



A Sophisticated Architecture Is Indeed Necessary for the Implementation of Health in All Policies but not Enough; Comment on "Understanding the Role of Public Administration in Implementing Action on the Social Determinants of Health and Health Inequities"

Eric Breton

► To cite this version:

Eric Breton. A Sophisticated Architecture Is Indeed Necessary for the Implementation of Health in All Policies but not Enough; Comment on "Understanding the Role of Public Administration in Implementing Action on the Social Determinants of Health and Health Inequities". International journal of health policy and management, 2016, 5 (6), pp.383-385. 10.15171/ijhpm.2016.28 . hal-01376241

HAL Id: hal-01376241

<https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01376241>

Submitted on 7 Jun 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



A Sophisticated Architecture Is Indeed Necessary for the Implementation of Health in All Policies but not Enough

Comment on “Understanding the Role of Public Administration in Implementing Action on the Social Determinants of Health and Health Inequities”

Eric Breton^{1,2*}

Abstract

In this commentary, I argue that beyond a sophisticated supportive architecture to facilitate implementation of actions on the social determinants of health (SDOH) and health inequities, the Health in All Policies (HiAP) project faces two main barriers: lack of awareness within policy networks on the social determinants of population health, and a tendency of health actors to neglect investing in other sectors' complex problems.

Keywords: Health in All Policies (HiAP), Social Determinants of Health (SDOH), Health Equity, Public Policy, Implementation

Copyright: © 2016 by Kerman University of Medical Sciences

Citation: Breton E. A sophisticated architecture is indeed necessary for the implementation of Health in All Policies but not enough: Comment on “Understanding the role of public administration in implementing action on the social determinants of health and health inequities.” *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2016;5(6):383–385. doi:10.15171/ijhpm.2016.28

Article History:

Received: 28 January 2016

Accepted: 28 February 2016

ePublished: 29 February 2016

*Correspondence to:

Eric Breton

Email: eric.breton@ehesp.fr

Carey and Friel¹ raise the topical issue of the implementation of intersectoral actions and policies addressing the upstream determinants of equity in health. Inter alia, they stress the importance for state and civil society actors to achieve the “sophisticated architecture” amenable to joining up efforts across state departments/agencies and organisations from the civil society. Although I cannot agree more with the need for this architecture, this idea needs to be further developed as the collaborative space created and the rules that govern it cannot be considered sufficient in making multisectoral partnership happen. I will illustrate my point drawing from the specific case of France which may conjure up similar experiences in other countries. In France, the policy objective of joining up efforts in a Health in All Policies (HiAP) perspective within an intersectoral framework was officially translated into a policy instrument in 2010 with the creation of the regional commissions for the coordination of health-related public policies.² All 26 Regional Health Agencies (now 17 as a result of the latest reform) were mandated to chair these committees set up to improve coordination and collaborations across state departments and agencies.³ Yet, most regional public health agencies have failed putting on the agenda discussions on population health strategies and actions. However, we do find instances of regional public health agency collaborating with another state agency (eg, employment) when funding community-based interventions (eg, public health funds the prevention component of the project while employment funds the job-creation one). At the central government level a similar steps towards HiAP strategy to reduce inequalities in health⁴ was made with the establishment in June 2014, of an interministerial committee for health.⁵ Again, benefits of

this policy instrument are yet to come as 18 months since the inception of this committee (under the supervision of the minister of health) no meeting has been scheduled. Using Ollila's⁶ terminology it appears that the health strategy to HiAP (ie, health objectives are at the core of the exercise) has yet to prove effective in France, while the win-win strategy (ie, cooperation by two agencies for their mutual benefits) has yielded results.

Besides the complexity of multi-partner collaborations, examination of the case of France and of the body of research on the social determinants of health (SDOH) and HiAP strategies suggest two substantial barriers public health professionals are likely to face in developing this “sophisticated architecture” allowing collaboration across multiple sectors. First is the enduring constricted view of the determinants of population health.^{7–11} Scientific evidence (and anecdotal evidence from my discussions with members of the health policy elite here in France), points to the dominant view that population health is a function of the accessibility and quality of healthcare services. Such a reductionist perspective makes health professionals unlikely partners for actors in transportation, employment or housing (excepted for environmental exposures such as lead, moulds, asbestos and carbon monoxide). This clearly questions the capacity of public health actors in engaging conversations with other sectors within a policy network. Changing this perspective on population health will take time and will require among other things investments in educating the population about the SDOH.¹² It is more than time to update school health curricula to include along with dental hygiene, smoking and nutrition, lessons reflecting current knowledge on the social determinants of population health. This would hopefully

¹EHESP School of Public Health, Paris, France. ²CNRS, UMR Centre for Research on Political Action in Europe (GRAPE), Rennes, France.

expand the scope of the perspective on health of the next generation of decision-makers.

A second impediment to multi-sector collaborations is the view that the health sector often tries imposing its own priorities to other sectors. This “health imperialism,” be it real or not, shows its head when health professionals complain about failed attempts at having other sector’s representatives attending their meetings. The thing is, complex problems are not a specific feature of the health sector and of those working on improving equity in health by actions on the SDOH. A manager of the regional Paris road system confided once that, and this is no surprise, his complex problem was traffic jams and that from his position he could not expect any significant improvement on the road without having other sectors of the society (private and public employers, education, health, urban planning, etc.) contributing in addressing the broad spectrum of determinants of the problem. The need to improve collaborations across sectors is, therefore, shared by many and consequently amenable to what Ollila⁶ refers to as cooperation strategies (making the health expertise available for the other sectors). What is required for multi-sector partnership are participants of these policy networks to accept investing time and energy attending each other sectors’ meetings even though benefits of this investment may not stand out clearly at first. Yet this is the corner stone of a new governance to respond to complex “wicked” problems such as global warming and entrenched inequities in health.¹³

The development of the sophisticated architecture required for the operationalisation of the HiAP agenda does indeed face many challenges. There is, however, ground for optimism as we can find experiments being implemented to overcome the barriers. In Ontario, the Sudbury & District Health Unit initiated a social marketing strategy “to change the understanding and ultimate behaviour of decision-makers and the public to take or support action to improve the social determinants of health inequities.” And one of the components of their strategy, the video “*Let’s Start a Conversation About Health... and Not Talk About Health Care at All*,” was presented to the attendees of the 2011 World Conference on Social Determinants in Rio and adapted to other populations.¹⁴ Ollila⁶ also suggests raising awareness by releasing public health reports developed in collaboration with other ministries and publishing health data along key socio-economic indicators as a way to get the word out on the impact of policies from other sectors. But the impacts are never as tangible as when they can be put in terms of economic benefits and potential savings. Yet, for this to happen we need to further improve the level of resources/expertise and the models for economic evaluation of the impact.^{15,16}

A HiAP strategy also requires the public health system to improve its capacity to anticipate the policy needs of other sectors in order to better shape solutions.⁶ A number of theories of the policy change process points to the role belief systems/ideologies play in shaping the definition of problems and solutions and their implementation.¹⁷ Anticipating potential clashes in values across government agencies and ministries is, therefore, a key determinant of a successful HiAP initiative. There is evidence that the capacity of public health to monitor the other sectors can be instrumental in successfully neutralising opposition to health policy from

vested interest groups.¹⁸

Research can do much in defining best practice for HiAP intervention and in building capacities for intersectoral actions on the SDOH. However, researchers should give priority to documenting and analysing current natural experiments. “Boutique programmes”¹⁹ initiated by research teams are unlikely to account for the whole set of resources (limited) and barriers (numerous) representatives of state and civil society are juggling with in real life situations when trying to join up for HiAP. However, capturing the effect of complex upstream intersectoral interventions still mostly elude current evaluation designs.²⁰

Ethical issues

Not applicable.

Competing interests

Author declares that he has no competing interests.

Author’s contribution

EB is the single author of the paper.

References

- Carey G, Friel S. Understanding the Role of Public Administration in Implementing Action on the Social Determinants of Health and Health Inequities. *Int J Health Policy Manag.* 2015;4(12):795-798. doi:[10.15171/ijhpm.2015.185](https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2015.185)
- République Française. Décret N° 2010-346 Du 31 Mars 2010 Relatif Aux Commissions de Coordination Des Politiques Publiques de Santé; 2010.
- Stachenko S, Pommier J, You C, Porcherie M, Halley J, Breton E. Contribution des acteurs régionaux à la réduction des inégalités sociales de santé : le cas de la France. *Glob Health Promot.* 2015. doi:[10.1177/1757975915600668](https://doi.org/10.1177/1757975915600668)
- Touraine M. Health inequalities and France’s national health strategy. *Lancet.* 2014;383(9923):1101-1102. doi:[10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60423-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60423-2)
- République Française. Décret N° 2014-629 Du 18 Juin 2014 Portant Création Du Comité Interministériel Pour La Santé; 2014.
- Ollila E. Health in All Policies: from rhetoric to action. *Scand J Public Health.* 2011;39(6 Suppl):11-18. doi:[10.1177/1403494810379895](https://doi.org/10.1177/1403494810379895)
- Collins PA, Abelson J, Eyles JD. Knowledge into action? Understanding ideological barriers to addressing health inequalities at the local level. *Health Policy.* 2007;80(1):158-171. doi:[10.1016/j.healthpol.2006.02.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2006.02.014)
- Didem E, Filiz E, Orhan O, Gulnur S, Erdal B. Local decision makers’ awareness of the social determinants of health in Turkey: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health.* 2012;12:437. doi:[10.1186/1471-2458-12-437](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-437)
- Gauld R, Bloomfield A, Kiro C, Lavis J, Ross S. Conceptions and uses of public health ideas by New Zealand government policymakers: report on a five-agency survey. *Public Health.* 2006;120(4):283-289. doi:[10.1016/j.puhe.2005.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2005.10.008)
- Lavis JN, Ross SE, Stoddart GL, Hohenadel JM, McLeod CB, Evans RG. Do Canadian Civil Servants Care About the Health of Populations? *Am J Public Health.* 2003;93(4):658-663. doi:[10.2105/ajph.93.4.658](https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.93.4.658)
- Putland C, Baum F, Ziersch A. From causes to solutions - insights from lay knowledge about health inequalities. *BMC Public Health.* 2011;11(1):67. doi:[10.1186/1471-2458-11-67](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-67)
- Raphael D. Educating the Canadian public about the social determinants of health: the time for local public health action is now! *Glob Health Promot.* 2012;19(3):54-59. doi:[10.1177/1757975912453847](https://doi.org/10.1177/1757975912453847)

13. Kickbusch I, Gleicher D. *Governance for Health in the 21st Century*. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2012.
14. The Sudbury & District Health Unit. What is health equity? <https://www.sdhu.com/health-topics-programs/health-equity/health-equity>. Accessed February 26, 2016.
15. Greaves L, Bialystok LR. Health in All Policies – all talk and little action? *Can J Public Health*. 2011;102(6):407-409.
16. Pinto AD, Molnar A, Shankardass K, O'Campo PJ, Bayoumi AM. Economic considerations and health in all policies initiatives: evidence from interviews with key informants in Sweden, Quebec and South Australia. *BMC Public Health*. 2015;15:171. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-1350-0.
17. de Leeuw E, Clavier C, Breton E. Health policy – why research it and how: health political science. *Health Res Policy Syst*. 2014;12(1):55. doi:10.1186/1478-4505-12-55
18. Breton E, Richard L, Gagnon F, Jacques M, Bergeron P. Health promotion research and practice require sound policy analysis models: the case of Quebec's Tobacco Act. *Soc Sci Med*. 2008;67(11):1679-1689. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.07.028
19. Hawe P. Lessons from Complex Interventions to Improve Health. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2015;36(1):307-323. doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-031912-114421
20. Ndumbe-Eyoh S, Moffatt H. Intersectoral action for health equity: a rapid systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;13:1056. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-13-1056