



HAL
open science

High-Performance Thermoelectric Bulk Colusite by Process Controlled Structural Disordering

Cedric Bourges, Yohan Bouyrie, Andrew R. Supka, Rabih Al Rahal Al Orabi,
Pierric Lemoine, Oleg I. Lebedev, Michihiro Ohta, Koichiro Suekuni, Vivian
Nassif, Vincent Hardy, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Cedric Bourges, Yohan Bouyrie, Andrew R. Supka, Rabih Al Rahal Al Orabi, Pierric Lemoine, et al.. High-Performance Thermoelectric Bulk Colusite by Process Controlled Structural Disordering. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2018, 140 (6), pp.2186-2195. 10.1021/jacs.7b11224 . hal-01737387

HAL Id: hal-01737387

<https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01737387v1>

Submitted on 17 May 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2
3 **High-performance Thermoelectric Bulk Colusite by Process Controlled Structural**
4 **Disordering**
5
6
7
8

9 *Cédric Bourgès, Yohan Bouyrie,[†] Andrew R. Supka,[†] Rabih Al Rahal Al Orabi,^{*#} Pierrick*
10 *Lemoine, Oleg I. Lebedev, Michihiro Ohta, Koichiro Suekuni, Vivian Nassif, Vincent Hardy,*
11 *Ramzy Daou, Yuzuru Miyazaki, Marco Fornari* and Emmanuel Guilmeau**
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 C. Bourgès, Dr. O.I. Lebedev, Dr. V Hardy, Dr. R. Daou, Dr. E. Guilmeau

19
20 Laboratoire CRISMAT, UMR 6508, CNRS, ENSICAEN, 6 Boulevard du Maréchal Juin,
21
22 14050 Caen Cedex 04, France

23
24
25 E-mail: emmanuel.guilmeau@ensicaen.fr
26

27 Dr. Y. Bouyrie, Dr. M. Ohta

28
29 Research Institute for Energy Conservation, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science
30 and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8568, Japan
31
32

33
34 Dr. P. Lemoine

35
36 Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes (ISCR) - UMR CNRS 6226, Rennes, France
37

38 Dr. K. Suekuni

39
40 Department of Applied Science for Electronics and Materials, Interdisciplinary Graduate
41 School of Engineering Sciences, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Fukuoka 816-8580, Japan
42
43

44 Dr. V. Nassif

45
46 Univ. Grenoble Alpes, F-38000 Grenoble, France & CNRS, Inst. NEEL, F-38042 Grenoble,
47
48 France
49

50
51 Dr. Y. Miyazaki

52
53 Department of Applied Physics, Graduate School of Engineering, Tohoku University, Sendai
54
55 980-8579, Japan
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 A. R. Supka, Dr. R. Al Rahal Al Orabi,[#] Dr. M. Fornari

4
5 Department of Physics and Science of Advanced Materials Program, Central Michigan
6
7 University, Mt. Pleasant, MI 48859, USA

8
9 E-mail: marco.fornari@cmich.edu

10
11 E-mail: rabih.orabi@solvay.com
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Abstract**

29
30
31 High-performance thermoelectric bulk sulfide with the colusite structure is achieved by
32
33 controlling the densification process and forming short-to-medium range structural defects. A
34
35 simple and powerful way to adjust carrier concentration combined with enhanced phonon
36
37 scattering through point defects and disordered regions is described. By combining
38
39 experiments with band structure and phonons calculations, we elucidate, for the first time, the
40
41 underlying mechanism at the origin of intrinsically low thermal conductivity in colusite
42
43 samples as well as the effect of S vacancies and antisite defects on the carrier concentration.
44
45 Our approach provides a controlled and scalable method to engineer high power factors and
46
47 remarkable figures of merit near the unity in complex bulk sulfide such as $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$
48
49 colusites.
50
51
52
53

54 [#] Present address : Solvay, Design and Development of Functional Materials Department, AXEL'ONE
55 Collaborative Platform - Innovative Materials, 87 Rue des Freres Perret – BP62, 69192 Saint Fons Cedex
56
57
58
59
60

1. Introduction

The design and optimization of thermoelectric (TE) materials rely on the intricate balance between thermopower (S), electrical resistivity (ρ) and thermal conductivity (κ); perfecting such a balance is key to improve energy recovery systems and thermoelectric cooling devices.¹ A host of materials has been investigated in the past,²⁻⁴ often neglecting the need to reconcile efficiency with environment and cost constraints both from a composition and/or a processing perspective. For instance, telluride based materials exhibit good performances⁵⁻¹³ but are of value only for niche applications due to the escalating price of tellurium and other less costly compositions require synthesis approaches that are scalable to industrial settings.

Copper sulfides can provide an eco-friendly high-performance low cost alternative since often they contain elements that are abundant in naturally occurring minerals. The values of the figure of merit, $ZT = S^2T/\rho\kappa$, observed in Cu_{2-x}S , range from 0.5 to 1.7 (at $T = 1000$ K) making this material very promising.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ However, the electro-migration of copper noted in the Cu-S system weakens the stability and their potential for TE applications.^{14,17} In order to mitigate electro-migration, other metallic species besides copper have been added into the composition extending the exploration to complex ternary/quaternary Cu based sulfides. A non-exhaustive list includes *p*-type tetrahedrite $\text{Cu}_{12-x}\text{Tr}_x\text{Sb}_4\text{S}_{13}$ ($ZT \sim 0.80$ @ 700 K)¹⁸⁻³¹ with $\text{Tr} = \text{Mn}, \text{Fe}, \text{Co}, \text{Ni}, \text{Zn}$ ($x \leq 2$), colusite $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ ($ZT \sim 0.60$ @ 700 K),^{32,33} germanite-derivative $\text{Cu}_{22}\text{Fe}_8\text{Ge}_4\text{S}_{32}$ ($ZT \sim 0.17$ @ 575 K),³⁴ bornite Cu_5FeS_4 ($ZT \sim 0.55$ @ 550 K),³⁵⁻³⁷ stannoidite $\text{Cu}_{8.5}\text{Fe}_{2.5}\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_{12}$ ($ZT \sim 0.35$ @ 630 K),³⁸ Cu_2SnS_3 ($ZT \sim 0.56$ @ 750 K),³⁹ $\text{Cu}_2\text{ZnSnS}_4$ ($ZT \sim 0.35$ @ 700 K)^{40,41}, $\text{CuCr}_{2-x}\text{Sb}_x\text{S}_4$ ($ZT \sim 0.45$ @ 650 K)⁴² or *n*-type $\text{Cu}_4\text{Sn}_7\text{S}_{16}$ ($ZT \sim 0.21$ @ 700 K),⁴³ CuFeS_2 ($ZT \sim 0.17$ @ 630 K),^{44,45} $\text{Cu}_2\text{CoTi}_3\text{S}_8$ ($ZT \sim 0.18$ @ 650 K)⁴⁶ and CuFe_2S_3 ($ZT \sim 0.14$ @ 700 K).⁴⁷

1
2
3 Regardless the light atomic masses, most of these materials exhibit low thermal
4 conductivity possibly determined by local structural distortions, rattling phenomena, or strong
5 bond anharmonicity. In $\text{Cu}_{12}\text{Sb}_4\text{S}_{13}$ tetrahedrite, for instance, a low-energy phonon excitation
6 is manifested by the out-of-plane motion of Cu atoms located in specific crystal site (12e)
7 which induces scattering effects. It has been pointed out that this anisotropic atomic motion is
8 also favored by the Sb lone pair localized above and below the trigonal plane.^{19,21,48,49} The
9 low thermal conductivity in other Cu based sulfides was vaguely attributed to the complexity
10 of the crystal structure.^{32,33,35,39,43}

11
12
13 Colusite, $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$, represents a prototypical complex sulfide (*i.e.* large unit cell
14 with 66 atoms and light mass elements) with low lattice thermal conductivity, κ_L .³² It has been
15 recently studied but the origin of the low thermal conductivity is not understood and the full
16 potential of $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ as an advanced thermoelectric material has not been fully explored.
17 In additional, there are significant discrepancies in the measured properties. Values for the
18 lattice thermal conductivity (κ_L) for the pristine phase are ranging from 0.50–0.55 $\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$
19,^{28,32,50} to around 0.90–1.35 $\text{W m}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$,^{33,51} at 350K. These two different behaviors seem
20 intimately related to the intrinsic crystallographic structure and the presence of defects. Indeed,
21 by carefully comparing the electrical properties, it can be established that the samples with
22 higher κ_L values are more metallic with S as low as 30 $\mu\text{V K}^{-1}$ and ρ around 0.6 $\text{m}\Omega \text{cm}$ at
23 300K. In comparison, samples with low κ_L values exhibit S of 100–130 $\mu\text{V K}^{-1}$ and ρ in the
24 range 3.5–6.0 $\text{m}\Omega \text{cm}$. This observation indicates interesting sensitivity of the heat and
25 electronic transport to structural features induced by different processing methods (powder
26 synthesis and densification techniques).

27
28 We present here a complete study of the bulk properties of colusite including, for the
29 first time, the characterization of the band structure and the vibrational dispersions from first
30 principles calculations. We pinpoint the special role played by Cu in low frequency optic

1
2
3 modes and linked such an effect with electronic properties. More importantly, we prove that
4 the TE transport properties of colusite are dramatically influenced by the densification
5 temperature and method, and suggest strategies to control the formation of specific defects.
6
7 Transport properties and TE efficiency are rationalized within these novel findings in order to
8 provide guidance for the design of TE materials based on tailoring the role of defects.
9
10
11
12

13 14 15 **2. Results and discussion**

16 For clarity, this section is arranged by grouping various data by observations and conclusions
17 they generate. First, the band structure and vibrational dispersions from first principles
18 calculations of the pristine $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ are presented. The specific structural features are
19 then explored by means of X-ray/neutron diffraction and transmission electron microscopy.
20 This is followed by the characterization of the electrical and thermal properties whose data are
21 discussed in relation with band structure/vibrational dispersions calculations and structural
22 analysis.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 **2.1. Band Structure and phonon dispersions**

36
37 Pristine colusite, $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$, has a complex cubic structure (space group $P\bar{4}3n$, N°
38 218)⁵² formed by a sulfur corner-sharing tetrahedral network ($8e$, and $24i$) centered around
39 Cu ($6d$, $8e$, and $12f$) and Sn ($6c$). The V ($2a$) atoms occupy a body centered cubic sublattice
40 and are surrounded by S in $8e$ (**Figure 1**).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. Unit cell of $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ (space group $P\bar{4}3n$, N° 218). We show the S in $8e$ (yellow) bridging V (red) with Cu $8e$ (dark green). Cu in $6d$ and $12f$ are in light green, Sn in blue, and S in $24f$ are not shown. First principles computed structural parameters are in good agreement with experiments: $a = 10.797 \text{ \AA}$, Cu ($12f$, $x = 0.748$), Cu ($8e$, $x = 0.753$), Cu ($6d$), Sn ($6c$), V($2a$), S ($24i$ $x = 0.867$, $y = 0.369$, $z = 0.119$), and S ($8e$, $x = 0.620$).

Depending on the oxidation states of V and Sn (we tentatively assumed Cu^{1+} and S^{2-}), we expect from 4 to 14 holes per unit cell. Indeed, the Fermi level (E_F) crosses the valence manifold 0.26 eV below the onset of an energy gap of about 1 eV (**Figure 2**). The Fermi level lies in the valence manifold indicating a p -type metallic behavior, which is in agreement with the experimental transport properties (Figure 8). Integration of the density of states (DOS) from E_F to the onset of the energy gap indicates a holes concentration of about $38 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at room temperature which is consistent with V^{5+} and Sn^{4+} .

The top of the valence band exhibits a multi-valley character with large effective masses

especially at Γ , X, and M high-symmetry points in the cubic Brillouin zone. As expected, electronic states in the proximity of E_F originate from the hybridization between the sulfur p -orbitals (mainly from S in $24i$) and substantial contributions from the Cu $3d$ -orbitals. The conduction band exhibits an isolated flat manifold below 1.5 eV (energies are measured with respect to E_F) mostly associated with V d -orbitals with contribution from the S atom in $8e$. The electronic structure seems to indicate that the V atoms form strong ionic bonds with the surrounding S ($8e$, tetrahedral coordination) and, in turn, soften the S($8e$)-Cu($8e$) bonds as seen in the S-Cu longer distance. This can be justified by the substantial difference in electronegativity between Cu and V.




Figure 2. Electronic band structure and atom projected electronic density of states of $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$. Hubbard U corrections were computed self-consistently within the ACBN0 approach (see text): $U(\text{Cu in } 12f) = 7.82$, $U(\text{Cu in } 8e) = 7.69$, $U(\text{Cu in } 6d) = 7.78$, $U(\text{V}) = 0.02$, $U(\text{Sn}) = 0.00$, $U(\text{S in } 24i) = 1.11$ and $U(\text{S in } 8e) = 1.13$.

1
2
3 As mentioned in the introduction, the origin of the low thermal conductivity of
4 $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ is not understood. We explored the origin of the low thermal conductivity by
5 using density functional theory (DFT) calculations of phonon dispersions and atom-resolved
6 vibrational DOS (VDOS, **Figure 3**). Although calculations within the harmonic
7 approximation do not provide direct information on the thermal conductivity, the phonon
8 dispersions show compressed acoustic branches which lead to low heat carrier velocity and
9 low thermal conductivity.^{53,54} Also, the presence of low frequencies optic modes (40 and 60
10 cm^{-1}) that can interact with the acoustic vibrations is known to introduce scattering channels
11 that affect the thermal conductivity.^{55,56} This conjecture agrees with the observations in
12 BiOCuS and skutterudites materials that have very low thermal conductivities.^{55,57-59} To
13 better characterize the low frequency modes, we computed the VDOS projected on the atoms,
14 as shown in Figure 3. Surprisingly the largest contribution at low frequency is associated to
15 Cu in $8e$ crystallographic site. Due the light mass of Cu, we must assume very soft bonds near
16 Cu in $8e$ and assign a major role to its chemical environment on the thermal transport
17 properties as observed in the electronic band structure. This is in agreement with computed
18 total energy response to bond compression for the three Cu sites that point to softer bonds for
19 the Cu in $8e$ (**Figure S1**). However, more investigations, such as temperature dependent
20 neutron diffraction powder analysis, are needed in order to clarify this point. Quantities
21 derived from our first principles phonon dispersion such as sound velocity and specific heat
22 are also in excellent agreement with the experimental measurements. We computed an
23 average sound velocity of 3150 m/s ($\nu_T = 2350 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, $\nu_T' = 2613 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and $\nu_L = 4485 \text{ m s}^{-1}$)
24 and a Debye temperature of 367 K. Experimentally, from specific heat capacity
25 measurements, the Debye temperature was calculated in the range of 350-375 K (**Figure S2**,
26 Supplementary Information). This corresponds to an average sound velocity of 3017-3230 m
27 s^{-1} . The longitudinal sound velocity was also confirmed by direct measurements ($\nu_L = 4260 \text{ m}$
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

s⁻¹).




Figure 3. Phonon dispersions and atom-projected vibrational density of states of $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$. Optic modes at frequency as low as 40 cm^{-1} contribute to scattering phenomena that lower the lattice thermal conductivity. Notice the prominent role of Cu in $8e$ at low frequency.

2.2. Synthesis and structural characterization

Our goal is to understand and optimize the TE properties of colusite using cost effective and scalable methods. We chose to perform reproducible powder synthesis by mechanical-alloying. This technique produces a fine and homogeneous black powder for which the X-ray diffraction data refinements are consistent with the cubic $P\bar{4}3n$ space group and cell parameters ($a = 10.79(1)\text{ \AA}$) expected for colusite.⁵¹ The obtained powders were then densified using two different consolidation techniques, *i.e.* spark plasma sintering (SPS) at 873 K (sample L (Low T))⁵¹ and hot pressing (HP) at 1023 K (sample H (High T)).⁵⁰ The phase purity and crystallinity of the two sintered colusite samples have been confirmed by combined Rietveld refinement of X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) and neutron powder diffraction (NPD) patterns both recorded at room temperature (**Figure 4** and **S3**, respectively).

1
2
3 Structural parameters determined from these refinements are gathered in **Table S1** and **Table**
4 **S2** (bonds distances). The refinements confirm the reported structure but point to some
5 differences on the peak positions and intensities between the two patterns. Indeed, combined
6 Rietveld refinements of the XRPD and NPD patterns lead to slightly larger refined cell
7 parameter for sample H ($a = 10.8289(2) \text{ \AA}$) compared to sample L ($a = 10.7701(2) \text{ \AA}$). These
8 results suggest the possible existence of structural defects in sample H and a slight deviation
9 of the expected chemical composition. This conjecture is supported by energy dispersive X-
10 ray spectroscopy (EDX) spot analysis performed on twenty grains of each sample. The
11 approximate average compositions (**Table S3**) are as following: $\text{Cu}_{27.3}\text{V}_{1.9}\text{Sn}_{6.0}\text{S}_{31.4}$ for the
12 two samples sintered at 1023 K (sample H) and $\text{Cu}_{25.0}\text{V}_{1.9}\text{Sn}_{6.0}\text{S}_{32.6}$ for the two samples
13 sintered at 873 K (sample L). From these semi-quantitative analyses, we can distinguish a
14 clear tendency towards a copper-rich and sulfur-poor composition for the sample H. Also,
15 larger composition standard deviations are observed in sample H as compared to sample L.
16 The copper-rich chemical composition combined with larger composition standard deviations
17 and significant larger cell parameter refined for the sample H suggests a degree of mix
18 random occupation of the Cu, Sn and V sites with possible S vacancies and atom insertion as
19 interstitials.⁵⁰ Most of these defects influence the carrier concentration and should have effects
20 on the transport properties. It is also implicit that minor traces of Sn/V rich phases may exist,
21 even if not detected from XRD/NPD and scanning/transmission electron microscopy analyses.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 4. Rietveld refinements of the XRPD patterns recorded at room temperature of the samples H (a) and L (b), sintered respectively at 1023 K and 873 K.

In order to determine the crystallographic defects in this sample, several structural models were tested to refine the XRPD and NPD data. While attempts to insert metallic atoms in the interstitial $6b$ ($0, 0, \frac{1}{2}$) and/or $24i$ ($\sim 0, \sim \frac{1}{4}, \sim \frac{1}{4}$) sites did not improve the initial model, slightly better refinements were obtained considering a partial substitution of V atoms ($2a$ site) and/or Sn atoms ($6c$ site) by Cu atoms. Nevertheless, such metal site random occupancy cannot be determined unambiguously from XRPD and NPD data only but requires complementary local probe investigations such as TEM or resonant contrast diffraction (RCD). To confirm the crystal structure and investigate further the possible formation of structural defects at the atomic level, TEM studies, including electron diffraction (ED) and high angle annular dark field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM), were undertaken. Several crystallites analyses performed on both samples highlighted that their structure are generally well crystallized in agreement with XRPD and NPD data. The main zones of the $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ ED patterns (**Figure 5**) and its corresponding HAADF-STEM images show a

perfectly crystallized structure, which can be indexed based on the cubic $P\bar{4}3n$ ($a \sim 10.8 \text{ \AA}$) structure, obtained from XRPD and NPD data.




Figure 5. Typical HAADF-STEM images and corresponding ED patterns of both samples L and H sintered respectively at 873 K or 1023 K for main zone axis [001], [111] and [011]. The three figures are representative of both samples L and H. Enlargement images with the overlaid structural models are given as insert. (Sn-blue, V-light blue, Cu-orange, S-yellow)

No defect regions or superstructures have been found for the sample sintered at 873 K (sample L). On the contrary, TEM analysis of the sample sintered at 1023 K (sample H) revealed different structural defects/features. The [001] HAADF-STEM image (**Figure 6a**) clearly shows the presence of 1D line of point defects. One observes three main sorts of atomic columns intensities. Bearing in mind that contrast in HAADF-STEM image is directly proportional to the thickness and atomic number ($\sim Z^2$), each column can be attributed to a decaying level of brightness, from pure Sn ($Z = 50$) to a mixed Sn/V ($23 < Z < 50$) and pure Cu ($Z = 29$) (**Figures 5 and 6c**). In this respect, it is obvious that the 1D line defects result from the Sn substitutions with V and/or Cu (**Figure 6b**).




Figure 6. (a) [001] HAADF-STEM image of sample H sintered at 1023 K. Red color indicates 1D line defects distributed within the perfect crystal of $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$. (b) Enlargement part of line defect. Red circle depicted Sn-site defects columns. (c) Intensity line scan profile along the atomic layer indicated by white arrow in (b). There is a clear violation of Sn columns periodicity (higher peaks in the plot profile) corresponding to Sn-site defects. Note that the peak intensity of Sn-site defects columns is similar to those of pure Cu column suggesting the substitution of Sn by Cu atoms. (d) Corresponding structural model of the 1D Sn-vacancy line defect.


1
2
3 A careful inspection of the images reveals the presence of Sn columns with
4 significantly reduced contrast compared to the regular bright contrast of pure Sn columns
5 (note the intensity profile in **Figure 6c**). The observed contrast intensity is qualitatively
6 similar to pure Cu contrast intensity, hence, suggesting the substitution of Sn with Cu. Due to
7 the lack of spurious Sn-rich phases, however, it is reasonable to assume concurrent random
8 occupation of V and/or Cu sites with Sn. It should be also noted that such Sn substitutions by
9 Cu and V were found distributed randomly over the crystal although. Considering the sizes of
10 the tetrahedra (V tetrahedron smaller than Cu tetrahedron smaller than Sn tetrahedron) and the
11 chemical composition, the Sn-Cu antisites are also expected to be kinetically more probable.
12 Also, total energy calculations for the formation energies of antisite defects (**Table 1**) indicate
13 that the Cu-Sn antisites involving Cu *6d* and Cu *8e* are favored. The Cu *6d* and *8e* sites form
14 channels around the Sn sites (**Figure S4**, Supplementary Information) leading to a tendency to
15 form 1D defects lines. We speculate that ordering along the line (...-Sn-Sn-Cu-Sn-Sn-...) is
16 forced by electrostatic repulsion.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 In addition, in some other areas, we observed a clear tendency to form larger 3D
34 disordered regions, as it is shown in **Figure 7**. These ordered and disordered areas are
35 coherently intergrown with equivalent structural frameworks and unit cell parameters. These
36 results agree with the observations of different domains of ordered and disordered cations in a
37 colusite natural mineral $\text{Cu}_{24.3}\text{V}_{2.0}(\text{As}_{5.7}\text{Sb}_{0.6}\text{Fe}_{0.1})\text{S}_{32}$, as stressed by Spry *et al.*⁵² They assume
38 that the disordered regions might correspond to a derivated sulvanite-type structure. Finally,
39 from the different structural investigations (XRPD, NPD and HAADF-STEM) and chemical
40 analyses (EDX), we can conclude that the samples sintered at 873 K are well crystallized and
41 ordered, while increasing the sintering temperature up to 1023 K favors sulfur volatilization
42 and the creation of short-to-medium range structural defects. Conjunction of S volatilization
43 and high temperature during hot-pressing at 1023 K probably favor a balance between
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 entropy-governed disordered phase and internal energy-governed ordered phase. It verifies the
4 hypothesis proposed by Spry *et al.*, that the disordered domain is the stable form at high
5 temperature. Further experiments will be necessary to establish accurately the crystallization
6 temperature windows and kinetics of formation of such disordered and ordered domains using
7 different densification methods (SPS or HP).
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 **Table 1.** Formation energies (in meV) for antisites defects in colusite.

	Sn/V	Sn/Cu 12f	Sn/Cu 6d	Sn/Cu 8e	V/Cu 12f	V/Cu 6d	V/Cu 8e
ΔE (meV)	33	42	19	25	21	40	31



1
2
3
4
5 **Figure 7.** [001] and [111] HAADF-STEM images showing the coexistence of ordered and
6 disordered regions within the same crystallite in the sample H sintered at 1023 K.
7 Corresponding FT patterns are given as inset. Row indicates a point defect.
8
9

10 11 12 13 **2.3. Electrical and thermal transport properties**

14
15 **Figure 8a and 8b** show, respectively, the electrical resistivity ρ and Seebeck coefficient S of
16 two batches of samples differently sintered over the temperature range 300–675K. All the
17 properties measurements were performed on four ingots, two sintered at 873 K and two
18 sintered at 1023 K (samples L and H, respectively). Both ρ and S increase with temperature
19 and the positive sign of S confirms the p -type carrier of colusite, in agreement with the
20 electronic structure calculations. A small dispersion of the electrical properties is observable
21 for both sets of samples, which is consistent with deviation from ideal stoichiometry of
22 colusite.²⁸ Overall, samples H exhibit higher ρ and S compared to the other ones sintered at
23 873 K. The magnitudes of the electrical properties are in good agreement with previous
24 studies, where two distinct electrical behaviors were observed, *i.e.* metallic-like^{33,51} and
25 another less conducting behavior.^{28,32,50} In our measurements, ρ and S evolve at room
26 temperature from 0.4–1.0 m Ω cm and 30–45 μ V K⁻¹ in the samples L, to 1.9–2.8 m Ω cm and
27 to 90–101 μ V K⁻¹ in the samples H. The significant increase of ρ and S values observed in the
28 latter sample is likely governed by the sulfur deficiency (as mentioned above) due to the
29 volatilization of sulfur during hot pressing at high temperature (1023 K for 1h).
30

31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The carrier concentration of sample L was extracted from Hall measurements. The value of
32.6 $\times 10^{20}$ cm⁻³ is in good agreement with the computed value of 38 $\times 10^{20}$ cm⁻³,
acknowledging the presence, in a small amount, of S vacancies (with an estimated
concentration of about 0.8 vacancies per unit cell). Defects are affecting the transport

properties by modifying the charge carrier density and by introducing scattering phenomena that influence the relaxation time (both for charge carriers and heat carriers). We noted earlier that the samples H sintered at 1023 K are S poor and Cu rich and, additionally, our structural analysis indicates a degree of disorder in the occupation of Sn, V, and Cu sites. S vacancies introduce electrons ($\square_{\text{S}}^{2\bullet} + 2e' + 26 \text{Cu}_{\text{Cu}}^{\text{X}} + 6 \text{Sn}_{\text{Sn}}^{\text{X}} + 31 \text{S}_{\text{S}}^{\text{X}} + 2 \text{V}_{\text{V}}^{\text{X}}$) whereas V-Sn antisites ($\text{V}_{\text{Sn}}^{\bullet} + \text{Sn}_{\text{V}}' + 26 \text{Cu}_{\text{Cu}}^{\text{X}} + 5 \text{Sn}_{\text{Sn}}^{\text{X}} + 32 \text{S}_{\text{S}}^{\text{X}} + \text{V}_{\text{V}}^{\text{X}}$), Cu-Sn antisites ($\text{Cu}_{\text{Sn}}^{3'} + \text{Sn}_{\text{Cu}}^{3\bullet} + 25 \text{Cu}_{\text{Cu}}^{\text{X}} + 5 \text{Sn}_{\text{Sn}}^{\text{X}} + 32 \text{S}_{\text{S}}^{\text{X}} + 2 \text{V}_{\text{V}}^{\text{X}}$), and V-Cu antisites ($\text{Cu}_{\text{V}}^{4'} + \text{V}_{\text{Cu}}^{4\bullet} + 25 \text{Cu}_{\text{Cu}}^{\text{X}} + 6 \text{Sn}_{\text{Sn}}^{\text{X}} + 32 \text{S}_{\text{S}}^{\text{X}} + 1 \text{V}_{\text{V}}^{\text{X}}$) do not seem to modify the carrier concentration. Experimentally, Hall effect characterization indicates, for samples H, a lower carrier concentration of $13.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ that may be imputed to partial compensation of the intrinsic hole population due to S vacancies (comparing theoretical data on pristine colusite we estimated that the vacancies density is roughly 1.5 defects per unit cell, the double with respect to samples L). An alternative possibility is that the decrease in the holes concentration is due to the presence of interstitial copper cations ($\text{Cu}_{\text{i}}^{\bullet} + e' + 26 \text{Cu}_{\text{Cu}}^{\text{X}} + 6 \text{Sn}_{\text{Sn}}^{\text{X}} + 32 \text{S}_{\text{S}}^{\text{X}} + 2 \text{V}_{\text{V}}^{\text{X}}$).⁵⁰ However, this would require larger defect density that was not evidenced from the structural analysis. From a structural point of view, the smaller unit cell and shorter Cu-S bond distances observed in sample L (Tables S1 and S2) may be also an indication of its larger Cu(II) content. However, the correlation between hole concentration and bond distances in the two samples must be taken with caution due the disordered character of sample H. Finally, the Hall mobilities are about $4.3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for samples L and $2.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for samples H in agreement with the additional scattering effects associated with mass and chemical disorder on the metal sites. (see **Figure S5**).

In addition to possibly introduce charge carriers in the crystal, defects modify the electronic structure and may influence indirectly the carrier concentration. By performing calculations, we noted that Sn-Cu antisites do modify indirectly the charge concentration

1
2
3 increasing it from $38 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ to $46 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ($T = 300 \text{ K}$). Using this new value for the
4
5 theoretical carrier concentration, sample H may have an excess of holes that, in order to
6
7 reconcile theory and experiments, should be compensated by additional S vacancies (with a
8
9 total concentration of about 2 vacancy/unit cell if we exclude other compensating
10
11 mechanisms). To estimate the effect of defects on electronic relaxation time, we have fitted
12
13 theoretical calculations performed in the constant relaxation time approximation to the
14
15 experimental measurements of the resistivity and estimated the temperature effect on the
16
17 relaxation time. Results point to a scattering time of sample H 2.5 – 3.0 times larger than the
18
19 one of sample L. Interestingly enough, the simultaneous increase of carrier concentration due
20
21 to local rearrangement of the electronic structure and scattering time due to S vacancy
22
23 formation modifies significantly the electrical properties.
24
25

26
27 The power factor (PF) temperature dependences, presented in **Figure 8e**, shows that both
28
29 sample batches reach similar values at high temperature with a maximum ranging around 0.70
30
31 $- 0.75 \text{ mW m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$ at 675 K . These values are in good agreement with previous studies on
32
33 $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_{6-x}\text{S}_{32}$ (with $x = 0.5$)²⁸ or $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{Ta}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ colusites,⁵⁰ but remain lower than the value
34
35 of $0.92 \text{ mW m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-2}$ reported at 700 K for the $\text{Cu}_{25}\text{ZnV}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ composition.⁵¹
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 8. Temperature dependence of a) electrical resistivity (ρ), b) Seebeck coefficient (S) c) thermal conductivity (κ), d) lattice thermal conductivity (κ_L), e) power factor (PF), and f) dimensionless figure of merit ZT of samples L and H, sintered at 873 K and 1023 K, respectively.

The temperature dependences of the total (κ) and the lattice component (κ_L) of the thermal

1
2
3 conductivity are presented in **Figures 8c** and **8d**, respectively. All the samples evidence a
4 decrease of κ from 300 K to 675 K. However, two distinct magnitudes for both κ and κ_L are
5 clearly visible depending on the sintering temperature. As previously reported,⁵¹ mechanical-
6 alloyed powders sintered at 873 K have relatively high κ values of 2.1–2.9 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ at 300
7 K and 1.4–1.7 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ at 675 K. This large magnitude is linked to i) the metallic behavior
8 and the large carrier contribution to the thermal conductivity ($\kappa_e \sim 0.8$ – 1.5 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ at 300
9 K) and ii) the absence of structural defects (as seen above). Furthermore, it is obvious that
10 increasing sintering temperature up to 1023 K induces a remarkable decrease in κ with ultra-
11 low values of 0.65–0.67 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ at 300 K and 0.55–0.56 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ at 675 K, as reported
12 earlier.^{28,32,48,50} The κ reduction can be attributed to i) the decrease of κ_e (~ 0.3 – 0.4 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹
13 at 300 K) and ii) the enhanced phonon scattering induced by the existence of structural defects
14 leading to a more disordered character of the samples sintered at 1023 K. κ_L is then reduced
15 on the full temperature range with a significant decrease from 1.3 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ for the samples
16 sintered at 873 K to 0.3–0.4 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ for the samples sintered at 1023 K, which is close to
17 the computed theoretical minimum lattice thermal conductivity ($k_{min} = 0.27$ W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) using
18 the shortest scattering distance within the model proposed by Cahill *et al.*⁶⁰

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38 Based on our structural analyses, the origin of the low lattice thermal conductivity in samples
39 H is mainly attributed to the scattering effects induced by S vacancies and cationic disorder,
40 that scatters short-wavelength phonons. In addition, the ordered and disordered regions are
41 coherently intergrown, and their interfaces can also contribute to scattering of phonons with
42 medium wavelengths, meanwhile maintaining good carrier transport without excessive
43 scattering.^{10,61} This statement is also supported by the decrease of the measured sound
44 velocities from 4260 m s⁻¹ in sample L down to 3620 m s⁻¹ in sample H. Such a reduction
45 indicates that defects modify the vibrational spectrum in addition to introduce additional

1
2
3 scattering for the heat carriers. Hence, these structural features are unique in terms of
4
5 enhancing the thermoelectric performances of colusite.

6
7 Finally, the temperature dependence of the dimensionless figure of merit, ZT , is given in
8
9 **Figure 8f**. All samples exhibit similar temperature dependence with a maximum ZT value of
10
11 0.93 at 675K for the sample sintered at 1023 K. To the best of our knowledge, this is the
12
13 highest value reported for Sn-based bulk colusite. Compared to the samples sintered at 873 K,
14
15 there is an improvement of around 300% of the figure of merit at 675K. This huge
16
17 enhancement takes place in the full temperature range.
18
19
20
21

22 **3. Conclusion**

23
24 We have performed an in-depth analysis of $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ to illustrate the potential of this
25
26 complex sulfide for thermoelectric applications. Using scalable mechanical alloying synthesis
27
28 and different densification methods, we demonstrated how point defects can be used to
29
30 engineer thermoelectric materials. The measurements are rationalized with the aid of total
31
32 energy and band structure calculations as well as the calculation of harmonic vibrational
33
34 properties. Our findings include: (1) the thermoelectric properties of colusite can be tailored
35
36 with appropriate densification strategies, (2) the measured low thermal conductivity is due to
37
38 the presence of soft Cu vibration at low frequency combined with the scattering effects
39
40 induced by S vacancies and chemical disorder on the metal ions sublattices, (3) the endotaxial
41
42 nature of this disordered region does not compromise the power factor, (4) lines of point
43
44 defects form spontaneously because of the specific energetics of antisite defects.
45
46

47
48 As a result, the ZT value of bulk colusites rises to near unity at 675K, making this material
49
50 one of the best p -type TE materials in this temperature region. This approach can be extended
51
52 to other ternary/quaternary metal/semiconductors with the aim to synthesize other disordered
53
54 TE materials with enhanced performances. This work thus addresses the interest of
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 researchers to broaden the scope of prospective TE materials especially among complex
4 sulfide systems.
5
6
7

9 **4. Experimental Section**

10
11 Mechanically alloyed powders of $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ were prepared by milling 5g of copper (Alfa
12 Aesar, 99.5 %), vanadium (Alfa Aesar, 99.5 %), sulfur (Alfa Aesar, 99.5 %), and tin (Merck,
13 99.9 %) in stoichiometric proportions in a planetary ball mill (Fritsch Pulverisette 7 Premium
14 line). The milling was performed during 12h at a speed of 600 rotations per minute under
15 argon atmosphere in a 45 mL tungsten carbide jar containing 10 balls of 10 mm diameter. It
16 produces a fine black powder. X-ray powder diffraction data collected on this material are
17 consistent with the $P\bar{4}3n$ space group and cell parameters expected for colusite as reported
18 elsewhere.⁵¹ The obtained powder was then densified using two different consolidation
19 techniques: 1) spark plasma sintering (SPS – FCT HPD 25)) during 45 minutes at 873 K
20 under a uniaxial pressure of 64 MPa with cooling and heating rates of 50 K min^{-1} with a slight
21 over pressure of +50 hPa (Ar) and 2) hot pressing (HP FUT-17000, TOKYO VACUUM) at
22 1023 K for 1 h under a uniaxial pressure of 70 MPa in Ar gas flow of 300 ml min^{-1} with
23 heating and cooling rates of 10 K min^{-1} and 20 K min^{-1} , respectively. Two ingots were
24 produced for both densification techniques. The geometrical densities of sample L and H are
25 respectively 90.1% and 89.5% of the theoretical values determined from XRPD data.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 XRPD measurements were performed using a D8 advance diffractometer with silicium
45 band lynx eyes detector (Cu $K\alpha$ radiation with Ge (111) monochromator). Data were collected
46 with the addition of 6 scans over the angular range $10 \leq 2\theta^\circ \leq 120$ with a step size of
47 0.0105° , and a step time of 1.3 sec. NPD experiments were carried out at the Institut Laue
48 Langevin (ILL), Grenoble, France. Data were collected at 300K using the high-flux neutron
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 two-axis powder diffractometer D1B ($\lambda = 1.28 \text{ \AA}$) equipped with position sensitive detector of
4
5 1280 cells covering a total of 128° .
6

7 XRPD and NPD patterns were simultaneously refined by Rietveld analysis using the
8
9 *FullProf* and *WinPlotr* software packages.^{62,63} The shape of the diffraction peaks was
10
11 modelled using a Thompson-Cox-Hastings pseudo-Voigt profile function.⁶⁴ Zero-point shift
12
13 and asymmetry parameters were systematically refined, and the background contribution was
14
15 manually estimated. Lattice parameter, atomic positions, and isotropic displacement
16
17 parameters (*i.e.* Debye-Waller factors: B_{iso}) were finally refined and gathered in Table S1.
18
19 Bond distances are presented in Table S2.
20
21

22 Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDAX EDX detector) spot analyses were
23
24 performed on twenty grains of each sample (Table S3).
25
26

27 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses including electron diffraction (ED)
28
29 and high angle annular dark field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) studies were performed by
30
31 using a JEM200F cold FEG image and probe aberration microscope operated at 200 kV,
32
33 equipped with CENTURIO EDX detector and Quantum GIF. TEM samples were prepared by
34
35 crushed powder in agate mortar, suspended in n-butanol and then deposited on a Ni holey
36
37 carbon grid.
38
39

40 The electrical resistivity (ρ) and Seebeck coefficient (S) were measured
41
42 simultaneously from ingots, from 300 K up to 675 K using ULVAC-ZEM3 device under
43
44 partial helium pressure. An LFA-457 model was used for measuring the thermal diffusivity
45
46 under argon flow. The thermal conductivity (κ) was determined as the product of the
47
48 geometrical density, the thermal diffusivity and the theoretical heat capacity using Dulong-
49
50 Petit approximation. Zero-field heat-capacity measurements were also carried out from 5 K to
51
52 300 K in the same device using a relaxation method with a 2τ fitting procedure (**Figure S2**).
53
54

55
56 The Wiedemann-Franz law was used to calculate the lattice thermal conductivity by
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 subtracting the electronic contribution to the total thermal conductivity ($\kappa_L = \kappa - \kappa_e$). Here, the
4
5 Lorenz number L was estimated as function of temperature from the experimental Seebeck
6
7 coefficients using single parabolic band model⁶⁵ ($L(T)$ curves are displayed in **Figure S6**).
8
9 The estimated measurements uncertainties are 6% for the Seebeck coefficient, 8% for the
10
11 electrical resistivity, 11% for the thermal conductivity and 16% for the final figure of merit,
12
13 ZT .⁶⁶ Room temperature Hall effect measurements were carried out using Physical Properties
14
15 Measurement System (PPMS; Quantum Design) under an applied magnetic field up to 9T.

16
17
18 Band structures and phonon dispersions were computed using AFLOW π ,^{67,68}. We used
19
20 ultrasoft PBE pseudopotentials, well converged basis sets corresponding to an energy cut-off
21
22 of 60 Ry for the wavefunctions and 600 Ry for the charge density. To integrate over the
23
24 Brillouin zone we used a 4 x 4 x 4 grid. Hubbard U corrections were computed within the
25
26 Brillouin zone we used a 4 x 4 x 4 grid. Hubbard U corrections were computed within the
27
28 (Agapito-Curtarolo-Buongiorno Nardelli (ACBN0) approach,^{69,70} providing the values in
29
30 Figure 2. For the ACBN0 we used norm-conserving pseudopotentials ($E_{cut} = 150$ Ry).
31
32 Electronic transport and carrier concentrations have been computed using interpolated tight-
33
34 binding hamiltonians as in Ref.⁷¹

40 41 **Supporting Information**

42
43 Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

44
45 Figure S1. Total energy response to the cationic displacement.

46
47 Figure S2. Specific heat capacity as a function of temperature.

48
49 Figure S3. Rietveld refinement of the NPD patterns.

50
51 Figure S4. Structural channels formed by Cu 6d and 8e around the Sn sites.

52
53 Figure S5. Relaxation time fitted to experimental data on the resistivity.

54
55 Figure S6: Lorentz number as a function of temperature.

1
2
3 Table S1. Structural parameters determined from combined XRPD/NPD data Rietveld
4 refinements.
5
6

7
8 Table S2. Interatomic bond distances (Å).
9

10
11 Table S3. Atomic compositions determined by EDS.
12
13
14
15

16 **Author Information**

17
18 †
19 Y.B. and A.R.S. contributed equally to this work.
20
21
22

23 **Acknowledgements:**

24
25 The authors gratefully thank J. Lecourt for technical assistance and N. Barrier for sound
26 velocities measurements. The work at AIST and Kyushu University was supported as part of
27 the International Joint Research Program for Innovative Energy Technology funded by
28 the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI), Japan. The authors are indebted to the
29 Institut Laue Langevin (Grenoble, France) for the provision of research facilities (DOI:
30 10.5291/ILL-DATA.5-24-585). M.F., R.A.R.A.O and A.S. acknowledges collaboration with
31 the AFLOW Consortium (<http://www.aflow.org>) under the sponsorship of DOD-ONR
32 (Grants N000141310635 and N000141512266).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- (1) Bell, L. E. *Science* **2008**, *321*, 1457.
- (2) Snyder, G. J.; Toberer, E. S. *Nat. Mater.* **2008**, *7* (2), 105.
- (3) Koumoto, K.; Mori, T. *Thermoelectric Nanomaterials*, Springer: New York, 2013.
- (4) Tan, G.; Zhao, L. D.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 12123.
- (5) Pei, Y.; Shi, X.; LaLonde, A.; Wang, H.; Chen, L.; Snyder, G. J. *Nature* **2011**, *473*, 66.
- (6) Sootsman, J. R.; Chung, D. Y.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 8616.
- (7) Hsu, K. F.; Loo, S.; Guo, F.; Chen, W.; Dyck, J. S.; Uher, C.; Hogan, T.; Polychroniadis, E. K.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *Science* **2004**, *303*, 818.
- (8) Hu, X.; Jood, P.; Ohta, M.; Kunii, M.; Nagase, K.; Nishiata, H.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Yamamoto, A. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *9*, 517.
- (9) Biswas, K.; He, J.; Blum, I. D.; Wu, C.-I.; Hogan, T. P.; Seidman, D. N.; Dravid, V. P.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *Nature* **2012**, *489*, 414.
- (10) Biswas, K.; He, J.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, G.; Uher, C.; Dravid, V. P.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *Nat. Chem.* **2011**, *3*, 160.
- (11) Gelbstein, Y.; Davidow, J.; Girard, S. N.; Chung, D. Y.; Kanatzidis, M. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2013**, *3*, 815.
- (12) Al Rahal Al Orabi, R.; Mecholsky, N. A.; Hwang, J.; Kim, W.; Rhyee, J. S.; Wee, D.; Fornari, M. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 376.
- (13) Al Rahal Al Orabi, R.; Hwang, J.; Lin, C. C.; Gautier, R.; Fontaine, B.; Kim, W.;

- 1
2
3 Rhyee, J. S.; Wee, D.; Fornari, M. *Chem. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 612.
4
5
6 (14) Liu, H.; Shi, X.; Xu, F.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, W.; Chen, L.; Li, Q.; Uher, C.; Day, T.;
7
8 Snyder, G. J. *Nat Mater* **2012**, *11*, 422.
9
10
11 (15) Ge, Z.; Zhang, B.; Chen, Y.; Yu, Z.; Liu, Y.; Li, J.-F. *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*,
12
13 12697.
14
15
16 (16) He, Y.; Day, T.; Zhang, T.; Liu, H.; Shi, X.; Chen, L.; Snyder, G. J. *Adv. Mater.* **2014**,
17
18 *26*, 3974.
19
20
21 (17) Dennler, G.; Chmielowski, R.; Jacob, S.; Capet, F.; Roussel, P.; Zastrow, S.; Nielsch,
22
23 K.; Opahle, I.; Madsen, G. K. H. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2014**, *4*, 1301581.
24
25
26 (18) Suekuni, K.; Tsuruta, K.; Ariga, T.; Koyano, M. *Appl. Phys. Express* **2012**, *5*, 51201.
27
28
29 (19) Suekuni, K.; Tsuruta, K.; Kunii, M.; Nishiate, H.; Nishibori, E.; Maki, S.; Ohta, M.;
30
31 Yamamoto, A.; Koyano, M. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2013**, *113* (4), 43712.
32
33
34 (20) Lu, X.; Morelli, D. T.; Xia, Y.; Zhou, F.; Ozolins, V.; Chi, H.; Zhou, X.; Uher, C. *Adv.*
35
36 *Energy Mater.* **2013**, *3*, 342.
37
38
39 (21) Lara-Curzio, E.; May, A. F.; Delaire, O.; McGuire, M. A.; Lu, X.; Liu, C. Y.; Case, E.
40
41 D.; Morelli, D. T. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2014**, *115*, 193515.
42
43
44 (22) Chetty, R.; Bali, A.; Naik, M. H.; Rogl, G.; Rogl, P.; Jain, M.; Suwas, S.; Mallik, R. C.
45
46 *Acta Mater.* **2015**, *100*, 266.
47
48
49 (23) Bouyrie, Y.; Candolfi, C.; Ohorodniichuk, V.; Malaman, B.; Dauscher, A.; Tobola, J.;
50
51 Lenoir, B. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2015**, *3*, 10476.
52
53
54 (24) Bouyrie, Y.; Candolfi, C.; Dauscher, A.; Malaman, B.; Lenoir, B. *Chem. Mater.* **2015**,
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 27, 8354.
4
5
6 (25) Barbier, T.; Lemoine, P.; Gascoin, S.; Lebedev, O. I.; Kaltzoglou, A.; Vaqueiro, P.;
7
8 Powell, A. V.; Smith, R. I.; Guilmeau, E. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2015**, *634*, 253.
9
10
11 (26) Barbier, T.; Rollin-Martinet, S.; Lemoine, P.; Gascoin, F.; Kaltzoglou, A.; Vaqueiro,
12
13 P.; Powell, A. V.; Guilmeau, E. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2016**, *99*, 51.
14
15
16 (27) Chetty, R.; Bali, A.; Mallik, R. C. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2015**, *3*, 12364.
17
18
19 (28) Kim, F. S.; Suekuni, K.; Nishiate, H.; Ohta, M.; Tanaka, H. I.; Takabatake, T. *J. Appl.*
20
21 *Phys.* **2016**, *119*, 175105.
22
23
24 (29) Suekuni, K.; Takabatake, T. *APL Mater.* **2016**, *4*, 104503.
25
26
27 (30) Lu, X.; Morelli, D. T. ed. *C. Uher*, (CRC Press. Taylor Fr. Group, Boca Raton, FL)
28
29 **2016**, *16*, 473.
30
31
32 (31) Barbier, T.; Lemoine, P.; Martinet, S.; Eriksson, M.; Gilmas, M.; Hug, E.; Guélou, G.;
33
34 Vaqueiro, P.; Powell, A. V.; Guilmeau, E. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 10044.
35
36
37 (32) Suekuni, K.; Kim, F. S.; Nishiate, H.; Ohta, M.; Tanaka, H. I.; Takabatake, T. *Appl.*
38
39 *Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *105*, 132107.
40
41
42 (33) Suekuni, K.; Kim, F. S.; Takabatake, T. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2014**, *116*, 63706.
43
44
45 (34) Pavan Kumar, V.; Paradis-Fortin, L.; Lemoine, P.; Caignaert, V.; Raveau, B.;
46
47 Malaman, B.; Le Caër, G.; Cordier, S.; Guilmeau, E. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 13376.
48
49
50 (35) Qiu, P.; Zhang, T.; Qiu, Y.; Shi, X.; Chen, L. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2014**, *7*, 4000.
51
52
53 (36) Guélou, G.; Powell, A. V.; Vaqueiro, P. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2015**, *3*, 10624.
54
55
56 (37) Kumar, V. P.; Barbier, T.; Lemoine, P.; Raveau, B.; Nassif, V.; Guilmeau, E. *Dalt.*
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Trans.* **2017**, *46*, 2174.
4
5
6 (38) Pavan Kumar, V.; Barbier, T.; Raveau, B.; Daou, R.; Malaman, B.; Le Caër, G.;
7 Lemoine, P.; Guilmeau, E. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**.
8
9
10 (39) Shen, Y.; Li, C.; Huang, R.; Tian, R.; Ye, Y.; Pan, L.; Koumoto, K.; Zhang, R.; Wang,
11 *Y. Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 32501.
12
13
14 (40) Liu, M. L.; Huang, F. Q.; Chen, L. D.; Chen, I. W. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, *94*, 202103.
15
16
17 (41) Yang, H.; Jauregui, L. A.; Zhang, G.; Chen, Y. P.; Wu, Y. *Nano Lett.* **2012**, *12*, 540.
18
19
20 (42) Khan, A. U.; Al Rahal Al Orabi, R.; Pakdel, A.; Vaney, J.; Fontaine, B.; Gautier, R.;
21 Halet, J.; Mitani, S.; Mori, T. *Chem. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 2988.
22
23
24 (43) Bourgès, C.; Lemoine, P.; Lebedev, O. I.; Daou, R.; Hardy, V.; Malaman, B.;
25 Guilmeau, E. *Acta Mater.* **2015**, *97*, 180.
26
27
28 (44) Tsujii, N.; Mori, T. *Appl. Phys. Express* **2013**, *6*, 43001.
29
30
31 (45) Xie, H.; Su, X.; Zheng, G.; Yan, Y.; Liu, W.; Tang, H.; Kanatzidis, M. G.; Uher, C.;
32 Tang, X. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 27895.
33
34
35 (46) Hashikuni, K.; Suekuni, K.; Usui, H.; Ohta, M.; Kuroki, K.; Takabatake, T. *Appl. Phys.*
36 *Lett.* **2016**, *109*, 182110.
37
38
39 (47) Barbier, T.; Berthebaud, D.; Frésard, R.; Lebedev, O. I.; Guilmeau, E.; Eyert, V.;
40 Maignan, A. *Inorg. Chem. Front.* **2017**, *4*, 424.
41
42
43 (48) Suekuni, K.; Tanaka, H. I.; Kim, F. S.; Umeo, K.; Takabatake, T. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*
44 **2015**, *84*, 103601.
45
46
47 (49) Lai, W.; Wang, Y.; Morelli, D. T.; Lu, X. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2015**, *25* (24), 3648.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


- 1
2
3 (50) Kikuchi, Y.; Bouyrie, Y.; Ohta, M.; Suekuni, K.; Aihara, M.; Takabatake, T. *J. Mater.*
4
5 *Chem. A* **2016**, *4* (39), 15207.
6
7
8 (51) Bourgès, C.; Gilmas, M.; Lemoine, P.; Mordvinova, N.; Lebedev, O. I.; Hug, E.;
9
10 Nassif, V. M.; Malaman, B.; Daou, R.; Guilmeau, E. *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2016**, *4*, 7455.
11
12
13 (52) Spry, P. G.; Merlino, S.; Su Wang; Xiaomao Zhang; Buseck, P. R. *Am. Mineral.* **1994**,
14
15 *79*, 750.
16
17
18 (53) Tan, G.; Hao, S.; Zhao, J.; Wolverton, C.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**,
19
20 *139*, 6467.
21
22
23 (54) Lin, H.; Tan, G.; Shen, J. N.; Hao, S.; Wu, L. M.; Calta, N.; Malliakas, C.; Wang, S.;
24
25 Uher, C.; Wolverton, C.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 1.
26
27
28 (55) Vaqueiro, P.; Al Rahal Al Orabi, R.; Luu, S. D. N.; Guélou, G.; Powell, A. V.; Smith,
29
30 R. I.; Song, J.-P.; Wee, D.; Fornari, M. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 31735.
31
32
33 (56) Christensen, M.; Abrahamsen, A. B.; Christensen, N. B.; Juranyi, F.; Andersen, N. H.;
34
35 Lefmann, K.; Andreasson, J.; Bahl, C. R. H.; Iversen, B. B. *Nat. Mater.* **2008**, *7*, 811.
36
37
38 (57) Wee, D.; Kozinsky, B.; Fornari, M. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **2013**, *82*, 14602.
39
40
41 (58) Volja, D.; Kozinsky, B.; Li, A.; Wee, D.; Marzari, N.; Fornari, M. *Phys. Rev. B -*
42
43 *Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2012**, *85*, 245211.
44
45
46 (59) Al Rahal Al Orabi, R.; Orisakwe, E.; Wee, D.; Fontaine, B.; Gautier, R.; Halet, J.-F.;
47
48 Fornari, M. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2015**, *3*, 9945.
49
50
51 (60) Cahill, D. G.; Watson, S. K.; Pohl, R. O. *Phys. Rev. B* **1992**, *46*, 6131.
52
53
54 (61) Banik, A.; Vishal, B.; Perumal, S.; Datta, R.; Biswas, K. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2016**, *9*,
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 2011.
4
5
6 (62) Rodríguez-Carvajal, J. *Phys. B Condens. Matter* **1993**, *192* (1–2), 55.
7
8
9 (63) Roisnel, T.; Rodríguez-Carvajal, J. *Mater. Sci. Forum* **2001**, *378–381*, 118.
10
11
12 (64) Thompson, P.; Cox, D. E.; Hastings, J. B. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1987**, *20* (2), 79.
13
14
15 (65) Kim, H. S.; Gibbs, Z. M.; Tang, Y.; Wang, H.; Snyder, G. J. *APL Mater.* **2015**, *3*,
16
17 41506.
18
19
20 (66) Alleno, E.; Bérardan, D.; Byl, C.; Candolfi, C.; Daou, R.; Decourt, R.; Guilmeau, E.;
21
22 Hébert, S.; Hejtmanek, J.; Lenoir, B.; Masschelein, P.; Ohorodnichuk, V.; Pollet, M.;
23
24 Populoh, S.; Ravot, D.; Rouleau, O.; Soulier, M. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2015**, *86*, 11301.
25
26
27 (67) Giannozzi, P.; Baroni, S.; Bonini, N.; Calandra, M.; Car, R.; Cavazzoni, C.; Ceresoli,
28
29 D.; Chiarotti, G. L.; Cococcioni, M.; Dabo, I.; Corso, A. D.; Fabris, S.; Fratesi, G.; de
30
31 Gironcoli, S.; Gebauer, R.; Gerstmann, U.; Gougoussis, C.; Kokalj, A.; Lazzeri, M.;
32
33 Martin-Samos, L.; Marzari, N.; Mauri, F.; Mazzarello, R.; Paolini, S.; Pasquarello, A.;
34
35 Paulatto, L.; Sbraccia, C.; Scandolo, S.; Sclauzero, G.; Seitsonen, A. P.; Smogunov, A.;
36
37 Umari, P.; Wentzcovitch, R. M. **2009**, *21*, 395502.
38
39
40 (68) Supka, A. R.; Lyons, T. E.; Liyanage, L.; D'Amico, P.; Al Rahal Al Orabi, R.;
41
42 Mahatara, S.; Gopal, P.; Toher, C.; Ceresoli, D.; Calzolari, A.; Curtarolo, S.; Nardelli,
43
44 M. B.; Fornari, M. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **2017**, *136*, 76.
45
46
47 (69) Agapito, L. A.; Ismail-Beigi, S.; Curtarolo, S.; Fornari, M.; Nardelli, M. B. *Phys. Rev.*
48
49 *B* **2016**, *93*, 35104.
50
51
52
53 (70) Agapito, L. A.; Curtarolo, S.; Nardelli, M. B. *Phys. Rev. X* **2015**, *5*, 11006.
54
55
56 (71) D'Amico, P.; Agapito, L.; Catellani, A.; Ruini, A.; Curtarolo, S.; Fornari, M.; Nardelli,
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 M. B.; Calzolari, A. *Phys. Rev. B* **2016**, *94*, 165166.
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table of Content

Cédric Bourgès, Yohan Bouyrie, Andrew R. Supka, Rabih Al Rahal Al Orabi, Pierrick Lemoine, Oleg I. Lebedev, Michihiro Ohta, Koichiro Suekuni, Vivian Nassif, Vincent Hardy, Ramzy Daou, Yuzuru Miyazaki, Marco Fornari and Emmanuel Guilmeau

High-performance Thermoelectric Bulk Colusite by Process Controlled Structural Disordering




Figure 1. Unit cell of $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$ (space group $P4\bar{3}n$, N° 218). We show the S in 8e (yellow) bridging V (red) with Cu 8e (dark green). Cu in 6d and 12f are in light green, Sn in blue, and S in 24f are not shown. First principles computed structural parameters are in good agreement with experiments: $a = 10.797 \text{ \AA}$, Cu (12f, $x = 0.748$), Cu (8e, $x = 0.753$), Cu (6d), Sn (6c), V(2a), S (24i $x = 0.867$, $y = 0.369$, $z = 0.119$), and S (8e, $x = 0.620$).

373x289mm (299 x 299 DPI)




Figure 2. Electronic band structure and atom projected electronic density of states of Cu₂₆V₂Sn₆S₃₂. Hubbard U corrections were computed self-consistently within the ACBN0 approach (see text): U(Cu in 12f) = 7.82, U(Cu in 8e) = 7.69, U(Cu in 6d) = 7.78, U(V) = 0.02, U(Sn) = 0.00, U(S in 24i) = 1.11 and U(S in 8e) = 1.13.

476x304mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 3. Phonon dispersions and atom-projected vibrational density of states of Cu₂₆V₂Sn₆S₃₂. Optic modes at frequency as low as 40 cm⁻¹ contribute to scattering phenomena that lower the lattice thermal conductivity. Notice the prominent role of Cu in 8e at low frequency.

498x182mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 4. Rietveld refinements of the XRPD patterns recorded at room temperature of the samples H (a) and L (b), sintered respectively at 1023 K and 873 K.

387x388mm (95 x 95 DPI)




Figure 5. Typical HAADF-STEM images and corresponding ED patterns of both samples L and H sintered respectively at 873 K or 1023 K for main zone axis [001], [111] and [011]. Enlargement images with the overlaid structural models are given as insert. (Sn-blue, V-light blue, Cu-orange, S-yellow)

119x250mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 6. (a) [001] HAADF-STEM image of sample H sintered at 1023 K. Red color indicates 1D line defects distributed within the perfect crystal of $\text{Cu}_{26}\text{V}_2\text{Sn}_6\text{S}_{32}$. (b) Enlargement part of line defect. Red circle depicted Sn-site defects columns. (c) Intensity line scan profile along the atomic layer indicated by white arrow in (b). There is a clear violation of Sn columns periodicity (higher peaks in the plot profile) corresponding to Sn-site defects. Note that the peak intensity of Sn-site defects columns is similar to those of pure Cu column suggesting the substitution of Sn by Cu atoms. (d) Corresponding structural model of the 1D Sn-vacancy line defect.

123x105mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 7. [001] and [111] HAADF-STEM images showing the coexistence of ordered and disordered regions within the same crystallite in the sample H sintered at 1023 K. Corresponding FT patterns are given as inset. Row indicates a point defect.

119x238mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 8. Temperature dependence of a) electrical resistivity (ρ), b) Seebeck coefficient (S) c) thermal conductivity (κ), d) lattice thermal conductivity (κ_L), e) power factor (PF), and f) dimensionless figure of merit ZT of samples L and H, sintered at 873 K and 1023 K, respectively.

286x523mm (300 x 300 DPI)