

ING1 and ING2: Multi-Faceted Tumor Suppressor Genes

Claire Guérillon, Delphine Larrieu, Rémy Pedeux

► To cite this version:

Claire Guérillon, Delphine Larrieu, Rémy Pedeux. ING1 and ING2: Multi-Faceted Tumor Suppressor Genes. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 2013, 70 (20), pp.3753-3772. 10.1007/s00018-013-1270-z . hal-00867345

HAL Id: hal-00867345 https://univ-rennes.hal.science/hal-00867345v1

Submitted on 28 Sep 2013

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ING1 and ING2: Multi-Faceted Tumor Suppressor Genes

Claire Guérillon^{1, 2}, Delphine Larrieu⁴, and Rémy Pedeux^{1, 2, 3, *}

¹INSERM U917, Microenvironnement et Cancer, Rennes, France,
²Université de Rennes 1, Rennes, France,
³Etablissement Français du Sang, Rennes, France,
⁴The Welcome Trust and Cancer Research UK Gurdon Institute, Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1QN, UK.
*Corresponding author:

Rémy Pedeux INSERM U917, Faculté de Médecine de Rennes Building 2, Room 117, 2 avenue du Professeur Léon Bernard 35043 Rennes France Tel: 33 (0)2 23 23 47 02 Fax: 33 (0)2 23 23 49 58 Email: remy.pedeux@univ-rennes1.fr Short Title: ING1 and ING2: Multi-Faceted Tumor Suppressor Genes

Keywords: ING1, ING2, tumor suppressor gene, p53, H3K4Me3

Abstract

ING1 (Inhibitor of Growth 1) was identified and characterized as a "candidate" tumor suppressor gene in 1996. Subsequently four more genes, also characterized as "candidate" tumor suppressor genes, were identified by homology search: ING2, ING3, ING4 and ING5. The ING proteins are characterized by a high homology in their C-terminal domain which contains a Nuclear Localization Sequence (NLS) and a Plant HomeoDomain (PHD) which has a high affinity to Histone 3 tri-methylated on lysine 4 (H3K4Me3). The ING proteins have been involved in the control of cell growth, senescence, apoptosis, chromatin remodelling and DNA repair. Within the ING family, ING1 and ING2 form a subgroup since they are evolutionarily and functionally close. In Yeast, only one gene, Pho23, is related to ING1 and ING2 and possesses also a PHD. Recently, the ING1 and ING2 tumor suppressor status has been fully established since several studies have described the loss of ING1 and ING2 protein expression in human tumors and both ING1 and ING2 knockout mice were reported to have spontaneously developed tumors, B-cell lymphomas and soft tissue sarcomas respectively. In this review we will describe for the first time what is known about ING1 and ING2 genes, proteins, their regulations in both human and mice, and their status in human tumors. Furthermore, we explore the current knowledge about identified functions involving ING1 and ING2 in tumor suppression pathways especially in the control of cell cycle and in genome stability.

Introduction

A tumor suppressor gene (TSG) encodes for a protein which blocks tumor development. These genes negatively regulate cell proliferation and/or contribute to the maintenance of genome stability. Their loss contributes to malignant transformation. They are divided in two classes. Type I TSGs or "caretakers" are directly involved in the maintenance of DNA integrity through DNA repair pathways (e.g.: BRCA1/2, MSH2, etc.); whereas, type II TSGs or "gatekeepers" control cell cycle, senescence, apoptosis, autophagy and suppress angiogenesis and cell invasion (e.g.: p53, pRb, etc.) [1,2].

In 1996, Karl Riabowol's group identified ING1 (Inhibitor of Growth 1) from an in vivo screen which aimed at isolating new candidate TSG [3]. Following ING1 discovery, four genes having a high homology to *ING1* were identified as candidate TSG and were named ING2-ING5 [4-7]. Subsequently, three ING genes were identified in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Yng1, Yng2 and Pho23 and two in Saccharomyces pombe: Png1 and Png2 [8]. Yeast ING proteins share a significant identity of 50 to 60% with the C-terminal region of human ING1 [8]. Since then, ING proteins were also identified in many other species including frog, fish, mosquito, fruit fly, worm, xenopus and plant. The ING proteins are characterized by their C-terminal region which is highly conserved from human to plant and contains a Plant HomeoDomain (PHD) [9-11]. In addition, the C-terminus contains a Nuclear Localisation Signal (NLS) which targets INGs to the nucleus [9]. ING proteins possess also a strongly conserved region that has not yet been described in any other protein and thus has been named the Novel Conserved Region (NCR). The N-terminal domain is unique to each ING [9]. Thus, ING proteins are highly conserved during evolution which suggests their involvement in important biological processes [9,12]. Accordingly, functional studies have characterised ING proteins as "candidate" tumor suppressor proteins that are involved in many processes like cell growth, apoptosis, senescence, migration and DNA repair. Furthermore, many studies have shown that these genes are lost or misregulated in several tumour types [13]. Within the ING family, ING1 and ING2 form a subgroup since they are evolutionarily and functionally close [9]. Recently, both ING1 and ING2 knockout mice were reported to spontaneously develop tumors with a high frequency [14-17].

In this review we will detail for the first time what is known about ING1 and ING2 gene, protein, their regulations in both human and mice, and their status in human tumors. Furthermore, we discuss the involvement of ING1 and ING2 functions in tumor suppression especially in the control of cell cycle and genome stability.

ING1 and ING2 genes and proteins: structure and regulations

Human ING1 and ING2 are located close to chromosome telomere

Human *ING1* and *ING2* are located on two different chromosomes. Human *ING1* has been mapped at chromosome 13q34 and human *ING2* at chromosome 4q35.1. Interestingly, both are located close to the telomeric region [4,6,9] (Fig.1, S1). The amino acid sequence of human ING2 displays 70% homology with ING1 sequence [4] (Fig.2). The subtelomeric location of human *ING1* and *ING2* genes and their high homology suggest that *ING1* and *ING2* could result from the duplication of these regions from a common ancestor [9]. In contrast, mouse *ING1* and *ING2* are located on the same chromosome. *ING1* has been mapped on chromosome 8 A1.1 and *ING2* on chromosome 8 B2 [18] (Fig.S1). Phylogenetic tree analysis has revealed that human and mouse *ING1* from one part and human and mouse *ING2* from another part belong to the same group of related sequences [9] (Fig.S2).

ING1 structure and transcriptional regulation

Human *ING1* is made of four exons, exon 1a, 1b, 1c, and 2, resulting in five transcribed isoforms (ING1a, ING1b, ING1c, and ING1d) (Fig1, S1). They are the result of different promoters and alternative splicing. *ING1* is ubiquitously expressed in tissues. ING1b is the most abundant form among ING1 isoforms (Fig1) (Fig.1 represents a scheme of ING1b isoform and of ING2a and ING2b. ING2 proteins are more detailed than ING1 proteins because the ING1 isoforms have already been published [13] and because ING2b has never been included in a scheme describing ING2 proteins since its identification. A detailed scheme of ING1 isoforms is represented on Fig.S1).

However, their expression can vary greatly e.g. human ING1a mRNA expression is highly expressed in testis; whereas, human ING1b mRNA is highly expressed in thymus, spleen and brain and human ING1c mRNA is highly expressed in thymus and human ING1d is highly expressed in lung, liver, kidney, thymus and small intestine [19]. In contrast, mouse *ING1* consists of four exons, exon 1a, 1b, 1c and 2, resulting in three transcribed isoforms (ING1a, ING1b and ING1c) (Fig.S1) [18]. In addition, human *ING1* transcriptional regulation remains unknown but need to be explored since ING1 mRNA expression is frequently lost in human tumors (see thereafter). The expression of murine *ING1* has been reported to be independent of p53 [20].

ING2 structure and transcriptional regulation

ING2 is made up of three exons, exon 1a, 1b and 2, resulting in two transcribed isoforms: ING2a and ING2b [4,6,21] (Fig.1). They are the result of an alternative splicing between exons 1a and 1b. Both *ING2a* and *ING2b* mRNA are ubiquitously expressed. However, the level of ING2b mRNA transcript expression is much lower. Furthermore, whilst ING2b mRNA expression has been shown at the RNA level, no protein has ever been detected [21,19]. By which mechanism *ING2b* expression may be regulated at the RNA level remains unknown. *ING2* mouse gene is also comprised of three exons giving two transcribed isoforms by alternative splicing: ING2a and ING2b [21] (Fig.S1). Human and mouse ING2a cDNAs share 90% identity whilst human and mouse ING2b cDNAs share 75% identity (Fig. S2A).

ING2 promoter displays regulatory elements that control its transcription. *ING2a* promoter possesses two p53 binding sites and indeed p53 activation by Nutlin-3 has been shown to repress *ING2a* transcription [22]. *ING2a* expression is also regulated by NF- κ B as its promoter possesses one NF- κ B binding site. Thus, in colon cancer, *ING2a* expression is enhanced through NF- κ B activation [23]. So far, no transcriptional regulatory element has been established for *ING2b*. However, a putative Heat Shock transcription Factor 1 and 2 binding site, a C-Rel binding site, a SP1 binding site, five Myeloid Zinc Finger 1 binding sites and a p300 binding site are predicted on *ING2b* promoter [21]. Complementary studies about *ING2* transcriptional regulation would allow a better understanding of ING2 functions since misregulation of these transcription factors could alter *ING2a* results in changes in ING2b mRNA expression. Conversely, downregulation of *ING2b* also triggers changes in ING2b mRNA expression. Consequently, the analysis of the expression of both ING2a and ING2b mRNAs would be of interest to better characterize the status of *ING2* in human tumors.

ING2 expression is regulated by tissues-dependent mechanisms since *ING2a* is highly expressed in the skeletal muscle, lung, thymus and the in pancreas [19,21]. Interestingly,

ING2 has been involved in muscle differentiation by regulating *myogenin* transcription [24]. Moreover, both *ING2a* and *ING2b* are especially highly expressed in human and mouse testis [17,21]. Indeed it has been shown that ING2 plays a role in male fertility [17]. It suggests that ING2 may also play a role in the physiology of the lung, thymus and pancreas.

ING1 and ING2 proteins structure

Human ING1a and ING1b are the most abundant ING1 isoforms. No information has currently been published regarding functions of the others. Human ING1a and ING1b have respectively a molecular weight of 47 kDa and 33 kDa (Fig1, 2, S1). Amino acid sequence alignment of human ING1 versus mouse ING1 isoforms has revealed a high similarity between mouse ING1b and human ING1b since they share 89% identity (Fig.S2). Mouse ING1b has a molecular weight of 37kDa and possesses the same domains than human ING1b. The alternative splicing between mouse ING1a, ING1b and ING1c results in the absence of the PCNA-interacting protein (PIP) and Partial Bromo Domain (PBD) domains on ING1a and ING1c. Consequently, ING1a and ING1c have a predicted molecular weight of 31 kDa [18] (FigS1, 2). Thus, there is no equivalent to human ING1a in mice. Anyway, human and mouse ING1b have a high homology. Consequently, mouse models are pertinent for ING1b *in vivo* studies (Fig.S1, S2).

Human ING2a has a molecular weight of 33 kDa whilst ING2b has a predicted molecular weight of 28 kDa. However, ING2b protein has never been experimentally detected [4,6,21]. The alternative splicing between human ING2a and ING2b results in the absence of the Leucine Zipper Like (LZL) domain on ING2b (Fig.1C). Human and mouse ING2a proteins are very close as they differ by only ten amino acids distributed across the length of the protein and are made of the same protein domains (Fig.S2B). Moreover, mouse ING2a has the same molecular weight as human ING2a. On the other hand, mouse ING2b is smaller than human ING2b and has a predicted molecular weight of 20,3 kDa since mouse ING2b is also truncated of some part of its NCR domain [21] (Fig.1, S1). Overall, human and mouse ING2a and ING2b have a high homology. Consequently, mouse models are pertinent for ING2 *in vivo* studies (Fig.S1, S2).

ING1 and ING2 C-terminal domain contain a PHD with a zinc binding motif described as a domain having a high affinity for the histone 3 tri-methylated on lysine 4 (H3K4Me3) [9,10,25-30] (Fig.1, S1). ING1 and ING2 also have a PolyBasic Region (PBR)

adjacent to the PHD [9] (Fig.2). As its closest paralog to ING1, ING2 also contains a amino acids motif in its C-terminal part. The REASP amino acids motif has been described as a 14-3-3 binding motif containing a phosphoserine [31]. ING1, phosphorylated on its serine 199, interacts with the 14-3-3n protein through its REASP motif to be shuttled from the nucleus to the cytoplasm [9,32]. In the cytoplasm, ING1 is dephosphorylated and interacts with karyopherines $\alpha 2$ and $\beta 1$ through this region to allow its import to the nucleus [33]. One can hypothesize that ING2 is also phosphorylated on its serine located inside the REASP motif and that ING2 may also interacts with the 14-3-3 η and with karyopherines α 2 and β 1 through its REASP motif [9] (Fig.2). ING1 and ING2 C-terminal domains also contain a NLS which possesses three Nucleolar Targeting Sequences (NTS), to be targeted to the nucleolus [21,34] (Fig.1, 2). ING1 and ING2 proteins are characterized by a NCR in their N-terminal part [9] (Fig.1, S1). NCR domain has been suggested to be necessary for the binding between ING1 and histone acetyltransferase (HAT) or histone deacetylase (HDAC) [28]. This domain was also subsequently named Lamin Interaction Domain (LID) because ING1, as well as ING2, ING3 and ING4, coprecipitates with Lamin A through this domain. It has been shown that the binding of ING1 to Lamin A through the ING1 LID regulates ING1 protein level and ING1 nuclear localisation [35]. It is thus possible that the ING2 LID could have a similar function.

The N-terminus is unique to each ING protein. ING1b N-terminus possesses a PIP domain. Among the ING1 proteins this domain is only restricted to ING1b isoform. ING1b PIP domain has been shown to interact with PCNA [34] (Fig.1, 2, S1). A PBD is also present close to the PIP on ING1b [36,37]. As mentioned previously, ING2a N-terminus also contains a LZL motif. This motif contains leucine residues spanning every seven amino acids and forming a hydrophobic patch. This LZL could present a leucine zipper coiled-coil conformation. Such conformation could allow the interaction with other leucine zipper-containing proteins [9]. Indeed, ING4 also contains a LZL domain and its homodimerisation has recently been demonstrated by cristallography [38,39]. Subsequently, putative homodimers have also been predicted for the others INGs, including ING2 [38]. Nevertheless, this hypothesis remains to be tested for ING2.

So far, only a few studies have investigated ING1 and ING2 protein regulation. Nonetheless, in response to genotoxic stress, human ING1b has been described to be phosphorylated on its serine 126 by the kinases Cdk1 or Chk1. This phosphorylation promote its protein stability [40]. In addition, another study has reported that ING1b can be degraded through the 20S proteasome complex. The NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase has been described to inhibit this degradation when ING1b is phosphorylated on its serine 126 [41]. Moreover, human ING2a protein level has been shown to be regulated by ubiquitylation. A member of the E6AP carboxyl terminus ubiquitin ligases (HECT) family named SMURF1 (Smad Ubiquitination Regulating Factor 1) interacts with ING2, inducing ING2 polyubiquitylation and its proteasomal degradation. ING2a interacts with SMURF1 through its central region corresponding to the NCR and the NLS domains while its PHD is the domain targeted by ubiquitinylation [42]. This degradation could play a more important role in the pancreas and testis where both ING2a and SMURF1 are highly expressed [19,21,43,44]. Moreover, *SMURF1* expression is amplified in pancreatic cancer [44]. Thus, it could be interesting to analyze whether ING2a protein expression is reduced in pancreatic cancer because of an enhancement of its degradation by an increased SMURF1 ubiquitinylation.

ING1 and ING2 status in human tumors

Most studies have described that ING1 and ING2 expression is decreased or lost in human tumors which argues for a role for ING1 and ING2 as a tumor suppressor gene [13].

A high frequency of loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of the *ING1* chromosomal region 13q34 has been found in head and neck carcinomas and in esophageal squamous cell carcinomas [45-47]. Expression of *ING1* is frequently lost in breast cancer tumors [13,48,49]. Most of the time, ING1 expression is lost at the RNA level. ING1 mRNA status has been studied using primers targeting exon E2 corresponding to all ING1 isoforms or targeting exon E1a corresponding to ING1b mRNA (Fig.1, S1). Consequently, there is currently no data available regarding ING1a, ING1c or ING1d status in human tumors [13]. Less frequently, some mutations have been found on *ING1* gene. These mutations occur in the PHD [13,50]. They thus could decrease ING1 binding to H3K4Me3 [29] (see thereafter). Moreover, in breast cancer and neuroblastoma, ING1 loss has been associated with more differentiated tumors suggesting that ING1 loss is associated with poor prognosis [13,51,52]. In addition, in oral carcinomas, ING1 loss of its nuclear functions [13,53].

In the case of ING2, a high frequency of LOH of the *ING2* chromosomal region 4q32-35.1 has been found in basal cell carcinomas, in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas and in hepatocellular carcinomas [54-56]. ING2 LOH is associated with advanced tumor stage in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas [54]. Strikingly, no report has shown the presence of *ING2* mutation in human tumors. But, several studies have described that ING2 is lost at the RNA level. Indeed, ING2 RNA expression is reduced in breast and ovarian cancers, in hepatocellular carcinomas and in non-small cell lung carcinomas [19,45,53,57]. In melanoma, loss of ING2 nuclear expression has been reported but it remains to be investigated if this is due to a loss of ING2 mRNA expression [58]. In addition, no shift of the protein localization has been reported for ING2 but it remains possible since it has been described for ING1 and for other INGs [13,53].

Contrary to its status observed in many tumors ING1b mRNA has been once shown to be overexpressed in brain tumor. It has been associated with a mutation within the NLS. Since this mutation was located within a consensus phosphorylation site (serine 81), it has been suggested that ING1b overexpression may be due to a disregulation of a post-translationnal modification [59]. Moreover, in contrast to its status observed in many tumors Kumamoto and coll., have shown in colon cancer that ING2 mRNA expression is upregulated and may enhance tumor invasion by enhancing Matrix Metallo Proteinase 13 expression. NF- κ B activation was suggested as a possible mechanism to upregulate ING2a mRNA [23]. However, it remains unclear how and why ING2 is upregulated in colon cancer. These studies raise a new assumption where ING1b or ING2a could have under certain conditions a role as an oncogene. This is not restricted to ING1b or ING2a since other TSGs such as E2F1 which regulates cell death through activation of p53 and p73 α pathways have also been described to support tumor cell migration and invasion when it is overexpressed, giving it oncogenic properties [60].

In some cancers, such as in breast and lung cancers, ING1b and ING2a expression decrease could be involved in the tumor initiation and/or progression [13,45,53,49,58] since ING1b and ING2a mRNA loss of expression occurs at an early stage of tumor development. Loss of ING1b or ING2a mRNA could be the result of either misregulation of transcription factors that regulate *ING1* or *ING2* expression or gene inactivation mechanisms such as epigenetic mechanisms including DNA methylation and histone modifications. These losses could also result from misregulation of microRNAs targeting ING1b or ING2a mRNA since in gastric cancer miR-622 have been described to decrease the ING1 mRNA expression by targeting the *ING1* 3'UTR [61].

ING1 and ING2 knockout mice

Development of ING1 and ING2 knockout mice are an interesting *in vivo* model to study the physiological role of ING1 and ING2 since both have been reported to be lost in human tumors. Moreover, human and mouse ING1b or human and mouse ING2a are highly homologous (Fig.S2).

Two models of ING1 knockout mice have been developed. The first one was developed by removing the common exon E2 shared by the three ING1 mouse isoforms (Fig.S1). Thus it results in the absence of the three isoforms (Fig.S1). This model displays an increased incidence of spontaneous tumors with mostly B-cell lymphoma from germinal center origin [14,15]. They also exhibit a decrease in term of survival in response to six daily doses of 2.3 Gy of γ -rays compared to the wild type mice which suggests a role for ING1 in DNA repair [14] (see thereafter). In the second model only mouse ING1b has been inactivated. ING1b-/- mice develop B-cell lymphoma from germinal center origin with a high incidence [14-16]. Strikingly, these ING1b-/- mice have a tumor spectrum slightly different than ING1-/- mice suggesting a role for mouse ING1a and mouse ING1c isoforms by modulating mouse ING1b activity to prevent tumorigenesis. These two models of ING1 knockout mice have demonstrated that ING1 functions are independent of p53. Interestingly, p53-/- ING1b-/- mice develop, with an incidence of 63%, aggressive diffuse large lymphomas (DLBCL) whereas p53-/- mice develop T-cell lymphomas with an incidence of 60%. In addition, expression analysis of Bax in the thymus, spleen, liver and brain have been performed in these mouse models in response to ionizing radiations. It appears that for all these tissues, Bax expression is highly induced in ING1b-/- mice and slightly less in ING1b -/- p53 -/- mice whereas it is not expressed in p53-/- mice [15], suggesting that ING1 induces Bax expression and consequently apoptosis independently of p53. Thus, because of their different tumor spectrum, ING1 has p53-dependent and independent functions. Moreover, it seems that they cooperate to suppress DLBCL [16].

Then, a model of knockout mice targeting both ING2a and ING2b isoforms has been engineered. Saito and coll. have reported that ING2-/- mice develop soft-tissue sarcomas with an incidence of 46%, and most frequently histiocytic sarcomas (28%) [17]. A particular phenotypic trait was observed in ING2-/- male mice. These mice were infertile. They showed deficient spermatogenesis, small testes, seminiferous tubules degeneration, abnormal spermatozoa motility and morphology from the age of eight weeks. Analysis of testes DNA content showed that ING2-/- testis cells failed to complete meiosis II and have an altered meiotic recombination. RNA microarray profiling showed a deregulation of genes specifically expressed in spermatid and spermatozoa suggesting that ING2 could have an effect in

spermatids and spermatozoa differentiation. Moreover, comparisons of public microarray datasets revealed an association between ING2 deficiency and human male infertility. Consequently, this opens new prospects for a role of ING2 in male meiosis [17].

Since previous reports have suggested a functional interaction between ING2a and p53 [4], the effect of ING2 knockout on p53-dependent and independent apoptosis was also investigated. ING2-/- germinal cells undergo more apoptosis than the WT and their p53 protein level is increased. It could be the result of testicular degeneration in the absence of ING2 or of novel regulatory interactions between ING2 and p53. In the aim to determine p53-independent apoptosis in ING2-/- testes, double knockout ING2 and p53 mice were generated. p53-/- mice do not show spermatogenesis defect and are fertile. ING2 deficiency in p53-/- background presents the same abnormalities in term of spermatogenesis deficiency than ING2-/- mice. However, these abnormalities were less severe than those in ING2-/- mice. Thus, simultaneous loss of ING2 and p53 partially abrogates the apoptosis observed in ING2-/- testes. This suggests that ING2 deficiency in testis induces both p53-dependent and independent apoptosis [17]. These double knockout ING2 and p53 mice should also provide clues on dependent and independent effects of p53 and ING2 in tumor suppression.

Surprisingly, although ING1 and ING2 have a high homology, a different phenotype has been observed in between these knockout mice models. In human, *ING1* and *ING2* status have never been fully investigated in B-cell lymphomas and histiocytic sarcomas. Such studies would allow the correlation with the phenotypes observed in mouse knockout models. Double knockout mice for ING1 and ING2 have not been engineered yet. This model will provide clues regarding the potentially redundant functions between ING1 and ING2.

Tumor suppressor functions for ING1 and ING2

Since their identification, ING1b and ING2a functions have been investigated using mostly overexpression strategies in human cell lines. More recently, downregulation of ING1b and ING2a, as it occurs in human tumors has given new insight in the understanding of ING1b and ING2a functions. In this part, we will discuss which ING1b and ING2a functions define them as a tumor suppressor genes and how their loss could contribute to tumor development. Some functions characterize ING1b and ING2a as gatekeepers and others as caretakers.

ING1 gatekeeper functions regulate cell cycle, senescence and apoptosis

Among ING1 isoforms human ING1b is the most abundant form. Its functions have been well defined since its identification. Thanks to these studies its status as a tumor suppressor has been fully established. In contrast, human ING1a is less expressed and less studied. Its TSG status is not as well defined since ING1a has just been involved in senescence. So far, there is no study reporting any function for the other ING1 isoforms.

ING1 controls gene transcription

Initially, ING1 has been described to interact with the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex [28,30]. Then, studies of ING2 PHD (see thereafter) have revealed that ING2 PHD and of ING1 PHD have a high affinity with H3K4Me3 [26,27]. Subsequently, the interaction of ING1 PHD has been better characterized. ING1 PHD interacts strongly with H3K4Me3 and more weakly with H3K4Me2 and H3K4Me1 [29]. Recognition of H3K4Me3 by ING1 PHD has been described to promote ING1 functions such as DNA repair and apoptosis [29]. Trimethylated histone is mainly found on transcriptionally active regions [62]. This interaction recruits and stabilizes the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex on gene promoters since ING1 is a stable component of the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex. This leads to histones deacetylation and gene repression. Recently, mutations of ING1b aminoacid residues located in the PHD critical for the binding with H3K4Me3 [26,27] has been reported to impair the onset of senescence. These mutations modify a specific transcriptome signature after senescence induction. This signature is enriched in cytokines and chemokines. Thus, during senescence, the recognition of H3K4Me3 by ING1 mediated transcription of genes that induce a secretory response in human primary fibroblasts [63]. Consequently, regulation of gene transcription through ING1b PHD and the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex may regulate the induction of senescence (Fig.3). Interestingly, ING1a and ING1b regulate histone acetylation in a different manner. Overexpression of ING1a decreases acetylation of histone H3 whereas overexpression of ING1b does not decrease acetylation of histone 3. ING1b has been invoved in histone acetylase and deacetylase complex by interacting with p300 [64] (see thereafter) and with the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex whereas ING1a interacts only with the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex [28,30]. Thus, Human ING1 isoform differentially regulate histones acetylation/deacetylation.

Interestingly, in mouse fibroblasts downregulation of ING1b has been described to increase the expression of *Dgcr8* which encodes for an RNA-binding protein regulating the early steps of microRNAs biogenesis. In the absence of ING1b, acetylation on histone H3 and histone H4 in the Dgcr8 promoter was described to significantly increase, suggesting that ING1b contributes to the transcriptional repression of Dgcr8 by inhibiting histone acetylation through the recruitment of deacetylase complexes. This leads to the deregulation of miRNAs expression [65]. The deacetylase complex involved in the regulation of ING1b-dependent H3 and H4 acetylation has not been identified but might be the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex. Moreover, deregulation of the microRNA machinery is well characterized in tumors [66]. Thus, this is a new pathway by which ING1b downregulation could promote tumorigenesis. Moreover, in mouse mammary epithelial cells ING1b has been described to negatively control the expression of cyclin B1 and the proto-oncogene DEK, a nucleic acid binding protein [67]. The mechanism involved remains unknown but may occur through the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex. In addition, ING1b has also been reported to interact with p16 promoter and upregulates $p16^{INGK4a}$ expression in 2BS fibroblasts in a p300-dependent manner that lead to induction of cellular senescence [68]. Interestingly, ING1b have been reported to interact with p300 acetylase [69] (see thereafter). As a consequence, it could promote p300 anchorage on *p16* promoter to acetylate this region to activate *p16* expression.

ING1b regulates p53 activity

Initially, ING1b overexpression has been described to inhibit cell growth in various cell lines [3,70,71]. Then, ING1b was involved in apoptosis caused by serum starvation [72]. Interestingly, compared to young fibroblast the level of ING1a mRNA in senescent fibroblast is enhanced; whereas, ING1b mRNA is decreased. ING1a has been reported to promote a senescent phenotype in human fibroblast whereas ING1b was involved in the induction of apoptosis [73,74]. Later, ING1b was also involved in the maintenance of cellular senescence. A stable and moderate overexpression of ING1b in young human fibroblasts induces senescence in a p53-dependent [63,75]. In addition, coexpression of both ING1b and p53 in H1299 cells induces more cell death in response to the adryamycine than these two proteins alone, suggesting a close collaboration of these two proteins [76]. Thus, ING1a has been

involved in the control of senescence in a p53-dependent manner and ING1b has been involved in the regulation of apoptosis and senescence in a p53-dependent manner. Interestingly, coexpression of mouse ING1b and ING1c has been reported to activate *p53* transcription more efficiently than mouse ING1b and mouse ING1c alone [76,77]. It is not yet clear how mouse ING1c collaborates with mouse ING1b, but, since mouse ING1c is the truncated of N-terminus of mouse ING1b, it could be through its C-terminal domain (Fig.S1). Thus human and mouse ING1 isoforms have been involved in p53-dependent regulation of cell cycle, apoptosis and senescence.

In addition, overexpression of human ING1b in HT1299 expressing p53 increases p63 and p73 expression [76]. Thus, ING1b may also regulate the transcription of two members of the p53 protein family which have also tumor suppressor functions [78-80]. Thereafter, human ING1b has been described to regulate p53 activity through three different mechanisms. Firstly, human ING1b interacts with p300 acetylase to promote p53 acetylation on lysine 382. p53 acetylation activates transcription factor functions to regulate p21 and Bax expression to induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis [69,71] (Fig.3). Moreover, human ING1b associates which SIRT1 (also known as hSir2) and competitively inhibit SIRT1 association with p53 [69,81]. SIRT1 is a histone deacetylase described to deacetylate p53. This results in the inhibition of p53 deacetylation contributing in the maintenance of p53 activity such as activation of transcription of p21 and Bax (Fig.3). Finally, human ING1b may regulate the ARF - MDM2 - p53 pathway. ING1b interaction with p53 has been proposed to compete with MDM2 - p53 interaction [77]. MDM2 has been described to ubiquitinylate p53 in order to mediate its degradation through the proteasome system [82]. More recently, an ubiquitin interaction motif (UIM) has been described as an overlapping region on the PBR in the Cterminal domain of ING1b. Through this region ING1b binds monoubiquitinated p53 to prevent its polyubiquitination [83]. Thus, through their interaction, ING1b stabilizes p53 [77,83]. Then, ARF has been suggested to interact with ING1b to alter its localisation from the nucleus to the nucleolus [77,84] (Fig.3). Furthermore, ING1b has been described to interact with p53-related proteins p63 and p73 as well as p53 [76]. Consequently, ING1b could regulate p63 and p73 activity through similar mechanisms than those involved in p53 regulation. However, it needs to be investigated.

ING1b regulates cell growth and apoptosis independently of p53

ING1b decreases cell proliferation through p53-dependent mechanisms but also through p53-independent mechanisms. Human ING1b overexpression in H1299 p53-null cells have been reported to decrease cell growth. Moreover, in response to adryanamycine, a DNA damaging agent, it enhances the blockage in G2/M checkpoint [76]. In addition, downregulation of ING1b in p53 null glioblastoma cells has been reported to increase apoptosis in response to cisplatin [37,85]. Consequently ING1b regulates cell growth and apoptosis in a p53-independent manner.

Moreover, overexpression of human ING1b induces expression of *Heat Shock Protein* 70 (*HSP70*). The N-terminal region of ING1b containing the PIP and the PBD has been reported to be required for the induction of *HSP70* expression; whereas, the PHD and the PBR were not [86]. HSP70 has been reported to inhibit NF- κ B signalling [87,88]. Thus, by regulating HSP70 expression ING1b could indirectly regulate NF- κ B-dependent cell growth and apoptosis [37,86] (Fig.3).

Human ING1b interacts with CSIG (Cellular Senescence-Inhibited Gene) through its NTS. CSIG is a nucleolar protein which has been involved in the regulation of cellular senescence [89]. Human ING1b overexpression increases CSIG protein stability and its downregulation decrease CSIG protein level. There interaction and the maintenance of CSIG protein level by ING1b is required to activate Bax and apoptosis in response to ultraviolet (UV) [90] (Fig.3).

ING1 caretaker functions regulate DNA repair in response to UV

Originally, *ING1b* expression has been reported to be enhanced in response to UVB in mouse keratinocytes and in MMRU melanoma cell line [20,91]. Human ING1b overexpression was described to enhance repair of UV-damaged DNA in a p53-dependent manner. Interestingly, ING1b binds Growth Arrest and DNA Damage 45 (GADD45) but not Xeroderma Pigmentosum A (XPA) and XPB [91] (Fig.3). GADD45 has been described as a cofactor to promote DNA demethylation during Nucleotide Excision Repair (NER) without any demethylase activity [92]. The mechanism by which ING1b collaborates with GADD45 to mediate NER remains unknown. In addition, ING1b PHD domain has been shown to play an essential role in NER. In fact, truncation of ING1b PHD abrogates DNA repair in response to UV-induced damage [93]. We thus could hypothetize that the involvement of ING1b PHD in the regulation of DNA repair in response to UV could be through its interaction with the

the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex [28,30]. Interestingly, ING1b has then been shown to regulate H4 acetylation to promote global chromatin relaxation to allow XPA recruitment [94]. A more recent study has described that downregulation of ING1b decreases PCNA monoubioquitination and sensitizes cells in response to UV during S phase [95]. Defect of ING1b results in chromatin break and sister chromatin exchange in response to UV. The E3 ubiquitin ligase Rad18 is known to mediate PCNA monoubioquitination in response to UV to ensure lesion bypass and error prone DNA replication [96,97]. In fact, ING1b is required for the loading of Rad18 on chromatin at replication sites upon replication stress to mediate PCNA monoubiquitination. A strong regulation of H3 and H4 acetylation by ING1b has been reported to support Rad18-dependent PCNA ubiquitinylation [95] (Fig.3). Therefore, ING1b facilitates NER and regulation of DNA replication upon UV stress through regulation of histone acetylation. Two mechanisms of such regulation could be considered. Firstly, ING1b through its involvement in the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex could regulate histone acetylation and thus allow the access of GADD45 and Rad18 to the chromatin. The second hypothesis is through ING1b interaction with p300. ING1b has been described to interact with p300 and its overexpression has been reported to alter PCNA - p300 interaction in response to UV [64]. By regulating PCNA-p300 interaction, ING1b could also regulate H4 acetylation in response to UV-induced DNA damage to facilitate GADD45 and Rad18 accessibility to the chromatin but it needs to be experimentally confirmed.

Interestingly, ING1b interacts also with PCNA through its PIP domain. Mutation in the PIP domain decreases apoptosis in response to UV. Thus, binding between ING1b and PCNA is necessary to mediate apoptosis in response to UV. Moreover, this interaction strongly increases in response to UV and could compete with other PIP containing proteins such as p21 known to regulate the switch from DNA replication to DNA repair. Consequently, ING1b-PCNA interaction was proposed to regulate the switch from DNA replication protein complex to promote apoptosis [34]. In addition, p15^{PAF} associates with both PCNA and ING1b in response to UV. p15^{PAF} possesses a PIP domain and has been described to compete with p21 for PCNA binding when overexpressed [98]. Since PCNA is organized as a ring-like homotrimer, it contains three binding sites for proteins having a PIP motif [99,100]. It has been proposed that the association of PCNA and p21 [101] (Fig.3).

To conclude, ING1b regulates DNA repair in response to UV through its interaction with GADD45 and PCNA and through the regulation of histone acetylation. How ING1b

regulates histone acetylation in response to UV remains unsolved but it is clear that ING1b promotes the remodelling of the chromatin structure to provide accessibility of DNA repair factors. Thus, it also interacts with some factors to promote their recruitment and/or their function.

ING2 gatekeeper functions regulate cell cycle, senescence and apoptosis

Initially, ING2a has been shown to negatively regulate cell proliferation because it enhances p53 transcription [4]. Subsequently, through its interactions with HDACs and with acetylases, ING2a has been involved in the regulation of genes involved in cell cycle control, senescence and apoptosis.

ING2 controls gene transcription

ING2a has been shown to interact directly with histones to regulate gene transcription. ING2a PHD is necessary and sufficient for a strong interaction between ING2a and H3K4Me3 and a weaker interaction with histone mono or dimethylated on lysine 4 [27]. Trimethylated histone mark is mainly found in transcriptionally active regions [62]. This interaction recruits and stabilizes the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex on gene promoters since ING2a is a stable component of the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex. This leads to histones deacetylation and gene repression [26,27,102-104] (Fig.3). Interestingly, ING2 male KO mice germinal cells show an impaired expression of HDAC1 during spermatocyte differentiation. It results in the accumulation of acetylated histories associated with meiosis arrest [17]. This highlights the significance of ING2a in histones acetylation/deacetylation process to regulate gene expression. ING2a involvement in chromatin remodeling through the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex could thus change the accessibility of transcription factors to the chromatin or could allow the accessibility to other chromatin remodeling factors to modify transcription factors expression and/or recruitment. Indeed, epigenetic changes have been described to contribute to carcinogenesis [105]. Thus, ING2 loss, as it occurs in tumors, could trigger epigenetic modifications participating in the tumorigenesis process.

Through this mechanism ING2a regulates p21 transcription since ING2a is critical for the binding of the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex to the *p21* promoter. Indeed, the use of suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA), a histone deacetylase inhibitor, causes the dissociation of ING2 from the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex resulting in the disruption of the binding of the mSin3a complex from the p21 promoter [103]. Moreover, ING2a sumoylation by Small Ubiquitin-like MOdifier 1 (SUMO1) on Lysine 195 has been described to enhance the interaction between ING2a and mSin3a [106]. Effects of SAHA on ING2a sumoylation need to be explored to clarify whether ING2 disruption is not a consequence of a modification of its sumoylation status. It is also possible that ING2 sumoylation regulates other interactions between INGa and other partners to regulate other ING2a functions. Indeed, ING2 sumoylation site is located in a potential Phosphorylation Dependent Sumoylation Motif (PDSM) [106]. Thus ING2a could be sumovlated on Lysine 195 in a manner dependent on its phosphorylation on Serine 201. Interestingly, the same PDSM motif is also present on ING1. In this case the serine residue has been shown to be phosphorylated in order to regulate ING1 export from the nucleus. Interestingly, it results in a decrease of *p21* expression [32]. Therefore, since ING2a sumoylation has been shown to regulate its interaction with the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex, ING2a potential phosphorylation on Serine 201 could also regulate its interaction with mSin3a and consequently p21 expression. An independent study has shown that ING2a downregulation induces the decrease of *p21* expression and thus accelerates the progression of cells from G1 to S phase. Binding of ING2a to H3K4Me3 has been proposed as a mechanism to regulate the G1/S transition by regulating p21 expression. Indeed, downregulation of WD40-repeated protein WDR5, which recognizes lysine 4 of H3 to facilitate methylation of lysine 4 of H3 or simultaneous downregulation of WDR5 and ING2a accelerates cell progression from G1 to S phase in the same manner than ING2 downregulation [107] (Fig.3).

ING2a regulates cell proliferation also by regulating TGF- β dependent transcription. TGF- β regulates homeostasis and has tumor suppressor properties such as inhibition of proliferation, vessels formation and regulation of apoptosis. Dysfunction of TGF- β signaling has been implicated in cancer development [108]. Thus, in response to TGF- β signaling, ING2a binds SnoN (Ski-like oncogene), a Smad-interacting transcriptional modulator, through its PHD domain. SnoN binds ING2a to form a complex that associates ING2, SnoN and Smad2. Consequently, Smad2 enhances TGF- β -dependent transcription that contributes to the inhibition of cell proliferation [109] (Fig.3). Interaction of ING2a PHD with SnoN could compete with ING2 PHD interaction with the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex. Thus HDAC1-2 would not be recruited to TGF- β -dependent gene promoters and would not be able to acetylate chromatin to inhibit their transcription. Thus, ING2 loss, as it occurs in human tumors, could allow cancer cells to escape from Smad2-TGF- β dependent regulations.

To summarize, through the regulation of chromatin remodelling on promoter of genes such as p21 and TGF β -responding genes, ING2a regulates expression of genes involved in cell cycle control.

ING2 regulates acetylation of p53 and p73 α to inhibit cell growth

ING2a is involved in signalling pathways that regulate other gatekeeper TSG. Initially, ING2a was characterized as being involved in the p53-dependent pathways [4]. ING2 overexpression in young fibroblast arrests cell in G1 and induces senescence with a phenotype similar to p53 overexpression while siRNA targeting ING2 decreases senescence. Mechanistically, ING2a interacts with the p300 acetyltransferase to enhance p53 acetylation on lysine 382 to increase transcription of p53 target genes particularly p21 and Bax to control cell cycle arrest, senescence and apoptosis [4,110] (Fig.3). Moreover, a mechanism involving PtdInsP has been reported to regulate ING2-p53-dependent pathway. ING2a has been described as a nuclear receptor to PtdInsP since it interacts with PtdIns(5)P through its PBR region [25,111]. In response to exogenous stresses, the p38 kinase pathway is activated to regulate phosphorylation of PtdIns(5)P resulting in an increase of nuclear PtdIns(5)P. p38 has tumor suppressor properties since it has been described to regulate cell proliferation and to negatively regulate cell cycle progression of both G1/S and G2/M checkpoints [12]. Nuclear PtdIns(5)P increase induces ING2a accumulation to the chromatin. This interaction modulates the ability of ING2a to regulate p53 acetylation. It results in p21 expression and cell death activation [25,112] (Fig.3). Interestingly, ING1b has also been shown to interact with PtdInstP(5)P through its PIP [25]. Thus, as ING2a, ING1b through its interaction with PtdInstP(5)P could have an accumulation to the chromatin and then modulate the acetylation of p53. Moreover, ING2a has been also described to be able to bind chemically synthesized analogues of the PtdInstP(5)P [113,114]. Interestingly, a simultaneous reintroduction of ING2 and PtdInstP(5)P or PtdInstP(5)P analogues in HT1080 cells enhances cell death in response to DNA Damage [114]. In the context of anticancer therapies, the concomitant reintroduction of ING2 toghether with PtdInstP(5)P or PtdInstP(5)P analogues could be thus a strategy to be considered (see discussion).

p73 α belongs to the p53 protein family and has tumor suppressor properties. It regulates transcription of genes involved in cell cycle arrest and in apoptosis such as *p21*, *PUMA* and *Bax* [78]. The p73 α protein is activated in response to N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG), a genotoxic agent. A study reported that in response to MNNG treatments, in cell lines not mutated for p53, ING2a is upregulated in a dose and time dependent manner. In response to this genotoxic agent, ING2a is not required for p53 induction and acetylation but it is required for the induction and the acetylation of p73 α to promote cell death. It remains unclear through which acetylase ING2a facilitates p73 α acetylation. Since p73 α has been shown to be acetylated by p300 to modulate cell death, and since ING2a has been reported to interact with p300 to mediate p53 acetylation [110], p300 is a good candidate which will need to be tested [115,116].

To conclude, ING2a regulates p53 and p73 α acetylation and as a consequence regulates transcription of genes involved in cell cycle arrest, apoptosis or senescence. Interestingly, p300 has also been describes as a HAT which can acetylate H2A, H2B, H3 and H4 [117,118]. Thus, ING2a could also regulate, through its binding with H3K4Me3, the anchorage of the p300 to allow acetylation of specific genomic area to regulate gene transcription. A competition with the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex in response to different exogenous or endogenous signals or stresses could thus occur.

ING2 caretaker functions maintain genome stability

Two set of studies have shown the involvement of ING2 in DNA replication and NER suggesting that it may also act as a caretaker TSG.

ING2 regulates DNA replication

ING2a downregulation experiments have shown an impaired normal DNA synthesis because of a reduced replication speed during the elongation process. Indeed, ING2 interacts, through its NCR domain, with Proliferating Cell Nuclear Antigen (PCNA) which acts during elongation by regulating DNA polymerases processivity. ING2a regulates its recruitment to the chromatin and is thus necessary for the optimal progression of DNA replication forks [119] (Fig.3). How ING2a regulates PCNA recruitment to the chromatin remains unsolved. One hypothesis is that ING2a could regulate PCNA activity by regulating its posttranslational modifications. Interestingly, p300 has been shown to bind PCNA [120]. Thus, as observed for the activation of the transcription factors p53 and $p73\alpha$, ING2a could allow the acetylation of PCNA on replication forks, may be through p300 acetylase, to enhance PCNA activity. In addition, a model has been proposed where ING2, ING4 and ING5 could be involved at different steps of the normal replication process [121]. Indeed, since both ING4 and ING5 have been described to be part of HAT complexes, they could allow histone acetylation around replication origins. Then, the relaxed chromatin state would allow the recruitment of the MCM helicase complex which interacts to ING5 [104]. Afterwards, thanks to its interaction with ING2a, PCNA would regulate the polymerase processivity [119,121]. ING2 which interacts with p300 acetylase could also regulate local acetylation around the replication forks. Moreover, depletion of ING2a results in a high frequency of genome endoreplication, sister chromatid exchange and accumulation of DNA double strand breaks [119] (Fig.3). Consequently, loss or downregulation of ING2, as it occurs in human tumors, slows down the replication process, contributing to genomic instability which could contribute to tumorigenesis.

ING2 is involved in NER in response to UV

ING2a plays an important role in DNA repair in response to UV. It was firstly shown that ING2 overexpression increases NER in response to UV in a p53 dependent manner; whereas, downregulation of ING2a decreases NER efficiency in response to UV. However, ING2 does not colocalize with UV-induced DNA damage. Instead, ING2a is required for H4 acetylation and chromatin relaxation in response to UV to facilitate the recruitment of XPA to photolesions [122]. More specifically, ING2a LZL domain has been shown to be critical for this process while its PHD motif is dispensable. The deletion of ING2a LZL decreases NER efficiency and apoptosis induced by UV-damage. In response to UV-DNA damage, ING2a LZL domain binds p53 and mediates the interaction between p53 and p300 acetylase in order to stabilize them to the chromatin [122,123]. The ING2a LZL domain is also required for H4 hyperacetylation and chromatin relaxation to promote NER [123] (Fig.3). Moreover, the association between p53 and p300 has also been shown to be involved in H3 histone acetylation [124]. Thus, by mediating the association between p53 and p300 in response to

UV, ING2 could facilitate the anchorage of p300 on specific genomic sites to support H3 and H4 hyperacetylation to promote the accessibility of NER factors to the chromatin. Moreover, PCNA is involved in several steps of NER DNA synthesis. Interestingly, Hasan and coll. have reported that PCNA interacts with p300 to promote DNA synthesis after UV irradiation [120]. Because ING2 regulates PCNA recruitment to the chromatin during the replication process [119], it could also regulate PCNA interaction with p300 and its recruitment for the NER. Additional experiments will be required to complete these results. In fact, these results have mostly been carried out using ING2a overexpression strategies which is known to have supraphysiological effects. For example, ING1b and ING2a were firstly described to be involved in p53-dependent apoptosis whereas siRNAs or knockout studies have shown that both ING1b and ING2a are also involved in p53-independent apoptosis. However, since the expression of ING2 is lost in melanoma [58], it would be interesting to analyse at which step of skin cancer development ING2 has been lost to determine if a loss of its NER function has been playing any role in the tumorgenesis process.

Crosstalk between ING1 and ING2 tumor suppressor functions

ING1 and ING2 are two tumor suppressor that have gatekeeper and caretaker TSG functions. Both ING1b and ING2a are stable components of the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex and thus both regulate gene transcription. Since ING1b and ING2a do not coprecipitate together, they are exclusive components of the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex. The reason that explains why both are involved in these complexes remains unknown. Depending of the cellular context, they could have complementation effects to regulate gene expression such as *p21* expression. They also could target different promoters to regulate the expression of different genes. Interestingly, in Yeast, Pho23, the ING yeast related to ING1 and ING2 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [8], has also been purified as a stable component of Rpd3/HDAC complex. Rpd3 is the catalytic components of the yeast Sin3/HDAC complex [104,125]. Consequently, the association of ING1 and ING2 with the Sin3/HDAC complex is conserved from Yeast to Human suggesting that this function has been critical for epigenetic regulation throughout the evolution.

Interestingly, both ING1b and ING2a regulate p53. First, ING1b and ING2a both regulate acetylation of p53 to regulate cell cycle, apoptosis and senescence [63,75,110,126]

(Fig.3). Since ING2a regulates $p73\alpha$ acetylation [127] and ING1b has been described to interact with p73 [76] it is possible that ING1b regulates also p73 acetylation. ING1b has also its own functions to mediate p53 activity since the involvement of ING2 in the ARF - MDM2 - p53 pathway has never been demonstrated [77,83]. In addition, by interacting with the acetylase SIRT1, ING1b inhibit SIRT1 – p53 interaction resulting in the maintenance of p53 acetylation [81,69] (Fig.3). Interestingly ING2a has also been described to bind SIRT1 [81]. It remains thus possible that ING2a may, as ING1b, inhibit SIRT1 association with p53.

By controlling acetylation of histones and by regulating transcription factors activity or protein expression level, ING1b and ING2a regulate transcription of genes involved in cell cycle arrest, apoptosis and senescence. Consequently, ING1b and ING2a act as gatekeeper TSGs. Their loss may confer growth advantage because of the loss of transcription control of these genes. Thus, cells lacking ING1b or ING2a could bypass cell cycle checkpoint controls, proliferate and be positively selected (Fig.4).

Interestingly, the two close homologs ING1b and ING2a are both involved in the regulation of DNA replication through two independent mechanisms. Initially, ING1 expression was described to be cell cycle-dependent. Its expression is increased from G1 to S phase and then decreases in G2 phase [126]. Later, ING2a expression was shown to also be dependent of the cell cycle, ING2a expression is enhanced in S phase reaching a maximum in G2 phase [119], suggesting an involvement of both ING1b and ING2a in the S phase. Actually, ING2a has been described to be necessary to regulate DNA replication independently of exogenous stress [119]; whereas, ING1b mediates replication in response to UV [95]. Consequently, ING1b and ING2a have specific functions involving them in replication. However, why ING2a but not ING1b is involved in the progression of the normal DNA replication forks remains unknown. One hypothesis would be that because ING2a does not possess a PIP domain, it does not interfere with other PIP proteins in response to DNA damage and consequently it does not regulate the switch from DNA replication to DNA repair. However, a recent nuclear magnetic resonance study demonstrated that ING1b PIP binds PCNA with a very low affinity, probably because ING1b PIP motif lacks a second aromatic residue usually presents in the canonical PIP motif [128]. Strikingly, it is ING2a NCR domain, also found on ING1b, which has been involved in the interaction between ING2 and PCNA [119]. Since interaction of ING1b PIP domain with PCNA has been demonstrated using only ING1 PIP mutant [34] and since this interaction has a very low affinity [128] (Fig.3), it would be of interest to test whether the ING1b NCR domain could mediate or facilitate the interaction with PCNA. It remains also possible that the interaction

between ING1b and PCNA is promoted by post-translational modifications or by other PCNA interacting proteins.

In addition, ING1b and ING2a have both been involved in the regulation of NER through regulation of histone acetylation. ING1b and ING2a could either collaborate or act independently to regulate NER but it remains to be experimentally verified. Moreover, because both are also involved in the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex in response to exogenous stress, it would be interesting to understand how the switch toward one or the other complexes is carried out and how the ING1b and ING2a pools are distributed to regulate histone acetylation.

Altogether, these studies involve ING1b and ING2a in the regulation of chromatin acetylation to promote a favourable chromatin state that allows the access of DNA replication or DNA repair proteins to DNA thus contributing to genome stability maintenance. Deregulation of DNA repair and DNA replication are functions which are closely associated with early steps of tumorigenesis [1,129]. Early loss of ING1b and ING2a expression, as it occurs in human tumors may contribute in an enhancement of genome instability and could initiate the tumorigenesis process. Then, the accumulation of later events such as passenger mutations and DNA modifications will promote the development and the progression of cancer (Fig.4).

Conclusions and future directions

Originally *ING1* was identified with the aim to identify new tumor suppressor genes [3]. Then, studies conducted on ING1b about its status in tumors and its functions have fully established the status of ING1b as a tumor suppressor [13,37]. Later, *ING2* has been identified thanks to a high homology to the tumor suppressor gene *ING1*. Subsequently *ING2* was characterized as a "candidate" TSG. Since, studies have demonstrated that, both ING1b and ING2a are frequently lost in human tumors and that both knockout mice models spontaneously develop B-cell lymphomas and soft-tissue sarcomas respectively [13-17]. In addition, the better understanding of ING1b and ING2a biological functions has contributed to confirm their TSG status. Interestingly, a study involving ING1 in a mechanism is most of the time also true for ING2. Indeed, ING1b and ING2a which regulates cell cycle, apoptosis and senescence, have thus been defined as a gatekeeper TSG (or type 2). Recent studies have

also shown ING1b and ING2a involvement in the maintenance of genome stability by regulation of both DNA replication and NER. Accordingly, as its close homolog ING1b, ING2a has also been defined as a caretaker TSG (or type 2).

Consequently, as they are TSGs, the loss of ING1b or ING2a in human tumors could be involved in the tumorigenesis process. On one hand, loss of ING1b and ING2a caretaker functions could enhance genome instability that could initiate tumorigenesis, while loss of ING1b and ING2a gatekeeper functions would allow cells to bypass cell cycle checkpoint and apoptosis to be positively selected (Fig.4). However, how and why ING1b and ING2a are lost in tumors remains unclear. We still do not know which mechanism that initiates ING1 and ING2 loss. Genetic and epigenetic susceptibility as well as environmental factors are probably involved in this process.

Recent studies have allowed a better understanding of fundamental cellular and molecular mechanisms in which ING1b and ING2a are involved such as cell cycle regulation and DNA repair. A better understanding of their protein structure has allowed the association of their protein domains with their functions. However, the specificity of these proteins has not yet been fully established, e.g. we still do not know the usefulness of the NCR domain present only on ING proteins. No ING1b or ING2a protein enzymatic activity has been identified. Instead, ING1b and ING2a could be factors that promote acetylation or deacetylation of histones and transcription factors to activate these proteins and/or to allow a favourable chromatin state to regulate gene transcription or DNA replication and DNA repair. Moreover, we still do not know how ING1b and ING2a could be free or only in complexes. It has not yet been established whether ING1b or ING2a could be free or only in complex. Further, it is not known how ING1b or ING2a exchange between protein complexes is processed. Post-translational modifications such as phosphorylation or sumoylation could regulate either this exchange or their targeting towards a protein complex rather than another.

Since ING1b and ING2a are TSGs, they are interesting proteins to look at in the context of anticancer therapies. First of all, ING1b and ING2a could be used as a biomarker for cancer diagnosis. Establishing *ING1* and *ING2* status in tumours could also be important for the therapeutic choices. It could allow the identification of defective signalling pathways in tumor cells which would be targets of interest for treatment. Interestingly, a recent study has revealed that simultaneous overexpression of ING1b and treatment with 5-azacytidine synergize to block the growth of breast cancer cell lines and mouse tumor xenografts. ING1b could be used of a therapeutic agent since it enhances the efficacy of 5-azacytidine [130]. Moreover, since ING1b and ING2a are lost or downregulated at the RNA level in human

cancers [13], an interesting strategy would be to re-express ING1 or ING2 or to stabilize their protein level. ING2a has already been described to bind PtdInstP(5)P analogues [113,114]. Since, their concomitant reintroduction into HT1080 cells have been reported to enhance cell death in response to DNA damage [114], a possible strategy would be to simultaneously reintroduce ING2 and PtdInstP(5)P analogues in tumor cells to enhance tumor cells death [112,114].

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. D. Ythier for critical reading of this manuscript and H. Symington for careful reading of the English. R.P. is supported by INSERM (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Medicale), C.G. is a recipient of a doctoral fellowship from the French Ministry of Education and Research, D.L. is recipient of a post-doctoral EMBO fellowship, and the work was supported by La Ligue Contre le Cancer (Grand Ouest), Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (ARC) and Leucémie Espoir grants.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Legend to Figures

Figure 1: Structure of Human ING1 and ING2 genes, mRNAs and proteins.

A. ING1 human gene. E1c, E1d, E1a, E1b, E1balt, E2 are respectively Exon 1c, Exon 1d, Exon 1a, Exon 1b, Exon 1balt and Exon 2. **B.** ING1b human mRNA. **C.** ING1b human protein. ING1b protein contains a PIP and a PBD in its N-terminal part, a NCR and a NLS in its central region and a PHD and a PBR in its C-terminal part. This figure of ING1 is simplified, the full version has already been published [13] and can also been found in Fig.S1. **D.** ING2 human gene. E1, E2 are respectively Exon 1 and Exon 2. Exon 1a promoter contains two p53 Binding Site (p53BS) and a NF-κB Responsive Element (NRE). **E.** ING2 human mRNAs. **F.** ING2 human proteins. ING2a contains a LZL in its C-terminal part. ING2a, ING2b possess a NCR and a NLS with three NTS, a PHD zinc finger motif and a PBR. ING2a and ING2b are both represented in this figure since ING2b has never been included in a scheme describing ING2 proteins since its identification. On genes and mRNAs, none coding regions are in yellow and coding region are represented in purple. Each mRNA variant is represented with its name on the left and its Genebank accession number on the right and with its molecular weight, and its Genebank accession number on the left.

Figure 2: Sequences alignment of human ING1b and ING2 genes and proteins.

A. cDNA sequences alignment of human ING1b and of human ING2a and ING2b. **B.** Amino acid sequences alignment of human ING1b and ING2a and ING2b. All Alignments were carried out using CLUSTAL 2.1 multiple sequence alignment software. Asterisks mean a perfect alignment between each sequence. The PIP is colored in pinked purple; the LZL is colored in green; the PBD is colored in blue; the NCR is colored in purple; the NLS is highlighted in blue; the three NTS are colored in blue; The REASP aminoacid motif is colored in pink; the PHD is colored in red and the PBR is colored in orange.

Figure 3: ING1 and ING2 gatekeeper and caretaker TSG functions.

Human ING1b and ING2a are represented with their interacting proteins to modulate their gatekeeper and caretaker functions. **A.** ING1b gatekeeper functions. ING1b regulate p53 activity through regulation of its acetylation status by interacting with p300 and SIRT1. ING1b regulates also p53 protein stability by inhibiting p53 MDM2-dependent degradation.

In response to genotoxic stress ING1b interacts with the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex through its NCR and with H3K4Me3 through its PHD to regulate gene transcription. ING1b caretaker functions. In response to UV ING1b binds GADD45 to promote NER. It also interacts with PCNA to facilitate its monoubiquitinylation. ING1b interaction with PCNA may also promote the switch from DNA replication to DNA repair in response to UV. **B.** ING2a gatekeeper functions. Under stress conditions, ING2a binds the PIP5 through its PBR to promote its accumulation to the chromatin. Then, ING2a interacts through its LZL with the acetylase p300 to support p53 acetylation and the transcription of genes involved in cell cycle arrest, apoptosis and senescence. Stress induced by MNNG treatment regulates ING2a to induce $p73\alpha$ acetylation in order to activate transcription of genes involved in apoptosis. Genotoxic stress regulates ING2a to interact with the mSin3a/HDAC1-2/Sap30 complex and with H3K4Me3 through its PHD to regulate gene transcription. In response to TGF-β signaling, ING2a binds SnoN through its PHD to allow the interaction between SnoN and Smad2 in order to inhibit TGF- β dependent transcription resulting in inhibition of cell proliferation. ING2a caretaker functions. ING2a LZL is involved in NER in answer to UV stress. ING2a can also interact with PCNA to ensure the normal progression of the replication fork and to maintain genome stability. When the domain of interaction with ING1b or ING2a is unknown the protein is represented below the protein scheme. Me and Ac mean respectively methyl group and acetyl group.

Figure 4: ING1 and ING2 tumor suppressor functions.

In normal cell growth or stressed conditions ING1 and ING2 regulate DNA replication and/or DNA repair to maintain genome integrity. Exogenous or physiological stress activates ING1 and ING2 to regulate cell proliferation through the activation of cell cycle arrest, senescence or apoptosis to prevent tumor transformation. Consequently, ING1 or ING2 loss, as it occurs in human tumors triggers loss of its functions. Thus, ING2 loss contributes to disregulation of cell growth and to enhance genome instability. Consequently, ING1 and ING2 act as tumor suppressor genes of type I or "caretakers" as well as type II or "gatekeepers" to prevent tumorigenesis.

Legend to Supplemental

Figure S1: Structure of Human ING1, mouse ING1 and ING2 genes, mRNAs and proteins.

A. ING1 human gene. E1c, E1d, E1a, E1b, E1balt, E2 are respectively Exon 1c, Exon 1d, Exon 1a, Exon 1b, Exon 1balt and Exon 2. Exons E1d and E1balt of ING1v2 and ING1v5 are represented in orange. They both code for the same protein ING1c (ING1v2/v5) as their ATG on E2 is the same. B. ING1 human mRNAs. C. ING1b human protein. All ING1 protein isoforms contain a NCR and a NLS in their central region and a PHD and a PBR in their Cterminal part. ING1b is also composed of a PIP and a PBD in its N-terminal part. D. ING1 mouse gene. E1a, E1b, E2 are respectively Exon 1a, 1b, 1c and Exon 2. E. ING1 mouse mRNAs. F. ING1 mouse proteins. The three mouse ING1 isoforms possess a NCR, a NLS, a PHD and a PBR. In addition, mouse ING1b contains a PIP and a PBD in its N-terminal domain. G. ING2 mouse gene. E1a, E1b, E2 are respectively Exon 1a, 1b and Exon 2. H. ING2 mouse mRNAs. I. ING2 mouse proteins. ING2a contains a LZL in its C terminal part. ING2a possesses a NCR and ING2b is truncated of a part of the NCR domain. Both ING2a and ING2b are composed of a NLS containing three NTS, a PHD zinc finger motif and a PBR. On genes and mRNAs, none coding regions are in yellow and coding region are represented in purple. Each mRNA variant is represented with its name on the left and its Genebank accession number on the right. Each protein is represented with its characterized domains with its name on the right and with its molecular weight, and its Genebank accession number on the left.

Figure S2: Sequences comparison between human and mouse ING1b or ING2 cDNAs and proteins.

A. Human ING1b and mouse ING1 cDNA sequences alignment. **B.** Human ING1b and mouse ING1 protein sequences alignment. **C.** Human and mouse ING2 cDNA sequences alignment. **D.** Human and mouse ING2a and ING2b proteins alignment. For all alignments, the PIP is colored in pinked purple; the LZL amino acid sequence is colored in green the PBD is colored in blue; the NCR is colored in purple; the NLS is highlighted in blue; the three NTS are colored in blue; the REASP aminoacid motif is colored in pink; the PHD is colored in red; the PBR is colored in orange. All alignments were carried out using CLUSTAL 2.1 multiple sequence alignment software.

References

1. Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B (1997) Cancer-susceptibility genes. Gatekeepers and caretakers. Nature 386 (6627):761, 763. doi:10.1038/386761a0

2. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA (2011) Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144 (5):646-674. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

3. Garkavtsev I, Kazarov A, Gudkov A, Riabowol K (1996) Suppression of the novel growth inhibitor p33ING1 promotes neoplastic transformation. Nat Genet 14 (4):415-420. doi:10.1038/ng1296-415

4. Nagashima M, Shiseki M, Miura K, Hagiwara K, Linke SP, Pedeux R, Wang XW, Yokota J, Riabowol K, Harris CC (2001) DNA damage-inducible gene p33ING2 negatively regulates cell proliferation through acetylation of p53. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98 (17):9671-9676. doi:10.1073/pnas.161151798

161151798 [pii]

5. Nagashima M, Shiseki M, Pedeux RM, Okamura S, Kitahama-Shiseki M, Miura K, Yokota J, Harris CC (2003) A novel PHD-finger motif protein, p47ING3, modulates p53-mediated transcription, cell cycle control, and apoptosis. Oncogene 22 (3):343-350. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1206115

6. Shimada Y, Saito A, Suzuki M, Takahashi E, Horie M (1998) Cloning of a novel gene (ING1L) homologous to ING1, a candidate tumor suppressor. Cytogenet Cell Genet 83 (3-4):232-235. doi:ccg83232 [pii]

7. Shiseki M, Nagashima M, Pedeux RM, Kitahama-Shiseki M, Miura K, Okamura S, Onogi H, Higashimoto Y, Appella E, Yokota J, Harris CC (2003) p29ING4 and p28ING5 bind to p53 and p300, and enhance p53 activity. Cancer Res 63 (10):2373-2378

8. Loewith R, Meijer M, Lees-Miller SP, Riabowol K, Young D (2000) Three yeast proteins related to the human candidate tumor suppressor p33(ING1) are associated with histone acetyltransferase activities. Mol Cell Biol 20 (11):3807-3816

9. He GH, Helbing CC, Wagner MJ, Sensen CW, Riabowol K (2005) Phylogenetic analysis of the ING family of PHD finger proteins. Mol Biol Evol 22 (1):104-116. doi:10.1093/molbev/msh256

msh256 [pii]

10. Soliman MA, Riabowol K (2007) After a decade of study-ING, a PHD for a versatile family of proteins. Trends Biochem Sci 32 (11):509-519. doi:S0968-0004(07)00228-9 [pii]

10.1016/j.tibs.2007.08.006

11. Lee WY, Lee D, Chung WI, Kwon CS (2009) Arabidopsis ING and Alfin1-like protein families localize to the nucleus and bind to H3K4me3/2 via plant homeodomain fingers. The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology 58 (3):511-524. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03795.x

12. Wagner MJ, Gogela-Spehar M, Skirrow RC, Johnston RN, Riabowol K, Helbing CC (2001) Expression of novel ING variants is regulated by thyroid hormone in the Xenopus laevis tadpole. J Biol Chem 276 (50):47013-47020. doi:10.1074/jbc.M106965200

M106965200 [pii]

13. Ythier D, Larrieu D, Brambilla C, Brambilla E, Pedeux R (2008) The new tumor suppressor genes ING: genomic structure and status in cancer. Int J Cancer 123 (7):1483-1490. doi:10.1002/ijc.23790

14. Kichina JV, Zeremski M, Aris L, Gurova KV, Walker E, Franks R, Nikitin AY, Kiyokawa H, Gudkov AV (2006) Targeted disruption of the mouse ing1 locus results in reduced body size, hypersensitivity to radiation and elevated incidence of lymphomas. Oncogene 25 (6):857-866. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209118

15. Coles AH, Liang H, Zhu Z, Marfella CG, Kang J, Imbalzano AN, Jones SN (2007) Deletion of p37Ing1 in mice reveals a p53-independent role for Ing1 in the suppression of cell proliferation, apoptosis, and tumorigenesis. Cancer Res 67 (5):2054-2061. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3558 16. Coles AH, Marfella CG, Imbalzano AN, Steinman HA, Garlick DS, Gerstein RM, Jones SN (2008) p37Ing1b regulates B-cell proliferation and cooperates with p53 to suppress diffuse large B-cell lymphomagenesis. Cancer Res 68 (21):8705-8714. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0923

17. Saito M, Kumamoto K, Robles AI, Horikawa I, Furusato B, Okamura S, Goto A, Yamashita T, Nagashima M, Lee TL, Baxendale VJ, Rennert OM, Takenoshita S, Yokota J, Sesterhenn IA, Trivers GE, Hussain SP, Harris CC (2010) Targeted disruption of ing2 results in defective spermatogenesis and development of soft-tissue sarcomas. PLoS One 5 (11):e15541. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015541 18. Zeremski M, Hill JE, Kwek SS, Grigorian IA, Gurova KV, Garkavtsev IV, Diatchenko L, Koonin EV, Gudkov AV (1999) Structure and regulation of the mouse ing1 gene. Three alternative transcripts encode two phd finger proteins that have opposite effects on p53 function. J Biol Chem 274 (45):32172-32181

19. Walzak AA, Veldhoen N, Feng X, Riabowol K, Helbing CC (2008) Expression profiles of mRNA transcript variants encoding the human inhibitor of growth tumor suppressor gene family in normal and neoplastic tissues. Exp Cell Res 314 (2):273-285. doi:S0014-4827(07)00364-3 [pii]

10.1016/j.yexcr.2007.07.029

20. Cheung KJ, Jr., Bush JA, Jia W, Li G (2000) Expression of the novel tumour suppressor p33(ING1)is independent of p53. Br J Cancer 83 (11):1468-1472. doi:10.1054/bjoc.2000.1464

21. Unoki M, Kumamoto K, Robles AI, Shen JC, Zheng ZM, Harris CC (2008) A novel ING2 isoform, ING2b, synergizes with ING2a to prevent cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. FEBS Lett 582 (28):3868-3874. doi:S0014-5793(08)00841-7 [pii]

10.1016/j.febslet.2008.10.024

22. Kumamoto K, Spillare EA, Fujita K, Horikawa I, Yamashita T, Appella E, Nagashima M, Takenoshita S, Yokota J, Harris CC (2008) Nutlin-3a activates p53 to both down-regulate inhibitor of growth 2 and up-regulate mir-34a, mir-34b, and mir-34c expression, and induce senescence. Cancer Res 68 (9):3193-3203. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-2780

23. Kumamoto K, Fujita K, Kurotani R, Saito M, Unoki M, Hagiwara N, Shiga H, Bowman ED, Yanaihara N, Okamura S, Nagashima M, Miyamoto K, Takenoshita S, Yokota J, Harris CC (2009) ING2 is upregulated in colon cancer and increases invasion by enhanced MMP13 expression. Int J Cancer 125 (6):1306-1315. doi:10.1002/ijc.24437

24. Eapen SA, Netherton SJ, Sarker KP, Deng L, Chan A, Riabowol K, Bonni S (2012) Identification of a novel function for the chromatin remodeling protein ING2 in muscle differentiation. PLoS One 7 (7):e40684. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040684

25. Gozani O, Karuman P, Jones DR, Ivanov D, Cha J, Lugovskoy AA, Baird CL, Zhu H, Field SJ, Lessnick SL, Villasenor J, Mehrotra B, Chen J, Rao VR, Brugge JS, Ferguson CG, Payrastre B, Myszka DG, Cantley LC, Wagner G, Divecha N, Prestwich GD, Yuan J (2003) The PHD finger of the chromatin-associated protein ING2 functions as a nuclear phosphoinositide receptor. Cell 114 (1):99-111. doi:S009286740300480X [pii]

26. Pena PV, Davrazou F, Shi X, Walter KL, Verkhusha VV, Gozani O, Zhao R, Kutateladze TG (2006) Molecular mechanism of histone H3K4me3 recognition by plant homeodomain of ING2. Nature 442 (7098):100-103. doi:nature04814 [pii]

10.1038/nature04814

27. Shi X, Hong T, Walter KL, Ewalt M, Michishita E, Hung T, Carney D, Pena P, Lan F, Kaadige MR, Lacoste N, Cayrou C, Davrazou F, Saha A, Cairns BR, Ayer DE, Kutateladze TG, Shi Y, Cote J, Chua KF, Gozani O (2006) ING2 PHD domain links histone H3 lysine 4 methylation to active gene repression. Nature 442 (7098):96-99. doi:nature04835 [pii]

10.1038/nature04835

28. Kuzmichev A, Zhang Y, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Reinberg D (2002) Role of the Sin3histone deacetylase complex in growth regulation by the candidate tumor suppressor p33(ING1). Mol Cell Biol 22 (3):835-848

29. Pena PV, Hom RA, Hung T, Lin H, Kuo AJ, Wong RP, Subach OM, Champagne KS, Zhao R, Verkhusha VV, Li G, Gozani O, Kutateladze TG (2008) Histone H3K4me3 binding is required for the DNA repair and apoptotic activities of ING1 tumor suppressor. Journal of molecular biology 380 (2):303-312. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2008.04.061

30. Skowyra D, Zeremski M, Neznanov N, Li M, Choi Y, Uesugi M, Hauser CA, Gu W, Gudkov AV, Qin J (2001) Differential association of products of alternative transcripts of the candidate tumor

suppressor ING1 with the mSin3/HDAC1 transcriptional corepressor complex. J Biol Chem 276 (12):8734-8739. doi:10.1074/jbc.M007664200

31. Yaffe MB, Rittinger K, Volinia S, Caron PR, Aitken A, Leffers H, Gamblin SJ, Smerdon SJ, Cantley LC (1997) The structural basis for 14-3-3:phosphopeptide binding specificity. Cell 91 (7):961-971

32. Gong W, Russell M, Suzuki K, Riabowol K (2006) Subcellular targeting of p33ING1b by phosphorylation-dependent 14-3-3 binding regulates p21WAF1 expression. Mol Cell Biol 26 (8):2947-2954. doi:26/8/2947 [pii]

10.1128/MCB.26.8.2947-2954.2006

33. Russell MW, Soliman MA, Schriemer D, Riabowol K (2008) ING1 protein targeting to the nucleus by karyopherins is necessary for activation of p21. Biochemical and biophysical research communications 374 (3):490-495. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.07.076

34. Scott M, Bonnefin P, Vieyra D, Boisvert FM, Young D, Bazett-Jones DP, Riabowol K (2001) UVinduced binding of ING1 to PCNA regulates the induction of apoptosis. Journal of cell science 114 (Pt 19):3455-3462

35. Han X, Feng X, Rattner JB, Smith H, Bose P, Suzuki K, Soliman MA, Scott MS, Burke BE, Riabowol K (2008) Tethering by lamin A stabilizes and targets the ING1 tumour suppressor. Nat Cell Biol 10 (11):1333-1340. doi:ncb1792 [pii]

10.1038/ncb1792

36. Feng X, Hara Y, Riabowol K (2002) Different HATS of the ING1 gene family. Trends in cell biology 12 (11):532-538

37. Coles AH, Jones SN (2009) The ING gene family in the regulation of cell growth and tumorigenesis. Journal of cellular physiology 218 (1):45-57. doi:10.1002/jcp.21583

38. Culurgioni S, Munoz IG, Moreno A, Palacios A, Villate M, Palmero I, Montoya G, Blanco FJ (2012) The crystal structure of the inhibitor of growth 4 (ING4) dimerization domain reveals the functional organization of the ING family of chromatin binding proteins. J Biol Chem. doi:M111.330001 [pii]

10.1074/jbc.M111.330001

39. Palacios A, Moreno A, Oliveira BL, Rivera T, Prieto J, Garcia P, Fernandez-Fernandez MR, Bernado P, Palmero I, Blanco FJ (2010) The dimeric structure and the bivalent recognition of H3K4me3 by the tumor suppressor ING4 suggests a mechanism for enhanced targeting of the HBO1 complex to chromatin. Journal of molecular biology 396 (4):1117-1127. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2009.12.049

40. Garate M, Campos EI, Bush JA, Xiao H, Li G (2007) Phosphorylation of the tumor suppressor p33(ING1b) at Ser-126 influences its protein stability and proliferation of melanoma cells. FASEB J 21 (13):3705-3716. doi:fj.07-8069com [pii]

10.1096/fj.07-8069com

41. Garate M, Wong RP, Campos EI, Wang Y, Li G (2008) NAD(P)H quinone oxidoreductase 1 inhibits the proteasomal degradation of the tumour suppressor p33(ING1b). EMBO Rep 9 (6):576-581. doi:10.1038/embor.2008.48

42. Nie J, Liu L, Wu M, Xing G, He S, Yin Y, Tian C, He F, Zhang L (2010) HECT ubiquitin ligase Smurf1 targets the tumor suppressor ING2 for ubiquitination and degradation. FEBS Lett 584 (14):3005-3012. doi:S0014-5793(10)00426-6 [pii]

10.1016/j.febslet.2010.05.033

43. Chen C, Matesic LE (2007) The Nedd4-like family of E3 ubiquitin ligases and cancer. Cancer metastasis reviews 26 (3-4):587-604. doi:10.1007/s10555-007-9091-x

44. Kwei KA, Shain AH, Bair R, Montgomery K, Karikari CA, van de Rijn M, Hidalgo M, Maitra A, Bashyam MD, Pollack JR (2011) SMURF1 amplification promotes invasiveness in pancreatic cancer. PLoS One 6 (8):e23924. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0023924

PONE-D-10-06550 [pii]

45. Ythier D, Brambilla E, Binet R, Nissou D, Vesin A, de Fraipont F, Moro-Sibilot D, Lantuejoul S, Brambilla C, Gazzeri S, Pedeux R (2010) Expression of candidate tumor suppressor gene ING2 is lost in non-small cell lung carcinoma. Lung Cancer 69 (2):180-186. doi:S0169-5002(09)00583-2 [pii]

10.1016/j.lungcan.2009.11.006

46. Gunduz M, Gunduz E, Rivera RS, Nagatsuka H (2008) The inhibitor of growth (ING) gene family: potential role in cancer therapy. Current cancer drug targets 8 (4):275-284

47. Chen L, Matsubara N, Yoshino T, Nagasaka T, Hoshizima N, Shirakawa Y, Naomoto Y, Isozaki H, Riabowol K, Tanaka N (2001) Genetic alterations of candidate tumor suppressor ING1 in human esophageal squamous cell cancer. Cancer Res 61 (11):4345-4349

48. Tokunaga E, Maehara Y, Oki E, Kitamura K, Kakeji Y, Ohno S, Sugimachi K (2000) Diminished expression of ING1 mRNA and the correlation with p53 expression in breast cancers. Cancer Lett 152 (1):15-22

49. Toyama T, Iwase H, Watson P, Muzik H, Saettler E, Magliocco A, DiFrancesco L, Forsyth P, Garkavtsev I, Kobayashi S, Riabowol K (1999) Suppression of ING1 expression in sporadic breast cancer. Oncogene 18 (37):5187-5193. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1202905

50. Chen B, Campos EI, Crawford R, Martinka M, Li G (2003) Analyses of the tumour suppressor ING1 expression and gene mutation in human basal cell carcinoma. Int J Oncol 22 (4):927-931

51. Nouman GS, Anderson JJ, Crosier S, Shrimankar J, Lunec J, Angus B (2003) Downregulation of nuclear expression of the p33(ING1b) inhibitor of growth protein in invasive carcinoma of the breast. Journal of clinical pathology 56 (7):507-511

52. Takahashi M, Ozaki T, Todo S, Nakagawara A (2004) Decreased expression of the candidate tumor suppressor gene ING1 is associated with poor prognosis in advanced neuroblastomas. Oncol Rep 12 (4):811-816

53. Zhang HK, Pan K, Wang H, Weng DS, Song HF, Zhou J, Huang W, Li JJ, Chen MS, Xia JC (2008) Decreased expression of ING2 gene and its clinicopathological significance in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Lett 261 (2):183-192. doi:S0304-3835(07)00555-1 [pii]

10.1016/j.canlet.2007.11.019

54. Borkosky SS, Gunduz M, Nagatsuka H, Beder LB, Gunduz E, Ali MA, Rodriguez AP, Cilek MZ, Tominaga S, Yamanaka N, Shimizu K, Nagai N (2009) Frequent deletion of ING2 locus at 4q35.1 associates with advanced tumor stage in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 135 (5):703-713. doi:10.1007/s00432-008-0507-y

55. Sironi E, Cerri A, Tomasini D, Sirchia SM, Porta G, Rossella F, Grati FR, Simoni G (2004) Loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 4q32-35 in sporadic basal cell carcinomas: evidence for the involvement of p33ING2/ING1L and SAP30 genes. J Cutan Pathol 31 (4):318-322. doi:187 [pii]

56. Zhang H, Ma H, Wang Q, Chen M, Weng D, Wang H, Zhou J, Li Y, Sun J, Chen Y, Liang X, Zhao J, Pan K, Xia J (2010) Analysis of loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 4q in hepatocellular carcinoma using high-throughput SNP array. Oncol Rep 23 (2):445-455

57. Okano T, Gemma A, Hosoya Y, Hosomi Y, Nara M, Kokubo Y, Yoshimura A, Shibuya M, Nagashima M, Harris CC, Kudoh S (2006) Alterations in novel candidate tumor suppressor genes, ING1 and ING2 in human lung cancer. Oncol Rep 15 (3):545-549

58. Lu F, Dai DL, Martinka M, Ho V, Li G (2006) Nuclear ING2 expression is reduced in human cutaneous melanomas. Br J Cancer 95 (1):80-86. doi:6603205 [pii]

10.1038/sj.bjc.6603205

59. Vieyra D, Senger DL, Toyama T, Muzik H, Brasher PM, Johnston RN, Riabowol K, Forsyth PA (2003) Altered subcellular localization and low frequency of mutations of ING1 in human brain tumors. Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research 9 (16 Pt 1):5952-5961

60. Engelmann D, Putzer BM (2012) The dark side of E2F1: in transit beyond apoptosis. Cancer Res 72 (3):571-575. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-2575

61. Guo XB, Jing CQ, Li LP, Zhang L, Shi YL, Wang JS, Liu JL, Li CS (2011) Down-regulation of miR-622 in gastric cancer promotes cellular invasion and tumor metastasis by targeting ING1 gene. World journal of gastroenterology : WJG 17 (14):1895-1902. doi:10.3748/wjg.v17.i14.1895

62. Bannister AJ, Kouzarides T (2004) Histone methylation: recognizing the methyl mark. Methods Enzymol 376:269-288. doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(03)76018-2

S0076687903760182 [pii]

63. Abad M, Moreno A, Palacios A, Narita M, Blanco F, Moreno-Bueno G, Palmero I (2011) The tumor suppressor ING1 contributes to epigenetic control of cellular senescence. Aging cell 10 (1):158-171. doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2010.00651.x

64. Vieyra D, Loewith R, Scott M, Bonnefin P, Boisvert FM, Cheema P, Pastyryeva S, Meijer M, Johnston RN, Bazett-Jones DP, McMahon S, Cole MD, Young D, Riabowol K (2002) Human ING1 proteins differentially regulate histone acetylation. J Biol Chem 277 (33):29832-29839. doi:10.1074/jbc.M200197200

65. Gomez-Cabello D, Callejas S, Benguria A, Moreno A, Alonso J, Palmero I (2010) Regulation of the microRNA processor DGCR8 by the tumor suppressor ING1. Cancer Res 70 (5):1866-1874. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-2088

66. Shi XB, Tepper CG, deVere White RW (2008) Cancerous miRNAs and their regulation. Cell Cycle 7 (11):1529-1538

67. Takahashi M, Seki N, Ozaki T, Kato M, Kuno T, Nakagawa T, Watanabe K, Miyazaki K, Ohira M, Hayashi S, Hosoda M, Tokita H, Mizuguchi H, Hayakawa T, Todo S, Nakagawara A (2002) Identification of the p33(ING1)-regulated genes that include cyclin B1 and proto-oncogene DEK by using cDNA microarray in a mouse mammary epithelial cell line NMuMG. Cancer Res 62 (8):2203-2209

68. Li N, Li Q, Cao X, Zhao G, Xue L, Tong T (2011) The tumor suppressor p33ING1b upregulates p16INK4a expression and induces cellular senescence. FEBS Lett 585 (19):3106-3112. doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2011.08.044

69. Kataoka H, Bonnefin P, Vieyra D, Feng X, Hara Y, Miura Y, Joh T, Nakabayashi H, Vaziri H, Harris CC, Riabowol K (2003) ING1 represses transcription by direct DNA binding and through effects on p53. Cancer Res 63 (18):5785-5792

70. Shimada H, Liu TL, Ochiai T, Shimizu T, Haupt Y, Hamada H, Abe T, Oka M, Takiguchi M, Hiwasa T (2002) Facilitation of adenoviral wild-type p53-induced apoptotic cell death by overexpression of p33(ING1) in T.Tn human esophageal carcinoma cells. Oncogene 21 (8):1208-1216. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1205176

71. Garkavtsev I, Grigorian IA, Ossovskaya VS, Chernov MV, Chumakov PM, Gudkov AV (1998) The candidate tumour suppressor p33ING1 cooperates with p53 in cell growth control. Nature 391 (6664):295-298. doi:10.1038/34675

72. Helbing CC, Veillette C, Riabowol K, Johnston RN, Garkavtsev I (1997) A novel candidate tumor suppressor, ING1, is involved in the regulation of apoptosis. Cancer Res 57 (7):1255-1258

73. Soliman MA, Berardi P, Pastyryeva S, Bonnefin P, Feng X, Colina A, Young D, Riabowol K (2008) ING1a expression increases during replicative senescence and induces a senescent phenotype. Aging cell 7 (6):783-794. doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2008.00427.x

74. Vieyra D, Toyama T, Hara Y, Boland D, Johnston R, Riabowol K (2002) ING1 isoforms differentially affect apoptosis in a cell age-dependent manner. Cancer Res 62 (15):4445-4452

75. Abad M, Menendez C, Fuchtbauer A, Serrano M, Fuchtbauer EM, Palmero I (2007) Ing1 mediates p53 accumulation and chromatin modification in response to oncogenic stress. J Biol Chem 282 (42):31060-31067. doi:10.1074/jbc.M701639200

76. Tsang FC, Po LS, Leung KM, Lau A, Siu WY, Poon RY (2003) ING1b decreases cell proliferation through p53-dependent and -independent mechanisms. FEBS Lett 553 (3):277-285

77. Leung KM, Po LS, Tsang FC, Siu WY, Lau A, Ho HT, Poon RY (2002) The candidate tumor suppressor ING1b can stabilize p53 by disrupting the regulation of p53 by MDM2. Cancer Res 62 (17):4890-4893

78. Zawacka-Pankau J, Kostecka A, Sznarkowska A, Hedstrom E, Kawiak A (2010) p73 tumor suppressor protein: a close relative of p53 not only in structure but also in anti-cancer approach? Cell Cycle 9 (4):720-728

79. Melino G (2011) p63 is a suppressor of tumorigenesis and metastasis interacting with mutant p53. Cell death and differentiation 18 (9):1487-1499. doi:10.1038/cdd.2011.81

80. Allocati N, Di Ilio C, De Laurenzi V (2012) p63/p73 in the control of cell cycle and cell death. Exp Cell Res 318 (11):1285-1290. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2012.01.023

81. Binda O, Nassif C, Branton PE (2008) SIRT1 negatively regulates HDAC1-dependent transcriptional repression by the RBP1 family of proteins. Oncogene 27 (24):3384-3392. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1211014

82. Shieh SY, Ikeda M, Taya Y, Prives C (1997) DNA damage-induced phosphorylation of p53 alleviates inhibition by MDM2. Cell 91 (3):325-334

83. Thalappilly S, Feng X, Pastyryeva S, Suzuki K, Muruve D, Larocque D, Richard S, Truss M, von Deimling A, Riabowol K, Tallen G (2011) The p53 tumor suppressor is stabilized by inhibitor of growth 1 (ING1) by blocking polyubiquitination. PLoS One 6 (6):e21065. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0021065

84. Gonzalez L, Freije JM, Cal S, Lopez-Otin C, Serrano M, Palmero I (2006) A functional link between the tumour suppressors ARF and p33ING1. Oncogene 25 (37):5173-5179. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209526

85. Tallen UG, Truss M, Kunitz F, Wellmann S, Unryn B, Sinn B, Lass U, Krabbe S, Holtkamp N, Hagemeier C, Wurm R, Henze G, Riabowol KT, von Deimling A (2008) Down-regulation of the inhibitor of growth 1 (ING1) tumor suppressor sensitizes p53-deficient glioblastoma cells to cisplatin-induced cell death. Journal of neuro-oncology 86 (1):23-30. doi:10.1007/s11060-007-9436-x

86. Feng X, Bonni S, Riabowol K (2006) HSP70 induction by ING proteins sensitizes cells to tumor necrosis factor alpha receptor-mediated apoptosis. Mol Cell Biol 26 (24):9244-9255. doi:10.1128/MCB.01538-06

87. Shi Y, Tu Z, Tang D, Zhang H, Liu M, Wang K, Calderwood SK, Xiao X (2006) The inhibition of LPS-induced production of inflammatory cytokines by HSP70 involves inactivation of the NF-kappaB pathway but not the MAPK pathways. Shock 26 (3):277-284. doi:10.1097/01.shk.0000223134.17877.ad

88. Ran R, Lu A, Zhang L, Tang Y, Zhu H, Xu H, Feng Y, Han C, Zhou G, Rigby AC, Sharp FR (2004) Hsp70 promotes TNF-mediated apoptosis by binding IKK gamma and impairing NF-kappa B survival signaling. Genes & development 18 (12):1466-1481. doi:10.1101/gad.1188204

89. Ma L, Chang N, Guo S, Li Q, Zhang Z, Wang W, Tong T (2008) CSIG inhibits PTEN translation in replicative senescence. Mol Cell Biol 28 (20):6290-6301. doi:10.1128/MCB.00142-08

90. Li N, Zhao G, Chen T, Xue L, Ma L, Niu J, Tong T (2012) Nucleolar protein CSIG is required for p33ING1 function in UV-induced apoptosis. Cell death & disease 3:e283. doi:10.1038/cddis.2012.22

91. Cheung KJ, Jr., Mitchell D, Lin P, Li G (2001) The tumor suppressor candidate p33(ING1) mediates repair of UV-damaged DNA. Cancer Res 61 (13):4974-4977

92. Niehrs C, Schafer A (2012) Active DNA demethylation by Gadd45 and DNA repair. Trends in cell biology 22 (4):220-227. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2012.01.002

93. Campos EI, Martinka M, Mitchell DL, Dai DL, Li G (2004) Mutations of the ING1 tumor suppressor gene detected in human melanoma abrogate nucleotide excision repair. Int J Oncol 25 (1):73-80

94. Kuo WH, Wang Y, Wong RP, Campos EI, Li G (2007) The ING1b tumor suppressor facilitates nucleotide excision repair by promoting chromatin accessibility to XPA. Exp Cell Res 313 (8):1628-1638. doi:S0014-4827(07)00074-2 [pii]

10.1016/j.yexcr.2007.02.010

95. Wong RP, Lin H, Khosravi S, Piche B, Jafarnejad SM, Chen DW, Li G (2011) Tumour suppressor ING1b maintains genomic stability upon replication stress. Nucleic acids research 39 (9):3632-3642. doi:10.1093/nar/gkq1337

96. Watanabe K, Tateishi S, Kawasuji M, Tsurimoto T, Inoue H, Yamaizumi M (2004) Rad18 guides poleta to replication stalling sites through physical interaction and PCNA monoubiquitination. The EMBO journal 23 (19):3886-3896. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600383

97. Hoege C, Pfander B, Moldovan GL, Pyrowolakis G, Jentsch S (2002) RAD6-dependent DNA repair is linked to modification of PCNA by ubiquitin and SUMO. Nature 419 (6903):135-141. doi:10.1038/nature00991

98. Yu P, Huang B, Shen M, Lau C, Chan E, Michel J, Xiong Y, Payan DG, Luo Y (2001) p15(PAF), a novel PCNA associated factor with increased expression in tumor tissues. Oncogene 20 (4):484-489. doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1204113

99. Krishna TS, Kong XP, Gary S, Burgers PM, Kuriyan J (1994) Crystal structure of the eukaryotic DNA polymerase processivity factor PCNA. Cell 79 (7):1233-1243

100. Gulbis JM, Kelman Z, Hurwitz J, O'Donnell M, Kuriyan J (1996) Structure of the C-terminal region of p21(WAF1/CIP1) complexed with human PCNA. Cell 87 (2):297-306

101. Simpson F, Lammerts van Bueren K, Butterfield N, Bennetts JS, Bowles J, Adolphe C, Simms LA, Young J, Walsh MD, Leggett B, Fowles LF, Wicking C (2006) The PCNA-associated factor KIAA0101/p15(PAF) binds the potential tumor suppressor product p33ING1b. Exp Cell Res 312 (1):73-85. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2005.09.020

102. Pena PV, Musselman CA, Kuo AJ, Gozani O, Kutateladze TG (2009) NMR assignments and histone specificity of the ING2 PHD finger. Magn Reson Chem 47 (4):352-358. doi:10.1002/mrc.2390 103. Smith KT, Martin-Brown SA, Florens L, Washburn MP, Workman JL (2010) Deacetylase inhibitors dissociate the histone-targeting ING2 subunit from the Sin3 complex. Chem Biol 17 (1):65-74. doi:S1074-5521(09)00443-8 [pii]

10.1016/j.chembiol.2009.12.010

104. Doyon Y, Cayrou C, Ullah M, Landry AJ, Cote V, Selleck W, Lane WS, Tan S, Yang XJ, Cote J (2006) ING tumor suppressor proteins are critical regulators of chromatin acetylation required for genome expression and perpetuation. Mol Cell 21 (1):51-64. doi:S1097-2765(05)01849-6 [pii]

10.1016/j.molcel.2005.12.007

105. Ryan RJ, Bernstein BE (2012) Molecular biology. Genetic events that shape the cancer epigenome. Science 336 (6088):1513-1514. doi:10.1126/science.1223730

106. Ythier D, Larrieu D, Binet R, Binda O, Brambilla C, Gazzeri S, Pedeux R (2010) Sumoylation of ING2 regulates the transcription mediated by Sin3A. Oncogene 29 (44):5946-5956. doi:onc2010325 [pii]

10.1038/onc.2010.325

107. Larrieu D, Ythier D, Brambilla C, Pedeux R (2010) ING2 controls the G1 to S-phase transition by regulating p21 expression. Cell Cycle 9 (19):3984-3990. doi:13208 [pii]

108. Tian M, Neil JR, Schiemann WP (2011) Transforming growth factor-beta and the hallmarks of cancer. Cellular signalling 23 (6):951-962. doi:10.1016/j.cellsig.2010.10.015

109. Sarker KP, Kataoka H, Chan A, Netherton SJ, Pot I, Huynh MA, Feng X, Bonni A, Riabowol K, Bonni S (2008) ING2 as a novel mediator of transforming growth factor-beta-dependent responses in epithelial cells. J Biol Chem 283 (19):13269-13279. doi:M708834200 [pii]

10.1074/jbc.M708834200

110. Pedeux R, Sengupta S, Shen JC, Demidov ON, Saito S, Onogi H, Kumamoto K, Wincovitch S, Garfield SH, McMenamin M, Nagashima M, Grossman SR, Appella E, Harris CC (2005) ING2 regulates the onset of replicative senescence by induction of p300-dependent p53 acetylation. Mol Cell Biol 25 (15):6639-6648. doi:25/15/6639 [pii]

10.1128/MCB.25.15.6639-6648.2005

111. Kaadige MR, Ayer DE (2006) The polybasic region that follows the plant homeodomain zinc finger 1 of Pf1 is necessary and sufficient for specific phosphoinositide binding. J Biol Chem 281 (39):28831-28836. doi:M605624200 [pii]

10.1074/jbc.M605624200

112. Jones DR, Bultsma Y, Keune WJ, Halstead JR, Elouarrat D, Mohammed S, Heck AJ, D'Santos CS, Divecha N (2006) Nuclear PtdIns5P as a transducer of stress signaling: an in vivo role for PIP4Kbeta. Mol Cell 23 (5):685-695. doi:S1097-2765(06)00495-3 [pii]

10.1016/j.molcel.2006.07.014

113. Gozani O, Field SJ, Ferguson CG, Ewalt M, Mahlke C, Cantley LC, Prestwich GD, Yuan J (2005) Modification of protein sub-nuclear localization by synthetic phosphoinositides: evidence for nuclear phosphoinositide signaling mechanisms. Advances in enzyme regulation 45:171-185. doi:10.1016/j.advenzreg.2005.02.010

114. Huang W, Zhang H, Davrazou F, Kutateladze TG, Shi X, Gozani O, Prestwich GD (2007) Stabilized phosphatidylinositol-5-phosphate analogues as ligands for the nuclear protein ING2: chemistry, biology, and molecular modeling. J Am Chem Soc 129 (20):6498-6506. doi:10.1021/ja070195b

115. Costanzo A, Merlo P, Pediconi N, Fulco M, Sartorelli V, Cole PA, Fontemaggi G, Fanciulli M, Schiltz L, Blandino G, Balsano C, Levrero M (2002) DNA damage-dependent acetylation of p73 dictates the selective activation of apoptotic target genes. Mol Cell 9 (1):175-186

116. Zeng X, Lee H, Zhang Q, Lu H (2001) p300 does not require its acetylase activity to stimulate p73 function. J Biol Chem 276 (1):48-52. doi:10.1074/jbc.C000722200

117. Chen J, Ghazawi FM, Li Q (2010) Interplay of bromodomain and histone acetylation in the regulation of p300-dependent genes. Epigenetics : official journal of the DNA Methylation Society 5 (6):509-515

118. Kalkhoven E (2004) CBP and p300: HATs for different occasions. Biochemical pharmacology 68 (6):1145-1155. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2004.03.045

119. Larrieu D, Ythier D, Binet R, Brambilla C, Brambilla E, Sengupta S, Pedeux R (2009) ING2 controls the progression of DNA replication forks to maintain genome stability. EMBO Rep 10 (10):1168-1174. doi:10.1038/embor.2009.180

120. Hasan S, Hassa PO, Imhof R, Hottiger MO (2001) Transcription coactivator p300 binds PCNA and may have a role in DNA repair synthesis. Nature 410 (6826):387-391. doi:10.1038/35066610

121. Larrieu D, Pedeux R (2009) SharING out the roles in replicatING DNA. Cell Cycle 8 (22):3623-3624. doi:9947 [pii]

122. Wang J, Chin MY, Li G (2006) The novel tumor suppressor p33ING2 enhances nucleotide excision repair via inducement of histone H4 acetylation and chromatin relaxation. Cancer Res 66 (4):1906-1911. doi:66/4/1906 [pii]

10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3444

123. Wang Y, Wang J, Li G (2006) Leucine zipper-like domain is required for tumor suppressor ING2-mediated nucleotide excision repair and apoptosis. FEBS Lett 580 (16):3787-3793. doi:S0014-5793(06)00682-X [pii]

10.1016/j.febslet.2006.05.065

124. Kim MK, Shin JM, Eun HC, Chung JH (2009) The role of p300 histone acetyltransferase in UVinduced histone modifications and MMP-1 gene transcription. PLoS One 4 (3):e4864. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004864

125. Loewith R, Smith JS, Meijer M, Williams TJ, Bachman N, Boeke JD, Young D (2001) Pho23 is associated with the Rpd3 histone deacetylase and is required for its normal function in regulation of gene expression and silencing in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Biol Chem 276 (26):24068-24074. doi:10.1074/jbc.M102176200

126. Garkavtsev I, Riabowol K (1997) Extension of the replicative life span of human diploid fibroblasts by inhibition of the p33ING1 candidate tumor suppressor. Mol Cell Biol 17 (4):2014-2019 127. Sun G, Jin S, Baskaran R (2009) MMR/c-Abl-dependent activation of ING2/p73alpha signaling regulates the cell death response to N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine. Exp Cell Res 315 (18):3163-3175. doi:S0014-4827(09)00388-7 [pii]

10.1016/j.yexcr.2009.09.010

128. De Biasio A, Campos-Olivas R, Sanchez R, Lopez-Alonso JP, Pantoja-Uceda D, Merino N, Villate M, Martin-Garcia JM, Castillo F, Luque I, Blanco FJ (2012) Proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) interactions in solution studied by NMR. PLoS One 7 (11):e48390. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048390

129. Stratton MR, Campbell PJ, Futreal PA (2009) The cancer genome. Nature 458 (7239):719-724. doi:10.1038/nature07943

130. Thakur S, Feng X, Qiao Shi Z, Ganapathy A, Kumar Mishra M, Atadja P, Morris D, Riabowol K (2012) ING1 and 5-azacytidine act synergistically to block breast cancer cell growth. PLoS One 7 (8):e43671. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043671



Α.

			LZL	/ PIP							
ING1b	ATGTTGAG	TCCTGCCAAC	GGGGAGCAGC	T		CCACCTGGTG	A		-ACTATGTGG	AGGACTACCT	79
ING2a	ATGTTAGG	GCAGCAGC	AG-CAGCAAC	TGTACTCGTC	GGCCGCGCTC	CTGACCGGGG	AGCGGAGCCG	GCTGCTCACC	TGCTACGTGC	AGGACTACCT	95
ING2b	ATGGATCAGG	ACGGCGAT	CAGCAGC	T		CGGACCG					33
	*** * *	* *	**** **		* *	* *					
1202207			PBD								0012850
ING1b	GGACTCCATC	GAGTCCCTGC	CTTTCGACTT	GCAGAGAAAT	GTCTCGCTGA	TGCGGGAGAT	CGACGCGAAA	TACCAAGAGA	TCCTGAAGGA	GCTAGACGAG	159
ING2a	TGAGTGCGTG	GAGTCGCTGC	CCCACGACAT	GCAGAGGAAC	GIGICIGIGC	TGCGAGAGCT	GGACAACAAA	TATCAAGAAA	CGTTAAAGGA	AATTGATGAT	195
ING2D		TUGU		GGATC	* *			* * * * **	CGTTAAAGGA	AATTGATGAT	15
ING1b	TGCTACGAGC	GCT-TCAGTC	GCGAGACAGA	CGGGGCGCAG	AAGCGGCGGA	TGCTGCACTG	TOTOCAGCOC	GCGCTGATCC	GCAGCCAGGA	actragecea.c	258
ING2a	GTCTACGAAA	AATATAAGAA	AGAAGATGAT	TTAAAC-CAG	AAGAAACGTC	TACAGCAGCT	TCTCCAGAGA	GCACTAATTA	ATAGTCAAGA	ATTGGGAGAT	294
ING2b	GTCTACGAAA	AATATAAGAA	AGAAGATGAT	TTAAAC-CAG	AAGAAACGTC	TACAGCAGCT	TCTCCAGAGA	GCACTAATTA	ATAGTCAAGA	ATTGGGAGAT	174
	*****	* * **	***	* *****	** * * *	** * * **	* * ** ** *	* ** **	** **** **		
					NCP						
TNC1b	CACAACATCC	ACATCOTCAC	COACATCOTO	al comocimor	ACAACCCCAC	CCCCC ACTC	CACACCACCA	TOOLOG TOT	TCOLOCCO	COLOCACOTO	250
INCOD	CARAAAATAC	AGATEGTEAG	ACAAATGGIG	GASCIGGIGG	ADAATCOCCC	AACACAAATC	CACTTACACT	CACACTCTT	CCAAGATCCT	GCTGAAAGCTG	204
ING2b	GAAAAATAC	AGATTGTTAC	ACAAATGCTC	GAATTGGTGG	AAAATCGGGC	AAGACAAATG	GAGTTACACT	CACAGTGTTT	CCAAGATCCT	GCTGAAAGTG	274
111020	** ** ** **	**** ** *	** *** * **	****** *	* ** * * *	** ****	*** **	* * * **	* ** * *	**	
										2 (2 () () () () () () () () ()	
										NTS	
ING1b	GGCGACACAG	CGGGCAACAG	CGGCAAGGCT	GGCGCGGACA	GGCCCAAAGG	CGAGGCGGCA	GCGCAGGCTG	ACAAGCCCAA	CAGCAAGCGC	TCACGGCGGC	457
ING2a	AACGA-GCCT	CAGATAA	-AGCAAAGAT	GG	ATTCCA	GCCA	AC-CAGA	AAGATCTT	CAAGAAGACC	CCGCAGGC	465
ING2b	AACGA-GCCT	CAGATAA	-AGCAAAGAT	GG	ATTCCA	GCCA	AC-CAGA	AAGATCTT	CAAGAAGACC	CCGCAGGC	345
	*** *	* * **	**** * *	**	* * *	* **	* ***	*** *	** *** *	* * * **	
					NLS			N	TS		
ING1b	AGCGCAACAA	CGAGAACCGT	GAGA-ACG-C	GTCCAGCAAC	CACGACC-AC	GACGACGGCG	CCTCGGGCAC	ACCCAAGGAG	AAGAAGGCCA	AGACCTCCAA	554
ING2a	AGCGGACCAG	TGAAAGCCGT	GATTTATGTC	ACATGGCAAA	TGGGATTGAA	GACTGTGATG	ATCAGC-C	ACCTAAAGAA	AAGAAATCCA	AGTCAGCAAA	560
ING2b	AGCGGACCAG	TGAAAGCCGT	GATTTATGTC	ACATGGCAAA	TGGGATTGAA	GACTGTGATG	ATCAGC-C	ACCTAAAGAA	AAGAAATCCA	AGTCAGCAAA	440
	**** * **	** * ****	** * * *	****	** *	*** * *	** *	*** ** **	***** ***	** * * **	
	NITC				CD						
	NT5			KEA	ISP						
ING1b	GAAGAAGAAG	CGCTCCAAGG	CCAAGGCGGA	GCGAGAGGCG	TCCCCTGCCG	ACCTCCCCAT	CGACCCCAAC	GAACCCACGT	ACTGTCTGTG	CAACCAGGTC	654
ING2a	GAAAAAGAAA	CGCTCCAAGG	CCAAGCAGGA	AAGGGAAGCT	TCACCTGTTG	AGTTTGCAAT	AGATCCTAAT	GAACCTACAT	ACTGCTTATG	CAACCAAGTG	550
ING2D	*** *****	********	***** ***	* ** **	** **** *	AGIIIGCAAI	** ** **	SAACCIACAI	**** * **	****** **	540
			DHD								
THORE	maama macaa		PHU				maamaaamaa			0003300000	754
INGID	TCCTATGGGG	AGATGATCGG	ATCTCACAAC	GACGAGTGCC	CLATCGAGTG	GTTCCACTTC	TCGTGCGTGG	GGCTCAATCA	TAAACCCCAAG	GGCAAGTGGT	754
ING2a	TOTTATGGGG	AGATGATAGG	ATGTGACAAT	GAACAGIGIC	CAATTGAATG	GITTCACTTT	TCATGTGTTT	CACTTACCTA	TAAACCAAAG	CCCAAATGGT	640
11/320	** ******	****** **	** *****	** **** *	* ** ** **	*** *****	** ** **	** * *	***** ***	** ** ****	340
							PBR				
ING1b	ACTGTCCCAA	GTGCCGGGGG	GAGAACGAGA	AGACCATGGA	CAAA-GCCCT	GGAGAAATCC	AAAAAGAGA	GGCTTACAA	CAGGTAG 84	C	

INGID ACTGICCCAA GIGCCGGGGG GATAATGAGA AAGCAATGGA CAAAAGTAC GAGAAAGACA GGGCTACAA CAGGTAG 840 ING2a AITGCCCAAA GIGCAGGGGG GATAATGAGA AAACAATGGA CAAAAGTACT GAAAAGA-CA AAAAGGATA GAAGAT-CGA --GGTAG 843 ING2b AITGCCCAAA GIGCAGGGGA GATAATGAGA A-ACAATGGA CAAAAGTACT GAAAAGA-CA AAAAGGATA GAAGAT-CGA --GGTAG 723

В.				PIP	/ LZI								P	BD				
	ING1b	MLS	PANC	EQL	HLVI	VYV	ED			-YL	DSIE	SLP	FDL	QRNV	SLM	REI	DAKYQEI	47
	ING2a	MLG	200-	QQL	YSS	AL	LTGE	RSRLI	LTCYVQ	DYL	ECVE	SLP	HDM	IQRNV	SVL	REL	DNKYQET	59
	ING2b	MDQI	DGD-	QQL	GPSI	RIL	AP										QT	19
		*		* *												1015		
																N	CR	
	ING1b	LKEI	LDEC	YER	FSRI	ETD	GAQK	RRMLH	ICVQRA	LIR	SQEL	GDE	KIQ	IVSQ	MVE	LVE	NRTRQVD	107
	ING2a	LKE:	IDD	YEK	YKKI	EDDI	LNQK	KRLQ	LLQRA	LIN	SQEL	GDE	KIQ	IVTQ	MLE	LVE	NRARQME	119
	ING2b	LKE	IDD	YEK	YKKI	EDDI	LNQK	KRLQ	2LLQRA	LIN	SQEL	GDE	KIQ	IVTQ	MLE	LVE	NRARQME	79
		***	*	* *	3	* *	* *	*	***	**	* * * *	* * *	***	****	* *	***	** **	
														NTS		r	NLS	
	ING1b	SHV	ELFE	CAOO	ELGI	TA	INSG	KAGAI	DRPKGE	AAA	QADK	PNS	KRS	RROR	NNE	NRE	NASSNHD	167
	ING2a	LHS	OCFO	DPA	ES-I	ERA	DKA	KMDSS	SOPER-			-SS	RRP	RROR	TSE	SRD	LCHMANG	169
	ING2b	LHS	OCF	DPA	ES-I	ERA	SDKA	KMDSS	SQPER-			-SS	RRP	RRQR	TSE	SRD	LCHMANG	129
		*	*		*	*		*	*			*	*	***	*	*		
				N	ITS		NT	S	RE	ASP								
	ING1b	HDDO	GASC	TPK	EKK	AKT:	SKKK	KRSKA	AKAERE	ASP.	ADLP	IDP	NEP	TYCL	CNQ	VSY	GEMIGCD	227
	ING2a	IED	CDDQ	PPK	EKK	SKS	AKKK	KRSKA	AKQERE	ASP	VEFA	IDP	NEP	TYCL	CNQ	VSY	GEMIGCD	229
	ING2b	IED	CDDQ	PPK	EKK	SKS	AKKK	KRSKA	AKQERE	ASP	VEFA	IDP	NEP	TYCL	CNQ	VSY	GEMIGCD	189
				* *	***	*	* * *	****	*****	***	*	* * *	***	****	* * *	* * *	******	
		P	HD									PB	R					
	ING1b	NDE	CPIE	WFH	FSC	/GLI	NHKP	KGKWY	CPKCR	GEN	EKTMI	DKA	LEK	SKKE	RAY	NR	279	
	ING2a	NEQ	CPIE	WFH	FSC	SL.	TYKP	KGKWY	CPKCR	GDN	EKTM	DKS	TEK	TKKE	RRS	R-	280	
	ING2b	NEQ	CPIE	WFH	FSC	ISL.	TYK P	KGKWY	CPKCR	GDN	EKTM	DKS	TEK	TKKE	RRS	R-	240	
		*	* * * *	***	***	* *	**	****	*****	* *	****	* *	* *	**	*			

Α.





Guérillon et al., 2013

Figure 4



Α.	Human <mark>Elc E1d</mark>	Ela Elb Elbar // E2	Chr 13q34
В.	ING1a mRNA (ING1v4)	E1b E2	NM_005537.4
	ING1b mRNA (ING1v1)	E1a E2	NM_198219.2
	ING1c mRNA (ING1v2)	E1d E2	NM_198218.2
	ING1c mRNA (ING1v5)	E1bait E2	NM_001267728.1
	ING1d mRNA (ING1v3)	E1c E2	NM_198217.2
C.			
	ING1a protein	NCR NLS PHD PBR 47 kDa	NP_005528.3
	ING1b protein	PIP PBD NCR NLS PHD PBR 33 kDa	NP_937862.1
	ING1c protein	NCR NLS PHD PBR 24 kDa	NP_937861.1 / NP_001254657.1
	ING1d protein	NCR NLS PHD PBR 27 kDa	NP_937860.1
D.	Mouse ING1	Ela Elc - Elb Elb Elc	Chr 8 A1.1; 8
E.	ING1a mRNA	E1a E2	AF177755.1
	ING1b mRNA	E1b E2	AF177757.1
	ING1c mRNA	E1c E2	AF177756.1
F.			
	ING1a protein	NCR NLS PHD PBR 31 kDa	AAF16908.1
	ING1b protein	PIP PBD NCR NLS PHD PBR 37 kDa	AAF16910.1
	ING1c protein	NCR NLS PHD PBR 31 kDa	AAF16909.1
G	G. Mouse ING2	- <mark>E1a E1b</mark>	Chr 8 B2
ŀ	1. ING2a mRNA	E1a E2	NM_023503.3
	ING2b mRNA	E1b E2	AB433625.1
L	ING2a protein	LZL NCR NLS PHD PBR 33 kDa	NP_075992.2
	ING2b protein	NCR NLS PHD PBR 20.3 kDa	BAH02682.1

۸.							
				PIP			
Human_ING1b	ATGTTGAGTC	CTGCCAACGG	GGAGCAGCTC	CACCTGGTGA	ACTATGTGGA	GGACTACCTG	60
Mouse_ING1b	ATGTTGAGTC	CTGCCAACGG	GGAGCAGATC	CACCTGGTGA	ACTATGTGGA	GGATTACCTG	60
Mouse_ING1c							
		PBD					
Human_ING1b	GACTCCATCG	AGTCCCTGCC	TTTCGACTTG	CAGAGAAATG	TCTCGCTGAT	GCGGGAGATC	120
Mouse_ING1a							120
Mouse_INGID Mouse ING1c	GACTCAATCG	AGTCACTGCC	TTTCGACCTG	CAGAGGAACG	TETEGETGAT	GCGGGGAGATC	120
_							
Human_ING1b	GACGCGAAAT	ACCAAGAGAT	CCTGAAGGAG	CTAGACGAGT	GCTACGAGCG	CTTCAGTCGC	180
Mouse_ING1a							100
Mouse_INGID Mouse_ING1c	GACGCCAAAT	ACCAAGAGAT			ACTATGAGAA	GIICAAACGG	180
Human_ING1b	GAGACAGACG	GGGCGCAGAA	GCGGCGGATG	CTGCACTGTG	TGCAGCGCGC	GCTGATCCGC	240
Mouse_ING1a Mouse_ING1b	GAGACAGACG	GCACCCAGAA	GCGCCCGGGTA	CTGCACTGCA	TCCAGAGGGC	CCTGATCCGC	240
Mouse_ING1C							240
						NCR	
Human_ING1b	AGCCAGGAGC	TGGGCGACGA	GAAGATCCAG	ATCGTGAGCC	AGATGGTGGA	GCTGGTGGAG	300
Mouse_ING1a					ATGGTGGA	GCTGGTGGAG	18
Mouse_ING1b	AGCCAGGAGC	TAGGCGATGA	GAAGATCCAG	ATCGTGAGTC	AGATGGTGGA	GCTGGTGGAG	300
Mouse_INGIC					AIGGIGGA	*********	18
Human ING1b	AACCGCACGC	GGCAGGTGGA	CAGCCACGTG	GAGCTGTTCG	AGGCGCAGCA	GGAGCTGGGC	360
Mouse_ING1a	AACCGCAGCA	GACAGGTGGA	CAGTCACGTG	GAGCTCTTCG	AAGCACACCA	GGACATCAGT	78
Mouse_ING1b	AACCGCAGCA	GACAGGTGGA	CAGTCACGTG	GAGCTCTTCG	AAGCACACCA	GGACATCAGT	360
Mouse_ING1c	AACCGCAGCA	GACAGGTGGA * ******	CAGTCACGTG	GAGCTCTTCG	AAGCACACCA * ** ** **	GGACATCAGT	78
Numan INC1b	CACACACCCC	CCARCACCCC	CAACCOTCCC	CCCCACACCC	CC3 3 3 CCCC3	0000003000	120
Mouse ING1a	GACGGCACTG	GTGGCAGCGG	CAAGGCIGGC	CAGGACAGGC	CGAAGAGTGA	GGCCGCCAGCG	138
Mouse_ING1b	GACGGCACTG	GTGGCAGCGG	CAAGGCGGGC	CAGGACAAGT	CGAAGAGTGA	GGCCATCACA	420
Mouse_ING1c	GACGGCACTG	GTGGCAGCGG	CAAGGCGGGC	CAGGACAAGT	CGAAGAGTGA	GGCCATCACA	138
	*** * *	* *****	***** ***	NTS	* ** * **	*** *	
Human_ING1b	CAGGCTGACA	AGCCCAACAG	CAAGCGCTCA	CGGCGGCAGC	GCAACAACGA	GAACCGTGAG	480
Mouse_ING1a	CAGGCAGATA	AGCCGAATAA	CAAGCGGTCC	AGGAGGCAGC	GAAACAATGA	GAATCGAGAG	198
Mouse_ING16	CAGGCAGATA	AGCCGAATAA	CAAGCGGTCC	AGGAGGCAGC	GAAACAATGA	GAATCGAGAG	480
	**** ** *	**** ** *	***** **	** *****	* **** **	*** ** ***	
Human ING1b	AACGCGTCCA	GCAACCACGA	CCACGACGAC	GGCGCCTCGG	GCACACCCAA	GGAGAAGAAG	540
Mouse ING1a	AACGCGTCGA	ATAATCACGA	CCATGATGAC	ATCACCTCAG	GAACGCCCAA	GGAGAAGAAA	258
Mouse_ING1b	AACGCGTCGA	ATAATCACGA	CCATGATGAC	ATCACCTCAG	GAACGCCCAA	GGAGAAGAAA	540
Mouse_ING1c	AACGCGTCGA	ATAATCACGA	CCATGATGAC	ATCACCTCAG	GAACGCCCAA	GGAGAAGAAA	258
		Soletona Sutteeneyset over 20	NTS		Asso DALIAN DAVADASANIN	REASP	
Human_ING1b	GCCAAGACCT	CCAAGAAGAA	GAAGCGCTCC	AAGGCCAAGG	CGGAGCGAGA	GGCGTCCCCT	600
Mouse_ING1a	GCAAAAACCT	CAAAGAAGAA	GAAACGCTCC	AAGGCCAAAG	CAGAGAGGGA	AGCGTCTCCT	318
Mouse ING1c	GCAAAAACCT	CAAAGAAGAA	GAAACGCTCC	AAGGCCAAAG	CAGAGAGGGA	AGCGTCTCCT	318
	** ** ****	* ******	*** *****	****** *	* *** * **	**** ***	
Human_ING1b	GCCGACCTCC	CCATCGACCC	CAACGAACCC	ACGTACTGTC	TGTGCAACCA	GGTCTCCTAT	660
Mouse_ING1a	GCCGACCTTC	CCATCGACCC	CAACGAGCCC	ACGTACTGTC	TGTGCAACCA	GGTCTCCTAC	378
Mouse_ING16	GCCGACCTTC	CCATCGACCC	CAACGAGCCC	ACGTACTGTC	TGTGCAACCA	GGTCTCCTAC	660
Mouse_INGIC	*******	*********	***** ***	*****	******	*******	570
			PHD				
Human_ING1b	GGGGAGATGA	TCGGCTGCGA	CAACGACGAG	TGCCCCATCG	AGTGGTTCCA	CTTCTCGTGC	720
Mouse_ING1a	GGGGAGATGA	TCGGCTGTGA	CAACGACGAA	TGCCCCATCG	AGTGGTTCCA	CTTCTCCTGC	438
Mouse_ING1b	GGGGAGATGA	TCGGCTGTGA	CAACGACGAA	TGCCCCATCG	AGTGGTTCCA	CTTCTCCTGC	720
Mouse_INGIC	**********	TCGGCTGTGA ****** **	*******	TGCCCCATCG	AGTGGTTCCA ******	***** ***	438
Human_ING1b	GTGGGGCTCA	ATCATAAACC	CAAGGGCAAG	TGGTACTGTC	CCAAGTGCCG	GGGGGAGAAC	780
Mouse_ING1a	GTGGGGGCTCA	ACCATAAACC	AAAGGGCAAG	TGGTACTGCC	CCAAGTGCCG	TGGGGAGAGC	498
Mouse_INGIC	GTGGGGGCTCA	ACCATAAACC	AAAGGGCAAG	TGGTACTGCC	CCAAGIGCCG	TGGGGAGAGC	498
.noube_indic	******	* ******	********	*******	*******	****** *	100
				PBR			
Human_ING1b	GAGAAGACCA	TGGACAAAGC	CCTGGAGAAA	TCCAAAAAAG	AGAGGGCTTA	CAACAGGTAG	840
Mouse_ING1a	GAGAAGACCA	TGGACAAAGC	CCTGGAGAAG	TCCAAGAAAG	AGAGGGCTTA	CAACAGGTAG	558
Mouse_ING1c	GAGAAGACCA	TGGACAAAGC	CCTGGAGAAG	TCCAAGAAAG	AGAGGGCTTA	CAACAGGTAG	558
	*******	******	*******	**** ****	********	*******	

R			-		-			
D .			PIP	PI	BD			
	Human_ING1b	MLSPANGEQL	HLVNYVEDYL	DSIESLPFDL	QRNVSLMREI	DAKYQEILKE	LDECYERFSR	60
	Mouse_ING1a							
	Mouse_ING1b	MLSPANGEQI	HLVNYVEDYL	DSIESLPFDL	QRNVSLMREI	DAKYQEILKE	LDDYYEKFKR	60
	Mouse_ING1c							
						NO	R	
	Human ING1b	ETDGAOKRRM	THEVORALTR	SOELGDEKTO	TVSOMVELVE	NETROVDSHV	ELFEAOOELG	120
	Mouse ING1a				MVELVE	NRSROVDSHV	ELFEAHODIS	26
	Mouse ING1b	ETDGTOKRRV	LHCIORALIR	SOELGDEKIO	IVSOMVELVE	NRSROVDSHV	ELFEAHODIS	120
	Mouse ING1c				MVELVE	NRSRQVDSHV	ELFEAHQDIS	26
	_				*****	** ******	**** *	
					NITC	NUC	ALTO	
					NIS	INLS	NIS	
	Human_ING1b	DTAGNSGKAG	ADRPKGEAAA	QADKPNSKRS	RRQRNNENRE	NASSNHDHDD	GASGTPKEKK	180
	Mouse_ING1a	DGTGGSGKAG	QDKSKSEAIT	QADKPNNKRS	RRQRNNENRE	NASNNHDHDD	ITSGTPKEKK	86
	Mouse_ING1b	DGTGGSGKAG	QDKSKSEAIT	QADKPNNKRS	RRQRNNENRE	NASNNHDHDD	ITSGTPKEKK	180
	Mouse_ING1c	DGTGGSGKAG	QDKSKSEAIT	QADKPNNKRS	RRQRNNENRE	NASNNHDHDD	ITSGTPKEKK	86
		* * *****	* * ** *	**** ****	********	*** *****	*******	
		NTS	REASP	1			PHD	
	Human_ING1b	AKTSKKKKRS	KAKAE REASP	ADLPIDPNEP	TYCLCNQ <mark>VSY</mark>	GEMIGCDNDE	CPIEWFHFSC	240
	Mouse_ING1a	AKTSKKKKRS	KAKAE REASP	ADLPIDPNEP	TYCLCNQ <mark>VSY</mark>	GEMIGCDNDE	CPIEWFHFSC	146
	Mouse_ING1b	AKTSKKKKRS	KAKAE REASP	ADLPIDPNEP	TYCLCNQ <mark>VSY</mark>	GEMIGCDNDE	CPIEWFHFSC	240
	Mouse_ING1c	AKTSKKKKRS	KAKAE REASP	ADLPIDPNEP	TYCLCNQ <mark>VSY</mark>	GEMIGCDNDE	CPIEWFHFSC	146
		* * * * * * * * * *	******	******	******	******	******	
				PBR				
	Human ING1b	VGLNHKP KGK	WYCPKCRGEN	EKTMDKALEK	SKKERAYNR 2	279		
	Mouse ING1a	VGLNHKPKGK	WYCPKCRGES	E <mark>K</mark> TMD <mark>K</mark> ALE <mark>K</mark>	SKKERAYNR 1	L85		
	Mouse ING1b	VGLNHKP KGK	WYCPKCRGES	EKTMDKALEK	SKKERAYNR 2	279		
	Mouse_ING1c	VGLNHKP KGK	WYCPKCRGES	EKTMDKALEK	SKKERAYNR 1	L85		
	—	******	*******	*******	*******			

C											
U .				LZL							
Human_ING2a	ATG-TTAGGG C	AGCAGCAG	-CAGCAACT G	TACTCGTCG (CCGCGCTCC T	GACCGGGGA	CGGAGCCGG C	TGCTCACCT G	CTACGTGCA GO	GACTACCT 95	
Human_ING21	ATGGATCAGG A	CGGCGAT	CAGCAGC I		C	GGACCG				33	
Mouse_ING2a	ATG-TTAGGG C	AGCAGCAGC A	GCAGCAGCT G	TACTCGTCG (CCCCCCCTCC T	GACCGGAGA (GCGGAGCCGG C	FGCTCTCCT G	CTACGTGCA GO	GACTACCT 98	
Mouse_ING2)										
Human ING2:	TGAGTGCGTG	GAGTCGCTGC	CCCACCACAT	CCACACCAA	- amamamara	TCCCACACC	CGACAACAAA	TATCABCAAA	COTTAAAGGA	AATTGATGAT	195
Human ING2		TCGC		GGAT(CTGGCTCCGC				CGTTAAAGGA	AATTGATGAT	75
Mouse ING2a	GGAGTGTGTG	GAGTCGCTGC	CCCACGACAT	GCAGAGGAA	GTGTCGGTGC	TGCGGGAGCI	GGACAACAAA	TACCAAGAAA	CGTTAAAGGA	AATTGATGAT	198
Mouse ING2)	0000000000									
Human_ING2a	GTCTACGAAA	AATATAAGAA	AGAAGATGAT	TTAAACCAGA	A AGAAACGTCT	ACAGCAGCTI	CTCCAGAGAG	CACTAATTAA	TAGTCAAGAA	TTGGGAGATG	295
Human_ING2	GTCTACGAAA	AATATAAGAA	AGAAGATGAT	TTAAACCAGA	AGAAACGTCI	ACAGCAGCT	CTCCAGAGAG	CACTAATTAA	TAGTCAAGAA	TTGGGAGATG	1/5
Mouse_ING22	GICIAIGAAA	MAIAIAAGAA	AGAAGAIGAI	ICCAACCAGA	A AAAAACGCCI	ACAGCAGCA	CICCAGAGAG	CGITAATCAA	INGCCANGAN	11GGGAGAIG	298
Mouse_11023											
								NCR			
Human ING2a	AAAAAATACA	GATTGTTACA	CAAATGCTCG	AATTGGTGG	AAATCGGGCA	AGACAAATGO	AGTTACACTC	ACAGTGTTTC	CAAGATCCTG	CTGAAAGTGA	395
Human_ING21	AAAAAATACA	GATTGTTACA	CAAATGCTCG	AATTGGTGG	AAATCGGGCA	AGACAAATGO	AGTTACACTC	ACAGTGTTTC	CAAGATCCTG	CTGAAAGTGA	275
Mouse_ING2a	AAAAAATTCA	GATTGTCACC	CAGATGCTCG	AATTGGTGGZ	GAACCGAGCG	AGACAAATGO	AGCTGCATTC	ACAGTGTTTC	CAGGATCCTG	CTGAAAGTGA	398
Mouse_ING2			ATGCTCG	AATTGGTGG	GAACCGAGCG	AGACAAATGO	AGCTGCATTC	ACAGTGTTTC	CAGGATCCTG	CTGAAAGTGA	57
			******	** ******	* * * ** **	** ******	** * ** **	** *******	* * ******	*******	
							NTS				
Human ING2:	ACCACCOTCA	GATAAAGCAA	AGATGGATTC	CAGCCAACCT	GAAAGATCTT	CAACAACAC	CCCCACCAC	CCCACCACTC	AAAGCCGTGA	TTTATCTCAC	495
Human ING2	ACGAGCCTCA	GATAAAGCAA	AGATGGATTC	CAGCCAACCA	GAAAGATCTT	CAAGAAGAC	CCGCAGGCAG	CGGACCAGTG	AAAGCCGTGA	TTTATGTCAC	375
Mouse ING2	GCGAGCCTCA	GACAAGTCGA	AGATGGATTC	CAGTCAACCO	GAAAGATCTT	CTAGAAGAC	TCGAAGACAG	AGGACCAGTG	AGAGCCGTGA	CTTATGTCAC	498
Contraction of the second s											
Mouse ING2	GCGAGCCTCA	GACAAGTCGA	AGATGGATTC	CAGTCAACCO	GAAAGATCTT	CTAGAAGACO	TCGAAGACAG	AGGACCAGTG	AGAGCCGTGA	CTTATGTCAC	177
Mouse_ING21	GCGAGCCTCA	GACAAGTCGA	AGATGGATTC	CAGTCAACCO	GAAAGATCTT	CTAGAAGAC	TCGAAGACAG	AGGACCAGTG	AGAGCCGTGA	CTTATGTCAC	177
Mouse_ING2)	GCGAGCCTCA	GACAAGTCGA	AGATGGATTC	CAGTCAACCO	GAAAGATCTT	CTAGAAGACO	TCGAAGACAG	AGGACCAGTG	AGAGCCGTGA	CTTATGTCAC	177
Mouse_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA	GACAAGTCGA	AGATGGATTC	CAGTCAACCO	GAAAGATCTT	CTAGAAGACC	CCDARGACAG	AGGACCAGTG	AGAGCCGTGA	CECCENERCE	177
Mouse_ING21 Human_ING22 Human_ING22	ATGCAAATG	GACAAGTCGA	AGATGGATTC	CAGCCACCTZ	NTS	ATCCAAGTCZ	C TCGAAGACAG	AGGACCAGTG	AGAGCCGTGA	CAGGAAAGGG	177 595 475
Mouse_ING2) Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG	GACAAGTCGA MLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACGA	AGATGGATTC	CAGCCACCTZ CAGCCACCTZ CAGCCACCTZ	GAAAGATCTT NTS AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA	ATCCAAGTCZ	C TCGAAGACAG	AGGACCAGTG	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG	CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG	177 595 475 598
Mouse_ING2) Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	ATGCAAATG ATGCAAATG ATGCAAATG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG	GACAAGTCGA MLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACGA GGATTGACGA	AGATGGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT	CAGTCAACCO CAGCCACCTA CAGCCACCTA CAGCCACCGA CAACCACCGA	GAAAGATCTT NTS AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAGA AAGAAAAGAG	ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCC	CAAAGAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	AGGACCAGTG	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG	CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG	177 595 475 598 277
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	a GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG	GACAAGTCGA MLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACGA GGATTGACGA	AGATGGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT	CAGCCACCTZ CAGCCACCTZ CAGCCACCTZ CAGCCACCTZ CAACCACCGZ	GAAAGATCTT NTS A AAGAAAAGAA A AAGAAAAGAA A AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA	ATCCAAGTCA ATCCAAGTCA ATCCAAGTCA ATCCAAGTCC ATCCAAGTCC	TCGAAGACAG N N A GCAAAGAAAA GCAAAGAAAA CCCAAGAAGA CCCAAGAAGA CCCAAGAAGA X X	AGGACCAGTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG	CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG	595 475 598 277
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG	GACAAGTCGA MLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACGA SGATTGACGA	AGATGGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT	CAGCCACCTA CAGCCACCTA CAGCCACCTA CAGCCACCTA CAACCACCGA CAACCACCGA	GAAAGATCTI NTS A AAGAAAAGAA A AAGAAAAGAA A AAGAAAAGAA A AAGAAAAGAA A AAGAAAAGAA A AAGAAAAGAA	ATCCAAGTCA ATCCAAGTCA ATCCAAGTCA ATCCAAGTCC ATCCAAGTCC ATCCAAGTCC	TCGAAGACAG ** ** N ** A GCAAAGAAAA A GCCAAGAAAAA C GCCAAGAAGA C GCCAAGAAGA C GCCAAGAAGA ** ******	AGGACCAGTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG	CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG ******	177 595 475 598 277
Mouse_ING2) Human_ING2) Human_ING2) Mouse_ING2) Mouse_ING2)	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG	GACAAGTCGA MLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACGA GGATTGACGA *******	AGATGGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT ********	CAGTCAACCO CAGCCACCTA CAGCCACCTA CAACCACCGA CAACCACCGA	GAAAGAACTTI NTS AAAGAAAAGAA AAAGAAAAGAA AAAGAAAAGAA AAAGAAAAGAA AAAGAAAAGAA AAAGAAAAGAA AAAGAAAAGAA AAAGAAAAGAA	ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCC ATCCAAGTCC	TCGAAGACAG ** ** N K A GCAAAGAAAA GCAAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAGA CGCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA ** ******	AGGACCAGTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC ****	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG	CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG ****** ***	177 595 475 598 277
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2	CGCAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAACG ATGGCAAACG ATGGCAAACG ATGGCAAACG ATGGCAAACG	GACAAGTCGA MAAAAAA NLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACGA GGATTGACGA TGTTGAGTTT TGTTGAGTTT	AGATGGATTG CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT	CAGCCACCT2 CAGCCACCT2 CAGCCACCT2 CAGCCACCG2 CAACCACCG2 CAACCACCG2 CTAATGAACC	GAAAGATCTT NTS AAGAAAAGAA	CTAGAAGACC ATCCAAGTCA ATCCAAGTCA ATCCAAGTCC ATCCAAGTCC ATCCAAGTCC TTATGCAACC	TCGAAGACAG N A GCAAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA ** ***** * AAGTGTCTTA	AGGACCAGTG TS AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC **** *****	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG AAGGCCAAG	CTTATGTCAC CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG	177 595 475 598 277 695
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2	ATGCAAATG ATGCAAATG ATGCAAATG ATGCAAATG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAACCAACCAACCAACCAACCAACCAACCAACCAAC	GACAAGTCGA	AGATGGATTG CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCAATAGATC	CAGCCACCT CAGCCACCT CAGCCACCT CAACCACCG CAACCACCG CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC	S GAAAGATCTT NTS AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA C TACATACTGC C TACATACTGC	CTAGAAGACC ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCC TTATGCAACC TTATGCAACC	CGAAGACAG N A GCAAAGAAAA GCAAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAGA CGCCAAGAAGA CGCCAAGAAGA CAAGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCTTA	AGGACCAGTG TS AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAGATG TGGGGAGATG	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG ATAGGATGTG	CTTATGTCAC CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGAGA CAATGAACA	177 595 475 598 277 695 555
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2	ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGACAAATG ATGACAAATG ATGACAAATG ATGACAAATG ATGACAAATG ATGACAAATG ATGACAAATG ATGACAATCACC AAGCTTCACC	GACAAGTCGA	AGATGGATTG CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCCATGGATC	CAGTCAACCC CAGCCACCT CAGCCACCT CAACCACCG CAACCACCG CAACCACCG CTAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC	Image: State	CTAGAAGAC	2 TCGAAGACAG N N A GCAAAGAAAA GCAAAGAAAA GCAAAGAAGA C GCCAAGAAGA C GCCAAGAAGA C GCCAAGAAGA A GCCAAGAAGA AAGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA	AGGACCACTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAACGCTC TGGGGAGATG TGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG	CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGA CAATGAACA ACAATGAACA	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 257
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAACTCACC AGGCATCCCC AGGCATCCCC	GACAAGTCGA	AGATGGATTG CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCAATAGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC	CAGTCAACCC CAGCCACCT CAACCACCG CAACCACCG CAACCACCG CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAGC	NTS AAGAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAG AAGAAAAGAG CACAAACTGC CACCTACTGC CACCTACTGC	CTAGAAGAC	2 ТССАЛСАСАС М А ССАЛСАЛАЛ СССАЛСАЛАЛ С СССАЛСАЛСА С СССАЛСАЛСА С СССАЛСАЛСА С СССАЛСАЛСА С СССАЛСАЛСА С АЛСТСТТА АЛСТСТТА АЛСТСТСТА АЛСТСТСТА АЛСТССТА	AGGACCAGTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAGATG TGGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG	CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGAAGAAGA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG AGCTTCACC AAGCTTCACC AGGCATCCCC	GACAAGTCGA NLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACGA GGATTGACGA TGTTGAGTTT TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT	AGATGGATGA CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCAATAGATC GCCATGGAT GCCATGGAT GCCATCGATC	CAGTCAACCC CAGCCACCT CAGCCACCT CAACCACCG CAACCACCG CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC	NTS AGAAAGATCTT AGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA	CTAGAAGACC	2 TCGAAGACAG N N A GCAAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA AGGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA	AGGACCACTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAGATG TGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG	CTURGECAC CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGAAGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	argacatacce argacatarg argacatarg argacatarg argacatarg argacatarg argacatarg argacatece aggartcacc aggartcacc aggartcacc	GACAAGTCGA MLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACGA GGATTGACGA GGATTGACGA TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT ********	AGATGGATTG CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCAATAGATC GCCATCGATC ** ** ****	CAGTCAACCC CAGCCACCT CAGCCACCT CAACCACCG CAACCACCG CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC	NTS AGAAAGAT AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAGA CTACATACTGC CACCTACTGC CACCTACTGC CACCTACTGC	ATCCAAGTC/ ATCCAAGTC/ ATCCAAGTC/ ATCCAAGTCC ATCCAAGTCC ATCCAAGTCC TTATGCAACTCC TTATGCAACC TTATGCAACC TTGTGTAACC	2 TCGAAGACAG N N A GCAAAGAAAA CGCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA 2 GCCAAGAAGA 2 GCCAAGAAGA 2 GCCAAGAAGA 3 GCCAAGAAGA 4 GCCAAGAAGA 4 GCCAAGAAGA 4 GCCAAGAAGA CAGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA	AGGACCACTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG	CTTATGTCAC	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG AGCTCACC AGGCTCACC AGGCATCCCC AGGCATCCCC	САСААСТСА МLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACA TGTTGAGTTT TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT CTCCGAGTTT	AGATGATTC CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCCATGGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC	CAGCCACCTI CAGCCACCTI CAGCCACCTI CAACCACCGI CAACCACCGI CAACCACCGI CTAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC	3 GAAAGATCTT NTS NAGAAAGAA A AGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA A AGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA A AGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA C TACATACTGC CACCTACTGC C ACCTACTGC CACCTACTGC C ACCTACTGC CACTACTGC	CTAGAAGACC	Caragacaga N A GCAAAGAAAA CGCAAAGAAAA CGCAAAGAAAA CGCAAAGAAAA CGCAAAGAAAA CGCAAAGAAAA A AGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCTA AAGTGTCTA	AGGACCACTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CCCAAAGTGCA	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG TAAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG CAGGCGAGATAA	CTTATGTCAC CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAAGGG CAGGAGAAGGG CAGAGAGAAGG ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA TGAGAAAACA	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357 795
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG AGGCATCACC AGGCATCCCC AGGCATCCCC AGGCATCCCC	GACAAGTCGA	AGATGATTGA CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCAATAGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC CCCATCGATC ACTTTCCATG	CAGCCACCT2 CAGCCACCT2 CAGCCACCT2 CAACCACCG2 CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC CCAATGAAGCC CCAATGAGCC CCAATGAGCC CCAATGAGCC CCAATGAGCC TGTTTCACT7	Заладатстт Тасатастос Сасстаталаса Состаталаса	CTAGAAGACC ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ TTATGCAACC TTATGCAACC TTATGCAACC TTGTGTAACC CAAAGGGGAA CAAAGGGGAA	Correction of the second of th	AGGACAATG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAGATG TGGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CCGAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG TAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG CAGGGAGATAA GGGGAGATAA	CTURAGEAAAGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAAGGG CAGGAGAAGG ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA TGAGAAAACA	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357 795 675
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_INC2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG AGGCTTCACC AAGCTTCACC AAGCTTCACC AGGCATCCCC CAGGCATCCCC T ** ** **	GACAAGTCGA NLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACGA GGATTGACGA TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC	AGATGGATTG CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCAATAGATC GCCATCGATC ************************************	CAGTCAACCC CAGCCACCT CAGCCACCT CAACCACCG CAACCACCG CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC	Image: Structure NTS NAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA CACATACTGC CACCTATAAAC ACCTATAAAC	ATCCAAGTC/ ATCCAAGTC/ ATCCAAGTC/ ATCCAAGTC/ ATCCAAGTC/ ATCCAAGTC/ TTATGCAACTC/ TTATGCAACC/ TTATGCAACC/ TTATGCAACC/ TTGTGTAACC/ CAAAGGGGA/ CCAAGGGGA/	CCAAGACACAG CCAAGACACAG CCAAGACAAA CCCAAGAACA CCCAAGAAGA CCCAAGAAGA CCCAAGAAGA CCCAAGAAGA CCCAAGAAGA CCCAAGAAGA AAGTGCTTA AAGTGCTCTA AAGTGCTCTA AAGTGCTCTA AAGTGCTCTA AAGTGCTCTA AAGTGCTCTA AAGTGCTCTA AAGTGCTCTA AAGTGCTCTA AAGTGCTCTA AAGTGCTCTA	AGGACAATG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAATG CGGGGAATG CGGGGAATG CCGAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG CATAGGCTGTG CATAGGCTGTG CATAGGCAGACAA	CTTATGTCAC CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGAGAGAG	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357 795 675 798
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAATG AGGTTCACC AGGCTCACC AGGCTCCCC AGGCATCCCC AGGCATCCCC GTGTCCAATT GTGTCCCATT GTGTCCCATT	САСААСТСАА МLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACGA TGTTGAGTTT TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC	AGATGATTC CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC ACTTTCATC ACTTTCATC ACTTTCATC	CAGCACCTI CAGCCACCTI CAGCCACCTI CAACCACCGI CAACCACCGI CAACCACCGI CTAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC TGTTTCACTT TGTTTCACTT TGTTTCACTT	NTS AAGAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAG CACATACTGC CACCTACTGC CACCTACTGC CACCTACTACG ACCTATAAAC ACCTATAAAC ACCTATAAAC	CTAGAAGACC	Caragacacag Caragacacag Caragacag Caragacag Caragacag Caragacag Caragacag Caragacag Caragacagacag Caragacagacag Caragacagacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacaga Caragacagacaga Caragacaga	AGGACCACTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAGAATG TGGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGTA CCAAAGTGTA	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG TAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG GGGGAGATAA GGGGAGATAA GGGGAGATAA	CTTATGTCAC CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAAGGG CAGGAGAAGGG CAGAGAAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA CAATGAACA TGAGAAAACA TGAGAAAACA TGAGAAAACC	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357 795 675 798 477
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAATG AGGCATCCCC AGGCATCCCC AGGCATCCCC GTGTCCCATT GTGTCCCATT GTGTCCCATT	САСААСТСА NLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACA TGTTGAGTTT TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT CGATGGTTTC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC CAATGGTTCC	AGATGATTC CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCCATGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC ACTTTCATC ACTTTCATC	CAGTCAACCCT CAGCCACCTJ CAGCCACCTJ CAACCACCG CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC TGTTTCACTT TGTTTCACTT	Image: State of the s	CTAGAAGACC ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ TTATGCAAGTCZ TTATGCAAGTCZ TTATGCAACC TTATGCAACC TTGTGTAACC TTGTGTAACC CAAAGGGAA CCAAGGGGAA CCAAGGGGAA	CCAAGACACAG CCAAGACAAA GCCAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAGAAAA CCCAAGAAGA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCTA AAGTGTCTA AAGTGTCTA AAGTGTCTA	AGGACAATG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CCGAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG GGGGAGATAA GGGGAGACAA CGGGAGACAA	CTTATGTCAC CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA TGAGAAAACC TGAGAAAACC TGAGAAAACC	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357 795 675 798 477
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG AAGCTTCACC AGGCTCCACC AGGCTCCACT GTGTCCCATT GTGTCCCATT CTGTCCCATT	САСААСТСА NLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACA TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT CGAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC	AGATGATTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCAATAGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC ACTTTCATG ACTTTCATG ACTTTCATG	CAGTCAACCCT CAGCCACCT CAACCACCG CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC TGTTTCACTT TGTTTCACTT	Image: Stress of the stres of the stress of the stress of the stress of the s	CTAGAAGACC ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ TTATGCAAGTC TTATGCAAGTC TTATGCAAGCC TTATGCAACC TTATGCAACC TTGTGTAACC CAAGGGGAZ CCAAGGGGAZ	C TCGAAGACAG C TCGAAGAAA G GCAAGAAAA G GCAAGAAAA G GCAAGAAGAA G GCAAGAAGA C GCAAGAAGA A G GCAAGAGA A G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	AGGACAATG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAGATG TGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGTA	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCAGACAA GGGGAGACAA	CTURIGICAC CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGAGAGAG	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357 795 675 798 477
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAACG ATGACAAATCG AGGCTTCACC AGGCTTCACC AGGCATCCCC AGGCATCCCC TTC TTC TTGTCCCATT GTGTCCCATT GTGTCCCATT GTGTCCCATT GTGTCCCATT GTGTCCCATT	САСААСТССА М. К. GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTTC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC	AGATGATTC CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCCATGATC GCCATGATC ACTTTCATG ACTTTCATG ACTTTCATG ACTTTCATG	CAGCCACCT2 CAGCCACCT2 CAGCCACCT2 CAACCACCG2 CAACCACCG2 CAACCACCG2 CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAGCC CCAATGAGCC CCAATGAGCC CCAATGAGCC TGTTTCACT1 TGTTTCACT1 TGTTTCACT1 TGTTTCACT1	3 GAAAGATCTT NTS AAGAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAGAAA CACCTACTGC ACCTATTAAAC ACCTATTAAAC ACCTATTAAAC ACGAGGTAG B ACGAGGTAG B	CTAGAAGACC	Caragacacag N A GCAAAGAAAA A GCAAAGAAAA C GCAAAGAAAA C GCCAAGAAGA C GCCAAGAAGA A GCGAAGACA A AGGTGTCTTA A AGGTGTCTTA A AGGTGTCTCA A ATGGTATTGC A ATGGTATTGC A ATGGTATTGC	AGGACCACTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAACGCTC TGGGGAGATG TGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG CAAGGCCGAG CAGGCAGATAA GGGGAGATAA GGGGAGACAA	CTURAGEAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGAAGAGG ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA ACAATGAACA TGAGAAAACA TGAGAAAACA TGAGAAAACC	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357 795 675 798 477
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Human_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG AGGCTCACC AGGCTCCACC AGGCTCCCCC C * * * * * * GTGTCCAATT GTGTCCCATT GTGTCCATT GTGTCCAT GTGTCCAT GTGTCCAT GTGTCCA	GACAAGTCGA MLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC	AGATGAATAG CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCCATCGATC GCCATCGATC ACTTTCATC ACTTTCATC CACAAAAAAG	CAGCCACCTI CAGCCACCTI CAGCCACCTI CAACCACCGI CAACCACCGI CTAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGAGC CCAATGAGC TGTTTCACTT TGTTTCACTC TGTTTCACTC	NTS AAGAAAGAA AAGAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA CACTACTGC CACCTACTAGC CACCTACTAAAC ACCTATAAAC ACCTATAAAC	CTAGAAGACC ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCC TTATGCAACC TTATGCAACC TTGTGTAACC TTGTGTAACC CAAAGGGGAJ CCAAAGGGGAJ CCAAGGGGAJ CCAAGGGGAJ CCAAGGGGAJ	C TCGAAGACAG N A GCAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA GCCAAGAAGA C GCCAAGAAGA A GCGATGCTTA AAGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCTTA AAGTGTCTTA AAGTGTATTGC ATGGTATTGC	AGGACCACTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAACGCTC TGGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG CCGGGGAGATG CCGAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG TAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG GGGGAGATAA GGGGAGATAA GGGGAGACAA	CTTATGTCAC CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAAGG CAGAGAGAG	1777 595 475 598 2777 695 555 678 357 795 675 798 477
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG GTGTCCATT GTGTCCCATT GTGTCCATT GTGTCCAT GTGTCCAT GTGTCCAT GTGTCCAT GTGTCCAT GT	GACAAGTCGA MLS GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGACA TGTTGAGTTT TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT CAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC GAATGGTTCC	AGATGAATAG CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CCGCATGATG GCCATGGAT CCCATGGAT ACTTTCATG ACTTTCATG CACAAAAAG GACAAAAAAG	CAGCCACCT CAGCCACCT CAGCCACCT CAACCACCG CTAATGAACC CTAATGAACC CCAATGAACC CCAATGACC CCACC CCAATGACC CCAATGACC CCAATGACC CCAATGACC CCAATGACC CCAATGACC CCAATGAC CCACC CCAATGACC CCAATGACC CCAATGACC CCAATGACC CCACTC CC	NTS AAGAAAGAA AAGAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA AAGAAAAGAA CACATACTGC CACCTACTACC CACCTACTACC CACCTACTACA CACCTATAAAC ACCTATAAAC ACCTATAAAC ACCTATAAAC ACCTATAAAC ACCTATAAAC	CTAGAAGACC ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ ATCCAAGTCZ TTATGCAACC TTATGCAACC TTATGCAACC TTGTGTAACC CAAGGGGAZ CCAAGGGAZ CCACGAGGA CCACGAGGA CCACGAGGA CCACGAGGA CCACGAGGA CCACGAGGA CCACGAGGA CCACGAGGA CCACGAGGA CCACGAGA CCACAG CCACGAGAC CCACGAGAC CCACGAGAC CCACGAGAC CCACGAGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACC CCACGAC CCACGAC CCACC CCCC CCACC CCCC	CCAAGACACAG CCAAGACAAA GCCAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAAA GCCAAGAAAAA GCCAAGAAAAA CGCCAAGAAAAA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA AAGTGTCCTA	AGGACAATG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAAGCGCTC TGGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CGGGAGATG CCGAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA CCAAAGTGCA	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG TAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG GGGAGACTAA GGGGAGACAA GGGGAGACAA	CTURAGEAAAGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGAGAGAG	177 595 598 277 695 555 678 357 795 675 798 477
Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Human_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2 Mouse_ING2	GCGAGCCTCA ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAAATG ATGGCAATG GTGTCCAATT GTGTCCCATT GTGGCCAAAAA ATGGACAAAA ATGGACAAAA ATGGACAAAA ATGGACAAAA ATGGACAAAA ATGGACAAAA ATGGACAAAA ATGGACAAAAA ATGGACAAAAA	GACAAGTCGAAAA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA GGATTGAAGA TGTTGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT TGTCGAGTTT GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTTC GAATGGTTCC	AGATGAATAGA CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT CTGTGATGAT GCAATAGATC GCCATCGAT ACTTTCATG ACTTTCATG ACTTTCATG ACTTTCATG CACAAAAAAG GACAAAAAAG GACAAAAAAG	CAGCCACCTI CAGCCACCTI CAGCCACCTI CAGCCACCCI CAACCACCGI CAACCACCGI CAACCACCGI CAACACCGI CCAATGAACC CCAATGAGCC CCAATGAGCC CCAATGAGCC CCAATGAGCC CCAATGAC CCACC CCAATGAC CCACC CCAATGAC CCACC CCAATGAC CCACC CCAATGAC CCACC CCAATGAC CCACC CCAATGAC CCACC CCAATGAC CCACC CCAATGAC CCACC CCAATGAC CCACCC	Image: State	CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGCAAG CCAAGGCAAG CCAAGGCAAG CCAAGGCAAG CCAAGGCAAG CCAAGGCAAG CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGCAAG CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGAAG CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGGAA CCAAGGAA CCAAGGAAG CCAAGGAA CCAAGGAAG CCAAGGAAG CCAAGGAA CCAAGGAAG CCAAGGAAG CCAAGGAAGG	Correction of the second of th	AGGACCACTG AGAAACGCTC AGAAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAACGCTC AGAACGCTC TGGGGAGATG TGGGGAGATG TGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG CGGGGAGATG CCCAAAGTGCA CCCAAAGTGCA CCCAAGTGTA	AGAGCCGTGA CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG CAAGGCCAAG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGATGTG ATAGGCTGTG ATAGGCTGTG GGGGAGATAA GGGGAGATAA GGGGAGACAA *******	CTURGCAAAGGG CAGGAAAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGGAGAGGG CAGAGAGAG	177 595 475 598 277 695 555 678 357 795 675 798 477

D.

		-	
	-		١

		LZL					
Human ING2a	MLGQQQQQL-	YSSAALLTGE	RSRLLTCYVQ	DYLECVESLP	HDMQRNVSVL	RELDNKYQET	59
Human ING2b					-MDQDGDQQL	GPSRILAPQT	19
Mouse ING2a	MLGQQQQQQL	YSSAALLTGE	RSRLLSCYVQ	DYLECVESLP	HDMQRNVSVL	RELDNKYQET	60
Mouse ING2b							
					NCR		
Human_ING2a	LKEIDDVYEK	YKKEDDLNQK	KRLQQLLQRA	LINSQELGDE	KIQIVTQMLE	LVENRARQME	119
Human_ING2b	LKEIDDVYEK	YKKEDDLNQK	KRLQQLLQRA	LINSQELGDE	KIQIVTQMLE	LVENRARQME	79
Mouse_ING2a	LKEIDDVYEK	YKKEDDSNQK	KRLQQHLQRA	LINSQELGDE	KIQIVTQMLE	LVENRARQME	120
Mouse_ING2b					MLE	LVENRARQME	13
					***	******	
				NITC			
				NIS	N	ILS	
Human_ING2a	LHSQCFQDPA	ESERASDKAK	MDSSQPERSS	RRPRRQRTSE	SRDLCHMANG	IEDCDDQPPK	179
Human_ING2b	LHSQCFQDPA	ESERASDKAK	MDSSQPERSS	RRPRRQRTSE	SRDLCHMANG	IEDCDDQPPK	139
Mouse_ING2a	LHSQCFQDPA	ESERASDKSK	MDSSQPERSS	RRPRRQRTSE	SRDLCHMTNG	IDDCDDQPPK	180
Mouse_ING2b	LHSQCFQDPA	ESERASDKSK	MDSSQPERSS	RRPRRQRTSE	SRDLCHMTNG	IDDCDDQPPK	73
	********	******	*******	*******	****** **	* *******	
		C DE	ACD			DUD	
Human INCO.				NEDEVOL CHO	MANAENTOOD		220
Human_ING2a	EKKSKSAKKK	KRSKAKQERE	ASPVEFAIDP	NEPTYCLCNQ	VSIGEMIGCD	NEQCPIEWFH	239
Human_ING2D	EKKSKSAKK	KRSKAKQERE	ASPVEFAIDP	NEPTYCLCNQ	VSIGEMIGCD	NEQCPIEWFH	199
Mouse_ING2a	EXESTSALL	KRSKARQERE	ASPVERAIDP	NEPTICLCNQ	VSIGEMIGCD	NEOCDIEWEH	122
Mouse_ING2D	** *******	**********	ASP VERAIDP	*********	**********	**********	122
			PBR				
Human ING2a	FSCVSLTYKP	KGKWYCPKCR	GDNE <mark>K</mark> TMDKS	TEKTKKDRRS	R 280		
Human ING2b	FSCVSLTYKP	KGKWYCPKCR	GDNE <mark>K</mark> TMD <mark>K</mark> S	TEKTKKDRRS	R 240		
Mouse ING2a	FSCVSLTYKP	KGKWYCPKCR	GDNE <mark>K</mark> TMD <mark>K</mark> S	TE <mark>KTKKERR</mark> A	R 281		
Mouse_ING2b	FSCVSLTYKP	KGKWYCPKCR	GDNE <mark>K</mark> TMD <mark>K</mark> S	TE <mark>KTKKERR</mark> A	R 174		
—	*****	******	******	***** **	*		