



HAL
open science

Transforming Growth Factor- β and Axl Induce CXCL5 and Neutrophil Recruitment in Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Christine Haider, Julia Hnat, Roland Wagner, Heidemarie Huber, Gerald Timelthaler, Markus Grubinger, Cédric Coulouarn, Wolfgang Schreiner, Karin Schlangen, Wolfgang Sieghart, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Christine Haider, Julia Hnat, Roland Wagner, Heidemarie Huber, Gerald Timelthaler, et al.. Transforming Growth Factor- β and Axl Induce CXCL5 and Neutrophil Recruitment in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Hepatology*, 2019, 69 (1), pp.222-236. 10.1002/hep.30166 . hal-01862575

HAL Id: hal-01862575

<https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01862575>

Submitted on 12 Sep 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Article type : Original

Transforming Growth Factor- β and Axl Induce CXCL5 and Neutrophil Recruitment in Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Christine Haider¹, Julia Hnat¹, Roland Wagner¹, Heidemarie Huber¹, Gerald Timelthaler¹, Markus Grubinger¹, Cédric Coulouarn², Wolfgang Schreiner³, Karin Schlangen³, Wolfgang Sieghart⁴, Markus Peck-Radosavljevic⁴ and Wolfgang Mikulits¹

¹Department of Medicine I, Division: Institute of Cancer Research, Comprehensive Cancer Center Vienna, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ²Univ Rennes, U1241, Nutrition Metabolisms and Cancer, Rennes, France; ³Section of Biosimulation and Bioinformatics, Center for Medical Statistics, Informatics and Intelligent Systems (CeMSIIS), Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ⁴Department of Internal Medicine III, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.

Key words: TGF- β , Axl, CXCL5, neutrophil, hepatocellular carcinoma, patient survival

Corresponding author: Wolfgang Mikulits, Department of Medicine I, Division: Institute of Cancer Research, Comprehensive Cancer Center Vienna, Medical University of Vienna, Borschke-Gasse 8A, 1090 Vienna, Austria. Tel: +43 1 40160 57527, Fax: +43 1 40160 957519, E-mail: wolfgang.mikulits@meduniwien.ac.at

Abbreviations: EGF, epidermal growth factor; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; NET, neutrophil extracellular trap; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3 kinase; RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase; siRNA, small interfering RNA; Smad3L, Smad3 linker; TGF, transforming growth factor.

Financial support: This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund, FWF, P25356 (to WM); the Herzfelder Family Foundation (to WM) and by the French Ligue Contre le Cancer (cd22, cd35, cd85) (to CC).

Abstract

Transforming growth factor (TGF)- β suppresses early hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) development but triggers pro-oncogenic abilities at later stages. Recent data suggest that the receptor tyrosine kinase Axl causes a TGF- β switch towards dedifferentiation and invasion of HCC cells. Here, we analyzed two human cellular HCC models with opposing phenotypes in response to TGF- β . Both HCC models showed reduced proliferation and clonogenic growth behavior upon TGF- β stimulation, however, exhibited differences in chemosensitivity and migratory abilities, suggesting that HCC cells evade traits of anti-oncogenic TGF- β . Transcriptome profiling revealed differential regulation of the chemokine CXCL5, which positively correlated with TGF- β expression in HCC patients. The expression and secretion

of CXCL5 was dependent on Axl expression suggesting that CXCL5 is a TGF- β target gene collaborating with Axl signaling. Loss of either TGF- β or Axl signaling abrogated CXCL5-dependent attraction of neutrophils. In mice, tumor formation of transplanted HCC cells relied on CXCL5 expression. In HCC patients, high levels of Axl and CXCL5 correlated with advanced tumor stages, recruitment of neutrophils into HCC tissue and reduced survival.

Conclusion: The synergy of TGF- β and Axl induces CXCL5 secretion causing the infiltration of neutrophils into HCC tissue. Intervention with TGF- β /Axl/CXCL5 signaling may be an effective therapeutic strategy to combat HCC progression in TGF- β -positive patients.

Introduction

Globally, liver cancer is the fifth most frequently diagnosed cancer and the second most frequent cause of cancer-related deaths (1). Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounts for 70% to 85% of the total liver cancer burden worldwide. Main reasons for the high mortality rate of HCC patients are diagnosis at an advanced stage and intrahepatic metastasis (2).

About 80% of HCC develop on a cirrhotic background caused by chronic infection with hepatitis B or C virus, metabolic disorders, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis or alcohol intoxication (3).

Chronic inflammation caused by these conditions leads to cell death, compensatory liver regeneration and activation of non-parenchymal cells which promotes liver fibrosis and tumorigenesis (4). Alterations in the immune response involve the infiltration of adaptive and innate immune cells, producing a pathological milieu composed of multiple extracellular matrix proteins, growth factors and chemokines that can form a pro-tumorigenic stroma (3, 5). It has been proposed that neutrophil infiltration is prognostic in several human cancers (6), including HCC (7). Neutrophils influence tumor progression through the paracrine release of

Accepted Article
cytokines and chemokines with pro- or anti-tumorigenic functions, depending on the tumor microenvironment (8).

Transforming growth factor (TGF)- β is a key pro-fibrogenic cytokine predominantly produced by activated mesenchymal cells upon chronic liver damage (9, 10). TGF- β signals via formation of a heterotetrameric complex of type I and type II serine/threonine kinase receptors upon ligand binding, which then cause canonical signaling by C-terminal phosphorylation of Smad2 and Smad3 as well as complex formation with Smad4 (11). The activated Smad complexes translocate into the nucleus where they regulate the transcription of multiple target genes in cooperation with co-activators and co-repressors. A multitude of signaling pathways can be activated by TGF- β in a Smad-independent manner through direct phosphorylation of downstream effectors including the Ras/mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK), c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), p38 MAPK, Cdc42, Par6, as well as the phosphoinositide 3 kinase (PI3K)/Akt pathway (12).

Cellular responses to TGF- β signaling result from the dynamic combination of canonical and non-canonical signaling cascades and from the crosstalk with other signaling pathways. In HCC, TGF- β acts anti-oncogenic in normal hepatocytes and early carcinomas, yet cytostatic and cytotoxic effects are frequently lost upon progression leading to invasion and metastasis (13, 14). Tumor-promoting TGF- β signaling has been shown to depend on receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signaling such as epidermal growth factor (EGF)/EGFR and hepatocyte growth factor/Met as well as integrins, which allows chemoresistance and escape from TGF- β /Smad-mediated apoptosis (15-17). Our recent study showed that signaling from the RTK Axl is central for TGF- β -mediated HCC progression. Axl signaling causes the aberrant phosphorylation of the Smad3 linker (Smad3L) region and induction of pro-metastatic target genes as well as increased secretion of TGF- β 1 (18), which has been shown to have a large impact on the immune cells in the tumor microenvironment (19). Despite tremendous efforts

and large progress in cancer research, the molecular mechanisms underlying this “TGF- β switch” in HCC are still poorly understood.

In this study, we mimicked the tumor-promoting role of TGF- β by establishing cellular HCC models that were long-term exposed to TGF- β , allowing us to identify molecular mechanisms that may cause the TGF- β switch. From these genes, we focused on CXCL5, which belongs to the CXC chemokine family that is also known as epithelial-derived neutrophil-activating peptide 78 mainly binding to the chemokine receptor CXCR2 (20). Most notably, we found that CXCL5 is regulated by the collaboration of TGF- β and Axl signaling to facilitate neutrophil infiltration in HCC patients.

Material and Methods

To analyze CXCL5, elastase, Axl, TGF- β 1 and phospho-Smad3L expression in primary HCC, tissue arrays were employed containing paraffin-embedded specimens of tumors and adjacent normal tissue collected from 133 HCC patients. All patients have undergone orthotopic liver transplantation for HCC at the Department of Transplantation Surgery, Medical University of Vienna, between 1982 and 2002 as described (18). All histological specimens were reviewed for histological type and graded by two individual board-certified pathologists.

Detailed information on experimental procedures can be found in the Supporting Material and Methods.

Results

Autocrine TGF- β regulation and cell migration of mesenchymal-like HCC cells

Our recent study showed that dedifferentiated, mesenchymal-like HCC cell lines secrete more TGF- β than epithelial HCC cells and exhibit higher migratory abilities (18). Two of these mesenchymal-like cell lines, SNU449 and HLF, were further investigated and exploited as cellular models. To investigate the role of the TGF- β signaling, we first demonstrated that these cell lines have an active TGF- β signaling by Smad2/3 translocation into the cell nucleus after short-term TGF- β treatment (Fig. 1A,B; left panels). SNU449 and HLF cells treated with TGF- β showed a 3-fold and 3.7-fold increase in nuclear staining intensity, respectively (Fig. 1A,B; right panels). Inhibition of TGF- β through LY2109761 (Ly; (21)) abrogated autocrine stimulation (Fig. 1C), while TGF- β /Smad signaling remained unaffected after FCS stimulation. To examine the role of TGF- β on cell motility, cells were treated with Ly and analyzed by wound healing assays (Fig. 1D). SNU449 and HLF cells showed a 50% and 70% decrease in migration after TGF- β inhibition, respectively, suggesting that both cell types were dependent on TGF- β in their migratory behavior. To confirm these data, we performed a knockdown of Smad4 (Fig. 1E). In accordance, both cell lines showed a 22% reduction in migration (Fig. 1F). These data suggest that autocrine TGF- β /Smad signaling is crucially involved in the migration of SNU449 and HLF cells.

Duration- and concentration-dependent migratory response to TGF- β treatment in mesenchymal-like HCC cells

While short-term TGF- β treatment (24 hours) of SNU449 and HLF cells failed to enhance migratory abilities (Fig. 2A), long-term TGF- β treatment (>10 days) revealed strong

Accepted Article

differences between the mesenchymal-like HCC cell lines termed SNU449-T and HLF-T cells (Fig. 2B; Supporting Fig. S1). Whereas HLF-T cells showed a 50% increase in migration, SNU449-T cells displayed a 50% decrease in migration (Fig. 2B). Cells were further treated with a serial dilution of TGF- β to assess the minimum concentration required to trigger this phenotype (Fig. 2C). Both cell lines showed strongly phosphorylated Smad2 at a concentration of 0.125 ng/mL TGF- β . Hence, cells were treated long-term with 1 ng/mL and 0.125 ng/mL TGF- β and analyzed for migratory abilities. SNU449 cells administrated with 0.125 ng/mL and 1 ng/mL showed a concentration-dependent reduction of the migrated area (Fig. 2D, left panel), i.e. 50% and 65%, respectively. Accordingly, HLF cells exhibited a concentration-dependent increase in migration after treatment with 0.125 ng/mL and 1 ng/mL TGF- β , i.e. 34% and 45% (Fig. 2D, right panel), respectively. These data indicate that the duration and concentration of TGF- β are critical parameters for the migratory behavior of the cells. Furthermore, we conclude that long-term TGF- β exposure of HCC cells leads to a different usage of the TGF- β pathway through collaboration with other signaling mechanisms.

Different chemosensitivity of long-term TGF- β treated mesenchymal-like cells

We further investigated the phosphorylation of Smad2 in SNU449 and HLF cells after long-term treatment with TGF- β (>10 days). Notably, the TGF- β signaling activity was prolonged in both long-term treated SNU449-T and HLF-T cells along with the expression of the TGF- β target gene Snail (Fig. 3A, left panel). Treatment with Ly diminished phosphorylated Smad2 levels in both serum-starved SNU449-T and HLF-T cells indicating autocrine TGF- β regulation (Fig. 3A, right panel). In addition, the expression of TGF- β was higher in SNU449-T (95%) and HLF-T (45%) cells in comparison to their parental cells (Fig. 3B).

Accepted Article

These data show that both cell lines displayed no different modulation in Smad2 phosphorylation or TGF- β 1 expression, suggesting an adaptive response in utilizing TGF- β signaling. Interestingly, SNU449-T cells showed a 57% reduction of proliferation compared to SNU449 cells and HLF-T showed a 62% decrease compared to HLF cells (Fig. 3C), which indicates reduced proliferation upon TGF- β stimulation. To further evaluate the role of long-term TGF- β treatment, the clonogenic growth behavior was analyzed. Both cell lines showed reduced clonogenic abilities with a decline of 40% (Fig. 3D,E). However, cell viability assays after treatment with sorafenib or doxorubicin revealed different responses in these cellular models. SNU449-T cells showed decreased viability towards sorafenib with IC₅₀ values of 4.5 μ M and 7 μ M in comparison to SNU449 cells, respectively (Fig. 3F, left panel). In contrast, HLF-T cells showed higher viability in comparison to control cells with IC₅₀ values of 5.4 μ M and 3.9 μ M, respectively (Fig. 3F, left panel). Doxorubicin showed a similar pattern, yet no significant changes of IC₅₀ values between SNU449 and SNU449-T cells were observed (Fig. 3F, right panel). Doxorubicin-treated HLF-T cells also showed increased cell viability with an IC₅₀ value of 1.300 μ M versus 781 μ M for HLF cells. These data suggest that both HCC cell types do not evade TGF- β -induced tumor-suppressive traits such as the cytostatic program. However, the same treatment conditions of hepatoma cells that displayed no modulation of TGF- β /Smad activation allowed HLF-T cells to escape from drug-induced cytotoxicity and to induce cell migration, suggesting different usage of active TGF- β signaling rather than its inactivation.

Transcriptome profiling of long-term TGF- β -treated HCC models reveals novel HCC target genes

To identify tumor-promoting mechanisms of TGF- β , we determined differential changes in gene expression of the opposing HCC cell models with and without long-term TGF- β treatment. Differences in the transcriptome profile were assessed by whole genome microarrays which revealed 932 genes that were differentially expressed by exhibiting a 2-fold up- or down-regulation (Supporting Fig. S2). To filter genes relevant in HCC patients, we correlated expression data with information on HCC patient survival from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) platform. We identified six upregulated and one downregulated target genes that exclusively showed expression in TGF- β -positive HCC patients, among them CXCL5 (Fig. 4A, Supporting Fig. S2). The expression of CXCL5 and other selected target genes were verified by qPCR analysis in SNU449/SNU449-T and HLF/HLF-T cells (Fig. 4B, Supporting Fig. S3). Most notably, levels of CXCL5 expression decreased when SNU449 cells were treated long-term with TGF- β , whereas levels of CXCL5 increased in HLF cells under these conditions. CXCL5 expression depended on TGF- β signaling in HLF-T cells whereas CXCL5 expression remained unaffected by TGF- β interference in SNU449-T cells (Supporting Fig. S4). Overall survival analysis showed that patients with high levels of CXCL5 have a significant shorter overall survival (Fig. 4C). Target genes such as C15orf48, CT83, DNER, MFAP2, and SLC22A15 also showed a lower overall survival when highly expressed in patients (Supporting Fig. S5). In contrast, TCF21 displayed a different pattern as patients with low expression correlated with reduced overall survival, as suggested by the cellular HCC model. Importantly, up- and downregulated target genes correlated with high (RPKM>20) and low (RPKM<5) expression of TGF- β in HCC patients, respectively (Fig. 4D). Together, expression profiling of SNU449 and HLF cells subjected to long-term TGF- β

treatment identified novel target genes which might play an important role in pro-oncogenic functions of TGF- β in HCC.

CXCL5 expression is dependent on TGF- β and affects cell invasion and tumor formation

We focused on the role of CXCL5 in HCC and evaluated its effects in cells ectopically expressing CXCL5, termed HLF-CXCL5 and SNU449-CXCL5. We found a strong increase of secreted CXCL5 in HLF-T and HLF-CXCL5 in comparison to HLF cells with a 33-fold and 2.000-fold increase, respectively (Fig. 5A). In contrast, SNU449-T cells showed a 19-fold decrease and SNU449-CXCL5 cells a 4.600-fold increase of secreted CXCL5 in comparison to untreated SNU449 cells. To analyze the TGF- β -dependence on CXCL5, HLF and HLF-T cells were treated with Ly, and CXCL5 secretion was determined. Notably, levels of CXCL5 in the supernatant of HLF-T cells were significantly reduced (Fig. 5B). Since Axl signaling is involved in shifting TGF- β responses from tumor suppression to tumor promotion in HCC (18), the effect of Axl on CXCL5 secretion was investigated. Importantly, CRISPR/Cas9-mediated Axl knockout cell lines almost completely abrogated the CXCL5 secretion (Fig. 5B). Silencing of Axl also blocked the up-regulation of CXCL5 after long-term treatment with TGF- β (Fig. 5B). These data were confirmed in a second HLF Axl knockout cell line termed HLF Axl-KO2 treated long-term with TGF- β (HLF Axl-KO2-T; data not shown).

We next studied the impact of CXCL5 on HCC cell invasion by cultivating hepatospheres into collagen gels. Both HLF-T and HLF-CXCL5 cell-derived hepatospheres showed a significant increase in collagen invasion compared to parental HLF cells (Fig. 5C,D).

Furthermore, cells were xenografted into immune-deficient mice to examine their tumorigenic properties. While SNU449 cells and its derivatives formed no tumors, HLF-T

and HLF-CXCL5 cells exhibited efficient tumor formation in 6 out of 7 mice and 7 out of 7 mice, respectively (Table 1). Interestingly, HLF-CXCL5 and HLF-T cells formed tumors after 5 days and after 2 months, respectively, whereas parental HLF cells displayed strongly retarded tumor formation at low frequency (Fig. 5E, Table 1). Staining of tumor tissues revealed that HLF-T-derived tumors express lower levels of CXCL5 compared to the exogenous overexpression in HLF tumors (Fig. 5F). Importantly, immunofluorescence as well as immunohistochemical analyses showed co-expression of CXCL5 and Axl in both HLF-T- and HLF-CXCL5-derived tumors (Supporting Fig. S6 and S7). From these results we conclude that CXCL5 secretion depends on TGF- β /Axl. Furthermore, CXCL5 was identified as the major driver of HCC cell invasion and tumor formation.

CXCL5 of long-term TGF- β treated HLF cells attracts neutrophils

As CXCL5 has a direct chemoattractant effect on neutrophils, we investigated the impact of long-term TGF- β treatment, ectopic CXCL5 expression, TGF- β inhibition and knockout of Axl on neutrophil migration. Interestingly, supernatants of both HLF-T and HLF-CXCL5 cells induced a 2-fold increase in neutrophil migration as compared to parental HLF cells (Fig. 6A,B). Ly-treated HLF-T cells showed a reduction of neutrophil migration to the level of HLF cells, whereas HLF-CXCL5 cells were unaffected by the TGF- β inhibitor. Axl-deficiency inhibited the effect of long-term TGF- β treatment in HLF cells. HLF AxlKO1 and HLF AxlKO2 cells that were long-term treated with TGF- β failed to increase neutrophil migration (Fig. 6A,B). Parental HLF, HLF-T and HLF-CXCL5 cells were further treated with small interfering (si)Smad4 to evaluate the impact of TGF- β on neutrophil migration. The Smad4 knockdown significantly reduced neutrophil migration in HLF and HLF-T cells with 14% and 57%, respectively (Fig. 6C; left and middle panel), while the knockdown of Smad4

did not affect neutrophil attraction to HLF-CXCL5 cells (Fig. 6C; right panel). Comparably, HLF-T cells treated with the Axl inhibitor TP0903 led to reduced neutrophil migration, whereas no change was detected in TP0903-treated HLF-CXCL5 cells (Fig. 6D).

Contrary to the observations in HLF cells, SNU449-T cells showed a 60% reduction in neutrophil migration compared to parental SNU449 cells (Fig. 6E). As expected, ectopic CXCL5 expression in SNU449-CXCL5 cells enhanced neutrophil migration. Together, these data provided evidence that CXCL5 secretion of long-term TGF- β treated HLF cells increases the attraction of neutrophils. Accordingly, genetic or pharmacological intervention with either TGF- β or Axl signaling abrogates neutrophil migration.

Expression of CXCL5 correlates with advanced tumor stages and neutrophil infiltration in HCC patients

We immunohistochemically determined the levels of CXCL5, elastase, TGF- β , Axl and Smad3L phosphorylation in HCC patient samples (n = 133) to assess the clinical relevance. Patients expressing high levels of CXCL5 as well as high levels of elastase exhibited more advanced tumor stages (Fig. 7A,B; Supporting Fig. S8). Accordingly, high CXCL5 levels correlated with high elastase levels that reflect the infiltration of neutrophils (Fig. 7C). CXCL5 showed no correlation with HCC patient records such as age, gender, hepatitis virus infection or cirrhosis (Supporting Table 2), while medium and high elastase expression showed a significant association with the HBV status (Supporting Table 3). Furthermore, CXCL5 expression strongly correlated with TGF- β expression, Smad3L phosphorylation and Axl expression (Fig. 7D,E,F), confirming our results in cellular models and suggesting that CXCL5 is regulated via the TGF- β /Smad3/Axl signaling axis. Overall survival was not significantly affected by the expression of CXCL5 or elastase in uni- and multivariate

analyses (Supporting Table 4). High levels of elastase were associated with decreased survival in this patient cohort, albeit without statistical significance ($p=0.0589$) (Supporting Fig. S9). Notably, medium to high levels of elastase were accompanied by an elevated recurrence status of HCC patients in uni- and multivariate analyses (Supporting Table 4). In conclusion, the expression of CXCL5 is linked to the tissue recruitment of neutrophils in HCC patients and associates with activated TGF- β /Smad3 signaling and Axl expression.

Discussion

TGF- β exhibits a dual role in HCC by acting tumor-suppressive at early stages and tumor-promoting at later stages (22). Using long-term TGF- β treated HCC cell models, we aimed at elucidating the molecular mechanisms of the “TGF- β switch” to better understand HCC progression. Both HCC models showed reduced proliferation and clonogenic growth behavior upon long-term TGF- β stimulation, however, displayed a difference in chemosensitivity and migratory abilities. In contrast to short-term TGF- β exposed HCC cells, long-term TGF- β stimulation upregulated CXCL5 expression in collaboration with Axl in HLF-T cells, whereas it failed to increase CXCL5 in SNU449-T cells. In this line, CXCL5 positively correlated with TGF- β and Axl expression in HCC patients. These data provide solid evidence that the effect of TGF- β signaling strongly depends on collaborating signaling pathways, as well as on the duration and intensity of TGF- β exposure. From these observations we conclude that HCC cells use active TGF- β signaling differently by modulating CXCL5 expression, which crucially affects the “TGF- β switch”.

We identified a set of TGF- β -dependent genes relevant for HCC progression including CT83, SLC22A15, DNER, CXCL5, C15orf48, MFAP2 and TCF21 by comparing both cellular HCC models. CT83 is a tumor antigen expressed in a variety of cancer tissues and testicular

germ cells (23). The roles of SLC22A15, an organic ion transporter, and C15orf48 remain to be examined in cancer, albeit the latter one has been found to be overexpressed in a highly metastatic HCC cell line (24). DNER, a transmembrane protein carrying extracellular EGF-like repeats, was identified as a Notch ligand mediating cell-cell interactions that can act oncogenic and anti-oncogenic (25, 26). MFAP2, a small component of extracellular microfibrils, was shown to bind to the Notch1 receptor (27). TCF21 encodes a transcription factor acting as a tumor suppressor in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (28), fitting to our data showing that decreased expression of TCF21 correlates with reduced survival of HCC patients. We further suggest clinical relevance for CT83, SLC22A15, DNER, C15orf48, MFAP2 and CXCL5 as high expression levels correlate with lower HCC patient survival. Additionally, high levels of TGF- β , Ax1 and CXCL5 associate with advanced tumor stages and recruitment of neutrophils into cancer tissue of HCC patients.

CXCL5 has chemotactic and activating functions on neutrophils, mainly during acute inflammatory responses. Elevated expression of CXCL5 was found in diverse tumor entities including HCC, thereby correlating with poor prognosis of patients (29). Zhou et al. suggested that CXCL5 promotes HCC cell proliferation, invasion and intra-tumoral neutrophil infiltration (7). It was further demonstrated that EGF/EGFR stimulates CXCL5 production in HCC through PI3K and MAPK signaling. Interestingly, CXCL5 is overexpressed in HCCs with high metastatic potential (30). Zhou et al. showed that the CXCR2/CXCL5 axis contributes to epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) through activating the PI3K/Akt/GSK-3 β /Snail pathway in HCC cells (31). Additionally, CXCL5 is an effector of tumor-associated neutrophils mediating the intra-tumoral infiltration of macrophages and regulatory T cells by secreting CCL2 and CCL17, which enhances HCC progression and sorafenib resistance (32).

Neutrophils are important components of the inflammatory response and have dual roles in tumor development and metastasis. In response to stimulation of different cytokines, neutrophils have the potential to polarize towards an anti-tumorigenic phenotype (N1) in case of acute inflammation or towards a pro-tumorigenic phenotype (N2) in case of chronic inflammation (33). The inflammatory cytokines such as TGF- β can induce N2 phenotype of neutrophils in bone marrow and tumor microenvironment (34). This changes the local tumor microenvironment and facilitates microthrombus formation through the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs, (35)) composed of DNA, histones, and antimicrobial proteins (36). Neutrophils are also recruited and activated by platelets, which stimulate TGF- β release and platelet-tumor cell aggregation (37). NETs stimulate the intrinsic pathway of the coagulation cascade, ultimately generating thrombin and activating platelets (38). Axl signaling enhances platelet degranulation and aggregation responses, thus promoting platelet activation and mediating thrombus formation (39). Platelets also recruit and activate macrophages and neutrophils in tumor tissue, stimulating TGF- β release and platelet-tumor cell aggregation which prevents the lysis by natural killer cells (37). Additionally, they induce EMT, cell invasion, angiogenesis and distal metastasis (40). Taken together, this might explain the important role of Axl/TGF- β /CXCL5 signaling in malignant cell dissemination.

Our study revealed novel insights into HCC progression which could be used to better stratify patients for targeted therapy. TGF- β -positive patients should not be considered to be treated with Axl inhibitors, as the intervention with Axl's anti-inflammatory effects might enhance inflammation and tumor progression. Therefore, our data suggest treating TGF- β /CXCL5-positive patients directly against CXCL5 in order to circumvent tumor-promoting functions of inflammation. In conclusion, the identification of CXCL5 as a novel key driver in HCC

progression offers an innovative therapeutic approach that directly interferes with disease progression in TGF- β /CXCL5-positive patients.

Acknowledgment

We thank Elisa Holstein for critical reading of the manuscript.

References

1. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer* 2015;136:E359-386.
2. Sun VC, Sarna L. Symptom management in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin J Oncol Nurs* 2008;12:759-766.
3. Llovet JM, Zucman-Rossi J, Pikarsky E, Sangro B, Schwartz M, Sherman M, Gores G. Hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2016;2:16018.
4. **Hernandez-Gea V, Toffanin S**, Friedman SL, Llovet JM. Role of the microenvironment in the pathogenesis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2013;144:512-527.
5. Nishida N, Kudo M. Oncogenic Signal and Tumor Microenvironment in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Oncology* 2017;93(suppl 1):160-164.
6. Donskov F. Immunomonitoring and prognostic relevance of neutrophils in clinical trials. *Semin Cancer Biol* 2013;23:200-207.

- Accepted Article
7. **Zhou SL, Dai Z, Zhou ZJ**, Wang XY, Yang GH, Wang Z, Huang XW, et al. Overexpression of CXCL5 mediates neutrophil infiltration and indicates poor prognosis for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2012;56:2242-2254.
 8. Tecchio C, Scapini P, Pizzolo G, Cassatella MA. On the cytokines produced by human neutrophils in tumors. *Semin Cancer Biol* 2013;23:159-170.
 9. **Wu K, Ding J**, Chen C, Sun W, Ning BF, Wen W, Huang L, et al. Hepatic transforming growth factor beta gives rise to tumor-initiating cells and promotes liver cancer development. *Hepatology* 2012;56:2255-2267.
 10. Inagaki Y, Okazaki I. Emerging insights into Transforming growth factor beta Smad signal in hepatic fibrogenesis. *Gut* 2007;56:284-292.
 11. Massague J. TGF[beta] signalling in context. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2012;13:616-630.
 12. Zhang YE. Non-Smad pathways in TGF-beta signaling. *Cell Res* 2009;19:128-139.
 13. Akhurst RJ, Hata A. Targeting the TGFbeta signalling pathway in disease. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2012;11:790-811.
 14. Yamazaki K, Masugi Y, Sakamoto M. Molecular Pathogenesis of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Altering Transforming Growth Factor- β Signaling in Hepatocarcinogenesis. *Digestive Diseases* 2011;29:284-288.
 15. Azzariti A, Mancarella S, Porcelli L, Quatrone AE, Caligiuri A, Lupo L, Dituri F, et al. Hepatic stellate cells induce hepatocellular carcinoma cell resistance to sorafenib through the laminin-332/ α 3 integrin axis recovery of focal adhesion kinase ubiquitination. *Hepatology* 2016;64:2103-2117.

- Accepted Article
16. Mori S, Matsuzaki K, Yoshida K, Furukawa F, Tahashi Y, Yamagata H, Sekimoto G, et al. TGF-beta and HGF transmit the signals through JNK-dependent Smad2/3 phosphorylation at the linker regions. *Oncogene* 2004;23:7416-7429.
 17. Murillo MM, del Castillo G, Sanchez A, Fernandez M, Fabregat I. Involvement of EGF receptor and c-Src in the survival signals induced by TGF-beta1 in hepatocytes. *Oncogene* 2005;24:4580-4587.
 18. Reichl P, Dengler M, van Zijl F, Huber H, Fuhrlinger G, Reichel C, Sieghart W, et al. Axl activates autocrine transforming growth factor-beta signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2015;61:930-941.
 19. Yang L, Moses HL. Transforming growth factor beta: tumor suppressor or promoter? Are host immune cells the answer? *Cancer Res* 2008;68:9107-9111.
 20. Walz A, Burgener R, Car B, Baggiolini M, Kunkel SL, Strieter RM. Structure and neutrophil-activating properties of a novel inflammatory peptide (ENA-78) with homology to interleukin 8. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine* 1991;174:1355-1362.
 21. Fransvea E, Angelotti U, Antonaci S, Giannelli G. Blocking transforming growth factor-beta up-regulates E-cadherin and reduces migration and invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Hepatology* 2008;47:1557-1566.
 22. Giannelli G, Mikulits W, Dooley S, Fabregat I, Moustakas A, ten Dijke P, Portincasa P, et al. The rationale for targeting TGF-beta in chronic liver diseases. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2016;46:349-361.

- Accepted Article
23. van der Bruggen P, Traversari C, Chomez P, Lurquin C, De Plaen E, Van den Eynde B, Knuth A, et al. A gene encoding an antigen recognized by cytolytic T lymphocytes on a human melanoma. *Science* 1991;254:1643-1647.
 24. Fang TT, Sun XJ, Chen J, Zhao Y, Sun RX, Ren N, Liu BB. Long non-coding RNAs are differentially expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines with differing metastatic potential. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2014;15:10513-10524.
 25. Eiraku M, Tohgo A, Ono K, Kaneko M, Fujishima K, Hirano T, Kengaku M. DNER acts as a neuron-specific Notch ligand during Bergmann glial development. *Nat Neurosci* 2005;8:873-880.
 26. Sun P, Xia S, Lal B, Eberhart CG, Quinones-Hinojosa A, Maciaczyk J, Matsui W, et al. DNER, an epigenetically modulated gene, regulates glioblastoma-derived neurosphere cell differentiation and tumor propagation. *Stem Cells* 2009;27:1473-1486.
 27. Miyamoto A, Lau R, Hein PW, Shipley JM, Weinmaster G. Microfibrillar proteins MAGP-1 and MAGP-2 induce Notch1 extracellular domain dissociation and receptor activation. *J Biol Chem* 2006;281:10089-10097.
 28. Smith LT, Lin M, Brena RM, Lang JC, Schuller DE, Otterson GA, Morrison CD, et al. Epigenetic regulation of the tumor suppressor gene TCF21 on 6q23-q24 in lung and head and neck cancer. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2006;103:982-987.
 29. Kawamura M, Toiyama Y, Tanaka K, Saigusa S, Okugawa Y, Hiro J, Uchida K, et al. CXCL5, a promoter of cell proliferation, migration and invasion, is a novel serum prognostic marker in patients with colorectal cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2012;48:2244-2251.

- Accepted Article
30. **Huang P, Xu X, Wang L, Zhu B, Wang X, Xia J.** The role of EGF-EGFR signalling pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma inflammatory microenvironment. *J Cell Mol Med* 2014;18:218-230.
 31. **Zhou SL, Zhou ZJ, Hu ZQ, Li X, Huang XW, Wang Z, Fan J, et al.** CXCR2/CXCL5 axis contributes to epithelial-mesenchymal transition of HCC cells through activating PI3K/Akt/GSK-3beta/Snail signaling. *Cancer Lett* 2015;358:124-135.
 32. **Zhou SL, Zhou ZJ, Hu ZQ, Huang XW, Wang Z, Chen EB, Fan J, et al.** Tumor-Associated Neutrophils Recruit Macrophages and T-Regulatory Cells to Promote Progression of Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Resistance to Sorafenib. *Gastroenterology* 2016;150:1646-1658.e1617.
 33. **Sionov RV, Fridlender ZG, Granot Z.** The Multifaceted Roles Neutrophils Play in the Tumor Microenvironment. *Cancer Microenviron* 2015;8:125-158.
 34. **Fridlender ZG, Sun J, Kim S, Kapoor V, Cheng G, Ling L, Worthen GS, et al.** Polarization of tumor-associated neutrophil phenotype by TGF-beta: "N1" versus "N2" TAN. *Cancer Cell* 2009;16:183-194.
 35. **Demers M, Krause DS, Schatzberg D, Martinod K, Voorhees JR, Fuchs TA, Scadden DT, et al.** Cancers predispose neutrophils to release extracellular DNA traps that contribute to cancer-associated thrombosis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2012;109:13076-13081.
 36. **Kral JB, Schrottmaier WC, Salzman M, Assinger A.** Platelet Interaction with Innate Immune Cells. *Transfus Med Hemother* 2016;43:78-88.
 37. **Kim J, Bae JS.** Tumor-Associated Macrophages and Neutrophils in Tumor Microenvironment. *Mediators Inflamm* 2016;2016:6058147.

- Accepted Article
38. Gould TJ, Vu TT, Swystun LL, Dwivedi DJ, Mai SH, Weitz JI, Liaw PC. Neutrophil extracellular traps promote thrombin generation through platelet-dependent and platelet-independent mechanisms. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2014;34:1977-1984.
 39. Gould WR, Baxi SM, Schroeder R, Peng YW, Leadley RJ, Peterson JT, Perrin LA. Gas6 receptors Axl, Sky and Mer enhance platelet activation and regulate thrombotic responses. *J Thromb Haemost* 2005;3:733-741.
 40. Meikle CKS, Kelly CA, Garg P, Wuescher LM, Ali RA, Worth RG. Cancer and Thrombosis: The Platelet Perspective. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* 2016;4:147.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Role of TGF- β in mesenchymal-like HCC cells. (A) Left panel, confocal immunofluorescence analysis of Smad2/3 in SNU449 cells treated with 2.5 ng/mL TGF- β 1 for 15 minutes. Actin stress fibers are indicated by phalloidin staining (red). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). Right panel, quantification of nuclear Smad2/3 signal intensity using ImageJ. (B) Immunofluorescence analysis of HLF cells as described in (A). (C) Western blot analysis of pSmad2 and total Smad2/3, with and without FCS, and interference with 10 μ M Ly for 24 hours. Actin was used as loading control. (D) Migrated areas of HLF and SNU449 cells and those treated with 10 μ M Ly in wound healing assays. (E) Levels of Smad4 after treatment with siNT or siSmad4. (F) Migrated areas of HLF and SNU449 cells treated with siNT or siSmad4. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD. Error bars depict SD from at least three individual experiments. ***P < 0.001. Abbreviations: c, untreated control; FCS, fetal calf serum; Ly, LY2109761; pSmad2, phospho-Smad2; SD, standard deviation; siNT, non-target siRNA; siSmad4, Smad4 siRNA.

Fig. 2. Duration- and concentration-dependent migratory response of mesenchymal-like HCC cells to TGF- β treatment. (A) Migrated areas of HLF and SNU449 cells and those treated with 2.5 ng/mL TGF- β 1 for 24 hours in wound healing assays. (B) Migrated areas of SNU449 and HLF cells and those long-term treated with 1 ng/mL TGF- β 1 (>10 days, termed SNU449-T and HLF-T) in wound healing assays. (C) Western blot analysis of pSmad2 after long-term treatment (>10 days) of cells with different concentrations of TGF- β 1 (ng/mL). (D) Migrated areas of SNU449 cells (left panel) and HLF cells (right panel) after long-term treatment (>10 days) with 0.125 and 1 ng/mL TGF- β 1. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD. Error bars depict SD from at least three individual experiments. **P<0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Abbreviations: c, control; n.s, not significant; pSmad2, phospho-Smad2; SD, standard deviation.

Fig. 3. Effects of long-term TGF- β exposure on mesenchymal-like HCC cells. (A) Western blot analysis of pSmad2 and Snail after serum starvation and stimulation with 2.5 ng/mL TGF- β 1 (left panel), and after serum starvation and treatment with 10 μ M Ly for 24 hours (right panel). Actin was used as loading control. (B) Analysis of TGF- β mRNA expression by qPCR. (C) Proliferation kinetics of SNU449/SNU449-T and HLF/HLF-T cells over 72 hours. (D) Clonogenic survival assay of SNU449/SNU449-T (upper panel) and HLF/HLF-T cells (lower panel) after long-term treatment with TGF- β 1. Representative images are shown. (E) Quantification of clonogenic survival assay shown in (D). (F) IC₅₀ values [μ M] of sorafenib (left panel) and doxorubicin (right panel) in SNU449/SNU449-T and HLF/HLF-T cells. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD. Error bars depict SD from at least 3 individual experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P < 0.001. Abbreviations: Ly, LY2109761; n.s, not significant; pSmad2, phospho-Smad2; qPCR, quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction; SD, standard deviation.

Fig. 4. Expression profiling of genes involved in tumor-promoting mechanisms of TGF- β . (A) Heat map of selected target genes. (B) qPCR validation of CXCL5 expression in SNU449/SNU449-T and HLF/HLF-T cells. (C) Kaplan-Meier survival curves showing higher (red) or lower levels (blue) of CXCL5 expression and corresponding overall survival in 360 HCC patients from TCGA RNAseqV2. (D) RPKM values of the selected target genes in high TGF- β (RPKM>20, left panel) and low TGF- β expressing samples (RPKM<5, right panel). Data are expressed as mean \pm SD. Error bars depict SD from at least 3 individual

experiments. ***P < 0.001. Abbreviations: RPKM, Mean Reads Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads; qPCR, quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction; SD, standard deviation; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas.

Fig. 5. Regulation of CXCL5 and its role in cell invasion and tumor formation. (A) CXCL5 secretion of cells was assessed by ELISA. (B) CXCL5 secretion in HLF/HLF-T cells and those treated with 10 μ M Ly for 72 hours and, as well as in HLF-Axl-KO1 and HLF-Axl-KO1-T cells. (C) Representative images of hepatospheres composed of HLF/HLF-T/HLF-CXCL5 cells. (D) Quantitative analyses of respective hepatosphere invasion into collagen gels. (E) Volumes of HLF/HLF-T/HLF-CXCL5-derived tumors. (F) Immunohistochemical analysis showing consecutive tumor sections of HLF-T and HLF-CXCL5-derived tumors stained with anti-CXCL5 or anti-Flag antibody. The secondary antibody only was used as control. Error bars depict SD from 3 individual experiments carried out in triplicates. ***P < 0.001. Abbreviations: ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; Ly, LY2109761; n.s., not significant; SD, standard deviation.

Fig. 6. Long-term TGF- β treatment causes neutrophil migration. (A) Quantification of neutrophil migration as assessed by Under Agarose Assay. Cell TrackerTM Green-labeled neutrophils were exposed to supernatants of HLF, HLF-T, HLF-CXCL5 cells, those treated with 10 μ M Ly for 24 hours and long-term TGF- β -treated (>10 days) HLF-Axl-KO1-T and HLF-Axl-KO2-T cells. (B) Representative immunofluorescence images of the Under Agarose Assay shown in (A). (C) Quantification of neutrophil migration after exposure to supernatants from HLF (left panel), HLF-T (middle panel) and HLF-CXCL5 cells (right panel) treated with siNT or siSmad4. (D) Quantification of neutrophil migration after

Accepted Article


exposure to supernatants of HLF, HLF-T, HLF-CXCL5 cells and those treated with 1 μ M Axl inhibitor TP0903 for 48 hours. (E) Quantification of neutrophil migration after exposure to supernatants of SNU449, SNU449-T and SNU449-CXCL5. Data are expressed as mean \pm SD. ***P < 0.001. Abbreviations: Ly, LY2109761; SD, standard deviation; siNT, non-target siRNA; siSmad4, Smad4 siRNA.

Fig. 7. Correlation of CXCL5 with tumor staging and neutrophil attraction as well as TGF- β and Axl expression in HCC patient samples. Immunohistochemical staining intensities of CXCL5 and elastase were scored with low, medium and high protein levels, while TGF- β , Axl and Smad3L were scored with no, low, medium and high. (A) Correlation of CXCL5 expression with tumor stages. (B) Correlation of elastase with tumor stages. (C-F) Correlation of CXCL5 expression with elastase (C), TGF- β 1 (D), Smad3L (E) and Axl expression (F). Data are expressed as mean \pm SD. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. Abbreviations: n.s., not significant; SD, standard deviation.


Haider et al, Table 1

Cell type	Tumor formation	CXCL5 expression
HLF	2/7	-
HLF-T	6/7	+
HLF-CXCL5	7/7	+
SNU449	-	-
SNU449-T	-	-
SNU449-CXCL5	-	-


Haider et al, Figure 1




Haider et al, Figure 2




Haider et al, Figure 3




Haider et al, Figure 4



Haider et al, Figure 5



Haider et al, Figure 6



Haider et al, Figure 7

