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Key points

- Expansion of circulating monocyctic myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) correlates with clinical outcomes in patients with DLBCL.
- Mechanisms of MDSC-dependent T-cell inhibition in DLBCL are related to IL-10, PD-L1 and S100A12.

Abstract

In diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), the number of circulating monocytes and neutrophils represents an independent prognostic factor. These cell subsets include monocyctic and granulocyctic myeloid derived suppressor cells (M- and G-MDSCs) defined by their ability to suppress T-cell responses. MDSCs are a heterogeneous population described in inflammatory and infectious diseases as well as in numerous tumors including multiple myeloma, chronic lymphocytic leukemia and DLBCL. However, their mechanisms of action remain unclear. We broadly assessed the presence and mechanisms of suppression of MDSC subsets in DLBCL. First, a myeloid suppressive signature was identified by gene expression profiling in DLBCL peripheral blood. Accordingly, we identified in a cohort of 66 DLBCL patients an increase in circulating G-MDSC (Lin^{neg}HLA-DR^{neg}CD33^{pos}CD11b^{pos}) and M-MDSC (CD14^{pos}HLA-DR^{low}) counts. Interestingly, only M-MDSC number was correlated with the International Prognostic Index (IPI), the event-free survival (EFS), and the number of circulating T_{reg}. Furthermore, T-cell proliferation was restored after monocyte depletion. Myeloid-dependent T-cell suppression was attributed to a release of IL-10 and S100A12 and an increased PD-L1 expression. In summary, we identified expanded MDSC subsets in DLBCL as well as new mechanisms of
Introduction

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common non-Hodgkin lymphoma, accounting for around 40% of new cases. Although DLBCL is recognized as a single entity by the World Health Organization, several subgroups with different outcomes have been described. Despite introduction of immunotherapy, treatment failure is observed in around 40% of DLBCL, emphasizing the need for prognostic biomarkers. The International Prognostic Index (IPI), with variants, still considered the standard prognostic factor for DLBCL, stratifies patients at diagnosis. However this score does not take into account biological differences among subgroups. Additional prognostic factors, evaluated at the time of diagnosis, include various cytokines (soluble PD-L1, CxCL10, IL-10), tumor characteristics (cell of origin, LMO2, MYC-, BCL2- and BCL6-rearrangements) and components from the tumor microenvironment (CD3\textsuperscript{pos}, CD4\textsuperscript{pos} and Foxp3 tumor infiltrating T cells, CD68\textsuperscript{pos} myeloid cells). Recently, an increase in circulating neutrophil or monocyte counts, combined with a decrease in lymphocyte count (so-called LMR: lymphocyte to monocyte ratio and NLR: neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio) have been proposed as independent prognostic markers in the Rituximab era.

Specific granulocyte and monocyte subsets have demonstrated their immunosuppressive functions in cancers as well as in inflammatory diseases and are recognized as myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSCs). These cells are immature, arise from the myeloid lineage, and are defined by their immunosuppressive function. Human MDSCs, a heterogeneous population with a lack of specific markers, belong to 2 major types: granulocytic (G-MDSC, Lin\textsuperscript{neg}HLA-
have been associated with MDSCs.\textsuperscript{19} Interestingly, these markers are likely regulated through various stimuli depending on the tumor type.\textsuperscript{20} MDSC induction and expansion are mediated by a combination of soluble factors (including VEGF, GM-CSF, M-CSF, S100A8/A9, IL-4, IL-6, IL-10) produced by tumor and/or surrounding cells such as stromal cells, T cells or macrophages.\textsuperscript{19} These factors essentially trigger activation of the STAT3, STAT6 and STAT1 family, leading to the expression of genes involved in the blockade of the myeloid differentiation or in immune regulation. These multiple suppressive mechanisms converge to impair effector T cell and NK cell functions and also contribute to macrophage polarization towards a M2 anti-inflammatory phenotype. In human, various mechanisms have been described including \textit{i}) regulatory T cell (T\textsubscript{reg}) expansion, \textit{ii}) depletion in amino acids essential for T-cell metabolism by expression of arginase 1 (ARG1) or indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), \textit{iii}) production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by expression of NADPH oxidase (NOX2), and \textit{iv}) IL-10, TGF\textbeta release and PD-L1 expression.\textsuperscript{20,21}

In most solid cancers studied (melanoma, renal, lung or prostate cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma), although both G- and M-MDSCs were increased, a predominance of G-MDSCs was mostly detected.\textsuperscript{22,23} However, by using a model which allowed separate study of G- and M-MDSCs in tumor-bearing mice, Haverkamp \textit{et al.} demonstrated that M-MDSC was the most suppressive subset and that loss of G-MDSCs did not alter tumor incidence.\textsuperscript{24} In lymphoid malignancies, an enrichment in circulating MDSCs has been described in myeloma,\textsuperscript{25} T-cell lymphoma,\textsuperscript{26} chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)\textsuperscript{27} and DLBCL.\textsuperscript{28,29} In DLBCL, G-MDSCs have never been studied, and only arginase 1 expression has been evaluated as a mechanism of M-MDSC-mediated immunosuppression.\textsuperscript{28,29} Here, we hypothesized that the increase in neutrophils and monocytes in peripheral blood of
DLBCL patients might be related to an increase of G- and M- MDSCs. We found, using gene expression profiling (GEP), a myeloid suppressive signature in DLBCL peripheral blood. Accordingly, we identified an expansion in circulating G-MDSC and M-MDSC counts. Interestingly, M-MDSCs were immunosuppressive and correlated
Methods

Samples
Two cohorts of patients were used in this study. For GEP, 76 DLBCL patients at diagnosis from the GOELAMS 075 clinical trial (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT00561379) and 87 matched healthy donors (HD) were enrolled. This cohort was already published by our group. Then, a prospective cohort was set with 66 DLBCL patients at diagnosis (BMS-LyTRANS study). Patients with previous corticosteroid treatment were excluded from this study. Forty-five age-matched HD were also enrolled. Clinical characteristics of DLBCL patients enrolled in this second cohort are listed in Table 1. The research protocol was conducted under French legal guidelines and fulfilled the requirements of the local institutional ethics committee.

Whole blood GEP
Whole blood was collected into PAXgene™ Blood RNA tubes (Becton Dickinson BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) ensuring blood stabilization and stored at -80°C before RNA extraction. High-quality total RNA was hybridized onto Affymetrix GeneChip® Human Exon 1.0 ST oligonucleotide arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Microarray Quality Control was assessed using Affymetrix Expression Control v1.1. Raw data were pre-filtered by selection of core-annotated probesets. Then, DABG (Detection Above BackGround) summary file was generated for the RMA normalized data using Affymetrix Power Tools. DABG calculates the p value that the intensities in a probeset could have been observed by chance in a background distribution. A probeset was defined as present if its DABG p value was less than 5% in at least 50% of the samples in at least one condition. A transcript was preserved if at least 50% of the core probesets of the
transcript were present. Pre-filtered data were RMA-normalized with adjustment for GC content and probesets were mean-summarized to transcripts (Partek® Genomics Suite™ version 6.5, Partek Inc., St Louis, MO, USA). Unsupervised analysis using hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) was performed on the normalized data. Differentially expressed genes were identified by the Mann-Whitney non-parametric test, with adjustment for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate set at 5%. Array data are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus, accession number GSE83632. Interpretation of the functional roles was established using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) (Ingenuity® Systems) based on the two genelists identified on the HCA as over- or under-expressed in DLBCL patients compared to HD. Canonical pathways analysis identified the pathways from the IPA knowledge database that were most significant to the data set (FDR p<5%). Gene Set Enrichment Assay (GSEA) was performed using the BROAD Institute GSEA software (http://www.broad.mit.edu/gsea/) on the DLBCL dataset using a panel of genes that we defined as related to myeloid suppressive cells based on literature knowledge (Supplemental Table 1).30

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using PAXgene blood RNA kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). cDNA was then generated using Multiscribe® Reverse Transcriptase and High-Capacity cDNA reverse Transcription kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). For quantitative RT-PCR, we used custom designed Taqman® array microfluidic cards and the Taqman Universal Master Mix from Applied Biosystems (Invitrogen). Gene expression was measured by the ABI Prism 7900HT Sequence Detection System. 18S, CDKN1B and ELF1 were determined as appropriate internal standard genes.
using TaqMan endogenous control assays and geNorm algorithm analysis. For each sample, the CT value for the gene of interest was determined, normalized to the geometric mean value of the 3 housekeeping genes, and compared to the median value obtained from healthy donors using the 2-ddCT method. Results were expressed as the ratio of DLBCL mean to HD mean for each gene. Experiments were also performed on sorted CD14posHLA-DRlow or CD14posHLA-DRhigh cells from three DLBCL peripheral blood. cDNA was prepared using Fluidigm Reverse Transcription Master Mix (Fluidigm, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The qPCR was performed in triplicate using 96.96 Dynamic Array™ IFCs and the BioMark™ HD System from Fluidigm. For each CD14posHLA-DRlow sample, the mean CT value for the gene of interest was calculated, normalized to the geometric mean value of the 2 housekeeping genes, and compared to the median value obtained from CD14posHLA-DRhigh samples using the 2-ddCT method. Results were expressed as the ratio of CD14posHLA-DRlow mean to CD14posHLA-DRhigh mean for each gene.

**Fluorescent flow cytometry analysis**

Blood samples were collected on heparin tubes. Plasma specimens were stored at −80°C until use. White blood cell (WBC) differential was performed using Cytodiff™ panel (CD36, CD2, CD294, CD19, CD16, CD45, Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) on 50µL of blood as previously described. Flow cytometry analysis of M-MDSCs, G-MDSCs and dendritic cells were performed on whole blood (300µL/tube) with the antibody panels described in Supplemental Table 2. Absolute counts were obtained by using Flow-Count beads (Beckman Coulter). An erythrocytes lysis (Uti-Lyse Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) was performed before analysis by flow cytometry (Navios, Beckman Coulter). G-MDSCs and M-MDSCs were identified as shown in
Supplemental Figure 2 using Kaluza software (Beckman Coulter). T_{reg} and tumor circulating B-cells were defined on blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) after density gradient centrifugation (lymphocyte separation medium, Eurobio, CourtaBoeuf, France). For T_{reg} determination, cells were fixed and permeabilized using FOXP3 staining kit (BD Biosciences). Results were expressed as absolute values (10^6 or 10^9 cells/L), percentages or mean florescence intensity (MFI).

**Cytokines and enzymes assessment**

Concentrations of IL-10 (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA), TGF-β1 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), S100A8/9, S100A12 and Arginase I (Hycult Biotech, Ude, The Netherlands) in the plasma or culture supernatants were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), according to manufacturer’s recommendations. Finally, arginase I and IDO activities were evaluated by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis as the ornithine to arginine and tryptophan to kynurenine ratio, respectively.

**T-cell proliferation assay**

Fresh PBMC from DLBCL or HD samples were separated into two fractions. The first fraction was positively depleted for residual erythrocytes using glycophorin A beads (Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) while the second fraction was depleted for erythrocytes and monocytes (CD14 microbeads, Miltenyi Biotech). The purity was higher than 97%. The two negative fractions (PBMC and PBMC-CD14^{pos}) were labeled with 0.2 μM carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE; Interchim, Montlucon, France). PBMC and PBMC-CD14^{pos} were plated in triplicate in 96-well round-bottom plates (Corning Costar, Corning, NY, USA) with RPMI 1640 medium.
enriched with 10% of human serum AB (Biowest, Courtaboeuf, France) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, Saint Aubin, France). Then, cells were stimulated with soluble anti-CD3 (0.6μ/mL, Sanquin, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) and anti-CD28 (0.6μ/mL, Sanquin) and cultured at 37°C in 5% CO2 and 100% humidity for 4 days. For some experiments, CD3\textsuperscript{pos} T cells were purified using magnetic beads (CD3 microbeads, Miltenyi Biotec), labeled with CFSE, and cocultured with autologous CD14\textsuperscript{pos}HLA-DR\textsuperscript{low} or CD14\textsuperscript{pos}HLA-DR\textsuperscript{high} sorted cells (FACS ARIA III; BD Biosciences) with a ratio of 2:1 in the presence of anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulation. CFSE dilution was assessed by flow cytometry and analyzed with ModFit LT software (Verity Software House, Topsham, ME, USA). Results were expressed by the proliferation index (PI).

**T-cell proliferation assay with inhibitors**

Cryopreserved PBMC from DLBCL patients depleted for residual erythrocytes were CFSE labeled and then plated in triplicate at 10^6 cells/mL in RPMI 1640 medium enriched with 10% of human serum AB, 1% penicillin-streptomycin in 96-well round-bottom plates and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes with or without different neutralizing antibodies: anti-IL-10 (10μg/mL, R&D systems); anti-S100A12 (10μg/mL, Acris, San Diego, CA, USA) and anti-PD1 (20μg/mL, clone 3.1 given by Professor Daniel Olive)\textsuperscript{33} or with chemical analogs: L-1MT (1mM, Sigma-Aldrich, Diegem, Belgium)\textsuperscript{34} and nor-NOHA (20mM, Enzo Life Sciences, Antwerpen, Belgium). At the concentration employed, nor-NOHA inhibited >80% of the arginase 1 activity on a positive control (data not shown). Thereafter, cells were stimulated with soluble anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 and 100% humidity for 4 days. CFSE dilution was assessed by flow cytometry and analyzed with ModFit LT...
software. Results were expressed as the percentage of proliferation of inhibitor-treated cells to control (anti-IgG1 isotype, 10μg/mL, R&D or medium plus vehicle). DLBCL patients were classified into groups: without (Gr.1) or with (Gr.2) suppressive monocytes. The classification was based on suppressive assay or a number of M-MDSCs at more than 3 fold the median count.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) using Spearman correlation, Wilcoxon or Mann-Whitney tests as appropriate.
Results

A myeloid regulatory GEP is detected in DLBCL peripheral blood

GEP performed on whole blood was evaluated using the Affymetrix Human Exon 1.0 ST Array on 76 DLBCL patients and 87 HD. Following pre-filtering, the 11,302 remaining probesets (PS) were classified by an unsupervised hierarchical clustering. Sixty-five out of 76 DLBCL were clustered together with a set of 6,792 upregulated PS and a set of 4,510 downregulated PS compared to HD (Figure 1A). To further identify the immune response pathways affected in DLBCL, these PS were filtered (p<0.05 and |FC|>1.5), highlighting 564 up- and 495 down-regulated PS in DLBCL compared to HD. These PS were further separately analyzed with IPA (Figure 1B). Within the 20 most represented pathways in DLBCL, we identified an innate immune response signature (TLR-, IL8-, IL6-, LPS-, TREM1-, NO/ROS-signaling), as well as an anti-inflammatory response (IL-10 signaling). On the other hand, a significant under-representation of pathways involved in T-cell activation (ICOS-ICOSL-, T cell receptor-, CD28-, CTLA4- signaling, regulation of IL-2 expression) was also revealed (Figure 1B). Then, by GSEA, we found in our DLBCL cohort a significant enrichment for a list of myeloid genes known to be upregulated in MDSCs (p=0.03) (Supplemental Table 1 and Figure 1C). Finally, using the same list of myeloid genes (Supplemental Table 1), we performed a hierarchical clustering analysis on the 76 DLBCL samples (Supplemental Figure 1). A group of patients (Group B) with low expressions of MDSC-related genes (including ARG1, S100A12, S100A8, MMP8, SLPI, MPO, CD274, TNFAIP6, CxCL5 and AIM2) showed a better EFS probability than the other group of patients (Group A) (p=0.013) (Figure 1D). Altogether these results were in favor of a myeloid regulatory signature in DLBCL with a clinical impact on patients' prognosis.
**MDSCs are expanded in peripheral blood of DLBCL patients**

We next focused our attention on the quantitative modifications of myeloid cells in the peripheral blood of DLBCL patients. A new prospective cohort was set up with 66 DLBCL patients at diagnosis (BMS-LyTRANS study) as well as 45 HD samples. We noticed in DLBCL a significant increase in the monocyte- (median: 0.51 vs 0.34x10^9/L, p=0.002), neutrophil- (median: 3.69 vs 2.14x10^9/L, p<0.0001), and immature granulocyte- counts (median: 0.09 vs 0.03x10^9/L, p=0.0003) obtained on a regular complete blood count, whereas the white blood cell (WBC) counts were not different (Figure 2A). Tumor circulating B-cells were defined as CD19posCD10posCD38low and Kappapos or Lambdapos and were detected in 9 out of 40 studied cases (22.5%) with a median count at 0.48x10^9/L representing 0.16% to 25.3% of the WBC (data not shown). No correlation was found between tumor circulating cells and monocytes or granulocytes (data not shown). We found in patients with high risk (HR, age adapted aaIPI ≥3) disease a decrease of the LMR and an increase of the NLR, when compared to low risk (LR) patients (p=0.044 and 0.033, respectively) (Figure 2B).

As described in solid tumors and multiple myeloma, both granulocyte and monocyte compartments contain MDSC subsets. In CLL and DLBCL only M-MDSCs were already detected in patients. Thus, we next evaluated the absolute count of circulating MDSCs and identified, in whole blood, G-MDSC (LinnegCD123lowHLA-DRnegCD33posCD11bpos) and M-MDSC (CD14posHLA-DRlow). MDSC counts were significantly increased in DLBCL compared to HD samples with a median count at 13 vs 6x10^6/L (p=0.001) for G-MDSCs and 7.42 vs 2x10^6/L (p=0.01) for M-MDSCs (Figure 2C). Interestingly, G-MDSCs and M-MDSCs correlate with
immature granulocyte and monocyte counts (p<0.0001 and p=0.03), respectively (Figure 2C). No correlation was found between G-MDSC and M-MDSC counts (data not shown). Finally, M-MDSCs were increased in HR- compared to LR- DLBCL (p=0.049), and were associated with a worse EFS (p=0.034, HR=0.19) (Figure 2D). G-MDSCs did not correlate with risk factors or EFS (data not shown). As the myeloid regulatory compartment in peripheral blood also includes dendritic cells, we assessed the 3 subsets of dendritic cells as follows: Lin<sup>neg</sup>HLA-DR<sup>pos</sup>CD123<sup>pos</sup>CD141<sup>neg</sup>CD1<sup>cneg</sup> pDC, Lin<sup>neg</sup>HLA-DR<sup>pos</sup>CD123<sup>neg</sup>CD141<sup>pos</sup>CD1<sup>cneg</sup> type 1 cDC, and Lin<sup>neg</sup>HLA-DR<sup>pos</sup>CD123<sup>neg</sup>CD141<sup>neg</sup>CD1<sup>cpos</sup> type 2 cDC. These cell types were significantly decreased in DLBCL when compared to HD, without correlation with any type of MDSCs (Supplemental Figure 3).

**M-MDSCs from DLBCL patients suppress T-cell response**

MDSC immunosuppressive activities lead to a T-cell proliferation blockade or apoptosis. Noteworthy T-cell count and the ratio of CD3ζ (CD247) to CD3γ mRNA were decreased in DLBCL (p=0.01 and p=0.0009, respectively) (Figure 3A). Given the fact that i) in DLBCL, only M-MDSCs were correlated with prognostic, and ii) this subset has been described being the dominant suppressive population of MDSCs, we evaluated the suppressive capability of the monocyte fraction. We noticed that CD8<sup>pos</sup> T lymphocytes issued from DLBCL patients proliferated less than those from HD in response to polyclonal activation (p=0.01) (Figure 3B). Interestingly, when CD14<sup>pos</sup> monocytes were depleted from PBMC, the proliferation index (PI) ratio (monocyte-depleted PBMC to PBMC) was increased for DLBCL patients whereas it was decreased for HD demonstrating a specific suppressive activity for monocytes in lymphoma patients (Figure 3B). To evidence the specific in vitro suppressive capacity
of CD14<sup>pos</sup>HLA-DR<sub>low</sub> cells, autologous CD3<sup>pos</sup> T cells were stimulated in their presence or with CD14<sup>pos</sup>HLA-DR<sup>high</sup> cells. CD4<sup>pos</sup> and CD8<sup>pos</sup> T cell proliferation index was lower in presence of CD14<sup>pos</sup>HLA-DR<sub>low</sub> cells compared to DR<sup>high</sup> monocytes (Figure 3C). Then, by QPCR analysis performed on 3 DLBCL patients, we found a panel of genes involved in MDSC functions to be slightly increased in CD14<sup>pos</sup>HLA-DR<sub>low</sub> compared to CD14<sup>pos</sup>HLA-DR<sup>high</sup> (Figure 3D).

In DLBCL, M-MDSC suppressive activity is independent from arginase I and IDO activities

In B-cell malignancies, arginase 1 and IDO activities were reported in DLBCL<sup>29</sup> and CLL,<sup>27</sup> respectively. MDSCs mediate T-cell suppression through various mechanisms.<sup>21</sup> Therefore, we compared in DLBCL versus HD peripheral blood, the gene expression of 5 key enzymes (<span class="ref" title="Critical Data Citation">Arg1, Ido1, Nos2, HO-1 and PTGS2</span>) involved in MDSC biology. Among those, only <span class="ref" title="Critical Data Citation">Arg1</span> was significantly increased in DLBCL (p=0.003). <span class="ref" title="Critical Data Citation">Ido1</span> although not significant, showed a trend of upregulation (1.8 fold) in DLBCL (Figure 4A). To evaluate arginase 1 and IDO activities, we measured the concentration of arginine and tryptophan as well as their degradation products, ornithine and kynurenine, respectively. Arginase 1 and IDO activities were increased in DLBCL (p=0.02 and p=0.04, respectively) (Figure 4B). Finally, we measured by ELISA the arginase 1 enzyme in plasma and found increased levels in DLBCL samples compared to HD (median at 157.4 vs 16.66 ng/mL, p&lt;0.0001) (Figure 4C). Then, based on the presence or absence of suppressive monocytes, 2 groups of DLBCL were constituted and we showed that in DLBCL the proliferation of CD4<sup>pos</sup> and CD8<sup>pos</sup> cells issued from PBMC was not increased in the presence of arginase or IDO inhibitors regardless of the presence of M-MDSCs (Figure 4D). Interestingly,
arginase 1 in plasma did not correlate with M-MDSCs, but instead was correlated with the number of immature granulocytes, which contains G-MDSCs\textsuperscript{36} (r=0.33, p=0.049) (Figure 4E).

**IL-10, PDL-1 and S100A12 are involved in M-MDSC suppressive activity**

Because of the lack of correlation between M-MDSCs and arginase 1 or IDO in DLBCL, we sought for additional mechanisms of immunosuppression already described for MDSCs, including T\textsubscript{reg} induction, IL-10 and TGF\textbeta{} release as well as PD-L1 expression.\textsuperscript{21} First, we found that T\textsubscript{reg} and M-MDSC counts were correlated in DLBCL patients (r=0.5, p=0.039) (Figure 5A). We then compared in DLBCL and HD peripheral blood, the gene expression of immunosuppressive molecules. IL\textsubscript{10}, S100A9 and S100A12 were significantly overexpressed in DLBCL unlike TGFB1 and S100A8. In addition, although not significant, CD274/PD-L1 was also increased in DLBCL by 1.85 fold (Figure 5B). Interestingly, although the expression of PD-L1 on M-MDSC was increased in DLBCL (p=0.015) (Figure 5C), CD274 expression did not correlate with the circulating malignant B-cell count (data not shown). Similarly, IL-10 was increased at the protein level in DLBCL peripheral blood (p<0.001), and a trend was also noticed for S100A12 (p=0.052) unlike TGF-\beta{}1 and S100A8/A9 (Figure 5D).

We thus evaluated the levels of IL-10 and S100A12 in culture supernatants from stimulated PBMC, depleted or not from CD14\textsuperscript{pos} cells. These molecules were decreased after CD14\textsuperscript{pos} depletion (p<0.05) (Figure 5E). Finally, on the 2 groups of DLBCL classified depending on M-MDSC content, we analyzed the percentage of proliferating T cells after CD3/CD28 stimulation in the presence of various blocking antibodies (IL-10, PD-1 and S100A12) (Figure 6). In the group of patients with
circulating MDSC, neutralizing IL-10, PDL-1 and S100A12 resulted in an increase of CD4 and/or CD8 T-cell proliferation.
Discussion
Circulating MDSCs have been described in various solid tumors with, in most cases, a predominance of G-MDSCs.\textsuperscript{22,23} Regarding lymphoid neoplasias, both G- and M-MDSCs are increased in Hodgkin lymphoma and in multiple myeloma.\textsuperscript{25,37,38} Few studies were conducted on B-cell lymphomas or CLL which focused exclusively on the M-MDSC subtype.\textsuperscript{27-29,39} Since the majority of blood biomarkers in DLBCL are linked to tumor microenvironment and in particular to myeloid cell biology,\textsuperscript{6,7,14-17} further characterization of the MDSC compartment is highly relevant in this disease. Our aim, in this study, was to characterize the various MDSC subsets in peripheral blood from DLBCL patients.

As previously described we found an increase in neutrophil and monocyte counts, whereas the number of lymphocytes was reduced. This was also reflected in the whole blood GEP from DLBCL by an overexpression of myeloid-related genes and a downregulation of lymphoid-related genes. Additionally, we found enrichment in pathways involved in the innate immunity (TLR-, IL8-, IL6-, LPS-, TREM1-, NO/ROS- signaling) or in the anti-inflammatory response (IL-10 signaling).

Since there is no specific lineage marker, MDSC definitions are divergent, as such we explored by flow cytometry various panels on the first 15 patients: G-MDSC1 ([Lin\textsuperscript{neg}HLA-DR\textsuperscript{neg}CD33\textsuperscript{pos}CD11b\textsuperscript{pos}]), G-MDSC2 ([Lin\textsuperscript{neg}HLA-DR\textsuperscript{neg}CD33\textsuperscript{pos}CD11b\textsuperscript{pos}CD34\textsuperscript{pos}]), G-MDSC3 ([Lin\textsuperscript{neg}CD123\textsuperscript{low}HLA-DR\textsuperscript{neg}CD33\textsuperscript{pos}CD11b\textsuperscript{pos}CD34\textsuperscript{pos}]), G-MDSC4 ([SSC\textsuperscript{high}CD66b\textsuperscript{pos}]), and also: M-MDSC1 (CD14\textsuperscript{pos}HLA-DR\textsuperscript{low}), M-MDSC2 (CD14\textsuperscript{pos}CCR2\textsuperscript{high}), M-MDSC3 (CD14\textsuperscript{pos}CD163\textsuperscript{pos}).\textsuperscript{40,41} We retained the phenotype used in Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure 2 being the most informative (data not shown), in particular we found CD123 helpful in discarding polynuclear basophils during the gating strategy.
as their phenotype overlaps G-MDSCs. We then found higher counts of G-MDSCs (Lin$^{\text{neg}}$CD123$^{\text{low}}$HLA-DR$^{\text{neg}}$CD33$^{\text{pos}}$CD11b$^{\text{pos}}$). During the preparation of this manuscript and in agreement with our results, an expansion of myeloid cells with a G-MDSC phenotype has been reported in a small cohort of DLBCL patients ($n=5$).\textsuperscript{42} In our study, G-MDSCs did not correlate with clinical outcomes, nor T$_{\text{reg}}$ count. Additionally, the suppressive fraction of granulocyte was recently identified as remaining granulocytes in the PBMC fraction after density cell separation and called low density neutrophils.\textsuperscript{43} In DLBCL, G-MDSCs were detected only in whole blood but were absent from PBMC (data not shown). Thus, we focused our attention to M-MDSCs.

M-MDSCs (CD14$^{\text{pos}}$HLA-DR$^{\text{low}}$) were expanded in DLBCL patients, in concordance with 2 other studies with altogether 41 DLBCL patients.\textsuperscript{28,29} Finally, an additional monocyte subset (CD14$^{\text{pos}}$CD16$^{\text{pos}}$) was found increased in DLBCL although partially overlapping with the M-MDSCs (data not shown). Whether, this latter subset displays suppressive function is controversial. For some authors, these cells do produce the anti-proliferative cytokine IL-10 or induce T$_{\text{reg}}$.\textsuperscript{44-46} whereas for others, they highly express HLA-DR and release the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF-$\alpha$.\textsuperscript{47} One explanation might be their heterogeneity and gating challenge by flow cytometry, thus the CD14$^{\text{pos}}$CD16$^{\text{pos}}$ compartment likely contains different subsets including M-MDSCs. Interestingly, in our DLBCL cases, CD14$^{\text{pos}}$CD16$^{\text{pos}}$ cells downregulated HLA-DR, a hallmark of MDSCs (data not shown). Altogether, our results were in favor of an increase in various MDSC subsets in DLBCL, with a clinical relevance for the M-MDSC population. In contrast to most solid tumors, where G-MDSCs are the predominant population of MDSCs,\textsuperscript{23} in lymphoid disease the M-MDSCs are preeminent.\textsuperscript{27-29,39} M-MDSCs were recently defined, in tumor-bearing
mice, as the most immunosuppressive subset. In DLBCL, we have shown that CD14\textsuperscript{pos} cells exerted a suppressive activity on T cells which function and therefore proliferative activity can be restored after having depleted the CD14\textsuperscript{pos} cells.

In lymphoid malignancies, arginase 1 and IDO are 2 mechanisms of suppression already described. In our study neither arginase 1, nor IDO activity were involved in the MDSC suppressive activity. The arginase 1 protein level was found highly expressed in the plasma without any relationship to M-MDSC subsets but rather correlated to the immature granulocyte fraction. Consequently, arginase 1 might likely be released by granulocytes but is not responsible for the M-MDSC suppressive activity. Two previous papers already reported an expansion of M-MDSCs in DLBCL. One paper did not demonstrate functionally the suppressive activity of these cells, which is essential for the MDSC characterization. The second one did not focus on the DLBCL but rather involved a large variety of non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Moreover, the functional assays were limited and excepted arginase 1 no other mechanisms of T-cell suppression were explored.

These results prompted us to seek for additional suppressive mechanisms in MDSCs from DLBCL. Release of IL-10, TGF-\(\beta\) and activation of the PD-L1/PD-1 axis are known MDSC mechanisms of suppression. Interestingly, in DLBCL we and others have shown that IL-10 and sPD-L1 can be elevated compared to HD. Herein, we demonstrated that monocyte suppressive activity was mediated by a release of IL-10 by CD14\textsuperscript{pos} cells and an expression of PD-L1 on monocytes. These suppressive activities were impaired by neutralizing antibodies. Nevertheless, in most DLBCL we found beside G- and M-MDSC, an increase of neutrophils, immature granulocytes and monocytes potentially including suppressive subsets, thus we cannot rule out that the mechanisms described in our study could be shared between
various subsets. We also demonstrated that S100A12 is responsible for T-cell suppression in DLBCL. In accordance with this finding, S100A8, S100A9 and S100A12 are expressed in MDSCs from hepatocellular and colorectal carcinomas, and S100 proteins, among other abilities, enhance the suppressive activity of MDSCs via an increase in ROS production. The number of T<sub>reg</sub>, another mediator of T-cell proliferation arrest was correlated with M-MDSC count but not with G-MDSC count. Taken altogether, our results indicate that several regulatory mechanisms might be involved by MDSCs to block the immune response in DLBCL patients.

Here, we demonstrated that in DLBCL at diagnosis, M-MDSCs are predominant and are correlated with a worse prognosis. It would be interesting to monitor these populations during the course of the treatment, indeed accumulation or depletion of MDSCs is influenced by chemotherapy and/or immunotherapy. Our study also point out the potential interest of MDSCs as targets in future therapeutic trials on DLBCL patients. Controlling the expansion and accumulation of MDSCs or blocking their suppressive functions for instance by CSF-R1 or S100 family member targeting represents promising novel approaches in cancer therapy.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. A myeloid transcriptomic signature is detected in blood of DLBCL patients. (A) Hierarchical clustering of 76 DLBCL and 87 HD. After raw data normalization and pre-filtering, 11,302 PS were classified by an unsupervised hierarchical clustering. Up- (yellow) and down-regulated (orange) gene lists were separately analyzed by IPA for canonical pathways. (B) Genes were filtered (p<0.05 and \(|FC|>1.5\)) before IPA analysis and the top 20 significant pathways are shown for both gene lists. (C) GSEA plot in the studied cohort for a list of gene involved in MDSCs (see Supplemental Table 1). Genes were ranked by signal to noise ratio. (D) With the same list of genes, the 76 DLBCLs were classified by an unsupervised hierarchical clustering, in group B with low expression of MDSC-related genes (see Supplemental Figure 1) or in group A. The event-free survival probability was calculated for both groups with a log-rank test.

Figure 2. MDSC-like subsets accumulate in peripheral blood of DLBCL patients but only M-MDSCs correlate with the clinical status of DLBCL patients. (A) Neutrophils, immature granulocytes (Imm Gran), monocytes as determined on a complete blood count and WBC counts are shown for 45 healthy donors (HD) and 66 DLBCL samples. Box and Whisker plots with the 10-90 percentiles and the outliers are shown. (B) DLBCL patients were separated in low- (LR, n=16) or high-risk (HR, n=34) group based on the aaIPI score (<3 and ≥3, respectively). Lymphocyte to monocyte (LMR) and neutrophil to lymphocyte (NLR) ratio are shown. (C) Left: G-MDSC and M-MDSC counts were performed in 23 HD and 31 DLBCL samples. Right: Correlation (Spearman) between G-MDSC and Imm Gran counts, and between M-MDSC and monocytes counts. (D) For 29 DLBCL samples, clinical information was available. Top: Patients were separated in a low- (LR, n=12) or high-risk (HR, n=17) group based on the aaIPI score (<3 and ≥3, respectively). M-MDSCs are shown for these groups. Bottom: Patients were split up between high- (n=14) and low- (n=15) count of M-MDSC (with a threshold at the median count) and the event-free survival probability was calculated for both groups with a log-rank test. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001.
Figure 3. M-MDSCs are immunosuppressive in DLBCL. (A) Left: T-cell count. Right: ratio of CD3ζ to CD3γ mRNA evaluated by RT-qPCR (TLDA). Box and Whisker plots with the 10-90 percentiles and the outliers are shown. * p<0.05, *** p<0.001. (B) Activated CFSE-labeled T lymphocytes were cultured in presence (PBMC) or absence (PBMC - monocyte) of autologous monocyte isolated from DLBCL patients and HD. Proliferation index for CD4pos (top) and CD8pos (bottom) cells in presence of autologous monocyte (PBMC) or after monocyte depletion (PBMC - monocyte) are represented on Box and Whisker plots with the 10-90 percentiles and the outliers (n=10 DLBCL and n=8 HD). Wilcoxon (dashed line) or Mann-Whitney (solid line) tests were used for paired non-parametric and non-paired non-parametric analyses, respectively. (C) Activated CFSE-labeled T lymphocytes were cultured in presence of autologous sorted M-MDSC (HLA-DRlow) or monocyte (HLA-DRhigh) isolated from DLBCL patients (n=7). Proliferation index for CD4pos (top) and CD8pos (bottom) cells are represented on Box and Whisker plots with the 10-90 percentiles. (D) Gene expression evaluated by QPCR on CD14posHLA-DRlow and CD14posHLA-DRhigh cells sorted from 3 DLBCL. For each gene, the relative expression (mRNA) of CD14posHLA-DRlow and CD14posHLA-DRhigh PBMC was compared (ratio of the mean expression on CD14posHLA-DRlow to the mean expression on CD14posHLA-DRhigh). ns: non significant * p<0.05.

Figure 4. Arginase 1 and IDO are not M-MDSCs mechanisms of immunosuppression in DLBCL. (A) Expression of ARG1, IDO1, NOS2, HO-1 and PTGS2 was evaluated by TLDA on 17 DLBCL and 15 HD samples. The relative gene expression (mRNA) of PBMC from DLBCL and HD was compared (ratio of the mean expression on DLBCL to the mean expression on HD). (B) Arginase 1 and IDO activities were evaluated by HPLC with the ratio ornithine to arginine and kynurenine to tryptophan, respectively (n=31 DLBCL and 21 HD). (C) Arginase 1 in plasma was evaluated by ELISA (n=43 DLBCL and 33 HD). * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001. (D) Percentage of increase or decrease in T-cell proliferation (proliferation index) between treated (L-1MT or nor-NOHA) and untreated cells, evaluated for CD4pos and CD8pos cells by CFSE labeling (n=8 DLBCL for L-1MT and n=7 for nor-NOHA). DLBCL patients were classified into groups: without (Gr.1, n=5) or with (Gr.2, n=2 or 3) suppressive monocytes. The classification was based on suppressive assay or a number of M-MDSCs at more than 3 fold the median count. (E) Correlation of the
arginase 1 in plasma and M-MDSC count (left) or immature granulocytes count (Imm gran) (right) count in DLBCL peripheral blood (n=36 DLBCL). ns: not significant.

**Figure 5. In DLBCL, IL-10, PD-L1 and S100A9/12 are expressed by monocytes.**

(A) Correlation of the T_{reg} and M-MDSC counts in DLBCL peripheral blood (n=17).

(B) Expression of the immunomodulatory genes IL10, TGFβ1, CD274, S100A8, S100A9, S100A12 evaluated by TLDA. The relative gene expression (mRNA) of PBMC from DLBCL and HD was compared (ratio of the mean expression on DLBCL to the mean expression on HD) (n=17 DLBCL and 15 HD). (C) Surface PD-L1 by flow cytometry expressed as ratio of mean fluorescence intensity (rMFI) for CD14^{pos}HLA-DR^{low} to CD14^{pos}HLA-DR^{high} (n=13 DLBCL and 8 HD). (D) Cytokines detection by ELISA in HD (n=8) and DLBCL plasma: IL-10 (n=45), TGF-β (n=14), S100A8/9 (n=14), S100A12 (n=14). (E) Cytokines (IL-10 and S100A12) detection by ELISA in supernatants of PBMC not depleted (+) or depleted (-) in CD14^{pos} cells (n=13 DLBCL). * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001.

**Figure 6. In DLBCL, IL-10, PDL-1 and S100A12 are involved in M-MDSC suppressive activity.** DLBCL patients were classified into groups: without (Gr.1, n=5) or with (Gr.2, n=4) suppressive monocytes based on suppressive assay or a number of M-MDSCs at more than 3 fold the median count. The percentage of increase or decrease in T-cell proliferation (proliferating index) between cells treated by blocking antibodies (anti -IL-10, -PD-1 and -S100A12) and an irrelevant antibody is shown for CD4^{pos} and CD8^{pos} cells. * p<0.05.
Table 1: Patients characteristics of the BMS-LyTRANS cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMS-LyTRANS cohort (n=66)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average age, years</strong></td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>35 (53.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>31 (47.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IPI, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to 1</td>
<td>22 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13 (19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21 (31.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to 5</td>
<td>10 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cell of origin, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCB</td>
<td>16 (61.5)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-GCB</td>
<td>10 (38.4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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