

Landscape heterogeneity as an ecological filter of species traits

Rémi Duflot, Romain Georges, Aude Ernoult, Stéphanie Aviron, Francoise

Burel

► To cite this version:

Rémi Duflot, Romain Georges, Aude Ernoult, Stéphanie Aviron, Francoise Burel. Landscape heterogeneity as an ecological filter of species traits. Acta Oecologica, 2014, 56, pp.19-26. 10.1016/j.actao.2014.01.004 . hal-01005459

HAL Id: hal-01005459 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-01005459v1

Submitted on 13 Jun2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Title
2	Landscape heterogeneity as an ecological filter of species traits
3	
4	Author names and affiliations
5	Rémi Duflot ^{a,b} *(e-mail: duflot.remi@gmail.com);
6	Romain Georges ^a * (e-mail: romaingeorges@live.fr);
7	Aude Ernoult ^a (e-mail: aude.ernoult@univ-rennes1.fr);
8	Stéphanie Aviron ^b (e-mail: stephanie.aviron@rennes.inra.fr);
9	Françoise Burel ^a (e-mail: francoise.burel@univ-rennes1.fr)
10	
11	* These authors contributed equally to this work
12	RD and RG designed the experiment, collected data, performed statistical analyses, and wrote
13	the manuscript. Other authors participated in designing the experiment, data collection and
14	writing the manuscript.
15	
16	^a CNRS, UMR 6553 Ecobio, Université de Rennes 1
17	Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France
18	
19	^b INRA, UR 980, SAD-Paysage
20	65 Rue de Saint Brieuc, CS 84215, 35042 Rennes Cedex, France
21	
22	Corresponding author
23	Rémi Duflot
24	e-mail: duflot.remi@gmail.com
25	phone: +33-223-236-663 / fax: +33-223-235-026

26 Abstract

27 Landscape heterogeneity is a major driver of biodiversity in agricultural areas and represents an important parameter in conservation strategies. However, most landscape ecology studies 28 29 measure gamma diversity of a single habitat type, despite the assessment of multiple habitats at a landscape scale being more appropriate. This study aimed to determine the effects of 30 landscape composition and spatial configuration on life-history trait distribution in carabid 31 32 beetle and herbaceous plant communities. Here, we assessed the gamma diversity of carabid beetles and plants by sampling three dominant habitats (woody habitats, grasslands and crops) 33 across 20 landscapes in western France. RLQ and Fourth Corner three-table analyses were 34 35 used to assess the association of dispersal, phenology, reproduction and trophic level traits with landscape characteristics. Landscape composition and configuration were both 36 significant in explaining functional composition. Carabid beetles and plants showed similar 37 38 response regarding phenology, i.e. open landscapes were associated with earlier breeding species. Carabid beetle dispersal traits exhibited the strongest relationship with landscape 39 40 structure; for instance, large and apterous species preferentially inhabited woody landscapes, whereas small and macropterous species preferentially inhabited open landscapes. Heavy 41 seeded plant species dominated in intensified agricultural landscapes (high % crops), possibly 42 43 due to the removal of weeds (which are usually lightweight seeded species). The results of this study emphasise the roles of landscape composition and configuration as ecological filters 44 and the importance of preserving a range of landscape types to maintain functional 45 biodiversity at regional scales. 46

47

48 Keywords: agriculture, assemblage, carabidae, community, flora, landscape ecology

1. Introduction

51	Agricultural landscapes occupy approximately 75% of Europe (Robinson and
52	Sutherland, 2002), and support a high amount of plant and animal total biodiversity (Benton
53	et al., 2003). Agricultural intensification and land-use changes represent major causes of
54	biodiversity decline in agricultural landscapes (Strijker, 2005). Since the 2000s, maintaining
55	biodiversity in agricultural landscapes has become an important social and economic issue,
56	with a focus on preserving ecosystem functioning and ecosystem services provision (Kleijn
57	and Sutherland, 2003; Le Roux et al., 2008; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005).
58	Spatio-temporal landscape heterogeneity strongly influences the species richness and
59	composition of communities, and is consequently an important parameter that should be
60	considered in biodiversity conservation (Fahrig et al., 2011; Huston, 1995; Turner, 1987). In
61	agricultural landscapes, short-term (crop rotation) and long-term (agricultural intensification)
62	temporal changes represent important drivers of biodiversity (Ernoult et al., 2006; Le Feon et
63	al., 2013). Diversity is also influenced by spatial heterogeneity, which is defined as a
64	combination of two components, compositional and configurational heterogeneity (Duelli,
65	1992; Fahrig et al., 2011). Landscape composition affects diversity as habitat diversity
66	influences plant, vertebrate and invertebrate diversity (Benton et al., 2003; Poggio et al.,
67	2010; Robinson et al., 2001; Woodcock et al., 2010). Landscape configuration which can be
68	measured from the length of edges (or boundaries), influences species movements and
69	spillovers (Blitzer et al., 2012; Brudvig et al., 2009; Concepcion et al., 2012; Tscharntke et
70	al., 2005). However, high landscape heterogeneity may also increase fragmentation per se and
71	have negative effects on biodiversity. Hence, biodiversity is expected to peak at intermediate
72	levels of heterogeneity. Yet, it remains unclear which ecological processes drive species
73	response to landscape heterogeneity components in agricultural areas (Fahrig et al., 2011).

It is generally accepted that functional traits control species responses to landscape 74 75 heterogeneity gradients (Barbaro and van Halder, 2009; Vallet et al., 2010), and are readily linked with ecological processes (Díaz and Cabido, 2001). Dispersal traits are considered to 76 77 be the main traits affected by landscape heterogeneity (Hendrickx et al., 2009; Piessens et al., 2004). The phenology (Silvertown and Charlesworth, 2001; Tremlova and Munzbergova, 78 2007) and longevity (Lindborg, 2007) of plants are also highly sensitive to landscape 79 80 fragmentation. Therefore, in addition to local abiotic and biotic factors, landscape 81 heterogeneity may be considered as an ecological filter (Tonn et al., 1990), which selects or excludes species from the regional pool according to particular functional traits (Keddy, 1992; 82 83 Lomba et al., 2011). The species filtered by landscape composition and configuration represent the landscape species pool, with species being further selected by habitat type and 84 local factors to form local species composition and diversity. Hence, it is essential to obtain 85 86 knowledge about the landscape scale to describe the processes that govern ecological communities from the regional to the local scales. 87 In recent literature, some studies investigated the effect of landscape heterogeneity on 88 gamma diversity, i.e. the "whole" diversity measured at a landscape scale (Bennett et al., 89

90 2006). Traditionally, the dominant "focal patch" approach has been used, which only tests the

91 influence of landscape heterogeneity on a single site/patch (for a review see Thornton et al.,

92 2011). In contrast, the assessment of gamma diversity allows the resulting overall diversity to

be viewed, rather than the response of only one patch (Bennett et al., 2006). However, most

94 existing studies that have used this approach, are focussing on a single habitat

95 (Grasslands: Dauber et al., 2003; woodlands: Radford et al., 2005; hedgerows: Ernoult and
96 Alard, 2011; Millan-Pena et al., 2003; crops: Concepcion et al., 2012). Such gamma diversity

97 measures may be referred as "single-habitat gamma diversity". However, landscapes are

98 mosaics of different habitats, supporting communities of varying species composition.

Therefore, single-habitat gamma diversity only partially reflects overall landscape diversity, 99 100 with the study of total landscape scale diversity being required to consider the diversity of multiple habitats, which we termed "multi-habitat gamma diversity". Such measure of 101 102 landscape-level gamma diversity hierarchically depends on local diversity (alpha) and beta diversity among patches of the same habitat types (beta patch) and among habitat types (beta 103 habitat (Crist et al. 2003, Diekotter et al., 2008). Although mosaic-level diversity monitoring 104 105 is important from a conservation and landscape planning perspective, there are limited studies using this approach (but see Liira et al., 2008). 106

Here, we investigated the distribution of dispersal, phenology, reproduction and
trophic traits for carabid beetles and herbaceous plants along a gradient of spatial
heterogeneity in typical western European agricultural landscapes. We evaluated multi-habitat
gamma diversity, including crop habitats, to determine i) whether landscape heterogeneity
(composition and configuration) serves as an environmental filter for species, ii) which
functional traits are affected by the landscape heterogeneity, and iii) whether the observed
response patterns could be extended to different species groups (i.e. across taxa).

114

115 **2. Materials and methods**

116

```
117 2.1. Study area
```

118

The study was conducted in hedgerow network agricultural landscapes located in the west of France (Fig. 1). These landscapes are typical of western Europe (Baudry et al., 2000) and have been subject to major modifications since the middle of the twentieth century because of agriculture intensification (Baudry and Papy, 2001; Meeus, 1993). The study area is located in a region where dominant agriculture is mixed dairy farming. The farmlands

contain annual crops (mostly winter cereals, but also corn), along with temporary and
permanent grasslands, and are separated by woodlands and hedgerows (termed woody
elements here). These two types of grasslands are comparable in this area, as they are often
similarly managed (Roche et al., 2010).

128

129 2.2. Sampling design

Twenty square-shaped landscape sites of 1 km² were selected to maximise landscape
compositional and configurational heterogeneity (Fig. 1). From a regional habitat map derived
from remote sensing data (COSTEL Land-cover map, Hubert-Moy et al., 2012), landscapes
were selected based on the percentage of semi-natural covers (composition) and the length of
edges between semi-natural covers and farmland (configuration).

Within each studied landscape, we sampled carabid beetle and plant communities in 135 136 the three characteristic habitats of the study area: crop, grassy, and woody habitats. Two patches of each habitat type were sampled in each landscape, i.e. two patches of the most 137 abundant crop (winter cereals), two patches of grassland, and two patches of woody habitat. 138 The variability in the types of woody habitat was addressed by sampling one hedgerow patch 139 and one woodland patch. A total of 120 patches were sampled (6 in each landscape, Fig. 1). 140 141 The sampled crop, grassland and woodland patches had an average area of 37.8 ha, 9.5 ha and 3.4 ha respectively. The sampled hedgerows were, on average, 132 m long. 142 Carabid beetles were sampled using two pitfall traps per patch. The traps were 143 positioned 10 m from the patch margins. Traps were collected every two weeks, after being 144

open for seven consecutive days. There were two sampling seasons: from May to June 2011

- 146 (containing four sampling periods), and September 2011 (containing 2 sampling periods),
- 147 except for winter cereal patches, which were harvested in July. This sampling regime was
- 148 designed to encompass the two main seasons during which carabid beetles emerge (Kromp,

149 1999). Data from each trapping period were pooled by sampled patch, and carabid species150 were identified following Roger et al. (2010).

Herbaceous plant species were sampled using three quadrats, the total area of which 151 152 corresponded to the minimal recommended area of each habitat type (Mueller-Dombois and Ellenberg, 1974). These quadrats were distributed along transects from the margin to the 153 centre of the patch to sample edge and interior species. Grasslands and winter cereal crops 154 were sampled using 2 m x 2 m quadrats, established at 2, 6 and 10 m from the patch margin. 155 Woodlands and hedgerows were sampled using respectively 5 m x 5 m and 5 m x 2 m 156 quadrats, which were separated by a distance of 5 m. Data from the three quadrats were 157 158 pooled for each sampled patch.

We pooled data from the three habitats for every landscape to obtain the multi-habitat gamma diversity. We used specific occurrence within each landscape site, with every plant and carabid species being assigned a value from 0 to 6 (0 indicated absent from every cover type, and 6 indicated present in the two patches x three cover types). Occurrence was the selected measure because it allows the diversity of habitats to be considered.

164

165 *2.3. Species traits*

166

We selected four carabid beetle life-history traits (Table 1) that are associated with vital functions (breeding phenology, diet and dispersal). First, we removed rare carabid species (less than 5 individuals found across all sampling sites, following Barbaro and van Halder, 2009). We then extracted the selected trait values from previous studies (Barbaro and van Halder, 2009; Ribera et al., 2001), and from a database currently under construction (BETSI, 2012). Finally, we checked that no trait categories contained too few species to avoid

any statistical bias. Sixty-two carabid beetle species were included in the analyses, whichrepresented 84.9% of the total sampled carabid species.

175	For plants, we extracted trait values from existing databases (the Biolflor database,
176	Kuhn et al., 2004, and the LEDA traitbase, Kleyer et al., 2008) to describe nine plant life-
177	history traits that included phenology, reproduction and dispersal (Table 2). Species for which
178	trait data were not available were excluded from the analyses. We analysed 153 herbaceous
179	plant species, representing 88% of the total sampled herbaceous species. This ratio was
180	consistent with Romermann et al. (2009), who retained at least 80% of the species pool. To
181	avoid statistical bias, categories with too few species were merged with the closest ecological
182	category (Barbaro and van Halder, 2009).
183	
184	2.4. Landscape descriptors
185	
186	Descriptors of landscape heterogeneity were computed from detailed land-cover maps
187	of each 1 km ² landscape with Chloe 3.1 software (Baudry et al., 2006). Six landscape
188	descriptors were computed to quantify variability in landscape composition and configuration
189	Composition was estimated using the percentage coverage in woody (woodlands and
190	hedgerows), grassy (permanent and temporary grasslands) and crop habitats. Landscape
191	spatial configuration was evaluated using the length of the three edge types among the three
192	habitat categories ('woody.crop', 'woody.grassy', 'grassy.crop').
193	
194	2.5. Statistical analyses
195	
196	Two complementary types of three-table analysis method were conducted to associate

197 carabid and plant traits with landscape descriptors (Dziock et al., 2011; Dray et al., *in press*).

Specifically, we used RLQ analysis (Doledec et al., 1996) to obtain a graphic display, and
Fourth Corner analysis (Dray and Legendre, 2008) for statistical power. All analyses were
computed using the 'ade4' package in R 3.00 software.

201 RLQ analysis was used to provide simultaneous ordination, and to analyse the joint structure of the three datasets: R (landscape descriptors), L (carabid beetles and plant 202 203 occurrence data) and Q (species traits). First, all tables were analysed separately using the 204 appropriate ordination method. Correspondence Analysis (CA) was used to analyse the Lspecies table. The R-landscape descriptors table was analysed using Principal Component 205 Analysis (PCA), with the CA site scores being used as row weighting to couple R and L. The 206 207 Q-trait tables for carabid beetles and plants were analysed respectively by Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA), and by a Hill Smith PCA, which combines quantitative and 208 209 qualitative variables, using CA species scores as a column weighting to couple Q and L. 210 Subsequently, RLQ analysis was used to combine the independent analyses in a simultaneous ordination. We tested the significance of the relationship between species traits and landscape 211 212 descriptors (significance level at $\alpha = 0.05$) using a Monte Carlo test (999 permutations). To determine which traits influenced the most the species distributions across landscapes, 213 correlation ratios were calculated between traits and species ordination along the first and 214 215 second RLQ axes (Doledec et al., 1996; Barbaro and van Halder, 2009). Fourth Corner analysis was used to quantify and test the correlation between each trait 216 category and each landscape descriptor. Two permutation tests were applied to determine 217 correlation significance (Models 2 and 4, as recommended by Dray and Legendre, 2008). 218 First, we tested the null hypothesis that "species assemblages are randomly attributed to sites, 219 irrespective of the site characteristics" using 9999 permutations of site vectors (rows of L). 220 221 Then, we tested the null hypothesis that "species are distributed irrespective of their traits" using 9999 permutations of species vectors (columns of L). From these two randomisation 222

223	models, the largest p-value was selected to establish significant correlations. The use of
224	multiple landscape descriptors in the R-landscape descriptors table required Bonferroni
225	correction. Furthermore, because two permutation models were used, we used the square root
226	of this corrected alpha level (Dray and Legendre, 2008; Dziock et al., 2011). Therefore, the
227	alpha value used to determine statistical significance in the Fourth Corner analysis was α <
228	0.091.
229	
230	3. Results
231	
232	3.1. Global effects of landscape heterogeneity on species trait distributions
233	
234	RLQ analysis showed that carabid beetle and plant functional compositions were
235	significantly related with landscape descriptors (permutation test, p -value = 0.020 and 0.019
236	respectively). The two first RLQ analysis axes accounted for 91.4% of total carabid
237	community variance (75.2 and 16.2%, respectively; Fig. 2a), and 82.5% of the total plant
238	community variance (42.4 and 40.1%, respectively; Fig. 2b).
239	For both taxa, landscape composition and configuration descriptors correlated
240	significantly with the first two RLQ analysis axes (Fig. 2). For carabid beetles (Fig. 2a), the
241	first ordination axis of functional traits distribution contrasted more forested landscapes to
242	open ones, dominated by grasslands and crops. The second axis differentiated landscapes with
243	large areas of grassland from landscapes supporting other habitat types (crops and
244	woodlands). For plants (Fig.2b), on the first axis, landscape descriptors associated with
245	functional trait distributions were the descriptors associated to grasslands versus descriptors
246	associated to crops. The second axis differentiated landscapes supporting increased woody
247	habitats area from open landscapes with many grasslands and crops.

248	The highest correlation ratios between RLQ analysis axes and species traits were
249	obtained for carabid beetle body size, wing system and breeding season (Table 3). The plant
250	traits showed a weaker association to the RLQ analysis axes (Table 3). Plant seed mass, seed
251	bank longevity, beginning of flowering and type of reproduction were associated with one of
252	the two RLQ analysis axes. In addition, plant dissemination and pollen vector were equally
253	correlated with the two axes (Table 3).
254	
255	3.2. Relationships between trait categories and landscape descriptors
256	
257	The results of the RLQ analyses were combined with Fourth Corner analysis. The
258	latter showed significant correlations for landscape descriptors with carabid beetle and plant
259	trait categories (p-value < 0.091, Table 4 and 5). The traits that were associated to the RLQ
260	analysis axes were also significantly associated to the landscape descriptors in Fourth Corner
261	analyses, except for plant dissemination and pollen vector. Therefore, these traits were not
262	considered to be affected by landscape heterogeneity.
263	In wooded landscapes, carabid communities were dominated by apterous species,
264	along with summer and autumnal breeders. In comparison, landscapes supporting a lower
265	amount of woody habitats contained more spring breeders and macropterous species (Table
266	4). These results are supported by the distribution of these traits along the first axis of the
267	RLQ analysis (Fig. 2a). Regarding body size, medium-sized carabid beetles were significantly
268	less common in landscapes with more 'woody.grassy' edges (Table 4). In addition, the RLQ
269	analysis showed that 'very large' and 'large' sized carabid species were associated with
270	wooded landscapes. In comparison, medium and small sized species were associated with

271 landscapes characterised by higher crop percentages and greater lengths of 'grassy.crop'

edges (Fig. 2a).

273	For plants, landscapes with increased edge lengths between grasslands and crops
274	enhanced species that have an intermediate flowering period. In comparison, late flowering
275	species favoured woody landscapes (Table 5; opposite responses to 'grassy.crop' and
276	'woody'). Species that primarily reproduce with seeds and that have high seed bank longevity
277	were associated with woody landscapes. In comparison, species that mostly used vegetative
278	reproduction and that have transient seeds were less common in these landscapes (Table 5).
279	The trends observed from the Fourth Corner analyses were consistent with the trait
280	distributions along the second axis of the RLQ analysis (Fig. 2b). In addition, plant species
281	with lightweight seeds were associated with landscapes supporting a high proportion of grassy
282	habitats ('grassy'), whereas heavy seeded species were associated with highly cultivated
283	landscapes ('crop') and greater 'woody.crop' length edges (Table 5). These results match the
284	variation in seed mass that was observed along the first axis of the RLQ analysis (Fig. 2b).
285	
286	4. Discussion and Conclusions
287	
288	4.1. Landscape composition and configuration: two drivers of functional composition
289	
290	This study shows that landscape heterogeneity acts as an ecological filter on the
291	functional composition of landscape-level diversity. We found that the two landscape
292	heterogeneity components (i.e. composition and configuration) contributed in the selection of
293	species based on their phenology, reproduction and dispersal traits. Previous studies have
294	already reported such landscape filtering on carabid wing systems (Hendrickx et al., 2009;
295	Wamser et al., 2012), breeding season (Purtauf et al., 2005) and body size (Kotze and O'Hara,
296	2003), in addition to plant seed mass (Tremlova and Munzbergova, 2007; Westoby et al.,
297	1996), beginning of flowering (Silvertown and Charlesworth, 2001) and seed bank longevity

(Tremlova and Munzbergova, 2007). However, these studies focused on one particular habitat
(e.g. grasslands or crops), whereas the current study detected these relationships for multihabitat gamma diversity. Thus, we show that the dominance of a given trait category in a
given landscape type indicates that all local community types tend to contain a greater
frequency of species from a considered group, irrespective of habitat type. This observation
implies that such species selection does not only occur within each habitat type, but also at the
landscape scale.

There is a limited number of studies that addressed the question of landscape filter 305 effects on multi-habitat gamma diversity (but see Liira et al., 2008 and Lomba et al., 2011). 306 307 However, we used different traits compared to the previous studies; for instance, the mentioned studies did not include dispersal traits (dissemination type and seed mass). Hence, 308 309 our study provides novel information about the landscape filtering effects on dispersal, which 310 is a major landscape-level ecological process. In addition, the type of statistical analysis used by the previous studies did not explicitly quantify which traits caused the greatest species 311 312 response to landscape heterogeneity gradients. In the current study, we used RLQ and Fourth Corner analyses to overcome this limitation, leading us to identify the reproduction period and 313 dispersal capacity as the main traits that are affected by landscape heterogeneity. 314

315 Our results highlight the importance of taking compositional and configurational landscape heterogeneity into account, as both components played a significant role in 316 landscape filtering effects. However, landscape composition and configuration had different 317 318 effects and relative importance on carabid and plant diversity, showing, as discussed below, 319 that distinct mechanisms drive the response of these two taxa (Fahrig, 2011). The importance of considering both landscape components was particularly noticeable for carabid and plant 320 dispersal traits. Indeed, experimental-based and theoretical-based literature usually considers 321 a major influence of landscape configuration on dispersal (see e.g. Hendrickx et al., 2009 for 322

carabids or Piessens et al., 2004). However, the present study showed that the two landscape 323 324 heterogeneity components influenced dispersal traits selection at the landscape level (gamma diversity). This may be due to correlations between descriptors of composition and 325 326 configuration, but, as all descriptors were included, their relative importance in driving functional composition could be identified (Smith et al., 2009). For instance, prior studies 327 328 showed that landscape configuration had an effect on plant dispersal (Tremlova and 329 Munzbergova, 2007; Westoby et al., 1996); however, the current study showed that compositional heterogeneity was the main factor influencing plant dispersal traits. The 330 functional composition of the plant community at the landscape level (i.e. for all considered 331 332 habitats) might largely depend on the dominant habitat type. Therefore, changes in habitat dominance along the composition gradient are expected to have a major influence on total 333 334 functional composition, including dispersal traits.

335

4.2. Landscape stability: an ecological filter of carabid beetles and herbaceous plants

337

This study showed that two landscape heterogeneity gradients influenced the 338 functional composition of carabid beetle and plant communities in the studied landscapes. 339 340 Some traits were selected along a gradient of increasing landscape openness (opposing woody landscapes to landscapes dominated by open habitats). In comparison, other traits were 341 distributed along a gradient of increasing agricultural use (grassland vs. annual crops). These 342 343 gradients may be considered as gradients of landscape stability. Indeed, open landscapes tend to be more disturbed due to intensified farming, whereas woody landscapes support greater 344 amounts of stable habitats and less intensified farming systems (Baudry and Papy, 2001; 345 Meeus, 1993). In addition, farming practices (such as ploughing and pesticide use) are more 346 frequent in annual crops, which also have a faster turnover due to crop rotation compared to 347

grasslands. These inter- and intra- annual disturbances alter the stability of landscapes that 348 349 have greater amounts of open habitats and where the annual crops dominate over grasslands. Hence, landscape stability, representing a measure of temporal heterogeneity, seems to exert a 350 351 selective pressure on both carabid and plant species according to their phenology and dispersal capacity. This relationship between landscape openness and landscape stability 352 should be tested by analysing land cover time series and surveying agricultural practices. 353 354 Further research is also needed to better determine biodiversity response to temporal heterogeneity. 355

The gradient related to landscape openness selected early reproductive species for both 356 357 carabid beetles and plants (breeding season and beginning of flowering). In the more disturbed landscapes, these species may reproduce before the onset of the main agricultural 358 359 disturbances (e.g. mowing, grazing, pesticide spraying and harvest), resulting in higher 360 reproductive success and persistence. This hypothesis is supported by local-scale studies showing that spring breeding carabid species are more abundant in open landscapes (Barbaro 361 362 and van Halder, 2009; Purtauf et al., 2005) and that early flowering plants are selected in managed and disturbed sites (Ollerton and Lack, 1992; Silvertown and Charlesworth, 2001). 363 Landscape openness also selected plant species with vegetative reproduction and transient 364 365 seeds. In unstable open landscapes, transient seeded species might benefit their quick emergence rates, enabling them to develop before destruction or predation. Vegetative-366 reproducing species are adapted to disturbances, as they are able to reproduce and continue 367 developing even when cut (harvest, mowing) or grazed. The similar trends observed for these 368 two ecologically different taxonomic groups (herbaceous plants and carabid beetles) indicates 369 that strong landscape filtering on the reproduction period at the scale of gamma diversity 370 371 could be generalised to other taxonomic groups.

Carabid beetles and plant species experienced contrasting filtering effects on their 372 373 dispersal traits with respect to landscape stability gradients. Carabid beetle dispersal traits were mainly selected by the landscape openness gradient. Landscapes dominated by annual 374 375 crops and grasslands selected highly mobile carabid species (small, macropterous), which are able to adapt to rapidly changing spatial distributions in resources (Holland, 2002). In these 376 landscapes, a high amount of edges between grasslands and annual crops may favour 377 378 movement between highly disturbed crops and more stable grasslands, which act as temporary refuges or permit complementation (Purtauf et al., 2005). Low mobility species 379 (large, apterous) were associated with stable landscapes, where they probably benefited from 380 381 higher amounts of woody habitats (Kromp, 1999). These species that often need a combination of both woody and crop habitats might also benefit from edges between woody 382 habitats and crops in these landscapes (Tscharntke et al., 2005). In contrast, plant dispersal 383 384 traits (i.e. seed mass) were selected by the gradient of agricultural use (grasslands vs. crops). Landscapes with increased amounts of more stable habitats (grassland) favoured lightweight 385 386 seeded species. In comparison, heavy weight seeded species were favoured in landscapes with an increased proportion of annual crops and 'woody.crop' edges length. These landscapes are 387 subject to higher herbicide use, and are characterised by a low diversity and abundance of 388 389 arable weeds (Storkey et al., 2012), which largely support lightweight seeds. Moreover, 390 farmers commonly use herbicides on the adjacent hedgerows or woodlot edges of crop fields to reduce colonisation by non-crop plants (Jamoneau et al., 2011). These practices reduce the 391 quantity of weeds, and explain the effect of 'woody.crop' edges. Plant dispersal modes were 392 393 not influenced by landscape structure, which contradicted most previous studies (Piessens et al., 2004; Tremlova and Munzbergova, 2007), except a recent one (Alignier et al., 2012). Our 394 395 results may be due to the fact that dispersal is not a limiting factor at the scale (1km²) or in the landscape type (hedgerow landscapes) of the study. Also, as we studied several traits 396

simultaneously, it is possible that the landscape heterogeneity filtering of plant species
according to their dispersal mode was hidden by much stronger effects on other traits (e.g.
phenology).

400

401 *4.3 Conclusions*

402

403 We used multi-habitat gamma diversity to study variation in plant and carabid beetle functional composition across a landscape heterogeneity gradient. As a result, we 404 demonstrated the role of landscape stability as an ecological filter of carabid beetles and 405 406 herbaceous plants in relation to their dispersal and phenology traits. We suggest that future work focused on studying the role of landscape as ecological filter of gamma diversity, should 407 compare the response of multiple taxa by grouping ecological traits within shared ecological 408 409 functions. Such research would help identify general rules about species responses to landscape heterogeneity, in addition to linking these effects to ecosystem functioning. We 410 411 found that different landscapes support different sets of functional groups, indicating that all functional groups cannot be preserved at the same time, which is an important issue for 412 conservation management. Hence, it seems that it is necessary to preserve a diversity of 413 414 landscape types to preserve functional biodiversity at a regional scale.

415

416 Acknowledgements

417 R. Duflot benefited from a PhD grant from Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique

418 (INRA) and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique – Institut Ecologie et

419 Environnement (CNRS-InEE). Additional financial support was provided by the DIVA-

420 Corridor research project from the French Ministry of Ecology. We are grateful to M. Hedde,

421 manager of BETSI (A database for Biological and Ecological functional Traits of Soil

- 422 Invertebrates), for sharing data. Our research also benefited from the Zone-Atelier Armorique,
- 423 which is financially supported by INRA and CNRS-InEE. We thank F. Ballaud for assistance
- 424 with data collection.

426 **REFFERENCES**

- 427
- 428 Alignier, A., Bretagnolle, V., Petit, S., 2012. Spatial patterns of weeds along a gradient of
- 429 landscape complexity. Basic and Applied Ecology 13, 328-337.
- 430 Barbaro, L., van Halder, I., 2009. Linking bird, carabid beetle and butterfly life-history traits
- to habitat fragmentation in mosaic landscapes. Ecography 32, 321-333.
- 432 Baudry, J., Bunce, R.G.H., Burel, F., 2000. Hedgerows: An international perspective on their
- 433 origin, function and management. Journal of Environmental Management 60, 7-22.
- Baudry, J., Papy, F., 2001. The role of landscape heterogeneity in the sustainability of
- 435 cropping systems., in: Nösberger, J., Geiger, H.H., Struik, P.C. (Eds.), Crop Science-
- 436 Progress and Prospects. Cabi Publishing, Oxon, pp. 243–259.
- Baudry, J., Schermann, N., Boussard, H., 2006. Chloe 3.1: freeware of multi-scales analyses.
 INRA, SAD-Paysage.
- 439 Bennett, A.F., Radford, J.Q., Haslem, A., 2006. Properties of land mosaics: Implications for
- 440 nature conservation in agricultural environments. Biological Conservation 133, 250-264.
- 441 Benton, T.G., Vickery, J., Wilson, J., 2003. Farmland biodiversity: is habitat heterogeneity
- the key? Trends in Ecology & Evolution 18, 182-188.
- 443 BETSI, 2012. A database for Biological and Ecological functional Traits of Soil
- 444 Invertebrates. First version. Soon available on the website of the Fédération pour la
- 445 Recherche sur la Biodiversité (FRB). http://www.fondationbiodiversite.fr/.
- Blitzer, E.J., Dormann, C.F., Holzschuh, A., Klein, A.M., Rand, T.A., Tscharntke, T., 2012.
- 447 Spillover of functionally important organisms between managed and natural habitats.
- 448 Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 146, 34-43.

- 449 Brudvig, L.A., Damschen, E.I., Tewksbury, J.J., Haddad, N.M., Levey, D.J., 2009. Landscape
- 450 connectivity promotes plant biodiversity spillover into non-target habitats. Proceedings of the
- 451 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106, 9328-9332.
- 452 Concepcion, E.D., Fernandez-Gonzalez, F., Diaz, M., 2012. Plant diversity partitioning in
- 453 Mediterranean croplands: effects of farming intensity, field edge, and landscape context.
- 454 Ecological Applications 22, 972-981.
- 455 Crist, T. O., Veech, J. A., Gering, J. C., Summerville, K. S., 2003. Partitioning species
- 456 diversity across landscapes and regions: A hierarchical analysis of alpha, beta, and gamma
- diversity. American Naturalist 162, 734-743.
- 458 Dauber, J., Hirsch, M., Simmering, D., Waldhardt, R., Otte, A., Wolters, V., 2003. Landscape
- 459 structure as an indicator of biodiversity: matrix effects on species richness. Agriculture
- 460 Ecosystems & Environment 98, 321-329.
- 461 Díaz, S., Cabido, M., 2001. Vive la différence: plant functional diversity matters to ecosystem
 462 processes. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 16, 646-655.
- Diekotter, T., Billeter, R., Crist, T. O., 2008. Effects of landscape connectivity on the spatial
 distribution of insect diversity in agricultural mosaic landscapes. Basic and Applied Ecology
 9, 298-307.
- 466 Doledec, S., Chessel, D., terBraak, C.J.F., Champely, S., 1996. Matching species traits to
- 467 environmental variables: A new three-table ordination method. Environmental and Ecological468 Statistics 3, 143-166.
- 469 Dray, S., Legendre, P., 2008. Testing the species traits-environment relationships: the Fourth-
- 470 Corner problem revisited. Ecology 89, 3400-3412.
- 471 Dray S., Choler P., Dolédec S., Peres-Neto P.R., Thuiller W., Pavoine S., ter Braak C.J.F., In
- 472 press. Combining the fourth-corner and the RLQ methods for assessing trait responses to
- 473 environmental variation. Ecology.

- 474 Duelli, P., 1992. Mosalkkonzept und Inseltheorie in der Kulturlandschaft. Verh. Ges. ftir
 475 Oekologie 21, 379-384.
- 476 Dziock, F., Gerisch, M., Siegert, M., Hering, I., Scholz, M., Ernst, R., 2011. Reproducing or
- 477 dispersing? Using trait based habitat templet models to analyse Orthoptera response to
- 478 flooding and land use. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 145, 85-94.
- 479 Ernoult, A., Alard, D., 2011. Species richness of hedgerow habitats in changing agricultural
- landscapes: are alpha and gamma diversity shaped by the same factors? Landscape Ecology26, 683-696.
- 482 Ernoult, A., Tremauville, Y., Cellier, D., Margerie, P., Langlois, E., Alard, D., 2006. Potential
- landscape drivers of biodiversity components in a flood plain: Past or present patterns?
- 484 Biological Conservation 127, 1-17.
- 485 Fahrig, L., Baudry, J., Brotons, L., Burel, F.G., Crist, T.O., Fuller, R.J., Sirami, C.,
- 486 Siriwardena, G.M., Martin, J.L., 2011. Functional landscape heterogeneity and animal
- 487 biodiversity in agricultural landscapes. Ecology Letters 14, 101-112.
- 488 Hendrickx, F., Maelfait, J.P., Desender, K., Aviron, S., Bailey, D., Diekotter, T., Lens, L.,
- 489 Liira, J., Schweiger, O., Speelmans, M., Vandomme, V., Bugter, R., 2009. Pervasive effects
- 490 of dispersal limitation on within- and among-community species richness in agricultural
- 491 landscapes. Global Ecology and Biogeography 18, 607-616.
- 492 Holland, J.M., 2002. Carabid beetles: their ecology, survival and use in agroecosystems, in:
- Holland, J.M. (Ed.), The agroecology of carabid beetles. Intercept Press, Andover, UK, pp. 1494 40.
- 495 Hubert-Moy, L., Nabucet, J., Vannier, C., Lefebvre, A., 2012. Mapping ecological
- 496 continuities: which data for which territorial level? Application to the forest and hedge
- 497 network. International Journal of Geomatics and Spatial Analysis 22, 619-640.

- Huston, M.A., 1995. Biological diversity: the coexistence of species on changing landscapes.
- 499 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Jamoneau, A., Sonnier, G., Chabrerie, O., Closset-Kopp, D., Saguez, R., Gallet-Moron, E.,
- 501 Decocq, G., 2011. Drivers of plant species assemblages in forest patches among contrasted
- dynamic agricultural landscapes. Journal of Ecology 99, 1152-1161.
- 503 Keddy, P.A., 1992. Assembly and response rules two goals for predictive community
- ecology. Journal of Vegetation Science 3, 157-164.
- 505 Kleijn, D., Sutherland, W.J., 2003. How effective are European agri-environment schemes in
- 506 conserving and promoting biodiversity? Journal of Applied Ecology 40, 947-969.
- 507 Kleyer, M., Bekker, R.M., Knevel, I.C., Bakker, J.P., Thompson, K., Sonnenschein, M.,
- 508 Poschlod, P., van Groenendael, J.M., Klimes, L., Klimesova, J., Klotz, S., Rusch, G.M.,
- 509 Hermy, M., Adriaens, D., Boedeltje, G., Bossuyt, B., Dannemann, A., Endels, P.,
- 510 Gotzenberger, L., Hodgson, J.G., Jackel, A.K., Kuhn, I., Kunzmann, D., Ozinga, W.A.,
- 511 Romermann, C., Stadler, M., Schlegelmilch, J., Steendam, H.J., Tackenberg, O., Wilmann,
- 512 B., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Eriksson, O., Garnier, E., Peco, B., 2008. The LEDA Traitbase: a
- 513 database of life-history traits of the Northwest European flora. Journal of Ecology 96, 1266-
- 514 1274.
- 515 Kotze, D.J., O'Hara, R.B., 2003. Species decline but why? Explanations of carabid beetle
- 516 (Coleoptera, Carabidae) declines in Europe. Oecologia 135, 138-148.
- 517 Kromp, B., 1999. Carabid beetles in sustainable agriculture: a review on pest control efficacy,
- 518 cultivation impacts and enhancement. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 74, 187-228.
- 519 Kuhn, I., Durka, W., Klotz, S., 2004. BiolFlor a new plant-trait database as a tool for plant
- 520 invasion ecology. Diversity and Distributions 10, 363-365.

- Le Feon, V., Burel, F., Chifflet, R., Henry, M., Ricroch, A., Vaissiere, B.E., Baudry, J., 2013.
- 522 Solitary bee abundance and species richness in dynamic agricultural landscapes. Agriculture
- 523 Ecosystems & Environment 166, 94-101.
- Le Roux, X., Barbault, R., Baudry, J., Burel, F., Doussan, I., Garnier, E., Herzog, F., Lavorel,
- 525 S., Lifran, R., Roger-Estrade, J., Sarthou, J.P., Trommetter, M., 2008. Agriculture et
- 526 biodiversité. Valoriser les synergies. Expertise scientifique collective. Rapport INRA
- 527 (France).
- 528 Liira, J., Schmidt, T., Aavik, T., Arens, P., Augenstein, I., Bailey, D., Billeter, R., Bukacek,
- 529 R., Burel, F., De Blust, G., De Cock, R., Dirksen, J., Edwards, P.J., Hamersky, R., Herzog, F.,
- 530 Klotz, S., Kuhn, I., Le Coeur, D., Miklova, P., Roubalova, M., Schweiger, O., Smulders,
- 531 M.J.M., Van Wingerden, W., Bugter, R., Zobel, M., 2008. Plant functional group composition
- and large-scale species richness in European agricultural landscapes. Journal of VegetationScience 19, 3-14.
- Lindborg, R., 2007. Evaluating the distribution of plant life-history traits in relation to current
- and historical landscape configurations. Journal of Ecology 95, 555-564.
- 536 Lomba, A., Bunce, R.G.H., Jongman, R.H.G., Moreira, F., Honrado, J., 2011. Interactions
- 537 between abiotic filters, landscape structure and species traits as determinants of dairy
- farmland plant diversity. Landscape and Urban Planning 99, 248-258.
- 539 Meeus, J.H.A., 1993. The transformation of agricultural landscapes in Western-Europe.
- 540 Science of the Total Environment 129, 171-190.
- 541 Millan-Pena, N., Butet, A., Delettre, Y., Morant, P., Burel, F., 2003. Landscape context and
- 542 carabid beetles (Coleoptera : Carabidae) communities of hedgerows in western France.
- 543 Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 94, 59-72.
- 544 Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005. Ecosystems and human well-being: synthesis.
- 545 Island Press, Washington, DC.

- 546 Mueller-Dombois, D., Ellenberg, H., 1974. Aims and Methods of Vegetation Ecology. Wiley
- 547 International Edition, London.
- 548 Ollerton, J., Lack, A.J., 1992. Flowering phenology An example of relaxation of natural
- selection. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 7, 274-276.
- 550 Piessens, K., Honnay, O., Nackaerts, K., Hermy, M., 2004. Plant species richness and
- 551 composition of heathland relics in north-western Belgium: evidence for a rescue-effect?
- Journal of Biogeography 31, 1683-1692.
- 553 Poggio, S.L., Chaneton, E.J., Ghersa, C.M., 2010. Landscape complexity differentially affects
- alpha, beta, and gamma diversities of plants occurring in fencerows and crop fields.
- 555 Biological Conservation 143, 2477-2486.
- 556 Purtauf, T., Roschewitz, I., Dauber, J., Thies, C., Tscharntke, T., Wolters, V., 2005.
- 557 Landscape context of organic and conventional farms: Influences on carabid beetle diversity.
- 558 Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 108, 165-174.
- 559 Radford, J.Q., Bennett, A.F., Cheers, G.J., 2005. Landscape-level thresholds of habitat cover
- 560 for woodland-dependent birds. Biological Conservation 124, 317-337.
- 561 Ribera, I., Doledec, S., Downie, I.S., Foster, G.N., 2001. Effect of land disturbance and stress
- on species traits of ground beetle assemblages. Ecology 82, 1112-1129.
- 563 Robinson, R.A., Sutherland, W.J., 2002. Post-war changes in arable farming and biodiversity
- in Great Britain. Journal of Applied Ecology 39, 157-176.
- 565 Robinson, R.A., Wilson, J.D., Crick, H.Q.P., 2001. The importance of arable habitat for
- farmland birds in grassland landscapes. Journal of Applied Ecology 38, 1059-1069.
- 567 Roche, B., Lanoë, E., Le coeur, D., Thenail, C., Martel, G., 2010. Diversité des systèmes de
- 568 polyculture élevage et des modes d'exploitation des prairies : quelles conséquences sur la
- 569 diversité végétale ? Rencontres recherches ruminants
- 570 http://www.journees3r.fr/spip.php?article2973.

- 571 Roger, J.-L., Jambon, O., Bouger, G., 2010. Clé de détermination des carabidés : Paysages
- 572 agricoles de la Zone Atelier d'Armorique. Laboratoires INRA SAD-Paysage et CNRS
- 573 ECOBIO, Rennes, France.
- 574 Romermann, C., Bernhardt-Romermann, M., Kleyer, M., Poschlod, P., 2009. Substitutes for
- 575 grazing in semi-natural grasslands do mowing or mulching represent valuable alternatives to
- 576 maintain vegetation structure? Journal of Vegetation Science 20, 1086-1098.
- 577 Silvertown, J.W., Charlesworth, D., 2001. Introduction to plant population biology, in: Mead.,
 578 O. (Ed.). Blackwell Science, Oxford.
- 579 Smith, A. C., Koper, N., Francis, C. M., Fahrig, L., 2009. Confronting collinearity: comparing
- 580 methods for disentangling the effects of habitat loss and fragmentation. Landscape Ecology
- 581 24, 1271-1285.
- 582 Storkey, J., Meyer, S., Still, K.S., Leuschner, C., 2012. The impact of agricultural
- intensification and land-use change on the European arable flora. Proceedings of the Royal
- 584 Society B-Biological Sciences 279, 1421-1429.
- Strijker, D., 2005. Marginal lands in Europe causes of decline. Basic and Applied Ecology
 6, 99-106.
- 587 Thornton, D.H., Branch, L.C., Sunquist, M.E., 2011. The influence of landscape, patch, and
- 588 within-patch factors on species presence and abundance: a review of focal patch studies.
- 589 Landscape Ecology 26, 7-18.
- 590 Tonn, W.M., Magnuson, J.J., Rask, M., Toivonen, J., 1990. Intercontinental Comparison of
- 591 Small-Lake Fish Assemblages the Balance between Local and Regional Processes.
- 592 American Naturalist 136, 345-375.
- 593 Tremlova, K., Munzbergova, Z., 2007. Importance of species traits for species distribution in
- fragmented landscapes. Ecology 88, 965-977.

- 595 Tscharntke, T., Rand, T.A., Bianchi, F., 2005. The landscape context of trophic interactions:
- insect spillover across the crop-noncrop interface. Annales Zoologici Fennici 42, 421-432.
- 597 Turner, M.G., 1987. Landscape heterogeneity and disturbance. Springer-Verlag, New York,598 USA.
- 599 Vallet, J., Daniel, H., Beaujouan, V., Roze, F., Pavoine, S., 2010. Using biological traits to
- 600 assess how urbanization filters plant species of small woodlands. Applied Vegetation Science
- 601 13, 412-424.
- Wamser, S., Diekotter, T., Boldt, L., Wolters, V., Dauber, J., 2012. Trait-specific effects of
- habitat isolation on carabid species richness and community composition in managed
- 604 grasslands. Insect Conservation and Diversity 5, 9-18.
- 605 Westoby, M., Leishman, M., Lord, J., 1996. Comparative ecology of seed size and dispersal.
- Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences 351,1309-1317.
- 608 Woodcock, B.A., Redhead, J., Vanbergen, A.J., Hulmes, L., Hulmes, S., Peyton, J.,
- Nowakowski, M., Pywell, R.F., Heard, M.S., 2010. Impact of habitat type and landscape
- 610 structure on biomass, species richness and functional diversity of ground beetles. Agriculture
- Ecosystems & Environment 139, 181-186.

613 **TABLES**

Vital function	Carabid species traits	Categories	Code
Trophic level	Diet	Generalist predators*	D_pred_g
		Specialist predators*	D_pred_s
		Phytophagous	D_phyto
Dispersion	Wing system	Apterous	W_apt
		Dimorphic	W_dim
		Macropterous	W_macro
	Body size	Very small (< 6 mm)	BS_VS
	(length in mm)	Small (6 -7.9 mm)	BS_S
		Medium (8 - 9.9 mm)	BS_M
		Large (10 -11.9 mm)	BS_L
		Very large (> 12 mm)	BS_VL
Phenology	Breeding season	Spring	BrS_spr
		Summer	BrS_sum
		Autumn	BrS_aut

Table 1: Categories of life history traits used for carabid beetle species

* The "Generalist predators" diet category refers to carabid species which are opportunist

616 predators and have a wide diet, while "Specialist predators" refers to species that feed

617 only/mostly on collembola.

Vital function	Plant species traits	Categories	Code
Dispersion	Seed mass	Continuous trait	Seed_mass
	Dissemination	Anemochory	D_an
		Barochory	D_ba
		Zoochory	D_zoo
		Other dispersal mode	D_other
Phenology	Seed bank longevity	Transient	SBL_trans
		Short term	SBL_short
		Long term	SBL_long
	Age of first flowering	Within 1 year	AOF_early
		More than 1 year	AOF_late
	Life span	Annual and biannual	LS_a
		Perennial	LS_p
	Beginning of flowering	[January-March]	BOF_early
		[May-June]	BOF_med
		[July-September]	BOF_late
	Duration of flowering	Very short (< 3)	DOF_VS
	(nb. of months)	Short ([3-4])	DOF_S
		Long (> 4)	DOF_L
Reproduction	Type of reproduction	Only by seed	TR_s
		Mostly by seed, rarely	
		vegetatively	TR_ssv
		By seed and vegetatively	TR_sv
	Pollen vector	Insect	PV_in
		Selfing	PV_se
		Wind	PV_wi
		Various pollen vectors	PV_var

Table 2: Categories of life history traits used for herbaceous plant species

	Species trait	CR Axis 1	CR Axis 2
	Diet	0.03	0.02
(a) Carabid boatles	Wing system	0.13	0.01
(a) Calabiu beenes	Body size	0.18	0.10
	Breeding season	0.24	0.01
	Seed mass	0.03	0.01
	Dissemination	0.02	0.02
	Seed bank longevity	0.00	0.04
	Age of first flowering	0.02	0.00
(b) Herbaceous plants	Life span	0.00	0.03
	Beginning of flowering	0.00	0.07
	Duration of flowering	0.02	0.00
	Type of reproduction	0.03	0.02
	Pollen vector	0.02	0.02

Table 3: Correlation ratios (CR) between carabid beetle traits (a) or herbaceous plant traits

620 (b) and the two first axes of RLQ analysis. Traits with highest correlation ratio are in bold.

622	Table 4: Fourth Corner analysis of the effects of landscape descriptors on carabid beetle
623	traits. + and - symbols correspond to positive and negative correlations between the
624	considered trait category and landscape descriptor. Only the significant correlations (p-values
625	< 0.091, see methods section for explanation) are reported, empty cells represent non-
626	significant correlations. See Table 1 for trait codes. In bold are the traits that were correlated
627	with RLQ axes and showing significant correlation with Fourth Corner.

		Woody	Grassy	Crop	Woody.Grassy	Grassy.Crop	Woody.Crop
Diet	D_pred_g						
	D_pred_s						
	D_phyto						
Wing System	W_apt	+					
	W_dim						
	W_macro	-					
Body Size	BS_VS						
	BS_S						
	BS_M				-		
	BS_L						
	BS_VL						
Breeding Season	BrS_spr	-					
	BrS_sum	+					
	BrS_aut	+					

628	Table 5: Fourth Corner analysis of the effects of landscape descriptors on herbaceous plant
629	traits. + and - symbols correspond to positive and negative correlations between the
630	considered trait category and landscape descriptor. Only the significant correlations (p-values
631	< 0.091, see methods section for explanation) are reported, empty cells represent non-
632	significant correlations. See Table 2 for trait codes. In bold are the traits that were correlated
633	with RLQ axes and showing significant correlation with Fourth Corner.

		Woody	Grassy	Crop	Woody.Grassy	Grassy.Crop	Woody.Crop
Seed Mass	Seed_mass		-	+			+
Dissemination	D_an						
	D_ba						
	D_other						
	D_zoo						
Seed Bank Longevity	SBL_long	+					
	SBL_short						
	SBL_trans	-					
Age of First Flowering	AOF_early				-		
	AOF_late				+		
Life Span	LS_a	+					
	LS_p	-					
Beginning Of Flowering	BOF_early						
	BOF_late	+				-	
	BOF_med	-				+	
Duration Of Flowering	DOF_L						
	DOF_S						+
	DOF_VS						-
Type of Reproduction	TR_s	+					
	TR_ssv						+
	TR_sv						
Pollen Vector	PV_in						
	PV_se						
	PV_var						
	PV_wi						

635 FIGURE CAPTIONS

- 636
- **Figure 1:** Map of the study area showing the 20 x 1km² selected landscapes (a) and the
- 638 hierarchical sampling design of one of these landscapes (b). The sampled cover types are W:
- 639 woody habitat, G: grassland and C: winter cereal crop.
- 640
- 641 Figure 2: Ordination of landscape descriptors and species trait categories along the two first
- axes of the RLQ analysis, for carabid beetles (a) and herbaceous plants (b). Numbers
- represent percentages of variance explained by each axes. See Table 1 and 2 for trait category
- 644 codes.

FIGURES

646 Figure 1



648 Figure 2

