Griffin, D.K., Affara, N.A., 2007. Coordinated transcriptional regulation patterns associated with infertility phenotypes in men. J. Med. Genet. 44, 498-508. doi:10.1136/jmg.2007.049650
 - Ellis, P.J.I., Furlong, R.A., Wilson, A., Morris, S., Carter, D., Oliver, G., Print, C., Burgoyne, P.S., Loveland, K.L., Affara, N.A., 2004. Modulation of the mouse testis transcriptome during postnatal development and in selected models of male infertility. Mol. Hum. Reprod. 10, 271-281. doi:10.1093/molehr/gah043
- 50 Evans, V.C., Barker, G., Heesom, K.J., Fan, J., Bessant, C., Matthews, D.A., 2012. De novo derivation of proteomes from transcriptomes for transcript and protein identification. Nat. Methods 9, 1207-11.

5

20

25

30

45

doi:10.1038/nmeth.2227

- Fang, Z., Martin, J., Wang, Z., 2012. Statistical methods for identifying differentially expressed genes in RNA-Seq experiments. Cell Biosci. 2, 26. doi:10.1186/2045-3701-2-26
- Fox, M.S., Ares, V.X., Turek, P.J., Haqq, C., Reijo Pera, R.A., 2003. Feasibility of global gene expression analysis in testicular biopsies from infertile men. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 66, 403-421. doi:10.1002/mrd.10364
- Gan, H., Lin, X., Zhang, Z., Zhang, W., Liao, S., Wang, L., Han, C., 2011. piRNA profiling during specific stages of mouse spermatogenesis. Bioinformatics. doi:10.1261/rna.2648411
- Gan, H., Wen, L., Liao, S., Lin, X., Ma, T., Liu, J., Song, C.-X., Wang, M., He, C., Han, C., Tang, F., 2013. Dynamics of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine during mouse spermatogenesis. Nat. Commun. 4. doi:10.1038/ncomms2995
- Garalde, D.R., Snell, E.A., Jachimowicz, D., Sipos, B., Lloyd, J.H., Bruce, M., Pantic, N., Admassu, T., James, P., Warland, A., Jordan, M., Ciccone, J., Serra, S., Keenan, J., Martin, S., McNeill, L., Wallace, E.J., Jayasinghe, L., Wright, C., Blasco, J., Young, S., Brocklebank, D., Juul, S., Clarke, J.,
- Heron, A.J., Turner, D.J., 2018. Highly parallel direct RNA sequencing on an array of nanopores. Nat. Methods. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4577
 - García-López, J., Alonso, L., Cárdenas, D.B., Artaza-Alvarez, H., Hourcade, J. de D., Martínez, S., Brieño-Enríquez, M.A., Del Mazo, J., 2015. Diversity and functional convergence of small noncoding RNAs in male germ cell differentiation and fertilization. RNA 21, 946-62. doi:10.1261/rna.048215.114
 - Gatta, V., Raicu, F., Ferlin, A., Antonucci, I., Scioletti, A., Garolla, A., Palka, G., Foresta, C., Stuppia, L., 2010. Testis transcriptome analysis in male infertility: new insight on the pathogenesis of oligoazoospermia in cases with and without AZFc microdeletion. BMC Genomics 11, 401. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-11-401
- Gebert, D., Ketting, R.F., Zischler, H., Rosenkranz, D., 2015. piRNAs from Pig Testis Provide Evidence 25 for a Conserved Role of the Piwi Pathway in Post-Transcriptional Gene Regulation in Mammals. PLoS One 10, e0124860. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124860
 - Genshaft, A.S., Li, S., Gallant, C.J., Darmanis, S., Prakadan, S.M., Ziegler, C.G.K., Lundberg, M., Fredriksson, S., Hong, J., Regev, A., Livak, K.J., Landegren, U., Shalek, A.K., 2016. Multiplexed, targeted profiling of single-cell proteomes and transcriptomes in a single reaction. Genome Biol. 17, 188. doi:10.1186/s13059-016-1045-6
 - Gkountela, S., Li, Z., Vincent, J.J., Zhang, K.X., Chen, A., Pellegrini, M., Clark, A.T., 2013. The ontogeny of cKIT+ human primordial germ cells proves to be a resource for human germ line reprogramming, imprint erasure and in vitro differentiation. Nat. Cell Biol. 15, 113-22. doi:10.1038/ncb2638
 - Gkountela, S., Zhang, K.X.X., Shafiq, T.A.A., Liao, W.-W.W., Hargan-Calvopiña, J., Chen, P.-Y.Y., Clark, A.T.T., Hargan-Calvopi??a, J., Chen, P.-Y.Y., Clark, A.T.T., 2015. DNA demethylation dynamics in the human prenatal germline. Cell 161, 1425-1436. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.012
- Goh, W.S.S., Falciatori, I., Tam, O.H., Burgess, R., Meikar, O., Kotaja, N., Hammell, M., Hannon, G.J., 40 2015. piRNA-directed cleavage of meiotic transcripts regulates spermatogenesis. Genes Dev. 29, 1032-44. doi:10.1101/gad.260455.115
 - Gong, W., Linlin, P., Qiang, L., Yuanyuan, Z., Chengqi, X., Xiaomin, Y., Peng, C., Songnian, H., Jun, Y., 2013. Transcriptome profiling of the developing postnatal mouse testis using next-generation sequencing 56, 1–12. doi:10.1007/s11427-012-4411-y
- Guo, F., Yan, L., Guo, H., Li, L., Hu, B., Zhao, Y., Yong, J., Hu, Y., Wang, X., Wei, Y., Wang, W., Li, 45 R., Yan, J., Zhi, X., Zhang, Y., Jin, H., Zhang, W., Hou, Y., Zhu, P., Li, J., Zhang, L., Liu, S., Ren, Y., Zhu, X., Wen, L., Gao, Y.Q., Tang, F., Qiao, J., 2015. The transcriptome and DNA methylome landscapes of human primordial germ cells. Cell 161, 1437–1452. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.015
- Guo, H., Hu, B., Yan, L., Yong, J., Wu, Y., Gao, Y., Guo, F., Hou, Y., Fan, X., Dong, J., Wang, X., Zhu, X., Yan, J., Wei, Y., Jin, H., Zhang, W., Wen, L., Tang, F., Qiao, J., 2017. DNA methylation and 50 chromatin accessibility profiling of mouse and human fetal germ cells. Cell Res. 27, 165-183.

5

15

10

20



doi:10.1038/cr.2016.128

- Guo, J., Grow, E.J., Yi, C., Mlcochova, H., Maher, G.J., Lindskog, C., Murphy, P.J., Wike, C.L., Carrell, D.T., Goriely, A., Hotaling, J.M., Cairns, B.R., 2017. Chromatin and Single-Cell RNA-Seq Profiling Reveal Dynamic Signaling and Metabolic Transitions during Human Spermatogonial Stem Cell Development. Cell Stem Cell 21, 533-546.e6. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2017.09.003
- Ha, H., Song, J., Wang, S., Kapusta, A., Feschotte, C., Chen, K.C., Xing, J., 2014. A comprehensive analysis of piRNAs from adult human testis and their relationship with genes and mobile elements. BMC Genomics. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-545
- Habib, N., Avraham-Davidi, I., Basu, A., Burks, T., Shekhar, K., Hofree, M., Choudhury, S.R., Aguet, F., 10 Gelfand, E., Ardlie, K., Weitz, D.A., Rozenblatt-Rosen, O., Zhang, F., Regev, A., 2017. Massively with DroNc-seq. Methods parallel single-nucleus RNA-seq Nat. 14. 955-958. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4407
 - Habib, N., Li, Y., Heidenreich, M., Swiech, L., Avraham-Davidi, I., Trombetta, J.J., Hession, C., Zhang, F., Regev, A., 2016. Div-Seq: Single-nucleus RNA-Seq reveals dynamics of rare adult newborn neurons. Science 353, 925-8. doi:10.1126/science.aad7038
 - Hajkova, P., Jeffries, S.J., Lee, C., Miller, N., Jackson, S.P., Surani, M.A., 2010. Genome-wide reprogramming in the mouse germ line entails the base excision repair pathway. Science 329, 78–82. doi:10.1126/science.1187945
- Hammoud, S.S., Low, D.H.P., Yi, C., Carrell, D.T., Guccione, E., Cairns, B.R., Schübeler, D., Wirbelauer, C., Oakeley, E.J., Gaidatzis, D., al., et, 2014. Chromatin and Transcription Transitions of Mammalian Adult Germline Stem Cells and Spermatogenesis. Cell Stem Cell 15, 239-253. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2014.04.006
- Hammoud, S.S., Low, D.H.P., Yi, C., Lee, C.L., Oatley, J.M., Payne, C.J., Carrell, D.T., Guccione, E., Cairns, B.R., 2015. Transcription and imprinting dynamics in developing postnatal male germline stem cells. Genes Dev. 29, 2312–24. doi:10.1101/gad.261925.115 25
 - Han, Y., Guo, S., Muegge, K., Zhang, W., Zhou, B., 2015. Advanced Applications of RNA Sequencing and Challenges. Bioinform. Biol. Insights 9, 29. doi:10.4137/BBI.S28991
 - Harcourt, A.H., Harvey, P.H., Larson, S.G., Short, R. V, 1981. Testis weight, body weight and breeding system in primates. Nature 293, 55–7.
- Hardwick, S.A., Deveson, I.W., Mercer, T.R., 2017. Reference standards for next-generation sequencing. 30 Nat. Rev. Genet. 18, 473-484. doi:10.1038/nrg.2017.44
 - Head, S.R., Komori, H.K., LaMere, S.A., Whisenant, T., Van Nieuwerburgh, F., Salomon, D.R., Ordoukhanian, P., 2014. Library construction for next-generation sequencing: overviews and challenges. Biotechniques 56, 61-4, 66, 68, passim. doi:10.2144/000114133
- 35 Heller, M.J., 2002. DNA Microarray Technology: Devices, Systems, and Applications. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 4, 129–153. doi:10.1146/annurev.bioeng.4.020702.153438
 - Helsel, A.R., Yang, Q.-E., Oatley, M.J., Lord, T., Sablitzky, F., Oatley, J.M., 2017. ID4 levels dictate the stem cell state in mouse spermatogonia. Development 144, 624-634. doi:10.1242/dev.146928
- Hermann, B.P., Mutoji, K.N., Velte, E.K., Ko, D., Oatley, J.M., Geyer, C.B., McCarrey, J.R., 2015.
- 40 Transcriptional and translational heterogeneity among neonatal mouse spermatogonia. Biol. Reprod. 92, 54. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.114.125757
 - Hou, Y., Guo, H., Cao, C., Li, X., Hu, B., Zhu, P., Wu, X., Wen, L., Tang, F., Huang, Y., Peng, J., 2016. Single-cell triple omics sequencing reveals genetic, epigenetic, and transcriptomic heterogeneity in hepatocellular carcinomas. Cell Res. 26, 304-319. doi:10.1038/cr.2016.23
- Houmard, B., Small, C., Yang, L., Naluai-Cecchini, T., Cheng, E., Hassold, T., Griswold, M., 2009. 45 Global Gene Expression in the Human Fetal Testis and Ovary. Biol Reprod 443, biolreprod.108.075747. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.108.075747
 - Hrdlickova, R., Toloue, M., Tian, B., 2017. RNA-Seq methods for transcriptome analysis. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA 8, e1364. doi:10.1002/wrna.1364
- Huang, H.-C., Niu, Y., Qin, L.-X., 2015. Differential Expression Analysis for RNA-Seq: An Overview of 50 Statistical Methods and Computational Software. Cancer Inform. 57-67. 14.

5

doi:10.4137/CIN.S21631

- Huang, S., Yang, B., Chen, B.J., Bliim, N., Ueberham, U., Arendt, T., Janitz, M., 2017. The emerging role of circular RNAs in transcriptome regulation. Genomics 109, 401–407. doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2017.06.005
- 5 Huang, S., Zhang, J., Li, R., Zhang, W., He, Z., Lam, T.-W., Peng, Z., Yiu, S.-M., 2011. SOAPsplice: Genome-Wide ab initio Detection of Splice Junctions from RNA-Seq Data. Front. Genet. 2, 46. doi:10.3389/fgene.2011.00046
 - Ichijima, Y., Sin, H.-S., Namekawa, S.H., 2012. Sex chromosome inactivation in germ cells: emerging roles of DNA damage response pathways. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 69, 2559–72. doi:10.1007/s00018-012-0941-5
 - Idler, R.K., Yan, W., 2012. Control of messenger RNA fate by RNA-binding proteins: an emphasis on mammalian spermatogenesis. J. Androl. 33, 309–37. doi:10.2164/jandrol.111.014167
 - Irie, N., Weinberger, L., Tang, W.W.C., Kobayashi, T., Viukov, S., Manor, Y.S., Dietmann, S., Hanna, J.H., Surani, M.A., 2015. SOX17 is a critical specifier of human primordial germ cell fate. Cell 160, 253–268. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.12.013
 - Izadi, F., Zarrini, H.N., Kiani, G., Jelodar, N.B., 2016. A comparative analytical assay of gene regulatory networks inferred using microarray and RNA-seq datasets. Bioinformation 12, 340–346. doi:10.6026/97320630012340
 - Jacinto, F. V, Ballestar, E., Esteller, M., 2008. Methyl-DNA immunoprecipitation (MeDIP): hunting down the DNA methylome. Biotechniques 44, 35, 37, 39 passim.
 - Jaitin, D.A., Kenigsberg, E., Keren-Shaul, H., Elefant, N., Paul, F., Zaretsky, I., Mildner, A., Cohen, N., Jung, S., Tanay, A., Amit, I., 2014. Massively parallel single-cell RNA-seq for marker-free decomposition of tissues into cell types. Science 343, 776–9. doi:10.1126/science.1247651
- Jameson, S.A., Natarajan, A., Cool, J., DeFalco, T., Maatouk, D.M., Mork, L., Munger, S.C., Capel, B.,
 2012. Temporal transcriptional profiling of somatic and germ cells reveals biased lineage priming of sexual fate in the fetal mouse gonad. PLoS Genet. 8, e1002575. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002575
 - Jan, S.Z., Vormer, T.L., Jongejan, A., Röling, M., Silber, S.J., de Rooij, D.G., Hamer, G., Repping, S., van Pelt, A.M.M., 2017. Unraveling transcriptome dynamics in human spermatogenesis. Development dev.152413. doi:10.1242/dev.152413
- 30 Jégou, B., Sankararaman, S., Rolland, A.D., Reich, D., Chalmel, F., 2017. Meiotic Genes Are Enriched in Regions of Reduced Archaic Ancestry. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34, 1974–1980. doi:10.1093/molbev/msx141
 - Jin, S.-G., Wu, X., Li, A.X., Pfeifer, G.P., 2011. Genomic mapping of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in the human brain. Nucleic Acids Res. 39, 5015–5024. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr120
- 35 Johnson, J.M., Castle, J., Garrett-Engele, P., Kan, Z., Loerch, P.M., Armour, C.D., Santos, R., Schadt, E.E., Stoughton, R., Shoemaker, D.D., 2003. Genome-wide survey of human alternative pre-mRNA splicing with exon junction microarrays. Science 302, 2141–4. doi:10.1126/science.1090100
 - Kalisky, T., Oriel, S., Bar-Lev, T.H., Ben-Haim, N., Trink, A., Wineberg, Y., Kanter, I., Gilad, S., Pyne, S., 2017. A brief review of single-cell transcriptomic technologies. Brief. Funct. Genomics. doi:10.1093/bfgp/elx019

40 doi:10.1093/bfgp/elx019

- Katz, Y., Wang, E.T., Airoldi, E.M., Burge, C.B., 2010. Analysis and design of RNA sequencing experiments for identifying isoform regulation. Nat. Methods 7, 1009–1015. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1528
- Kelly, T.K., Liu, Y., Lay, F.D., Liang, G., Berman, B.P., Jones, P.A., 2012. Genome-wide mapping of nucleosome positioning and DNA methylation within individual DNA molecules. Genome Res. 22, 2497–2506. doi:10.1101/gr.143008.112
 - Klein, A.M., Mazutis, L., Akartuna, I., Tallapragada, N., Veres, A., Li, V., Peshkin, L., Weitz, D.A., Kirschner, M.W., 2015. Droplet Barcoding for Single-Cell Transcriptomics Applied to Embryonic Stem Cells. Cell 161, 1187–1201. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.044
- 50 Kolesnikov, N., Hastings, E., Keays, M., Melnichuk, O., Tang, Y.A., Williams, E., Dylag, M., Kurbatova, N., Brandizi, M., Burdett, T., Megy, K., Pilicheva, E., Rustici, G., Tikhonov, A., Parkinson, H.,

20

10

Petryszak, R., Sarkans, U., Brazma, A., 2015. ArrayExpress update—simplifying data submissions. Nucleic Acids Res. 43, D1113–D1116. doi:10.1093/nar/gku1057

- Kotaja, N., Sassone-Corsi, P., 2007. The chromatoid body: a germ-cell-specific RNA-processing centre. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8, 85–90. doi:10.1038/nrm2081
- 5 Kowalczykiewicz, D., Świercz, A., Handschuh, L., Leśniak, K., Figlerowicz, M., Wrzesinski, J., 2014. Characterization of Sus scrofa small non-coding RNAs present in both female and male gonads. PLoS One 9, e113249. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113249
 - Kubo, N., Toh, H., Shirane, K., Shirakawa, T., Kobayashi, H., Sato, T., Sone, H., Sato, Y., Tomizawa, S., Tsurusaki, Y., Shibata, H., Saitsu, H., Suzuki, Y., Matsumoto, N., Suyama, M., Kono, T., Ohbo, K.,
- 10 Sasaki, H., 2015. DNA methylation and gene expression dynamics during spermatogonial stem cell differentiation in the early postnatal mouse testis. BMC Genomics 16, 624. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1833-5
 - Kvam, V.M., Liu, P., Si, Y., 2012. A comparison of statistical methods for detecting differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq data. Am. J. Bot. 99, 248–56. doi:10.3732/ajb.1100340
- 15 Laiho, A., Kotaja, N., Gyenesei, A., Sironen, A., 2013. Transcriptome profiling of the murine testis during the first wave of spermatogenesis. PLoS One 8, e61558. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061558
 - Lake, B.B., Ai, R., Kaeser, G.E., Salathia, N.S., Yung, Y.C., Liu, R., Wildberg, A., Gao, D., Fung, H.-L., Chen, S., Vijayaraghavan, R., Wong, J., Chen, A., Sheng, X., Kaper, F., Shen, R., Ronaghi, M., Fan, J.-B., Wang, W., Chun, J., Zhang, K., 2016. Neuronal subtypes and diversity revealed by singlenucleus RNA sequencing of the human brain. Science 352, 1586–90. doi:10.1126/science.aaf1204
 - Laver, T., Harrison, J., O'Neill, P.A., Moore, K., Farbos, A., Paszkiewicz, K., Studholme, D.J., 2015. Assessing the performance of the Oxford Nanopore Technologies MinION. Biomol. Detect. Quantif. 3, 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.bdq.2015.02.001
- Lee, T.-L., Cheung, H.-H., Claus, J., Sastry, C., Singh, S., Vu, L., Rennert, O., Chan, W.-Y., 2009.
 GermSAGE: a comprehensive SAGE database for transcript discovery on male germ cell development. Nucleic Acids Res. 37, D891-7. doi:10.1093/nar/gkn644
 - Lee, T.-L., Rennert, O.M., Chan, W.-Y., 2012. Revealing the transcriptome landscape of mouse spermatogonial cells by tiling microarray. Methods Mol. Biol. 825, 75–92. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-436-0_7
- 30 Lesch, B.J., Dokshin, G.A., Young, R.A., McCarrey, J.R., Page, D.C., 2013. A set of genes critical to development is epigenetically poised in mouse germ cells from fetal stages through completion of meiosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 16061–6. doi:10.1073/pnas.1315204110
 - Li, L., Dong, J., Yan, L., Yong, J., Liu, X., Hu, Y., Fan, X., Wu, X., Guo, H., Wang, X., Zhu, X., Li, R., Yan, J., Wei, Y., Zhao, Y., Wang, W., Ren, Y., Yuan, P., Yan, Z., Hu, B., Guo, F., Wen, L., Tang,
- 35 F., Qiao, J., 2017. Single-Cell RNA-Seq Analysis Maps Development of Human Germline Cells and Gonadal Niche Interactions. Cell Stem Cell 20, 891–892. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2017.05.009
 - Li, M., Liu, Y., Wang, T., Guan, J., Luo, Z., Chen, H., Wang, X., Chen, L., Ma, J., Mu, Z., Jiang, A., Zhu, L., Lang, Q., Zhou, X., Wang, J., Zeng, W., Li, N., Li, K., Gao, X., Li, X., 2011. Repertoire of porcine microRNAs in adult ovary and testis by deep sequencing. Int. J. Biol. Sci. 7, 1045–55.
- 40 Li, X., Ao, J., Wu, J., 2017. Systematic identification and comparison of expressed profiles of lncRNAs and circRNAs with associated co-expression and ceRNA networks in mouse germline stem cells. Oncotarget 8, 26573–26590. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.15719
 - Li, X.Z., Roy, C.K., Dong, X., Bolcun-Filas, E., Wang, J., Han, B.W., Xu, J., Moore, M.J., Schimenti, J.C., Weng, Z., Zamore, P.D., 2013. An ancient transcription factor initiates the burst of piRNA
- 45 production during early meiosis in mouse testes. Mol. Cell 50, 67–81. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2013.02.016
 - Li, Y., Li, J., Fang, C., Shi, L., Tan, J., Xiong, Y., Bin Fan, B., Li, C., 2016. Genome-wide differential expression of genes and small RNAs in testis of two different porcine breeds and at two different ages. Sci. Rep. 6, 26852. doi:10.1038/srep26852
- 50 Lian, C., Sun, B., Niu, S., Yang, R., Liu, B., Lu, C., Meng, J., Qiu, Z., Zhang, L., Zhao, Z., 2012. A comparative profile of the microRNA transcriptome in immature and mature porcine testes using

Solexa deep sequencing. FEBS J. 279, 964-75. doi:10.1111/j.1742-4658.2012.08480.x

- Lin, X., Han, M., Cheng, L., Chen, J., Zhang, Z., Shen, T., Wang, M., Wen, B., Ni, T., Han, C., 2016. Expression dynamics, relationships, and transcriptional regulations of diverse transcripts in mouse spermatogenic cells. RNA Biol. 13, 1011–1024. doi:10.1080/15476286.2016.1218588
- 5 Liu, G., Lei, B., Li, Y., Tong, K., Ding, Y., Luo, L., Xia, X., Jiang, S., Deng, C., Xiong, Y., Li, F., 2012. Discovery of potential piRNAs from next generation sequences of the sexually mature porcine testes. PLoS One 7, e34770. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034770
 - Lord, T., Oatley, J.M., 2017. A revised Asingle model to explain stem cell dynamics in the mouse male germline. Reproduction 154, R55–R64. doi:10.1530/REP-17-0034
- 10 Lu, H., Giordano, F., Ning, Z., 2016. Oxford Nanopore MinION Sequencing and Genome Assembly. Genomics. Proteomics Bioinformatics 14, 265–279. doi:10.1016/j.gpb.2016.05.004
 - Luk, A.C.-S., Chan, W.-Y., Rennert, O.M., Lee, T.-L., 2014. Long noncoding RNAs in spermatogenesis: insights from recent high-throughput transcriptome studies. Reproduction 147, R131-41. doi:10.1530/REP-13-0594
- 15 Luk, A.C.-S., Gao, H., Xiao, S., Liao, J., Wang, D., Tu, J., Rennert, O.M., Chan, W.-Y., Lee, T.-L., 2015. GermlncRNA: a unique catalogue of long non-coding RNAs and associated regulations in male germ cell development. Database (Oxford). 2015, bav044. doi:10.1093/database/bav044
 - Luo, L.-F., Hou, C.-C., Yang, W.-X., 2016. Small non-coding RNAs and their associated proteins in spermatogenesis. Gene 578, 141–157. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2015.12.020
- 20 Luo, Z., Liu, Y., Chen, L., Ellis, M., Li, M., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., Fu, P., Wang, K., Li, X., Wang, L., 2015. microRNA profiling in three main stages during porcine spermatogenesis. J. Assist. Reprod. Genet. 32, 451–60. doi:10.1007/s10815-014-0406-x
 - Macaulay, I.C., Ponting, C.P., Voet, T., 2017. Single-Cell Multiomics: Multiple Measurements from Single Cells. Trends Genet. 33, 155–168. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2016.12.003
- 25 Macosko, E.Z., Basu, A., Satija, R., Nemesh, J., Shekhar, K., Goldman, M., Tirosh, I., Bialas, A.R., Kamitaki, N., Martersteck, E.M., Trombetta, J.J., Weitz, D.A., Sanes, J.R., Shalek, A.K., Regev, A., McCarroll, S.A., 2015. Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. Cell 161, 1202–1214. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.002
- Malone, J.H., Oliver, B., 2011. Microarrays, deep sequencing and the true measure of the transcriptome. 30 BMC Biol. 9, 34. doi:10.1186/1741-7007-9-34
 - Margolin, G., Khil, P.P., Kim, J., Bellani, M.A., Camerini-Otero, R.D., 2014. Integrated transcriptome analysis of mouse spermatogenesis. BMC Genomics 15, 39. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-15-39
 - McClelland, K.S., Bell, K., Larney, C., Harley, V.R., Sinclair, A.H., Oshlack, A., Koopman, P., Bowles, J., 2015. Purification and Transcriptomic Analysis of Mouse Fetal Leydig Cells Reveals Candidate
- 35 Genes for Specification of Gonadal Steroidogenic Cells1. Biol. Reprod. 92, 1–12. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.115.128918
 - McKenna, A., Findlay, G.M., Gagnon, J.A., Horwitz, M.S., Schier, A.F., Shendure, J., 2016. Wholeorganism lineage tracing by combinatorial and cumulative genome editing. Science (80-.). 353, aaf7907. doi:10.1126/science.aaf7907
- 40 Meikar, O., Da Ros, M., Korhonen, H., Kotaja, N., 2011. Chromatoid body and small RNAs in male germ cells. Reproduction 142, 195–209. doi:10.1530/REP-11-0057
 - Meikar, O., Vagin, V. V, Chalmel, F., Sõstar, K., Lardenois, A., Hammell, M., Jin, Y., Da Ros, M., Wasik, K.A., Toppari, J., Hannon, G.J., Kotaja, N., 2014. An atlas of chromatoid body components. RNA 20, 483–95. doi:10.1261/rna.043729.113
- 45 Meng, X., Liu, P., Jia, F., Li, J., Gao, B.-Q., 2015. De novo Transcriptome Analysis of Portunus trituberculatus Ovary and Testis by RNA-Seq: Identification of Genes Involved in Gonadal Development. PLoS One 10, e0128659. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128659
 - Mensaert, K., Denil, S., Trooskens, G., Van Criekinge, W., Thas, O., De Meyer, T., 2014. Next-generation technologies and data analytical approaches for epigenomics. Environ. Mol. Mutagen. 55, 155–170. doi:10.1002/em.21841
 - Mockler, T.C., Ecker, J.R., Sundaresan, A., Chen, H., Jacobsen, S.E., Ecker, J.R., 2005. Applications of

27

DNA tiling arrays for whole-genome analysis. Genomics 85, 1–15. doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2004.10.005 Morozova, O., Hirst, M., Marra, M.A., 2009. Applications of New Sequencing Technologies for Transcriptome Analysis. Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. 10, 135–151. doi:10.1146/annurevgenom-082908-145957

- 5 Munger, S.C., Natarajan, A., Looger, L.L., Ohler, U., Capel, B., 2013. Fine Time Course Expression Analysis Identifies Cascades of Activation and Repression and Maps a Putative Regulator of Mammalian Sex Determination. PLoS Genet. 9, e1003630. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003630
 - Mutoji, K., Singh, A., Nguyen, T., Gildersleeve, H., Kaucher, A. V., Oatley, M.J., Oatley, J.M., Velte, E.K., Geyer, C.B., Cheng, K., McCarrey, J.R., Hermann, B.P., 2016. TSPAN8 Expression
- 10 Distinguishes Spermatogonial Stem Cells in the Prepubertal Mouse Testis. Biol. Reprod. 95, 117– 117. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.116.144220
 - Naro, C., Jolly, A., Di Persio, S., Bielli, P., Setterblad, N., Alberdi, A.J., Vicini, E., Geremia, R., De la Grange, P., Sette, C., 2017. An Orchestrated Intron Retention Program in Meiosis Controls Timely Usage of Transcripts during Germ Cell Differentiation. Dev. Cell 41, 82–93.e4. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2017.03.003
 - Necsulea, A., Soumillon, M., Warnefors, M., Liechti, A., Daish, T., Zeller, U., Baker, J.C., Grützner, F., Kaessmann, H., 2014. The evolution of lncRNA repertoires and expression patterns in tetrapods. Nature 505, 635–40. doi:10.1038/nature12943
 - Nelson, N.J., 2001. Microarrays have arrived: gene expression tool matures. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 93, 492– 4.
 - Nguyen, M.T., Delaney, D.P., Kolon, T.F., 2009. Gene expression alterations in cryptorchid males using spermatozoal microarray analysis. Fertil. Steril. 92, 182–187. doi:10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.05.043
 - Niu, Z., Goodyear, S.M., Rao, S., Wu, X., Tobias, J.W., Avarbock, M.R., Brinster, R.L., 2011. MicroRNA-21 regulates the self-renewal of mouse spermatogonial stem cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108, 12740–5. doi:10.1073/pnas.1109987108
 - O'Neil, S.T., Emrich, S.J., 2013. Assessing De Novo transcriptome assembly metrics for consistency and utility. BMC Genomics 14, 465. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-465

Oakberg, E.F., 1957. Duration of spermatogenesis in the mouse. Nature 180, 1137-8.

- Oakberg, E.F., 1956. Duration of spermatogenesis in the mouse and timing of stages of the cycle of the seminiferous epithelium. Am. J. Anat. 99, 507–516. doi:10.1002/aja.1000990307
- Oatley, M.J., Kaucher, A. V., Racicot, K.E., Oatley, J.M., 2011. Inhibitor of DNA Binding 4 Is Expressed Selectively by Single Spermatogonia in the Male Germline and Regulates the Self-Renewal of Spermatogonial Stem Cells in Mice1. Biol. Reprod. 85, 347–356. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.111.091330
- 35 Okada, H., Tajima, A., Shichiri, K., Tanaka, A., Tanaka, K., Inoue, I., 2008. Genome-Wide Expression of Azoospermia Testes Demonstrates a Specific Profile and Implicates ART3 in Genetic Susceptibility. PLoS Genet. 4, e26. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0040026
 - Orwig, K.E., Ryu, B.-Y., Master, S.R., Phillips, B.T., Mack, M., Avarbock, M.R., Chodosh, L., Brinster, R.L., 2008. Genes Involved in Post-Transcriptional Regulation Are Overrepresented in
- 40 Stem/Progenitor Spermatogonia of Cryptorchid Mouse Testes. Stem Cells 26, 927–938. doi:10.1634/stemcells.2007-0893
 - Park, P.J., 2009. ChIP-seq: advantages and challenges of a maturing technology. Nat. Rev. Genet. 10, 669–80. doi:10.1038/nrg2641
 - Paronetto, M.P., Sette, C., 2010. Role of RNA-binding proteins in mammalian spermatogenesis. Int. J. Androl. 33, 2–12. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2605.2009.00959.x
 - Parvinen, M., 2005. The chromatoid body in spermatogenesis. Int. J. Androl. 28, 189–201. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2605.2005.00542.x
 - Perli, S.D., Cui, C.H., Lu, T.K., 2016. Continuous genetic recording with self-targeting CRISPR-Cas in human cells. Science 353. doi:10.1126/science.aag0511
- 50 Peruquetti, R.L., 2015. Perspectives on mammalian chromatoid body research. Anim. Reprod. Sci. 159, 8– 16. doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2015.05.018

28

45

15

20

- Popp, C., Dean, W., Feng, S., Cokus, S.J., Andrews, S., Pellegrini, M., Jacobsen, S.E., Reik, W., 2010. Genome-wide erasure of DNA methylation in mouse primordial germ cells is affected by AID deficiency. Nature 463, 1101-5. doi:10.1038/nature08829
- Pozhitkov, A.E., Tautz, D., Noble, P.A., 2007. Oligonucleotide microarrays: widely applied poorly understood. Briefings Funct. Genomics Proteomics 6, 141-148. doi:10.1093/bfgp/elm014
- Ramsköld, D., Wang, E.T., Burge, C.B., Sandberg, R., 2009. An Abundance of Ubiquitously Expressed Genes Revealed by Tissue Transcriptome Sequence Data. PLoS Comput. Biol. 5, e1000598. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000598
- Rastetter, R.H., Smith, C.A., Wilhelm, D., 2015. The role of non-coding RNAs in male sex determination 10 and differentiation. Reproduction 150, R93-107. doi:10.1530/REP-15-0106
 - Rhoads, A., Au, K.F., 2015. PacBio Sequencing and Its Applications. Genomics. Proteomics Bioinformatics 13, 278–89. doi:10.1016/j.gpb.2015.08.002
 - Rockett, J.C., Christopher Luft, J., Brian Garges, J., Krawetz, S.A., Hughes, M.R., Hee Kirn, K., Oudes, A.J., Dix, D.J., 2001. Development of a 950-gene DNA array for examining gene expression patterns in mouse testis. Genome Biol. 2. RESEARCH0014.
 - Rybak-Wolf, A., Stottmeister, C., Glažar, P., Jens, M., Pino, N., Giusti, S., Hanan, M., Behm, M., Bartok, O., Ashwal-Fluss, R., Herzog, M., Schreyer, L., Papavasileiou, P., Ivanov, A., Öhman, M., Refojo, D., Kadener, S., Rajewsky, N., 2015. Circular RNAs in the Mammalian Brain Are Highly Abundant, Conserved, and Dynamically Expressed. Mol. Cell 58, 870-85. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.03.027
- Sankararaman, S., Mallick, S., Dannemann, M., Prüfer, K., Kelso, J., Pääbo, S., Patterson, N., Reich, D., 20 2014. The genomic landscape of Neanderthal ancestry in present-day humans. Nature 507, 354–357. doi:10.1038/nature12961
- Sankararaman, S., Mallick, S., Patterson, N., Reich, D., 2016. The Combined Landscape of Denisovan and Neanderthal Ancestry in Present-Day Humans. Curr. Biol. 26, 1241-1247. 25 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.03.037
 - Satija, R., Farrell, J.A., Gennert, D., Schier, A.F., Regev, A., 2015. Spatial reconstruction of single-cell gene expression data. Nat. Biotechnol. 33, 495–502. doi:10.1038/nbt.3192
 - Schena, M., Shalon, D., Davis, R.W., Brown, P.O., 1995. Quantitative monitoring of gene expression patterns with a complementary DNA microarray. Science 270, 467-70.
- Schmid, R., Grellscheid, S.N., Ehrmann, I., Dalgliesh, C., Danilenko, M., Paronetto, M.P., Pedrotti, S., 30 Grellscheid, D., Dixon, R.J., Sette, C., Eperon, I.C., Elliott, D.J., 2013. The splicing landscape is globally reprogrammed during male meiosis. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, 10170-84. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt811
- Schmidt, S.T., Zimmerman, S.M., Wang, J., Kim, S.K., Quake, S.R., 2017. Quantitative Analysis of 35 Synthetic Cell Lineage Tracing Using Nuclease Barcoding. ACS Synth. Biol. 6, 936-942. doi:10.1021/acssynbio.6b00309
 - Schuster, A., Tang, C., Xie, Y., Ortogero, N., Yuan, S., Yan, W., 2016. SpermBase: A Database for Sperm-Borne RNA Contents. Biol. Reprod. 95, 99–99. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.116.142190
- Seisenberger, S., Andrews, S., Krueger, F., Arand, J., Walter, J., Santos, F., Popp, C., Thienpont, B., 40 Dean, W., Reik, W., 2012. The dynamics of genome-wide DNA methylation reprogramming in mouse primordial germ cells. Mol. Cell 48, 849-62. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2012.11.001
 - Seki, Y., Hayashi, K., Itoh, K., Mizugaki, M., Saitou, M., Matsui, Y., 2005. Extensive and orderly reprogramming of genome-wide chromatin modifications associated with specification and early development of germ cells in mice. Dev. Biol. 278, 440-58. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2004.11.025
- Skylaki, S., Hilsenbeck, O., Schroeder, T., 2016. Challenges in long-term imaging and quantification of 45 single-cell dynamics. Nat. Biotechnol. 34, 1137–1144. doi:10.1038/nbt.3713
 - Small, C.L., Shima, J.E., Uzumcu, M., Skinner, M.K., Griswold, M.D., 2005. Profiling Gene Expression During the Differentiation and Development of the Murine Embryonic Gonad 72, 492-501. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.104.033696
- Song, J.L., Nigam, P., Tektas, S.S., Selva, E., 2015. microRNA regulation of Wnt signaling pathways in 50 development and disease. Cell. Signal. 27, 1380-91. doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2015.03.018

5

- Soumillon, M., Necsulea, A., Weier, M., Brawand, D., Zhang, X., Gu, H., Barthès, P., Kokkinaki, M., Nef, S., Gnirke, A., Dym, M., deMassy, B., Mikkelsen, T.S., Kaessmann, H., 2013. Cellular Source and Mechanisms of High Transcriptome Complexity in the Mammalian Testis. Cell Rep. 3, 2179– 2190. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.05.031
- 5 Spiess, A.-N., Feig, C., Schulze, W., Chalmel, F., Cappallo-Obermann, H., Primig, M., Kirchhoff, C., 2007. Cross-platform gene expression signature of human spermatogenic failure reveals inflammatory-like response. Hum. Reprod. 22, 2936–2946. doi:10.1093/humrep/dem292
 - Stévant, I., Neirijnck, Y., Borel, C., Escoffier, J., Smith, L.B., Antonarakis, S.E., Dermitzakis, E.T., Nef, S., 2018. Deciphering Cell Lineage Specification during Male Sex Determination with Single-Cell RNA Sequencing. Cell Rep. 22, 1589–1599. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.01.043
 - Sun, J., Lin, Y., Wu, J., 2013. Long non-coding RNA expression profiling of mouse testis during postnatal development. PLoS One 8, e75750. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0075750
 - Tan, T., Zhang, Y., Ji, W., Zheng, P., 2014. miRNA signature in mouse spermatogonial stem cells revealed by high-throughput sequencing. Biomed Res. Int. 2014, 154251. doi:10.1155/2014/154251
- 15 Tanay, A., Regev, A., 2017. Scaling single-cell genomics from phenomenology to mechanism. Nature 541, 331–338. doi:10.1038/nature21350
 - Tang, W.W.C., Dietmann, S., Irie, N., Leitch, H.G., Floros, V.I., Bradshaw, C.R., Hackett, J.A., Chinnery, P.F., Surani, M.A., 2015. A Unique Gene Regulatory Network Resets the Human Germline Epigenome for Development. Cell 161, 1453–1467. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.04.053
- 20 Taylor, D.H., Chu, E.T.-J., Spektor, R., Soloway, P.D., 2015. Long non-coding RNA regulation of reproduction and development. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 82, 932–56. doi:10.1002/mrd.22581
 - van der Heijden, G.W., Eijpe, M., Baarends, W.M., 2011. The X and Y chromosome in meiosis: how and why they keep silent. Asian J. Androl. 13, 779–80. doi:10.1038/aja.2011.93
 - Velculescu, V.E., Zhang, L., Vogelstein, B., Kinzler, K.W., 1995. Serial analysis of gene expression. Science 270, 484–7.
 - Venables, J.P., 2002. Alternative splicing in the testes. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 12, 615–19.
 - von Kopylow, K., Kirchhoff, C., Jezek, D., Schulze, W., Feig, C., Primig, M., Steinkraus, V., Spiess, A.-N., 2010. Screening for biomarkers of spermatogonia within the human testis: a whole genome approach. Hum. Reprod. 25, 1104–1112. doi:10.1093/humrep/deq053
- 30 von Meyenn, F., Berrens, R. V, Andrews, S., Santos, F., Collier, A.J., Krueger, F., Osorno, R., Dean, W., Rugg-Gunn, P.J., Reik, W., 2016. Comparative Principles of DNA Methylation Reprogramming during Human and Mouse In Vitro Primordial Germ Cell Specification. Dev. Cell 39, 104–115. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2016.09.015
- Vourekas, A., Zheng, Q., Alexiou, P., Maragkakis, M., Kirino, Y., Gregory, B.D., Mourelatos, Z., 2012.
 Mili and Miwi target RNA repertoire reveals piRNA biogenesis and function of Miwi in spermiogenesis. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 19, 773–81. doi:10.1038/nsmb.2347
 - Wagner, A., Regev, A., Yosef, N., 2016. Revealing the vectors of cellular identity with single-cell genomics. Nat. Biotechnol. 34, 1145–1160. doi:10.1038/nbt.3711
- Walker, W.H., Delfino, F.J., Habener, J.F., 1999. RNA processing and the control of spermatogenesis.
 Front. Horm. Res. 25, 34–58.
 - Wang, C., Gong, B., Bushel, P.R., Thierry-Mieg, J., Thierry-Mieg, D., Xu, J., Fang, H., Hong, H., Shen, J., Su, Z., Meehan, J., Li, X., Yang, L., Li, H., Łabaj, P.P., Kreil, D.P., Megherbi, D., Gaj, S., Caiment, F., van Delft, J., Kleinjans, J., Scherer, A., Devanarayan, V., Wang, J., Yang, Y., Qian, H.-R., Lancashire, L.J., Bessarabova, M., Nikolsky, Y., Furlanello, C., Chierici, M., Albanese, D.,
- 45 Jurman, G., Riccadonna, S., Filosi, M., Visintainer, R., Zhang, K.K., Li, J., Hsieh, J.-H., Svoboda, D.L., Fuscoe, J.C., Deng, Y., Shi, L., Paules, R.S., Auerbach, S.S., Tong, W., 2014. The concordance between RNA-seq and microarray data depends on chemical treatment and transcript abundance. Nat. Biotechnol. 32, 926–32. doi:10.1038/nbt.3001
 - Wang, K.C., Chang, H.Y., 2011. Molecular Mechanisms of Long Noncoding RNAs. Mol. Cell 43, 904– 914. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2011.08.018
 - Wang, Z., Gerstein, M., Snyder, M., 2009. RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. Nat. Rev.

30

50

10

Genet. 10, 57–63. doi:10.1038/nrg2484

- Wichman, L., Somasundaram, S., Breindel, C., Valerio, D.M., McCarrey, J.R., Hodges, C.A., Khalil, A.M., 2017. Dynamic expression of long noncoding RNAs reveals their potential roles in spermatogenesis and fertility. Biol. Reprod. 97, 313–323. doi:10.1093/biolre/iox084
- 5 Wu, Z., Wu, H., 2016. Experimental Design and Power Calculation for RNA-seq Experiments. Humana Press, New York, NY, pp. 379–390. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-3578-9_18
 - Yadav, R.P., Kotaja, N., 2014. Small RNAs in spermatogenesis. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 382, 498–508. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2013.04.015
- Yang, Q., Hua, J., Wang, L., Xu, B., Zhang, H., Ye, N., Zhang, Z., Yu, D., Cooke, H.J., Zhang, Y., Shi,
 Q., 2013. MicroRNA and piRNA profiles in normal human testis detected by next generation sequencing. PLoS One 8, e66809. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066809
 - Yuan, G.-C., Cai, L., Elowitz, M., Enver, T., Fan, G., Guo, G., Irizarry, R., Kharchenko, P., Kim, J., Orkin, S., Quackenbush, J., Saadatpour, A., Schroeder, T., Shivdasani, R., Tirosh, I., 2017. Challenges and emerging directions in single-cell analysis. Genome Biol. 18, 84. doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1218-y
 - Zhang, P., Kang, J.-Y., Gou, L.-T., Wang, J., Xue, Y., Skogerboe, G., Dai, P., Huang, D.-W., Chen, R., Fu, X.-D., Liu, M.-F., He, S., 2015. MIWI and piRNA-mediated cleavage of messenger RNAs in mouse testes. Cell Res. 25, 193–207. doi:10.1038/cr.2015.4
 - Zhu, Z.-J., Yang, S., Li, Z., 2015. Transcriptome research on spermatogenic molecular drive in mammals. Asian J. Androl. 17, 961–71. doi:10.4103/1008-682X.159721
 - Zhu, Z., Li, C., Yang, S., Tian, R., Wang, J., Yuan, Q., Dong, H., He, Z., Wang, S., Li, Z., 2016. Dynamics of the Transcriptome during Human Spermatogenesis: Predicting the Potential Key Genes Regulating Male Gametes Generation. Sci. Rep. 6, 19069. doi:10.1038/srep19069
- Ziegenhain, C., Vieth, B., Parekh, S., Reinius, B., Guillaumet-Adkins, A., Smets, M., Leonhardt, H.,
 Heyn, H., Hellmann, I., Enard, W., 2017. Comparative Analysis of Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Methods. Mol. Cell 65, 631–643.e4. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.01.023
 - Zilionis, R., Nainys, J., Veres, A., Savova, V., Zemmour, D., Klein, A.M., Mazutis, L., 2016. Single-cell barcoding and sequencing using droplet microfluidics. Nat. Protoc. 12, 44–73. doi:10.1038/nprot.2016.154
- 30 Zimmermann, C., Stévant, I., Borel, C., Conne, B., Pitetti, J.-L., Calvel, P., Kaessmann, H., Jégou, B., Chalmel, F., Nef, S., 2015. Research Resource: The Dynamic Transcriptional Profile of Sertoli Cells During the Progression of Spermatogenesis. Mol. Endocrinol. 29, 627–642. doi:10.1210/me.2014-1356

20