

Cross-cluster transition-metal bonding in oblato-nido dimetallaboranes unveiled by topological analysis

Benoît Boucher, Jean-François Halet, Miroslav Kohout

▶ To cite this version:

Benoît Boucher, Jean-François Halet, Miroslav Kohout. Cross-cluster transition-metal bonding in oblato-nido dimetallaboranes unveiled by topological analysis. Computational and Theoretical Chemistry, 2015, 1068, pp.134-141. 10.1016/j.comptc.2015.06.029. hal-01174900

HAL Id: hal-01174900 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01174900

Submitted on 25 Nov 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Cross-cluster transition-metal bonding in oblato-nido dimetallaboranes unveiled by topological analysis

Benoît Boucher

Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR 6226 CNRS-Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes-Université de Rennes 1, Avenue du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes, France

Max-Planck-Institut für Chemische Physik fester Stoffe, Nöthnitzer Strasse 40, 01187 Dresden, Germany

Jean-François Halet

Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR 6226 CNRS-Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Rennes-Université de Rennes 1, Avenue du Général Leclerc, 35042 Rennes, France

Miroslav Kohout*

Max-Planck-Institut für Chemische Physik fester Stoffe, Nöthnitzer Strasse 40, 01187 Dresden, Germany

Abstract

The bonding situation for the oblato-nido dimetallaboranes $(CpV)_2B_5H_{11}$ and $(Cp^*T)_2B_5H_{5+x}$, where T = Ta, Cr, Mo, W, Re and $Cp^*=C_5Me_5$, was analyzed using the corresponding model series with Cp^* replaced by the cyclopentadienyl C_5H_5 . The application of different bonding indicators revealed that both through-space and through-bond (via boron atoms of the ring) interactions account for a substantial metal-metal bond.

Keywords: Metal-metal bond, Atoms in molecules, Delocalization index, Electron localizability indicator

1. Introduction

There are today over hundred molecular dimetallaboranes, which have been synthesized and characterized with nearly all the transition metals (T), both early and late metals (see for example Fehlner *et al.*[1-3]). They constitute a class of compounds which has revealed numerous possibilities for the way metal and borane fragments interact to generate novel structures, demonstrating the important role for the transition metal in the structural arrangements which are observed. Among these compounds the molecules $(CpV)_2B_5H_{11}$, [4] $(Cp^*Cr)_2B_5H_9$, [5] ₂₅ $(Cp^*Mo)_2B_5H_9,[6] (Cp^*Ta)_2B_5H_{11},[6] (Cp^*W)_2B_5H_9,[3] and$ $(Cp^*Re)_2B_5H_2Cl_5[7]$, whereby $Cp^* = C_5Me_5$, constitute examples of early transition-metal dimetallaboranes which all adopt the same open and flattened hexagonal bipyramidal *nido* geometry and possess the electron count of 12 cluster valence electrons. Their structural peculiarities are the oblate (i. e., flattened) shape (these compounds are termed as oblato-nido species) [8] and the fact that the metal atoms occupy opposite vertexes in such a way that the *T*-*T* distance is consistent with possible existence of $_{45}$ a cross-cluster bonding interaction. Indeed, short T-Tdistances have been measured experimentally. [3–7] Such

In the following, the through-space T-T interaction in the Cp $T_2B_5H_{5+x}$ model series, with the number of bridging (H_b) atoms x = 6 for V, Ta, x = 4 for Cr, Mo, W, and x = 2 for Re, respectively, is analyzed. The investigation was performed using the electron density and its derivatives within the framework of the quantum theory of atoms in molecules [21] (QTAIM), as well as utilizing several bonding indicators like the delocalization indices [22, 23] (DI), the Fermi orbitals (FO) from the domainaveraged Fermi-hole analysis[24–26] (DAFH), the covalent bond order from the evaluation of localized natural orbitals (LNO),[27] and the electron localizability indicator ELI-D.[28, 29]

Email address: kohout@cpfs.mpg.de (Miroslav Kohout)

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

structures are apparently highly hypoelectronic and do not obey the Wade-Mingos rules [9–11] as generally do boranes, carboranes and late-transition metallaboranes. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations have been able to sort out this apparent systematic rule deviation, [7] but the proximity of the two metal atoms on opposite sides in the flattened direction allows some speculation about the nature of T-T interaction. This has been tackled several times in the literature using MO analysis. [1, 2, 7, 8, 12–20] However, whereas the conclusions seem to fully converge with some studies evidencing a single T-T bond, [7] some others suggest a formal internal T-T double bond.[8]

^{*}Corresponding author

2. Theory section

50 2.1. Electronic structure calculations

The calculations were performed with the Gaussian09 program [30] at the density-functional level of theory (DFT). The computational demand for the oblato-nido species, cf. Fig. 1, was reduced replacing $Cp^* = C_5Me_5$ by $Cp = C_5H_5$

- and Cl by H. This substitution is not expected to have significant influence on the analysis. The atoms H, B, C and O were described by the 6-31G** basis sets and the T atoms by the corresponding LANL2DZ basis sets utilizing effective core potentials. For the DFT calculations
- the BVP86 functional was used. [31-33] The structure of $_{90}$ the examined model systems was optimized and the harmonic vibrational frequencies were computed to check the stationarity of the optimized geometries.

2.2. Methods

⁶⁵ The calculation of the bonding indicators and the topological analysis was performed with the DGrid-4.6 program.[34]than the *cis* configuration as proposed earlier by others.[7] The electron density, the ELI-D, and the Fermi orbitals were computed on equidistant grids using a 0.1 bohr mesh size. Table 2: Optimized Interatomic Distances (Å).

- Following the QTAIM approach the electron density was searched for critical points (points of zero density gradient in case of Gaussian basis) and several properties were evaluated at the saddle points (so-called bondcritical points, *bcp*). For each *bcp* the bond path, represent-
- ⁷⁵ ing the bonding interaction, was computed. The density basins (spatial regions enclosed by density-gradient zeroflux surfaces) were determined and the electronic population within evaluated, leading to the effective charges of the atomic regions. Additionally, the overlap integrals over
- the QTAIM basins were computed. Those were utilized to compute the delocalization indices (DI), connected with the bond order, as well as the Fermi orbitals (FO) and the¹⁰⁰ localized natural orbitals (LNO).



Figure 1: The oblato-nido species.

3. Results and discussions

85 3.1. Structure optimization

The experimental interatomic distances between the two transition metals, together with the (averaged) distances from the T to the carbon and boron, respectively,¹²⁰

Table 1: Interatomic Distances from Experiment (Å).

| | | 1 | () |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------|
| compound | T-T | T - C^a | $T	ext{-}\mathrm{B}^a$ |
| $(CpV)_2B_5H_{11}$ | 2.7604(10)[4] | 2.247 | 2.217 |
| $(Cp^*Cr)_2B_5H_9$ | 2.6246(9)[6] | 2.198 | 2.147 |
| $(Cp^*Mo)_2B_5H_9$ | 2.8085(6)[6] | 2.328 | 2.248 |
| $(Cp^*Ta)_2B_5H_{11}$ | 2.9261(4)[5] | 2.384 | 2.326 |
| $(Cp^*W)_2B_5H_9$ | 2.8170(8)[3] | 2.326 | 2.239 |
| $(Cp^*Re)_2B_5H_2Cl_5$ | 2.7641(3)[7] | 2.292 | 2.201 |
| ^{<i>a</i>} averaged. | | | |

in the oblato-nido species under consideration are compiled in Table 1.

The geometry optimization of the corresponding model systems results in T-T distances deviating from the experimental ones within few pm only, cf. the data reported in Table 2 (see Supplementary data for the optimized model structures). In case of $(CpRe)_2B_5H_7$ the *trans* configuration of the two H_b atoms is energetically more favorable [than the *cis* configuration as proposed earlier by others.[7]

| Table 2: Optin | nized Interato | mic Distances | (Å). |
|---------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| compound | T-T | T - C^a | $T	ext{-}\mathrm{B}^{a}$ |
| $(CpV)_2B_5H_{11}$ | 2.691 | 2.281 | 2.221 |
| $(CpCr)_2B_5H_9$ | 2.595 | 2.206 | 2.155 |
| $(CpMo)_2B_5H_9$ | 2.828 | 2.364 | 2.266 |
| $(CpTa)_2B_5H_{11}$ | 2.938 | 2.423 | 2.338 |
| $(CpW)_2B_5H_9$ | 2.831 | 2.356 | 2.264 |
| $(CpRe)_2B_5H_7$ | 2.745 | 2.315 | 2.201 |

 \overline{a} averaged.

3.2. Bond paths

The evaluation of the critical points of the electron density distribution ρ followed by the search for the bond paths, i.e., field lines of $\nabla \rho$ connecting bond-critical points (saddle points) with attractors (ρ maxima) yields so-called molecular graph.[21] For each model system the corresponding molecular graph, cf. Fig. 2 closely resembles the classical chemical structure diagrams. The metal atoms are linked by a bond path to each carbon of the close Cp ligand. In case of the bridging hydrogens the corresponding paths are strongly curved, whereby the metal-boron path is not present, in contrast to Fig. 1 where the closest interatomic contacts are just schematically depicted.

The presence of T-T bonding interaction, as suggested by the short interatomic distance, was clearly confirmed by the existence of the bond-critical point and the corresponding bond path connecting the two metals. The T-T bond path was found for all the examined model systems. The density Laplacian at the bcp is positive in all cases, cf. Table 3, thus showing a charge depletion which would mark a "closed-shell" interaction. However, this is a situation not untypical for the *d*-metals[35, 36]. Because of the relatively high electron density at the bcp (higher than twice the density of overlapping atoms) as well as

115



Figure 2: Molecular graphs for the model systems $(CpV)_2B_5H_{11}$ (1), $(CpCr)_2B_5H_9$ (2), $(CpMo)_2B_5H_9$ (3), $(CpTa)_2B_5H_{11}$ (4), $(CpW)_2B_5H_9$ (5), $(CpRe)_2B_5H_7$ (6). The bond critical points are represented by red cubes, the atoms by spheres (transition metal: blue; carbon: black; boron: green; hydrogen: gray).

the negative (though small) value of the energy density H(as indicated by the bond degree $H/\rho[37]$) the T-T interaction has a covalent character. Only for the Cr complex the |V|/G ratio is close to 1, showing that the potential energy does not unfold the appropriate stabilizing influence on the Cr-Cr bond. Besides the V complex all the other systems yield a |V|/G ratio close to 2, from where the density Laplacian at the *bcp* would revert from depletion to charge accumulation. The local kinetic energy G/ρ exhibit relatively constant behavior at the *bcp* (values between 0.4-0.5 hartree/e). This again renders the largest charge depletion as shown by the Cr compound.

| Table 3: | Properties | at the | bcp | Between | the | Transition | Metals. |
|----------|------------|--------|-----|---------|-----|------------|---------|
|----------|------------|--------|-----|---------|-----|------------|---------|

| T- T | ρ | $\nabla^2 ho$ | H/ρ | G/ ho | V /G |
|--------|--------|----------------|----------|-------|------|
| V-V | 0.31 | 1.48 | -0.15 | 0.48 | 1.31 |
| Cr-Cr | 0.34 | 2.19 | -0.09 | 0.54 | 1.16 |
| Mo-Mo | 0.38 | 0.76 | -0.33 | 0.47 | 1.70 |
| Ta-Ta | 0.35 | 0.30 | -0.34 | 0.40 | 1.85 |
| W-W | 0.43 | 0.38 | -0.36 | 0.42 | 1.85 |
| Re-Re | 0.48 | 1.01 | -0.36 | 0.50 | 1.70 |

All values are evaluated at the bond-critical point bcp. The electron density ρ [eÅ⁻³], the density Laplacian $\nabla^2 \rho$ [eÅ⁻⁵], the bond degree H/ρ [he⁻¹], the local kinetic energy G/ρ [he⁻¹], and the local virial ratio |V|/G are given.

3.3. Atomic charges

125

As the bonding interaction between the transition met-135 als has been established by the above analysis at the $bcp^{^{165}}$ in the boron plane, let us proceed with the examination of the constituent T atoms. The electron density basins represent the atomic domains in the QTAIM approach. The effective charge of the atomic basins (cropped by the 6.75 140 10^{-5} eÅ⁻³ density isosurface) assigned to the transition metals is positive for all the examined compounds, cf. Table 4, ranging from +0.9 for the Cr complex up to +1.7for the Ta complex. The transition metals are connected to the negatively charged Cp rings (-0.14 to -0.37, with 145 carbon charges around -0.1). Also the bridging $(H_{\rm b})$ as well as the terminal (H_t) hydrogens are always negative, with charge around -0.5 and -0.6, respectively. The boron atoms are of course positive, whereby the terminal boron atoms (B1, cf. Fig. 2) exhibit the highest charge around

+0.9 to +1.1 (only +0.7 for the Re complex). The boron atoms B2 contribute around 1/2-1/3 and the mid boron₁₇₀ B3 only roughly 1/3 of this value.

3.4. Delocalization indices

- ¹⁵⁵ With the QTAIM basins at hand the electron pair density $\rho_2(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)$ can be integrated over these atomic domains¹⁷⁵ yielding the number of electron pairs formed between the regions. Subtracting this result from the number of quasiindependent electron pairs (i.e., the product of basin populations) defines the delocalization index (DI).[22, 23] The
- $_{160}$ ulations) defines the delocalization index (D1).[22, 23] The

| | Table 1 | . பாண | ve onai | 800 IOI 0 | 110 & 1111 | ivi Daomi | |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------|---------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------|
| cpd | T | B1 | B2 | B3 | H_{t} | $H_{\rm b}$ | Ср |
| 1 | 1.18 | 1.10 | 0.60 | 0.32 | -0.56 | -0.47 | -0.20 |
| 2 | 0.93 | 1.09 | 0.32 | 0.35 | -0.56 | -0.47 | -0.14 |
| 3 | 1.14 | 1.02 | 0.30 | 0.31 | -0.57 | -0.47 | -0.22 |
| 4 | 1.70 | 0.99 | 0.54 | 0.19 | -0.57 | -0.50 | -0.37 |
| 5 | 1.38 | 0.92 | 0.27 | 0.25 | -0.57 | -0.47 | -0.29 |
| 6 | 0.86 | 0.67 | 0.36 | 0.35 | -0.58 | -0.38 | -0.21 |
| See Fi | $\sigma 2 \text{ for}$ | boron | atom 1 | uumher | ing | | |

See Fig. 2 for boron atom numbering.

DI $\delta(A_i, A_j)$ between two atomic domains A_i and A_j :

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta(A_i, A_j) = \int_{A_i} \rho(\vec{r_1}) d\vec{r_1} \int_{A_j} \rho(\vec{r_2}) d\vec{r_2} \qquad (1)$$
$$- \int_{A_i} d\vec{r_1} \int_{A_j} \rho_2(\vec{r_1}, \vec{r_2}) d\vec{r_2}$$

quantifies the number of electron pairs shared between the regions. In case of single-determinantal wavefunction the DI can be expressed using the overlap integrals between the orbitals $\{\phi\}$, $S_{mn}(A_i) = \int_{A_i} \phi_m(\vec{r}) \phi(\vec{r})_n^* d\vec{r}$, over the domain A_i :

$$\delta(A_i, A_j) = 2\sum_{mn} S_{mn}(A_i) S_{nm}(A_j).$$
⁽²⁾

When the integration runs over a single basin, i.e., $A_i = A_j$ the resulting value is termed the localization index $\lambda(A_i)$. DI also serves as specific topological definition of the bond order.[38] For metal complexes usually metal-metal DIs around 0.4-0.5 are found together with significant metalligands DI values.[36, 39]

Table 5: Delocalization Indices $\delta(T, A)$ Between the Transition Metal T and the Atomic Region A.

| cpd | $\delta(T,T')$ | $\delta(T,B)$ | $\delta(T, \mathbf{B_b})$ | $\delta(T, \mathrm{H_b})$ | $\delta(T,C)$ |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | 0.59 | 0.48 | 0.26 | 0.37 | 0.30 |
| 2 | 0.73 | 0.56 | 0.28 | 0.43 | 0.35 |
| 3 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 0.31 | 0.47 | 0.34 |
| 4 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.28 |
| 5 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.34 | 0.49 | 0.34 |
| 6 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.43 | 0.62 | 0.39 |
| | | | | | |

Table 5 reveals that for the investigated systems the DIs $\delta(T, T')$ between the metals are relatively high, reaching values up to 0.73 for the Cr complex. This finding further corroborates the presence of a direct T-T bond. The transition metals have also substantial electron-pair sharing $\delta(T, B) \approx 0.6$ with each unbridged boron contact. Especially for the Re complex the sharing $\delta(T, B1)=0.89$ with the terminal boron is much higher than the averaged value $\delta(T, B)=0.68$ in Table 5 (this feature is reflected also in the ELI-D analysis, see later). The exclusion of this DI value would reduce the average for the Re complex to $\delta(T, B)=0.61$. The sharing $\delta(T, B_b)$ with the boron of the T-H-B bridge is about half of the DI for the unbridged

contact. However, interestingly, the pair sharing $\delta(T, \mathcal{H}_{\rm b})$ between the transition metal and the hydrogen bridge is almost as large as $\delta(T, \mathcal{B})$. This means that T shares more pairs with the members of the T-H-B bridge than with the₂₁₀

185

190

(unbridged) boron of the direct contact. The interaction of the *T* metal with the Cp ring is reflected by $\delta(T,C)$ between 0.3-0.4 giving a total DI of 1.5-2.0 for the electron sharing between *T* and Cp. For completeness it should be mentioned that the DIs $\delta(B, B)$ and $\delta(B, H_t)$ for the boron₂₁₅ atom with a neighboring boron and the terminal hydrogen, respectively, are around 0.6.

3.5. Covalent bond order

The canonical orbitals from the DFT calculations can be transformed into so-called localized natural orbitals (LNQ) from which a topological variant of covalent bond order can be computed.[27] The localization criterion for the LNO determination is to maximize the sum L of squared orbital populations within all QTAIM basins:

$$L = \sum_{m} \sum_{i} S_{mm} (A_i)^2.$$
(3)

The optimal orbitals (LNOs) yielding miximal L are given by a unitary rotation (for single determinant, otherwise the natural occupations are involved and the rotation is no more unitary). The covalent bond order $\beta(A_1, A_2)$ between the QTAIM basins A_1 and A_2 :

$$\beta(A_i, A_j) = 2\sum_m S_{mm}(A_i)S_{mm}(A_j).$$
(4)

is given by the sum of products of the LNO populations S_{mm} of the involved basins. This bond order variant is connected with the DIs, cf. Eq. 2, by omitting the nondiagonal parts included in the DI definition (in the LNO representation).[38] Thus, for LNOs strongly orthogonal within the atomic basins, the covalent bond order is very close to the corresponding DI.

Table 6: Covalent Bond Orders $\beta(T, A)$ Between the Transition₂₂₅ Metal T and the Atomic Region A.

| | | | | | | _ |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|
| cpd | $\beta(T,T')$ | $\beta(T,B)$ | $\beta(T, B_b)$ | $\beta(T, H_b)$ | $\beta(T,C)$ | |
| 1 | 1.05 | 0.52 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.32 | - |
| 2 | 1.18 | 0.72 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.37 | |
| 3 | 0.94 | 0.77 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.36 | 220 |
| 4 | 0.71 | 0.51 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.29 | 230 |
| 5 | 0.88 | 0.73 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.36 | |
| 6 | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.59 | 0.63 | 0.40 | |

²⁰⁰ The metal-metal covalent bond orders $\beta(T, T')$ from₂₃₅ 0.7 up to 1.2, cf. the values compiled in Table 6, highlight the importance of bonding interaction between the transition metals. The bond orders can be decomposed into orbital contributions. Interestingly, such a decomposition of the $\beta(T, T')$ shows that although the bond order is close to₂₄₀ 1, between 2-4 LNOs are needed to recover the main part of the bond order. Of course, using all overlap integrals for the LNOs will recover the same DIs as the canonical orbitals. The much lower $\delta(T, T')$ values than the bond orders $\beta(T, T')$ show that the LNOs are not orthogonal to each other within the metal basins. This is a bonding situation different from the one for the bonds between Tand the other elements as well as B-B and B-H bonds, respectively. Except the values for the T-T bond, the bond orders in Table 6 are very close to the corresponding DIs given in Table 5.

3.6. Fermi orbitals

Further inside into the nature of the T-T bond can be gained by the inspection of the Fermi orbitals (FO).[24– 26] The domain-averaged Fermi-hole (DAFH) analysis is based on the diagonalization of the hole-density $\rho_h^A(\vec{r}_1)$, which is recovered from the integral of the pair density over chosen reference domain A (usually a QTAIM basin):

$$\rho_h^A(\vec{r}_1) = \rho(\vec{r}_1) \int_A \rho(\vec{r}_2) d\vec{r}_2
- \int_A \rho_2(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) d\vec{r}_2$$
(5)

which for a single determinant can be written as (using the overlap integrals $S_{mn}(A)$ defined in previous sections):

$$\rho_h^A(\vec{r}) = \sum_{mn} S_{mn}(A) \ \phi_m(\vec{r}) \phi_n^*(\vec{r}).$$
(6)

The diagonalization of $\rho_h^A(\vec{r})$ yields the domain natural orbitals (DNO) and related occupation numbers. The DNOs are orthonormal orbitals that are orthogonal to each other also in the domain A. The DNOs are subjected to so-called (non-unitary) isopycnic transformation[40] yielding the Fermi orbitals φ and the occupation numbers n^A :

$$\rho_h^A(\vec{r}) = \sum_{mn} n_k^A \,\varphi_k(\vec{r}) \varphi_k^*(\vec{r}). \tag{7}$$

The Fermi orbitals (which are, in contrast to the DNOs, no more orthogonal to each other) are used to describe the interaction of electrons within the reference domain with the surrounding environment. Here the FOs are utilized for the decomposition of the T-T delocalization index into orbital contributions. The idea behind the DI decomposition[26] is immediately visible when comparing Eqs. 2 and 6 with Eq. 7 after the integration over another domain.

As the reference basin for the determination of the Fermi orbitals the QTAIM basin of one of the transition metal was used (the same one as used for the evaluation of the effective charge in Table 4). Because the DI between the reference basin and a second basin is given by the integral of the corresponding Fermi density over the second basin, and at the same time the Fermi density is represented by squared Fermi orbitals (weighted by the occupations), the DIs can easily be decomposed into the

195

Table 7: Fermi-Orbital Contributions to the Transition-Metal Delocalization Index $\delta(T, T')$.

| | Table 1. Fermi-Orbital Contributions to the Hallstion-Metal Delocalization fildex $0(1, 1)$. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|---|------|----------------|-----|---------------------|----|-----|------|----|-----|------|----------------|-----|------|----|-----|------|----|
| | | V | | | Cr | | | Mo | | | Ta | | | W | | I | Re | |
| FO | n | δ | % | n | δ | % | n | δ | % | n | δ | % | n | δ | % | n | δ | % |
| s | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| p | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0 |
| T- T' | 0.9 | 0.40 | 67 | 1.0 | 0.27 | 36 | 1.0 | 0.24 | 37 | 0.7 | 0.29 | 70 | 1.0 | 0.23 | 38 | 1.1 | 0.20 | 30 |
| <i>T</i> -B3 | 0.9 | 0.12 | 21 | 1.0 | 0.09 | 13 | 1.0 | 0.14 | 22 | 0.7 | 0.07 | 16 | 0.9 | 0.11 | 19 | 1.0 | 0.11 | 16 |
| $T	ext{-}B2$ | 0.3 | 0.00 | 0 | 1.0 | 0.18 | 25 | 1.0 | 0.07 | 11 | 0.3 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.05 | 9 | 0.9 | 0.04 | 6 |
| T-B | 0.3 | 0.04 | $\overline{7}$ | 0.2 | 0.07 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.11 | 18 | 0.3 | 0.03 | $\overline{7}$ | 0.5 | 0.16 | 27 | 0.5 | 0.21 | 32 |
| | | 0.56 | 95 | | 0.61 | 84 | | 0.56 | 88 | | 0.39 | 94 | | 0.56 | 93 | | 0.55 | 85 |

For the Fermi orbital with occupation n the percentage $\%\delta$ of the contribution to the metal-metal DI is given.

contributions of the Fermi orbitals. Actually, the Fermi orbitals yield the minimal spread of such contributions.[26]

- For the metal basins 4 FOs with the occupation n=2can be detected (the *s* and *p* orbitals, due to the core potentials used for the DFT calculations). Those FOs are fully inside the reference basin and thus cannot contribute to the DIs, cf. Table 7. For all model systems there is one FO that can be attributed to the bond between the metals, see Fig. 3. This FO yields 67% and 70% of the $\delta(T, T')$ for the V and Ta complex, respectively. Although the occupation of this FO type is close to 1 for the other metal complexes, the contributions to the corresponding DIs $\delta(T, T')$ is only between 30%-38%. This is because the
- FOs spread more in the boron basins than in the neighbor metal basin. This can be nicely seen especially for the Re complex, see diagram **6** in Fig. 3, where the Re basin is included. To recover more of the $\delta(T,T')$ value, additional FO contributions must be taken into account.
- ²⁶⁰ This is easy for the V and Ta complexes where a second FO (with the same occupation as the T-T'FO), describing the T-B3 bond, yields the contribution of 21% and 16%, respectively. This means that these two FOs deliver almost 90% of the metal-metal DI. This FO type has large DI²⁸⁵
- contribution also for the other complexes, however summing up to 46%-59% of the DI only. In this complex two additional FOs must be considered, both involving *T*-B interactions. Only then the sum of the contributions give more than 80% of $\delta(T, T')$.
- Along the period, going from the V to the Cr complexes, respectively from the Ta to the Re complexes, the $\delta(T,T')$ indices increase, cf. Table 5. However, at the same time, the electron pair sharing as given by the contribution of the FO describing the T-T' bond decreases (both
- ²⁷⁵ absolute and in percent of the total DI) because the FO becomes more confined to the reference basin. The increase of the $\delta(T, T')$ index is due to an increasing number of *T*-B FOs (following the increase of the metal *d*-population) spreading out into the metal basins.

280 3.7. Electron localizability indicator

The analysis of the electron sharing can be extended to the analysis of (local) electron pairing as shown by the electron localizability indicator in the ELI-D representation. $[28, _{295}]_{295}$ 29] ELID is based on so-called ω -restricted space partitioning (ω RSP).[41] Following the ω RSP approach the whole space is partitioned into compact non-overlapping infinitesimally small regions μ each enclosing (in case of ELI-D) a fixed amount ω_D of same-spin pairs:

$$\omega_D = \iint_{\mu} \rho_2^{\sigma\sigma}(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) \ d\vec{r}_1 d\vec{r}_2 \approx \frac{1}{12} g^{\sigma}(\vec{a}) \ V_{\mu}^{8/3} \tag{8}$$

with the σ -spin Fermi-hole curvature $g^{\sigma}(\vec{a})$ at the position \vec{a} within μ having the volume V_{μ} . ELI-D is the rescaled distribution of charges in such regions μ . The charge q in μ can be approximated by $q = \rho^{\sigma} V_{\mu}$, which after replacing for the volume from Eq. 8 yields in the limit after rescaling with the factor $\omega_D^{3/8}$ the σ -spin ELI-D value $\Upsilon_D^{\sigma}(\vec{r})$:

$$\Upsilon_D^{\sigma}(\vec{r}) = \rho^{\sigma}(\vec{r}) \, \left[\frac{12}{g^{\sigma}(\vec{r})}\right]^{3/8}.$$
(9)

In this representation the indicator Υ_D^{σ} is proportional to the charge needed to form a fixed (infinitesimally small) fraction of the $\sigma\sigma$ -spin electron pair. For the model systems (all closed-shell) high ELI-D values describe regions where the motion of same-spin electrons is strongly correlated, i.e., regions where same-spin electrons avoid each other. Such a high avoidance is typical in regions that are usually assigned to bonds, lone-pairs or atomic (core) shells.

| Table | 8: | Electron | Populations | in | the | ELI-D | Basins |
|-------|----|----------|-------------|----|-----|-------|--------|
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | 1 | | | | |
|----------------------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|----------------|-------|
| cpd | Т | T- T' | B1-B2 | B2-B3 | H_{t} | H _b | Ср |
| 1 | 10.66 | 0.16 | 1.84 | 2.28 | 1.98 | 1.85 | 35.45 |
| 2 | 11.78 | 0.12 | 2.29 | 2.55 | 1.99 | 1.87 | 35.42 |
| 3 | 11.11 | 0.30 | 2.38 | 2.78 | 2.01 | 1.92 | 35.55 |
| 4 | 9.74 | 0.35 | 1.97 | 2.51 | 1.99 | 1.93 | 35.67 |
| 5 | 10.48 | 0.44 | 2.40 | 3.12 | 2.01 | 1.95 | 35.66 |
| 6 | 11.33 | 0.31 | 2.67 | 2.62 | 2.03 | 1.88 | 35.65 |
| | | | | | | | |

See Fig. 2 for boron atom numbering.

Fig. 4 shows exemplary the ELI-D localization-domains, i.e., isosurfaces of chosen isovalue, for the W and Re complexes. The boron and carbon cores are represented by small spherical domains around the atomic positions. The corresponding ELI-D core basins enclose about 2.09 electrons. The B-B and C-C bonds are clearly visible as the



Figure 3: Fermi orbitals (isovalue ± 0.1) describing the *T*-*T*' bond for the model systems **1-6**. The atoms are represented by spheres (transition metal: blue; carbon: black; boron: green; hydrogen: gray). For the compound **6** the Re basin is shown as a transparent object.



Figure 4: ELI-D localization domains for the model systems **5** and **6** (yellow: $\Upsilon_D^{\alpha}=1.36$; blue: $\Upsilon_D^{\alpha}=1.06$). The domain corresponding to the Re-B is marked by the arrow.

domains positioned between the atomic cores. The ELI-D₃₅₀ basins for the carbon cores, together with the basins for the C-C bonds (2.82-2.87e) and the hydrogens (2.17e) (the hydrogen domains were excluded in Fig. 4 for better visi-

- ³⁰⁰ bility) constitute the Cp superbasin populated by roughly
 ^{35.5} electrons. This relates effectively a negative charge of
 -0.4e to -0.7e to the Cp group, cf. Table 8. This is around
 twice the effective charge for the Cp group as given by the
 ³⁵⁵ QTAIM partitioning, cf. Table 4.
- The ELI-D basins for terminal hydrogens of the boron chains are populated almost exactly by two electrons, irrespective of the model system examined. Similarly, the basin populations for the hydrogens H_b bridging the *T*-B contacts show relatively constant values close to 1.9 elec-
- trons. On the contrary, there are two distinct ELI-D basins for the B-B bonds. Except for the Re complex, the B1-B2 bonding basin is populated by up to 0.7 electrons less (in the W complex) than the B2-B3 basin. In the Re³⁶⁰ complex those two populations are almost identical. How-
- ever, a new ELI-D bonding feature emerges. As marked in Fig. 4 two ELI-D maxima were found along the two unbridged Re-B contact to the terminal borons with a respective basin population of 1.44 electrons. This feature³⁶⁵ is not present for any other unbridged *T*-B contact of the examined series.

The existence of the T-T' bond is supported by the ELI-D maximum in the boron plane between the transi-³⁷⁰ tion metals, cf. the blue colored domain in Fig. 4. The corresponding T-T' bonding basins are populated by 0.12-

³²⁵ 0.44 electrons only, which is not untypical for metal-metal bonds. The T-T' populations are largest for the Group³⁷ 6 metal complexes. Observe, that the population of the transition-metal core-basins, cf. Fig. Table 8 decreases along the group. For instance, for Cr, Mo, and W it

amounts to 11.78e, 11.11e, and 10.48e, respectively, which can be compared to the 12 electrons expected from the position in the Periodic Table (core potentials were used).

4. Conclusion

The analysis of the T-T bonding interactions in the $Cp_2 T_2 B_5 H_{5+x}$ model series used to mimic the *oblato-nido* dimetallaboranes $\operatorname{Cp}_2^* T_2 B_5 \operatorname{H}_{5+x} (T = V, \operatorname{Ta}, \operatorname{Cr}, \operatorname{Mo},$ W, Re) was examined applying several bonding indicators. Both through-space and through-bond (via the boron atoms of the ring) interactions account for a substantial metal-metal bond. The direct $T_{-}T$ bonding was confirmed by the presence of a bond path and an ELI-D bonding basin, populated by up to 0.4 electrons, between the transition metals. The evaluation of the delocalization indices between the QTAIM metal-basins clearly show an extent of electron-pair sharing typical for a metal-metal bond. This was additionally supported by the metal-metal covalent bond order around 1, as proposed earlier.[7] That the T-T bonding situation does not correspond to a simple single bond was confirmed by the calculation of Fermi orbitals, indicating that roughly 2-3 Fermi orbitals needed to be considered when recovering the delocalization indices.

Acknowledgment

B.B. thanks the Région de Bretagne (Ulysse grant) for his visit to Dresden. Prof. S. Ghosh (IIT Madras) is thanked for helpful discussions.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at {doi}.

References

References

- T. P. Fehlner, Exploring the interactions of d-block elements with boron. a case for electronically unsaturated metallaborane clusters, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. (1998) 1525– 1531doi:10.1039/A800924D.
- [2] T. P. Fehlner, Systematic metallaborane chemistry, Organometallics 19 (2000) 2643–2651. doi:10.1021/om000355r.
- [3] A. S. Weller, M. Shang, T. P. Fehlner, Synthesis of mono- and ditungstaboranes from reaction of Cp*WCl₄ and [Cp*WCl₂]₂ with BH₃ · thf or LiBH₄(Cp* = η⁵ - C₅Me₅). control of reaction pathway by choice of monoboron reagent and oxidation state of metal center, Organometallics 18 (1999) 53-64. doi:10.1021/om980743h.
- [4] D. K. Roy, S. K. Bose, K. Geetharani, K. K. V. Chakrahari, S. M. Mobin, S. Ghosh, Synthesis and structural characterization of new divanada- and diniobaboranes containing chalcogen atoms, Chem. Eur. J. 18 (2012) 9983-9991. doi:10.1002/chem.201200189.

[5] S. K. Bose, K. Geetharani, B. Varghese, S. M. Mobin, S. Ghosh, Metallaboranes of the early transi-450 tion metals: Direct synthesis and characterization of $[(\eta^5 - C_5Me_5)Ta_2B_nH_m]$ (n = 4, m = 10; n = 5, m = 11), $[(\eta^5 - C_5Me_5)Ta_2B_5H_{10}(C_6H_4CH_3)]$, and $[(\eta^5 - C_5Me_5)TaCl_2B_5H_{11}]$, Chem. Eur. J. 14 (2008) 9058– 9064. doi:10.1002/chem.200800487. 455

380

420

430

435

440

445

- 9064. doi:10.1002/chem.200800487.
 [6] S. Aldridge, T. P. Fehlner, M. Shang, Directed synthesis of chromium and molybdenum metallaborane clusters. preparation and characterization of (Cp*Cr)₂B₅H₉, (Cp*Mo)₂B₅H₉, and (Cp*MoCl)₂B₄H₁₀, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119 (1997) 2339–2340. doi:10.1021/ja963864m.
- [7] B. Le Guennic, H. Jiao, S. Kahlal, J.-Y. Saillard, J.-F. Halet, S. Ghosh, M. Shang, A. M. Beatty, A. L. Rheingold, T. P. Fehlner, Synthesis and characterization of hypoelectronic rhenaboranes. analysis of the geometric and electronic structures of species following neither borane nor metal cluster electron-465 counting paradigms, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126 (2004) 3203–3217. doi:10.1021/ja039770b.
 - [8] R. B. King, Oblate deltahedra in dimetallaboranes: Geometry and chemical bonding, Inorg. Chem. 45 (14) (2006) 8211–8216. doi:10.1021/ic0609220.
- [9] K. Wade, The structural significance of the number of skeletal bonding electron-pairs in carboranes, the higher boranes and borane anions, and various transition-metal carbonyl cluster compounds, Chem. Commun. (1971) 792– 793doi:10.1039/C29710000792.
- 405 [10] D. M. P. Mingos, A general theory for cluster and ring compounds of the main group and transition elements, Nature Phys. Sci. 236 (1972) 99–102. doi:10.1038/physci236099a0.
 - [11] D. M. P. Mingos, Polyhedral skeletal electron pair approach, Acc. Chem. Res. 17 (1984) 311–319. doi:10.1021/ar00105a003.480
- 410 [12] A. Lupan, R. B. King, Hypoelectronic dirhenaboranes having eight to twelve vertices: Internal versus surface rhenium-rhenium bonding, Inorg. Chem. 51 (2012) 7609-7616. doi:10.1021/ic300458w.
- [13] R. B. King, Some examples of unusual skeletal bonding topolo-485
 gies in metallaboranes containing two or three early transition metal vertices, Inorg. Chem. 40 (12) (2001) 2699-2704.
 doi:10.1021/ic001320m.
 - [14] T. P. Fehlner, J.-F. Halet, J.-Y. Saillard, Molecular Clusters: A Bridge to Solid State Chemistry, Cambridge University Press,490 Cambridge, UK, 2007.
 - [15] R. B. King, Geometry and chemical bonding in polyhedral boranes, metallaboranes, and dimetallaboranes: From closo to isocloso to oblatocloso polyhedra, J. Organomet. Chem. 694 (2009) 1602–1606. doi:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2008.12.060.
- [16] R. B. King, S. Ghosh, Chemical bonding in oblatonido ditantalaboranes and related compounds, Theor. Chem. Acc. 131 (2) (2012) 1–8. doi:10.1007/s00214-012-1087-0.
 - [17] B. S. Krishnamoorthy, A. Thakur, K. K. V. Chakrahari, S. K. Bose, P. Hamon, T. Roisnel, S. Kahlal, S. Ghosh, J.-F. Halet,⁵⁰⁰ Theoretical and experimental investigations on hypoelectronic heterodimetallaboranes of group 6 transition metals, Inorg. Chem. 51 (2012) 10375–10383. doi:10.1021/ic301571e.
 - [18] K. Geetharani, B. S. Krishnamoorthy, S. Kahlal, S. M. Mobin, J.-F. Halet, S. Ghosh, Synthesis and characterization of hy-505 poelectronic tantalaboranes: Comparison of the geometric and electronic structures of [(Cp*TaX)₂B₅H₁₁] (X = Cl, Br, andI), Inorg. Chem. 51 (2012) 10176–10184. doi:10.1021/ic300848f.
 - [19] J.-F. Halet, J.-Y. Saillard, 9.31 theoretical treatment of ligated clusters containing transition metals, Reedijk, J.; Poeppelmeier.510
 K. (Eds.), Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry II: From Ele-
 - ments to Applications, Elsevier: Oxford, 2013 9 (2013) 869–885.
 [20] B. S. Krishnamoorthy, S. Kahlal, B. L. Guennic, J.-Y. Saillard, S. Ghosh, J.-F. Halet, Molecular transition-metal boron compounds. any interest?, Solid State Sci. 14 (2012) 1617–1623.515
 - doi:10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2012.03.026.[21] R. F. W. Bader, Atoms in Molecules A Quantum Theory, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1990.
 - [22] R. F. W. Bader, M. E. Stephens, Spatial localization of the

electronic pair and number distributions in molecules, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97 (1975) 7391–7399. doi:10.1021/ja00859a001.

- [23] X. Fradera, M. A. Austen, R. F. W. Bader, The lewis model and beyond, J. Phys. Chem. A 103 (1999) 304–314. doi:10.1021/jp983362q.
- [24] R. Ponec, Electron pairing and chemical bonds, chemical structure, valences and structural similarities from the analysis of the fermi holes, J. Math. Chem. 21 (1997) 323–333. doi:10.1023/A:1019186806180.
- [25] R. Ponec, Electron pairing and chemical bonds. molecular structure from the analysis of pair densities and related quantities, J. Math. Chem. 23 (1998) 85–103. doi:10.1023/A:1019160922535.
- [26] A. I. Baranov, R. Ponec, M. Kohout, Domain-averaged fermihole analysis for solids, J. Chem. Phys. 137 (21) (2012) 214109. doi:10.1063/1.4768920.
- [27] J. Cioslowsky, S. T. Mixon, Covalent bond orders in the topological theory of atoms in molecules, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113 (1991) 4142-4145. doi:10.1021/ja00011a014.
- [28] M. Kohout, A measure of electron localizability, Int. J. Quantum Chem. 97 (2004) 651-658. doi:10.1002/qua.10768.
- [29] M. Kohout, Bonding indicators from electron pair density functionals, Faraday Discuss. 135 (2007) 43-54. doi:10.1039/b605951c.
- [30] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. M. Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09 Revision A.1, gaussian Inc. Wallingford CT 2009.
- [31] A. D. Becke, Density-functional exchange-energy approximation with correct asymptotic behavior, Phys. Rev. A 38 (1988) 3098– 3100. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.38.3098.
- [32] S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk, M. Nusair, Accurate spin-dependent electron liquid correlation energies for local spin density calculations: a critical analysis, Can. J. Phys. 58 (8) (1980) 1200–1211. doi:10.1139/p80-159.
- [33] J. P. Perdew, Density-functional approximation for the correlation energy of the inhomogeneous electron gas, Phys. Rev. B 33 (1986) 8822–8824. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.33.8822.
- [34] M. Kohout, Dgrid version 4.6, Radebeul (2014).
- [35] M. Kohout, A. Savin, H. Preuss, Contribution to the electron distribution analysis. i. shell structure of atoms, J. Chem. Phys. 95 (1991) 1928–1942. doi:10.1063/1.460989.
- [36] P. Macchi, A. Sironi, Chemical bonding in transition metal carbonyl clusters: complementary analysis of theoretical and experimental electron densities., Coord. Chem. Rev. 238-239 (2003) 383-412. doi:10.1016/S0010-8545(02)00252-7.
- [37] E. Espinosa, I. Alkorta, J. Elguero, E. Molins, From weak to strong interactions: A comprehensive analysis of the topological and energetic properties of the electron density distribution involving X − H · · · F − Y systems, J. Chem. Phys. 117 (2002) 5529–5542. doi:10.1063/1.1501133.
- [38] J. G. Ángyán, M. Loos, I. Mayer, Covalent bond orders and atomic valence indices in the topological theory of atoms in molecules, J. Phys. Chem. 98 (1994) 5244-5248. doi:10.1021/j100071a013.
- [39] R. Ponec, C. Gatti, Do the structural changes defined by the electron density topology necessarily affect the picture of the bonding?, Inorg. Chem. 48 (2009) 11024–11031. doi:10.1021/ic901197b.

EPTED MANUSCRIPT CC

- [40] J. Cioslowsky, Isopycnic orbital transformations and localiza-520 tion of natural orbitals, Int. J. Quantum Chem. Symp. 24 (1990) 15 - 28
 - Acctebric [41] A. Martín Pendás, M. Kohout, M. A. Blanco, E. Francisco,

525



- * Transition-metal bonding in oblato-nido metallaboranes was investigated.
- * Confirmation of through-space as well as through-bond interactions.
- * Description of direct metal-metal bonding through a boron ring.
- * Application of real space topological methods.

Acception