

# $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{K} + \times \times \times \mathbf{C} \pi \text{ and } \mathbf{K} + \times \times \times \mathbf{F} \text{ Non-Covalent Interactions in} \\ \pi \text{-Functionalized Potassium Fluoroalkoxides} \end{array}$

Sorin-Claudiu Roșca, Hanieh Roueindeji, Vincent Dorcet, Thierry Roisnel, Jean-François Carpentier, Yann Sarazin

### ► To cite this version:

Sorin-Claudiu Roșca, Hanieh Roueindeji, Vincent Dorcet, Thierry Roisnel, Jean-François Carpentier, et al.. K+×××C $\pi$  and K+×××F Non-Covalent Interactions in  $\pi$ -Functionalized Potassium Fluoroalkoxides. Inorganics, 2017, 5 (1), pp.13. 10.3390/inorganics5010013. hal-01517485

### HAL Id: hal-01517485 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01517485

Submitted on 7 Jun2017

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





# K<sup>+</sup>····C<sub>π</sub> and K<sup>+</sup>····F Non-Covalent Interactions in π Functionalized Potassium Fluoroalkoxides

## Sorin-Claudiu Roşca, Hanieh Roueindeji, Vincent Dorcet, Thierry Roisnel, Jean-François Carpentier \* and Yann Sarazin \*

- 6 \* Correspondence: yann.sarazin@univ-rennes1.fr; Tel.: +33-223-233-019
- 7 Academic Editor: name
- 8 Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

9 **Abstract:** Secondary interactions stabilize coordinatively demanding complexes of *s*-block metals. 10 The structures of potassium fluoroalkoxides that, in addition to intra- and intermolecular K+...F 11 contacts, also exhibit K<sup>+...</sup> $C_{\pi}$  interactions with tethered  $\pi$  ligands, are reported. A potassium-arene, 12 a rare potassium-alkyne and a potassium-olefin complexes have been prepared by deprotonation 13 of functionalized a, a-bis(trifluoromethyl)alcohols with KN(SiMe2R)2. They all feature a cuboid K4O4 14 core with  $\mu^3$ -bridging O atoms, and multiple stabilizing K<sup>+</sup>...F contacts in the range 2.71-3.37 Å. The 15 potassium-arene complex shows  $\eta^2$ ,  $\eta^3$  and  $\eta^6$  K<sup>+</sup>...C<sub> $\pi$ </sub>(arene) interactions in the range 3.35-3.47 Å. 16 The potassium-alkyne and potassium-olefin compounds are stabilized by  $\eta^2$  interactions with the 17 unsaturated carbon-carbon bond, in the range 3.17-3.49 Å and 3.15-3.19 Å, respectively. Comparison 18 with the parent complex devoid of flanking  $\pi$  ligand illustrates the role of K<sup>+</sup>...C<sub> $\pi$ </sub> interactions.

19 **Keywords:** alkoxide ligands; potassium complexes; secondary interactions; potassium-fluorine 20 contacts; potassium- $C_{\pi}$  interactions; *π* ligands

21

1

Article

#### 22 1. Introduction

23 Complexes of the electropositive alkali and alkalino-earth metals are characterized by ionic 24 bonding between the cation and the ligands and co-ligands. In addition to regular (weakly) bonding 25 interactions in, for instance, amido or alkoxo salts of these elements, there has been a growing 26 awareness in the past 10-15 years that non-covalent interactions can help towards the stabilization of 27 these species, especially as the size and coordinative demand of the metal increase upon descending 28 groups 1 or 2. The concept of so-called secondary interactions, which are essentially weak donor-29 acceptor interactions between the cation and charge-neutral  $C_{\pi}$ , halide, H, etc. atom or group of 30 atoms, was highlighted in a landmark article by Ruhlandt-Senge and co-workers in 2010 [1]. This and 31 other groups have in particular prepared many a compound of alkali metals (M) featuring one or 32 more  $M^{+}$ . F [2–6] and, perhaps more prominently,  $M^{+}$ .  $C_{\pi}(arene)$  [4,7] intramolecular interactions. Of 33 note, the importance of  $M^{+}$ ... $C_{\pi}$ (arene) in biological structures, supramolecular assemblies, and 34 catalytic and ion transportation processes has long been established [8-14]. Many such complexes 35 have been structurally characterized; there are nearly 300 referenced X-ray structures to date in the 36 Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) for  $\eta^6$ -coordinated K<sup>+</sup>...C<sub> $\pi$ </sub>(arene) compounds alone.

37 As part of our program aimed at implementing the large alkaline earths (Ae = Ca, Sr, Ba) in 38 molecular catalysis, we prepared some time ago several heteroleptic amido-Ae aryloxides and 39 fluoroalkoxides stabilized by secondary interactions, in particular intramolecular Ae<sup>2+...</sup>F contacts and 40  $\beta$ -Si-H···Ae<sup>2+</sup> agostic distortions when using the N(SiMe<sub>2</sub>H)<sup>-</sup> amido co-ligand [15–18]. More recently, 41 we have prepared Ae-olefin and Ae-alkyne fluoroalkoxo complexes that both exhibit strong 42 intramolecular Ae<sup>2+…</sup> $C_{\pi}$  in the solid state and in solution [19–20]. We have also shown that *multiple* 43 Ae<sup>2+...</sup>F,  $\beta$ -Si-H...Ae<sup>2+</sup> and Ae<sup>2+...</sup>C<sub> $\pi$ </sub> secondary interactions could be combined within the same 44 molecular structure to yield electron-deficient, yet stable Ae complexes.

45 In the course of this work, we have prepared and structurally characterized several unusual 46 homometallic potassium fluoroalkoxides that display intramolecular  $K^{+}$ ... $C_{\pi}$ (arene),  $K^{+}$ ... $C_{\pi}$ (olefin) 47 and K<sup>+</sup>...C<sub> $\pi$ </sub>(alkyne) interactions with tethered  $\pi$  ligands. K<sup>+</sup>-arene complexes are indeed well-known. 48 However, structurally authenticated  $K^{+}(\eta^{2}-alkyne)$  complexes (13 structures in the CSD at the time 49 of writing) are mostly limited to heterobimetallic acetylides such as [(C₅HMe₄)₂Ti(η¹-C≡C-SiMe₃)₂]-50  $[K]^+$  [21] or  $[{(Me_3-tacn)Cr(C=CH)_3}_2K]^+[CF_3SO_3]^-$  bearing a N-methyl-substituted triazacyclonane 51 ligand (Me<sub>3</sub>Tacn) [22]. The sole example of homometallic complex is the polymeric 52  $[{(C_5Me_4)_2SiMe_2C=CPh}K\cdotTHF]_{\sim}$  [23]. K+-( $\eta^2$ -olefin) complexes are more common (46 examples in the 53 CSD), with representative examples including [Sn{(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)CHCH=CH(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)}<sub>3</sub>-[K·THF]<sup>+</sup> [24], 54 [Zn{(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)CHCH=CH(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)}<sub>3</sub>]-[K]<sup>+</sup> [25], [Zn(CH<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub>)(TMP)(CH=CH<sub>2</sub>)]-[K·PTMEDA]<sup>+</sup> [26], or 55 the rare homometallic [KC<sub>60</sub>(THF)<sub>5</sub>]·2THF fulleride [27].

56 In this context, the structural motifs of several polymetallic potassium fluoroalkoxides 57 displaying strong intramolecular interactions with pendant olefin, alkyne or arene are discussed in 58 the followings. The structure of the parent complex where the ligand is devoid of dangling  $\pi$  group 59 is also presented for comparison.

#### 60 2. Results

61 The fluoroalcohols  $\{RO^1\}H-\{RO^4\}H$  bearing two strongly electron-withdrawing CF<sub>3</sub> groups in  $\alpha$ 62 position to the hydroxyl can be prepared in high yield by treatment of 2,2-bis(trifluoromethyl)oxirane 63 with the appropriate amine in EtzO [16,20]. They were reacted with an equimolar amount of the 64 potassium precursors [KN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] or [KN(SiMe<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>2</sub>] to afford the corresponding potassium 65 fluoroalkoxides in 33-85% isolated (non-optimized) yields (Scheme 1). The resulting compounds 66  $[{RO^x}K]_4$  were obtained as colorless, analytically pure solids (x = 1,  $[1]_4$ ; x = 2,  $[2]_4$ ; x = 3,  $[3]_4$ ; x = 4, 67  $[4]_4$ ). They all crystallized as tetranuclear complexes in a K<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cubane arrangement (*vide infra*). Their 68 composition was established by X-ray crystallography and was corroborated by NMR spectroscopy. 69 Their purity was confirmed by combustion analyses. All complexes are soluble in common organic

70 colvents including alightic hydrocarbons





71Scheme 1. Fluoroalcohols used in this study, with a synthetic scheme for the preparation of the<br/>tetranuclear potassium fluoroalkoxides [{ROx}K] [1]4-[5]4. A representation of the cuboid structures of

73 these complexes is given;  $K^{+...}F$  and  $K^{+...}C_{\pi}$  secondary interactions not displayed.

Inorganics 2017, 5, x FOR PEER REVIEW

#### 74 2.1. Potassium-arene complex $[{RO_1}K]_4$ ([1]<sub>4</sub>)

75 The compound  $[{RO}^{1}K]$  crystallized as the distorted cubane  $[{RO}^{1}K]_{4}$  ([1]<sub>4</sub>), a multinuclear

76 structure typical of potassium alkoxides (Figure 1) [18, 28-30]. Two K4O4 cuboid motifs are associated 77 through bridging K...F interactions to generate a centro-symmetric macromolecular edifice containing

78 eight potassium ions. The distances to the bridging fluorine atoms K2-F76' (2.963(1) Å), K2-F76

79 (3.171(1) Å) and K2–F77' (3.279(2) Å) are well below the sum of van der Walls radii for potassium

80 (2.75 Å) and fluorine (1.47 Å), testifying to substantial interactions. They are also below the accepted

81 distance for significant K–F interactions (ca. 3.40 Å) [31].



82 Figure 1. Representation of the molecular solid-state structure of the potassium-arene complex 83 [{RO1}K]4 ([1]4). Color code: purple, K; green, F; blue, N; red, O; grey, C. H atoms omitted for clarity.

84 A simplified view of the coordination pattern in [1]4 is depicted in Figure 2. In each of the two 85 identical cubanes, each potassium atom is coordinated by three oxygen atoms in  $\mu^3$ -positions, with 86

characteristic  $d_{K-0}$  bond distances in the range 2.611(2)-2.825(2) Å.





89 the heteroatoms and aryl substituents interacting with potassium are depicted. Inorganics 2017, 5, x FOR PEER REVIEW

90 The nitrogen atoms N9, N69 and N99 are bound to K1, K3 and K4, respectively; there is not any 91 nitrogen atom coordinated to K2. In addition, each metal ion is stabilized by multiple K<sup>+</sup>...F contacts: 92 K1, K2, K3 and K4 are respectively involved in four, seven, one and three such interactions. They 93 range from very strong ( $d_{K-F} = 2.806(1)$  Å for K3) to mild ( $d_{K-F} = 3.324(2)$  Å for K4) [31]. Another 94 prominent feature of this complex is the presence of  $K^{+}$ ... $C_{\pi}$ (arene) intramolecular interactions with 95 three capping aromatic rings from the tethered side-arms of the ligands. Hence, K1, K3 and K4 show 96 respectively  $\eta^2$ ,  $\eta^6$  and  $\eta^3 \pi$ -interactions with the aromatic substituents. Such K<sup>+</sup>...C<sub>\pi</sub>(arene) contacts, 97 all below 3.48 Å, are not uncommon for potassium [4,9,11,13]. A summary of relevant metric parameters for [1]4 is given in Table 1. 98

99

**Table 1.** Key metric parameters in the potassium-arene complex [{RO1}K]4 ([1]4).

$\mathbf{K}_i$	K₁−O (Å)	K <i>i</i> –N (Å)	K₁…F (Å)	Ki <sup>…</sup> C <sub>π</sub> (arene) (Å)
K1	O13 = 2.6600(16)	N9 = 3.174(2)	F17 = 2.8804(16)	C1 = 3.4177(30)
	O43 = 2.7493(15)		F45 = 2.8507(15)	C2 = 3.4631(33)
	O103 = 2.7989(15)		F107 = 2.9548(17)	
			F111 = 3.2131(16)	
K2	O43 = 2.6856(15)	n/a	F47 = 2.9987(15)	n/a
	O73 = 2.6927(15)		F50 = 2.8312(14)	·
	O103 = 2.6136(15)		F76 = 3.1711(14)	
			F76' = 2.9632(14)	
			F77' = 3.2792(16)	
			F81 = 3.0715(15)	
			F110 = 3.0715(15)	
К3	O13 = 2.6301(15)	N69 = 3.1033(19)	F79 = 2.8062(13)	C61 = 3.4535(24)
	O43 = 2.7087(15)	( )		C62 = 3.4738(25)
	O73 = 2.6869(14)			C63 = 3.4296(25)
				C64 = 3.3749(24)
				C65 = 3.3688(24)
				C66 = 3.413(2)
K4	O13 = 2.8254(15)	N99 = 3.159(2)	F16 = 3.0642(17)	C91 = 3.3482(25)
	O73 = 2.7305(13)		F21 = 3.3244(16)	C92 = 3.4120(33)
	O103 = 2.6112(16)		F105 = 3.0760(17)	C96 = 3.449(2)

100 NMR spectroscopy did not provide information regarding the structure of  $[1]_4$  in solution. Its <sup>1</sup>H 101 NMR spectrum in [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene features broad resonances. In the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum, a unique, sharp 102 singlet is detected at -76.34 ppm, indicating that all CF<sub>3</sub> groups are equivalent on the NMR time-103 scale; there was no indication for the persistence of K<sup>+</sup>...F interactions in solution. <sup>1</sup>H DOSY NMR 104 measurements proved erratic, hence provided limited help in assessing the nuclearity of the complex 105 in solution; they were however consistent with the existence of a multinuclear species.

106 2.2. Potassium-alkyne complex [{RO<sup>2</sup>}K]<sub>4</sub> ([2]<sub>4</sub>)

107The potassium fluoroalkoxide [{RO2}K] bearing a dangling alkynyl side-arm recrystallized from108pentane as the tetranuclear [{RO2}K]4 ([2]4) showing also a K4O4 cuboid arrangement (Figure 3).109Besides the presence of multiple K+...F interactions (three or four per potassium), one of its main110characteristic is the presence of  $\eta^2$ -K+...C $\pi$ (alkyne) interactions, in the range 3.131(3)-3.495(3) Å.111Remarkably, none of the nitrogen atoms of the ligand backbones coordinates onto a potassium center

- $(d_{K-N} > 3.832(2) \text{ Å}, \text{ and generally over 4.5 Å})$ , thus highlighting the key contributions of K+...F and 112
- 113  $K^{+}$ ... $C_{\pi}(alkyne)$  secondary interactions in this complex. Of interest, [2]<sub>4</sub> is a rare example of non-
- 114 acetylide potassium-alkyne complex, the sole other occurrence being [{(C5Me4)2SiMe2C=CPh}K·THF]...
- 115 [23]. However, the K<sup>+...</sup>C<sub> $\pi$ </sub>(alkyne) interatomic distances in [2]<sub>4</sub> (in the range 3.131(3)-3.495(3) Å, see
- 116 Table 2) are for most of them much shorter than in this latter compound (3.406 and 3.470 Å). On the 117 other hand, they are much longer than in  $K^+$ ... $C_{\pi}$  (acetylide) compounds, where it often approximates
- 118
- 2.95-3.10 Å [21,22,32].



119 Figure 3. Representation of the molecular solid-state structure of the potassium-alkyne complex 120 [{RO<sup>2</sup>}K]<sub>4</sub> ([**2**]<sub>4</sub>). Color code: purple, K; green, F; blue, N; red, O; grey, C. H atoms omitted for clarity.

Table 2. Key metric parameters in the potassium-alkyne complex [{RO<sup>2</sup>}K]<sub>4</sub> ([2]<sub>4</sub>).

$\mathbf{K}_i$	K <i>i</i> –O (Å)	K <i>i</i> …F (Å)	Ki <sup>…</sup> Cπ(alkyne) (Å)
K1	O1 = 2.6880(14)	F45 = 3.2152(14)	C18 = 3.172(2)
	O41 = 2.6298(13)	F65 = 2.8043(14)	C19 = 3.427(2)
	O61 = 2.7262(14)	F68 = 2.7585(14)	
K2	O1 = 2.7275(14)	F5 = 2.9529(14)	C38 = 3.213(2)
	O21 = 2.7106(13)	F9 = 2.7144(14)	C39 = 3.341(2)
	O61 = 2.6296(14)	F66 = 3.1664(16)	
K3	O1 = 2.6628(14)	F10 = 3.1002(16)	C58 = 3.278(2)
	O21 = 2.7979(14)	F24 = 2.7792(14)	C59 = 3.495(3)
	O41 = 2.6794(14)	F28 = 2.8195(15)	
		F49 = 3.3239(13)	
K4	O21 = 2.6876(14)	F25 = 2.9942(16)	C78 = 3.131(3)
	O41 = 2.8169(14)	F44 = 2.6820(13)	C79 = 3.216(4)
	O61 = 2.6401(14)	F50 = 2.9804(14)	
		F70 = 3.3078(15)	

122 Relevant metric parameters for  $[2]_4$  are collated in Table 2. Examination of the K<sub>i</sub>.  $C_{\pi}(alkyne)$ 123 distances shows large discrepancies, with K4–C78 and K1–C18 being as short as 3.131(3) and 3.172(2) 124 Å, respectively, whereas K3–C59 reaches 3.495(3) Å. One should note that for each potassium, the 125 distance to the "internal"  $C_{\pi}(alkyne)$  atom is systematically much shorter than that to the "external" 126 one (internal and external  $C_{\pi}$ (alkyne) atoms are respectively in  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  positions to the nitrogen 127 atom), hence indicating a dissymmetric binding mode for the alkyne. As seen for [1]4, the intensity of 128 K<sup>+</sup>...F interactions also varies largely in [2]<sub>4</sub>, in the range 2.682(1)-3.324(1) Å. The C=C bond lengths in 129  $[2]_4$ , in the region 1.153(5)-1.7179(4) Å, are unexceptional and are typical of non-coordinated ( $-CX_2$ )-

130 C=C-CH<sub>3</sub> fragments.

131 The solution NMR data (recorded in  $[D_6]$ benzene) for  $[2]_4$  did not inform us about the nuclearity 132 of the complex in solution. A sharp singlet is observed at -77.32 ppm in the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum, and 133 the resonances at 78.15 (C=C-CH<sub>3</sub>) and 76.98 (C=C-CH<sub>3</sub>) ppm in the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum did not

134 provide useful information about the potential coordination/dissociation of the alkyne in solution.

135 2.3. Potassium-alkene complex [{RO<sup>3</sup>}K]<sub>4</sub> ([3]<sub>4</sub>)

136 The potassium-alkene complex [{RO3}K] also crystallized as the tetranuclear cubane [{RO3}K]4 137 ([3]4) in the tetragonal space group  $P-42_1c$  (Figure 4). The four potassium centers are therefore 138 symmetrically equivalent. Each exhibits  $\eta^2$ -coordination of an olefin and two K<sup>+</sup>...F intramolecular 139 interactions (K1-F1 = 3.062(2) Å, K1-F2' = 2.928(2) Å). For each ligand, only one of the olefin is 140 coordinated to potassium (K1–C12 = 3.192(4) and K1–C13 = 3.148(4) Å), whereas the second olefinic 141 tether (corresponding to C8 and C9) is remote from the metal ion. The two K<sup>+</sup>– $C_{\pi}$ (alkene) distances 142 are very comparable. They are in the range of those measured **[3]**<sub>4</sub> in in 143 [Sn{(Me<sub>3</sub>Si)CHCH=CH(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)}]-[K·THF]<sup>+</sup> (3.065(8) and 3.164(8) Å) [24], in the fulleride 144 [KC60(THF)5]·2THF (3.204(1) and 3.356(1) Å) [27], in [Zn{(Me3Si)CHCH=CH(SiMe3)}3]-[K]+ (2.942(3)-145 3.283(3) Å) [25], or in [Zn(CH2SiMe3)(TMP)(CH=CH2)]-[K·PTMEDA]+ (2.985(4) and 3.167(3) Å) [26], 146 although the K<sup>+</sup>-olefin interaction was much more dissymmetric in these complexes. The main metric 147 parameters for [3]<sub>4</sub> are summarized in Table 3.

The <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum of [**3**]<sub>4</sub> displays a sharp singlet at –75.86 ppm for all CF<sub>3</sub> groups. The <sup>1</sup>H and especially <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} spectra ( $\delta_{13C}$  = 137.70 and 116.01 ppm for the C<sub>π</sub>(alkene) atoms) did not show differences between the two types of olefins, coordinated and dissociated; this suggests that they either exchange very fast on the NMR time-scale, or that the tetranuclear arrangement is disrupted

152 in [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene.



153Figure 4. Representation of the molecular solid-state structure of the potassium-alkene complex154[{RO³}K]₄ ([3]₄). Color code: purple, K; green, F; blue, N; red, O; grey, C. H atoms omitted for clarity.

K1–O (Å)	K1–N (Å)	K1…F (Å)	K1 <sup>…</sup> Cπ(alkene) (Å)
O1 = 2.635(2)	N5 = 3.031(3)	F1 = 3.062(2)	C12 = 3.192(4)
O1' = 2.625(2)		F2' = 2.928(2)	C13 = 3.148(4)
O1'' = 2.765(2)			

Table 3. Key metric parameters in the potassium-alkene complex [{RO<sub>3</sub>}K]<sub>4</sub> ([3]<sub>4</sub>).

156 Since one of the two tethered olefins in the ligand  $\{RO^3\}^-$  is not directly involved in the 157 coordination sphere of K<sup>+</sup>, we prepared a related proteo-ligand {RO<sup>5</sup>}H having only one dangling 158 olefinic group, and where the other one is replaced by an isopropyl group. This new ligand led to the 159 clean preparation of a compound of composition [{RO<sup>5</sup>}K]<sub>n</sub> according to NMR spectroscopy and 160 elemental analysis; however, all attempts to grow X-ray quality crystals proved unsuccessful, and we 161 could not obtain useful information in the solid state.

162 2.4. Potassium complex  $[{RO^4}K]_4$  ([4]<sub>4</sub>)

163 The potassium complex {RO<sup>4</sup>}K, where the ancillary ligand is devoid of  $\pi$  ligand, crystallized at 164 the tetranuclear [ $\{RO^4\}K\}_4$  ([4]4) with a distorted K<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cuboid core (Figure 5). Each potassium is involved in several intermolecular (e.g. K2-F11<sup>#2</sup>, K2-F33<sup>#3</sup>, K3-F32<sup>#1</sup>, K4-F35<sup>#4</sup>, K2'-F11, K3''-F2, 165 166 K4'''-F35) and intramolecular K+...F interactions, leading to the formation of infinite two-dimensional 167 layer coordination polymers. In addition to the array of K+...F contacts, all potassium centers are 168 coordinated by three µ<sup>3</sup>-bridging oxygen atoms. K1 and K3 are also coordinated by a nitrogen atom 169 (N4 and N3, respectively), but K2 and K4 are not. As a result and to compensate for an otherwise 170 overwhelming electron deficiency, K2 and K4 exhibit six  $K^{+...}F$  interactions each. This is more than 171 for K1 and K3, which respectively feature two and five interactions.

> F2! F26 K2 E15 F33#3 F2# K3' K4 02 E31

- 172 Figure 5. Representation of the molecular solid-state structure of the potassium complex [{RO4}K]4
- 173 ([4]4). Only the main component of disordered ethyl groups are depicted. Color code: purple, K; green, 174 F; blue, N; red, O; grey, C. H atoms omitted for clarity.
- 175 Table 4 displays the key metric parameters in [4]4. All K<sup>+</sup>–O bond lengths are in the same range,
- 176 2.586(2)-2.767(2) Å. The K<sup>+</sup>–N bond is weaker for K3 (K3–N3 = 3.162(2) Å) than for K1 (K1–N4 =
- 177 2.974(2) Å), which explains the greater number of K<sup>+</sup>...F contacts for the former.

178	There is a unique sharp singlet at $-76.38$ ppm for all CF <sub>3</sub> groups in the <sup>19</sup> F NMR spectrum of [4] <sub>4</sub> ,
179	and its 1H NMR spectrum features only three resonances at 2.70 (s), 2.56 (q) and 0.82 (t) ppm. We

180 could not obtain reliable information as to the nuclearity of the complex in solution.

$\mathbf{K}_i$	K <i>i</i> –O (Å)	K <i>i</i> –N (Å)	<b>K</b> <i>i</i> <sup></sup> <b>F</b> (Å)
K1	O1 = 2.5862(16)	N4 = 2.9742(19)	F6 = 3.0859(17)
	O2 = 2.7289(16)		F32 = 3.1388(15
	O4 = 2.6800(16)		
K2	O1 = 2.6237(16)		F1 = 3.2086(18)
	O2 = 2.7311(16)		$F11^{#1} = 3.132(2)$
	O3 = 2.7092(16)		F12 = 3.0195(19
			F13 = 3.128(2)
			F23 = 2.7488(16
			F25 = 2.9952(17
K3	O2 = 2.7675(16)	N3 = 3.162(2)	F2#2 = 2.8409(15
	O3 = 2.5884(16)		F15 = 2.8113(17
	O4 = 2.6698(16)		F16 = 3.314(2)
			F22 = 2.9606(18
			F36 = 3.376(2)
K4	O1 = 2.6872(16)		F3 = 3.1502(17
	O3 = 2.5936(16)		F5 = 2.9039(17)
	O4 = 2.7204(16)		F26 = 3.2365(19
			F33 = 3.0363(16
			$F33^{#3} = 3.1629(1$
			F35 <sup>#3</sup> = 2.9690(1
K2'	1	1	F11 = 3.132(2)
K3''	1	1	F2 = 2.8408(15
K4'''	1	1	F33 = 3.1629(16
			F35 = 2.9690(15)

<sup>1</sup> Only the intermolecular K<sup>+</sup>–F contacts are given.

#### 183 3. Discussion

182

184 Compared to the polymeric [4]4 where electron depletion at the potassium centers is 185 compensated solely by a large number of K+...F intramolecular and intermolecular interactions, 186 resulting in the formation of two-dimensional networks, the presence of  $\pi$  ligands in [1]<sub>4</sub> (arene), [2]<sub>4</sub> 187 (alkyne) and [3]4 (alkene) profoundly influences the coordination pattern of these compounds. A 188 comparison of the structural and metric parameters for these complexes shows that as the number of 189  $K^{+}$ ... $C_{\pi}$  interactions increases, one generally observes a lowering of the number or the strength of  $K^{+}$ ...F 190 contacts. This is perhaps best epitomized in the structure of the arene complex [1]4, where the four

191 potassium centers display different coordination environments.

193 interaction, alkenes and alkynes efficiently provide stabilization to potassium alkoxides. The 194 potassium-alkyne complex described here is the only one of this type. This is in line with the recent 195 account of the utilization of  $\pi$  ligands in alkaline earth chemistry [19,20]. In an attempt to extend the 196 range of potential  $\pi$  ligands for s-block metals, we have also prepared a ligand possessing a dangling 197 allene moiety. However, we have so far been unable to grow X-ray quality crystals for the resulting 198 potassium complex. One should note that independently of the mode of coordination ( $\eta^2$ ,  $\eta^3$  or  $\eta^6$ ) of 199 the arene in  $[1]_4$ , the  $K^{+}$  (arene) distances are considerably longer than the distances to the 200 coordinated alkyne and alkene in complexes [2]2 and [3]2. DFT computations would be very useful to 201 probe the respective intensities of the interactions between the  $\pi$  ligands and the potassium ions in 202 these complexes, but they are precluded owing to the structural complexity of these polynuclear 203 species, and because we have no reliable information about their structures in solution. For the same 204 reason, bond valence sum analysis, which can be a convenient way to analyze the bonding pattern 205 for a given complex [33], was also rendered prohibitively complicated.

We found no indication by NMR spectroscopy, especially <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR, of any degree of covalence in the interaction between K<sup>+</sup> and the three different types of  $\pi$  ligands. Instead, this interaction is thought to be purely electrostatic, as seen for alkaline earths [19], and it occurs without any detectable polarization of the carbon-carbon unsaturated bonds [34].

210 The present results constitute further support in favor of Ruhlandt-Senge's statement that 211 secondary interactions are a key tool to satisfy coordinative demands of electropositive elements and 212 eventually yield stable and unusual molecular compounds [1]. The interactions  $K^{+} C_{\pi}$  and  $K^{+} F$ 213 described here complement other non-covalent interactions reported before, such as agostic  $\beta$ -Si-214  $H_{\cdot\cdot}K^{+}$  distortions seen in  $[KN(SiMe_2H)_2]_{\circ}$  [15]. These (and other related) potassium fluoroalkoxides 215 are convenient synthetic precursors for the introduction of the ligands onto other metals, such as 216 alkaline earths or lanthanides, via salt metathesis reactions. We are continuing our efforts in this field, 217 and are seeking to combine these types of interactions to yield stable alkali and alkaline earths 218 compounds. One route we are currently investigating is the use of enantiomerically pure chiral 219 fluoroalkoxides to direct the formation of specific architectures.

#### 220 4. Materials and Methods

#### 221 4.1. General protocols

222 All manipulations were performed under inert atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques 223 or in a dry, solvent-free glove-box (Jacomex;  $O_2 < 1$  ppm,  $H_2O < 5$  ppm). HN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (abcr) and 224 HN(SiMe<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>2</sub> (abcr) were dried over CaH<sub>2</sub> and distilled prior to use. The compounds [KN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] 225 and [K(N(SiMe<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>2</sub>] were prepared following literature protocols [15]. The proteo-ligands {RO<sub>1</sub>}H-226 {RO<sub>3</sub>}H were obtained as described earlier [16,20]. The new {RO<sub>4</sub>}H was obtained following the same 227 protocols, using HNEt2 as starting material; see the Supporting Information for detail. 2,2-228 Bis(trifluoromethyl)oxirane was purchased from Synquest Laboratories and used as received. 229 Solvents (THF, Et2O, CH2Cl2, pentane and toluene) were purified and dried (water contents all below 230 10 ppm) over columns alumina (MBraun SPS). THF was further distilled under argon from sodium 231 mirror/benzophenone ketyl prior to use. All deuterated solvents (Eurisotop, Saclay, France) were 232 stored in sealed ampoules over activated 3 Å molecular sieves and were thoroughly degassed by 233 several freeze-thaw-vacuum cycles.

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AM-400 and AM-500 spectrometers. All <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} chemicals shifts were determined using residual signals of the deuterated solvents and were calibrated vs. SiMe<sub>4</sub>. Assignment of the resonances was carried out using 1D (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}) and 2D (COSY, HMBC, HMQC) NMR experiments. Coupling constants are given in Hertz. <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} chemical shifts were determined by external reference to an aqueous solution of NaBF<sub>4</sub>.

Elemental analyses performed on a Carlo Erba 1108 Elemental Analyzer at the London
 Metropolitan University by Stephen Boyer were the average of two independent measurements.

241 The November 2016 CSD database was used for the searches of XRD structures.

243  $KN(SiMe_3)_2$  (0.06 g, 0.33 mmol) was added with a bent finger to a solution of  $\{RO^1\}H$  (0.10 g, 0.33 244 mmol) in Et2O (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Volatiles 245 were removed *in vacuo* to afford a sticky solid. Stripping with pentane  $(3 \times 3 \text{ mL})$  afforded the title compound as a white solid (0.080 g, 69%). The compound was recrystallized from a concentrated 246 247 pentane solution at -30 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500.13 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 K): δ 7.21-7.14 (m, 2H, m-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 248 7.12-7.08 (overlapping m, 3H, p-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> and o-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>), 2.66-2.60 (br m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.56-2.52 249 (overlapping m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>C(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.18 (s, 3H, NCH<sub>3</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (125.73 MHz, 250 [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 K): δ 140.22, 129.06, 128.30, 126.69 (all C<sub>6</sub>H ₅), 127.62 (q, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 294.2 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 81.24 251 (hept, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 22.6 Hz, *C*(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 63.64 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 60.61 (CH<sub>2</sub>C(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 45.33 (NCH<sub>3</sub>), 34.06 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>) 252 ppm. <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (376.49 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 K): δ –76.34 (s, 6F, CF<sub>3</sub>) ppm. Elemental analysis 253 for C13H14F6KNO (353.35 g·mol-1): calc. C 44.2%, H 4.0%, N 4.0%; found C 44.3 %, H 3.8 %, N 3.9 %.

#### 254 4.3. Synthesis of complex [{RO<sup>2</sup>}K]<sub>4</sub> ([2]<sub>4</sub>)

255 KN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.08 g, 0.44 mmol) was added in solid portions with a bent finger to a solution of 256 {RO<sup>2</sup>}H (0.13 g, 0.44 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature 257 overnight. Volatiles were removed under vacuum and the resulting oil was stripped with pentane (3 258 × 3 mL) to afford the title compound as a colorless solid. The compound was recrystallized from a 259 concentrated pentane solution at -30 °C. Yield 50 mg (33%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 260 K): δ 2.92 (hept, 1H, <sup>3</sup>/<sub>J</sub>H-H = 6.8 Hz, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.80 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup>/<sub>J</sub>H-H = 6.5 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.71 (s, 2H, 261 CH<sub>2</sub>C(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.39 (m, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.60 (t, 3H, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 2.3 Hz, C≡C−CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.92 (d, 6H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 6.5 Hz, 262 CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.63 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 K): δ 127.81 (q, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>CF</sub> = 294.8 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 263 81.97 (hept, <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>C-F</sub> = 22.1 Hz, *C*(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>),78.19 (C=C-CH<sub>3</sub>), 76.94 (C=C-CH<sub>3</sub>), 57.54 (CH<sub>2</sub>C(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 51.91 264 (CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 51.63 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 19.51 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 18.26 (CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 3.06 (C≡C−CH<sub>3</sub>) ppm. <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} 265 NMR (376.47 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 K): -77.32 (s, 6F, CF<sub>3</sub>) ppm. Elemental analysis for C12H16F6KNO 266 (343.35 g·mol-1): calc. C 42.0%, H 4.7%, N 4.1%; found C 42.0%, H 4.4%, N 4.1%.

#### 267 4.4. Synthesis of complex [{RO<sup>3</sup>}K]<sub>4</sub> ([3]<sub>4</sub>)

268 KN(SiMe<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>2</sub> (0.11 g, 0.65 mmol) was added in solid portions with a bent finger to a solution of 269 {RO<sub>3</sub>}H (0.21 g, 0.67 mmol) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature 270 overnight. Volatiles were removed under vacuum and the resulting oil was stripped with pentane (3 271 × 4 mL) to afford the title compound as a colorless oil. In a matter of days, the oil crystallized and the 272 title compound was isolated as off-white crystals. Yield (161 mg, 72%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.13 MHz, 273 [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 K): δ 5.79 (ddt, 2H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> (*trans*) = 17.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> (*cis*) = 9.9 Hz, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 6.7 Hz, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 274 5.13-5.01 (m, 4H, CH=CH2), 2.76 (s, 2H, CH2C(CF3)2), 2.62 (t, 4H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 6.4 Hz, NCH2CH2), 2.06 (q, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-</sub> 275 H = 6.4 Hz, 4H, NCHCH<sub>2</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100.63 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 K): δ 137.70 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 276 127.78 (q,  ${}^{1}J_{C-F}$  = 295.3 Hz, CF<sub>3</sub>), 116.01 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 81.58 (hept,  ${}^{2}J_{C-F}$  = 22.4 Hz, C(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 61.03 277 (CH<sub>2</sub>C(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 53.12 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 29.79 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>) ppm. <sup>19</sup>F<sup>{1</sup>H} NMR (376.49 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 278 K): δ –75.86 (s, 6F, CF<sub>3</sub>) ppm. Elemental analysis for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>F<sub>6</sub>KNO (343.35 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>): calc. C 42.0%, H 279 4.7%, N 4.1%; found C 42.1%, H 4.6%, N 4.2%.

#### 280 4.5. Synthesis of complex [{RO<sup>4</sup>}K]<sub>4</sub> ([4]<sub>4</sub>)

281 KN(SiMe<sub>2</sub>H)<sub>2</sub> (0.16 g, 0.80 mmol) was added with a bent finger to a solution of {RO<sub>4</sub>}H (0.20 mg, 282 0.80 mmol) in Et2O (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The 283 volatiles were removed in vacuo to yield [{RO4}K]4 as a colorless solid (0.20 g, 85%). X-ray quality 284 crystals were obtained from a concentrated pentane solution at -30 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400.16 MHz, 285 [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 K): δ 2.70 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>C(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 2.56 (q, 4H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> = 6.9 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.82 (t, 6H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>H-H</sub> 286 = 7.0 Hz, NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> ) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H}NMR (100.62 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 K): δ 129.30 (q, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>C-F</sub> = 295.3 Hz, 287 CF<sub>3</sub>), 81.91 (hept, <sup>2</sup>JCF = 22.8 Hz, C(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 58.97 (CH<sub>2</sub>C(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 47.36 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 10.01 (NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>) ppm. 288 <sup>19</sup>F{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (376.49 MHz, [D<sub>6</sub>]benzene, 298 K): δ –76.38 (s, 6F, CF<sub>3</sub>) ppm. Elemental analysis for 289 C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>F<sub>6</sub>KNO (291.28 g·mol<sup>-1</sup>): calc. C 33.0%, H 4.1%, N 4.8%; found C 32.9%, H 4.0%, N 4.9%.

#### 290 4.6. X-ray diffraction crystallography

291 X-ray diffraction data were collected at 150 K using a Bruker APEX CCD diffractometer with 292 graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å). A combination  $\omega$  and  $\Phi$  scans was carried 293 out to obtain at least a unique data set. The crystal structures were solved by direct methods, and 294 remaining atoms were located from difference Fourier synthesis followed by full-matrix least-squares 295 based on F2 (programs SIR97 and SHELXL-97) [35,36]. Carbon-, oxygen-, and nitrogen- bound 296 hydrogen atoms were places at calculated positions and forced to ride on the attached atom. The 297 hydrogen atom contributions were calculated, but not refined. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined 298 with anisotropic displacement parameters. The locations of the largest peaks in the final difference 299 Fourier map calculation as well as the magnitude of the residual electron densities were of no 300 chemical significance. The crystallographic data for all compounds are available as CIF files from the 301 Cambridge Crystallographic Database Centre (CCDC numbers 1530195-1530198). A summary of 302 crystallographic data is given in Table 5.

2	n	2
J	υ	J

#### Table 5. Summary of crystallographic data for [1]4-[4]4.

	[{RO <sup>1</sup> }K] <sub>4</sub>	[{RO <sup>2</sup> }K] <sub>4</sub>	[{RO <sup>3</sup> }K] <sub>4</sub>	[{RO <sup>4</sup> }K] <sub>4</sub>
	([1]4)	([2]4)	([3]4)	([4]4)
Formula	$C_{104}H_{112}F_{48}K_8N_8O_8$	$C_{48}H_{64}F_{24}K_4N_4O_4$	$C_{48}H_{64}F_{24}K_4N_4O_4$	C32H48F24K4N4O4
CCDC	1530195	1530196	1530197	1530198
Mol. wt.	2826.82	1373.43	1373.43	1165.14
Crystal system	monoclinic	triclinic	tetragonal	monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> / <i>n</i>	P -1	P -4 21 c	<i>P</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> / <i>n</i>
a (Å)	14.2195(4)	13.9764(4)	13.5114(14)	18.9136(8)
b (Å)	11.8504(4)	14.1787(4)	13.511	10.7740(5)
c (Å)	39.8155(13)	16.1077(4)	17.556(3)	24.7556(9)
α (°)	90	84.8310(10)	90	90
β (°)	97.5260(10)	81.5600(10)	90	108.265(2)
γ (°)	90	80.1870(10)	90	90
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	6651.4(4)	3104.31(15)	3205.0(6)	4790.4(4)
Ζ	2	2	8	4
Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.411	1.469	1.423	1.616
Abs. coeff., (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.378	0.402	0.389	0.505
F(000)	2880	1408	1408	2368
Crystal size, mm	0.51×0.23×0.15	0.490×0.410×0.280	0.39×0.27×0.10	0.410×0.150×0.120
heta range, deg	2.92 to 27.48	2.922 to 27.521	3.02 to 27.50	2.953 to 27.483
	-18 <h<18< td=""><td>-18<h<18< td=""><td>-17<h<13< td=""><td>-24<h<24< td=""></h<24<></td></h<13<></td></h<18<></td></h<18<>	-18 <h<18< td=""><td>-17<h<13< td=""><td>-24<h<24< td=""></h<24<></td></h<13<></td></h<18<>	-17 <h<13< td=""><td>-24<h<24< td=""></h<24<></td></h<13<>	-24 <h<24< td=""></h<24<>
Limiting indices	-15 <k<12< td=""><td>-17&lt;<i>k</i>&lt;18</td><td>-17<k<17< td=""><td>-13<k<13< td=""></k<13<></td></k<17<></td></k<12<>	-17< <i>k</i> <18	-17 <k<17< td=""><td>-13<k<13< td=""></k<13<></td></k<17<>	-13 <k<13< td=""></k<13<>
	-51< <i>l</i> <51	-19< <i>l</i> <20	-22< <i>l</i> <18	-32< <i>l</i> <31
R(int)	0.055	0.0318	0.0886	0.0550
Reflections collected	59233	35803	17470	57371
Reflec. Unique [I> $2\sigma$ ]	15220	14178	3501	10958
Completeness to $\theta$ (%)	99.8	99.3	99.6	99.8
Data/restraints/param.	15220/0/797	14178/0/759	3501/0/191	10958/4/668
Goodness-of-fit	0.989	1.010	0.963	1.056
$R_1[I>2\sigma]$ (all data)	0.0453 (0.0797)	0.0418 (0.0625)	0.0466 (0.1014)	0.0402 (0.0681)
w $R_2$ [I>2 $\sigma$ ] (all data)	0.1054 (0.1185)	0.0989 (0.1101)	0.0794 (0.0942)	0.0968 (0.1166)
Largest diff. e·A-3	0.27 & -0.301	0.901 & -0.894	0.234 & -0.222	0.844 & -0.667

304 Acknowledgments: S.-C. R. thanks the French *Agence Nationale de la Recherche* for a PhD grant (GreenLAkE, 305 ANR-11-BS07-009-01).

306 Author Contributions: Sorin-Claudiu Roşca, Jean-François Carpentier and Yann Sarazin conceived and

- designed the experiments; Sorin-Claudiu Roşca and Hanieh Roueindeji performed the experiments; Vincent
   Dorcet and Thierry Roisnel carried out the X-ray crystal structure determinations and the interpretation of the
   crystal data; Sorin-Claudiu Roşca, Hanieh Roueindeji, Jean-François Carpentier and Yann Sarazin analyzed the
   data; Yann Sarazin wrote the paper.
- 311 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### 312 References

- Buchanan, W. D.; Allis, D. G.; Ruhlandt-Senge, K. Synthesis and Stabilization Advances in Organoalkaline
   Earth Metal Chemistry. *Chem. Commun.* 2010, *46*, 4449-4465, DOI: 10.1039/c002600j.
- Samuels, J. A.; Lobkovsky, E. B.; Streib, W. E.; Folting, K.; Huffman, J. C.; Zwanziger, J. W.; Caulton, K. G.
   Organofluorine Binding to Sodium and Thallium(I) in Molecular Fluoroalkoxide Compounds. *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 1993, 115, 5093-5104, DOI: 10.1021/ja00065a021.
- 318
  3. Samuels, J. A.; Folting, K.; Huffman, J. C.; Caulton, K. G. Structure/Volatility Correlation of Sodium and
  Zirconium Fluoroalkoxides. *Chem. Mater.* 1996, *7*, 929-935, DOI: 10.1021/cm00053a018.
- Buchanan, W. D.; Nagle, E. D.; Ruhlandt-Senge, K. π-Coordination as a Structure Determining Principle:
  Structural Characterization of [K(Odpp)]<sup>∞</sup>, and {[K<sub>2</sub>(Odpp)<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>O]<sub>2</sub>}<sup>∞</sup>. *Main Group Chem.* 2009, *8*, 263-273, DOI: 10.1080/10241220903296889.
- Buchanan, W. D.; Ruhlandt-Senge K. M. F Interactions and Heterobimetallics: Furthering the
  Understanding of Heterobimetallic Stabilization. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 10708-10715, DOI:
  10.1002/chem.201202030.
- Lum, J. S.; Tahsini, L.; Golen, J. A.; Moore, C.; Rheingold, A. L.; Doerrer, L. H. K. F/O Interactions Bridge
   Copper(I) Fluorinated Alkoxide Complexes and Facilitate Dioxygen Activation. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 6374 6384, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201204275.
- Weinert, C. S.; Fanwick, P. E.; Rothwell, I. P. Synthesis of Group 1 Metal 2,6-Diphenylphenoxide Complexes
  [M(OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>-2,6)] (M = Li, Na, K, Rb, Cs) and Structures of the Solvent-Free Complexes [Rb(OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>2,6)]<sub>x</sub> and [Cs(OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>Ph<sub>2</sub>-2,6)]<sub>x</sub>: One-Dimensional Extended Arrays of Metal Aryloxides. *Inorg. Chem.* 2003, 42, 6089-6094, DOI: 10.1021/ic0346580.
- B. Dougherty, D. A. Cation-π Interactions in Chemistry and Biology: a New View of Benzene, Phe, Tyr, and
   Trp. *Science* 1996, *271*, 163-168, 10.1126/science.271.5246.163.
- 335 9. Ma, J. C.; Dougherty, D. A. The Cation-π Interaction. *Chem. Rev.* 1997, 97, 1303-1324, DOI: 10.1021/cr9603744.
- 337 10. Salonen, L. M.; Ellermann, M.; Diederich, F. Aromatic Rings in Chemical and Biological Recognition:
  338 Energetics and Structures. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011, *50*, 4808-4842, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201007560.
- Watt, M. M.; Collins, M. S.; Johnson, D. W. Ion-π Interactions in Ligand Design for Anions and Main Group
   Cations. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2013, 46, 955-966, DOI: 10.1021/ar300100g.
- 341 12. Mahadevi, A. Subha; Sastry, G. Narahari. Cation-π Interaction: Its Role and Relevance in Chemistry,
  342 Biology, and Material Science. *Chem. Rev.* 2013, *113*, 2100-2138, DOI: 10.1021/cr300222d.
- 343 13. Dougherty, Dennis A. The Cation-*π* Interaction. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 885-893, DOI:10.1021/ar300265y.
- 344 14. Kennedy, C. R.; Lin, S.; Jacobsen, E. N. The Cation-π Interaction in Small-Molecule Catalysis. *Angew. Chem.* 345 *Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 12596-12624, DOI:10.1002/anie.201600547.
- Sarazin, Y.; Roşca, D.; Poirier, V.; Roisnel, T.; Silvestru, A.; Maron, L.; Carpentier, J.-F. Bis(dimethylsilyl)amide Complexes of the Alkaline-Earth Metals Stabilized by β-Si-H Agostic Interactions: Synthesis,
  Characterization, and Catalytic Activity. *Organometallics* 2010, *29*, 6569-6577, DOI: 10.1021/om100908q.
- Sarazin, Y.; Liu, B.; Roisnel, T.; Maron, L.; Carpentier, J.-F. Discrete, Solvent-Free Alkaline-Earth Metal
  Cations: Metal...Fluorine Interactions and ROP Catalytic Activity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9069-9087,
  DOI: 10.1021/ja2024977.
- I7. Liu, B.; Roisnel, T.; Carpentier, J.-F.; Sarazin, Y. When Bigger Is Better: Intermolecular
   Hydrofunctionalizations of Activated Alkenes Catalyzed by Heteroleptic Alkaline Earth Complexes.
   *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, *51*, 4943-4946, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201200364.

- Roşca, S.-C.; Roisnel, T.; Dorcet, V.; Carpentier, J.-F.; Sarazin, Y. Potassium and Well-Defined Neutral and
   Cationic Calcium Fluoroalkoxide Complexes: Structural Features and Reactivity. *Organometallics* 2014, 33,
   5630-5642, DOI: 10.1021/om500343w.
- Roşca, S.-C.; Dinoi, C.; Caytan, E.; Dorcet, V.; Etienne, M.; Carpentier, J.-F.; Sarazin, Y. Alkaline EarthOlefin Complexes with Secondary Interactions. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, 22, 6505-6509, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201601096.
- 361 20. Roşca, S.-C.; Caytan, E.; Dorcet, V.; Roisnel, T.; Carpentier, J.-F.; Sarazin, Y. *π* Ligands in Alkaline Earth
   362 Complexes. *Under review*.
- Varga, V.; Hiller, J.; Polášek, M.; Thewalt, U.; Mach K. Synthesis and Structure of Titanium(III) Tweezer
  Complexes with Embedded Alkali Metal Ions: [(C₅HMe₄)2Ti(η¹-C≡C-SiMe₃)2]<sup>-</sup>M<sup>+</sup> (M = Li, Na, K, and Cs). J.
  Organomet. Chem. 1996, 515, 57-64, DOI: 10.1016/0022-328X(95)06092-B.
- Berben, L. A.; Long, J. R. Synthesis and Alkali Metal Ion-Binding Properties of a Chromium(III) Triacetylide
   Complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11588-11589, DOI: 10.1021/ja027309y.
- Chadha, P.; Dutton, J. L.; Ragogna, P. J. Synthesis and Reactivity of Bis-Alkynyl Appended Metallocenes of
  Ti, Fe, and Co. *Can. J. Chem.* 2010, *88*, 1213-1221, DOI: 10.1139/V10-129.
- 24. Layfield, R. A.; García, F.; Hannauer, J.; Humphrey, S. M. Ansa-Tris(allyl) Complexes of Alkali Metals:
  Tripodal Analogues of Cyclopentadienyl and Ansa-Metallocene Ligands. *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 5081–5083,
  DOI: 10.1039/b712285c.
- 373 25. Gren, C. K.; Hanusa, T. P.; Rheingold, A. L. Threefold Cation-π Bonding in Trimethylsilylated Allyl
   374 Complexes. *Organometallics* 2007, *26*, 1643-1649, DOI: 10.1021/om061174d.
- Kennedy, A. R.; Klett, J.; Mulvey, R. E.; Wright, D. S. Synergic Sedation of Sensitive Anions: AlkaliMediated Zincation of Cyclic Ethers and Ethene. *Science* 2009, *326*, 706-708, DOI: 10.1126/science.1178165.
- Kromer, A.; Wedig, U.; Roduner, E.; Jansen, M.; Amsharov, K. Y. Counterintuitive Anisotropy of Electron
  Transport Properties in KC<sub>60</sub>(TH<sub>F</sub>)<sub>5</sub>-2 THF Fulleride. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 12610-12614, DOI:
  10.1002/anie.201305808.
- Boyle, T. J.; Andrews, N. L.; Rodriguez, M. A.; Campana, C.; Yiu, T. Structural Variations of Potassium
  Aryloxides. *Inorg. Chem.* 2003, *42*, 5357-5366, DOI: 10.1021/ic034222k.
- Veith, M.; Belot, C.; Huch, V.; Guyard, L.; Knorr, M.; Khatyr, A.; Wickleder, C. Syntheses, Crystal
  Structures, and Physico-Chemical Studies of Sodium andPotassium Alcoholates Bearing Thienyl
  Substituents and their Derived Luminescent Samarium(III) Alkoxides. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 2010, 636, 22622275, DOI: 10.1002/zaac.201000205.
- 386 30. Lum, J. S.; Tahsini, L.; Golen, J. A.; Moore, C.; Rheingold, A. L.; Doerrer, L. H. K…F/O Interactions Bridge
  387 Copper(I) Fluorinated Alkoxide Complexes and Facilitate Dioxygen Activation. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, *19*, 6374388 6384, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201204275.
- 389 31. Plenio, H. The Coordination Chemistry of the CF Unit in Fluorocarbons. *Chem. Rev.* 1997, 97, 3363-3384,
   390 DOI: 10.1021/cr970465g.
- 391 32. Schiefer, M.; Hatop, H.; Roesky, H. W.; Schmidt, H.-G.; Noltemeyer, M. Organoaluminates with Three
   392 Terminal Phenylethynyl Groups and Their Interactions with Alkali Metal Cations. *Organometallics* 2002, 21,
   393 1300-1303, DOI: 10.1021/om010690v.
- 394 33. Brown, I. D. Recent Developments in the Methods and Applications of the Bond Valence Model. *Chem. Rev.* 395 2009, 109, 6858-6919, DOI: 10.1021/cr900053k.
- 39634.Carpentier, J.-F.; Maryin, V. P.; Luci, J.; Jordan, R. F. Solution Structures and Dynamic Properties of397Chelated d<sup>0</sup> Metal Olefin Complexes { $\eta^5: \eta^1-C_5R_4SiMe_2NtBu$ }Ti(OCMe\_2CH\_2CH\_2CHCH\_2)+ (R = H, Me):398Models for the { $\eta^5: \eta^1-C_5R_4SiMe_2NtBu$ }Ti(R')(olefin)+ Intermediates in "Constrained Geometry" Catalysts.399J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 898, DOI: 10.1021/ja0032091.
- 400 35. Altomare, A.; Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M.; Cascarano, G. L.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Moliterni, A. G.
  401 G.; Polidori G.; Spagna, R. SIR97: A New Tool For Crystal Structure Determination And Refinement. *Appl.*402 *Crystallogr.*, 1999, 32, 115, DOI: 10.1107/S0021889898007717.
- 403 36. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97, Program For Refinement Of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen,
  404 Germany, 1997.



© 2017 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).