

How to keep your horse safe? An epidemiological study about management practices

Clémence Lesimple, Alexandre Poissonnet, Martine Hausberger

► To cite this version:

Clémence Lesimple, Alexandre Poissonnet, Martine Hausberger. How to keep your horse safe? An epidemiological study about management practices. Applied Animal Behaviour Science, 2016, 181, pp.105-114. 10.1016/j.applanim.2016.04.015 . hal-01332171v2

HAL Id: hal-01332171 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01332171v2

Submitted on 14 Sep 2016 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

| 1 | How to keep your horse safe? An epidemiological study about management practices |
|----|--|
| 2 | Lesimple, C^{1*} ., Poissonnet, A^{1} ., Hausberger, M^{2} . |
| 3 | |
| 4 | ¹ Université de Rennes 1, Ethologie Animale et Humaine UMR CNRS 6552, Bâtiment 25, |
| 5 | Campus de Beaulieu, 263 Avenue du General Leclerc, CS 74205, 35042 Rennes Cedex, |
| 6 | France |
| 7 | ² CNRS, Ethologie Animale et Humaine UMR 6552 Université de Rennes1, Bâtiment 25, |
| 8 | Campus de Beaulieu, 263 Avenue du General Leclerc, CS 74205, 35042 Rennes Cedex, |
| 9 | France |
| 10 | * corresponding author: lesimple.c@gmail.com, phone: +33 2 23 23 30 45, fax: +33 2 23 23 |
| 11 | 69 27, Université Rennes 1, UMR CNRS 6552 Ethologie Animale et Humaine, Bâtiment 25, |
| 12 | Campus de Beaulieu, 263 Avenue du General Leclerc, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Abstract |
| 15 | As animal welfare has become a major societal concern since the last decades, identifying the |
| 16 | factors affecting it, is of first importance. Many studies have been conducted, mostly centred |
| 17 | on one particular environmental factor. However, animal welfare is multifactorial, and |
| 18 | evaluating the management as a whole seems more appropriate. In this particular study, we |
| 19 | aimed to identify environmental parameters impacting riding school horses' welfare. We |
| 20 | focused here on four reliable welfare indicators (presence of wounds, stereotypic/abnormal |
| 21 | repetitive behaviours, ear position and depressed-like posture) and recorded sixteen intrinsic |
| 22 | (e.g. sex, age, type) and extrinsic (housing, feeding, social and working conditions) factors of |
| 23 | impact. Using logistic regression models, we could identify and classify the management |
| 24 | parameter according to their impact on the different welfare indicators and we were able to |
| 25 | identify characteristics of good and bad management practices. Besides confirming the |
| 26 | importance of feeding and housing conditions, our study is the very first to show that working |
| 27 | conditions in developed countries have a crucial impact on several aspects of equines' |
| 28 | welfare, and that horses might be less resilient to inappropriate living conditions than ponies. |
| 29 | This study leads to the identification of characteristics of "good" and "bad" management |
| 30 | practices as a whole, based on scientific evidences on a large sample of horses. |
| 31 | Key words: equids, welfare, management, work, equid type |

33 **1. Introduction**

Assessing the factors influencing animal welfare has been the core of many scientific studies 34 in the last decades. Most of them have been centered on one particular potential factor of 35 influence (i.e. housing : e.g. Casamassima et al 2001, Meunier-Salaun et al 1987; 36 37 social conditions: e.g. Grignard et al 2000, van Reenen et al 2000, Bouissou et al 2001, Wechsler et al 1997; feeding: e.g. Freire et al 2009), whereas only a multifactorial approach, 38 including a maximum of management parameters, could allow an overview of the real 39 consequences on animal welfare. The welfare state of an individual results from a 40 combination of influences and there is a strong need to develop systemic and hence large 41 scale "field" studies (Main et al., 2003; Whay et al., 2003) in order to reliably evaluate (and 42 43 thus improve) the animals' welfare. The relative weight of the different factors and their potential interactions are of prime interest if improvements are to be proposed in management 44 45 practices. Some attempts have been made in horses (Mc Greevy et al., 1995), but because assessing 46 47 animal welfare through a large scale is energy and time consuming, most of these studies rely upon questionnaire surveys of caretakers or owners' opinions (Napolitano et al., 2008; Parker 48 et al., 2008; Upjohn et al., 2012). These studies have emphasized the impact of some crucial 49 factors such as food availability and composition, early experience, social possibilities or 50 stabling, on the prevalence of chronic behavioural problems such as stereotypic behaviours 51 (Hockenhull and Creighton, 2010; McBride and Long, 2001; Mc Greevy et al., 1995; 52 Normando et al., 2002; Parker et al. 2008; Visser et al 2008; Waters et al., 2002). More 53 recently, a study including equitation factors (riding style, e.g. western or english and number 54 of working hours per week) showed that riding style has a major impact on the prevalence of 55 chronic behavioural problems (Normando et al., 2011), confirming observational studies 56 57 (Hausberger et al., 2009, 2011). Although these surveys have proved very useful in identifying factors of influence, they often 58 focus on one particular welfare indicator (e.g. stereotypic behaviour), rely upon subjective 59 assessment of caretakers or owners and depend on the respondents' "ability" to assess the 60 target welfare indicator and / or on their willingness to admit their horses' behavioural 61

problems (Mills et al., 2002; Lesimple and Hausberger, 2014). If in one study, experimenter's

63 observations and responses of owners did converge on the prevalence of stereoptypic

64 behaviours (Normando et al., 2011), discrepancy between the actual prevalence and the

owners' / caretakers' evaluations may be huge (Lesimple and Hausberger 2014). This is 65 especially true in stables highly "at risk" (70-100% of stereotypic horses) where overexposure 66 of owners/caretakers to abnormal behaviour or signs of poor welfare prevents proper 67 evaluation (Lesimple and Hausberger 2014). This may explain why estimates of the 68 prevalence of stereotypic and abnormal repetitive behaviours are between 1 and 10% 69 according to questionnaire surveys (McGreevy et al., 1995; Mills et al., 2002; Normando al., 70 71 2011; Parker et al., 2008) and between 22 and 96.6% in observational studies (Benhajali et al., 2010, 2014; Fureix et al., 2011; Hausberger et al. 2007, 2009; Lesimple and Hausberger 2014; 72 73 Visser et al., 2008). In the same way, effects of the rider-based parameters (e.g. the rider's 74 position) can only be explored using observational studies (Hockenhull and Creighton, 2012). 75 Some large scale observational studies have been performed: Pritchard et al. (2005) and Burn et al. (2010a, 2010b) analysed data from several thousand of equids from different countries. 76 77 Welfare assessment was performed by trained observers on the basis of 3 types of measures: 78 physical health, alertness and response to human actions. This approach appeared to be very 79 promising, revealing for example that apathetic horses were overall in poor health and presented more body lesions. Because these studies require that assessment be quick, non-80 81 invasive and easy to apply in "field" conditions (no disturbance in the facilities' routines), the parameters used need to be both simple and rapid to assess. 82 In the present study, we carried out an epidemiological study on a large sample of riding 83 school horses living in facilities distributed all over France. We chose, as most of the above-84 mentioned studies, animal-based measures previously shown to be reliable welfare indicators, 85 obtained through direct observations in the horses' home environment. The welfare 86 assessment was based on clearly defined indicators and measures: body lesions, abnormal 87 88 behaviours, alertness and postures (see also Hausberger et al., 2016). They were chosen 89 because the evaluation needed to be easily and rapidly performed in the facilities (3) 90 days/facility). The aim was to evaluate the relative weight of different parameters, either intrinsic (age, breed, sex) or extrinsic (housing, feeding or social related) on horses' welfare 91 92 state (this study), but also to be able to compare facilities' management as whole systems, and not parameter by parameter. 93

94

95 2. Material and methods

The present study was divided into two parts: a first part on 306 horses from 20 riding schools for which "classical" management parameters (housing, feeding and social conditions) were examined and a second part on a subset of 276 horses for which we also had information on

- 99 the riding techniques used in the facility. The second part includes therefore elements that
- 100 were proven to be crucial for the horses' back health in earlier studies (Lesimple et al., 2010,
- subm). Logistic regressions provided multivariate models that allowed a ranking of the factors
- 102 of influence (e.g. Benhajali et al., 2010; Normando et al., 2011, Visser et al., 2014).
- 103 The study was performed between October 2010 and May 2011. The observations were
- 104 conducted in 20 riding schools (N=306 horses, 2 stallions, 177 geldings and 127 mares) all
- 105 over France. Detailed information on the specific population studied in each part of the

106 studies is given below.

- 107 2.1. Animal based measures and data sampling
- 108 <u>2.1.1. Physical/health indicator</u>

109 Several studies conducted in developing countries measured the animals' body score in order

- to have an evaluation of their physical state (Pritchard et al., 2005; Burn et al., 2010b,
- 111 Popescu and Diugan 2013). However, in our population of French riding school horses, all the
- animals scored in a pilot study were "optimal" to "fat" and the measurement of body
- 113 condition was not discriminant (Fureix in prep). Therefore we did not include body scoring in114 our welfare measures.
- Body lesions related to the equipment: in horses working under extreme 115 • 116 environmental conditions (e.g. high heat, dehydration), the presence of wounds related to bad equipment's fitting is associated with reveals a more general poor welfare state and is related 117 118 to the development of strong apathetic states (e.g. Burn et al 2010a). Each equid involved in the study was thoroughly examined and an exhaustive list of the wounds or marks of former 119 120 wounds (white hair areas) and their relative location compared to the equipment (place of the saddle, girth, bit/bridle, spurs) was noted (Burn et al 2010a, 2010b, Popescu & Diugan 2013, 121 122 Pritchard et al 2005). Horses presented only minor flesh wounds, mostly hairs removed and 123 sometimes some slight skin irritation. Horses were then binary classified: 1 if they presented 124 at least one lesion (or mark of former lesion) and 0 otherwise.
- 125

126 <u>2.1.2. Behavioural measures</u>

• Stereotypic / Abnormal Repetitive Behaviours

128 Stereotypic and abnormal repetitive behaviours are repetitive, invariant and (apparently)

129 functionless behaviours (Mason, 1991; Ödberg, 1978), that are never seen in animals under

130 natural conditions and are known to appear in captivity under sub-optimal conditions (Mason,

- 131 1991). In most species, the presence of such behaviours is associated with chronic stress
- 132 (Bashaw et al., 2001; Keiper, 1969; Meehan et al., 2004) and their emergence is linked to

- repeated contexts of frustration (e.g. Fureix et al., 2011; Benhajali et al., 2014).
- 134 Environmental restrictions, including feeding (*e.g.* McGreevy et al., 1995; Nicol, 2000),
- social (e.g. Cooper et al., 2000; Mills and Riezebos, 2005), spatial (e.g. McGreevy et al.,
- 136 1995; Parker et al., 2008) and working (Hausberger et al 2009) conditions appear as
- 137 facilitating factors, as is genetic influence (*e.g.* Vecchioti and Galanti, 1986). Some horses
- 138 persist in performing these behaviours even after the conditions have improved, which means
- that if one horse performs them in a stable, this does not mean the management practices are
- 140 inappropriate. However, the large number of horses involved here prevent the influence of
- 141 such particular cases on the statistically significant management practices. Their presence in
- horses is associated with a decrease of learning abilities (Hausberger et al., 2007; Parker et al.,
- 143 2008) and fertility (Benhajali et al., 2014).
- 144 To facilitate the reading, we will define here the terms used in the following manuscript. We
- 145 call here "stereotypic behaviours" (SB) the sequences well-known in the horse industry (e.g.
- 146 weaving, cribbing) and "abnormal repetitive behaviours" (ARB) the sequences less (not)
- described or recognized (Mills 2005, Mills et al, 2002). As they share the same definition
- 148 (repetitive and apparently functionless behaviours) and appear under sub-optimal conditions,
- they were grouped in the subsequent analyses (SB/ARB). The 5 SB and the 9 ARB observed
- 150 or reported in this study are described below.
- 151 SB (from Mills, 2005; Lesimple and Hausberger, 2014):
- 152 weaving: obvious lateral movement of head, neck, forequarters and sometimes hindquarters,
- 153 cribbing / windsucking: the horse grasps a fixed object with its incisors, pulls backwards and
- 154 draws air into its oesophagus,
- 155 head tossing / nodding: vertical movements of head and neck,
- striking with forelimb: the horse hits the door or wall with one of its forelegs,
- 157 box walking: repetitive tracing a route within the stable.
- 158 ARB
- 159 compulsive licking: licking of the same object in its environment (except the trough),
- 160 compulsive biting: biting of the same object in its environment (except the trough),
- 161 head movements (other than head tossing / nodding): movement of the head,
- Vacuum threats: the horse express threat sequences (kicking, biting) alone in its box,
- 163 mouth open: the horse keeps its mouth open with a lateral movement of its neck,
- 164 teeth rubbing: rubbing teeth on the upper part of the door,
- 165 teeth chattering: mouth movement with teeth chattering,
- 166 lips movements: clapping of lips,

167 - tongue movements: movements of tongue, inside or outside the mouth

168 In the present study, the observer (C.L) stood motionless at one end or in the middle of lines of boxes so that she could see all horses. In many cases, the stables were disposed along 169 corridors with a row of stalls on each side. When positioned at the midline of the corridor, it 170 was therefore possible to easily see 4 stalls at a time. When the stables were so that the stalls 171 had an opening outside, it was generally possible to see 6 horses in a row. The sampling was 172 ad libitum (Altman 1974, Benhajali et al 2008, Lesimple & Hausberger 2014) which means 173 that the behaviours concerned were scored (in terms of presence/absence) everytime they 174 175 occurred together with the horse identity. For a behaviour to be considered as SB/ARB, the 176 behavioural sequence had to be repeated at least 3 times successively and observed 5 times, 177 independently of the period of observation. Most observations were performed at quiet times (outside teaching activities) with little disturbance by the routine procedures. In any case, the 178 179 proportion of quiet and disturbed time periods was balanced between stables so that they remained comparable. In total, each horse was observed for 18 hours. At the end of the 180 181 procedure, horses were binary scored: 1 if they performed at least one SB/ARB, 0 otherwise.

182

183 <u>2.1.3. Postural measures</u>

184 Depressed-like posture (AbnPost) with or without wall orientation: Apathetic animals, showing a strong decrease of reactions towards their environment, were described in several 185 186 situations when welfare was altered (Burn et al 2010a, 2010b, Popescu and Diugan 2013) particularly in cases of proven pain (Hausberger et al 2016). Fureix et al (2012) were the first 187 188 to truly characterize this "depressive-like" state in riding school horses: the animals stand immobile, eyes wide opened with a stretched neck (back and neck on the same line), an 189 190 unusual gaze, head and ears fixity, mostly backwards ears and a global indifference towards 191 environmental stimuli (visual, tactile and auditory, Fureix et al., 2012; Rochais et al. 2015, 192 subm) in their home environment. Such horses also show signs of anhedonia (Fureix et al 2015). 193

The prevalence of "depressed like posture" was evaluated at the same time as SB/ARB and following the exact same procedure. At the end of the observation time (18h/horse, see above), horses were binary classified: 1 if they presented at least once the "depressed-like" posture" during the observation time, 0 otherwise.

• Ears position: Backwards ears position is reported in all cases of acute pain or discomfort (Hausberger et al 2016 for a review, Waring, 2003) and has been shown to indicate welfare impairment in horses (Fureix et al 2010, in prep). In these studies, a

predominant backwards ears position (>50% time) was related to an increased prevalence of 201 stereotypic behaviours and chronic health problems, while forwards ears indicated either a 202 positive human-horse relationship, an increased attentional state towards the environment and 203 overall a better welfare (Hausberger and Müller, 2002; Rochais et al., 2016, Waring, 2003). 204 Ears positions were defined by referring to studies on other species (Reefmann et al 2009): 205 axial ear (perpendicular to the head – rump axis), forward ear (tip of the ear towards the front 206 at an angle of more than 30° from the perpendicular) or backward ear (tip of the ear towards 207 the back at more than 30° from the perpendicular). 208

209 Ear positions were recorded whilst horses were foraging on the ground (hay/straw) only, as it has been shown to be the most reliable context (Fureix et al 2010, in prep.). Observations 210 211 were performed when the stables were quiet, outside feeding and working time. The experimenter walked slowly and regularly (1 step/sec) in the middle of the corridor, or 2m 212 213 away from the boxes in stables with one line of boxes. She approached slowly towards each box in order to be able to see the ear positions through the trough opening or box's door, 214 215 remaining at a distance. This quiet approach did not elicit the strong reactions observed when approaching suddenly at the box's door (Hausberger and Muller 2002). The instantaneous ear 216 217 position of the feeding horse was silently noted (only if the horse kept feeding and paid no 218 attention to the observer). The observer then resumed her walk along the midline up to the next stall. These samplings were made every day for 3 consecutive days and distributed all 219 along the day until 10 ear positions were obtained per horse. The percentage of scans in each 220 position was calculated for each horse. For further analyses horses were binary categorized 221 according to their "favourite" ($\geq 60\%$) posture: mostly forwards ears / mostly backwards ears. 222 Asymmetric or side positions were considered as "neutral" and were in any case observed 223 224 much less often.

Based on these observations, each animal was binary classified for each of the welfareimpairment signal.

227

228 2.3. Management parameters (Tables 1 and 2)

All the horses involved in the study were under the responsibility of the riding schools and subjected to the standard living conditions of the facilities. For the needs of the analyses, the management parameters had to be categorical. All these management parameters are detailed below.

233 <u>2.3.1. Choice of horses: type of equids present</u>

The sex, age and breed were recorded from the animals' official identification documents.

- However, since most animals were unregistered, the 'breed' parameter could not be used as
- such. Differences have been observed between types of equids (e.g. pony / horses,
- 237 "warmbloods"/ "coldbloods") in other studies (e.g. Wallin et al., 2000), meaning that above
- breed, several types of equids may exist, that differ in different traits. Therefore, we
- investigated two features: the equid's overall morphology, and its size. Using the parameters
- 240 described in Chabchoub et al (2004), we classified the animals into 3 categories, based on
- their **proportion**: dolichomorphic (length>height, ex: thoroughbred, Arab purebred),
- 242 mesomorphic (length=height, ex: French saddlebred) and brachymorphic (length<height:
- 243 Merens horses). The animals were also divided into "classical" official type: pony (<1.48m
- high at the withers, International Federation for Equestrian Sport) or horse (>1.48m high at
- the withers) in the analyses. The impact of the animal's age was also investigated ([3-10], [11-
- 246 15], [16+] y.o.).
- 247 <u>2.3.2. Housing conditions</u>
- 248 The spatial restriction being an important aspect in horse welfare (Raabygmagle and Ladewig,
- 249 2006; Rivera et al., 2002; Parker et al., 2008) the size of the boxes and the percentage of
- time spent in paddock were recorded for each individual. In France, standard boxes are 9, 12
- or 16m² wide. As in this study, most boxes measured 9m², two categories were made: small
- 252 ($\leq 9m^2$) and big ($\geq 9m^2$). Depending of the facility, equids spent 0 to 83.3% ($\bar{X} \pm es = 19.5 \pm 1.3$)
- of their time in paddocks. According to the data distribution, two profiles appeared: horses
- went in paddock either less than 17% or more than 25% of their time. These values were used
- to make the categories. The **bedding** (straw or shaving) (Pedersen et al., 2004), as well as the
- box architecture and in particular the visual horizon of the horses (Benhajali et al., subm;
- 257 Cooper et al., 2000; Hausberger et al., subm), were recorded: thus it was noted whether equids
- could **put the head outside the box** (entirely: open doors, limited: V shape doors, or not:
- grids) and if the box was **open** to the outside or **inside** a building.
- 260 <u>2.3.3. Social conditions</u>
- All the animals in this study could at least hear one congener. For each individual, we
- recorded whether or not they could have **tactile contact** with one of their neighbours when in
- the box, and we also recorded the **number of visible congeners** from inside the box (e.g.
- 264 Mills & Riezebos 2005). The number of visible neighbours was divided into 3 categories ([0-
- 1], [2-4], [5+]) according to the distribution of the data. When the animals had access to a
- 266 paddock, we also recorded whether they went **alone or in group**.
- 267 <u>2.3.4. Feeding conditions</u>

- 268 For each individual, the quantity and number of meals of roughage and concentrates was
- recorded from the instruction documents of the facilities (*e.g.* Nicol, 2000). As in both cases,
- the two parameters were strongly correlated (Spearman correlation test, p<0.0001), only the
- **number of meals**, giving information on the temporal distribution of feeding (Benhajali et al.,
- 272 2009, 2013; Fureix et al., 2011) were kept in the analysis. Based on the data distribution,
- roughage availability was divided into 4 categories ([0]: no roughage, [1]: once/day, [2]:
- twice/day, [3-10]: almost continuous) and concentrate availability into 3 categories ([0]: no
- concentrates, [1-2]: few concentrates, [3-6]: large amount of concentrates).
- 276 <u>2.3.5. Working conditions</u>
- 277 First, we extracted the **time spent working**/ week for each equid from the official working
- document of the schools. Based on the data distribution, 3 categories were made: [5-7], [8-
- 279 10], [11-14] h/week.
- 280 2.4 Statistical analyses
- Logistic regressions models were used to assess the impact of the management factors on 281 282 each of the welfare indicators. The first step was the selection of the management factors that would integrate the model, using univariate logistic regressions. Thus, each of the 15 283 284 management factors was tested on each of the 5 welfare indicators. For each welfare indicator, all the factors with a p value <0.2 were kept to build the logistic models. The 285 second step was to integrate the selected management factors in multivariate logistic 286 regression models with a stepwise function to settle the most explicative factor combination. 287 A F test was conducted to assess the significance of the management factors kept in the 288 289 model. The F value was used to assess the importance of the management factor in the model. All the modalities of each management factor were defined by a coefficient (coef) and an Odd 290 Ratio (OR). The OR for the modality of reference (used as base in the analyses) is 1. The 291 other modalities are compared to this reference. The OR. was used to determine the 292 293 "direction" of action (increasing or decreasing the prevalence of the indicator) and the coeff was used to assess the impact of the different modalities. To assess the relative importance 294 295 (RI) of each of the modalities of all management factors involved in the model, we used the exponential function of the coefficient. Each OR was accompanied with a 95% Confidence 296 Interval (IC). If 1 was comprised in the IC, the modality could not be considered as 297 significant. 298
- In a first step, we did simple models without taking into account interactions. Then, as poniesare traditionally kept under less constraining conditions, we included the interactions between

- the type of equine (pony/horse) and the other management practices. All the interactions with
- 302 a p value <0.2 were kept in the models.
- 303 Chi square post hoc tests were used to assess further differences between several modalities of
- the same management factor.
- All the statistical analyses were made using R 3.1.1. software.
- 306

307 **4. Results**

- To facilitate the reading, when describing the logistic regression models, only the significantparameters will be presented.
- 310 4.1. Study 1: Impact of life conditions and choice of equids
- **311 4.1.1.** Population
- For the first part of this study, 306 horses (2 stallions, 177 geldings and 127 mares) of various
- ages (3-34y.o, \overline{X} ±se = 12.2±0.28) and breeds (N=23, mostly unregistered horses: 36.6% and
- French Saddlebreds: 26% with smaller proportions of other breeds) in 20 riding schools all
- over France ($\bar{X} \pm se = 15.3 \pm 0.48$ horse/school) were observed. Most of the animals were horses
- 316 (>1.48m at withers, N=191, 62.4%) and 115 (37.6%) were ponies (<1.48m at withers)
- Amongst these 306 horses, 67.6% (N=207) presented equipment-related wounds, 35%
- 318 (N=107) expressed at least one STB/ARB, 38% (N=116) had mostly backwards ears (>60%
- time) (36% (N=110) had mostly forwards ears) and 41.5% (N=127) presented a "depressed-
- 320 like" posture in the box.
- 321
- 322 4.1.2. Presence of equipment-related wounds
- 323 Three main management parameters appeared to have a significant impact on the prevalence
- of equipment-related wounds: the time spent in paddock (F=7.77, p=0.003), the type of
- equine (F=4.59, p=0.02) and the number of hay meals (F=3.75, p=0.01).
- Thus, animals that spent less time in paddock (Odd Ratio [Interval of Confidence] = 2.37
- [1.35-4.18]), were of horse type (OR [IC] = 1.87 [1.1-3.2]) and received few (0: OR [IC] =
- 2.44 [1.03-5.80], or 1: OR [IC] = 2.29 [1.26-4.16]) hay meals were more prone to have
- 329 wounds. On the contrary, there were less such wounds in animals that spent more than 25% of
- time in paddock (reference modality, OR=1), being a pony (OR=1) and having 2 hay meals
- 331 (OR=1) (Table 1.).
- 332 The most important parameter appeared to be the time spent in paddock (RI=2.36), followed
- by the number of hay meals (RI=2.29) and the type of equid (RI=1.88).

When interactions were included in the model, it appeared that horses were more prone than ponies to have wounds if they spent less than 25% time in paddocks (F=3.97, p=0.05, OR [IC]=3.27 [1.1-9.69]).

- 337
- 338 4.1.3. Presence of SB/ARB
- Four parameters appeared to impact the prevalence of SB/ ARB: the time spent in paddock
- (F=14.12, p=0.0002), the type of equine (F=8.6, p=0.004), the possibility to put the head
- outside the box (F=4.31, p=0.01) and the number of concentrate meals (F=3.25, p=0.04).
- The animals that spent less time in paddock (OR [IC] =3.69 [1.88-7.22]), were of horse type
- 343 (OR [IC] = 2.56 [1.39-4.69]), could put the head outside the box (OR=1) and had more
- concentrate meals (OR=1) were more prone to develop SB/ARB. On the contrary, spending at
- least 25% time in paddock (OR=1), being a pony (OR=1), having limited (OR [IC] = 0.44
- [0.22-0.85]) or no (OR [IC] = 0.38 [0.15-0.96]) possibilities to put the head outside the box
- and having no concentrate meals (OR [IC] = 0.12 [0.01-0.95]) reduced the risk of developing
 SB/ARB.
- 349 The most important parameter appeared to be the number of concentrate meals (RI=8.67),
- followed the time spent in paddock (RI=3.71), the possibility to put the head outside the box
- (RI=2.66), and the type of equid (RI=2.56).
- 352 When integrating interactions in the model, it appeared that being released alone in paddock
- increased more the risk of presenting SB/ARB for horses than for ponies (F=4.37, p=0.01, OR
- 354 [IC] = 5.30 [1.34-20.99]).
- 355
- 356 4.1.4. Ear positions
- 357 As the ear positions were recorded only when horses ate roughage or straw, the parameter
- related to roughage (number of hay meals), was not included in the following analyses.
- 359 Prevalence of backward ears
- 360 Only one management parameter appeared to be significant concerning the backward ears
- position: the number of visible neighbours (F=5.38, p=0.005). Horses that were allowed
- limited visual contacts with congeners ([0-1] visible neighbours: OR [IC] = 3.68 [1.76-7.71];
- 363 [2-4] visible neighbours: OR [IC] = 2.08 [1.01-4.30]) presented more backwards ears. On the
- 364 contrary, horses that could see more than 5 congeners (OR=1) had less backward ears position
- 365 (Table 1.).
- Seeing [0-1] neighbours appeared to be more important (RI=3.67) than seeing [2-4]
- anighbours (RI=2.08).

- 368 The exact opposite results appeared for predominant forwards ears.
- 369 No interaction emerged for these models.
- 370
- 4.1.5. Presence of "depressed-like" posture in the box
- No clear management parameter emerged as being especially influential here (p>0.05 in all
- 373 cases). It may be because this "syndrome" results from a combination of varied factors or
- because an important management factor was not examined here.
- 375
- 376 *4.2. Study 2: Integrating working conditions*
- **377 4.2.1.** Population
- A subset of 276 horses out of the 306 animals mentioned above, coming from 17 of the 20
- riding schools ($\bar{X} \pm se = 16.2 \pm 2.1$ horse/school) could be observed during beginners' riding
- lessons. This second group was constituted of 118 mares, 158 geldings, aged 3-30 y.o. ($\overline{X} \pm se$
- $=11.8\pm0.3$) from 23 breeds (39% unregistered, 27% French Saddlebreds and smaller
- proportions of other breeds). Most of the animals were horses (N=163, 59%) and 113 (41%)
- 383 were ponies. The riding lessons were recorded using a Sony HDR-XR105® camera with the
- protocol developed in Lesimple et al. (2010). Each time the horse-rider couple crossed
- perpendicularly the camera's field of vision, we recorded the rider's hands' height and reins
- length, shown as reliable predictors of vertebral disorders in Lesimple et al. (2010)'s study.
- From these observations, we calculated the time horses were ridden with high hands and
- **short reins**. Based on the data distribution, 3 categories were made for each of the parameters
- 389 (Table 1).
- On the 276 horses observed in this part of the study, 35.9% (N=99) expressed at least one
- 391 SB/ARB, 71.4% (N=197) presented wounds linked to bad fitted equipment, 40.2% (N=111)
- had mostly (>60% time) backwards ears, 34% (N=94) had mostly (>60% time) forwards ears
- and 41.3% (N=114) presented a "depressed-like" posture in the box. This subset was
- therefore representative of the whole population.
- 395
- 396 4.2.2. Presence of equipment-related wounds
- 397 Five of the investigated management parameters appeared as significant on the prevalence of
- equipment-related wounds: the type of equine (F=9.05, p=0.003), the time ridden with short
- reins (F=6.11, p=0.003), being released alone or in group (F=5.26, p=0.023), the number of
- 400 visible congeners (F=3.47, p=0.033) and the number of concentrate meals (F=5.13, p=0.007).

- 401 Animals ridden more than 40% of time with short reins were more at risk to develop
- 402 equipment-related wounds ([40-65]%: (OR [IC] = 4.97 [1.66-14.89]) or [73-93] % :(OR [IC]
- 403 = 6.93 [2.36-20.38], than those ridden [33-39] % time with short reins (Table 1.) (Fig. 2).
- 404 The animals ridden more than 70% with short reins (OR [IC] = 6.93 [2.36-20.38]), fed [3-6]
- 405 meals (OR [IC] = 5.69 [1.79-18.08]), released alone in paddock (OR[IC]=3.34[1.18-9.39]),
- and horse type (OR[IC] = 2.82[1.45-5.46]) were more prone to develop equipment related
- 407 wounds.
- Being ridden more than 70% of the time with short reins appeared as the most important
- 409 factor (RI: 6.96), followed by the number of concentrate meals (RI: 5.70), being ridden more
- than 40% time with short reins (RI: 4.95), the number of visible neighbours (RI: 3.63) and
- 411 being a horse (RI: 2.83).
- 412
- 413 4.2.3. Presence of SB/ARB
- 414 Seven management parameters appeared to significantly impact the prevalence of SB/ARB:
- the type of equine (F=17.32, p<0.0001) (Fig. 3.), the time ridden with short reins (F=12.49,
- 416 p < 0.0001), the box size (F=10.5, p=0.001), the litter (F=4.16, p= 0.04), the possibility of
- putting the head outside (F=3.13, p=0.045) and the number of hay meals (F=3.11, p=0.027).
- 418 Animals ridden [40-65] % of the time with short reins were more prone to develop SB/ARB
- than those ridden [33-39] % of the time with short reins (Table 2.).
- 420 The animals ridden [40-65]% time with short reins (OR [IC] = 6.5 [1.66-25.27]), with shaving
- 421 litter (OR [IC] =6.33 [1.11-36.2]), fed only 1 hay meal (OR[IC]= 4.47[1.05-18.99]), o f horse
- 422 type (OR[IC] = 4.19[2.08-8.46]), and released alone in paddocks (OR[IC] = 3.63[1.47-8.97])
- 423 were more prone to develop stereotypic behaviours..
- 424 The number of hay meals appeared as the most important parameter (RI: 5.99), followed by
- 425 the possibility of putting the head outside the box (RI: 5.31), the type of equine (RI: 4.62), the
- 426 box size (RI: 4.18), the modality of paddock release and the litter (RI: 3.63 for each) and the
- 427 time ridden with short reins (RI: 2.69).
- 428
- 429 4.2.4. "Depressed-like" posture in the box
- 430 Although riding parameters had no direct influence, when they were included in the analysis,
- 431 the proportion of animals presenting them tended to change according to these parameters
- 432 (F=2.33, p=0.09). However, as this factor is not statistically significant, it was not possible to
- 433 go further in the statistics and to determine the impact, positive or negative, of each modality

434 (dolichomorphic, mesomorphic, brachymorphic) on the prevalence of the depressed-like"435 posture.

436

437 5. Discussion

This study is, to our knowledge, the very first to investigate in details the relative impact of 438 several management parameters, including working conditions, type of equines and detailed 439 housing, feeding and social conditions on different expressions of welfare alteration in the 440 same population of horses. The prevalence of equipment related wound was very high, 441 442 compared to other studies (Burn et al., 2010a: 2-53%; Pritchard et al., 2005: 13.2-31.9% of 443 the horses, depending on the location of the lesions), whilst the welfare indicators recorded were in accordance with previous studies (SB/ARB, observational studies: Benhajali et al., 444 2010; Hausberger et al., 2007, 2009; Lesimple and Hausberger, 2014; Visser et al., 2008; 445 Waters et al., 2002: 22-96.6%; "depressed-like posture": Burn et al., 2010a: 2-63%; Fureix et 446 al., 2012: 24%). 447 448 Amongst the 17 management parameters investigated, 13 influenced significantly at least one 449 of the welfare indicators recorded. When the riding parameters were not taken into account, 450 our results confirmed the importance of feeding (Benhajali et al., 2008; Cooper et al., 2005; Jonsson and Egenval, 2006; Murray et al., 1996; Nicol et al., 2005; Tinker et al 1997), 451 paddock release (Christensen et al., 2002; Heleski et al., 2002; Visser et al., 2008) and social 452 opportunities (Christensen et al., 2002; McAfee et al., 2002; Mills and Riezebos, 2005), and 453 highlighted the emergence of often under-estimated parameters such as the type of equid and 454 the possibility to put the head outside the box. The relative importance of each management 455 parameter varied according to the welfare indicators concerned: the time spent in paddock, 456 type of equids and feeding conditions appear as particularly relevant concerning the 457 prevalence of SB/ARB and equipment-related wounds, whereas visual social opportunities 458 was the only criterion emerging for the ear position when feeding, and no particular parameter 459

460 emerged to explain the "depressed-like" posture. When including the riding parameters, they461 appeared as the second most influential parameter, just after the type of equid, and before the

462 paddock release, the feeding and social parameters. It is thus very important to include riding

463 parameters when investigating welfare in riding horses. Previous epidemiological surveys

464 investigating the impact of management parameters were mainly focused on the prevalence of

465 SB/ARB and based upon questionnaires. However, despite the methodological differences, a

roughage based diet with regular meals, less constraining working methods, and an increased

time spent in paddock were consensually identified as protecting from SB/ARB emergence

468 (Normando et al., 2011; McGreevy et al., 1995). In addition, in McGreevy et al. (2005)'s
469 study, when there were grilles or bars between the stalls, horses were less prone to develop
470 any SB/ARB.

In general, our population presented a high prevalence of welfare impairment signals 471 (wounds, SB/ARB, "depressed-like" posture and backwards ears). The proportion of horses 472 suffering equipment-related wounds was higher than those observed in horses working in 473 developing countries (Burn et al., 2010a, 2010b). In these studies, only "severe" lesions were 474 recorded, i.e. involving more or less deep incision of the skin. In our study, no severe lesion 475 476 was seen, but all the minor flesh lesions including hairs used but skin not incised were recorded, which could explain the differences between the evaluations. 477 478 Trying to give the horse a living environment the more alike natural conditions is part of welfare improvement. Thus, it is commonly recognised that a roughage based diet has a 479 480 positive impact on horses' welfare, both from a behavioural (Benhajali et al., 2008; Cooper et al., 2005; Tinker et al., 1997; Jonsson and Egenvall, 2006) and physiological (e.g. Harris, 481 482 2007; Nicol, 2000; Nicol et al., 2002) point of view. The dietary physiology of horses is adapted to a high fibre / low energetic intake (Harris, 2007; Martin-Rosset, 2008) and 483 484 according to most authors, the high prevalence of concentrates and the lack of roughage (i.e. fibres) in the diet of domestic horses is related to a high occurrence of colic, gastric 485 ulcerations, laminitis (Tinker et al., 1997; Jeffcott et al., 1986; Murray et al., 1996; Jonsson 486 and Egenvall, 2006) and SB/ARB (Bachmann et al., 2003; McGreevy et al., 1995; Nicol 487 1999). In our study, horses that received more roughage meals were less prone to develop 488 489 SB/ARB, and those that received more concentrates were more prone to have equipmentrelated wounds. This second relation is less intuitive. Two reasons however may explain this 490 relation: firstly concentrates are highly energetic (Martin Rosset 2008; Tinker et al 1997), and 491 secondly being stuck in a 9m² space increases the risk of active locomotion patterns when 492 outside the box (Lesimple et al., 2011). Thus, both the overflow of energy due to concentrates 493 and motion restriction related to box housing might increase the undesired behaviours and 494 495 unwillingness to slow down at work, leading the riders to have stronger actions on the bit, through the reins. The possibility also exist that overfed horses become fat, and develop 496 497 wounds at the level of the girth. The opportunity to move freely is an important feature of horses' life: under natural conditions and when allowed to, horses spend in average 90% of 498 499 their time grazing (Waring 2003), which means eating while walking (Houpt, 2005). Most of the authors agree that living in a paddock or pasture is more appropriate than living in a box: 500 501 horses housed in paddocks are less aggressive towards humans (Rivera et al., 2002) and

develop les SB/ARB (Chaya, 2006; Christensen et al., 2002) than those housed in boxes. This 502 503 is in accordance with our study: the more horses spent time in a paddock, the less they were prone to develop SB/ARB. The ability to move freely when in paddocks might also explain 504 505 the lower prevalence of equipment-related wounds: horses having the opportunity to move freely most of the day, they may be less impacted by the working constraints. On the other 506 507 hand, horses housed in single box, whose only opportunity to move is when ridden could be more reluctant to obey when at work. Increasing social inputs was also shown as improving 508 horse welfare (McAfee et al., 2002; Mills & Riezebos, 2005). Thus, horses housed with 509 510 congeners are less prone to develop SB/ARB (Christensen et al., 2002; Visser et al., 2008) 511 and increasing the possibility of visual contact (Mills and Riezebos, 2005; McGreevy et al., 512 1995) has been shown to have, to a lesser extent, the same positive effect. This is in accordance with our findings, that horses released in paddock with congeners are less prone to 513 514 develop SB/ARB and wounds, and that increasing visual contacts favours forwards ear position. However, increasing visual horizon towards moving congeners or inaccessible space 515 516 might increase horses' frustration, whereas close contact to the neighbours (through grids for 517 example) might be more positive (Benhajali et al., 2014; Hausberger et al., in prep; Mills and 518 Riezebos, 2004), which could explain the lowest risks for stereotypic behaviours when horses could not put the head outside (those that did were in general in indoor barns where they faced 519 several congeners at close distance). Working conditions are known to impact horses' welfare 520 (Burn et al., 2010a; Hausberger et al., 2009, 2011; Lesimple et al., 2010; 2012; Fonseca et al., 521 2006; Visser et al., 2014), but, apart from veterinarian studies, rarely taken into account as 522 part of the management parameters. If the effect of the discipline has already been 523 investigated (Fonseca et al., 2006; Hausberger et al., 2007, 2011), the impact of restrictive 524 riding techniques (short reins and high hands leading to an increased pressure in the horse's 525 mouth and on its neck, Lesimple et al 2010, subm.) has recently been shown as crucial for the 526 prevalence of back disorders (Lesimple et al., 2010, 2012). Here the data confirm earlier 527 findings showing that beginners in riding lessons may alter the spine of the horse (and its 528 529 mouth) by in particular riding with high hands and tensed short reins (Lesimple et al., 2010, subm). It is crucial that riding teachers be made aware of this as they can thus put more 530 531 emphasis on riders' positions during lessons (Lesimple et al 2010, in prep). This study 532 highlights that restrictive riding appears amongst the two most important parameters in the 533 emergence of SB/ARB and equipment-related wounds. More interesting still is the fact that when adding the working conditions, not only the models are strengthened but also, the type 534 535 of equine and the riding techniques (time riders spend with short reins) appear as the two most

- important factors involved in welfare impairment, and interactions emerged between these
 two parameters. Thus, in addition of being more prone to develop stereotypic behaviours and
- wounds than ponies, horses are also more sensitive to harmful riding techniques and
- constraining life conditions. Finally, this study confirms the low impact of sex (Fureix et al.,
- ⁵⁴⁰ 2010, 2011; Hausberger et al., 2004; Lesimple et al., 2010, 2011, 2012) and age (Cooper et
- ⁵⁴¹ al., 2000; Fonseca et al., 2006; Fureix et al., 2010, 2011; Hausberger et al., 2004; Haussler et
- al., 1999; Lesimple et al., 2010, 2011, 2012) on horse welfare. However, even if it was not
- possible to test a potential breed effect here despite its interest (Hausberger et al 2004;
- Lesimple et al 2011), we show for the first time that in these domestic situations, horses are
- 545 more at risk to develop stereotypic behaviours and wounds than ponies, which may reflect
- 546 more difficulties dealing with sub optimal conditions.
- These results highlight the multifactorial nature of welfare, both in terms of causes and of
 consequences on the animals. It is thus of primary importance to take into account the whole
 management (not in a parameter by parameter approach) and several modalities of welfare
- 549 management (not in a parameter by parameter approach) and several modalities of welfa
- expression when trying to investigate the quality of a system. This study allows the
- classification of management parameters leading to potential "positive" management
- strategies and enabling to identify "at risk" management strategies in terms of welfare, based
- on scientific evidence on a large population of horses, and highlights the key points
- 554 professionals should take care of to improve their horses' welfare.

555 6. Acknowledgments

The authors thank the owner and staff of the riding schools for allowing us to work with their
horses and for their understanding. This study was supported by grants from the Caisse
Centrale de la Mutualité Sociale Agricole and the French Research Ministry. The funders had
no role in the studies design, data collection and analyses, decision to publish or preparation
of the manuscript. The authors report no conflicts of interest.

561 7. Ethical note

- This study complies with the French laws related to animal experimentation and the European
- directive 86/609/CEE and were approved by the University of Rennes 1 local Animal Care
- 564 Committee any time approval was needed. Horse husbandry and care were under management
- of the riding schools: the horses used in this experiment were not research animals.
- 566

568 **References**

- Altman, J., 1974. Observational study of behaviour: Sampling methods. Behaviour, 49, 227 267.
- Bachmann, I., Audige, L., Stauffacher, M., 2003. Risk factors associated with behavioural
 disorders of crib-biting, weaving and box-walking in Swiss horses. Equine Vet. J. 35,
 158–163.
- Bashaw, M., Tarou, L., Maki, T., Maple, T., 2001. A survey of assessment of variables related
 to stereotypy in captive giraffe and okapi. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 73, 235-247.
- Benhajali, H., Richard-Yris, M.A., Leroux, M., Ezzaouia, M., Charfi, F., Hausberger, M.,
 2008. A note on the time budget and social behaviour of densely housed horses A case
 study in Arab breeding mares. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 112, 196-200.
- Benhajali, H., Richard-Yris, M.A., Ezzaouia, M., Charfi, F., Hausberger, M., 2009. Foraging
 opportunity: a crucial criterion for horse welfare? Animal 3, 1308-1312.
- Benhajali, H., Richard-Yris, M-A., Ezzaouia, M., Charfi, F., Hausberger, M., 2010. Factors
 influencing conception rates of Arab mares in Tunisia. Anim. Reprod. Sci. 117, 106110.
- Benhajali, H., Ezzaouia, M., Lunel, C., Charfi, F., Hausberger, M., 2013. Temporal feeding
 pattern may influence reproduction efficiency, the example of breeding mares. PLoS
 ONE, 8(9): e73858. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073858.
- Benhajali, H., Ezzaouia, M., Lunel, C., Charfi, F., Hausberger, M.,2014. Stereotypic
 behaviours and mating success in domestic mares. Appl. ANim. Behva. Sci. 153, 36-42.
- Bouissou, M.F., Boissy, A., Veissier, I. 2001. The social behaviour of cattle. In: Keeling, LJ.,
 Gonyou, H.W. (Eds.), Social Behaviour in Farm Animals. CABI Publishing,
 Wallingford, UK, pp. 113-145.
- Burn, C.C., Dennison, T.L., Whay, H.R. 2010a. Environmental and demographic risk factors
 for poor welfare in working horses, donkeys and mules in developing countries. Vet. J.
 186, 385–392.
- Burn, C., Dennison, T? Whay, H., 2010b. Relationships between behavior and health in
 working horses, donkeys, and mules in developing coutries. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.
 126, 109-118.
- Casamassima, D., Sevi, A., Palazzo, M., Ramacciato, R.Collela, G.,E., Bellitti, A., 2001.
 Effects of two different housing systems on behaviour, physiology and milk yield of
 Comisana wews. Small Ruminant Res. 41, 151-161.

| 601 602 | Chabchoub, A., Landolsi, F., Jary, Y., 2004. Etude des paramètres morphologiques de chevaux Barbes de Tunisie. Revue Med. Vet. 155, 31-37. |
|-------------------|---|
| 603 604 605 | Chaya, L., E. Cowan, & B. McGuire, 2006. A note on the relationship between time spent in turnout and behaviour during turnout in horses (Equus caballus). Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 98, 155-160. |
| 606 607 608 | Christensen, J., Ladewig, J., Sondergaard, E., Malmkvist, J., 2002. Effects of individual versus group stabling on social behaviour in domestic stallions. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 75, 233-248. |
| 609 | Cooper, J.J., McDonald, L., Mills, D.S., 2000. The effect of increasing visual horizons on |
| 610 | stereotypic weaving: implications for the social housing of stabled horses. Appl. Anim. |
| 611 | Behav. Sci. 69, 67–83. |
| 612 | Cooper, J., McCall, N., Johnson, S., Davidson, H., 2005. The short-term effects of increasing |
| 613 | meal frequency on stereotypic behavior of stabled horses. Appl. Anim. Behave. Sci. 90, |
| 614 | 351-364. |
| 615 | Fonseca, B.P.A., Alves, A.L.G., Nicoletti, J.L.M., Thornassian, A., Hussni, C.A., Mikail, S., |
| 616 | 2006. Thermography and ultrasonography in back pain diagnosis of equine athletes. J. |
| 617 | Equine Vet. Sci. 26, 507-516. |
| 618 619 620 | Freire, R., Clegg, H., Buckley, P., Friend, M., McGreevy, P. 2009. The effects of two different amounts of dietary grain on the digestibility of the diet and behavior of intensively managed horses. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 117, 69-73. |
| 621 | Fureix, C. 2009. Réactions à l'homme et bien-être / mal-être chez le cheval Equus caballus. |
| 622 | Vers des indicateurs. Thèse de l'Université de Rennes 1. |
| 623 | Fureix, C., Menguy, H., hausberger, M., 2010. Partenrs with bad temper : reject or cure ? A |
| 624 | study of chronic pain and aggression in horses. PLoS ONE 5(8), e12434. |
| 625 | doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012434. |
| 626 | Fureix, C., Gorecka-Bruzda, A., Gautier, E., Hausberger, M., 2011b. Cooccurence of yawning |
| 627 | and stereotypic behavior in horses (Equus caballus). ISRN Zool. 2011 |
| 628 | doi:10.5402/2011/271209. |
| 629 | Fureix. C., Jego ; P. ? Henry, S., Lansade, L., Hausberger, M., 2012. Towards an ethological |
| 630 | model of depression? A study on horses. PLoS ONE 7, e39280. |
| 631 | doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039280. |
| 632 | Fureix, V., Beaulieu, C., Argaud, S., Rochais, C., Quinton, M., Henry, S., Hausberger, M., |
| 633 | Mason, G., 2015. Investing anhedonia in a non-conventional species : do someriding |
| 634 | school horses Equus caballus display symptoms of depression ? Appl. NAim., Behva., |
| 635 | Sci. 162, 26-36. |

Grignard, L., Boissy, A., Boivin, X., Garel, J.P., Le neindre, P., 2000. The social environment 636 influences the behavioural repsonses of beef cattle to handling. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 637 68, 1-11. 638 Harris, P., 2007. How should we feed horses- and how many times a day? Vet. J. 173, 252-639 253. 640 Hausberger, M., Muller, C., 2002. A brief note on some possible factors involved in the 641 reactions of horses to humans. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 76, 339-344. 642 Hausberger, M., Bruderer, U., Le Scolan, N., Pierre, J.S., 2004. Interplay between 643 environmental and genetic factors in temperament/personality traits in horses (Equus 644 caballus). J. Comp. Psychol. 118, 434-446. 645 Hausberger, M., Henry, S., Larose, C., Richard-Yris, M.A., 2007. First suckling: a crucial 646 event for mother-young attachment? An experimental study in horses (Equus caballus). 647 J. Comp. Psychol. 121, 109-112. 648 649 Hausberger, M., Gautier, E., Biquand, V., Lunel, C., Jego, P., 2009. Could work be a source of behavioural disorders? A study in horses. PloS ONE 4, e7625. 650 651 Hausberger, M., Muller, C. & Lunel, C. 2011. Does work affect personality? A study in horses. PLoS ONE, 6(2), 1-5. 652 Haussler, K.K., Stover, S.M., Willits, N.H., 1999. Pathologic changes in the lumbosacral 653 vertebrae and pelvis in Thoroughbred racehorses. Am. J. Vet. Res. 60, 143-153. 654 Heleski, C.R., Shelle, A.C., Nielsen, B.D., Zanella, A.J., 2002. Influence of housing on 655 656 weanling horse behavior and subsequent welfare. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 78, 291-302. Hockenhull, J., Creighton, E., 2010. Equipment and training risk factors associated with 657 ridden behavior problem in UK leisure horses. J. Vet. Behav. 5, 658 doi:10.1016/j.jveb.2009.09.019. 659 660 Hockenhull, J., Creighton, E., 2012. Equipment and training risk factors associated with ridden behaviour problems in UK leisure horses. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 137, 36-42. 661 Houpt, K.A., 2005. Maintenance Behaviours. The Domestic Horse; the Evolution, 662 Development and Management of its behaviour. Cambridge University Press, pp. 94-663 108. 664 Jeffcott, L.B., Field, J.R., McLean, J.G., O'Dea, K., 1986. Glucose tolerance and insulin 665 sensitivity in ponies and Standardbred horses. Eq. Vet. J. 18, 97-101. 666 Jonsson, H., Egenval, A., 2006. Prevalence of gastric ulceration in Swedish Standardbreds in 667 race training. Eq. Vet. J. 38, 209-213. 668 Keiper, D., 1968. Causal factors of stereotypies in caged birds. Anim. Behav. 17, 114-119. 669

- Lesimple, C., Hausberger, M., 2014. How accurate are we at assessing others' well-being? the
 example of welfare assessment in horses. Front. Psychol. 5, 1-6. doi:
 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00021.
- Lesimple, C., Fureix, C., Menguy, H., Hausberger, M., 2010. Human direct actions may alter
 animal welfare, a study on horses (Equus caballus). PLoS ONE 5, e10257.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010257.
- Lesimple, C., Feureix, C., LeScolan, N., Richard-Yris, M.A., Hausberger, M., 2011. Housing
 conditions and breed are associated with emotionality and cognitive abilities in riding
 school horses. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 129, 92-99.
- Lesimple, C., Fureix, C., De Margerie, E., Sénèque, E., Menguy, H. Hausberger, M., 2012.
 Towards a Postural Indicator of Back Pain in Horses (Equus caballus). PLoS ONE 7, e44604. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044604.
- Main, D., Whay. R,. Green, L.E., Webster. A.J., 2003. Effect of the RSPCA Freedom food
 scheme on the welfare of dairy cattle. Vet. Rec. 153, 227-231.
 doi:10.1136/vr.153.8.227.
- Martin-Rosset, W., 2008. Energy requirements and allowance of exercising horses. Nutrition
 of the exercising horse. Wageningen Accademic Pub, pp 103-138.
- Mason, G.J., 1991b. Stereotypy: a critical review. Anim. Behav. 41, 1015–1038.
- McAfee, L.M., Mills, D.S., Cooper, J.J., 2002. The use of mirrors for the control of
 stereotypic weaving behaviour in the stabled horse, Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 78, 159173.
- McBride, S., Long, L., 2001. Management of hrses showing stereotypic behaviour, owner
 perception and the implication for welfare. Vet. Rec. 148, 799-802.
- McGreevy, P.D., Cripps, P.J., French, N.P., Green, L.E., Nicol, C.J., 1995a. Management
 factors associated with stereotypic and redirected behavior in the thoroughbred horse.
 Equine Vet. J. 27, 86-91.
- Meehan, C.L., Garner, J.P., Mench, J.A., 2003. Environmental enrichment and development
 of cage stereotypy in orange-winged amazon parrots (Amazona amazonica). Dev.
 Psychobiol. 44, 209-218.
- Meunier-Salaün, M.C., Vantrimponte, M.N., Raab, A., Dantzer, R., 1987. Effect of floor area
 restriction upon performance, behavior and physiology of growing-finishing pigs. J.
 Anim. Sci. 64, 1371-1377.
- Mills, D.S., 2005. Repetitive movement problems in the horse. In: Mills, D.S., McDonnell,
 S.M. (Eds.), The Domestic Horse, The Origins, Development and Management of its
 Behaviour, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 212-227.

- Mills, D.S., Riezebos, M., 2005. The role of the image of a conspecific in the regulation of
 stereotypic head movements in the horse. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 91, 155-165.
- Mills, D., Alston, R., Rogers, V., Longford., 2002. Factors associated with the prevalence of
 stereotypic amongst Thoroughbred horses passing through auctioneer sales. Appl.
 Anim. Behave. Sci. 78, 115-124.
- Murray, M.J., Eichorn, E.S. 1996. Effects of intermittent feed deprivation, intermittent feed
 deprivation with ranitidine administration, and stall confinement with ad liitum access
 to hay on gastric ulceration in horses. Am J Vet Res; 11, 1599-1603.
- Napolitano, F., De Rosa, G., Braghieri, A., Grasso, F., Bordi, A., Wemelsfeder, F., 2008. The
 qualitative assessement of responsiveness to environmental challenges in horses and
 ponies. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 109, 342-354.
- Nicol, C.J., 1999. Understanding equine stereotypies. Equine Vet. J. Suppl. 28, 20-25.
- Nicol, C.J., 2000. Equine Stereotypies. In: Houpt. K.A. (Ed.), Recent Advances in Companion
 Animal Behavior Problems. Ithaca: International Veterinary Information Service.
 (http://www.ivis.org/advances/Behavior_Houpt/nicol/chapter_frm.asp?LA=1).
- Nicol, C.J., Davidson, H.P.D., Harris, P.A., Waters, A.J., Wilson, A.D., 2002. Study of cribbiting and gastric inflammation and ulceration in young horses. Vet. Rec. 151, 658-662.
- Nicol, C.J., Badnell-Waters, A.J., Bice, R., Kelland, A., Wilson, A.D., Harris, P.A., 2005. The
 effects of diet and weaning method on the behaviour of young horses. Appl. Anim.
 Behav. Sci. 95, 205- 221.
- Normando, S., Canali, E., Ferrante, V., Verga, M., 2002. Behavioral problems in italian
 saddle horses. J. Eq. vet. Sci. 22, 117-120.
- Normando, S., Meers, L., Samuels, W.E., Faustini, M., Odberg, F.O., 2011. Variables
 affecting the prevalence of behavioural problems in horses. Can riding style and other
 management factors be significant? Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 133, 186-198.
- 730 Ödberg, F.O., 1978. A study of the hearing ability of horses. Equine Vet. J. 10, 82-84.
- Parker, M., Goodwin, D., Redheard, E.S., 2008. Survey of breeders' management of horses
 in Europe, North America and Australia: comparison of factors associated with the
 development of abnormal behaviour. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 114, 206–215.
- Pedersen, G.R., Sondergaard, E., Ladewig, J., 2004. The influence of bedding on the time
 horses spend recumbent. J. Eq. Vet. Sci. 24, 153-158.
- Popescu, S., Diugan, E.A., 2013. the relationship between behavioural and the other welfare
 indicators of working horses. J. Eq. Vet. Sci. 33, 1-12.

- Pritchard, J.C., Lindberg, A.C., Main, D.C.J., Whay, H.R., 2005. Assessment of the welfare of
 working horses, mules and donkeys, using health and behaviour parameters. Prev. Vet.
 Med. 69, 265-283.
- Pritchett, L.C., Ulibarri, C., Roberts, M.C., Schneider, R.K., Sellon, D.C., 2003. Identification
 of potential physiological and behavioral indicators of postoperative pain in horses after
 exploratory celiotomy for colic. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 80, 31-43.
- Raabymagle, P., Ladewig, J., 2006. Lying behaviour in horses in relation to box size. J. Equ.
 Vet. Sci. 26, 11-17.
- Reefmann, N., Kaszas, F.B., Wechsler, B., Gygax, L., 2009 Ear and tail postures as indicators
 of emotional valence in sheep. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 118, 199-207.
- Rivera, E., Benjamin, S., Nielsen, B., Shelle, J., Zanella, A.J., 2002. Behavioral and
 physiological responses of horses to initial training: the comparison between pastured
 versus stalled horses. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 78, 235-252.
- Tinker, M., White, N.A., Lessard, P., Thatcher, C.D., Plzer, K.D., Davis, B, Carole, D.K.,
 1997. Prospective study of equine colic risk factors. Equine. Vet. J. 29, 454-458
- Upjohn, M., Shipton, K., Pfeiffer, D., Lerotholi, T., Attwood, G., Verheyen, K., 2012. Crosssectional surbey of owner knowledge and husbandry practices, tack and health issues
 affecting working horses in Lesotho. Eq. Vet. J. 44, 310-318.
- van Reenen, C.G., Mars, M.H., Leushuis, I.E., Rijsewijk, F.A.M., van Oirschot, J.T.,
 Blokhuis, H.J., 2000. Social isolation may influence responsiveness to infection with
 bovine herpesvirus 1 in veal calves. Vet. Microbiol. 75, 135-143.
- Vecchioti, G., Galanti, R., 1986. Evidence of heredity of cribbing, weaving and stall walking
 in thoroughbred horses. Livestock Prod. Sci. 14, 91-95.
- Visser, E.K., Ellis, A.D., Van Reenen, C.G., 2008. The effect of two different housing
 conditions on the welfare of young horses stabled for the first time. Appl. Anim. Behav.
 Sci. 114, 521-533.
- Visser, E.K., Neijenhuis, F., De Graff-Roelfseman, E., Wesselink, H.G.M., de Boer, J., van
 Wijhe-Kiezebrink, M.C., Engel, B., van Reenen, C.G., 2014. Risk factors associated
 with health disorders in sport and leisure horses in the Netherlands. J. Anim. Sci. 92,
 844-855.
- Wallin, L., Strandberg, E., Philipsson, J., Dallin, G., 2000. Estimates of longevity and causes
 of culling and death in Swedish warmblood and coldblood horses. Livestock Prod. Sci.
 63, 275-289. DOI: 10.1016/S0301-6226(99)00126-8.
- Waring, G., 2003. Horse Behavior, second edition. Noyes Publications/William Andrew
 Publishing, Norwich, New York.

Waters, A., Nicol, C., French, N., 2002. Factors influencing the development of stereotypic
and redirected behaviours in young horses: findings of a four year prospective
epidemiological study. Equine Vet. J. 34, 572-579.

Wechsler, B., Fröhlich, E., Oester, H., Oswald, T., Troxler, J., Weber, R., Schmid, H., 1997.
The contribution of applied athology in judging animal welfare in farm animal housing
systems. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 53, 33-43.

Whay, H., Main, D., Green, L.E., Webster. A. 2003. Assessment of the welfare of dairy cattle
using animal-based measurements: Direct observations and investigation of farm
records. Vet. Rec. 153, 197–202.

782

785 Tables

Table 1. F values and Odd Ratio of the management parameters and their different modalities
included in the logistic regression model of each welfare indicator. The welfare indicators are
presented in column and the management parameters in lines. To read the logistic regression

model for each indicator, the table has to be read in columns.

791

| | | SB/ARB | Wounds | Backw. Ears | Forw. Ears |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| | | F OR [IC] | OR [IC] | F OR [IC] ^b | F OR [IC] ^b |
| T., 41., 14., 1 | Туре | 8.60 ** | 4.59* | | |
| informations | Horse | 2.56 [1.39-4.69] | 1.87 [1.1-3.2] | | |
| mormations | pony | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Type of door | 4.31* | | | |
| | Yes | 1 | | | |
| 11 | Lim | 0.44 [0.22-0.85] | | | |
| Housing | No | 0.38 [0.15-0.96] | | | |
| conditions | Time in paddock | 14.12*** | 7.77*** | | |
| | [0-16.14] | 3.69 [1.88-7.22] | 2.37 [1.35-4.18] | | |
| | [25-83] | 1 | 1 | | |
| | Visual contact | | | 3 36 * | 3.38* |
| | visual contact | | | 5.50 | 0.00 |
| Social | [0-1] | | | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] |
| Social possibilities | [0-1] [2-4] | | | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] 2.08 [1.01-4.30] | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] 0.4 [0.2-0.77] |
| Social possibilities | [0-1] [2-4] [5-more] | | | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] 2.08 [1.01-4.30] 1 | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] 0.4 [0.2-0.77] 1 |
| Social possibilities | [0-1] [2-4] [5-more] No hay meals | | 3.75** | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] 2.08 [1.01-4.30] 1 | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] 0.4 [0.2-0.77] 1 |
| Social possibilities | [0-1] [2-4] [5-more] No hay meals [0] | | 3.75 ** 2.44 [1.03-5.80] | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] 2.08 [1.01-4.30] 1 | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] 0.4 [0.2-0.77] 1 |
| Social possibilities | [0-1] [2-4] [5-more] No hay meals [0] [1] | | 3.75** 2.44 [1.03-5.80] 2.29 [1.26-4.16] | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] 2.08 [1.01-4.30] 1 | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] 0.4 [0.2-0.77] 1 |
| Social possibilities | [0-1] [2-4] [5-more] No hay meals [0] [1] [2] | | 3.75 ** 2.44 [1.03-5.80] 2.29 [1.26-4.16] 1 | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] 2.08 [1.01-4.30] 1 | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] 0.4 [0.2-0.77] 1 |
| Social possibilities | [0-1] [2-4] [5-more] No hay meals [0] [1] [2] [3-10] | | 3.75 ** 2.44 [1.03-5.80] 2.29 [1.26-4.16] 1 0.45 [0.14-1.45] | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] 2.08 [1.01-4.30] 1 | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] 0.4 [0.2-0.77] 1 |
| Social possibilities Feeding conditions | [0-1] [2-4] [5-more] No hay meals [0] [1] [2] [3-10] No concentrate meals | 3.25* | 3.75 ** 2.44 [1.03-5.80] 2.29 [1.26-4.16] 1 0.45 [0.14-1.45] | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] 2.08 [1.01-4.30] 1 | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] 0.4 [0.2-0.77] 1 |
| Social possibilities Feeding conditions | [0-1] [2-4] [5-more] No hay meals [0] [1] [2] [3-10] No concentrate meals [0] | 3.25 * 0.12 [0.01-0.95] | 3.75 ** 2.44 [1.03-5.80] 2.29 [1.26-4.16] 1 0.45 [0.14-1.45] | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] 2.08 [1.01-4.30] 1 | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] 0.4 [0.2-0.77] 1 |
| Social possibilities Feeding conditions | [0-1] [2-4] [5-more] No hay meals [0] [1] [2] [3-10] No concentrate meals [0] [1-2] | 3.25 * 0.12 [0.01-0.95] 1 | 3.75 ** 2.44 [1.03-5.80] 2.29 [1.26-4.16] 1 0.45 [0.14-1.45] | 3.68 [1.76-7.71] 2.08 [1.01-4.30] 1 | 0.42 [0.21-0.86] 0.4 [0.2-0.77] 1 |

792

793 F: F value. * p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.005

794 OR IC[]: Odd Ratio and Confidence Interval.

For the presence of SB/ARB, Wounds, Abn Post, and Backw. Ears modalities with OR<1 are increasing horses'
 welfare

796 wellare

797 For the Forw. Ears, modalities with OR>1 are increasing horses' welfare

798

784

Table 2. F values and Odd Ratio of the management parameters, including riding parameters

801 on the presence of SB/ARB, wounds and abnormal postures. The welfare indicators are

presented in column and the management parameters in lines. To read the logistic regression

803 model for each indicator, the table has to be read in columns.

| | | | SB/ARB | Wounds | Abn Post |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | | | | | |
| | Proportion | | | OK [IC] | 2 22 ° |
| | Пороглон | Dolicho | | | 2.35 |
| | | Meso | | | |
| | | Brachy | | | |
| | Туре | brachy. | 17 27 *** | 0 05 *** | |
| | Type | Horso | 10 [2 09 9 46] | | |
| | | Bony | 4.19 [2,06-6,40] | 2.02 [1.45-5.40] | |
| | Boy's size | FOIIy | 10 /7 ** | 1 | |
| | BOX S SIZE | Lorgo | 10.47 | | |
| | | Small | 1 0 18 [0 07-0 5] | | |
| | Litter | Sman | <u>4 16 *</u> | | |
| Housing | Litter | Straw | 1 | | |
| conditions | | Shaving | 6.33 [1.11-36.2] | | |
| | Type of door | 0 | 3.13 * | | |
| | 21 | Yes | 1 | | |
| | | Lim | 0.38 [0.16-0.86] | | |
| | | No | 0.37 [0.12-1.17] | | |
| | Tactile contact | | | | |
| | | Yes | | | |
| | | No | | | |
| | Visual contact | | | 3.47 * | |
| Social | | [0-1] | | 0.27 [0.1-0.75] | |
| possibilities | | [2-4] | | 0.50 [0,17-1,41] | |
| | | [5-more] | | 1 | |
| | Paddock release | | 8.29 *** | 5.26 * | |
| | | Alone | 3.63 [1.47-8.97] | 3.34 [1.18-9.39] | |
| | | Group | 1 | 1 | |
| | No hay meals | | 3.11 * | | |
| | | [0] | 4.04 [1.57-23.22] | | |
| | | [1] | 4.47 [1.05-18.99] | | |
| Fooding | | [2] | 1 | | |
| conditions | | [3-10] | 0.22 [0.01-2.85] | | |
| 25.14.1.0115 | No concentrate | meals | | 5.13 ** | |
| | | [0] | | 3.60 [0.52-24.65] | |
| | | [1-2] | | 1 | |
| | | (3-6] | | 5.69 [1.79-18.08] | |
| | Short reins | | 12.49 *** | 6.11 *** | |
| Working | | [33.64-38.33] | 1 | 1 | |
| conditions | | [40-64.60] | 6.47 [1.66-25.27] | 4.97 [1.66-14.89] | |
| | | [73.13-93.54] | 0.98 [0.21-4.48] | 6.93 [2.36-20.38] | |

⁸⁰⁴

805 F: F value. * p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.005

806 OR IC[]: Odd Ratio and Confidence Interval.

For the presence of SB/ARB, Wounds, Abn Post, and Backw. Ears modalities with OR<1 are increasing horses'
 welfare

809 For the Forw. Ears, modalities with OR>1 are increasing horses' welfare

810

811 Figure captions

- Fig. 1. Distribution of the number of hay meals amongst our population of horses: example of categories. The points in black represent the number of horses for each number of meals. The circles in grey represent the categories built on the basis of the data distribution.
- Fig. 2. Proportion of horses with and without equipment-related wounds according to the timeridden with short reins. The proportion of horses presenting wounds equipment-related
- 817 increases with the time ridden with short reins.
- Fig. 3. Proportion of horses with and without SB/ARB according to the number of hay meals.
- 819 The proportion of horses with SB/ARB decreases when the number of hay meals increases.

Figures



Fig. 1. Distribution of the number of hay meals amongst our population of horses: example of categories. The points in black represent the number of horses for each number of meals. The circles in grey represent the categories built on the basis of the data distribution.



Fig. 2. Proportion of horses with and without equipment-related wounds according to the time ridden with short reins. The proportion of horses presenting wounds equipment-related increases with the time ridden with short reins.



Fig. 3. Proportion of horses with and without SB/ARB according to the number of hay meals. The proportion of horses with SB/ARB decreases when the number of hay meals increases.