Supplemental information for the article

# Effect-directed analysis for estrogenic compounds in a fluvial sediment sample using transgenic *cyp19a1b*-GFP zebrafish embryos

Authors

Eva Fetter, Martin Krauss, François Brion, Olivier Kah, Stefan Scholz, Werner Brack

#### Index

1.	Chemical information	2
	Table S1. Suppliers of analytical standards and chemicals used	2
2.	Validation of the tg(cyp19a1b-GFP) zebrafish embryo test with known	4
	estrogenic substances	
	Fig. S1. Concentration response curves of embryos exposed for 120 hours with	4
	known estrogenic substances	
3.	Validation of the <i>in-vivo</i> assay results using tg(cyp19a1b-GFP) zebrafish	6
	embryos at the INERIS laboratory	
	Fig. S2. GFP fold induction above the DMSO control exposing the embryos	6
	with the fractions (F1-F10) of the sediment extract	

### 1. Chemical information

Table S1. Suppliers of analytical standards and chemicals used

Compounds	CAS-Number	Supplier
Analytical standards		
17α-Estradiol	57-91-0	Sigma
17α-Ethinylestradiol	57-63-6	Sigma
17β-Estradiol	50-28-2	Sigma
Androsterone	53-41-8	Sigma
Androstendione	63-05-8	Sigma
Amberonne	54464-57-2	Merck
Ambrettolide	7779-50-2	Perfumers Apprentice
Benzo[a]pyrene D12 (200µg/ml Isooctane)	63466-71-7	Ehrenstorfer
Benzophenone	119-61-9	Fluka
β-Sitosterol	83-46-5	Sigma
Bisphenol A	80-05-7	Merck
Bisphenol F	72406-26-9	Sigma
Bisphenol S	80-09-1	Sigma
Caffeine	58-08-2	Merck
Cashmeran	33704-61-9	LGC
Celestolide	13171-00-1	Perfumers Apprentice
Cholesterol	57-88-5	Sigma
Coprostanol	360-68-9	Serva
Desogestrel	54024-22-5	Sigma
Epi-androsterone	481-29-8	Sigma
Estriol	50-27-1	Ehrenstorfer
Estrone	53-16-7	Fluka
Habanolide	423773-57-3	Merck
Levonorgestrel	797-63-7	Sigma
Mestranol	72-33-3	Aldrich
Methyl cedryl ketone	32388-55-9	Sigma
Musk ketone	81-14-1	Sigma
Musk xylene	81-15-2	Sigma
4-n-Nonylphenol	104-40-5	Merck
Norethindrone	66-22-4	Fluka
Norgestimate	35189-28-7	Sigma
4-tert-Octylphenol	140-66-9	Sigma
Phantolide	15323-35-0	Merck
Progesterone	57-83-0	Ehrenstorfer
Pyrene -D10	1718-52-1	CIL
Testosterone	58-22-0	Sigma
Tonalide	1506-02-1	Ehrenstorfer
Velvione	37609-25-9	Perfumers Apprentice

Extractants, eluants and derivatising agents						
2-Mercaptoethanol	60-24-2	Sigma				
Acetone	67-64-1	Merck				
Acetonitril	75-05-8	Fisher Scientific				
Ammoniumiodide	12027-06-4	Merck				
Cyclohexane	110-82-7	Merck				
Dichloromethane	75-09-2	Merck				
Hexamethyldisilazane	999-97-3	Sigma				
Hydroxylamine hydrochloride	5470-11-1	Sigma				
Methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide	24589-78-4	Sigma				
n-Hexane	110-54-3	Merck				
Pyridine	110-86-1	Sigma				
Trifluoroacetic acid	76-05-1	Sigma				
Chemicals used for exposure, anesthetising						
and positioning of embryos						
17α-ethinylestradiol	57-63-6	Sigma				
Nonylphenol techn.	25154-52-3	Aldrich				
Bisphenol-A	80-05-7	Merck				
Coprostanol	360-68-9	Sigma				
Dimethylsulfoxide	67-68-5	Roth				
Methylcellulose	9004-67-5	Sigma				
Tricaine	886-86-2	Sigma				
RNA Isolation						
Ammonium thiocyanate	1762-95-4	Roth				
Chloroform	67-66-3	Roth				
DEPC Water	7732-18-5	Roth				
Ethanol absolute	64-17-5	Merck				
Glycerol	56-81-5	Sigma				
Guanidinium thiocyanate	593-84-0	Roth				
Isopropanol	67-63-0	Roth				
Phenol	108-95-2	Roth				
Sodium acetate	127-09-3	Sigma				

Table S1. Suppliers of analytical standards and chemicals used (cont.)

## 2. Validation of the tg(*cyp19a1b*-GFP) zebrafish embryo test with known estrogenic substances

At 2 hours post fertilization, approximately 20-30 embryos were placed in separate glass Petri dishes with 20-25 mL of embryonic media (294.0mg/L CaCl<sub>2</sub>\*2H<sub>2</sub>O, 123.3mg/L MgSO<sub>4</sub>\*7H<sub>2</sub>O, 64.7mg/L NaHCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 5.7mg/L KCl) to which were added DMSO $\leq 0.1\%$  (solvent control), 50 pM 17 $\alpha$ -Ethinylestradiol-EE2 (positive control) or different concentrations of the test chemicals. Stock solution of the test chemicals were prepared in DMSO (1  $\mu$ M EE2, 1 mM Bisphenol A –BPA and 1 mM Nonylphenol techn.–NP) and kept at 4°C. The stocks were freshly diluted prior experiments. The embryos were kept in an incubator at 26±1°C with a light: dark cycle of 14:10h throughout the exposure experiments. Renewal of exposure media and removal of dead embryos if any, were performed daily. The *in-vivo* screening of the 5 dpf zebrafish embryos was performed in the same way as for sediment fractions.



 $EC_{50}$  concentrations obtained by Brion et al. (2012):

Compound	EC <sub>50</sub> (nM)	
17α–Ethinylestradiol	0.013	
Bisphenol A	3303	
4-nonylphenol	406	
(CAS 84852-15-3)		





**Fig. S1.** Concentration response curves of embryos exposed for 120 hours with known estrogenic substances. The different symbols represent independent replicated experiments. Each replicate is based on the mean of 10 individuals.

The raw integrated density data were normalized between the solvent control (0%) and the highest observed effect (100%) in case of EE2 and BPA. For NP - due to the decline of expression at higher concentrations - 50 pM EE2 was used as positive control and 100% effect reference in each replicate. Furthermore, for nonylphenol, the two highest concentrations were not included in the curve fitting.

The concentration response curves were fitted with GraphPad Prism v 5.01 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA), using a sigmoidal dose-response curve with variable slope:  $Y=min + (max-min) / (1+10^{((LogEC50)-X)*slope)}$  with constraints set for top and bottom at 100 and 0, respectively. X is the logarithm of concentration, slope is the Hill slope. Hill slope and EC50 values are given on the graphs for comparison.

For comparison, data of a previous study were included (Brion et al. 2012).

**3.** Validation of the in-vivo assay results using tg(cyp19a1b-GFP) zebrafish embryos at the INERIS laboratory



**Fig. S2**. GFP fold induction above the DMSO control exposing the embryos with the fractions (F1-F10) of the sediment extract. 50 pM ethinylestradiol (EE2) was used as positive control. The columns represent a mean of the GFP induction measured in zebrafish embryos in two independent tests (the total number of the embryos assessed for a certain fraction is indicated on the x axis). For the F1 fraction, only three embryos could be analysed owing to the high toxicity of the sample.

The *in-vivo* assay and the subsequent image analysis methods at INERIS were similar to the one applied at the UFZ. In contrast to the studies at the UFZ no anaesthesia and no mounting in methylcellulose were applied at INERIS. Due to the higher resolution of images and the different imaging sets, different thresholds were applied and, hence, the integrated density values cannot directly be compared between the two laboratories.

#### References

Brion, F., Le Page, Y., Piccini, B., Cardoso, O., Tong, S.-K., Chung, B.-c., Kah, O., 2012. Screening estrogenic activities of chemicals or mixtures in vivo using transgenic (cyp19a1b-GFP) zebrafish embryos. PlosOne 7, e36069.