

Use of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry after liquid enrichment (BD BactecTM) for rapid diagnosis of bone and joint infections

Elise Lallemand, Cédric Arvieux, Guillaume Coiffier, Jean-Louis Polard, Jean-David Albert, Pascal Guggenbuhl, Anne Jolivet-Gougeon

► To cite this version:

Elise Lallemand, Cédric Arvieux, Guillaume Coiffier, Jean-Louis Polard, Jean-David Albert, et al.. Use of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry after liquid enrichment (BD BactecTM) for rapid diagnosis of bone and joint infections. Research in Microbiology, 2017, 168 (2), pp.122-129. 10.1016/j.resmic.2016.09.005. hal-01446879

HAL Id: hal-01446879 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01446879

Submitted on 10 Apr 2017 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Use of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry after liquid enrichment (BD Bactec[™]) for rapid diagnosis of bone and joint infections

Elise Lallemand, Cédric Arvieux, Guillaume Coiffier, Jean-Louis Polard, Jean-David Albert, Pascal Guggenbuhl, Anne Jolivet-Gougeon

PII: S0923-2508(16)30115-2

DOI: 10.1016/j.resmic.2016.09.005

Reference: RESMIC 3540

To appear in: Research in Microbiology

Received Date: 6 February 2016

Revised Date: 19 August 2016

Accepted Date: 16 September 2016

Please cite this article as: E. Lallemand, C. Arvieux, G. Coiffier, J.-L. Polard, J.-D. Albert, P. Guggenbuhl, A. Jolivet-Gougeon, Use of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry after liquid enrichment (BD Bactec[™]) for rapid diagnosis of bone and joint infections, *Research in Microbiologoy* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2016.09.005.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



CEPTED MANUSCRIP1 1 **For publication** Use of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry after liquid enrichment (BD 2 BactecTM) for rapid diagnosis of bone and joint infections 3 4 Elise Lallemand^{a,b}, Cédric Arvieux^{c,d}, Guillaume Coiffier^{d,e,f}, Jean-Louis Polard^{d,g}, Jean-David 5 Albert^{d,e,f}, Pascal Guggenbuhl^{d,e,f,h}, Anne Jolivet-Gougeon^{a,b,d,h*} 6 7 8 ^a EA 1254 Microbiologie, Université de Rennes 1, 2, avenue du Professeur Léon Bernard, 35043 9 Rennes, France 10 ^bPole Biologie Rennes University Hospital, 35043, Rennes, France 11 ^cService des Maladies infectieuses, Rennes University Hospital, 2 rue Henri Le Guilloux, 35043, 12 Rennes, France ^d Centre de Référence en Infections Ostéo-Articulaires du Grand Ouest (CRIOGO) 13 14 ^e Service de Rhumatologie, Hôpital Sud, CHU F- 35000 Rennes, France ^fINSERM UMR U991 F-35000 Rennes, France 15 ⁸ Service de Chirurgie orthopédique, Rennes University Hospital, 2 rue Henri Le Guilloux, 35043, 16 17 Rennes, France 18 ^h Université de Rennes 1 F- 35000 Rennes, France 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 Corresponding author^{*} Anne Jolivet-Gougeon, Equipe de Microbiologie, EA 1254, Université de Rennes 1, 2, avenue du Professeur Léon Bernard, 35043 Rennes, France Phone: (33) 2 23 23 43 05 - Fax: (33) 2 23 23 49 13 E-mail: anne.gougeon@univ-rennes1.fr 31

32 Abstract

- 33 Advantages of MALDI-TOF MS (MS) were evaluated for diagnosis of bone and joint 34 infections after enrichment of synovial fluid (SF) or crushed osteoarticular samples (CSs). MS 35 was performed after enrichment of SF or crushed osteoarticular samples CS (n=108) in both 36 aerobic and anaerobic vials. Extraction was performed on 113 vials (SF: n=47; CS: n=66), 37 using the Sepsityper[®] kit prior identification by MS. The performances of MS, score and 38 reproducibility results on bacterial colonies from blood agar and on pellets after enrichment in 39 vials, were compared. MS analysis of the vial resulted in correct identification of bacteria at a 40 species and genus level (80.5% and 92% of cases, respectively). The reproducibility was 41 superior for aerobic Gram-positive bacteria (Staphylococci and Gram-positive bacilli: 100% 42 colonies), as compared to aerobic Gram-negative bacilli (89.7%), anaerobes (83.3%) and 43 Streptococcus/Enterococcus (58.8%). MS performance was significantly better for 44 staphylococci than for streptococci on all identification parameters. For polymicrobial 45 cultures, identification (score>1.5) of two species by MS was acceptable in 92.8% of cases. 46 Use of MS on enrichment pellets of bone samples is an accurate, rapid and robust method for 47 bacterial identification of clinical isolates from osteoarticular infections, except for 48 streptococci, whose identification to species level remains difficult. 49 50
- 51
- 52
- 53 Keywords: MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry; Osteoarticular infection; Sepsityper® kit; Time 54 of detection; Beadmill processing; Polymicrobial samples.
- 55

1. Introduction

57

56

Direct examination is an unreliable method for the diagnosis of bone infections [1], with 58 a sensitivity threshold assessed at an inoculum of approximately 10⁴ UFC/mL. Achieving an 59 enrichment step in a liquid medium with prolonged incubation of at least 14 days is essential 60 [2] for correct diagnosis. This time is required to observe the growth of "small colony 61 variants" or fastidious bacteria and to dilute any antibiotic potentially present in the synovial 62 fluid (SF) or crushed bone samples (CSs). A biopsy beadmill processing step [3, 4] or a step 63 of sonication [5] on prosthetic samples provides improvement of culture performances. This 64 is particularly true in the case of bacterial biofilms [6], chronic or complicated infections 65 associated with prosthetic material. Infections on osteosynthesis material may be 66 polymicrobial (10 to 15%) [7], and diagnosis of these infections remains difficult and often 67 fails to identify all these bacterial species.

68 Universal gene amplification techniques (eg. 16SrDNA, sodA) are a diagnostic option, particularly in case of prior antibiotic treatment, but the time consumed (due to the necessary 69 70 secondary sequencing of the amplified product), the cost of this test and its low sensitivity are major disadvantages to its use [4,8]. Specific polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) (Borrelia, K. 71 72 kingae, Tropheryma whipplei, etc.) are more sensitive and specific tests, but the procedure requires targeting a single gene with a known sequence. This is a limit to its use in the 73 74 context of bone and joint infections, where the pathogen is often unknown; accurate diagnosis 75 may require laboratories to perform several specific PCRs.

76

Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry, or MALDI-TOF MS (MS), is frequently used for identification of a single colony (isolated on agar media) from clinical and environmental samples [9-11]. The MS system provides rapid and high-confidence identification of bacteria, yeasts and fungi, based on proteomic fingerprinting using high-throughput MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Its use has recently

been extended to clinical diagnosis, either directly from positive blood culture vials [12] or from samples such as urine [13]. Research suggests that this technique is relevant for microorganism identification, with functionality comparable to routine methods used in the clinical microbiology laboratory [14]. In the case of blood culture vials, bacterial identification by MS directly on the vial pellets optimizes the rendering time result with a time-saving of 1 to 24 h over conventional methods depending on the extraction technique [15, 16]. Results quickly available contribute to reducing morbidity [17] and mortality in addition to lower cost of treatment and length of hospital stay.

89 This study evaluated the usefulness of MS for rapid diagnosis of bone and joint 90 infections. Synovial fluid (SF) or crushed osteoarticular samples (CSs) were enriched in aerobic and anaerobic blood vials before harvesting bacteria (from positive vial cultures), 91 which were then rapidly identified by MALDI-TOF. To assess the performance of MS, score 92 93 and reproducibility results on bacterial colonies, directly seeded on blood agar from the sample and on pellets after enrichment in blood vials, were compared. Additionally, we 94 95 defined the detection rate of culture for SF and CS by bacterial species after enrichment in aerobic and anaerobic blood vials. 96

97

81

98 2. Material and methods

99 2.1. Samples - Scheme of the study

This was a prospective single-center study conducted at the University Hospital of
 Rennes (Reference Centre for Complex Osteoarticular Infections for the West of France) from
 January to October 2013. Osteoarticular samples (OASs) were collected and analyzed at the
 Laboratory of Bacteriology within 2 h of receipt after possible storage at room temperature.
 Synovial fluids (SFs) were collected in a sterile tube (Falcon ®) and bone samples in a sterile

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
105	iar (30 mL HDPE Nalgen®) The articular and hone samples were included prospectively
106	Jur (50 mil, 1151 L Traigen@). The articular and some samples were meraded prospectively,
107	except for laboratory closing hours (21:00-7:30).
108	
100	2.2. Bacteriological studies
109	SF and CSs were treated according to microbiological routine techniques. Bone samples
110	were crushed using a bead mill (Retsch® MM400 crusher: frequency 30.0 / s, for two min
111	and 30 s). Tubes containing 10 sterile stainless steel beads (4 mm diameter) (AISI 304 Grade
112	1000; AFBMA; Hammer & Lemarié, France) in 10 mL of molecular biology grade distilled
113	water were prepared, sterilized, tested and stored at room temperature for a maximum of 3
114	months in the laboratory. Following all safety protocols contents of one tube was poured into
115	another and the function of the CAS and grinded [4]
116	each sterne container (HDFE) containing the OAS and grinded [4].
117	To ensure proper identification of cultures on solid media by MS, $50 \ \mu L$ of SF or CS
118	were plated on Columbia agar supplemented with horse blood (5%) (Oxoid®), chocolate agar
110	(Oxoid®) in atmosphere enriched with 5% CO ₂ for 72 h and Columbia agar supplemented
119	with horse blood (5%) in an anaerobic atmosphere for 5 days at 37°C.[18,19]
120	Each sample (n=108) was enriched by inoculating 1 mL (minimum volume obtained for
121	some joints) in an aerobic blood culture vial (BD BACTEC™ Plus Aerobic/F) and in an
122	anaerobic blood culture vial (BD BACTEC TM Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F), incubated in automatic
123	chambers for 14 days. Aerobic blood culture vial (BD BACTEC [™] Plus Aerobic/F) and
124	anaerobic blood culture vial (BD BACTEC TM Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F) were used because they
125	proved to be the most efficient pair of blood aerobic/anaerobic culture media [20]
10.0	proved to be the most efficient pair of blood aerobic/anaerobic culture media [20].
126	After extraction performed according to manufacturer's recommendations (Sepsityper®
127	kit; Bruker), identification of bacterial species was performed using the MS technique
128	(Microflex LT/SH mass spectrometer Biotyper, Bruker®) either on a single colony from agar
129	media (routine use) [21] or on extracted enriched vial pellets (Sepsityper® kit), placed onto

130 the polished steel target plate for rapid identification by MALDI-TOF. Once a positive vial 131 was automatically detected, 1 ml of broth was extracted without delay (< 2 h, to preserve 132 spectra) with formic acid overlay [18] and analyzed via the same method as for colonies. interpretation of results were based on the manufacturer's recommendations 133 Criteria for 134 (Bruker®). Identification was established through biostatistics reliability levels on the basis of a correlation between the acquired spectrum and the reference spectra. The spectrum of the 135 136 unknown test organism, acquired through MALDI Biotyper CA System Software®, was 137 electronically transformed into the peak list. Using a biostatistical algorithm, this peak list was 138 compared to reference peak lists of organisms in the reference database, and a log(score) 139 value between 0.00 and 3.00 was calculated. The higher the log(score) value, the more 140 reliable the degree of similarity (to a given organism in the reference FDA-cleared database). A log(score) value of \geq 2.00 indicated an excellent probability for test organism identification 141 142 at the species level. The interpretation considered two independent parameters: the value of the homology score and the reproducibility of identification obtained (on 10 measurements 143 144 carried out after laser impacts, the same bacterial species must be found at least three times 145 with the highest scores, particularly in cases with a score <1.7). Identification with a score ≥ 2 146 was considered reliable to the species; identification with a score ≥ 1.7 was considered reliable to the genus. An identification score of 1.5 was also examined in light of several 147 148 prior studies suggesting that it adequately identified the bacterial genus [22-24]. Identification 149 was considered unacceptable when the score (< 1.7) and reproducibility were insufficient, and 150 incorrect when the score or reproducibility was acceptable, with poor identification to the 151 species level. If necessary, 16SrDNA PCR was performed to confirm bacterially uncertain 152 identifications, as previously described [4]. To assess the performance of MS in diagnosing 153 bone and joint infections, we compared score and reproducibility results on bacterial colonies from blood agar and on pellets after enrichment in blood vials. 154

156 2.3. Statistical analysis

157 Means were compared using the Student test and percentages using the chi-square test 158 (or Fisher's exact test when sample size was less than 5). P values less than 0.05 were 159 considered significant.

160

161 **3. Results**

162 3.1. Scheme of the study and description of samples

A total of 108 osteoarticular samples (OASs) were collected and 216 enrichment vials (BD BACTECTM) were inoculated; 117 were detected positive in automatic chambers (Bactec® 9240, Becton Dickinson) and 113 were analyzed within 2 h following a positive detection rate of culture (for extraction consistent with the Sepsityper® kit manufacturer's recommendations) (Fig. 1). During the incubation period of 336 h, all positive vials were detected before 227 h.

After extraction (1 mL sample with the Sepsityper kit), MS identifications were performed on final extracted pellets. Among the aerobic-positive vials (n=58), 50 (86.2%) were considered to be monomicrobial samples, 7 (12%) polymicrobial and 1 (1.8%) negative. In anaerobic vials (n =55), 45 (81.8%) were monomicrobial, 5 (9.1%) were polymicrobial and 3 (5.5%) were negative. The list of bacterial isolates obtained from enriched media (aerobic and anaerobic vials, incubated in automatic chambers for 14 days) and from agar media is shown in Table 1.

176

178

177 *3.2. Results of bacterial identification by MS on blood agar (Table 2)*

According to defined criteria, results of the identification by MS from colonies 179 picked on blood agar (n = 104) (colonies on agar plates obtained from direct spreading of

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT 180 samples or transplanting from enrichment vials) were consistent with species identification in 181 79.8% of cases, with the genus in 90.4% of cases, and unacceptable identification in 1.9% of 182 cases (score and insufficient reproducibility), or incorrect identification in 4.8% (score or 183 acceptable reproducibility, but poor identification at the species level). 184 Aero-anaerobic bacteria species analyzed on blood agar showed highly acceptable 185 identification rates (score>1.7) (100%), with the exception of anaerobes (83.3%) and 186 Streptococcus (70.6%). No relevant misidentifications at the genus level were reported at the 187 log(score) cut-off of 1.6. For Streptococcus, five incorrect identifications were detected. 188 Reproducibility was superior for aerobic Gram-positive bacteria (Staphylococci and Gram 189 compared to aerobic Gram-negative bacilli (89.7%), positive bacilli: 100% colonies) 190 anaerobes (83.3%) and *Streptococcus/Enterococcus* (58.8%). 191 MS performance was better for staphylococci than for streptococci for all parameters: a 192 high degree of identification (38.5% vs.17.6%, p=0.03), species identification (89.7% vs. 58.8%, p=0.001), genus identification (100% vs.70.6%, p<0.001), incorrect identification 193 194 (0% vs.29.4%, p=0.03) and acceptable reproducibility (100% vs.58.8%, p<0.001). 195 196 3.3. Comparison of MS score results from pellets after enrichment in blood vials from blood 197 agar (Table 2) 198

MS analysis on vial pellets resulted in correct identification of bacterial species at a species and genus level (80.5% and 92% of cases, respectively). There was no significant difference between MS identification on vials containing Gram-negative bacilli and staphylococci regarding the high degree of identification, identification to genus and species, unacceptable identifications, incorrect identifications, absence of identification and reproducibility. Incorrect identifications from vial pellets, as compared to the expected

205 identification (MS from colonies and / or PCR 16SrDNA), were observed in streptococci and species 206 related (*S*. *minor/sinensis;* S.oralis/pneumoniae; S.parasanguis/Gemellans 207 haemolysans) and Arthrobacter cumminsii/lipophilic Corynebacterium F1 group). The absence of a peak was observed in four cases: S. oralis (no growth on solid media), 208 209 S. sanguinis, S. minor and S. haemolyticus (<10 colonies on agar corresponding media) and 210 identification was un-interpretable in two cases (S. parasanguis/Gemella haemolysans and 211 Arthrobacter cumminsii/lipophilic Corynebacterium gp F1).

- 212
- 213 *3.4. Polymicrobial samples (Table 3)*

In polymicrobial cultures, identification of the two species by MS was acceptable in 92.8% of cases [26/28 identifications (92.9%) with a score >1.5; 2/28 identifications (7.1%) with 1.5<score<1.7)]. Correct identification was obtained in all cases (14/14) of a single bacterial species and in 12/14 (85.7%) for 2 bacterial species; no peak could be detected for 2/14 (14.3%) vials (second identification) (Table 3).

219

220 **4. Discussion**

221 MALDI-TOF MS technology showed superiority in identification of most clinical 222 isolates at the genus and species level [9, 11, 25] compared to conventional phenotypic 223 bacterial identification systems. Moussaoui et al. [23] tested a new protocol for bacterial identification from blood culture broths in hospital routine 224 using collection tubes with separator gels. In 503 samples tested over three months, they found that a score> 1.4 was 225 relevant if the score (at the species level) was reproducible at least four times, providing 226 227 successive proposals. Some differences in scores were observed in the literature between 228 results found on aerobic and anaerobic vials. Christner et al. [15] described a lower estimated mean identification score in the linear mixed-effect model analysis of study data for S. aureus 229

species from aerobic (1,786) compared to anaerobic vials (2,101). In contrast, no such difference was observed in our study (2.31 and 2.30, respectively). Focusing on differences in performance according to bacterial species, our results are consistent with those found in prior literature on blood vials extracted via different methods: Gram-negative bacilli and *S. aureus* were better identified than other Gram-positive bacteria [12, 22, 24, 26, 27].

To our knowledge, few studies have evaluated the performance of MS in identifying 235 236 bacteria directly on vial pellets after enrichment of bone samples. Using the Sepsityper kit on 237 blood pellets, the percentage of correct identification was 92% at the species level; this 238 number increased when decreasing to the threshold of 1.5, retained by some studies [24]. In 239 our work, P. acnes were all correctly identified (score> 2). This finding is in contrast to a 240 study conducted by Stevenson et al. [28] that reported 27.3% of unacceptable identifications 241 (score <1.7) for *P. acnes*, a result that was previously found by MS directly performed on 242 colonies [29]. However, their study carried out only a series of five 1-to-2 min 243 washing/centrifugation steps (without the lysis step of the Sepsityper kit) to remove red blood 244 cells and proteins from the blood culture broths. In our study, all unidentified bacteria and the 245 majority of incorrect identifications concerned the genus Streptococcus (13.6%), especially 246 the alpha-hemolytic group. This was already demonstrated in many prior studies on blood culture vials [22, 26, 27]. Using the Sepsityper kit, the percentage of high degree of 247 248 identification (score> 2.3) on enriched bone samples was higher in our study (54.9%) than 249 what was found in blood culture vials by Kok et al. [27], who reported 47.1% for Gram-250 negative bacteria, 9.8% for staphylococci and 22.6% for streptococci (in our study 68.2%, 251 60% and 34.6%, respectively). However, Kok et al. [27] detected more coagulase-negative staphylococci and non-fermenting Gram-negative bacilli, both of which are commonly less 252 253 well identified, potentially explaining the differences from our work. The percentage of high degree of identification (score> 2.3) was significantly higher on vial pellets than on blood 254

agar in our study. This may be related to the fact that, for identification from vial pellets,
Sepsityper extraction was followed by extraction with ethanol/formic acid, increasing
efficiency.

258

Using the Bactec FX automated blood culture system, Kok et al. [27] reported 6.1% 259 polymicrobial blood vials, with unidentified (32.3%) or misidentified vials (3.2%) at the 260 species level. In case of multiple identifications, it was possible to take into account the 261 presence of any species with a score and/or acceptable reproducibility. Conversely, the 262 presence of a single bacterial species by MS, after extraction, did not exclude the presence of 263 other species in the sample. A study by Martinez et al. [30] found that none of the tested 264 methods were capable of consistently identifying polymicrobial cultures in their entirety. In 265 most studies, only the predominant species was identified from cultures of polymicrobial 266 clinical specimens, which might be explained by bacterial growth competition, with the 267 elimination of one (or more) species in the liquid medium. Chen et al. [31] demonstrated that, 268 for 21 blood cultures composed of two bacterial species, the Bruker Biotyper® was the only 269 system that generated polymicrobial identification: in five out of the 21 mixed-culture 270 specimens (23.8%), the two species present were identified (with >1.6 confidence scores); in 271 the remaining 16 mixed-culture specimens (76.2%), MS identified only the major species of 272 the mixed cultures. A better result was obtained in our study, with an acceptable score of 273 reproducibility, identifying two species in bone samples in 92.8% of cases.

The bacterial inoculum of bone sample introduced into blood vials is another important element to take into account, based on the fact that the threshold proposed by the manufacturer underestimates the proportion of correct identifications, resulting in a lower score (that is an artifact of the sample quality: low inoculum and the presence of background noise), rather than a low degree of correlation between the mass spectrum of the sample and the best profile in the database [15]. Direct detection of bacteria in urine by MS was only

possible with an inoculum of at least 10^3 UFC/mL [32]. Works carried out on blood culture vials showed acceptable identification from 10^6 CFU/mL. For comparison, the average inoculum was 5×10^8 CFU/mL for detection of bacterial growth by the blood culture system [15]. Several studies also reported detection of lower inocula with Gram-positive bacteria by an automatic chamber, but when the inoculum was < 10^6 CFU/mL, the analyzed spectra were close to those obtained from sterile vials [15].

In previous works using the Sepsityper kit on blood pellets, identifications at the 286 287 species level were obtained in less than 2 h [27]. Buchan et al. [33] reported that median times to identification using the MALDI Biotyper/Sepsityper were 23 to 83 h faster than routine 288 289 methods for Gram-positive isolates, and 34 to 51 h faster for Gram-negative isolates in blood samples. This extraction technique has been standardized and validated in the literature, 290 further reducing completion time [27, 34, 35]. Many other simplified efficient extraction 291 292 methods have also already been tested on blood culture vials. Several techniques reduced the extraction time by half, for example those methods using saponin,[16] ammonium chloride 293 [26], trifluoroacetic acid or formic acid [22], or even methods composed only of a series of 294 centrifugations.[34] It is also possible to reduce the final cost of testing [36]. However, 295 296 homemade techniques easily fail to completely respond to standardized criteria required in medical biology, and results are difficult to compare between different studies. 297

298 299

In conclusion, the use of MALDI-TOF MS on bone and synovial samples in culture vials can be performed for diagnosis and management of oste-oarticular infections. This technique reduces the time to report results to the clinician, with a reduced cost [31]. It may also allow identification of a second bacterial species in case of polymicrobial samples, but identification of streptococci to the species level remains difficult. Further improvements in

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
304	the technique are possible, including optimization of extraction methods for CS and SF before
305	switching on the MS, and continued enrichment of the MS database.
306	
307	Acknowledgments
308	We thank Philippe Gautier for technical assistance for PCR 16SrDNA and Adina Pascu
309	for his help in formatting of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Centre de
310	Reference des Infections Ostéoarticulaires complexes du Grand Ouest (CRIOGO, France).
311	Authors' contributions
312	EL and AJG designed the research, designed experiments, assessed and interpreted the
313	results and prepared the manuscript. CA, GC, JLP, JDA and PG took clinical samples and
314	carried out data analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
315	Competing interests
316	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
317	
318	References
319	
320 321 322 323	[1] Societe de Pathologie Infectieuse de Langue F, College des Universitaires de Maladies Infectieuses et T, Groupe de Pathologie Infectieuse P, Societe Francaise d'Anesthesie et de R, Societe Francaise de Chirurgie Orthopedique et T, Societe Francaise d'Hygiene H, et al. [Recommendations for clinical practice. Osteo-articular infection therapy according to

materials used (prosthesis, implants, osteosynthesis)]. Med Mal Infect 2009;39:745-74.

- Schafer P, Fink B, Sandow D, Margull A, Berger I, Frommelt L. Prolonged bacterial
 culture to identify late periprosthetic joint infection: a promising strategy. Clin Infect Dis
 2008;47:1403-9.
- Roux AL, Sivadon-Tardy V, Bauer T, Lortat-Jacob A, Herrmann JL, Gaillard JL, et al.
 Diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection by beadmill processing of a periprosthetic specimen.
 Clin Microbiol Infect 2011;17:447-50.
- 331 [4] Bemer P, Plouzeau C, Tande D, Leger J, Giraudeau B, Valentin AS, et al. Evaluation
- 332 of 16S rRNA gene PCR sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis of prosthetic joint infection: a
- 333 prospective multicenter cross-sectional study. J Clin Microbiol 2014;52:3583-9.

- Trampuz A, Piper KE, Jacobson MJ, Hanssen AD, Unni KK, Osmon DR, et al.
 Sonication of removed hip and knee prostheses for diagnosis of infection. N Engl J Med
 2007;357:654-63.
- Gomez E, Cazanave C, Cunningham SA, Greenwood-Quaintance KE, Steckelberg
 JM, Uhl JR, et al. Prosthetic joint infection diagnosis using broad-range PCR of biofilms
 dislodged from knee and hip arthroplasty surfaces using sonication. J Clin Microbiol
 2012;50:3501-8.
- 341 [7] Microbiologie SFd. REMIC: référentiel en microbiologie médicale (bactériologie et mycologie). SFM, Paris 2010;4ème édition.
- Rogers GB, Carroll MP, Bruce KD. Studying bacterial infections through culture independent approaches. J Med Microbiol 2009;58:1401-18.
- Bizzini A, Jaton K, Romo D, Bille J, Prod'hom G, Greub G. Matrix-assisted laser
 desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry as an alternative to 16S rRNA gene
 sequencing for identification of difficult-to-identify bacterial strains. J Clin Microbiol
 2011;49:693-6.
- [10] Croxatto A, Prod'hom G, Greub G. Applications of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry
 in clinical diagnostic microbiology. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2012;36:380-407.
- 351 [11] Eigner U, Holfelder M, Oberdorfer K, Betz-Wild U, Bertsch D, Fahr AM.
- Performance of a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry
 system for the identification of bacterial isolates in the clinical routine laboratory. Clin Lab
 2009;55:289-96.
- Ferreira L, Sanchez-Juanes F, Porras-Guerra I, Garcia-Garcia MI, Garcia-Sanchez JE,
 Gonzalez-Buitrago JM, et al. Microorganisms direct identification from blood culture by
 matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Clin Microbiol
- 358 Infect 2011;17:546-51.
- [13] Ferreira L, Sanchez-Juanes F, Gonzalez-Avila M, Cembrero-Fucinos D, HerreroHernandez A, Gonzalez-Buitrago JM, et al. Direct identification of urinary tract pathogens
 from urine samples by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass
 spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol 2010;48:2110-5.
- 363 [14] Garcia P, Allende F, Legarraga P, Huilcaman M, Solari S. [Bacterial identification
 364 based on protein mass spectrometry: A new insight at the microbiology of the 21st century].
 365 Rev Chilena Infectol 2012;29:263-72.
- [15] Christner M, Rohde H, Wolters M, Sobottka I, Wegscheider K, Aepfelbacher M.
 Rapid identification of bacteria from positive blood culture bottles by use of matrix-assisted
 laser desorption-ionization time of flight mass spectrometry fingerprinting. J Clin Microbiol
- 369 2010;48:1584-91.
 - Meex C, Neuville F, Descy J, Huynen P, Hayette MP, De Mol P, et al. Direct
 identification of bacteria from BacT/ALERT anaerobic positive blood cultures by MALDI TOF MS: MALDI Sepsityper kit versus an in-house saponin method for bacterial extraction. J
 - 373 Med Microbiol 2012;61:1511-6.
 - 374 [17] Galar A, Leiva J, Espinosa M, Guillen-Grima F, Hernaez S, Yuste JR. Clinical and 375 economic evaluation of the impact of rapid microbiological diagnostic testing. J Infect 376 2012;65:302-9.
 - 377 [18] McElvania Tekippe E, Shuey S, Winkler DW, Butler MA, Burnham CA. Optimizing
 - 378 identification of clinically relevant Gram-positive organisms by use of the Bruker Biotyper
 - matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry system. J Clin
 Microbiol 2013;51:1421-7.
 - 381 [19] Bémer P, Léger J, Tandé D, Plouzeau C, Valentin AS, Jolivet-Gougeon A, et al. Centre
 - de Référence des Infections Ostéo-articulaires du Grand Ouest (CRIOGO) Study Team. How
 - 383 Many Samples and How Many Culture Media To Diagnose a Prosthetic Joint Infection: a

- Clinical and Microbiological Prospective Multicenter Study. J Clin Microbiol. 2016 54:38591.
- Rohner P, Pepey B, Auckenthaler R. Advantage of combining resin with lytic
 BACTEC blood culture media. J Clin Microbiol 1997;35:2634-8.
- Schulthess B, Brodner K, Bloemberg GV, Zbinden R, Bottger EC, Hombach M.
 Identification of Gram-positive cocci by use of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight mass spectrometry: comparison of different preparation methods and
 implementation of a practical algorithm for routine diagnostics. J Clin Microbiol
 2013;51:1834-40.
- La Scola B, Raoult D. Direct identification of bacteria in positive blood culture bottles
 by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry. PLoS One
 2009;4:e8041.
- Moussaoui W, Jaulhac B, Hoffmann AM, Ludes B, Kostrzewa M, Riegel P, et al.
 Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry identifies 90% of
 bacteria directly from blood culture vials. Clin Microbiol Infect 2010;16:1631-8.
- Nonnemann B, Tvede M, Bjarnsholt T. Identification of pathogenic microorganisms
 directly from positive blood vials by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight
 mass spectrometry. APMIS 2013;121:871-7.
- 402 [25] Biswas S, Rolain JM. Use of MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry for identification of 403 bacteria that are difficult to culture. J Microbiol Methods 2013;92:14-24.
- 404 [26] Prod'hom G, Bizzini A, Durussel C, Bille J, Greub G. Matrix-assisted laser desorption
 405 ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for direct bacterial identification from positive
 406 blood culture pellets. J Clin Microbiol 2010;48:1481-3.
- 407 [27] Kok J, Thomas LC, Olma T, Chen SC, Iredell JR. Identification of bacteria in blood
 408 culture broths using matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization Sepsityper and time of flight
 409 mass spectrometry. PLoS One 2011;6:e23285.
- 410 [28] Stevenson LG, Drake SK, Murray PR. Rapid identification of bacteria in positive
 411 blood culture broths by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass
 412 spectrometry. J Clin Microbiol 2010;48:444-7.
- 413 [29] Seng P, Drancourt M, Gouriet F, La Scola B, Fournier PE, Rolain JM, et al. Ongoing
 414 revolution in bacteriology: routine identification of bacteria by matrix-assisted laser
 415 desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:543-51.
- 416 [30] Martinez RM, Bauerle ER, Fang FC, Butler-Wu SM. Evaluation of three rapid
 417 diagnostic methods for direct identification of microorganisms in positive blood cultures. J
 418 Clin Microbiol 2014;52:2521-9.
- 419 [31] Chen JH, Ho PL, Kwan GS, She KK, Siu GK, Cheng VC, et al. Direct bacterial
 420 identification in positive blood cultures by use of two commercial matrix-assisted laser
 421 desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry systems. J Clin Microbiol
 422 2013;51:1733-9.
- 423 [32] Kohling HL, Bittner A, Muller KD, Buer J, Becker M, Rubben H, et al. Direct 424 identification of bacteria in urine samples by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-
- 425 of-flight mass spectrometry and relevance of defensins as interfering factors. J Med Microbiol
- 426 2012;61:339-44.
 - 427 [33] Buchan BW, Riebe KM, Ledeboer NA. Comparison of the MALDI Biotyper system
 428 using Sepsityper specimen processing to routine microbiological methods for identification of
 429 bacteria from positive blood culture bottles. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50:346-52.
 - 430 [34] Juiz PM, Almela M, Melcion C, Campo I, Esteban C, Pitart C, et al. A comparative
 - 431 study of two different methods of sample preparation for positive blood cultures for the rapid
 - 432 identification of bacteria using MALDI-TOF MS. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis
 - 433 2012;31:1353-8.

- 434 [35] Morgenthaler NG, Kostrzewa M. Rapid identification of pathogens in positive blood
 435 culture of patients with sepsis: review and meta-analysis of the performance of the sepsityper
 436 kit. Int J Microbiol 2015;2015:827416.
- 437 [36] Martiny D, Dediste A, Vandenberg O. Comparison of an in-house method and the
- 438 commercial Sepsityper kit for bacterial identification directly from positive blood culture
- 439 broths by matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Eur J
- 440 Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2012;31:2269-81.
- 441

444 Fig. 1. Scheme of the study for 216 vials and results of MALDI-TOF MS identification after
445 extraction on 113 positive vials. *Vials were extracted with the Sepsityper kit before MS
446 identification.







- 461 **Table 1.** List of bacterial isolates obtained from (1) enriched media (aerobic vial (BD
- 462 BACTEC Plus Aerobic/F and anaerobic vial (BD BACTEC Lytic/10 Anaerobic/F),
- 463 incubated in automatic chambers for 14 days (Bactec 9240, Becton Dickinson) and (2) agar
- 464 media (blood agar).

Bacterial species		s	16SrDNA PCR identification	
	Enrichm	ent broth	Standard cultures (blood agar)	
	Aerobic incubation	Anaerobic incubation		
	(n=58)	(n=55)	(n=42)	
Arthrobacter cumminsii	1	1	0	+
Clostridium subterminale	0	2	2	
Enterobacter cloacae	3	3	5	
Enterococcus faecalis	4	4	3	
Escherichia coli	5	5	4	
Kingella kingae	1	0	0	+
Klebsiella pneumoniae	1 ,	0	0	
Listeria monocytogenes	1	1	0	
Propionibacterium sp.	0	4	4	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	3	1	3	
Staphylococcus aureus	20	19	20	
<i>Staphylococcus</i> (negative coagulase)	5	4		
Staphylococcus epidermidis	5	3	0	
Streptococcus agalactiae	2	2	0	
Streptococcus pneumoniae	1	1	1	+
Streptococcus	6	5	5	+

465 Species identified by 16SrDNA PCR are indicated (+).

466

467 **Table 2.** Results of identification scores obtained with the MALDI-TOF MS technique on 468 each bacterial group, i.e. from bacterial colonies (on agar plates obtained from direct 469 spreading of samples or transplanting from enrichment vials) and from pellets after 470 enrichment in blood vials (aerobic and anaerobic). *Vials were extracted with the 471 Sepsityper® Kit before MS identification.

473 Table 3. Results of score and reproducibility of extracted bone and articular samples with
474 multiple identifications with MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (MS) technique. * Vials were
475 extracted with the Sepsityper kit before MS identification.

Table 2.

Results of MALDI(TOF MS	Blood vials (both) (n=113)	Blood agar (n=104)	Staphylococcus (n=39)	Streptococcus Enterococcus (n=17)	Gram negative bacilli (n=29)	Gram positive bacilli (n=4)	Anaerobes (n=12)
identification				No of isolates (%)			
High degree of identification to species $Score > 2.3$	62 (54.9)	42 (40.4)	15 (38.5)	3 (17.6)	20 (69)	1 (25)	3 (25)
Identification to species Score > 2	91 (80.5)	83 (79.8)	35 (89.7)	10 (58.8)	29 (100)	2 (50)	7 (58.3)
Identification to genus Score > 1.7	104 (92)	94 (90.4)	39 (100)	12 (70.6)	29 (100)	4 (100)	10 (83.3)
Identification to genus with modified threshold <i>Score</i> > 1.5	107 (94.7)	94 (90.4)	39 (100)	12 (70.6)	29 (100)	4 (100)	10 (83.3)
Unacceptable identification Score < 1.7	2 (1.8)	2 (1.9)	0	0	0	0	2 (16.7)
Incorrect identification	2 (1.8)	5 (4.8)	0	5 (29.4)	0	0	0
No identification	4 (3.5)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Acceptable reproducibility	99 (87.6)	89 (85.6)	39 (100)	10 (58.8)	26 (89.7)	4 (100)	10 (83.3)
	V						

Sample identification	Type of blood vial	Bacterial species identified from solid media	1st bacterial species identified from vials after extraction by Sepsis typer® kit	MALDI- TOF score*	Reproducibility MALDI-TOF*	2nd bacterial species identified from vials after extraction by Sepsis typer ® kit (if polymicrobial)	MALDI- TOF score*	Reproducibility MALDI-TOF*	Total number of different bacterial species identified in the sample	Sample
						(ii polyimerobiai)				
1	Aerobic	Staphylococcus aureus + Enterobacter cloacae	Staphylococcus aureus	2,036	1	Enterobacter cloacae	2,04	8	2	SF**
1	Anaerobic	Staphylococcus aureus + Enterobacter cloacae	Enterobacter cloacae	2,241	9	Staphylococcus aureus	1,84	1	2	SF
2	Aerobic	Arthrobacter cumminsii + Weeksella virosa + Oligella urethralis	Weeksella virosa	2,041	2	Arthrobacter cumminsii	1,701	5	3	CS***
3	Anaerobic	Peptoniphilus harei + Propionibacterium avidum	Propionibacterium avidum	2,127	4	Peptoniphilus harei	1,849	0	0	CS
4	Aerobic	Staphylococcus aureus + Escherichia coli	Staphylococcus aureus	2,235	9	Escherichia coli	1,96	2	2	CS
4	Anaerobic	Staphylococcus aureus + Escherichia coli	Staphylococcus aureus	2,312	10	none			2	CS
5	Aerobic	Enterococcus faecalis + Staphylococcus aureus	Enterococcus faecalis	2,147	5	Staphylococcus aureus	1,92	5	2	CS
5	Anaerobic	Enterococcus faecalis + Staphylococcus aureus + Streptococcus oralis	Staphylococcus aureus	2,099	6	Enterococcus faecalis	1,964	4	3	CS
6	Aerobic	Enterococcus faecalis + Staphylococcus aureus + S oralis	Enterococcus faecalis	2,224	6	Staphylococcus aureus	1,886	3	3	CS
6	Anaerobic	Enterococcus faecalis + Staphylococcus aureus + S oralis	Enterococcus faecalis	2,41	8	none				CS
7	Aerobic	Klebsiella pneumoniae + Enterobacter arerogenes + Eikeinella corrodens	Klebsiella pneumoniae	2,422	8	Enterobacter aerogenes	1,878	2	3	CS

7	Anaerobic	Klebsiella pneumoniae + Enterobacter arerogenes + Streptococcus anginosus + Actinomyces radingue + Parvimonas micra	Klebsiella pneumoniae	2,188	8	Streptococcus anginosus	1,684	1	5	CS
8	Aerobic	Pseudomonas aeruginosa + Eikenella corrodens + Actinomyces odontolyticus + Aggregatibacter aphrophilus	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2,234	6	Streptococcus anginosus	1,597	2	4	CS
8	Anaerobic	Pseudomonas aeruginosa + Eikenella corrodens + Aggregatibacter aphrophilus + Staphylococcus epidermidis	Staphylococcus epidermidis	2,017	6	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	1,804	4	4	CS

*on pellets extracted from vial	
**synovial fluid	
*** crushed sample	