

# Catalytic behavior of surfactant-containing-MCM-41 mesoporous materials for cycloaddition of 4-nitrophenyl azide

Bouhadjar Boukoussa, Sarah Zeghada, Ghenia Bentabed-Ababsa, Rachida Hamacha, Aïcha Derdour, Abdelkader Bengueddach, Florence Mongin

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Bouhadjar Boukoussa, Sarah Zeghada, Ghenia Bentabed-Ababsa, Rachida Hamacha, Aïcha Derdour, et al.. Catalytic behavior of surfactant-containing-MCM-41 mesoporous materials for cycloaddition of 4-nitrophenyl azide. Applied Catalysis A: General, 2015, 489, pp.131-139. 10.1016/j.apcata.2014.10.022 . hal-01096627

### HAL Id: hal-01096627 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01096627

Submitted on 2 Jul 2015  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Graphical abstract



#### 5 Highlights

- 6
- 7 Synergic effect between homogeneous and heterogeneous catalyst in cycloaddition
- 8 reactions.
- 9 ► A facile method for synthesis of triazoles in very short durations (15min).
- 10 Superior catalytic activity and reusability of as-synthesized Si-MCM-41.

- 11
- 12

12	Catalytic behavior of surfactant-containing-MCM-41 mesoporous materials
13	For cycloaddition of 4-nitrophenyl azide
14	
15	Bouhadjar Boukoussa <sup>a</sup> , Sarah Zeghada <sup>b</sup> , Ghenia Bentabed Ababsa <sup>b</sup> , Rachida Hamacha <sup>a,*</sup> ,
16	Aïcha Derdour <sup>b</sup> , Abdelkader Bengueddach <sup>a</sup> , Florence Mongin <sup>c</sup>
17	
18	<sup>a</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie des Matériaux L.C.M, Université d'Oran, BP 1524 El-Mnaouer,
19	31000 Oran, Algeria
20	<sup>b</sup> Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique Appliquée L.S.O.A, Université d'Oran, BP 1524 El-
21	Mnaouer, 31000 Oran, Algeria
22	<sup>c</sup> Equipe Chimie et Photonique Moléculaires CPM, Institut des Sciences Chimiques de
23	Rennes, UMR 6226, Université de Rennes 1-CNRS, Bâtiment 10A, Case 1003, Campus
24	Scientifique de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France
25	
26	*corresponding author: Rachida Hamacha: hamacha.rachida@univ-oran.dz
27	
28	

#### 28 Abstract:

Si-MCM-41, Ga-MCM-41 and Al-MCM-41 mesoporous catalysts (with Si/Al = 80 and 29 30 Si/Ga= 80) were prepared by direct synthesis under hydrothermal crystallization method using sodium aluminate or gallium sulfate and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) as aluminum or 31 gallium and silica sources, respectively. The structural features of the materials were 32 determined by various physico-chemical techniques such as X-ray diffraction (XRD), 33 34 nitrogen sorption at 77 K, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), scanning and transmission electronic microscopy (SEM, TEM) and thermogravimetric analysis ATG. The 35 catalytic activity of the calcined and as-synthesized catalyst was evaluated through the 36 cycloaddition reaction of 4-nitrophenyl azide with activated alkenes at room temperature 37 38 under liquid-phase conditions. High yields of 1,2,3-triazole were obtained. For comparison purpose, mixtures of homogeneous and heterogeneous catalyst Et<sub>3</sub>N/M-MCM-41 (M=Al or 39 Ga) are also tested. The catalyst was used in five consecutive experiments without important 40 loss of activity, confirming its stability. Finally, a new method for preparing triazoles in short 41 42 reaction times was developed.

Keywords: mesoporous silica, MCM-41, cycloaddition reaction, triazoles synthesis,
surfactant, catalyst re-use.

45

#### 46 **1. Introduction**

The discovery of ordered mesoporous materials M41S by Mobil in 1992 [1] has attracted intense interest due to their large surface areas, well-defined pore structures, inert framework, non toxicity and high biocompatibility [2]. These catalysts are completely recovered after reaction by filtration and are also easily regenerated [3]. The lack of hydrothermal stability of the mesoporous materials has been overcome by the incorporation of heteroatoms such as Al, Ga, Ti, Fe, V, W and Cr [4-7]. These properties allow these mesoporous materials to be used

in a wide range of applications such as catalysis [4-7], adsorption [8,10], extraction [11],
energy [12], drug delivery systems [13], and for their luminescent character [14].

55 MCM-41 mesoporous materials have drawn the attention of researchers because of their 56 rapid and easy synthesis [15]. Possessing regular pore structure, uniform pore diameter and 57 high surface area [16], they have successfully been employed for typical acid-base or oxido-58 reduction catalysis such as dehydrogenation of *n*-butane [17], hydrodesulfurization of 4,6-59 dimethyldibenzothiophene [18], synthesis of benzo[ $\alpha$ ]xanthenone derivatives [19], oxidation 50 of alcohols and toluene [20], *tert*-butylation of hydroquinone [21], Beckmann rearrangement 51 reaction [22], and cyclohexanone oxime rearrangement [23].

Recently several approaches have been proposed to develop basicity in mesoporous 62 63 materials by dispersing alkali metal oxides in the channel [24,25]. Kloetstra et al. [26] initially proposed to disperse cesium oxide particles in Si-MCM-41 pores. However, due to the 64 treatments used throughout the preparation, its structure was extensively damaged [26]. 65 Another way to get basic Si-MCM-41 sites is by functionalizing its surface with organic 66 67 compounds, particularly with those containing terminal amines in their composition [27,28]. These procedures, which are used to generate basic sites on Si-MCM-41, are hard to process 68 and not always lead to the planned basic sites, stable enough for catalysis application. Roy et 69 al [29] have synthesized a new pyridine-imine functionalized mesoporous silica (SBA-15) 70 71 followed by the grafting of Cu(II) for obtaining a new Cu-PyIm-SBA-15 material and they used these solids like basic catalysts for synthesis of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazole. 72

The material is functionalized by anchoring organic bases at the silanol groups, thereby forming a covalent bond. Unfortunately, these organic–inorganic hybrid materials are less basic than the corresponding free organic amine molecule. This fact has been explained as involving the interaction of the amine function with residual silanol groups [30].

Mesoporous solids may be used without calcination, the presence of surfactant inside the pores showing interesting and remarkable basic properties. Thus, these attractive catalysts have also been tested in different reactions which require basic catalysts such as Knoevenagel and Claisen–Schmidt condensations [31], Michael additions [32], and cycloaddition reactions of  $CO_2$  with epoxides [33].

Kubota et al. [32,34] achieved excellent results during Knoevenagel condensation by using Si-MCM-41 molecular sieve while keeping the surfactant inside the pores called [CTA]Si-MCM-41. In this catalyst, active sites are high basicity SiO<sup>-</sup> sites, which are in the channels. Oliveira and al [35] used a series of as-synthesized molecular sieves containing several Si/Al ratio and they have been tested as basic catalysts for Knoevenagel condensation, they showed that increasing the content of Si/Al ratio increases the amount of siloxy anion and increases conversion of benzaldehyde.

1,2,3-Triazoles have found widespread applications as pharmaceuticals and industrial 89 compounds such as dyes, anticorrosive agents, photostabilizers, photographic materials, and 90 91 agrochemicals [36]. In addition, compounds containing 1,2,3-triazoles have shown a broad spectrum of biological activities such as antibacterial against Gram positive bacteria [37], 92 herbicidal and fungicidal [38], antiallergic [39], anticonvulsant [40], β-lactamase inhibitive 93 [41] and anti-HIV [42]. Several synthetic methods for preparing triazole derivatives have been 94 95 developed. Among them, we can cite Huisgen 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition [43] and its recent development towards click chemistry [44]. 96

In this paper, we report a facile and rapid pathway for the synthesis of 1,2,3-triazoles
through 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions between 4-nitrophenyl azide and activated alkenes
[36] using a heterogeneous catalytic system.

In previously reported works concerning MCM-41-catalyzed Knoevenagel condensation
 reactions, as-synthesized Si-MCM-41 was studied as basic catalyst [31-33]. Generally the

102	catalysts for the cycloaddition of azide are solids which contain copper [29,45-47], to our best
103	knowledge, mesoporous Si- Ga or Al-MCM-41 have never been studied for cycloaddition of
104	aryl azides. Thus the aim of the present research is to compare the catalytic properties of a
105	series of mesoporous catalyst including: (1) Si-MCM-41, (2) Ga-MCM-41, (3) Al-MCM-41,
106	(4) and a mixture between homogeneous/heterogeneous catalysts.
107	These mesoporous materials are used as-synthesized or calcined like catalysts for the
108	cycloaddition of aryl azides with activated alkenes in liquid phase at room temperature.
109	
110	2. Experimental
111	2.1. Synthesis of Ga-MCM-41 and Al-MCM-41
112	Si-MCM-41, Ga-MCM-41 and Al-MCM-41 mesoporous materials were prepared by
113	direct hydrothermal synthesis using cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) as structure-
114	directing template, gallium sulfate or sodium aluminate and TEOS as the gallium or
115	aluminum and silica source, respectively. The procedure for the catalysts preparation was
116	thoroughly described elsewhere [8].
117	The gel composition was: 1 TEOS, 0.12 CTAB, 0.25 NaOH, 1.5 EtOH, 100 $\mathrm{H_{2}O}$ and
118	0.00625 Ga <sub>2</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> (case of Ga-MCM-41) or 0.00625 Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (case of Al-MCM-41) (for the
119	case of Si-MCM-41 the Si/Al or Ga $\approx \infty$ ). We first prepared two solutions, the first by mixing
120	CTAB (2.91 g, 98%, Alfa Aesar), distilled water (110 mL) and ethanol (6 mL, 99.5%, Riedel-
121	de-Haën) and stirring for 15 min at 308 K, and the second solution by mixing NaOH (0.66 g,
122	98% Sigma-Aldrich), distilled water (10 mL), and the appropriate amount of sodium
123	aluminate (54% Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> , 41% Na <sub>2</sub> O, 5% H <sub>2</sub> O, Aldrich) or gallium sulfate (Ga <sub>2</sub> (SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> , 99.99%,
124	Sigma-Aldrich). TEOS (7.4 mL, 98%, Aldrich) and the second solution were added dropwise
125	to the first solution. After ageing at 308 K for 3 h, the obtained mixture was transferred to an

autoclave and hydrothermally treated under autogenous pressure at 423 K for 10 h. The 126

obtained product was then removed from the oven and cooled to room temperature. After
filtration and washing several times with distilled water, the obtained solid was dried at 333 K
for at least 24 h. The powder was then calcined in air at 823 K for 12 h to remove the
template.

131 *2.2. Characterization* 

The XRD powder diffraction patterns of the Si-MCM-41, Ga-MCM-41 and Al-MCM-41 132 133 mesoporous materials were obtained with a Bruker AXS D-8 diffractometer using Cu-Ka radiation. Nitrogen adsorption was performed at 77 K in a TriStar 3000 V6.04 A volumetric 134 instrument. The samples were outgassed at 353 K prior to the adsorption measurement until a 135  $3 \times 10^{-3}$  Torr static vacuum was reached. The surface area was calculated by the Brunauer-136 137 Emmett-Teller (BET) method [48]. The pore size distributions were obtained from the adsorption branch of the isotherm using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method [49]. 138 FTIR spectra of the mesoporous Al, Ga or Si-MCM-41 molecular sieves in the range 400-139 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> were collected on a JASCO (4200) instrument using KBr pellet technique. 140 Thermogravimetric analysis (LABSYS Evo SETARAM) was carried out under air 141 142 atmosphere in the temperature range 20–800°C with a heating rate of 10°C/min. The energy 143 dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) jointed to a XL-30 scanning electron microscope was used to calculate the Si/Al and Si/Ga ratios of the aluminum- or gallium-containing MCM-41. The 144 145 surface topography of the different solids was observed using SEM on a Hitachi 4800S microscope and TEM was performed on a JEOL 1200 EXII device. 146

147

#### 148 2.3. Reaction procedures for the cycloaddition of 4-nitrophenyl azide with activated alkenes

- 149
- 150 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was added 4-nitrophenyl azide (1, 1 mmol), and the mixture was

Procedure 1. To the required methylene-activated compound (2 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (2

stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The precipitate formed upon addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) was
filtrated, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried, and recrystallized from iPrOH.

Procedure 2. To the required methylene-activated compound (2 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (2 153 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was added 4-nitrophenyl azide (1, 1 mmol) and calcined or as-154 synthesized mesoporous Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 catalyst (10 mol%), and the mixture was 155 stirred at room temperature (TLC monitoring). The precipitate formed upon addition of H<sub>2</sub>O 156 157 (5 mL) was filtrated, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O and dried. To remove the catalyst, the precipitate was extracted with acetone. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was recrystallized 158 from iPrOH. Characterization data associated with this article have been previously described 159 160 [50], except in the case of **3c**.

161The characterisation data for the 3c is as fellow Ethyl 5-methyl-1-(4-nitrophenyl)-162IH-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (3c). Yield: 93% (procedure 1). Yellow powder, mp 185163 $^{\circ}$ C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 1.45 (t, 3H, H1, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.68 (s, 3H, H6), 4.47 (q, 2H,164H2, J = 7.1 Hz), 7.73 (d, 2H, J = 9.1 Hz), 8.46 (d, 2H, J = 9.1 Hz). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz,165CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 10.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 14.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 61.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 125.3 (2 CH), 126.0 (2 CH), 137.6 (C), 139.0166(C), 140.4 (C), 148.4 (C), 161.5 (C=O).

*Procedure 3.* To the required methylene-activated compound (2 mmol) in DMF (2
mL) was added 4-nitrophenyl azide (1, 1 mmol) and as-synthesized mesoporous MCM-41
catalyst (25 mol%), the mixture was stirred at room temperature (TLC monitoring). The next
steps are as above (procedure 2).

- 171
- 172 **3. Results and discussion**
- 173 *3.1. Characterization of the catalysts*

The diffraction patterns of the as-synthesized and calcined Si-MCM-41, Ga-MCM-41 and Al-MCM-41 catalysts are given in Fig. 1. The main peaks of the XRD patterns of all the

samples are consistent with the characteristic peaks of the hexagonal structure of the MCM-41

176

mesoporous molecular sieves. Si-MCM-41 and Al-MCM-41 exhibits a strong peak 177 respectively at  $2\theta = 2.27 \cdot 2.13$  due to (100) reflection lines and three weak signals around 178 3.94-3.65, 4.56-4.18 and 6.01-5.50 (20) corresponding to (110), (200) and (210) reflections, 179 indicating the formation of well-ordered mesoporous materials with hexagonal regularity [51]. 180 For Ga-MCM-41 materials, peaks of XRD are broadened as a result of the insertion of 181 182 amount of Ga. This suggests a more disordered arrangement of channels for the Ga-MCM-41, but keeping a hexagonal structure with good regularity. Similar observations have been shown 183 by Campos et al where they studied the influence of Si/Ga on the structure of MCM-41 [9]. 184 The decrease of structure order for Al MCM-41 and particularly for Ga-MCM-41 can be 185

explained by the isomorphous substitution of Ga or Al in MCM-41 framework carried out bya direct synthesis method. [52,53].

The unit cell parameter  $a_0$  is calculated from  $a_0 = 2d_{100}/\sqrt{3}$ , where the d-spacing values are calculated by  $n \lambda = 2d \sin\theta$ . The observed d spacing and unit cell parameter results (Table 1) are well-matched with the hexagonal p6mm space group.

We notice that the calculated cell parameters are 43, 47 and 48 Å for Si- Al- or Ga-MCM-41 solids, respectively; these results are consistent with the literature, where it has been showed that the incorporation of a heteroatom in the structure of MCM-41 increases the unit cell parameter [9]. This difference is probably due to the bond length of Ga-O, Al-O and Si-O, Ga-O being higher than Al-O and Si-O as consequence of the larger ionic radius of Ga<sup>3+</sup> than Al<sup>3+</sup> and Si<sup>4+</sup> [54]. The change in d-spacing and unit cell parameter compared to siliceous MCM-41 proved the incorporation of gallium or aluminum in the framework.

An increase of peak intensity is systematically visible after calcination, assessing more ordered structure after surfactant removal. The (100) reflection becomes sharper and more intense upon calcination, although the (110), (200) and (210) reflections are not well defined

and overlap to give a single broad band. A lattice contraction is also observed after calcination
due to surfactant removal and to the condensation of silanol (SiOH) groups in the walls.

203 Quantitative data for the estimation of gallium and aluminum incorporation was obtained by EDX analysis. According to the chemical composition used for the synthesis of Ga-MCM-204 41 and Al-MCM-41, a nominal value of Si/Ga or Si/Al = 80 was expected. However, the 205 Si/Ga and Si/Al ratios, as determined by EDX in the calcined MCM-41, are a little higher, 206 207 indicating that the amount of heteroatom incorporated in the structure is a little less than the expected one (Table 1). For the same ratios of Si/Ga or Si/Al, the percentage of aluminum in 208 Al-MCM-41 is much more than gallium in Ga-MCM-41. This difference of the gallium 209 content in the starting gel and in the final product has already been revealed by Lin et al 210 211 (2005) when studying the effect of gallium content in the synthesis of hexagonal silicas. In fact, the yield of Ga in the synthesized solids decreased to around 60% [55]. 212

In Table 1, are also given the BET surface area, pore size, wall thickness and pore volume of 213 the calcined Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 catalysts. There was a slight increase of the wall 214 215 thickness in the Ga or Al containing materials, when compared with Si-MCM-41. These results could suggest that there was a modification of the structure, probably due to the 216 217 introduction of these elements in the framework [56]. Fig. 2 shows the nitrogen adsorptiondesorption isotherms of the different solids. All the isotherms are of type IV and exhibit three 218 219 stages. The first stage is due to monolayer adsorption of nitrogen to the walls of the mesopores at a low relative pressure ( $P/P_0 < 0.25$ ). The second stage is characterized by a 220 steep increase in adsorption ( $0.25 < P/P_0 < 0.4$ ). As the relative pressure increases, the 221 isotherm exhibits a sharp inflection, characteristic of capillary condensation within the 222 uniform mesopores. The third stage (P/P<sub>0</sub> > 0.4) in the adsorption isotherm is the gradual 223 increase in volume with  $P/P_0$ , due to multilayer adsorption on the outer surface of the 224 particles. The BJH pore size distribution curves for Si- Al- or Ga-MCM-41 are shown in 225

Fig.S1 (see supporting information). The mesoporous materials Si- or Al-MCM-41 have narrow mesopore size distribution ; in the case of Ga-MCM-41, the BJH pore size distribution further indicates the presence of irregular mesopores. These results are well correlated to the XRD data which already revealed less ordered structure for Ga-MCM-41 solids.

It could be seen from Table 1 that the pore diameter of samples increased gradually in the following sequence Si-MCM-41 < Al-MCM-41 < Ga-MCM-41, which suggested generally that heteroatoms incorporation would result in a shift to higher pore size [9].

On the other hand, the pore volume and BET surface area decreased basically for Ga-MCM-41. Such decrease in the SSA when gallium was introduced could be partly related to the presence of extra-framework gallium species with lower specific surface area and thus lowering the overall surface area of the final material [57].

The FTIR spectra of the calcined and as-synthesized samples are given in Fig.S2 (see 237 supporting information). The presence of absorption bands around 2921 and 2851 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 238 the as-synthesized materials corresponds to asymmetric and symmetric CH<sub>2</sub> vibrations of the 239 240 surfactant molecules. The infrared spectrum of the calcined samples indicates a broad envelop around 3500  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , due to O-H stretching of surface hydroxyl groups, bridged hydroxyl 241 242 groups and adsorbed water molecules, while deformational vibrations of adsorbed molecules cause the adsorption bands at 1626–1638 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The peaks between 1247 and 1072 cm<sup>-1</sup> are 243 attributed to the asymmetric stretching of T-O-T (T = Ga or Al). Other groups are observed 244 around 800 and 544  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and the peak at 460  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is due to the bending mode of T–O–T. 245

The thermal properties of the samples were investigated by TGA (Fig. 3). The initial weight loss up to 120 °C is due to desorption of physically adsorbed water. The weight loss from 120 to 350 °C is due to organic template. The oxidative desorption of the organic template takes place at 180 °C and the minute quantity of weight loss above 350–550 °C is related to water

loss from the condensation of adjacent Si–OH groups to form siloxane bonds. The loss in
mass varies according to the following order Si-MCM-41 > Ga-MCM-41 > Al-MCM-41.

From the TGA results, one can deduce that the organic template associated with the silanol groups in pure silica MCM-41 can be easily removed at lower temperatures whereas, for the Al-MCM-41 samples, the template has to be removed at higher temperatures due to the stronger interaction of the organic template with the aluminum species.

SEM and TEM images of the calcined samples Si- Al- or Ga-MCM-41 are shown in Fig. 4. This information could be required to assess any relationship between catalytic activity and their morphologies. The SEM pictures of the samples are typical of silicate mesoporous materials and show a different morphology with some large elongated agglomerates.

260 Whereas Ga-MCM-41 exhibits particles irregular shape between 0.5-2 µm, Si- or Al-MCM-

261 41 have particle size between 1-2.5  $\mu$ m.

The TEM images show that the Si- or Al-MCM-41 mesoporous catalysts display highly ordered honeycomb-like regular arrangement of hexagonal pores. The TEM pictures of the calcined catalysts are presented in Fig. 4. In the case of Ga-MCM-41, the TEM analysis shows pores of irregular form. These results are in agreement with nitrogen sorption measurements and XRD analysis.

267

268 *3.2. Catalytic experiments* 

By reaction of 4-nitrophenyl azide (1, 1 equiv.) with the activated alkenes 2 (2 equiv.) in the presence of triethylamine (2 equiv.), and using DMF as the solvent, the 1,2,3-triazoles **3a**e were isolated in moderate to high yields after 24 h reaction time at room temperature (Table 2). The reaction proved regioselective. The assignment of the stereochemistry was established by NMR (NOESY, HMBC, and HMQC) and was confirmed by XRD [50].

Cycloaddition reaction using a mixture of homogeneous and heterogeneous 274 catalysis. Table 3 depicts the results using both homogeneous (Et<sub>3</sub>N) and heterogeneous 275 (MCM-41) basic catalysts. When compared with triethylamine alone (Table 2), the evaluated 276 mixtures exhibit a very important activity, with very high yields and, except for 3d, shorter 277 reaction times (0.5 to 2 h, Table 3). In the case of homogenous/heterogeneous catalysis using 278 the calcined or as-synthesized mesoporous materials Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41, 4-nitrophenyl 279 280 azide (1) was simply added to the activated alkenes 2 (2 equiv.) in the presence of both triethylamine (2 equiv.) and a catalytic amount (10 mol%) of Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41, using 281 DMF as solvent. The products were collected and washed with water. To remove the catalyst, 282 the precipitate was extracted with acetone, and the solvent was then removed. Good results 283 284 were obtained under these conditions from 4-nitrophenyl azide (1) and all the activated alkenes 2 using Et<sub>3</sub>N/calcined mesoporous material Si-MCM-41 (Table 3, entries 21-25). By 285 using Et<sub>3</sub>N/calcined Ga- or Al-MCM-41 (Table 3, entries 1-5 and 11-15), Et<sub>3</sub>N/as-synthetized 286 Si-MCM-41 (Table 3, entries 26-30) and Et<sub>3</sub>N/as-synthetized Ga- or Al-MCM-41 (Table 3, 287 288 entries 6-10 and 16-20), good yields were still observed using acetylacetone (2a), methyl acetoacetate (2b) and malonodinitrile (2e). However, when using ethyl acetoacetate (2c) and 289 290  $\alpha$ -benzoylacetophenone (2d), moderate yields were noted. After recourse to a 24 h contact in the case of 2d, the product 3d was purified by column chromatography and isolated in yields 291 292 ranging from 47 to 84%. The use of the mixtures Et<sub>3</sub>N/as-synthesized or calcined Si-MCM-41 catalyst led to higher yields than the other catalysts. 293

For the products **3d** and **3e**, if we compare the results obtained using the mixture of basic catalysts (Table 3) with those obtained by homogeneous basic catalysis (Table 2), we can note that the reaction times are reduced and that the yields are always improved using the mixtures of Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 (10 mol%) with the Et<sub>3</sub>N homogeneous catalyst (2 mmol). These

results suggest that the triethylamine is entrapped in the mesoporous materials [57], and acts as a supported catalyst for this reaction.

*Cycloaddition reaction using a heterogeneous catalysis.* As-synthesized M-MCM-41
 (M = Si Ga or Al) materials were also used as base catalysts without adding triethylamine
 (Fig. 5 and Table 4).

303 - Effect of the amount of catalyst

304 In order to understand the influence of the heterogeneous catalysts on the cycloaddition reaction, reactions between 4-nitrophenyl azide (1, 1 equiv.) and acetylacetone (2a, 1 equiv.) 305 were performed using different amounts of as-synthesized Ga-MCM-41 (Fig. 5). Using 10 306 mol% of as-synthesized Ga-MCM-41 led to a very low 5% yield for 24 h reaction time. This 307 308 result contrasts with the 90% yield obtained using the same amount of catalyst in the presence of triethylamine for a shorter 1.5 h reaction time (Table 3, entry 6), and suggests a synergic 309 310 effect between triethylamine and the as-synthesized mesoporous material. One can notice in 311 Fig. 5 that increasing the amount of catalyst has an impact on the reaction yield. Indeed, a 24 312 h reaction time led to a 75% yield using 20 mol% (and 92% yield using 25 mol%) of assynthesized Ga-MCM-41. The yield obtained after one hour of reaction in the presence of 313 314 20% of catalyst is low about 7%. Thus, the reaction time can been minimized due to an increased reactivity using both catalysts Et<sub>3</sub>N and as-synthesized Ga-MCM-41. 315

316 - Cycloaddition reaction using Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 catalysts

As expected no products were detectable by using 25 mol% of calcined Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 as catalyst for the reaction between 4-nitrophenyl azide (1, 1 equiv.) and acetylacetone (2a, 1 equiv.) (results not shown). In contrast, very high yields were obtained for the products 3a-c with a reaction time not more than 0.25 h (15 min) when using 25 mol% of as-synthesized Si-MCM-41 (Table 4). The latter is more active than as-synthesized Ga- or Al-MCM-41, Et<sub>3</sub>N and the mixed catalyst in these three reactions (results not shown).

323 The basic properties exhibited by as-synthesized Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 are due to the presence of siloxy anions ( $\equiv$ SiO<sup>-</sup>), which exist in combination with the cationic surfactant. 324 325 Indeed, the existence of the ionic pair  $(\equiv SiO^-, CTA^+)$  has been demonstrated by many researchers [35,58,59]. For instance, Oliveira and al studied a variety of as-synthesized 326 molecular sieves and assessed that they have basic sites and can be employed as catalysts for 327 Knoevenagel condensation under mild conditions [35]. Their catalytic activity is mostly 328 329 dependent on the fraction of silicon framework. Pure siliceous molecular sieves are suggested to contain the highest number of siloxy anions, which are the strong basic sites. The occluded 330 organic cations can interact with the molecular sieves framework, providing the high catalytic 331 activity. Calcined siliceous molecular sieves, which have only silanol groups, show a lower 332 333 activity. The catalytic activity difference between Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 could be caused by a higher concentration of siloxy anions in Si-MCM-41 since it has more silica than Ga- or Al-334 MCM-41 (see EDX results). 335

Koller et al. [60] have also synthesized MFI zeolites with different amounts of silicon and showed that increasing the content of this element increases the amount of siloxy anion. The same remarks were pointed out by Oliveira et al [35] . Srivastava et al. also noticed similar behavior in their work, using Si, Al-BEA and Si-MCM-41 [33]. When they carried out their reaction over as-synthesized Si, Al-BEA catalyst, they had to use three times the amount of the catalyst than that used for siliceous Si-MCM-41 to achieve comparable conversions.

From the three tested catalysts, Si-MCM-41 mesoporous silica showed to have the highest catalytic activity in the cycloaddition reaction. This result is well correlated to the physicochemical characterizations of these materials (DRX, EDX, N<sub>2</sub> sorption) and also to their range of features: (1) they are siliceous materials containing a high number of siloxy anion,

(2) capacity of the large pores to hold higher amounts of surfactant, which are cationic CTA<sup>+</sup>
in concert with siloxy anions [58], (3) CTA<sup>+</sup> cations are bulky organic cations (low
charge/volume ratio) and this means that their interaction with the catalytic active sites, i.e.
siloxy anions, is weaker.

We have demonstrated using TGA analysis that removal of the surfactant ( $CTA^+$ ) in the Si-MCM-41 is easier compared to Ga or Al-MCM-41, which means that the interaction between the silica matrix and  $CTA^+$  is low whereas in the case of Ga or Al-MCM-41 the interaction between the heteroatom-containing matrix and  $CTA^+$  is important. EDX analysis also shows that the incorporation of aluminum is more important compared to the gallium thus the Ga-MCM-41 has a high number of siloxy compared to Al-MCM-41 (see table 1).

Otherwise, triethylamine is at the origin of the irreversible water elimination step [50]. The latter may displace the reaction towards the formation of the final triazole, and thus help to perform more difficult reactions such as that giving **3e** (absence of intramolecular hydrogen bond to favor the enimine form).

360 Using triethylamine alone leads to an efficient reaction, except from  $\alpha$ -361 benzoylacetophenone (**2d**) and malonodinitrile (**2e**). Whereas for the former steric hindrance 362 can be proposed to rationalize the low yield, the absence of intramolecular hydrogen bond to 363 favor the enimine form can be advanced for the latter.

Using triethylamine in the presence of 10% of MCM-41 allowed the yields of **3d** and **3e** to be improved. In the case of **3d**, calcined Si-MCM-41, which possesses a larger surface area, proved the most efficient catalyst. The presence of surfactant inside the mesopores, by decreasing the available surface, reduces the catalyst activity. In the case of **3e**, the yield is increased whatever the catalyst employed. The latter can either favor the formation of the enimine form or the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition through coordination of the dipolarophile nitrogen to the catalyst metal.

Without triethylamine, using as-synthesized MCM-41 catalysts in a sufficient amount (25 mol%) provides the triazoles **3a-c** (for which the enol forms are favored due to intramolecular hydrogen bond) in good yields. In this case, the triethylamine effect can be restored by the siloxy anions contained in as-synthesized MCM-41 catalysts. Substrate and intermediate product size seems to be a limit of the system (products **3d** and **3e**), with better yields noted for Si-MCM-41.

If we compare our materials with others catalysts, the as-synthesized Si-MCM-41 catalyst seems to be the best for the reactions of cycloaddition [29,45]. In fact, not only the reaction time is decreased (around 15 min), but also the Si-MCM-41 is used as synthesized thus no further preparation is needed.

381

382 - Catalyst reusability

Reusability of the catalysts has been studied in the cycloaddition of 4-nitrophenyl azide (1) under the following conditions: 4-nitrophenyl azide (1, 1 mmol), acetylactone (2a, 1 mmol), as-synthesized Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 (25 mol%), DMF (2 ml), room temperature, 0.25h reaction time for Si-MCM-41, 1h for Ga-MCM-41 and 24 h for Al-MCM-41. The catalysts were filtered, washed with DMF and dried before use in the following cycles, the results are represented in the figure 6.

The catalyst could be reused up to five times with little loss of activity, confirming its stability as seen by TGA and XRD data (fig.3S and fig.4S see supplementary data).

Indeed, the results obtained by the TGA analysis (fig.3S see supplementary data) indicate that there is a weak CTA<sup>+</sup> leaching from the reaction medium (for example ca. 0.2%, after five use of as-synthesized Si-MCM-41). This mass loss is probably responsible for the slight reduction of the catalyst activity. Concerning the results obtained by XRD analysis (fig.4S see supplementary data) we note that there was a small decrease in the intensity of all

characteristic peaks of MCM-41, may be due to the influence of the solvent on the CTAcontaining mesoporous materials [56].

398

#### 399 4. Conclusion

Si- Ga or Al-MCM-41 molecular sieves have been successfully prepared by a direct
synthesis method. The samples exhibited high structural regularity and surface area values,
EDX results showed a more important incorporation of aluminum into the framework of
MCM-41 compared to gallium.

Three types of catalysts were compared in the course of the thermal cycloaddition of aryl azides with activated alkenes, i.e. homogeneous [50], heterogeneous and mixed homogeneous/heterogeneous catalysts. Concerning the latter two catalysts, the reaction time and product yields of **3a-c** have been improved in the following order: heterogeneous catalyst > mixed catalyst > homogeneous catalyst and, for the products **3d** and **3e**, the homogeneous/heterogeneous catalysis was superior than the catalysts used individually. This later result may be explained by a synergic effect between Et<sub>3</sub>N and the mesoporous material.

The as-synthesized Si-MCM-41 is particularly efficient for the cycloaddition reaction at room temperature due to its higher number of ionic pairs  $\equiv$ SiO<sup>-</sup> CTA<sup>+</sup>.

The incorporation of moderate amount of heteroatoms such as Al or Ga in the silica framework would allow the mesoporous solids to benefit from enhanced hydrothermal stability a feature particularly needed in reactions operating in aqueous media. Unfortunately, heteroatom incorporation reduces the number of siloxy and decreases the basic character.

In conclusion, we have developed a convenient method for the synthesis of 1,2,3-triazoles, which can serve as useful building blocks for useful targets, by Si-MCM-41-catalyzed cycloaddition of aryl azides with activated alkenes in very high yields. This one-pot synthesis of 1,2,3-triazoles using a heterogeneous catalytic system benefits from considerable synthetic

- 421 advantages, such as mild reaction conditions, easy availability of starting materials, short
  422 durations of reaction (15 min), simplicity of the reaction procedure, efficient catalyst
  423 regeneration and reuse for new reaction cycle.
- 424

#### 425 **REFERENCES:**

- 426 [1] M.E. Davis, Nature 417 (2002) 813–821.
- 427 [2] I. Izquierdo-Barba, S. Sánchez-Salcedo, M. Colilla, M.J. Feito, C. Ramírez-Santillán,
- 428 M.T. Portolés, M. Vallet-Regí, Acta Biomaterialia 7 (2011) 2977–2985.
- 429 [3] P. Selvam, S.K. Bhatia, C.G. Sonwane, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 40 (2001) 3237–3261.
- 430 [4] S. Haddoum, I. Fechete, B. Donnio, F. Garin, D. Lutic, C.E. Chitour. Catal. Comm. 27
  431 (2012) 141–147.
- 432 [5] J.J. Zou, Y. Liu, L. Pan, L. Wang, X. Zhang. Appl. Catal. B: Environmental 95 (2010)
  433 439–445.
- 434 [6] J. Lin, B. Zhao, Y. Cao, H. Xu, S. Ma, M. Guo, D. Qiao, Y. Cao. Appl. Catal. A: General
  435 478 (2014) 175–185.
- 436 [7] I. Fechete, B. Donnio, O. Ersen, T. Dintzer, A. Djeddi, F. Garin. Appl. Surf. Sci. 257
  437 (2011) 2791–2800.
- 438 [8] B. Boukoussa, R. Hamacha, A. Morsli, A. Bengueddach, Arab. J. Chem. (2013),
- 439 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2013.07.049.
- 440 [9] J. M. Campos, J. P. Lourenço, A. Fernandes, M. Rosário Ribeiro. Catal. Comm. 10 (2008)
  441 71–73.
- 442 [10] A. Benhamou, J.P. Basly, M. Baudu, Z. Derriche, R. Hamacha, J. Colloid Interface Sci.
- 443 404 (2013) 135–139.
- 444 [11] S.A. Idris, S.R. Harvey, L.T. Gibson, J. Hazard. Mater. 193 (2011) 171–176.
- 445 [12] S. Yan, L. Xiu-Wu, S. Wei, Z. Yaping, Z. Li, Appl. Surf. Sci. 253 (2007) 5650–5655.

- [13] G. Maria, A.I. Stoica, I. Luta, D. Stirbet, G. L. Radu, Micro. Meso. Mater. 162 (2012)
  80–90.
- 448 [14] H. Yu, H. Zhang, W. Yang, J. Feng, W. Fan, S. Song, Micro. Meso. Mater. 170 (2013)
  449 113–122.
- 450 [15] X. Liu, H. Sun, Y. Yang, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 319 (2008) 377–380.
- [16] P.R. Selvakannan, K. Mantri, J. Tardio, S.K. Bhargava, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 394
  (2013) 475–484.
- 453 [17] B.P. Ajayi, B. Rabindran Jermy, K.E. Ogunronbi, B.A. Abussaud, S. Al-Khattaf, Catal.
- 454 Today 204 (2013) 189–196.
- 455 [18] E. Martínez-Belmonte, J. Aguilar, M. Gutierrez, J.A. Montoya, J.A. De los Reyes, M.
- 456 Torres, L.F. Chen, Catal. Today 212 (2013) 45–51.
- 457 [19] N. Saadatjoo, M. Golshekan, S. Shariati, H. Kefayati, P. Azizi, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.
  458 377 (2013) 173–179.
- 459 [20] B. Qi, Y. Wang, L.L. Lou, L. Huang, Y. Yang, S. Liu, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 370
  460 (2013) 95–103.
- 461 [21] E. Rezaei, J. Soltan, Chem. Eng. J. 198–199 (2012) 482–490.
- 462 [22] D. Zhang, R. Wang, L. Wang, X. Yang, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 366 (2013) 179–185.
- 463 [23] E.G. Vaschetto, G.A. Monti, E.R. Herrero, S.G. Casuscelli, G.A. Eimer, Appl. Catal. A:
- 464 Gen. 453 (2013) 391–402.
- 465 [24] C.N. Pérez, E. Moreno, C.A. Henriques, S. Valange, Z. Gabelica, J.L.F. Monteiro,
- 466 Micro. Meso. Mater. 41 (2000) 137.
- 467 [25] S. Ernst, T. Bongers, C. Casel, S. Munsch, in: I. Kiricsi, G. Pál-Borbély, J.B. Nagy, H.G.
- 468 Karge (Eds.), Porous Materials in Environmentally Friendly Processes, Studies in Surface
- 469 Science and Catalysis, vol. 125, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1999, p. 367.

- 470 [26] K.R. Kloetstra, M. van Laren, H. van Bekkum, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 93 (1997)
  471 1211.
- 472 [27] C.-M. Yang, K.-J. Chao, J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 49 (2002) 883.
- 473 [28] F. Fajula, D. Brunel, Micro. Meso. Mater. 48 (2001) 119.
- 474 [29] S. Roy, T. Chatterjee, M. Pramanik, A. S. Ro, A. Bhaumik, Sk. Manirul Islam. J. of
- 475 Molec. Catal. A: Chemical 386 (2014) 78–85.
- 476 [30] X. Wang, Y.-H. Tseng, J. C.C. Chan, S. Cheng, J. Catal. 233 (2005) 266.
- 477 [31] L. Martins, W. Hölderich, P. Hammer, D. Cardoso, J. Catal. 271 (2010) 220–227.
- 478 [32] Y. Kubota, H. Ikeya, Y. Sugi, T. Yamada, T. Tatsumi, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 249
- 479 (2006) 181–190.
- 480 [33] R. Srivastava, D. Srinivas, P. Ratnasamy, Tetrahedron Lett. 47 (2006) 4213–4217.
- 481 [34] Y. Kubota, Y. Nishizaki, H. Ikeya, M. Saeki, T. Hida, S. Kawazu, M. Yoshida, H. Fujii,
- 482 Y. Sugi, Micro. Meso. Mater. 70 (2004) 135.
- 483 [35] A.C. Oliveira, L. Martins, D. Cardoso, Micro. Meso. Mater. 120 (2009) 206–213.
- 484 [36] A.R. Katritzky, Z. Yuming, S.K. Singh, Heterocycles 60 (2003) 1225–1239.
- 485 [37] M.J. Genin, D.A. Allwine, D.J. Anderson, M.R. Barbachyn, D.E. Emmert, S.A. Garmon,
- 486 D.R. Graber, K.C. Grega, J.B. Hester, D.K. Hutchinson, J. Morris, R.D. Reischer, D. Stper,
- 487 B.H. Yagi, J. Med. Chem. 43 (2000), 953–970.
- 488 [38] H. Wamhoff, in Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry, ed. by A.R. Katritzky, C.W.
- 489 Rees (Pergamon, Oxford, 1984), pp. 669–732.
- 490 [39] D.R. Buckle, C.J.M. Rockell, H. Smith, B.A. Spicer. J. Med. Chem. 29 (1986) 2262–
  491 2267.
- 492 [40] J.L. Kelley, C.S. Koble, R.G. Davis, E.W. Mclean, F.E. Sorako, B.R. Cooper, J. Med.
- 493 Chem. 38 (1995) 4131–4134.

- 494 [41] R.G. Mecetich, S.N. Maiti, P. Spevak, T.W. Hall, S. Yamabe, N. Ishida, M. Tanaka, T.
- 495 Yamazaki, A. Nakai, K. Ogawa, J. Med. Chem. 30 (1987) 1469–1474.
- 496 [42] R. Alvarez, S. Velazquez, A. San-Felix, S. Aquaro, E. De Clercq, C.F. Perno, A.
- 497 Karlsson, J. Balzarini, M.J. Camarasa. J. Med. Chem. 37 (1994), 4185–4194.
- 498 [43] R. Huisgen, Angew. Chem. 75 (1963) 604–637.
- 499 [44] V.V. Rostovtsev, L.G. Green, V.V. Fokin, K.B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41
  500 (2002) 2596–2599.
- 501 [45] I. Jlalia, F. Gallier, N. Brodie-Linder, J. Uziel, J. Augé, N. Lubin-Germain, J. Molec.
- 502 Catal. A: Chemical 393 (2014) 56–61.
- 503 [46] S. Chassaing, M. Kumarraja, A. Sani Souna Sido, P. Pale, J. Sommer, Org. Lett. 9 (2007)
  504 883–886.
- 505 [47] B.H. Lipshutz, B.R. Taft, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 45 (2006) 8235–8238.
- 506 [48] S. Brunauer, P.H. Emmett, E. Teller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 60 (1938) 309–319.
- 507 [49] E.P. Barrett, L.G. Joyner, P.H. Halenda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 73 (1951) 373–380.
- 508 [50] S. Zeghada, G. Bentabed-Ababsa, A. Derdour, S. Abdelmounim, L.R. Domingo, J.A.
- 509 Saez, T. Roisnel, E. Nassar, F. Mongin, Org. Biomol. Chem. 9 (2011) 4295–4305.
- 510 [51] T.R. Pauly, Y. Liu, T.J. Pinnavaia, S.J.L. Billinge, T.P. Rieker, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 121
- 511 (1999) 8835–8842.
- 512 [52] C-F.Cheng, H.He, W.Zhou, J. Klinowski, J.A.S.Goncalves, L.F. Gladden, J. Phys.
  513 Chem., 100(1996)390.
- 514 [53] K.Okumura, K. Nishigaki, M. Niwa, Micro. Meso. Mater., 44-45 (2001), 509-516.
- 515 [54] B. Jarry, F. Launay, J.P. Nogier, V. Montouillout, L. Gengembre, J.L. Bonardet, Appl.
- 516 Catal. A. 309 (2006) 177–186.
- 517 [55] H.Y. Lin, Y.L. Pan, Y.W. Chen, J. Porous Mater. 12 (2005) 151–164.
- 518 [56] X. Yang, Q. Guan, W. Li, J. Env. Mana. 92 (2011) 2939-2943.

[57] Z. El Berrichi, L. Cherif, O. Orsen, J. Fraissard, J.-P Tessonnier, E. Vanhaecke, B. Louis, 519 M.-J. Ledoux, C. Pham-Huu, Appl. Catal. A: General 298 (2006) 194-202 520 521 [58] L. Martins, T.J. Bonagamba, E.R. Azevedo, P. Bargiela, D. Cardoso, Appl. Catal. A: Gen. 312 (2006) 77-85. 522 [59] N. Baccile, G. Laurent, C. Bonhomme, P. Innocenzi, F. Babonneau, Chem. Mater. 19 523 (2007) 1343-1354. 524 525 [60] H. Koller, R.F. Lobo, S.L. Burkett, M.E. Davis, J. Phys. Chem. 99 (1995) 12588. 526 527 528 529 **Figure caption** 530 531 Fig.1. XRD patterns of as-synthesized and calcined Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41, (a): Ga-MCM-41 532 and (b): Al-MCM-41. (c) Si-MCM-41 533 Fig.2. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms of calcined Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 materials. Fig.3. TGA analysis curves for as-synthesized Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 mesoporous materials 534 535 photomicrographs of calcined (a) Ga-MCM-41 and (b) Al-MCM-41. Fig.4. SEM and TEM images of calcined Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 mesoporous materials: 536 537 (a,b,c) SEM images, (d,e,f) TEM images. Fig.5. Effect of the catalyst amount (as-synthesized Ga-MCM-41) on the yield of 3a. 538 Fig.6. Catalyst reusability. Reaction conditions: 4-nitrophenyl azide (1, 1 mmol), 539 540 acetylacetone (2a, 1 mmol), catalyst (25 mol %), DMF (2 mL), room temperature, 541 reaction time: 0.25h (15min) for Si-MCM-41, 1h for Ga-MCM-41 and 24h for Al-MCM-41 (at the end of the reaction, the catalyst is dried and reused). 542 543

Catalyst (calcined)	Si/M <sup>a</sup>	Si/M (EDX) <sup>b</sup>	d <sub>100</sub> (Å)	$a_0 (\text{\AA})^c$	Surface area $(m^2/g)$	$D^{d}(A)$	h <sup>e</sup> (Å)	Pore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)
Si-MCM-41	//	//	37.54	43.34	1430	35.2	8.14	1.071
Al-MCM-41	80	90.74	41.24	47,61	1440	35.7	11.91	1.097
Ga-MCM-41	80	100.01	42.42	48,98	1030	36.7	12.28	0.973

543 **Table 1.** Physical characteristics of mesoporous catalysts.

544

545 <sup>a</sup> Molar ratio in the initial gel. M = Ga or Al

<sup>b</sup> Molar ratio determined by EDX analysis

547 <sup>c</sup>  $a_0$  means lattice parameter:  $a_0 = 2d_{100}/\sqrt{3}$ 

<sup>d</sup> Pore diameter determined by the BJH method

۲ ر د

549 <sup>e</sup> h: wall thickness:  $h = a_0 - D$ 

550

#### 550

551 **Table 2.** Cycloaddition reaction of 4-nitrophenyl azide (1) with the activated alkenes 2 552 performed at room temperature.

O <sub>2</sub> N´		N <sub>3</sub> + R R'	$\underbrace{\text{Et}_{3}\text{N, DMF}}_{\text{rt, 24 h}}$	O <sub>2</sub> N	
		2			3
Entry	2	R, R'	3	R"	Yield $(\%)^{0}$
1	2a	COMe, COMe	<b>3</b> a	Me	98
2	<b>2b</b>	COMe, CO <sub>2</sub> Me	3b	Me	80
3	2c	COMe, CO <sub>2</sub> Et	3c	Me	93
4	2d	COPh, COPh	3d	Ph	40
5	2e	CN, CN	<b>3</b> e	NH <sub>2</sub>	61

553

- <sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 4-nitrophenyl azide (1, 1 mmol), activated alkene (2, 2 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N (2
- 555 mmol), DMF (2 mL), room temperature, 24 h (see procedure 1).

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.

557

Table 3. Cycloaddition reaction of 4-nitrophenyl azide (1) with the activated alkenes 2
 catalyzed under homogeneous/heterogeneous catalysis<sup>a</sup>.

560



Entry	2	Catalyst	3	T (h)	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	2a		3a	1.5	97
2	<b>2b</b>		<b>3</b> b	1.5	94
3	2c	calcined Ga-MCM-41	3c	1.5	51
4	2d		3d	24	53
5	2e		<b>3</b> e	2	71
6	2a		3a	1.5	90
7	2b		<b>3</b> b	1.5	98
8	2c	as-synthesized Ga-MCM-41	3c	1.5	54
9	2d		<b>3</b> d	24	47
10	2e		3e	2	97
11	2a		<b>3</b> a	1.5	98
12	2b		<b>3</b> b	1.5	91
13	2c	calcined Al-MCM-41	3c	1.5	95
14	2d		3d	24	84
15	2e		3e	2	88
16	2a		<b>3</b> a	1.5	98
17	<b>2b</b>		<b>3</b> b	1.5	97
18	2c	as-synthesized Al-MCM-41	3c	1.5	55
19	2d		3d	24	59
20	2e		3e	2	78
21	2a		3a	0.5	98
22	<b>2b</b>		3b	0.5	93
23	2c	calcined Si-MCM-41	3c	0.5	95
24	2d		3d	24	88
25	2e		3e	0.5	92
26	2a		<b>3</b> a	0.5	98
27	<b>2b</b>		<b>3</b> b	0.5	90
28	2c	as-synthesized Si-MCM-41	3c	0.5	97
29	2d		<b>3</b> d	24	79
30	2e		<b>3</b> e	0.5	98

X: calined ou as-synthesized

- <sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 4-nitrophenyl azide (1, 1 mmol), activated alkene (2, 2 mmol), Et<sub>3</sub>N
- 563 (homogeneous catalyst, 2 mmol), heterogeneous catalyst (10 mol%), DMF (2 mL), room
- temperature (see procedure 2), 0.5-24 h.
- <sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.
- 566 **Table 4.** Cycloaddition reaction of 4-nitrophenyl azide (1) with the activated alkenes 2 567 catalyzed by as-synthesized Si- Ga- or Al-MCM- $41^{a}$ .



568

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: 4-nitrophenyl azide 1 (1 mmol), activated alkene 2 (1 mmol), assynthesized Si- Ga- or Al-MCM-41 (25 mol%), DMF (2 mL), room temperature (see
procedure 3), reaction time 0.25-24h

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.

- 573
- 574
- 575
- 576







Contraction of the second second



Yields (%)