

Characterization of the microstructure of dairy systems using automated image analysis

Juliana V.C. Silva, David Legland, Chantal Cauty, Irina Kolotuev, Juliane

Floury

► To cite this version:

Juliana V.C. Silva, David Legland, Chantal Cauty, Irina Kolotuev, Juliane Floury. Characterization of the microstructure of dairy systems using automated image analysis. Food Hydrocolloids, 2015, 44, pp.360-371. 10.1016/j.foodhyd.2014.09.028 . hal-01130408

HAL Id: hal-01130408 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01130408v1

Submitted on 11 Mar 2015 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



` ر

1	Characterization of the microstructure of dairy systems using automated image analysis
2	
3	Juliana V. C. Silva ^a , David Legland ^{b,c,d,e} , Chantal Cauty ^a , Irina Kolotuev ^{f,g} , Juliane
4	Floury ^{a,h,*}
5	^a INRA, UMR1253 Science and Technology of Milk and Eggs, F-35042 Rennes, France
6	^b INRA, UMR782 Food Process Engineering and Microbiology, F-78850 Thiverval-Grignon,
7	France
8	^c AgroParisTech, UMR782 Food Process Engineering and Microbiology, F-78850 Thiverval-
9	Grignon, France
10	^d INRA, UMR1318 Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, F-78026 Versailles, France
11	^e AgroParisTech, Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, F-78026 Versailles, France
12	^f MRic-TEM, UMS3480 Microscopy Rennes Imaging Center, F-35043 Rennes, France
13	^g IGDR, UMR6290 University of Rennes 1, 2, F-35043 Rennes, France
14	^h Agrocampus Ouest, UMR1253 Science and Technology of Milk and Eggs, F-35042 Rennes,
15	France
16	
17	¹ Corresponding author: Juliane Floury
18	Telephone number: +33 (0)2 23 48 54 52; Fax number: +33 (0)2 23 48 55 78
19	E-mail: juliane.floury@agrocampus-ouest.fr
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27 ABSTRACT

A sound understanding of the microstructure of dairy products is of great importance in order 28 29 to predict and control their properties and final quality. The aim of this study was to develop 30 an automated image analysis procedure to characterize the microstructure of different dairy 31 systems. A high pressure freezing coupled with freeze-substitution (HPF-FS) protocol was 32 applied prior to transmission electron microscopy (TEM) in order to minimize any 33 modification of the microstructure of the dairy systems investigated. The developed image 34 analysis procedure was first validated on synthetic images of suspensions, and then on two 35 types of concentrated milk suspensions. Microstructural data relating to casein micelles in milk suspensions were taken from the literature. The established procedure was then applied 36 37 to the two corresponding rennet-induced milk gels, prepared from the same milk concentrates 38 used for suspensions preparation. The automated image analysis procedure allowed the 39 reliable estimation of several characteristic microstructural parameters including area fraction, porosity, boundary length per unit area, particle aggregate size, inter-particle aggregate 40 41 distance and tortuosity. The relative ease of estimating these microstructural parameters from 42 the automated image analysis method could make it useful for routine measurements of milk 43 gels. Moreover, the method enabled a useful discrimination between two different types of 44 milk gels. This novel approach can contribute to a better understanding of the effects of 45 processing on the structure-property relationships in dairy products, and may be applied to other food systems. 46

- 47
- 48

49 Keywords: Transmission electron microscopy, microstructure, dairy systems, milk gels,
50 freeze-substitution, quantitative image analysis

52 **1. Introduction**

53

The microstructure is one of the major determining factors of flavor, physicochemical and of the functional properties of dairy products. Thus understanding the microstructure of dairy products during their manufacture and subsequent storage is of great importance in order to predict and control their properties and final quality (Gunasekaran & Ding, 1999; El-Bakry & Sheehan, 2014).

59 Dairy products are principally based on caseins, which make up 80% of the protein in 60 milk. Around 95% of the caseins in milk exist as large colloidal particles between 50 and 600 61 nm in diameter (mean ~120 nm), which are known as "casein micelles" (Fox & Brodkorb, 2008). The microstructure of dairy products depends principally on the organization of these 62 casein micelles that can change as a function of the technological treatment applied to milk. 63 64 For instance, casein micelles are easily destabilized by adding rennet to milk to form a rennetinduced gel, the basis of cheese making (Lucey, 2002; Dalgleish & Corredig, 2012). In 65 contrast to milk, where caseins are present in suspension, milk gels form a continuous three 66 67 dimensional network whose properties greatly depend on the microstructure.

68 The microstructure of dairy products is commonly investigated using instrumental techniques such as light or electron microscopy techniques. Light microscopy, such as 69 70 Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (CLSM), allows the observation of dairy products with 71 a minimum of sample preparation and with a resolution up to 0.25 microns (Fenoul, Le 72 Denmat, Hamdi, Cuvelier, & Michon, 2008; Morand, Guyomarc'h, Legland, & Famelart, 2012; Ercili-Cura et al., 2013). By using this technique, it may be possible to distinguish the 73 structure of the milk gel, but not the fine organisation of casein micelles that form its 74 75 microstructure. Electron microscopy provides a resolution at a nanometer scale, making it possible to observe the organization of the gel protein network itself. Scanning Electron 76

77 Microscopy (SEM) has already been used for investigating the microstructure of a variety of dairy products (Marchesseau, Gastaldi, Lagaude, & Cuq, 1997; McMahon, Fife, & Oberg, 78 79 1999; Fallico et al., 2006; Le Feunteun & Mariette, 2007; 2008). However, SEM provides only a topographical view of the sample surface, making it difficult to quantify properties 80 81 from the observed images. On the other hand, Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) provides observation of a slice of the sample, making it possible to investigate its internal 82 83 structure. This can provide a basis for the quantitative description of the sample microstructure (Reis & Malcata, 2011). 84

Conventional TEM preparation procedures include chemical fixation, dehydration and 85 embedding, which are frequently problematic in samples with high water content. Such 86 87 methods can introduce numerous artifacts that alter the relationships that exist between the structural components (Kalab, Allan-Wojtas, & Mistry, 1995). Therefore, the use of low-88 89 temperature methods, such as freeze-fracture replication (Büchheim, 1982; McMahon & McManus, 1998), freeze-substitution (Goff, Verespej, & Smith, 1999; Smith, Kakuda, & 90 Goff, 2000), cryo-TEM (Waninge, Nylander, Paulsson, & Bergenstahl, 2003; 2004) and high 91 pressure freezing coupled with freeze-substitution methods (Ramasubramanian, Webb, 92 93 D'Arcy, & Deeth, 2013) are preferable in order to preserve the original structure of the dairy sample ahead of the conventional TEM. 94

Nowadays, qualitative interpretations of micrographs are often no longer enough.
Image analysis is necessary to also provide quantitative data for the analysis and design of
food microstructure (Aguilera, Stanley, & Baker, 2000). Such image analysis has been
recently introduced into dairy research for a wide range of applications (Wium, Pedersen, &
Qvist, 2003; Impoco, Carrato, Caccamo, & Tuminello, 2006; Rovira, Lopez, Ferrandini, &
Laencina, 2011; Geng, van den Berg, Bager, & Ipsen, 2011; Ong, Dagastine, Kentish, & Gras,
2011; 2012; 2013).

102 Micrograph images of dairy samples can be quantified through the morphometry of 103 individual objects or particles (Gunasekaran & Ding, 1999; Rovira et al., 2011; Impoco, Fucà, 104 Pasta, Caccamo, & Licitra, 2012; Fucà, Pasta, Impoco, Caccamo, & Licitra, 2013). When a 105 protein network cannot be easily segmented, gray level texture analysis can be used to discern 106 the variations of gray levels from confocal images (Fenoul et al., 2008; Morand et al., 2012; 107 Ercili-Cura et al., 2013). An alternative to particle-based image analysis and image texture 108 analysis is the characterization of protein network as a binary microstructure. This method 109 consists of quantifying geometrical properties of a structure that cannot be described by a set of individual particles, but rather as a complex structure with voids and branches that is 110 111 observed in a representative window. While this approach is common in materials science (Ohser & Mücklich, 2000) and for describing porous media (Torquato, 2002), to the authors' 112 113 knowledge no attempt have been made to quantify the microstructure of dairy products.

114 The aim of this work was thus to develop an automated image analysis procedure to 115 characterize the microstructure of dairy systems. The proposed procedure comprised the 116 automated segmentation of the protein network from TEM micrographs, and the subsequent 117 computation of microstructural parameters by assimilating the protein network to a porous 118 media. The high pressure freezing and freeze-substitution (HPF-FS) protocol was used in 119 order to minimize modification of the microstructure of dairy systems during sample 120 preparation for TEM. Microstructural parameters considered in this study included global 121 morphological parameters (area fraction, porosity, boundary length per unit area), binary 122 image granulometry, that measures the typical sizes of particles (or of particle aggregates or 123 of voids), and a tortuosity parameter that describes the microstructure at a larger scale. 124 Tortuosity is a parameter mainly related to mass transfer properties and has been measured in 125 several porous media such as rocks, sediments, soil, zeolites, biological tissues, etc. (Suman & 126 Ruth, 1993; Latour, Kleinberg, Mitra, & Sotak, 1995; Zalc, Reyes, & Iglesia, 2004; Wu, van

127 Vliet, Frijlink, & van der Voort Maarschalk, 2006; Shen & Chen, 2007; Lanfrey, Kuzeljevic, 128 & Dudukovic, 2010). Some authors have also been applying the theory of porous media to 129 food systems and have been estimating tortuosity values from diffusion coefficients in food 130 media (Crossley & Aguilera, 2001; Sam Saguy, Marabi, & Wallach, 2005). The determination 131 of tortuosity directly from image analysis would therefore be of great interest for 132 understanding the diffusion phenomena in dairy products.

133 The concept of measuring the above listed parameters using image analysis was first 134 tested on a synthetic image representing a suspension of micelles as might be observed under conditions similar to the present study. The image analysis method was subsequently 135 136 validated on two concentrated milk suspensions of similar casein concentration, but produced by different methods. Microstructural data relating to casein micelles in milk suspensions 137 were taken from the literature for validation. The method was then applied to the 138 139 corresponding concentrated milk gels, obtained by rennet-induced coagulation of the same 140 milk concentrates used for suspensions preparation, in order to show a wider application of 141 this procedure.

- 142
- 143 **2. Material and Methods**
- 144
- 145 2.1. Preparation of concentrated milk suspensions and gels
- 146

147 Two concentrated milk suspensions and two concentrated milk gels presenting similar
148 casein composition were produced. These dairy systems were based on two different protein
149 milk concentrates produced using either Microfiltration (MF) or Ultrafiltration (UF)
150 processes.

152 2.1.1. MF concentrate

153 The aim of the membrane filtration process developed in this study was to obtain an 154 almost pure casein concentrate, dispersed in the same aqueous phase of milk, in order to 155 mimic as much as possible the protein composition of a real cheese. Skim milk (Entremont, 156 Montauban de Bretagne, France) was initially filtered to remove contaminating bacteria using 157 the microfiltration pilot equipment GP7 fitted with a 0.8 µm Sterilox GP membrane. This 158 microfiltrate was then concentrated by microfiltration using a 0.1 µm aluminum Zircone 159 membrane. This smaller pore size allowed the concentration of the casein fraction only, the 160 size of the whey proteins in milk being sufficiently small to cross the membrane. In order to 161 increase the concentration factor of the caseins, it was necessary to proceed to a diafiltration 162 step of the concentrate, using the MF permeate instead of water in order to keep the same aqueous phase of milk in the final concentrate. The permeate had been previously 163 164 ultrafiltrated with a 5 kD membrane to remove the whey proteins. The overall filtration process allowed concentrating the total proteins of milk by a factor of 4.3. Neither NaCl nor 165 166 cream was added, leaving a non-salty and a non-fatty MF concentrate. The composition of the 167 MF concentrate (pH 6.6) is given in Table 1.

168

169 2.1.2. UF concentrate

The UF concentrate was produced following previously described procedures (Ulve et al., 2008; Silva, Peixoto, Lortal, & Floury, 2013). Unlike microfiltration, the ultrafiltration process allowed the concentration of all the proteins of milk, both the caseins and the whey proteins. This UF concentrate has then the same protein composition as for some commercial cheeses obtained by using the MMV technology, such as Pavé d'Affinois (Maubois, Mocquot, & Vassal, 1969; Maubois & Mocquot, 1971; Maubois & Mocquot, 1975). Overall, the total proteins of milk were concentrated in this case by a factor of 5. Once again, neither NaCl nor

177 cream was added, leaving a non-salty and a non-fatty UF concentrate. The composition of the178 UF concentrate (pH 6.6) is given in Table 1.

179 The MF concentrate was used without further preparation whereas the UF concentrate 180 was heated at 93°C for 15 min (Aly et al., 2011) and then cooled using melting ice for 3 min. 181 Sodium azide was added to both the MF and heat-treated UF concentrates at a final 182 concentration of 0.05% (wt/wt) in order to prevent microorganism growth. About 1 mL of the 183 MF concentrate or of the heat-treated UF concentrate were poured into 2 mL Eppendorf tubes 184 and then incubated at 30°C for 1 h and then held at 19°C for 2 h to obtain the MF-suspension or the UF-suspension, respectively. Both suspensions were passively aspired by using 185 cellulose microcapillary tubes with a 200 µm inner diameter ahead of further preparation for 186 187 TEM.

The concentrated milk gels were produced from the same concentrates (i.e.; MF or 188 189 heat-treated UF concentrates). In this case, after adding sodium azide to the concentrates 190 (0.05% wt/wt), the coagulant agent Maxiren 180 (DSM Food Specialties, Seclin, France) was 191 added giving a final concentration of 0.03% (v/v). After homogenization, 600 µL of each mixture (MF or heat-treated UF concentrate + coagulant agent) was slowly poured into mini-192 gel cassette[®] systems (IFR Norwich, U.K.), containing flat gold-plated specimen carriers (0.5 193 194 mm thick, 1.5 mm in diameter, 200 µm deep; Leica Cat #16706898). The gel cassettes® 195 systems were incubated at 30°C for 1 h to enable coagulation and then at 19°C for 2 h to 196 obtain the final gels based on each concentrate.

197

198 2.2. Transmission electron microscopy

199

The prepared concentrated milk suspensions and gels were frozen using a Leica EM
PACT2 high-pressure freezer (Leica Microsystems, Vienna, Austria). The sample carriers,

202 cellulose microcapillary tubes or flat gold-plated specimen carriers, were pre-coated with 1% 203 phosphatidylcholine (Sigma-Aldrich Ltd.) diluted in chloroform to avoid sample sticking. No 204 cryo-protecting agent was added to the samples. For the freeze-substitution step, Leica EM 205 AFS2 freeze substitution machine (Leica Microsystems, Vienna, Austria) was used. The 206 frozen samples were transferred in liquid nitrogen to a processing container equipped with a 207 flat spacer (Leica Microsystems, Vienna, Austria). The frozen samples were freezesubstituted in 2% osmium tetroxide diluted in anhydrous acetone. After an initial incubation 208 209 of 8h at -90°C the temperature was gradually (5°C/hour) raised to -30°C and samples were then left for another 8h at this temperature. The solvent-fixative solution was replaced with 210 pre-chilled mix of ethanol 3:1 resin (epon-araldite mix; Sigma-Aldrich Ltd). The temperature 211 was then gradually brought up to room temperature and further ethanol:resin substitutions 212 213 were done following the resin manufacturer instructions. Thin sections (90 nm) of embedded 214 samples were cut with a diamond knife using a Reichert ultramicrotome. The sections were 215 contrasted with 4% aqueous solution of uranyl acetate and observed using a JEM-1400 Transmission Electron Microscope (JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) operated at 120 kV 216 217 accelerating voltage. Digital images were acquired using the Gatan SC1000 Orius® CCD camera (4008 x 2672), set up with the imaging software Gatan DigitalMicrographTM (Gatan, 218 219 Pleasanton, USA).

220 Several TEM micrographs were taken on different parts of two independent samples 221 of each of the four dairy systems. Ten micrograph images were chosen independently for each 222 dairy system for further image analysis.

The resulting images each represented an area of 9.97 x 6.64 μ m and showed the microstructure of the samples at a magnification of x20000. Images were saved as 32-bit grayscale dm3 images of 4008 x 2670 pixels (where 1 pixel = 2.5 nm).

226

227 2.3. Image processing of TEM micrographs

228

229 Several image processing steps were necessary to automatically segment the TEM 230 images of the four dairy systems (concentrated milk suspensions and gels). These steps are 231 schematically set out in Fig. 1. Each image was normalized using a blank background image 232 (obtained without sample or resin) (Fig. 1B). This permitted the removal of intensity 233 variations over the image. Histogram normalization procedure was applied and the result was 234 converted to images based on 256 shades of grav (Fig. 1C). As artifacts could be detected on 235 some micrographs, they were removed by applying a "black top-hat" operation with a square structuring element of 200x200 pixels (Fig. 1D). Black top-hat is a tool (taken from 236 237 mathematical morphology) that enhances dark structures smaller than the chosen structuring 238 element while removing larger variations (Soille, 2003). A smoothing operator was then 239 applied (by computing the average of pixel values in a circular neighborhood with radius of 240 10 pixels) to remove the acquisition noise (Fig. 1E). The two phases were contrasted, with 241 images histograms showing two peaks (Fig. 1F). "Otsu automated threshold" is commonly 242 used for the segmentation of dairy product images (Ong et al., 2011; Hussain, Grandison, & Bell, 2012; Impoco et al., 2006) and was used for the segmentation in the present study. Otsu 243 244 threshold consists in identifying the threshold value that best discriminates between the two 245 phases, by minimizing the intra-class variance while maximizing inter-class variance (Otsu, 1979). The segmentation procedure was validated by visual inspection of the binary images 246 247 superimposed on the original images.

248 2.4. Quantification of microstructural parameters

249

The values of the microstructural parameters determined in the present work may depend on several factors such as sample thickness and image processing (i.e., filter choice, segmentation threshold). Therefore, the proposed image analysis procedure was first validated by comparing microstructural parameters of concentrated milk suspensions with those of both synthetic images and data taken from the literature. Finally, the values of microstructural parameters obtained for the different dairy systems in the same conditions could be compared between them.

257

258 2.4.1. Generation of synthetic images of a suspension

259 In order to validate and help to interpret microstructural parameters, the developed 260 image analysis method was applied on synthetic images mimicking a theoretical suspension 261 of micelles. A set of non-overlapping balls was generated in a 3D cuboid with dimensions 262 $5x5x2 \mu m$. The diameter of the balls was chosen as 120 nm, corresponding to the mean 263 diameter of casein micelles (Fox & Brodkorb, 2008). In order to avoid edge effects, a margin 264 of 200 nm was applied on all sides. The number of balls was chosen to have a numerical density equal to 160 micelles/um³, corresponding to an expected number of 8000 within the 265 266 cuboid. This value was chosen to generate an area fraction in the synthetic images similar to 267 the one observed for milk suspensions in the present study. To avoid overlap, a minimal 268 distance of 130 nm was imposed between the micelle centers. The physical cut was represented by a horizontal slice with thickness 90 nm, and located in the middle of the 269 cuboid. An example of a synthetic suspension of micelles is shown on Fig. 2A. The 270 271 intersection of the slice with the set of balls was used to generate a planar binary image 272 representing a virtual observation of the model system. A resolution of 2.5 nm by pixel was

used, resulting in a 2000x2000 pixels binary image (Fig. 2B). Ten images were thus generated to address the variability of measurement method. Due to the thickness of the slice, some micelles seemed to overlap, seemingly forming small agglomerates. Other micelles present a smaller section because they were not located within the sampled slice. Matlab software was used both to simulate the 3D synthetic suspensions and to generate the corresponding binary images (Mathworks, Natick, MA). The Free-D software was used to produce the sample image of Fig. 2A (Andrey & Maurin (2005), http://free-d.versailles.inra.fr/html/freed.html).

280

281 *2.4.2. Global morphometry*

Global morphometry was used to determine three global microstructural parameters: area fraction, porosity, and boundary length per unit area. If the sampling slice can be assumed to have a small thickness relative to size of the structures, these parameters can be directly related to 3D properties of the network by using stereological relations.

Area fraction was defined as the ratio between dark area (i.e.; the protein matrix) with respect to the total area of the image. The dark area corresponds to the area covered by particles (for suspensions) or by particle aggregates (for gels) in the micrograph crosssections. Porosity is the complementary parameter and can be defined as the division of the void area by the total image area. In the binary image, porosity was calculated as white area (free space, corresponding to the aqueous phase) as a percentage of the total area (Impoco et al., 2006; Rovira et al., 2011).

The boundary length per unit area is the length of the perimeter around all the particle edges or boundaries (for suspensions) or particle aggregate edges or boundaries (for gels), divided by the total image area. The boundary length per unit area thus measures the quantity of interface between the protein phase and the aqueous phase. For a comparable area density, its value will be larger for systems with small structures and/or tortuous interfaces, and

smaller for systems with large structures and/or smooth interfaces. Boundary length was estimated by using a discretized version of Crofton formula, which consists in counting the number of intercepts with lines of various orientations, and normalized by the area of the image (Ohser & Mücklich, 2000; Legland, Kiêu, & Devaux, 2007).

302

303 2.4.3. Image morphological granulometry

The typical size of particles (or particle aggregates) and inter-particle (or inter-particle 304 305 aggregate) distances were determined by applying binary image granulometry on the segmented images. Binary image granulometry is based on image transformations depending 306 307 on the size of a mask referred to as a "structuring element". One of the basic transformations (taken from mathematical morphology) is the morphological opening, which effectively 308 309 removes objects smaller than the structuring element (Soille, 2003). By applying openings of 310 increasing sizes to the dairy system images, the particles (or particle aggregates) are 311 progressively removed. The complementary transformation is morphological closing, which effectively makes the voids smaller than the defined structuring element disappear. Following 312 the same process, applying closings of increasing size to the images leads to a progressive 313 314 elimination of the part of the image showing the voids in the structure. Thus, by either 315 method, a particle (or void) size distribution curve is produced.

The curve V(i) is generated by counting the number of pixels after each closing or opening step. This is then normalized according to the initial and final number of pixels V(initial) and V(final) and the finite difference is computed to build a granulometric curve:

319

$$g(i) = \frac{V(i) - V(i+1)}{V(initial) - V(final)}$$
(1)

In the current study, the largest size (diameter) of the disk structuring element was 121 pixels, corresponding to about 300 nm on the image. To reduce computation time, structuring element diameters were considered with a step of 4 pixels. To compare granulometric curves for a set of samples, each granulometric curve was summarized by computing its geometric mean. The following weighted sum was used:

326

$$m = exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{imax} g(i) * \log(t_i)\right)$$
(2)

327

where g(i) is the percent of grey level variation for the step *i*, t_i is the size of the structuring element in µm and *imax* is the number of closing/opening steps (Legland, Devaux, Bouchet, Guillon, & Lahaye, 2012). The geometric means of the granulometric curves obtained with the openings procedure were interpreted as the average size of particles (for suspensions) or particle aggregates (for gels). The geometric means of the granulometric curves obtained with the closings procedure were interpreted as the average size of particles (for suspensions) or particle aggregates (for gels). The geometric means of the granulometric curves obtained with the closings procedure were interpreted as the average size of the aqueous phase between the particles or particle aggregates.

A schematic representation of microstructural parameters determined for suspensionsand gels by using the image morphological granulometry method is given in Fig. 3.

337

338 *2.4.4. Tortuosity*

The tortuosity in every point in the aqueous phase can be defined as the ratio of the shortest path (i.e.; avoiding the protein network) between two opposing borders of the image, over the Euclidean distance between the same borders (Delarue & Jeulin, 2003; Wu et al., 2006). An illustration of the tortuosity for a sample structure is given in Fig. 4. The 3D tortuosity cannot be determined from 2D images, but 2D tortuosity can be used to

344 quantitatively compare the microstructure of different dairy systems observed under similar conditions. 345

346 For points belonging to aqueous phases totally included in the protein network, no 347 path can be defined to image borders. Consequently, the tortuosity over an image was defined 348 as the average value measured at points for which shortest paths could be computed. As the length of the shortest path is always greater than the Euclidean distance, the tortuosity is 349 350 always greater than or equal to one. The tortuosity value increases with the complexity of the 351 protein network separating the aqueous phase.

352 In order to assess the variability of the tortuosity measurement, each image was 353 divided into four sub-images, and tortuosity was calculated for each sub-image. For most 354 images, the tortuosity of the complete image was similar to the average value of the subimages (the difference being less than the standard deviation). However, for some images, the 355 356 difference was greater, due to large blocks of protein network that introduce a large variability 357 in the measurement.

358

359 2.5. Software implementation

360

361 Image processing and analysis was performed using Fiji-win64 processing software. 362 The quantification of morphological features required the development of specific plugins, which are available on request from the authors, or from the Internet at http://www.pfl-363 364 cepia.inra.fr/index.php?page=ijGranulometry en, http://www.pflcepia.inra.fr/index.php?page=ijGeodesics en, http://www.pfl-365 366 cepia.inra.fr/index.php?page=imMinkowski en. 367

369 2.6. Statistical Analysis

370

371 The mean values of microstructural parameters obtained for the four different dairy 372 systems studied (MF-suspension, UF-suspension, MF-gel and UF-gel) were statistically 373 compared using R software (version R i386 3.0.2) (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 374 Vienne, Austria). Results are presented with the mean value and the standard deviation. One-375 way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's paired comparison test were applied in order 376 to determine which means are significantly different from one another at the 95% family-wise 377 confidence level. The Student's test was applied in order to compare the two suspensions and 378 the two gels. 379 380 3. Results and Discussion 381 382 3.1. Image processing of TEM micrographs 383 384 Sample images of TEM acquisition obtained by using the HPF-FS protocol are 385 presented in Fig. 5, for both pairs of suspensions and gels. The dark phase corresponds to the 386 protein matrix (i.e.; particles or particle aggregates). The lighter phase corresponds to the 387 aqueous phase, formed by water, lactose, minerals and free amino acids. In all dairy systems, 388 the particles and particle aggregates were homogeneously distributed. 389 The particle aggregates observed in the gels (Fig. 5C and 5D) are larger compared to 390 the individual particles in suspensions (Fig. 5A and 5B). 391 Representative segmented TEM micrographs of the concentrated milk suspensions and 392 gels are illustrated in Fig. 6. These segmented images correspond to the TEM micrographs 393 shown in Fig. 5. The black phase of the segmented micrographs corresponds to the protein

394 matrix (i.e.; particles or particle aggregates), while the white phase corresponds to the 395 aqueous phase.

396

397 *3.2. Quantification of microstructural parameters*

398

399 *3.2.1. Validation of the automated image analysis procedure*

Microstructural parameters were measured for the two concentrated milk suspensions in order to validate the image processing of TEM micrographs by comparing with values taken from the literature. Obtained values were also compared to those obtained on synthetic suspension images. Table 2 presents the values of the microstructural parameters obtained from TEM micrographs of the milk suspensions.

Area fractions measured from TEM micrographs were equal to 0.34 ± 0.02 and 0.33 ± 0.01 for the MF- and UF-suspensions, respectively. Area fractions did not differ statistically (p < 0.05) between the MF- and UF-suspensions. This fact can be explained by the same casein concentration in both suspensions (130 g/kg).

The number of micelles in the synthetic suspension image was adjusted to obtain a value of area fraction similar to that for the real suspensions. The related value for the synthetic images was equal to 0.338 ± 0.005 . The other microstructural parameters can therefore be compared between synthetic and real suspensions.

The mean particle size obtained by granulometry on the synthetic suspension images was estimated as 116.3 ± 0.3 nm. This is very close to the diameter of the casein micelles, validating the image analysis method in this respect. Nonetheless, the measured values on the synthetic suspension images were still smaller than the real diameter of casein micelles, which is attributed to the presence of smaller sections of micelles.

Fig. 7 shows granulometric curves for the milk suspensions representing the particle size distribution (Fig. 7A and 7B for MF- and UF-suspensions, respectively) and the distribution of inter-particle distances (Fig. 7C and 7D for MF- and UF-suspensions, respectively). A good repeatability of the particle size distributions and of inter-particle distance is noted for both MF- and UF-suspensions. The corresponding mean particle size and inter-particle distance values from the geometric means are given in Table 2.

The mean particle size was 88 ± 3 nm and 99 ± 4 nm for the MF- and UF-suspensions. 424 425 At the casein concentration used (130 g/kg, corresponding to \sim 140 g/L for these suspensions), 426 casein micelles are fully separated from each other, i.e., below the concentration of random-427 close packing estimated as ~178 g/L (Bouchoux, Debbou, Gésan-Guiziou, Famelart, & Doublier, 2009). Casein micelles present in milk are known to have a broad size distribution 428 429 with diameters ranging from 50 to 500 nm, and a mean diameter of ~120 nm (Fox & Brodkorb, 2008). The values obtained by image analysis fall within this range of diameters 430 431 found for casein micelles in unconcentrated milk, but are smaller than the indicated mean diameter. Similar results were reported by Srilaorkul et al. (1991), who studied the effect of 432 433 skim milk ultrafiltration on particle size using conventional TEM. They measured particle size 434 by observation using a Baush and Lomb measurement magnifier, counting and classifying the micelles into 10 classes, each with a width of 20 nm. They saw a decrease in mean casein 435 436 micelle diameter from 118 nm in normal milk to 87 nm in milk that had been concentrated 437 five times. The change in the composition of casein and minerals as a result of the ultrafiltration of milk may be responsible for the change in average diameter of the casein 438 439 micelles.

The UF-suspension presented a mean particle size greater than that for the MFsuspension. The larger mean particle size (of about 10 nm) for the UF-suspension may be due to the association of denatured whey proteins on the surface of the micelles due to the heat

treatment applied to the UF concentrate (Jeurnink & de Kruif, 1993; Anema & Li, 2003; Nair, 443 Dalgleish, & Corredig, 2013). Anema & Li (2003) demonstrated that the diameter of casein 444 445 micelles in heated reconstituted skim milk increased by 19 nm as a consequence of heat treatments. For the concentrated milk studied in the same work, prepared by subjecting milk 446 447 to a combination of ultrafiltration and microfiltration techniques, an overall increase of 5 nm was observed when samples at pH 6.55 were heated for 45 min at 90°C. These values are 448 449 comparable to the increase of about 10 nm of mean particle size found in the current study for 450 the (heat-treated) UF-suspension.

451 The mean inter-particle distance obtained from the image analysis was 123 ± 5 nm and 132 ± 2 nm for the MF- and UF-suspensions, respectively. These values are smaller than 452 those predicted from the synthetic suspension $(153 \pm 3 \text{ nm})$. This may be explained by the 453 differences in the diameter distribution: as real suspensions contain a larger number of small 454 455 micelles the mean free distance will be less. The mean free distance between casein micelles in unconcentrated milk is reported as around 240 nm (Fox & Brodkorb, 2008). As expected, 456 457 the mean inter-particle distances between the casein micelles would be smaller in the 458 concentrated milk suspensions than in milk (25 g/L) due to the higher casein concentration 459 (130 g/kg, i.e. around 140 g/L) as a result of the membrane technology (microfiltration or ultrafiltration processes) applied. It is known that when the concentration of total solids in 460 461 skim milk increases (e.g. during ultrafiltration), the distance between the casein micelles decreases due to the increased volume fraction (Karlsson, Ipsen, Schrader, & Ardö, 2005). 462

The images of the MF- and UF-suspensions presented similar area fraction values whereas the particle size and inter-particle distance values were statistically different. The mean inter-particle distance was greater for the UF-suspension (when compared with the MFsuspension) that counteracted, to some extent, the effect of the larger mean particle size.

The MF-suspension images presented a boundary length per unit area of 13.3 ± 0.5 467 μ m/ μ m², and the UF-suspension a value of 12.1 ± 0.2 μ m/ μ m². This parameter is a measure of 468 469 the quantity of interface between the protein phase (black phase) and the aqueous phase 470 (white phase). For comparison, the values measured for the synthetic suspension images were $11.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ } \text{um/um}^2$. This may be explained by the fact that synthetic suspensions are more 471 472 regular than real ones. The value was significantly larger for the MF-suspension than for the UF-suspension. This result may have been expected because a suspension with small particles 473 474 (MF-suspension) will have a greater interface (between the particles and the aqueous phase) and thus a larger boundary length per unit area value. As both suspensions have the same area 475

476 fraction, the observed difference depends on the regularity of the interface. Assuming a 477 suspension of spherical particles, an interpretation is that the MF-suspension is composed of 478 smaller particles compared with the UF-suspension.

The tortuosity was 1.08 ± 0.01 for the MF-suspension and 1.06 ± 0.01 for the UFsuspension. These values were not significantly different (p < 0.05) but they were a little bit larger than that for the synthetic suspensions (1.043 ± 0.001). It can be observed that tortuosity value increases when mean distance between micelles decreases, and when boundary length per unit area increases.

484 Overall, the microstructural parameter values predicted for concentrated milk 485 suspensions by the image analysis procedure were in agreement with literature findings and 486 also with results obtained for a synthetic suspension. This fact allowed us to validate the 487 image processing of TEM micrographs.

488

489

490

492 *3.2.2. Application of the automated image analysis procedure to milk gels*

The image analysis procedure validated previously for the two types of concentrated milk suspensions was then applied to their corresponding gels, which had been obtained by rennet-induced coagulation, in order to determine their microstructural parameters.

The microstructure of milk gels is more complex than that for suspensions and depends on several factors such as the type of coagulation and the treatment applied to the milk concentrate prior to the coagulation step. It is well known that the addition of chymosin cleaves a specific bond of the κ -casein on the surface of the micelles. This reduces the steric and electrostatic repulsion between the micelles, and the destabilized micelles thus begin to aggregate to form the gel (Mellema, Heesakkers, van Opheusden, & van Vliet, 2000).

Table 3 sets out the values for the microstructural parameters obtained from the TEMimages of MF- and UF-gels.

The mean area fractions were 0.49 ± 0.03 and 0.50 ± 0.02 for MF- and UF-gels, respectively, which were significantly (p < 0.05) larger than the values obtained for the related suspensions (0.34 ± 0.02 and 0.33 ± 0.01 for MF- and UF-suspensions, respectively). However, similar area fraction values should be expected for concentrated milk suspensions and gels presenting the same casein concentration. This unexpected result may be explained by the aggregation of casein micelles in the gel which could induce changes in the apparent density of particles on the TEM images, due to the slice thickness of the sample.

511 Curds from heat-treated milks tend to be weak, ragged in appearance with a poor 512 matting ability and poor fusion of the gel network, giving rise to more porous matrices (Singh 513 & Waungana, 2001). However, in the present study, the UF-gel (made from heat-treated UF 514 concentrate) presented the same porosity compared with the MF-gel (about 0.50). This fact 515 can be explained by the ultrafiltration of the milk prior to coagulation in the case of the UF-516 gel. It is known that gels formed from UF concentrates are much firmer than the

517 corresponding curds produced from unconcentrated milks at comparable levels of β -518 lactoglobulin denaturation and association (Singh & Waungana, 2001). This result is 519 interesting because gels obtained from different technological treatments but with the same 520 casein composition have a similar porosity. Thus, heat treatment in combination with 521 concentration processes such as ultrafiltration may yield opportunities to correct curd 522 properties.

Fig. 8 shows granulometric curves obtained by applying the opening procedure (Fig. 8A and 8B for MF- and UF-gels, respectively), representing the distribution of particle aggregate sizes and by the closing procedure (Fig. 8C and 8D for MF- and UF-gels, respectively), representing the distribution of inter-particle aggregate distances obtained from TEM micrographs. Fig. 8 demonstrates the good repeatability of the distribution of particle aggregate size and inter-particle aggregate distance values obtained from different TEM micrographs of the MF- and UF-gels. Values for these same parameters are set out in Table 3.

530 The MF-gel exhibited a mean particle aggregate size of 141 ± 3 nm, slightly larger 531 than the mean particle aggregate size in UF-gel, 135 ± 8 nm. Concerning the rennet-induced 532 gels, it is known that in milk that has been heated, the κ -casein is broken down by chymosin 533 (as it is in unheated milk), but that the micelles do not aggregate well (Kethireddipalli, Hill, & Dalgleish, 2011). This is due to the presence of denatured whey proteins on the micellar 534 535 surface, which may hinder the close approach of the potentially interacting sites on the 536 micelles (Dalgleish & Corredig, 2012). Waungana, Singh, & Bennett (1996) observed that the 537 denaturation of individual whey proteins and their association with the casein micelles 538 resulted in prolonged gelation times and reduced gel firmness in gels produced from heat-539 treated UF milk (UHT system; 80°C to 140°C for 4s) due to the formation of a limited number of reactive sites at the micellar surface. It may thus follow that the denatured whey 540 541 proteins associated on the micellar surface in the UF concentrate prevented the close approach

of casein micelles, resulting in smaller particle aggregates in the (heat-treated) UF-gel whencompared with the MF-gel.

As expected, the mean particle aggregate size is larger in the two gels studied compared with the mean particle size in the corresponding suspensions. This may be explained by the aggregation of casein micelles in gels as a consequence of the addition of the coagulant agent (rennet) (Walstra, Bloomfield, Jason Wei, & Jenness, 1981).

548 Mean inter-particle aggregate distances were shown by image analysis as 147 ± 5 nm 549 for the MF-gel, significantly greater than the mean value of 131 ± 7 nm obtained for the UF-550 gel. The MF-gel contained particle aggregates and inter-particle aggregate distances greater 551 than those found in the UF-gel. These results are consistent with the boundary length unit area 552 values obtained for these gels.

The boundary length per unit area for the UF-gel was $10.7 \pm 0.7 \,\mu\text{m}/\mu\text{m}^2$, significantly larger (p < 0.05) than the value found for the MF-gel, $9.5 \pm 0.3 \,\mu\text{m}/\mu\text{m}^2$. The boundary length per unit area values obtained for the gels were larger when the particle aggregate sizes were smaller (Table 3). This result was expected because matrices with smaller particle aggregates present more interfaces (particle aggregates/aqueous phase).

Values of boundary length per unit area were significantly larger (p < 0.05) in the gels when compared to suspensions. This result was also expected because particle sizes in suspensions were found to be significantly smaller (p < 0.05) than particle aggregate sizes in gels. Therefore, in suspensions, more interfaces (particle/aqueous phase) were present, resulting in bigger values of boundary length per unit area values.

563 Tortuosity values were similar for the two concentrated milk gels $(1.5 \pm 0.2 \text{ and } 1.5 \pm 564 \text{ 0.2 for MF- and UF-gels, respectively})$. These values are much larger than for the suspensions. This corresponds to the increase in the aggregate size, which leads to longer

paths between given points. In this study, the tortuosity value could not enable discriminationbetween different gel microstructures but with similar casein composition.

568

569 4. Conclusions

570

571 An automated image analysis procedure for microstructural characterization of dairy 572 systems was developed. The procedure was first applied to models of synthetic suspensions, 573 and then on milk suspensions (with comparison with published data) to validate the 574 methodology. The computerized image analysis method enabled an easy measurement of a 575 series of microstructural parameters of milk gels, which makes it especially useful for routine 576 measurements.

577 The measurements of the area fraction (and hence of the sample porosity) were similar 578 for the MF- and UF-suspensions, and for the MF- and UF-gels. The image analysis procedure 579 made it possible to quantify differences of microstructure independently of the area fraction. 580 Binary image granulometry measures particle size distribution that can also be represented by 581 an average particle size. In a similar way, the distribution of distances between particles can 582 be represented by an average value. These two measurements can describe dairy systems by 583 using parameters that can be easily interpreted and compared.

The measurement of boundary length per unit area indicates the complexity of the matrix microstructure, without making the assumption that the system is a collection of particles. In case of particles systems with a similar area fraction, this parameter seems to be correlated with the average particle size.

The tortuosity of a sample describes the complexity of the aqueous phase, and is expected to be related to diffusion properties. In this study, the measured values were different for suspensions and gels, but no difference could be discerned between the two gels

591 studied (obtained with different preparation methods). Tortuosity is difficult to measure when 592 the area fraction of the solid phase is large: when the aggregates are large, the probability of 593 observing non-connected aqueous phases increases. An alternative could be to allow the 594 computation of geodesic distances in both phases but using different propagation speeds (Wu 595 et al., 2006), or to consider the tortuosity over a limited distance.

It was demonstrated that the image analysis method for the estimation of microstructural parameters was able to differentiate between dairy systems of similar composition, but obtained from different processes.

For a better understanding of the microstructure of dairy systems, modeling 599 600 approaches might be considered. In this study, we have simulated binary images similar to suspension images, with the objective of validating the image analysis methodology. 601 602 However, the microstructure of milk gels is much more complex and involves a variety of 603 physical and chemical processes. Several modeling approaches have already been undertaken 604 by other authors to reconstruct the microstructure based on 2D or 3D observations (Yeong & Torquato, 1998; Kumar, Briant, & Curtin, 2006; Nisslert, Kvarnström, Loren, Nyden, & 605 Rudemo, 2007; Jiang, Chen, & Burkhart, 2013). Similar approaches could be investigated for 606 607 dairy systems.

The developed image analysis procedure enables new insights into the characterization of the microstructure of dairy systems. This approach, which was applied to milk gels, might be adapted and extended to other food systems.

This procedure can also contribute to a better understanding of the effects of processing on the structure-property relationships in dairy products. For instance, further work might focus on coupling measurements obtained by image analysis, which describe the microstructure of milk gels, to physical phenomena such as diffusion properties.

615

616 Acknowledgments

617

The authors would like to thank Antoine Bouchoux (INSA, Toulouse, France) and Marie-Hélène Famelart (INRA, Rennes, France) for their help and suggestions during the writing of this paper. The authors also wish to thank the members of MRic TEM facility (Rennes, France) for their help with the TEM observations. The authors acknowledge with gratitude the financial support received both from the Brittany Regional Council and from INRA Rennes (France).

	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT				
624	References				
625	Aguilera J M Stanley D W & Baker K M (2000) New dimensions in microstructure of				
626	food products. <i>Trends in Food Science & Technology, 11</i> (1), 3-9.				
627	Aly, S., Floury, J., Famelart, M. H., Madec, M. N., Dupont, D., Le Gouar, Y. et al. (2011).				
628	Nisin quantification by ELISA allows the modeling of its apparent diffusion				
629	coefficient in model cheeses. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 59(17),				
630	9484-9490.				
631	Andrey, P. & Maurin, Y. (2005). Free-D: an integrated environment for three-dimensional				
632	reconstruction from serial sections. Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 145(1-2), 233-				
633	244.				
634	Anema, S. G. & Li, Y. (2003). Association of denatured whey proteins with casein micelles in				
635	heated reconstituted skim milk and its effect on casein micelle size. Journal of Dairy				
636	Research, 70, 73-83.				
637	Bouchoux, A., Debbou, B., Gésan-Guiziou, G., Famelart, MH., & Doublier, JL. (2009).				
638	Rheology and phase behavior of dense casein micelle dispersions. The Journal of				
639	Chemical Physics, 131(16).				
640	Büchheim, W. (1982). Aspects of sample preparation for freeze-fracture/freeze-etch studies of				
641	proteins and lipids in food systems: a review. Food Microstructure, 1(2), 189-208.				
642	Crossley, J. I. & Aguilera, J. M. (2001). Modeling the effect of microstructure on food				
643	extraction. Journal of Food Process Engineering, 24(3), 161-177.				
644	Dalgleish, D. G. & Corredig, M. (2012). The structure of the casein micelle of milk and its				
645	changes during processing. Annual Review of Food Science and Technology, 3(1),				
646	449-467.				
647	Delarue, A. & Jeulin, D. (2003). 3D morphological characterization of composite materials				
648	with spherical aggregates. Image Analysis and Stereology, 22, 153-161.				
649	El-Bakry, M. & Sheehan, J. (2014). Analysing cheese microstructure: A review of recent				
650	developments. Journal of Food Engineering, 125, 84-96.				
	27				

- Ercili-Cura, D., Lille, M., Legland, D., Gaucel, S., Poutanen, K., Partanen, R. et al. (2013).
 Structural mechanisms leading to improved water retention in acid milk gels by use of
 transglutaminase. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *30*(1), 419-427.
- Fallico, V., Tuminello, L., Pediliggieri, C., Horne, J., Carpino, S., & Licitra, G. (2006).
 Proteolysis and microstructure of Piacentinu Ennese cheese made using different farm
 technologies. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 89(1), 37-48.
- Fenoul, F., Le Denmat, M., Hamdi, F., Cuvelier, G., & Michon, C. (2008). Technical Note:
 Confocal Scanning Laser Microscopy and quantitative image analysis: Application to
 cream cheese microstructure investigation. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *91*(4), 13251333.
- Fox, P. F. & Brodkorb, A. (2008). The casein micelle: Historical aspects, current concepts and
 significance. *International Dairy Journal*, *18*(7), 677-684.
- Fucà, N., Pasta, C., Impoco, G., Caccamo, M., & Licitra, G. (2013). Microstructural
 properties of milk fat globules. *International Dairy Journal*, *31*(1), 44-50.
- Geng, X. L., van den Berg, F. W. J., Bager, A. N., & Ipsen, R. (2011). Dynamic visualization
 and microstructure of syneresis of cheese curd during mechanical treatment.
 International Dairy Journal, 21(9), 711-717.
- Goff, H. D., Verespej, E., & Smith, A. K. (1999). A study of fat and air structures in ice
 cream. *International Dairy Journal*, 9(11), 817-829.
- Gunasekaran, S. & Ding, K. (1999). Three-dimensional characteristics of fat globules in
 cheddar cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 82(9), 1890-1896.
- Hussain, I., Grandison, A. S., & Bell, A. E. (2012). Effects of gelation temperature on
 Mozzarella-type curd made from buffalo and cows milk. 1: Rheology and
 microstructure. *Food Chemistry*, 134(3), 1500-1508.
- Impoco, G., Carrato, S., Caccamo, M., & Tuminello, L. (2006). Quantitative analysis of
 cheese microstructure using SEM imagery. In SIMAI 2006 Minisymposium: Image
 Analysis Methods for Industrial Application.

- Impoco, G., Fucà, N., Pasta, C., Caccamo, M., & Licitra, G. (2012). Quantitative analysis of
 nanostructures shape and distribution in micrographs using image analysis. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 84*, 26-35.
- Jeurnink, T. J. M. & de Kruif, K. G. (1993). Changes in milk on heating: viscosity
 measurements. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 60(2), 139-150.
- Jiang, G. Z., Chen, W., & Burkhart, C. (2013). Efficient 3D porous microstructure
 reconstruction via Gaussian random field and hybrid optimization. *Journal of Microscopy*, 252(2), 135-148.
- Kalab, M., Allan-Wojtas, P., & Mistry, V. V. (1995). Microscopy and other imaging
 techniques in food structure analysis. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, *6*, 32103218.
- Karlsson, A. O., Ipsen, R., Schrader, K., & Ardö, Y. (2005). Relationship between physical
 properties of casein micelles and rheology of skim milk concentrate. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 88(11), 3784-3797.
- Kethireddipalli, P., Hill, A. R., & Dalgleish, D. G. (2011). Interaction between casein micelles
 and whey protein/kappa-casein complexes during renneting of heat-treated
 reconstituted skim milk powder and casein micelle/serum mixtures. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 59(4), 1442-1448.
- Kumar, H., Briant, C. L., & Curtin, W. A. (2006). Using microstructure reconstruction to
 model mechanical behavior in complex microstructures. *Mechanics of Materials*,
 38(8–10), 818-832.
- Lanfrey, P. Y., Kuzeljevic, Z. V., & Dudukovic, M. P. (2010). Tortuosity model for fixed
 beds randomly packed with identical particles. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 65(5),
 1891-1896.
- Latour, L. L., Kleinberg, R. L., Mitra, P. P., & Sotak, C. H. (1995). Pore-size distributions and
 tortuosity in heterogeneous porous media. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance, Series A*, *112*(1), 83-91.

- Le Feunteun, S. & Mariette, F. (2007). Impact of casein gel microstructure on self-diffusion
 coefficient of molecular probes measured by ¹H PFG-NMR. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 55(26), 10764-10772.
- Le Feunteun, S. & Mariette, F. (2008). PFG–NMR techniques provide a new tool for
 continuous investigation of the evolution of the casein gel microstructure after
 renneting. *Macromolecules*, 41(6), 2071-2078.
- Legland, D., Devaux, M. F., Bouchet, B., Guillon, F., & Lahaye, M. (2012). Cartography of
 cell morphology in tomato pericarp at the fruit scale. *Journal of Microscopy*, *247*(1),
 713 78-93.
- Legland, D., Kiêu, K., & Devaux, M. F. (2007). Computation of Minkowski measures on 2D
 and 3D binary images. *Image Analysis and Stereology*, 26(6), 83-92.
- Lucey, J. A. (2002). Formation and physical properties of milk protein gels. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 85(2), 281-294.
- Marchesseau, S., Gastaldi, E., Lagaude, A., & Cuq, J. L. (1997). Influence of pH on protein
 interactions and microstructure of process cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *80*(8),
 1483-1489.
- Maubois, J. L. & Mocquot, G. (1971). Préparation de fromage à partir de "pré-fromage
 liquide" obtenu par ultrafiltration du lait. *Lait*, *51*(508), 495-533.
- Maubois, J. L. & Mocquot, G. (1975). Application of membrane ultrafiltration to preparation
 of various types of cheese. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *58*(7), 1001-1007.
- Maubois, J. L., Mocquot, G., & Vassal, L. (1969). A method for processing milk and milk
 products. [2052121]. French.
- McMahon, D. J., Fife, R. L., & Oberg, C. J. (1999). Water partitioning in Mozzarella cheese
 and its relationship to cheese meltability. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 82(7), 1361-1369.
- McMahon, D. J. & McManus, W. R. (1998). Rethinking casein micelle structure using
 electron microscopy. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *81*(11), 2985-2993.

- Mellema, M., Heesakkers, J. W. M., van Opheusden, J. H. J., & van Vliet, T. (2000).
 Structure and scaling behavior of aging rennet-induced casein gels examined by
 confocal microscopy and permeametry. *Langmuir*, *16*(17), 6847-6854.
- Morand, M., Guyomarc'h, F., Legland, D., & Famelart, M. H. (2012). Changing the
 isoelectric point of the heat-induced whey protein complexes affects the acid gelation
 of skim milk. *International Dairy Journal*, 23(1), 9-17.
- Nair, P. K., Dalgleish, D. G., & Corredig, M. (2013). Colloidal properties of concentrated
 heated milk. *Soft Matter*, 9(14), 3815-3824.
- Nisslert, R., Kvarnström, M., Loren, N., Nyden, M., & Rudemo, M. (2007). Identification of
 the three-dimensional gel microstructure from transmission electron micrographs. *Journal of Microscopy*, 225(1), 10-21.
- 742 Ohser, J. & Mücklich, F. (2000). *Statistical analysis of microstructures in materials sciences*.
 743 (1th ed.). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ong, L., Dagastine, R. R., Kentish, S. E., & Gras, S. L. (2011). Microstructure of milk gel and
 cheese curd observed using cryo scanning electron microscopy and confocal
 microscopy. *Food Science and Technology*, 44(5), 1291-1302.
- Ong, L., Dagastine, R. R., Kentish, S. E., & Gras, S. L. (2012). The effect of pH at renneting
 on the microstructure, composition and texture of Cheddar cheese. *Food Research International, 48*(1), 119-130.
- Ong, L., Dagastine, R. R., Kentish, S. E., & Gras, S. L. (2013). The effect of calcium chloride
 addition on the microstructure and composition of Cheddar cheese. *International Dairy Journal*, 33(2), 135-141.
- Otsu, N. (1979). A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, 9*(1), 62-66.
- Ramasubramanian, L., Webb, R., D'Arcy, B., & Deeth, H. C. (2013). Characteristics of a
 calcium-milk coagulum. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *114*(2), 147-152.
- Reis, P. J. M. & Malcata, F. X. (2011). Ripening-related changes in Serra da Estrela cheese: A
 stereological study. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *94*(3), 1223-1238.

- Rovira, S., Lopez, M. B., Ferrandini, E., & Laencina, J. (2011). Hot topic: Microstructure
 quantification by scanning electron microscopy and image analysis of goat cheese
 curd. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *94*(3), 1091-1097.
- Sam Saguy, I., Marabi, A., & Wallach, R. (2005). New approach to model rehydration of dry
 food particulates utilizing principles of liquid transport in porous media. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, *16*(11), 495-506.
- Shen, L. & Chen, Z. (2007). Critical review of the impact of tortuosity on diffusion. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 62(14), 3748-3755.
- Silva, J. V. C., Peixoto, P. D. S., Lortal, S., & Floury, J. (2013). Transport phenomena in a
 model cheese: The influence of the charge and shape of solutes on diffusion. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *96*(10), 6186-6198.
- Singh, H. & Waungana, A. (2001). Influence of heat treatment of milk on cheesemaking
 properties. *International Dairy Journal*, *11*(4–7), 543-551.
- Smith, A. K., Kakuda, Y., & Goff, H. D. (2000). Changes in protein and fat structure in
 whipped cream caused by heat treatment and addition of stabilizer to the cream. *Food Research International*, *33*(8), 697-706.
- Soille, P. (2003). *Morphological Image Analysis: Principles and Applications*. (2 ed.).
 Secaucus, NJ, USA: Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.
- Srilaorkul, S., Ozimek, L., Ooraikul, B., Hadziyev, D., & Wolfe, F. (1991). Effect of
 ultrafiltration of skim milk on casein micelle size distribution in retentate. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 74(1), 50-57.
- Suman, R. & Ruth, D. (1993). Formation factor and tortuosity of homogeneous porous media.
 Transp Porous Med, 12(2), 185-206.
- Torquato, S. (2002). Random heterogeneous materials: microstructure and macroscopic
 properties. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Ulve, V. M., Monnet, C., Valence, F., Fauquant, J., Falentin, H., & Lortal, S. (2008). RNA
 extraction from cheese for analysis of in situ gene expression of Lactococcus lactis. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *105*(5), 1327-1333.

- Walstra, P., Bloomfield, V. A., Jason Wei, G., & Jenness, R. (1981). Effect of chymosin
 action on the hydrodynamic diameter of casein micelles. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Protein Structure, 669*(2), 258-259.
- Waninge, R., Kalda, E., Paulsson, M., Nylander, T., & Bergenstahl, B. (2004). Cryo-TEM of
 isolated milk fat globule membrane structures in cream. *Physical Chemistry and Chemical Physics*, 6, 1518-1523.
- Waninge, R., Nylander, T., Paulsson, M., & Bergenstahl, B. (2003). Milk membrane lipid
 vesicle structures studied with cryo-TEM. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 31*(1-4), 257-264.
- Waungana, A., Singh, H., & Bennett, R. J. (1996). Influence of denaturation and aggregation
 of beta-lactoglobulin on rennet coagulation properties of skim milk and ultrafiltered
 milk. *Food Research International, 29*(8), 715-721.
- Wium, H., Pedersen, P. S., & Qvist, K. B. (2003). Effect of coagulation conditions on the
 microstructure and the large deformation properties of fat-free Feta cheese made from
 ultrafiltered milk. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 17(3), 287-296.
- Wu, Y. S., van Vliet, L. J., Frijlink, H. W., & van der Voort Maarschalk, K. (2006). The
 determination of relative path length as a measure for tortuosity in compacts using
 image analysis. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 28*(5), 433-440.
- Yeong, C. L. Y. & Torquato, S. (1998). Reconstructing random media. *Physical Review e*,
 57(1), 495-506.
- Zalc, J. M., Reyes, S. C., & Iglesia, E. (2004). The effects of diffusion mechanism and void
 structure on transport rates and tortuosity factors in complex porous structures.
 Chemical Engineering Science, 59(14), 2947-2960.

Table Captions

Table 1

Composition of the MF and UF concentrates.

Table 2

Values of parameters characterizing the microstructure of two concentrated milk suspensions (MF- and UF-suspensions) obtained from image analysis on binary micrographs¹.

Table 3

Values of parameters characterizing the microstructure of two concentrated milk gels (MFand UF-gels) obtained from image analysis on binary micrographs¹.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the automated segmentation procedure. The original TEM micrograph (A) is divided by a background image (B) to obtain a normalized image (C). The protein network is enhanced using a black top-hat filter (D), and smoothed to remove acquisition noise (E). Gray level histogram of the image, showing two peaks corresponding to the dark and white phases (F). The application of the Otsu threshold results in a binary image showing the protein phase as black and the void (aqueous) phase as white (G).

Fig. 2. Generation of a synthetic suspension of micelles. (A) 3D representation of a sample of the system of spheres (red) together with the region corresponding to the thick section (blue).(B) Sample binary image obtained by the intersection of the thick section with the system of spheres, projected along the z direction.

Fig. 3. Schematic representation of the microstructural parameters determined for dairy systems (suspensions and gels) by the image morphological granulometry method.

Fig. 4. Graphical illustration of tortuosity through a given point in a synthetic binary structure, computed as the ratio of the shortest lengths to borders (dashed line) over the image width (black line).

Fig. 5. TEM micrographs of concentrated milk suspensions and gels at 20000x magnification.(A) MF-suspension; (B) UF-suspension; (C) MF-gel; (D) UF-gel.

Fig. 6. Representative segmented TEM micrographs (binarised images) of concentrated milk suspensions and the related gels. (A) MF-suspension; (B) UF-suspension; (C) MF-gel; (D) UF-gel. These binarised images correspond to the TEM micrographs showed in Fig. 4.

Fig. 7. Distribution of particle sizes in TEM micrographs of (A) MF-suspension and (B) UF-suspension; Distribution of inter-particle distances in TEM micrographs of (C) MF-suspension and (D) UF-suspension.

Fig. 8. Distribution of particle aggregate sizes in TEM micrographs of (A) MF-gel and (B) UF-gel; Distribution of inter-particle aggregate distances in TEM micrographs of (C) MF-gel and (D) UF-gel.

Product	Dry matter (g/kg)	Caseins (g/kg)	Whey proteins (g/kg)	Lactose and Minerals (g/kg)	Other (g/kg)
MF concentrate	196	130.3	4.4	60.1	1.6
UF concentrate	223	130.7	27.3	63.1	1.8

Parameter	MF-suspension	UF-suspension
Area fraction	0.34 ± 0.02	0.33 ± 0.01
Porosity	0.66 ± 0.02	0.67 ± 0.01
Boundary length per unit area ($\mu m/\mu m^2$)	13.3 ± 0.5	$12.1 \pm 0.2^{*}$
Particle size (nm)	88 ± 3	$99 \pm 4^*$
Inter-particle distance (nm)	123 ± 5	$132 \pm 2^*$
Tortuosity	1.08 ± 0.01	1.06 ± 0.01

¹The results are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation of the mean (n = 10). ^{*}The means within a single row are significantly different (p < 0.05).

Parameter	MF-gel	UF-gel
Area fraction	0.49 ± 0.03	0.50 ± 0.02
Porosity	0.51 ± 0.03	0.50 ± 0.02
Boundary length per unit area ($\mu m/\mu m^2$)	9.5 ± 0.3	$10.7 \pm 0.7^{*}$
Particle aggregate size (nm)	141 ± 3	$135 \pm 8^{*}$
Inter-particle aggregate distance (nm)	147 ± 5	$131 \pm 7^{*}$
Tortuosity	1.5 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.2

¹The results are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation of the mean (n = 10). ^{*}The means within a single row are significantly different (p < 0.05).

















Highlights

- We developed a method of characterization of the microstructure of dairy systems.
- Microstructural parameters were determined by an automated image analysis method.
- These routine measurements provided discrimination between different dairy systems.
- This method applied to milk gels can be easily transferred to other food systems.