

Promises and limitations of nanoparticles in the era of cell therapy: Example with CD19-targeting chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-modified T cells

Helene Jakobczyk, Flavien Sciortino, Soizic Chevance, Fabienne Gauffre,

Marie-Bérengère Troadec

▶ To cite this version:

Helene Jakobczyk, Flavien Sciortino, Soizic Chevance, Fabienne Gauffre, Marie-Bérengère Troadec. Promises and limitations of nanoparticles in the era of cell therapy: Example with CD19-targeting chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-modified T cells. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 2017, 532 (2), pp.813-824. 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2017.07.075 . hal-01617055

HAL Id: hal-01617055 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01617055

Submitted on 24 Oct 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	TITLE:
2	PROMISES AND LIMITATIONS OF NANOPARTICLES IN THE ERA OF CELL
3	THERAPY: EXAMPLE WITH CD19-TARGETING CHIMERIC ANTIGEN
4	RECEPTOR (CAR)-MODIFIED T CELLS
5	
6	Authors:
7	Hélène Jakobczyk ^{a,b} , Flavien Sciortino ^c , Soizic Chevance ^c , Fabienne Gauffre ^c ,
8	Marie-Bérengère Troadec ^{a,b}
9	
10	Affiliations :
11	^a Institut de Génétique et Développement de Rennes, UMR 6290 CNRS, Université
12	de Rennes 1, Rennes, France
13	^b SFR Biosit UMS CNRS 3480/US INSERM 018, Rennes, France
14	^c Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR 6226 CNRS, Université de
15	Rennes 1, Rennes, France
16	
17	
18	corresponding author :
19	Marie-Bérengère Troadec
20	marie-berengere.troadec@univ-rennes1.fr
21	

23 ABSTRACT

24

A number of nanoparticles has been developed by chemists for biomedical 25 26 applications to meet imaging and targeting needs. In parallel, adoptive T therapy with chimeric antigen receptor engineered T cells (CAR T cells) has recently held great 27 28 promise in B-cell malignancy treatments thanks to the development of anti-CD19 29 CAR T cells. Indeed, CD19 is a reliable B cell marker and a validated target protein 30 for therapy. In this perspective article, we propose to discuss the advantages, limits and challenges of nanoparticles and CAR T cells, focusing on CD19 targeting 31 32 objects: anti-CD19 nanoparticles and anti-CD19 CAR T cells, because those 33 genetically-modified cells are the most widely developed in clinical setting. In the first 34 part, we will introduce B cell malignancies and the CD19 surface marker. Then we 35 will present the positioning of nanomedicine in the topic of B cell malignancy, before exposing CAR T technology. Finally, we will discuss the complementary approaches 36 37 between nanoparticles and CAR T cells.

- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41

42 KEY WORDS

43

44 Nanoparticles, CD19, chimeric antigen receptor, T cell, B cell, cell therapy

OUTLINES

47 48	1 CD19, A B CELL RESTRICTED SURFACE PROTEIN AND A RELIABLE MARKER OF B CELL MALIGNANCIES	5
49	1.1 THE FUNCTIONS OF BLYMPHOCYTES	6
50		0
50	1.2 B CELL MALIGNANCIES	6
51 52	1.3 THE SURFACE PROTEIN CD19: A VALIDATED TARGET PROTEIN FOR THERAPY 1.3.1 CD19 structure and function	7 7
53 54	1.3.2 Internalization of CD19 after binding to anti-CD19 antibody	8 8
55	1.3.4 CD19 as a target for therapy	9
56	2 NANOMEDICINE IN THE TOPIC OF B CELL MALIGNANCY	10
57	2.1 NON TARGETING NANOPARTICLES FOR THERAPY AND IMAGING OF B CELL MALIGNANCY	10
58	2.2 CD19-TARGETING NANOPARTICLES	12
59 60	2.2.1 Imaging with anti-CD19 nanoparticles	13
00	2.2.2 Therapy with anti-CD19 hanoparticles	14
61	3 CD19-TARGETED CHIMERIC ANTIGEN RECEPTOR (CAR) T CELLS IMMUNOTHERAPY	17
62 63	 3.1 IMMUNOTHERAPIES: ANTIBODY-BASED AND ADOPTIVE CELLULAR THERAPIES 3.1.1 The concept of CAR T cell: retargeting a cytolytic immune cell by genetic-modification to 	18
64 65	eliminate a tumor cell 212 — The main biological challenges for an offective antibody based adoptive cellular therapy	18
66	3.1.3 The choice of a relevant target antigen: CD19 gene therapy	19
67 68	3.1.4 The role of CAR: conferring T cell the ability to persist and expand <i>in vivo</i> and to exert cytoly activity 19	/tic
69 70	3.2 CURRENT CLINICAL OUTCOMES, BENEFITS AND LIMITATIONS OF CD19 CAR T THERAPY	21
70 71	3.2.1 Clinical outcomes 3.2.2 Advantages	21 21
72	3.2.3 Limitations	22
73	4 PERSPECTIVES: HOW NANOPARTICLES AND CAR T CELL THERAPY COULD BE	
74	COMPLEMENTARY?	26
75	4.1 MULTIMODALITY	26
76	4.2 TO TRACK MALIGNANT AND CAR T CELLS	27
77	4.3 TO IMPROVE TUMOR ACCESSIBILITY	28
78	4.4 TO MANAGE TOXICITIES OF CAR T CELLS AND MODULATE THE EXPANSION OF CAR T CELL	<i>.</i> \$30
79 80	5 CONCLUSION	30

81 **INTRODUCTION**

A hematological malignant cell is defined as a hematopoietic cell blocked at an early 82 83 stage of differentiation and undergoing an uncontrolled clonal proliferation. So far, 84 tremendous improvement in cancer treatment has been obtained thanks to the identification of therapeutic drugs, better molecular understanding of the onset and 85 86 progression of malignancy, more sensitive detection of tumor cells, more effective 87 follow-up of the disease, better management of adverse effects, optimization of protocol design... Many challenges are still to be undertaken. From the time a patient 88 89 arrives to be diagnosed to the moment he is cured, physicians and medical staff 90 encounter at least the following issues: the early identification of the tumor, the 91 imaging of malignant cells (where are localized the malignant cells? Is that the 92 primary tumor or a metastasis?), the delivery of therapeutic drugs and avoidance of 93 adverse effects on non-malignant cells (sometimes minimizing the risk of generation 94 of a secondary cancer), and finally the identification of residual cells that could 95 ultimately be at the origin of refractory cancer or relapse.

96

97 The chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy is a revolutionary approach of 98 targeted immunotherapy to treat cancer. In CAR T cell therapy, the therapeutic 99 effector is a genetically modified cell. CAR T cell therapy may not yet be poised to 100 overtake chemotherapy as the standard of care, however, it is looking as a promising 101 treatment for certain patients with no other feasible therapeutic option, such as in 102 relapsed or refractory leukemia. An alternative research approach for the treatment 103 of cancer is offered by nanoparticles, which have been proposed as carriers for drug 104 encapsulation in the 60's. Since then, a variety of organic and inorganic 105 nanoparticles, with sizes ranging from *circa* 5 nm to 200 nm, have been designed for

a wide range of applications including targeted drug delivery and imaging, thus
boosting the activity of nanomedicine, with some remarkable results particularly in
the field of cancer diagnosis and therapy.

109

In this perspective article, we will propose to discuss the challenges of nanoparticles and CAR T cells in the context of hematological malignancies. We will focus on CD19 targeting objects: anti-CD19 nanoparticles and anti-CD19 CAR T cells because those genetically modified cells are the most widely developed in clinical setting.

114 In the first part, we will introduce B cell malignancies and their CD19 surface marker, 115 then we will present the positioning of nanomedicine in the topic of B cell malignancy, 116 before exposing CAR T technology. Finally, we will discuss the complementary 117 approaches between nanoparticles and CAR T cells. From the biological point of 118 view, anti-CD19-grafted nanoparticles and anti-CD19 CAR T cells target the same B 119 cell lineage. From the therapeutic perspective, nanoparticles and CAR T cells 120 approaches share common objectives: the optimization of therapeutic effect on target 121 cells and the minimization of adverse effects. However, the mechanisms of action are 122 different (see the graphical abstract). It seems reasonable to conceive that 123 nanoparticles could play a significant role for the potentiation of, and the cooperation 124 with CAR T cell therapy in the future.

125

126 1 CD19, A B CELL RESTRICTED SURFACE PROTEIN AND A RELIABLE

127 MARKER OF B CELL MALIGNANCIES

129 1.1 THE FUNCTIONS OF B LYMPHOCYTES

130 B cells (also named B lymphocytes) achieve multiple functions that explain their 131 central role in the immune system (Figure 1). Their main role is the production of 132 antibodies to identify and neutralize pathogens. The binding of a B lymphocyte to an 133 antigen triggers an initial step of multiplication and differentiation either into plasma 134 cell which secretes antibodies or into memory B cell. Besides their role in humoral 135 immunity, B cells are involved in cytokine production (e.g. IFNy, IL6, IL10), antigen 136 presentation to T cells, wound healing, cytokine balance for the differentiation 137 between T lymphocytes (Th1 and Th2 cells), but also in the transplant rejection 138 (review in (LeBien and Tedder, 2008)).

139 B cells undergo differentiation, from hematopoietic stem cells to plasma cells or 140 memory B cells, through a series of stages characterized by the orderly 141 rearrangement and expression of immunoglobulins genes including CD19 (Figure 1). 142 The development of B cells is also distinguished into different stages by the 143 sequential expression of different transcription factors that induce immunoglobulin 144 gene recombination and the expression of specific surface phenotypes. The onset of 145 B cell lineage occurs in the bone marrow until the immature stage, then mature B 146 cells move into the periphery (*i.e.* out of the bone marrow) (Zhu and Emerson, 2002).

147

148 1.2 B CELL MALIGNANCIES

B cell malignancies are hematological cancer characterized by uncontrolled proliferation of B lymphocytes blocked along their differentiation process. B cell malignancies are classified as leukemia (which develops in the bone marrow and disseminates into the body), lymphoma (a cancer of the lymphatic system characterized by the development of a cancer cells in lymph nodes) and myeloma

154 (cancer of mature B lymphocytes in the bone marrow) (review in (Wang et al., 2012)). 155 B cell malignancies represent 4% of all cancers in adults and 40% of all cancers in children. The clinical outcomes of these cancers under standard chemotherapy 156 157 depend on the type of B cell malignancies. For instance, children with B-Acute 158 Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) have an overall good prognosis, but some of them 159 are refractory to chemotherapy or develop multiple relapses and have a poor 160 prognosis (review in (Park et al., 2016)). Relapsed or refractory B cell ALL in adults 161 are associated with a poor prognosis (review in (Geyer and Brentjens, 2016)).

162

163 1.3 THE SURFACE PROTEIN CD19: A VALIDATED TARGET PROTEIN FOR THERAPY

164 1.3.1 CD19 structure and function

165 CD19 is a 95 kDa transmembrane glycoprotein of the immunoglobulin superfamily 166 composed of an extracellular domain, a single transmembrane domain, and a 167 cytoplasmic domain (Stamenkovic and Seed, 1988). CD19 belongs to the CD19 168 complex on the surface of B cells with CD21 and CD81 proteins (Figure 2). CD19 169 activation induces two downstream pathways. The first cascade of activation is 170 dependent on the B Cell Receptor (BCR). The BCR is composed of a membrane 171 immunoglobulin and a signaling subunit composed of a heterodimer of 172 immunoglobulin alpha and beta. The BCR plays a role as antigen receptor and CD19 173 is a co-receptor for BCR signal transduction (review in (Wang et al., 2012)). The 174 second pathway depending on CD19 is independent of the BCR: the CD19 complex 175 is able to bind activated complement fragment C3d and modulates BCR signaling 176 (review in (Wang et al., 2012)).

177

178 1.3.2 Internalization of CD19 after binding to anti-CD19 antibody

179 CD19 proteins on the surface of each B lineage leukemia/lymphoma cells are rapidly 180 internalized upon ligation with anti-CD19 antibodies or immunoconjugates (Uckun et 181 al., 1988; Yan et al., 2005), and are ultimately taken up by lysosomes (Carter, 2006 ; 182 Gerber et al., 2009; Hong et al., 2015).

- 183
- 1841.3.3Cells that express CD19

185 CD19 is a B cell-specific protein expressed early in B cell ontogeny (Stamenkovic 186 and Seed, 1988) (Figure 1). CD19 transcripts are restricted to members of the B cell 187 lineage and are not expressed in other hematological lineages including normal 188 myeloid, erythroid, megakaryocytic, or multilineage bone marrow progenitor cells 189 (Uckun et al., 1988). CD19 protein is found on the surface of B cells from the proB 190 cell stage until plasma cell differentiation of the B lineage (Tedder et al., 1994). 191 Several hundred thousand CD19 proteins can be found on the surface of each B-192 lineage leukemia/lymphoma (Uckun et al., 1988)(review in (Li et al., 2017)). All 193 resting B cells display CD19 antigens, and CD19 expression persists upon activation, 194 but is lost upon further differentiation to immunoglobulin-secreting plasma cells 195 (Stamenkovic and Seed, 1988). CD19 is also more abundant in pre-B cell lines and 196 less abundant in plasmacytomas (Stamenkovic and Seed, 1988). Almost all early B 197 cell malignancies show CD19 expression at normal to high levels: 80% of ALL, 88% 198 of B cell lymphomas and 100% of B cell leukemias (review in (Wang et al., 2012)). 199 However its expression decreases in myeloma cases (review in (Wang et al., 2012)). 200

201 1.3.4 CD19 as a target for therapy

202 Twenty years ago, CD19 was already proposed as a « suitable target for 203 immunotoxin-mediated treatment of aggressive forms of B cell lymphomas and 204 leukemia that responds poorly to conventional chemotherapy» (Uckun et al., 1988). 205 Currently, CD19 antibody-based therapy has become reality to treat B cells 206 malignancy. In the 2010's, various strategies harnessing the potential of targeting B 207 cells restricted to CD19 antigen were in development: antibody-drug conjugate, Fc-208 engineered human CD19 antibody with antibody-dependent cell-mediated 209 cytotoxicity, chimeric antigen receptor, etc. (Hammer, 2012). The most advanced 210 anti-CD19 therapy is the Blinatumomab (BLINCYTO®, Amgen) (review in (Hammer, 211 2012)) (Goebeler and Bargou, 2016), a bispecific CD19-directed CD3 T cell engager 212 (BiTE) antibody construct. Blinatumomab binds specifically to CD19 expressed on the surface of cells of B-lineage origin, and to CD3 expressed on the surface of T 213 214 cells. It brings both cells in contact so that the activated T cells can kill the B cells. 215 Blinatumomab is approved by the US Food-and-Drug-Administration (FDA) and the 216 European Commission (EC) for the treatment of Philadelphia chromosome-negative 217 relapsed or refractory B-ALL, in adults (USA and EC) as well as in children (USA 218 Additionally, anti-CD19 antibodies are also in only). development for radioimmunotherapy in preclinical studies. ¹³¹I-labeled anti-CD19 antibody has been 219 largely explored for conventional ¹³¹I radioimmunotherapy because antigen rapidly 220 internalizes upon binding of antibody - resulting in catabolism and release of ¹³¹I 221 (Scheinberg and Strand, 1983). Moreover, ⁹⁰Y-particle-labeled anti-CD19 antibody 222 has shown an efficacy comparable to ⁹⁰Y-labeled anti-CD20 antibody in 223 224 radioimmunotherapy of mice with xenografts of human B lymphoma cell lines (Ma et al., 2002). 225

226

227 2 NANOMEDICINE IN THE TOPIC OF B CELL MALIGNANCY

228

A number of nanoparticles has been proposed by chemists for cancer diagnostics and therapeutics, as summarized **Table 1**. Organic nanoparticles, such as liposomes, oil-in-water emulsions or polymeric particles, are mainly used as carriers, whereas nanoparticles, such as superparamagnetic iron oxide nanocrystals or quantum dots, show interesting intrinsic properties for imaging and therapy.

234

235 2.1 NON TARGETING NANOPARTICLES FOR THERAPY AND IMAGING OF B CELL

236 MALIGNANCY

237 Some anticancer encapsulation nanosystems have made their way to the market 238 (Pattni et al., 2015). Liposomal formulations encapsulating drugs, such as 239 doxorubicin, are commercialized under the name of Myocet, Doxil, Lipodox and 240 Caelyx. Related to hematological malignancy, a phase III clinical trial is open for a 241 liposome combinational delivery of two cytotoxic drugs (cytarabine and daunorubicin) 242 for high risk acute myeloid leukemia (clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT01696084) (Shi 243 et al., 2017). With the ultimate goal of achieving both spatial and temporal control of 244 drug delivery, nanocarriers have evolved from the mere "sustained" release to 245 "triggered" release (Figure 3). Indeed, in cancer, abnormal local conditions, such as 246 pH, enzymatic activity or concentration in reactive oxygen species, can trigger the 247 delivery of the drug. In addition to these endogenous signals, nanocarriers can also 248 release their load on the effect of applied light, ultrasounds or a magnetic field 249 (Bhattacharya et al., 2016; Kamaly et al., 2016).

250 In the topic of B cell malignancy, only few nanoparticles-based therapies are in 251 development (Stephenson and Singh, 2017) (Shi et al., 2017). Among all the recent 252 clinical-stage nanomedicines (Shi et al., 2017), a phase II clinical trial is open to 253 evaluate a liposome, carrying a DNA oligonucleotide against the anti-apoptotic 254 protein BCL-2, in relapsed or refractory B cell lymphomas (clinicaltrials.gov identifiers 255 NCT01733238 and NCT02226965). Similar approaches of gene/RNAi delivery by 256 silica-based nanoparticles to target B-cell lymphoma were described in mouse model 257 (Martucci et al., 2016). Additionally, between 2011 and 2014, a phase I/II clinical trial 258 was opened to evaluate the safety and tolerability of a poly(ethylenimine)-based 259 transfecting polyplex carrying siRNA against eIF5A and a plasmid expressing a pro-260 apoptotic mutant of eIF5A under the control of a B cell specific promoter. This 261 therapeutic agent was evaluated in relapsed or refractory B cell malignancies 262 (clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT01435720). Finally, an immunostimulant lipoplex 263 composed of liposome and plasmid DNA (Chang et al., 2009) is in a phase I clinical 264 trial in relapsed or refractory leukemia (clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT00860522).

265 Tumors are currently diagnosed using various imaging modalities such as 266 radiography, computed tomography (CT), positron emission tomography (PET) and 267 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Salem et al., 2014)(Navarro et al., 2017). 268 However, the diagnosis of hematological malignancies can be challenging due to the 269 diversity of imaging appearances and clinical behavior of these diseases (Navarro et 270 al., 2017). Multimodal imaging approaches have been proposed to overcome these 271 limitations, since they offer the ability to image with different resolutions and over 272 different temporal and spatial scales. Cistaro et al. demonstrated the high potential of combined PET (using ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose) and MRI (using paramagnetic contrast 273 274 agent) in the evaluation of pediatric patients with ALL (Cistaro et al., 2017). By their

work, they highlighted the real need of developing hybrid PET/MRI instruments anddual contrasts agents.

277 In line with that idea, a variety of nanoparticles has been designed to combine 278 several imaging modes, multiple therapies, (e.g. photothermal therapy and 279 conventional chemotherapy) or imaging and therapeutic functions (theranostics) and 280 therefore holds great prospects in cancer treatment (Riley and Day, 2017). Among 281 others, our group has recently reported on a vesicular platform, with a shell of 282 inorganic nanoparticles named Hybridosomes® (Sciortino et al., 2016). The large 283 number of nanoparticles forming the shell is a clear advantage for imaging 284 applications, since an enhanced contrast is observed. Initially designed for MRI, 285 these Hybridosomes® can not only be prepared from iron oxide superparamagnetic 286 nanoparticles but also from any types and combinations of inorganic particles with 287 imaging or therapeutic properties. Therefore, those multimodal nano-objects are 288 suitable tools for multimodal imaging as well as theranostics. The feasibility of a 289 theranostic approach has been demonstrated in acute myeloid leukemia patients 290 where *in vivo* molecular imaging of CXCR4, a crucial protein involved in the retention 291 of hematopoietic stem cells within the hematopoietic niche, has been achieved by 292 means of positron emission tomography (Herhaus et al., 2016). However, as far as 293 we know, there is still no open clinical trial using those combined strategies in B cell 294 malignancies.

295

296 2.2 CD19-TARGETING NANOPARTICLES

The efficiency of imaging and treatment can be greatly improved by targeting specifically the malignant cells. As mentioned above, CD19 is currently the antigen of

299 choice used to target B cells. Recently, CD19-targeting nanoparticles were designed 300 for nanomedicine by grafting anti-CD19 antibody or its derivatives (Fab, F(ab)₂...) to 301 the nanoparticles (Figure 4). As an example, Cheng et al. produced liposomal 302 doxorubicin targeted via anti-CD19 monoclonal antibody fragments: either the single-303 chain variable fragment (scFv), or the variable fragment (Fab), or the monoclonal 304 antibody (mAb) (Figure 4). The authors compared the efficacy of the three targeted 305 constructs and concluded that the scFv single-chain variable fragment would be 306 more suitable for development of immunotherapy for the following reasons: i) it 307 contained less foreign peptides, ii) the production was easier, and iii) the cost of 308 production was more economical thanks to the expression in bacterial systems 309 (Cheng and Allen, 2008). Typically, four types of chemical functions from the 310 antibody or its derivatives (-NH₂, -COOH, -SH, -carbohydrates) can be used for 311 covalent grafting to the nanoparticle. The use of spacers such as PEG derivatives 312 lowers the risk of antibody inactivation (Chen et al., 2016; Manjappa et al., 313 2011)(Nguyen et al., 2010)(Hong et al., 2015). Alternative strategies were also 314 proposed, as the noncovalent strepatividin/biotin conjugation (Procko et al., 2014) 315 (Dong et al., 2014).

316

317 2.2.1 Imaging with anti-CD19 nanoparticles

Few anti-CD19 grafted nanoparticles for *in vitro* imaging have been published so far. Nguyen *et al.* designed pegylated SERS (Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering) gold nanoparticles conjugated to human anti-CD19 antibody that showed specific *in vitro* targeting towards chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (Nguyen et al., 2010; Walker et al., 2012). The functional SERS nanoparticles were composed of a gold core onto which a reporter dye was adsorbed. The signals were detected by dark-field

microscopy and Raman spectrometry and showed no interference with conventional fluorescent stains used in histology. Ramos B cells labeling through anti-CD19 mediator was demonstrated by Dong *et al.* by grafting an anti-CD19 antibody onto Ag@SiO₂ core-shell nanoparticles (Dong et al., 2014). In this study, the authors monitored the metal-enhanced fluorescence of a reporter (rhodamine B) adsorbed on the surface of the nanoparticles. However, to the best of our knowledge, *in vivo* imaging using anti-CD19-grafted-nanoparticles has not been reported yet.

331

332 2.2.2 Therapy with anti-CD19 nanoparticles

333 2.2.2.1 *Chemotherapy: drug delivery*

334 Nanoparticles decorated with anti-CD19 have already been reported as effective 335 carriers for drug delivery on in vitro models and preclinical studies (Table 2). 336 Doxorubicin, an inhibitor of topoisomerase involved in DNA synthesis, is frequently 337 the drug of choice for proof-of-concept, as the cytotoxic effect of this drug is well 338 demonstrated on B cells. A doxorubicin loaded immunoliposome targeting B 339 lymphocytes showed a 6-fold more cytotoxic in vitro activity on B cells than non-340 targeted liposomes (Lopes de Menezes et al., 1998). Similar results were observed 341 in vivo with an improved survival of mice injected with anti-CD19-doxorubicin-342 liposomes compared to non-targeted liposomes or free doxorubicin treatments 343 (Lopes de Menezes et al., 1998). Doxorubicin was also encapsulated into block-344 copolymer nanoparticles grafted with anti-CD19. A clathrin-dependent internalization 345 pathway was identified, suggesting that the physiological internalization pathway of 346 CD19 was conserved. In comparison to the administration of free doxorubicin, both improved in vitro apoptosis of CD19 positive cells and better survival of treated mice 347 348 were demonstrated (Krishnan et al., 2015). In vivo, mice xenografted with B cells and

exposed to anti-CD19-liposomes containing doxorubicin or vincristine demonstrated
a higher cell cytotoxicity and showed a longer survival time than mice exposed to free
drug (Sapra and Allen, 2004). Those anti-CD19-liposomes showed *in vitro* a greater
binding, a more effective internalization and an equivalent cytotoxicity on B cells
compared to anti-CD20-liposomes (Sapra and Allen, 2004).

354 Other inhibitors of B-cells than doxorubicin or vincristine have also been evaluated 355 and incorporated into nanoparticles. As an example, the C61 molecule (1,4-bis (9-O-356 dihydroquinidinyl) phthalazine/hydroquinidine 1,4-phathalazinediyl diether) was 357 identified as a potent inhibitor of the cytoplasmic protein SYK (spleen tyrosine 358 kinase), an important regulator of B cell apoptosis (Table 2). Myers et al. 359 demonstrated that a liposomal nanoparticle formulation entrapping C61 and 360 decorated with anti-CD19 caused in vitro the apoptosis of pre-B ALL cells, twice 361 more than the non-decorated liposomes (Myers et al., 2014). Immunocompromised 362 NOD/SCID mice were then xenografted with pre-B ALL cells, and injected with C61-363 liposomes decorated with anti-CD19. Tumor cell viability decreased and mice did not 364 develop leukemic splenomegaly, thus showing a better therapeutic efficacy than 365 irradiation with 2Gy y-rays. In addition, the combination of C61 loaded anti-CD19-366 liposomal nanoparticles, with exposure to low dose of radiations, caused the 367 abrogation of B leukemia in engrafted mice (Myers et al., 2014).

368

In addition, multifunctional immunoliposomes grafted with several antibodies were shown to exhibit higher selectivity, greater binding affinity, and enhanced apoptosis induction of B-CLL cells (Woyach et al., 2014). Yu *et al.* also proposed a dual ligand conjugation on immunoliposomes (Yu et al., 2013). The authors first evaluated the level of expression of CD19, CD20 and CD37 antigens in several B cell lines and

374 primary B-CLL cells, and found comparable level for CD19 and CD37. They also 375 calculated the internalization rate of the three antibodies in lymphoma cells (Raji 376 cells) and confirmed the choice of anti-CD37 as the primary ligand for specific 377 targeting of B cells. Then they measured the binding efficacy of single or mixtures of 378 anti-CD19, anti-CD20 and anti-CD37 on B-CLL cells isolated from patients. Greater 379 binding efficacies occurred with dual combinations of anti-CD19 and anti-CD20, with 380 anti-CD37 antibody. The antibody ratio was finally optimized to improve this 381 synergetic effect.

382

383 Note that the combination of several specific antibodies is also a promising strategy 384 to overcome the variability in the expression of target antigens among patients. In 385 this context, hydroxychloroguine, an anti-malaria and anti-rheumatic drug, has been 386 encapsulated in order to overcome pharmacokinetic obstacles and to deliver a larger 387 amount of this apoptotic drug into B-CLL cells from patients. As an example, Mansilla 388 et al. encapsulated hydroxychloroquine in PEG-PLGA nanoparticles mono-389 functionalized by anti-CD19 antibody or bi-functionalized by anti-CD19 and anti-390 CD20 antibodies (Mansilla et al., 2010). The authors showed a significant induction 391 of apoptosis of B-CLL cells with mono- or bi-functionalized nanoparticles compared 392 to non-functionalized nanoparticles.

393

394 2.2.2.2 Nanoparticle-based immunotherapy

An innovative strategy consists in using nanoparticles exposing antibodies in order to stimulate the production of lymphocytes, or even to bridge malignant cells to killer T cells (see the graphical abstract). Schütz *et al.* designed nanoparticles termed antigen-specific T cells redirectors (ATR). The ATR nanoparticles were conjugated to

two antibodies, an anti-TCR and an anti-CD19. The ATR nanoparticles provided a physical proximity between T cells and tumor cells, and redirected T cells to kill tumor cells (Schütz et al., 2016). *In vivo* assays on mice xenografted with lymphoma cells and injected with ATR nanoparticles showed smaller tumors and an improved survival compared to control mice.

404

405 **3 CD19-TARGETED CHIMERIC ANTIGEN RECEPTOR (CAR) T CELLS**

406

6 IMMUNOTHERAPY

407

408 An alternative to nanoparticles for targeting tumor cells is to take advantage of other 409 cells. For years, most of hematological neoplasms have been treated by 410 hematopoietic stem cell transplantations. The transplanted allogeneic hematopoietic 411 stem cells kill residual malignant cells by a graft-versus-tumor effect. This cell therapy 412 approach, used to fight leukemia, lymphoma or myeloma, leads to either remission or 413 immune control of the malignancy; however, some patients relapse. On the other 414 hand, many therapeutic approaches tend to modulate the immune response to 415 eliminate tumor cells. Immunotherapy has marked the past years by generating 416 extraordinary advances in clinical applications for cancer treatment.

417 Cell immunotherapy harnesses the power of both cell therapy and immunotherapy,
418 and is at the origin of tremendous clinical progresses in the past decade
419 (Ramachandran et al., 2017). For the purpose of the review, we will focus on CD19
420 antibody-based cell immunotherapies that target B cell neoplasms.

421

422 3.1 IMMUNOTHERAPIES: ANTIBODY-BASED AND ADOPTIVE CELLULAR THERAPIES

423 3.1.1 The concept of CAR T cell: retargeting a cytolytic immune cell by genetic-

424 modification to eliminate a tumor cell

425 T lymphocytes are cells that play a central role in cell-mediated immunity. Different 426 subsets of T cells achieve cytolytic, regulatory or memory roles. Genetically 427 retargeting T cells against tumor surface antigens to trigger cytotoxic mechanisms 428 against malignant cells is one of the principles of adoptive cell therapy. More 429 precisely, the engineering of T cells to express a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) is 430 the most common gene-modifying strategy that is being investigated. CARs are 431 synthetic receptors that direct the genetically engineered T cells against tumor 432 surface antigens, for instance CD19 antigen. Adoptive cell therapy using gene-433 modified T cells has emerged as an exciting therapeutic approach for the treatment 434 of cancer (Porter et al., 2011; Kochenderfer et al., 2012; Brentjens et al., 2013).

435

436 3.1.2 The main biological challenges for an effective antibody-based adoptive cellular

437 therapy

Conceptually, many challenges should be faced to achieve an *in vivo* therapeutic efficacy. The first one is that CAR T cells must be able to persist *in vivo*, and then undergo cellular expansion (Grupp et al., 2013). They will also have to infiltrate tumor tissues (in case of solid tumors), then to engage their target antigen expressed on tumor cells, and finally, to exert their cytolytic, proliferative, and cytokine secretory activities within the tumor microenvironment to eliminate malignant cells (review in (Beatty and O'Hara, 2016)).

445 Adoptive T cell therapy with chimeric antigen receptor engineered T cells (CAR T

cells) has shown substantial clinical results against B cell malignancies (Porter et al., 2011; Kochenderfer et al., 2012; Brentjens et al., 2013). The fact that CAR T cell therapy approach has proven to be of some effectiveness across a range of hematological malignancies (Gill and June, 2015) may be partly explained by the choice of a relevant target antigen (for instance CD19) and by the fact that those malignancies reside in the natural sites that adoptively transferred T cells naturally invade (review in (Newick et al., 2016).

453

454 3.1.3 The choice of a relevant target antigen: CD19 gene therapy

As mentioned previously, CD19 is a reliable target antigen for antibody-based therapy (review in (Hammer, 2012)(Li et al., 2017)). More than half of all CARmodified T cell studies in hematological malignancies have targeted CD19 antigen (review in (Beatty and O'Hara, 2016)). CD19-specific CAR T cells have demonstrated potent activity in B cell ALL and lymphomas including CLL and non-Hodgkin lymphoma (Porter et al., 2011 ; Grupp et al., 2013; Maude et al., 2014 ; Davila et al., 2014 ; Lee et al., 2015 ; Brudno et al., 2016 ; review in Beatty and O'Hara, 2016).

463 3.1.4 The role of CAR: conferring T cell the ability to persist and expand *in vivo* and to

464 exert cytolytic activity

465 3.1.4.1 *Design of CAR*

The chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) is composed by two main modules: (i) an extracellular component that recognizes a cell surface protein (*e.g.* CD19) (this extracellular moiety is a single-chain variable fragment (scFv) derived from an antibody) linked to (ii) an intracellular component consisting in T cell signaling domains of the T cell receptor (e.g. CD3ζ) including co-stimulatory domains (e.g.
CD28, or 4-1BB) involved in T cell activation (Figure 5) (review in (Beatty and
O'Hara, 2016) and (Geyer and Brentjens, 2016)). The extracellular component is
responsible for redirecting T cell specifically to the human tumor antigen whereas the
intracellular component sustains T cell activation, supporting cell expansion and
cytokine release resulting in cytolytic activity.

Intense work is done to optimize each module: the extracellular component which acts as the target-binding domain of the CAR, the hinge region connecting extracellular and intracellular component (Hudecek et al., 2013), and the intracellular component for an effective T cell proliferation and differentiation to mature effector T cells. The successive generations of CD19 CAR T differ in the number and origin of the intracellular co-stimulatory domains (Figure 5) (e.g. 4-1BB or CD28) (Savoldo et al., 2011 ; Porter et al., 2011 ; Maude et al., 2014 ; Park et al., 2016).

483

484 3.1.4.2 Mechanism of action of CAR T cells

485 The binding of the anti-CD19 scFV to CD19 antigen of tumor cell surface (the resulting complex is named the immune synapse) sends a signal through the CAR to 486 487 the effector T cell. This signal results in the activation of the T cell and in the release 488 of soluble molecules, perforin, granzyme and pro-apoptotic ligands, that kill the tumor 489 cells. Additionally, activated T cells secrete proinflammatory cytokines (e.g. interferon 490 IFN-y, and IL-2), amplifying the immune response (Davenport et al., 2015) (Gever 491 and Brentjens, 2016), and leading to the expansion of CAR T cells. The range of in 492 vivo expansion of CAR T cells has been reported between 100- to 10 000- fold 493 (Grupp et al., 2013).

495 3.2 CURRENT CLINICAL OUTCOMES, BENEFITS AND LIMITATIONS OF CD19 CAR T

496 **THERAPY**

497

498 3.2.1 Clinical outcomes

499 Many patients go into remission with standard chemotherapy for B cell malignancies. 500 However, children and adults with relapsed or refractory B cell ALL have a poor 501 prognosis. Substantial clinical efficacy has been demonstrated with a therapy based 502 on CAR-modified T cells targeted to CD19. Approximately 70% of patients underwent 503 complete or at least partial response to treatment with chimeric antigen receptor 504 CAR-modified T cells targeted to CD19 (Porter et al., 2011; Kochenderfer et al., 2012 505 ; Brentjens et al., 2013 ; Grupp et al., 2013 ; Maude et al., 2014 ; Davila et al., 2014 ; 506 Lee et al., 2015). Results are less impressive with CLL or with B cell non-Hodgkin 507 lymphoma but still subsets of patients show significant benefits (review in (Geyer and 508 Brentjens, 2016). Clinical trials are ongoing for multiple myeloma.

509

510 3.2.2 Advantages

In vivo expansion and persistence of CAR T cells is a clear determinant of clinical benefit (Grupp et al., 2013 ; Porter et al., 2015 ; Beatty and O'Hara, 2016). In addition, the natural trafficking of CAR T cells within the blood, lymph nodes, and bone marrow where they encounter malignant cells also favors the efficacy of the therapy (Beatty and O'Hara, 2016). Furthermore, it appears that the accessibility to malignant cells is less hindered by the tumor microenvironment in those tissues compared to solid tumors (Geyer and Brentjens, 2016 ; Newick et al., 2016).

518

519 3.2.3 Limitations

520 3.2.3.1 Genetic modification of autologous T cells

521 First, each patient is infused with his own T cells. This specificity limits any large-522 scale manufacturing process and anticipated stocks. Then, autologous T cells are 523 subjected to genetic modifications by retrovirus, lentivirus or non-viral gene transfer 524 followed by in vitro stimulation. Currently, the complicated and individualized 525 production of autologous CAR T cells may be one, among others, of the bottlenecks 526 that reduce accessibility to this personalized therapy to many people. Some 527 strategies using universal T cells (*i.e.* that do not come from the patient) are also in 528 development. Suboptimal expression of the CAR at the surface of CAR T cells may 529 also limit the benefit of CAR T cell therapies. Recently, Eyguem et al. have proposed 530 that directing a CD19-specific CAR to the T cell receptor α constant (*TRAC*) locus not 531 only results in uniform CAR expression in human peripheral blood T cells, but also 532 enhances T cell potency, with edited cells vastly outperforming conventionally 533 generated CAR T cells in a mouse model of ALL (Eyguem et al., 2017).

534

535 3.2.3.2 The need of lymphodepletion for the patient

The purpose of chemotherapy, whose objective is to achieve lymphodepletion prior to CAR T cells infusion, is to create a more favorable environment for CAR T cells. Most studies corroborated the notion that host lymphopenia (*i.e.* a low number of lymphocytes in the blood) facilitates the expansion of adoptively transferred T cells. Whether lymphodepletion might further enhance the activity of CAR T cells in this setting remains unclear (Brudno et al., 2016 ; Turtle et al., 2016). To date, induction of lymphodepletion prior to infusion of CAR T cells continues to be often incorporatedin clinical trials using CAR T cells.

544

545 3.2.3.3 *Toxicity for the patient*

546 The medical community will have to overcome clinical challenges related to CD19-547 targeted CAR T cells (Geyer and Brentjens, 2016; Park et al., 2016). Major side-548 effects, particularly cytokine release syndrome, neurological toxicities, and B cell 549 aplasia have been reported in all clinical trials using CD19-targeted CAR T cells. The 550 cytokine release syndrome is a severe inflammatory response syndrome that 551 appears within the hours to days following CAR T cell infusion. Clinical features 552 include fevers, muscle pain, malaise, and, in more severe cases, hypoxia, 553 hypotension, and occasionally renal dysfunction and coagulopathy. The cytokine 554 release syndrome is characterized by elevation of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. 555 IL-6) and T cell activation and expansion. Tumor burden is positively correlated with 556 the risks of severe cytokine release syndrome and neurotoxicity (Brentjens et al., 557 2013) (Turtle et al., 2016). The cytokine release syndrome can be life-threatening 558 and requires intensive supportive care. Mitigating strategies to reduce cytokine 559 release syndrome frequency and severity comprise anti-IL-6 receptor antibody, 560 steroids, and possibly a protocol-specified algorithm to potentially start pre-emptive 561 treatments (Maude et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2014; Turtle et al., 2016; Ruella et al., 562 2017).

563 Reversible neurologic toxicity has been observed after CAR T cell infusion, including 564 delirium, seizure-like activity, confusion, word-finding difficulty, or aphasia.

565 Finally, CD19-targeted CAR T cells therapy shows "on-target, off-tumor" toxicity that 566 generates B cell aplasia (Porter et al., 2011 ;Grupp et al., 2013; Maude et al., 2014).

Limiting B cell aplasia for CD19-targeted CAR T cells has been successfully managed with intravenous immunoglobulin replacement therapy (Frey and Porter, 2016). Novel approaches to limit B cell aplasia are under investigation as the use of antigen-specific inhibitory CAR to protect normal B cells (Fedorov et al., 2013).

571

572 3.2.3.4 CD19-antigen escape

573 Loss of expression of the CD19-target antigen resulting in an antigen escape (e.g. CD19-negative relapse) may limit the benefit of CD19 CAR T cells therapy (Grupp et 574 575 al., 2013). Tumor antigen escape has emerged as a main challenge for the long-term 576 disease control (review in (Wang et al., 2017; Velasguez and Gottschalk, 2017)). 577 Studies are going on to understand the mechanism of loss of CD19 expression and 578 overcome this difficulty. Braig et al. reported emergence of CD19-relapses due to 579 CD19 mRNA splice variants (Braig et al., 2017). Zah et al. proposed a design of 580 bispecific CARs that triggered robust cytotoxicity against target cells expressing 581 either CD19 or CD20 and controlled both wild-type B cell lymphoma and CD19 582 mutants with equal in vivo efficacy (Zah et al., 2016).

583

584 3.2.3.5 *Infused dose, composition, and control of expansion and function of CAR T cells*

So far, the different clinical trials have not led to the identification of a clear correlation between higher CAR T cell infused dose and greater efficacy or CAR T cell persistence (Porter et al., 2011 ; Grupp et al., 2013; Maude et al., 2014 ; Davila et al., 2014 ; Lee et al., 2015 ; Brudno et al., 2016) (review in (Park et al., 2016; Geyer and Brentjens, 2016)). Importantly, the efficacy of CAR T cells relies on their activation in response to CD19 antigen and expansion *in vivo*, making the magnitude of their reactivity unpredictable (Grupp et al., 2013). For instance, anti-CD19 CAR T cells have been shown to proliferate in excess of 100,000-fold in some patients,
ultimately accounting for over 50% of circulating lymphocytes. The lack of control
over CAR T cells activation and expansion *in vivo* is a limit to predict the therapeutic
response.

596 Multiple parameters provide clues to explain this unpredictability. The composition of 597 the infused therapeutic agent is source of variability. So far, CAR T cells are 598 generated from autologous T cells, making the received therapeutic agent different 599 for each patient (Sommermeyer et al., 2016) (Turtle et al., 2016). In preclinical 600 studies, where mice were injected with a same pool of CAR T cells, a better 601 correlation between the infused dose and the xenografted mouse survival was 602 observed (Sommermeyer et al., 2016). More precisely, the variability of CAR T cells 603 encompasses extrinsic parameters, from the efficacy of genetic modification to the 604 expression of the CAR at the surface of CAR T cells, but also intrinsic interindividual 605 parameters including composition of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. In CAR T therapy, 606 CD4+ CAR T cells are responsible for cytokine production whereas CD8+ CAR T 607 cells trigger direct antitumor effects. The ratio of CD4+/CD8+ CAR T cell subsets 608 may be of importance in the balance between efficacy and toxicity (Park et al., 2016). 609 In most reported trials, patients received CAR T products comprising random 610 compositions of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. In contrast, Sommermever et al. and Turtle 611 et al. showed that CAR T cell products generated from defined T cell subsets (1:1 612 ratio of CD4+ and CD8+ CAR T cells) can provide uniform potency compared with 613 products derived from unselected T cells and induce complete remission without a 614 high rate of toxicity in patients with a high tumor burden (Sommermeyer et al., 2016; 615 Turtle et al., 2016). Approaches to limit expansion and activation are also underway. 616 Rodgers et al. propose a method to control CAR T cells using peptide-engrafted

antibody-based molecular switches that act as a bridge between the target cell andCAR T cells (Rodgers et al., 2016).

Interindividual variation in response to the treatment can also be attributed to
difference in lymphodepletion between each patient, or to difference in immunological
clearance that will impact the persistence of the infused and expanded CAR T cells.

Altogether, the optimal dose and composition of the CAR T cell product remain under
development in order to achieve a better predictability in response to the therapeutic
agent and to balance toxicity and efficacy.

- 625
- 626

627 4 PERSPECTIVES: HOW NANOPARTICLES AND CAR T CELL THERAPY

628 COULD BE COMPLEMENTARY?

629

630 4.1 MULTIMODALITY

631 The efficacy of CAR T cell therapy relies on the multimodality of the therapeutic 632 response. CAR T cells target tumor cells, trigger cytolytic activity, and ensure their 633 own expansion. We can envision that the future of nanomedicine will benefit from the 634 same feature: the multimodality. It is clear that nano-objects, and among them 635 Hybridosomes® (Sciortino et al., 2016), can address many of the challenging issues 636 of hematological cancer diagnosis and therapy. In particular, nanoparticles could play 637 a significant role for the potentiation of, and the cooperation with CAR T cell therapy. 638 Their complementarity (in terms of function, distribution and time of administration) 639 can be envisioned to fulfill at least three objectives: (i) to track malignant cells and

640 CAR T cells to monitor their biodistribution and expansion, (ii) to increase tumor 641 accessibility, and (iii) to manage CAR T cell toxicity and modulate the expansion of 642 CAR T cells.

643

644 4.2 TO TRACK MALIGNANT AND CAR T CELLS

645 Since the proof-of-concept of CAR T cells has been validated, current developments 646 include the control of cell expansion or avoidance of CD19 escape. There is a need 647 for noninvasive tracking of the transfused T cells in patients to determine their 648 biodistribution, viability, and functionality (review in (Liu and Li, 2014)). Several 649 strategies based on nanoparticle contrast agents have been proposed using either ex 650 vivo preloaded nanoparticles on CAR T cells, or in vivo administration of 651 nanoparticles after CAR T cell infusion. For instance, in mouse model, CAR T 652 biodistribution has been monitored through radiolabeled-nanoparticles or contrast-653 agent-nanoparticles loaded into CAR T cells prior to cell infusion (Bhatnagar et al., 654 2013;Bhatnagar et al., 2014).

Furthermore, detecting the localization of tumor cells is of particular importance in the case of hematological cancer, since hematological malignant cells are intrinsically disseminating. In addition, *in situ* imaging alternatives to the invasive sampling of bone marrow are desirable for diagnosis and for residual disease follow-up. By proposing efficient targeting contrast agents, nanomedicine can greatly improve the diagnosis, and beyond, the determination of localization of tumor cells (Kobayashi et al., 2005).

663 4.3 TO IMPROVE TUMOR ACCESSIBILITY

664 A recent statistical review of the literature revealed that less than 1% of the injected 665 nanoparticles systemically reaches the malignant cells in solid tumors, compromising 666 their translation into clinical use (Wilhelm et al., 2016). This figure is due both to 667 nanoparticle uptake by the immune system, and to their poor mobility into the tumor 668 microenvironment. Although hematological malignancies differ from other solid 669 tumors, some limitations of the CAR T therapy due to limited access to specific 670 accumulation sites may be observed as well. According to cancer type, hematological malignant cells originate from the bone marrow (e.g. leukemia, 671 672 myeloma) or lymph node (e.g. lymphoma), and infiltrate blood stream and solid 673 tissues. The bone marrow niche is a very complex environment essentially 674 composed of a dense network of small arterioles and sinusoids, and of various cell types within an extracellular matrix (Wu et al., 2008) (Morrison and Scadden, 2014) 675 676 (Gattazzo et al., 2014)(Schepers et al., 2015). Leukemic stem cells, as well as 677 hematopoietic stem cells, are dependent on those cells and extracellular components 678 for their emergence, homing and survival. Disruption of those interactions 679 participates in the efficacy of the therapy.

680 The combination of the specific properties of CAR T cells and nanoparticles seems 681 promising to enhance the efficacy of treatments. Indeed, CAR T cells will guarantee 682 longer circulation time in the blood stream and specific recognition of B cells, 683 whereas nanoparticles can bring advantageous features such as degradation of the 684 extracellular matrix, disruption of cell-cell interactions, or thermal stimulation. An 685 advance in this direction was already reported in the literature. In mouse studies, 686 Kennedy et al. used T cell as chaperones for gold nanoparticle delivery to enhance 687 the efficacy of nanoparticle-based photothermal therapies and imaging applications

688 by increasing accumulation at tumor site (Kennedy et al., 2011). Another innovative 689 strategy, inspired by motile and invasive cells, would be the active enzymatic 690 degradation of the tumor matrix by protease that can be associated with the 691 nanotherapeutic system. For instance, iron oxide nanoparticles coated with 692 collagenase were magnetically driven through in vitro extracellular matrix, at a rate 693 similar to invasive cells (Kuhn et al., 2006). Other proteolytic surfaces include 694 bromelain, an enzymatic complex belonging to the papain family and extracted from 695 pineapple which contains a mixture of 9 proteases with distinct pH and enzymatic 696 activities (Parodi et al., 2014). Local heating triggered by external sources can also 697 be used to alter the tumor environment and enhance accessibility to malignant cells, 698 based on gold nanoparticles (Gormley et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2015).

699 An alternative strategy would be the pretreatment with therapeutic nanoparticles prior 700 to CAR-T infusion. In this line, nanoparticles targeting the bone marrow niche could 701 also be utilized to specifically deliver high doses of lymphodepleting agents prior to 702 CAR T infusion. Similarly, pre-treatment with drugs, specifically targeting the 703 interaction of leukemic stem cells with their bone marrow niches, may be useful to 704 mobilize those cells and render them more accessible to CAR T cells in the marrow 705 or the blood stream. Among others, inhibitors of the adhesion molecule E-selectin, or 706 inhibitors of the chemoattractant stromal-cell-derived factor 1 (SDF-1) could be 707 proposed because leukemic stem cells are dependent on those molecules for their 708 homing (Sipkins et al., 2005)(Krause and Scadden, 2015)(Schepers et al., 2015). 709 Identification of additional specific factors in B cell malignancies could be of interest 710 for mobilizing B cells and enhancing CAR T cell therapy, as exemplified by the role of 711 CD44, or various selectins and their ligands in chronic myeloid leukemia or acute 712 myeloid leukemia (Krause et al., 2006)(Jin et al., 2006)(Krause et al., 2013).

714 4.4 TO MANAGE TOXICITIES OF CAR T CELLS AND MODULATE THE EXPANSION OF

715 CAR T CELLS

716 Major toxicity such as severe cytokine release syndrome is intrinsically related to 717 CAR T efficacy, and current developments aim at controlling it. Current strategies to 718 allow preferential removal of CAR T cells include genetic "safety switch" or drug 719 sensitivity (review in (Ranganathan and Foster, 2016)). In this perspective, 720 nanoparticles could be specifically designed to target CAR T cells, making possible a 721 selective apoptosis of those cells or a selective removal of those cells. In this line, an 722 innovative strategy related to hematological diseases is the magnetic sorting of sick 723 cells, after attachment of a magnetic particle. In some cases, such as malaria, the 724 intrinsic magnetic properties of infected cells even allow magnetic sorting of 725 unlabeled cells (Zborowski and Chalmers, 2011). Nanoparticles targeting tumor cells 726 or CAR T cells could be used to lower the tumor burden (lymphodepletion) before 727 treatment or alternatively remove CAR T, after treatment or in case of excessive 728 expansion of CAR T cells.

729

730

731 **5 CONCLUSION**

Nanomedicine and cell therapy are two fields that have grown in parallel. Yet, those approaches aim ultimately at common goals, to achieve long remission and ideally the cure of the patients. In this review, based on the example of developing tools to target B cell malignancy (mostly anti-CD19 nano-objects and anti-CD19 CAR T

736 cells), we have discussed their specificity, limitations and potential complementarity. 737 It appears that even if CART T cell therapy has revolutionized management of 738 patients presenting poor prognosis B cell malignancy, improvements are needed, 739 especially to predict the therapeutic response, to control the intensity and persistence 740 of the treatment, to increase tumor accessibility of the therapeutic agent to leukemic 741 stem cell niches, and to visualize residual leukemic clones, and thus prevent 742 relapses. Therefore, therapeutic developments could benefit from nanoparticles 743 advantages -mainly their multimodality combining imaging and loading capacity, their 744 tendency to accumulate at tumor sites for solid tumors and their relative easiness to 745 be produced- to fill those requirements.

747 **TABLES**

748

Table 1: Main chemical and physical properties of the different types of nanoparticles used in nanomedicine and their principal applications. Note that the given size corresponds to the primary nano-object. In the case of small nanoparticles (NP) such as dendrimers or quantum dots (QD), surface modification with PEG or other macromolecules result in larger dimension.

- 754
- 755

NP type	Size (nm)	Organic/Inorganic	Principal application
Liposome	30-500	organic	encapsulation
Polymer NP	10-200	organic	encapsulation
Polymersome	50-1000	organic	encapsulation
Dendrimer	< 10	organic	encapsulation / imaging
Solid Lipid NP (and emulsion based particles)	> 100	organic	encapsulation
Silica NP	all range	inorganic	encapsulation / imaging
Quantum dot	5-20	inorganic	imaging
SPION	5-100	inorganic	imaging
Au NP	5-100	inorganic	imaging / therapy
Hybridosome®	80-120	organic/inorganic	imaging / encapsulation / therapy

757 **Table 2: Nanoparticles (NP) grafted with anti-CD19 antibody and their** 758 **applications in nanomedicine.**

Abbreviation: Ag Silver; Au: Gold; Chol: Choline; DOTAP: 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammoniumpropane; DOPE: dioleoylphosphatidylethanolamine; DSPE: Distearoylphosphatidylethanolamine; EggPC: Egg yolk phosphatidylcholine; HD37-CCH: Hybridomas HD37-c-myc-Cys-His5 scFv; HSPC: hydrogenated soy phosphatidylcholine; LNP: liposomal nanoparticle ; MHC-Ig: Major Histocompatibility Complex-Immunoglobulin; NHS: N-hydroxysuccinimide; PEG: Polyethylene glycol; PLGA: poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid); SERS: Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering; SiO2: Silicon dioxide ; SYK: Spleen Tyrosine Kinase; TCR: T cell receptor

766

NP type	Composition	Targeting agent	Size (nm)	Application	Reference
Liposome	PEG-DSPE	anti-CD19	100-120	doxorubicin carrier 140-160 µg/µmol of phospholipid	Lopes de Menezes et al., 1998
Liposome	HSPC/Chol/ mPEG-DSPE	anti-CD19	90-110	doxorubicin <i>carrier</i>	Sapra and Allen, 2004
Liposome	SM/Chol/ mPEG-DSPE	anti-CD19	110-130	vincristin carrier	Sapra and Allen, 2004
Liposome	mPEG ₂₀₀₀ -DSPE	anti-CD19 hd37-cch fragment	80-120	doxorubicin <i>carrier</i>	Cheng and Allen, 2008
Liposome	EggPC/Chol/ PEG ₂₀₀₀ -DSPE	anti-CD19 + anti-CD37 / anti-CD19 + anti-CD20 + anti-CD37	100	FTY720 <i>carrier</i>	Yu et al.,2013
Liposome	DSPE-PEG ₃₄₀₀ -NHS	mouse anti-CD19	~135	C61 carrier 9,4 mg/mL	Myers et al., 2014
Polymer NP	PEG-PLGA	anti-CD19 / anti-CD19 + anti-CD20	~300	hydroxychloroquine carrier 165 μg/mg of polymer	Mansilla et al., 2010
Polymer NP	EG ₁₁₃ CL ₁₅₂ TSU ₂₅	anti-CD19	~ 60	doxorubicin carrier 72,1+/-6,4 μg/mg of polymer	Krishnan et al., 2015
Inorganic	Au@PEG	human anti-CD19	60-80	SERS cell imaging MGITC = Raman tag	Nguyen et al., 2010
Inorganic	Ag@SiO ₂	anti-CD19	100-140	Fluorescence <i>cell</i> <i>imaging</i>	Dong et al., 2014
Inorganic	lron oxide@dextran	pep-MHC-lg dimer or anti-TCR-specific with anti-human CD19	~50	Targeting Redirect T cells against tumor cells	Schütz et al., 2016

769 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

770

771 Figure 1: B cell development and differentiation

B cell development begins in bone marrow and progresses through pre pro B cell,
pro B cell, small pre B cell, large pre B cell and immature pre B cell. B cell locates
within the circulatory system from mature B cell stage. The CD19 protein is
expressed from pro B cell stage.

776

777 Figure 2: CD19 signaling complex and activation pathways

(A) Schematic representation of the CD19 signaling complex. The CD19 complex is
 composed of CD21, CD81 and CD19 transmembrane proteins. CD19 possesses an
 intracellular tail with multiple tyrosine-kinase residues involved in signal transduction.

(B) The first pathway of CD19 activation is dependent on the B cell receptor (BCR): it
is a co-receptor for BCR signal transduction. The second pathway is independent of
the BCR: the CD19 complex is able to bind activated complement fragment C3d and
modulates BCR signaling (Figure adapted from (Wang et al., 2012)).

785

Figure 3: The two main modes of controlled release from carrier nanoparticles Sustained release can be operated by biodegradable carriers, most often polymeric, which are progressively eroded, or by porous (silica, polymer...) particles. Triggeractivated particles deliver their load at once, upon activation by an endogenous or exogenous trigger.

791

Figure 4: Natural and engineered antibody formats, and functional groups
available for covalent labeling or bioconjugation

(A) Schematic representation of full monoclonal antibody (mAb) of 150 kDa and its
scFv derivative of 55 kDa. Functional groups present on the antibodies and available
for covalent labeling or bioconjugation are schematically represented (amine groups,
carboxylate groups, thiol groups and carbohydrate residues). Fab: variable region; Fc
region: constant region; VL: Variable Light chain; VH: Variable Heavy chain; CL:
Constant Light chain; CH: Constant Heavy chain.

- 800 (B) Comparison between mAb and its derivatives in terms of size, pharmacokinetics,
- 801 valency/specificity and strengths/weaknesses.
- 802

803 Figure 5: Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)

Chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) of second generation is composed of a targeting element (here the single chain variable fragment (scFv) of anti-CD19), a transmembrane domain, a co-stimulatory domain and a signaling domain.

808 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- 809
- 810 ALL: acute lymphoblastic leukemia
- 811 Ag: Silver
- 812 Au: Gold
- 813 BCR: B cell receptor
- 814 B-ALL: B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia
- 815 CAR: chimeric antigen receptor,
- 816 CL: Constant Light chain;
- 817 CH: Constant Heavy chain
- 818 Chol: Choline
- 819 CLL : chronic lymphocytic leukemia
- 820 DOTAP: 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammoniumpropane
- 821 DOPE: dioleoylphosphatidylethanolamine
- 822 DSPE: Distearoylphosphatidylethanolamine
- 823 EC : European Commission
- 824 EDC: (1-ethyl-3-(3- dimethyl-aminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride
- 825 EggPC: Egg yolk phosphatidylcholine
- 826 EPR : Enhanced Permeation and Retention
- 827 Fab: variable region
- 828 Fc region: constant region
- 829 FDA : US Food-and-Drug-Administration
- 830 IFNγ : interferon gamma
- 831 IL6: interleukin 6
- 832 HD37-CCH: Hybridomas HD37-c-myc-Cys-His5 scFv
- 833 HSPC: hydrogenated soy phosphatidylcholine
- 834 LNP: liposomal nanoparticle
- 835 mAb: monoclonal antibody
- 836 MGITC: Malachite Green Isothiocyanate
- 837 MHC-Ig: Major Histocompatibility Complex-Immunoglobulin
- 838 MPS: mononuclear phagocyte system
- 839 MRI : Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- 840 MRI/CT : magnetic resonance imaging/ computerized tomography
- 841 MRI/PET : magnetic resonance imaging/ positron emission tomography
- 842 NHS: N-hydroxysuccinimide
- 843 NP:nanoparticle
- 844 PEG: Polyethylene glycol
- 845 PLGA: poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid)
- 846 PVP: polyvinylpyrrolidone
- 847 QD: quantum dots
- 848 RES : reticuloendothelial system
- 849 SERS: Surface Enhanced Raman Scattering
- 850 SiO2: Silicon dioxide
- 851 siRNA:small interference RNA
- 852 SMCC: N-succinimidyl 4-(N maleimidomethyl)cyclohexane-1-carboxylate
- 853 SPDP: N-succinimidyl 3-(2-pyridylthio)propionate
- 854 SPECT: single, photon emission computed tomography
- 855 scFv: single-chain variable fragment
- 856 SYK: Spleen Tyrosine Kinase
- 857 TCR: T cell receptor

- TEM: transmission electron microscopy UCNPs : up-converting nanoparticles VL: Variable Light chain VH: Variable Heavy chain

863 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS/FUNDING

864 This work is supported by SFR Biosit UMS CNRS 3480 - INSERM 018 (Call 865 Interdisciplinary Project, SC, FG, MBT), Université de Rennes 1 (Call Scientific 866 Challenge, SC, FG, MBT), French Ministry of Research (HJ, FS), the Sociétés 867 d'Accélération du Transfert de Technologies Ouest Valorisation (SC, FG, FS), Ligue 868 régionale contre le cancer (comity 22, 35, 56, 79, 41) (MBT), the Société française de 869 lutte contre les cancers et les leucémies de l'enfant et de l'adolescent and the 870 Fédération Enfants et Santé (MBT), a private donator Mrs. M-Dominique Blanc (MBT), the CNRS (MBT, FG), and the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of 871 872 the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under REA 873 grant agreement n°291851 (MBT).

875

876

877 **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 878
- 879 Beatty, G.L., and O'Hara, M. (2016). Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells for the
- treatment of solid tumors: Defining the challenges and next steps. Pharmacol. Ther. *166*,30–39.
- 882 Bhatnagar, P., Li, Z., Choi, Y., Guo, J., Li, F., Lee, D.Y., Figliola, M., Huls, H., Lee, D.A., Zal, T.,
- et al. (2013). Imaging of Genetically Engineered T Cells by PET using Gold Nanoparticle
- 884 Complexed to Copper-64. Integr. Biol. Quant. Biosci. Nano Macro 5, 231.
- 885 Bhatnagar, P., Alauddin, M., Bankson, J.A., Kirui, D., Seifi, P., Huls, H., Lee, D.A., Babakhani,
- A., Ferrari, M., Li, K.C., et al. (2014). Tumor Lysing Genetically Engineered T Cells Loaded
 with Multi-Modal Imaging Agents. Sci. Rep. 4.
- Bhattacharya, S., Ganivada, M.N., Dinda, H., Das Sarma, J., and Shunmugam, R. (2016).
- Biodegradable Copolymer for Stimuli-Responsive Sustained Release of Doxorubicin. ACSOmega *1*, 108–117.
- 891 Braig, F., Brandt, A., Goebeler, M., Tony, H.-P., Kurze, A.-K., Nollau, P., Bumm, T., Böttcher,
- 892 S., Bargou, R.C., and Binder, M. (2017). Resistance to anti-CD19/CD3 BiTE in acute
- 893 lymphoblastic leukemia may be mediated by disrupted CD19 membrane trafficking.
 894 Blood 129, 100, 104
- 894 Blood *129*, 100–104.
- 895 Brentjens, R., Davila, M.L., Riviere, I., Park, J., Wang, X., Cowell, L.G., Bartido, S., Stefanski,
- J., Taylor, C., Olszewska, M., et al. (2013). CD19-targeted T cells rapidly induce molecular
- remissions in adults with chemotherapy-refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Sci.
 Transl. Med. *5*, 177ra38.
- 899 Brudno, J.N., Somerville, R.P.T., Shi, V., Rose, J.J., Halverson, D.C., Fowler, D.H., Gea-
- 900 Banacloche, J.C., Pavletic, S.Z., Hickstein, D.D., Lu, T.L., et al. (2016). Allogeneic T Cells
- 901 That Express an Anti-CD19 Chimeric Antigen Receptor Induce Remissions of B-Cell
- 902 Malignancies That Progress After Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem-Cell Transplantation
- 903 Without Causing Graft-Versus-Host Disease. J. Clin. Oncol. *34*, 1112.
- Carter, P.J. (2006). Potent antibody therapeutics by design. Nat. Rev. Immunol. *6*, 343–357.
- 906 Chang, S., Warner, J., Liang, L., and Fairman, J. (2009). A novel vaccine adjuvant for 907 recombinant flu antigens, Biol. Lint. Assoc. Biol. Stand. 27, 141, 147
- 907 recombinant flu antigens. Biol. J. Int. Assoc. Biol. Stand. *37*, 141–147.
- 908 Chen, G., Roy, I., Yang, C., and Prasad, P.N. (2016). Nanochemistry and nanomedicine for
- 909 nanoparticle-based diagnostics and therapy. Chem. Rev. *116*, 2826–2885.
- 910 Cheng, W.W.K., and Allen, T.M. (2008). Targeted delivery of anti-CD19 liposomal
- 911 doxorubicin in B-cell lymphoma: A comparison of whole monoclonal antibody, Fab'
- 912 fragments and single chain Fv. J. Controlled Release *126*, 50–58.
- 913 Cistaro, A., Delfa, V.L., Rosa, G.D., Cogoni, M., and Quartuccio, N. (2017). MRI and 18F-
- FDG-PET/CT in a rare case of early (precursor) B-lymphoblastic leukaemia with bone
 involvement as initial manifestation. Nucl. Med. Rev. 20, 57–59.
- 916 Davenport, A.J., Jenkins, M.R., Cross, R.S., Yong, C.S., Prince, H.M., Ritchie, D.S., Trapani,
- 917 J.A., Kershaw, M.H., Darcy, P.K., and Neeson, P.J. (2015). CAR-T Cells Inflict Sequential
- 918 Killing of Multiple Tumor Target Cells. Cancer Immunol. Res. *3*, 483–494.
- 919 Davila, M.L., Riviere, I., Wang, X., Bartido, S., Park, J., Curran, K., Chung, S.S., Stefanski, J.,
- 920 Borquez-Ojeda, O., Olszewska, M., et al. (2014). Efficacy and Toxicity Management of 19-
- 921 28z CAR T Cell Therapy in B Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Sci. Transl. Med. *6*,
- 922 224ra25-224ra25.

- 923 Dong, M., Tian, Y., and Pappas, D. (2014). Facile functionalization of Ag@SiO2 core-shell
- metal enhanced fluorescence nanoparticles for cell labeling. Anal. Methods *6*, 1598–1602.
- 926 Eyquem, J., Mansilla-Soto, J., Giavridis, T., van der Stegen, S.J.C., Hamieh, M., Cunanan,
- 927 K.M., Odak, A., Gönen, M., and Sadelain, M. (2017). Targeting a CAR to the TRAC locus
- 928 with CRISPR/Cas9 enhances tumour rejection. Nature *543*, 113–117.
- 929 Frey, N.V., and Porter, D.L. (2016). The Promise of Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell
- 930 Therapy | Cancer Network.
- 931 Gattazzo, F., Urciuolo, A., and Bonaldo, P. (2014). Extracellular matrix: A dynamic
- microenvironment for stem cell niche. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1840, 2506.
- 933 Gerber, H.-P., Kung-Sutherland, M., Stone, I., Morris-Tilden, C., Miyamoto, J., McCormick,
- 934 R., Alley, S.C., Okeley, N., Hayes, B., Hernandez-Ilizaliturri, F.J., et al. (2009). Potent
- antitumor activity of the anti-CD19 auristatin antibody drug conjugate hBU12-vcMMAE
- against rituximab-sensitive and -resistant lymphomas. Blood *113*, 4352–4361.
- 937 Geyer, M.B., and Brentjens, R.J. (2016). Review: Current clinical applications of chimeric
- antigen receptor (CAR) modified T cells. Cytotherapy *18*, 1393–1409.
- Gill, S., and June, C.H. (2015). Going viral: chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy forhematological malignancies. Immunol. Rev. *263*, 68–89.
- Goebeler, M.-E., and Bargou, R. (2016). Blinatumomab: a CD19/CD3 bispecific T cell
- 942 engager (BiTE) with unique anti-tumor efficacy. Leuk. Lymphoma.
- 943 Gormley, A.J., Larson, N., Banisadr, A., Robinson, R., Frazier, N., Ray, A., and Ghandehari,
- H. (2013). Plasmonic photothermal therapy increases the tumor mass penetration of
 HPMA copolymers. J. Controlled Release *166*, 130–138.
- 946 Greish, K. (2007). Enhanced permeability and retention of macromolecular drugs in
- solid tumors: a royal gate for targeted anticancer nanomedicines. J. Drug Target. *15*,457–464.
- 949 Grupp, S.A., Kalos, M., Barrett, D., Aplenc, R., Porter, D.L., Rheingold, S.R., Teachey, D.T.,
- 950 Chew, A., Hauck, B., Wright, J.F., et al. (2013). Chimeric Antigen Receptor–Modified T 951 Colls for Asuta Lymphoid Loukemia
- 951 Cells for Acute Lymphoid Leukemia.
- Hammer, O. (2012). CD19 as an attractive target for antibody-based therapy. MAbs 4,571–577.
- Herhaus, P., Habringer, S., Philipp-Abbrederis, K., Vag, T., Gerngross, C., Schottelius, M.,
- 955 Slotta-Huspenina, J., Steiger, K., Altmann, T., Weißer, T., et al. (2016). Targeted positron
- 956 emission tomography imaging of CXCR4 expression in patients with acute myeloid
 957 leukemia. Haematologica *101*, 932–940.
- 958 Hong, E.E., Erickson, H., Lutz, R.J., Whiteman, K.R., Jones, G., Kovtun, Y., Blanc, V., and
- Lambert, J.M. (2015). Design of Coltuximab Ravtansine, a CD19-Targeting Antibody–
- 960 Drug Conjugate (ADC) for the Treatment of B-Cell Malignancies: Structure–Activity
- 961 Relationships and Preclinical Evaluation.
- 962 Hudecek, M., Lupo-Stanghellini, M.-T., Kosasih, P.L., Sommermeyer, D., Jensen, M.C.,
- 963 Rader, C., and Riddell, S.R. (2013). Receptor Affinity and Extracellular Domain
- 964 Modifications Affect Tumor Recognition by ROR1-Specific Chimeric Antigen Receptor T
- 965 Cells. Clin. Cancer Res. 19, 3153–3164.
- Jin, L., Hope, K.J., Zhai, Q., Smadja-Joffe, F., and Dick, J.E. (2006). Targeting of CD44
- 967 eradicates human acute myeloid leukemic stem cells. Nat. Med. *12*, 1167–1174.
- 968 Kamaly, N., Yameen, B., Wu, J., and Farokhzad, O.C. (2016). Degradable controlled-
- release polymers and polymeric nanoparticles: mechanisms of controlling drug release.
- 970 Chem. Rev. *116*, 2602–2663.
- 971 Kennedy, L.C., Bear, A.S., Young, J.K., Lewinski, N.A., Kim, J., Foster, A.E., and Drezek, R.A.

- 972 (2011). T cells enhance gold nanoparticle delivery to tumors in vivo. Nanoscale Res. Lett.973 6, 283.
- 974 Kochenderfer, J.N., Dudley, M.E., Feldman, S.A., Wilson, W.H., Spaner, D.E., Maric, I.,
- 975 Stetler-Stevenson, M., Phan, G.Q., Hughes, M.S., Sherry, R.M., et al. (2012). B-cell
- 976 depletion and remissions of malignancy along with cytokine-associated toxicity in a
- 977 clinical trial of anti-CD19 chimeric-antigen-receptor-transduced T cells. Blood *119*,
- 978 2709–2720.
- Krause, D.S., and Scadden, D.T. (2015). A hostel for the hostile: the bone marrow niche in
 hematologic neoplasms. Haematologica *100*, 1376–1387.
- 981 Krause, D.S., Lazarides, K., Andrian, U.H. von, and Etten, R.A.V. (2006). Requirement for
- 982 CD44 in homing and engraftment of BCR-ABL [ndash] | expressing leukemic stem cells.
 983 Nat. Med. *12*, 1175–1180.
- 984 Krause, D.S., Fulzele, K., Catic, A., Sun, C.C., Dombkowski, D., Hurley, M.P., Lezeau, S.,
- Attar, E., Wu, J.Y., Lin, H.Y., et al. (2013). Differential regulation of myeloid leukemias by the bone marrow microenvironment. Nat. Med. *19*, 1513–1517.
- 987 Krishnan, V., Xu, X., Kelly, D., Snook, A., Waldman, S.A., Mason, R.W., Jia, X., and
- 988 Rajasekaran, A.K. (2015). CD19-Targeted Nanodelivery of Doxorubicin Enhances
- 989 Therapeutic Efficacy in B-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. Mol. Pharm. *12*, 2101–
 990 2111.
- Kuhn, S.J., Finch, S.K., Hallahan, D.E., and Giorgio, T.D. (2006). Proteolytic Surface
- 992 Functionalization Enhances in Vitro Magnetic Nanoparticle Mobility through
- 993 Extracellular Matrix. Nano Lett. 6, 306–312.
- LeBien, T.W., and Tedder, T.F. (2008). B lymphocytes: how they develop and function.Blood *112*, 1570–1580.
- Lee, D.W., Gardner, R., Porter, D.L., Louis, C.U., Ahmed, N., Jensen, M., Grupp, S.A., and
- Mackall, C.L. (2014). Current concepts in the diagnosis and management of cytokinerelease syndrome. Blood *124*, 188–195.
- 999 Lee, D.W., Kochenderfer, J.N., Stetler-Stevenson, M., Cui, Y.K., Delbrook, C., Feldman, S.A.,
- 1000 Fry, T.J., Orentas, R., Sabatino, M., Shah, N.N., et al. (2015). T cells expressing CD19
- 1001 chimeric antigen receptors for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children and young
- adults: a phase 1 dose-escalation trial. The Lancet *385*, 517–528.
- 1003 Li, X., Ding, Y., Zi, M., Sun, L., Zhang, W., Chen, S., and Xu, Y. (2017). CD19, from bench to 1004 bedside. Immunol. Lett. *183*, 86–95.
- 1005 Liu, Z., and Li, Z. (2014). Molecular Imaging in Tracking Tumor-Specific Cytotoxic T
- 1006 Lymphocytes (CTLs). Theranostics 4, 990–1001.
- 1007 Lopes de Menezes, D.E., Pilarski, L.M., and Allen, T.M. (1998). In vitro and in vivo
- 1008 targeting of immunoliposomal doxorubicin to human B-cell lymphoma. Cancer Res. 58,1009 3320–3330.
- 1010 Ma, D., McDevitt, M.R., Barendswaard, E., Lai, L., Curcio, M.J., Pellegrini, V., Brechbiel,
- 1011 M.W., and Scheinberg, D.A. (2002). Radioimmunotherapy for model B cell malignancies
- 1012 using 90Y-labeled anti-CD19 and anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies. Leukemia *16*, 60–66.
- 1013 Maeda, H., Wu, J., Sawa, T., Matsumura, Y., and Hori, K. (2000). Tumor vascular
- 1014 permeability and the EPR effect in macromolecular therapeutics: a review. J. Control.
- 1015 Release Off. J. Control. Release Soc. 65, 271–284.
- 1016 Manjappa, A.S., Chaudhari, K.R., Venkataraju, M.P., Dantuluri, P., Nanda, B., Sidda, C.,
- 1017 Sawant, K.K., and Ramachandra Murthy, R.S. (2011). Antibody derivatization and
- 1018 conjugation strategies: Application in preparation of stealth immunoliposome to target
- 1019 chemotherapeutics to tumor. J. Controlled Release *150*, 2–22.
- 1020 Mansilla, E., Marin, G.H., Nuñez, L., Drago, H., Sturla, F., Mertz, C., Rivera, L., Ichim, T.,

- 1021 Riordan, N., and Raimondi, C. (2010). The Lysosomotropic Agent, Hydroxychloroquine,
- 1022 Delivered in a Biodegradable Nanoparticle System, Overcomes Drug Resistance of B-
- 1023 Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia Cells In Vitro. Cancer Biother. Radiopharm. *25*, 97–103.
- 1024 Martucci, N.M., Migliaccio, N., Ruggiero, I., Albano, F., Calì, G., Romano, S., Terracciano, M.,
- 1025 Rea, I., Arcari, P., and Lamberti, A. (2016). Nanoparticle-based strategy for personalized
- 1026 B-cell lymphoma therapy. Int. J. Nanomedicine *11*, 6089.
- 1027 Maude, S.L., Frey, N., Shaw, P.A., Aplenc, R., Barrett, D.M., Bunin, N.J., Chew, A., Gonzalez,
- 1028 V.E., Zheng, Z., Lacey, S.F., et al. (2014). Chimeric Antigen Receptor T Cells for Sustained
- 1029 Remissions in Leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. *371*, 1507–1517.
- 1030 Moghimi, S., Hunter, A., and Murray, J. (2001). Long-Circulating and Target-Specific
- 1031 Nanoparticles: Theory to Practice. Pharmacol. Rev. *53*, 283–318.
- 1032 Morrison, S.J., and Scadden, D.T. (2014). The bone marrow niche for haematopoietic 1033 stem cells. Nature *505*, 327–334.
- 1034 Myers, D.E., Yiv, S., Qazi, S., Ma, H., Cely, I., Shahidzadeh, A., Arellano, M., Finestone, E.,
- 1035 Gaynon, P.S., Termuhlen, A., et al. (2014). CD19-antigen specific nanoscale liposomal
- formulation of a SYK P-site inhibitor causes apoptotic destruction of human B-precursorleukemia cells. Integr. Biol. *6*, 766.
- 1038 Navarro, S.M., Matcuk, G.R., Patel, D.B., Skalski, M., White, E.A., Tomasian, A., and Schein,
- 1039 A.J. (2017). Musculoskeletal Imaging Findings of Hematologic Malignancies. Radiogr.
- 1040 Rev. Publ. Radiol. Soc. N. Am. Inc 37, 881–900.
- 1041 Newick, K., Moon, E., and Albelda, S.M. (2016). Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy
- 1042 for solid tumors. Mol. Ther. Oncolytics *3*, 16006.
- 1043 Nguyen, C.T., Nguyen, J.T., Rutledge, S., Zhang, J., Wang, C., and Walker, G.C. (2010).
- 1044 Detection of chronic lymphocytic leukemia cell surface markers using surface enhanced1045 Raman scattering gold nanoparticles. Cancer Lett. *292*, 91–97.
- 1046 Park, J.H., Geyer, M.B., and Brentjens, R.J. (2016). CD19-targeted CAR T-cell therapeutics
- 1047 for hematologic malignancies: interpreting clinical outcomes to date. Blood *127*, 3312–1048 3320.
- 1049 Parodi, A., Haddix, S.G., Taghipour, N., Scaria, S., Taraballi, F., Cevenini, A., Yazdi, I.K.,
- 1050 Corbo, C., Palomba, R., Khaled, S.Z., et al. (2014). Bromelain Surface Modification
- 1051 Increases the Diffusion of Silica Nanoparticles in the Tumor Extracellular Matrix. ACS1052 Nano *8*, 9874–9883.
- 1053 Pattni, B.S., Chupin, V.V., and Torchilin, V.P. (2015). New Developments in Liposomal 1054 Drug Delivery. Chem. Rev. *115*, 10938–10966.
- 1055 Perica, K., Medero, A.D.L., Durai, M., Chiu, Y.L., Bieler, J.G., Sibener, L., Niemöller, M.,
- 1056 Assenmacher, M., Richter, A., Edidin, M., et al. (2014). Nanoscale Artificial Antigen
- 1057 Presenting Cells for T Cell Immunotherapy. Nanomedicine Nanotechnol. Biol. Med. 10,1058 119.
- Porter, D.L., Levine, B.L., Kalos, M., Bagg, A., and June, C.H. (2011). Chimeric Antigen
 Receptor–Modified T Cells in Chronic Lymphoid Leukemia.
- 1061 Procko, E., Berguig, G.Y., Shen, B.W., Song, Y., Frayo, S., Convertine, A.J., Margineantu, D.,
- 1062 Booth, G., Correia, B.E., Cheng, Y., et al. (2014). A computationally designed inhibitor of
- 1063 an Epstein-Barr viral Bcl-2 protein induces apoptosis in infected cells. Cell *157*, 1644.
- 1064 Ramachandran, M., Dimberg, A., and Essand, M. (2017). The cancer-immunity cycle as
- 1065 rational design for synthetic cancer drugs: Novel DC vaccines and CAR T-cells. Semin.1066 Cancer Biol.
- 1067 Ranganathan, R., and Foster, M.C. (2016). The Limitations and Promise of
- 1068 Immunotherapy With Chimeric Antigen–Modified T Cells | Cancer Network.
- 1069 Riley, R.S., and Day, E.S. (2017). Gold nanoparticle-mediated photothermal therapy:

- 1070 applications and opportunities for multimodal cancer treatment. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.
- 1071 Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol. n/a-n/a.
- 1072 Rodgers, D.T., Mazagova, M., Hampton, E.N., Cao, Y., Ramadoss, N.S., Hardy, I.R.,
- 1073 Schulman, A., Du, J., Wang, F., Singer, O., et al. (2016). Switch-mediated activation and
- 1074 retargeting of CAR-T cells for B-cell malignancies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. *113*, E459–E468.
- 1075 Ruella, M., Kenderian, S.S., Shestova, O., Klichinsky, M., Melenhorst, J.J., Wasik, M.A.,
- 1076 Lacey, S.F., June, C.H., and Gill, S. (2017). Kinase inhibitor ibrutinib to prevent cytokine-
- 1077 release syndrome after anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor T cells for B-cell neoplasms.
- 1078 Leukemia *31*, 246–248.
- 1079 Salem, U., Menias, C.O., Shaaban, A., Bhosale, P.R., Youssef, A., and Elsayes, K.M. (2014).
- Hematopoietic tumors of the female genital system: imaging features with pathologiccorrelation. Abdom. Imaging *39*, 922–934.
- 1082 Sapra, P., and Allen, T.M. (2004). Improved outcome when B-cell lymphoma is treated
- with combinations of immunoliposomal anticancer drugs targeted to both the CD19 and
 CD20 epitopes. Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 10, 2530–2537.
- 1085 Savoldo, B., Ramos, C.A., Liu, E., Mims, M.P., Keating, M.J., Carrum, G., Kamble, R.T.,
- 1086 Bollard, C.M., Gee, A.P., Mei, Z., et al. (2011). CD28 costimulation improves expansion and
- 1087 persistence of chimeric antigen receptor–modified T cells in lymphoma patients. J. Clin.
- 1088 Invest. *121*, 1822.
- 1089 Scheinberg, D.A., and Strand, M. (1983). Kinetic and Catabolic Considerations of
- 1090 Monoclonal Antibody Targeting in Erythroleukemic Mice. Cancer Res. *43*, 265–272.
- Schepers, K., Campbell, T.B., and Passegué, E. (2015). Normal and Leukemic Stem Cell
 Niches: Insights and Therapeutic Opportunities. Cell Stem Cell *16*, 254.
- 1093 Schütz, C., Varela, J.C., Perica, K., Haupt, C., Oelke, M., and Schneck, J.P. (2016). Antigen-
- 1094 specific T cell Redirectors: a nanoparticle based approach for redirecting T cells.
- 1095 Oncotarget.
- 1096 Sciortino, F., Casterou, G., Eliat, P.-A., Troadec, M.-B., Gaillard, C., Chevance, S., Kahn, M.L.,
- 1097 and Gauffre, F. (2016a). Simple Engineering of Polymer–Nanoparticle Hybrid 1098 Nanocapsules. ChemNanoMat *2*, 796–799.
- 1099 Sciortino, F., Casterou, G., Eliat, P.-A., Troadec, M.-B., Gaillard, C., Chevance, S., Kahn, M.L.,
- and Gauffre, F. (2016b). Simple Engineering of Polymer–Nanoparticle Hybrid
- 1101 Nanocapsules. ChemNanoMat 2, 796–799.
- 1102 Shi, J., Kantoff, P.W., Wooster, R., and Farokhzad, O.C. (2017). Cancer nanomedicine:
- 1103 progress, challenges and opportunities. Nat. Rev. Cancer *17*, 20–37.
- 1104 Sipkins, D.A., Wei, X., Wu, J.W., Runnels, J.M., Côté, D., Means, T.K., Luster, A.D., Scadden,
- 1105 D.T., and Lin, C.P. (2005). In vivo imaging of specialized bone marrow endothelial
- 1106 microdomains for tumour engraftment. Nature *435*, 969–973.
- 1107 Smith, B.E., Roder, P.B., Zhou, X., and Pauzauskie, P.J. (2015). Nanoscale materials for 1108 hyperthermal theranostics. Nanoscale *7*, 7115–7126.
- 1100 Hypermemorer D. Hudacak M. Kacacih D.L. Cogishuili T. M.
- 1109 Sommermeyer, D., Hudecek, M., Kosasih, P.L., Gogishvili, T., Maloney, D.G., Turtle, C.J., 1110 and Biddell S.B. (2016). Chimeric antigen recenter modified T calls derived from
- and Riddell, S.R. (2016). Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells derived from
- defined CD8+ and CD4+ subsets confer superior antitumor reactivity in vivo. Leukemia*30*, 492–500.
- 1113 Stamenkovic, I., and Seed, B. (1988). CD19, the earliest differentiation antigen of the B
- cell lineage, bears three extracellular immunoglobulin-like domains and an Epstein-Barr
- 1115 virus-related cytoplasmic tail. J. Exp. Med. *168*, 1205–1210.
- 1116 Stephenson, R., and Singh, A. (2017). Drug discovery and therapeutic delivery for the
- 1117 treatment of B and T cell tumors. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.
- 1118 Tedder, T.F., Zhou, L.J., and Engel, P. (1994). The CD19/CD21 signal transduction

- 1119 complex of B lymphocytes. Immunol. Today *15*, 437–442.
- 1120 Turtle, C.J., Hanafi, L.-A., Berger, C., Gooley, T.A., Cherian, S., Hudecek, M., Sommermeyer,
- 1121 D., Melville, K., Pender, B., Budiarto, T.M., et al. (2016). CD19 CAR–T cells of defined
- 1122 CD4+:CD8+ composition in adult B cell ALL patients. J. Clin. Invest. *126*, 2123–2138.
- 1123 Uckun, F.M., Jaszcz, W., Ambrus, J.L., Fauci, A.S., Gajl-Peczalska, K., Song, C.W., Wick, M.R.,
- 1124 Myers, D.E., Waddick, K., and Ledbetter, J.A. (1988a). Detailed studies on expression and
- 1125 function of CD19 surface determinant by using B43 monoclonal antibody and the clinical
- 1126 potential of anti-CD19 immunotoxins. Blood 71, 13–29.
- 1127 Uckun, F.M., Jaszcz, W., Ambrus, J.L., Fauci, A.S., Gajl-Peczalska, K., Song, C.W., Wick, M.R.,
- 1128 Myers, D.E., Waddick, K., and Ledbetter, J.A. (1988b). Detailed studies on expression and
- 1129 function of CD19 surface determinant by using B43 monoclonal antibody and the clinical
- 1130 potential of anti- CD19 immunotoxins. Blood *71*, 13–29.
- 1131 Uckun, F.M., Qazi, S., and Cheng, J. (2015a). Targeting leukemic stem cells with
- 1132 multifunctional bioactive polypeptide nanoparticles. Future Oncol. *11*, 1149–1152.
- 1133 Uckun, F.M., Ma, H., Cheng, J., Myers, D.E., and Qazi, S. (2015b). CD22ΔE12 as a molecular
- 1134 target for RNAi therapy. Br. J. Haematol. *169*, 401–414.
- 1135 Velasquez, M.P., and Gottschalk, S. (2017). Targeting CD19: the good, the bad, and CD81.1136 Blood *129*, 9–10.
- 1137 Walker, G.C., Maclaughlin, C.M., and Ip, S. (2012). Lipid Encapsulation of Surface
- 1138 Enhanced Raman Scattering (sers) Nanoparticles.
- 1139 Wang, K., Wei, G., and Liu, D. (2012). CD19: a biomarker for B cell development,
- 1140 lymphoma diagnosis and therapy. Exp. Hematol. Oncol. *1*, 1.
- 1141 Wang, Z., Wu, Z., Liu, Y., and Han, W. (2017). New development in CAR-T cell therapy. J.
- 1142 Hematol. Oncol.J Hematol Oncol 10.
- 1143 Wilhelm, S., Tavares, A.J., Dai, Q., Ohta, S., Audet, J., Dvorak, H.F., and Chan, W.C.W.
- 1144 (2016). Analysis of nanoparticle delivery to tumours. Nat. Rev. Mater. 1, 16014.
- 1145 Wong, J.K.L., Mohseni, R., Hamidieh, A.A., MacLaren, R.E., Habib, N., and Seifalian, A.M.
- 1146 (2017). Will Nanotechnology Bring New Hope for Gene Delivery? Trends Biotechnol.
- 1147 Woyach, J.A., Awan, F., Flinn, I.W., Berdeja, J.G., Wiley, E., Mansoor, S., Huang, Y., Lozanski,
- 1148 G., Foster, P.A., and Byrd, J.C. (2014). A phase 1 trial of the Fc-engineered CD19 antibody
- 1149 XmAb5574 (MOR00208) demonstrates safety and preliminary efficacy in relapsed CLL.1150 Blood *124*, 3553.
- 1151 Yan, J., Wolff, M.J., Unternaehrer, J., Mellman, I., and Mamula, M.J. (2005). Targeting
- antigen to CD19 on B cells efficiently activates T cells. Int. Immunol. 17, 869–877.
- 1153 yin, H., Liao, L., and Fang, J. (2014). Enhanced Permeability and Retention (EPR) Effect
- 1154 Based Tumor Targeting: The Concept, Application and Prospect. *2*, 1010.
- 1155 Yu, B., Mao, Y., Yuan, Y., Yue, C., Wang, X., Mo, X., Jarjoura, D., Paulaitis, M.E., Lee, R.J.,
- 1156 Byrd, J.C., et al. (2013). Targeted drug delivery and cross-linking induced apoptosis with
- 1157 anti-CD37 based dual-ligand immunoliposomes in B chronic lymphocytic leukemia cells.
- 1158 Biomaterials *34*, 6185–6193.
- 1159 Zah, E., Lin, M.-Y., Silva-Benedict, A., Jensen, M.C., and Chen, Y.Y. (2016). T Cells
- 1160 Expressing CD19/CD20 Bispecific Chimeric Antigen Receptors Prevent Antigen Escape
- 1161 by Malignant B Cells. Cancer Immunol. Res. 4, 498–508.
- 1162 Zborowski, M., and Chalmers, J.J. (2011). Rare Cell Separation and Analysis by Magnetic
- 1163 Sorting. Anal. Chem. *83*, 8050–8056.
- 1164 Zhu, J., and Emerson, S.G. (2002). Hematopoietic cytokines, transcription factors and
- 1165 lineage commitment.
- 1166



FIGURE 1







FIGURE 3





Na	ime	Size	Pharmacokinetics	Valency/ specificity	Strenghs & Weaknesses
nAb		~ 150 kDa			High specificity/sensitivity towards their target
\$1		>150 kDa, depending on	long systemic clearance	Monospecific	Costly to produce
		its glycosylation profile	hait-time - few days to weeks	bivalent	Highly immunogenic
Ab		55 kDa			Rapid tumor targeting
1			short systemic clearance	Monospecific	Less immunogenic than mAb
			had-time ~ 10 h	monovalent	Improved tumor penetration compared to mAb
					Low avidity (monovalency)
					Renal toxicity (high renal uptake)
(ab) ₂		110 kDa			Approved by FDA
11			short systemic clearance	Bispecific	Better avidity for the target than mAb
Y			half-time ~ 10 h	bivalent	Renal toxicity (high renal uptake)
(ab) ₃		165 kDa			High avidity for the target (multivalency)
V			very short systemic clearance	Trispecific	Improved tumor penetration compared to the mAb
1			hað-time - 4-5 h	trivalent	Renal toxicity (high uptake)
cFv		28 kDa			Improved tumor penetration compared to mAb
*			ultra-short systemic clearance	Monospecific	Less immunogenic than full mAb
			half-time > 1 h	monovalent	Low functional avidity (monovalency)
					Renal and hepatic toxicity (high uptake)
Vinibody		75-105 kDa			Faster tumor addressing
V			between disks sustained a singuration	Monospecific	Better therapeutic efficacy than mAb
			intermediate systemic clearance	bivalent	High tumor uptake
					Renal toxicity (high uptake)
Multimers	of scFv			Monospecific	High avidity for the target (multivalency)
â.	Dlabody 50 kDa		Later Balance and the	bivalent	Higher tumor uptake than mAb
200	Triabody	75 kDa	intermediate systemic clearance	trivalent	Poor tumor penetration
22	Tetrabody	100 kDa		tetravalent	Renal toxicity (high uptake)

FIGURE 4

Anti-CD19 CAR second generation





